

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

A Randomized Controlled Trial of Early versus Late Surgical Decompression for Thoracic and Thoracolumbar Spinal Cord Injury in 73 Patients

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4h14c503>

Journal

Neurotrauma Reports, 1(1)

ISSN

2689-288X

Authors

Haghnegahdar, Ali

Behjat, Reza

Saadat, Soheil

et al.

Publication Date

2020

DOI

10.1089/neur.2020.0027

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

A Randomized Controlled Trial of Early versus Late Surgical Decompression for Thoracic and Thoracolumbar Spinal Cord Injury in 73 Patients

Ali Haghnegahdar,¹ Reza Behjat,¹ Soheil Saadat,² Jetan Badhiwala,^{3,4} Majid Reza Farrokhi,^{14,15} Amin Niakan,¹ Keyvan Eghbal,¹ Ehsan Barzideh,¹ Abtin Shahlaee,^{5,6} Fariborz Ghaffarpasand,¹ Zahra Ghodsi,⁵ Alexander R. Vaccaro,⁷ Mohsen Sadeghi-Naini,⁸ Michael G. Fehlings,^{3,4} James David Guest,⁹ Pegah Derakhshan,^{5,*} and Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar^{5,10–13,*}

Abstract

Convincing clinical evidence exists to support early surgical decompression in the setting of cervical spinal cord injury (SCI). However, clinical evidence on the effect of early surgery in patients with thoracic and thoracolumbar (from T1 to L1 [T1–L1]) SCI is lacking and a critical knowledge gap remains. This randomized controlled trial (RCT) sought to evaluate the safety and efficacy of early (<24 h) compared with late (24–72 h) decompressive surgery after T1–L1 SCI. From 2010 to 2018, patients (≥16 years of age) with acute T1–L1 SCI presenting to a single trauma center were randomized to receive either early (<24 h) or late (24–72 h) surgical decompression. The primary outcome was an ordinal change in American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale (AIS) grade at 12-month follow-up. Secondary outcomes included complications and change in ASIA motor score (AMS) at 12 months. Outcome assessors were blinded to treatment assignment.

Of 73 individuals whose treatment followed the study protocol, 37 received early surgery and 36 underwent late surgery. The mean age was 29.74 ± 11.4 years. In the early group 45.9% of patients and in the late group 33.3% of patients had a ≥1-grade improvement in AIS (odds ratio [OR] 1.70, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.66–4.39, $p=0.271$); significantly more patients in the early (24.3%) than late (5.6%) surgery group had a ≥2-grade improvement in AIS (OR 5.46, 95% CI: 1.09–27.38, $p=0.025$). There was no statistically significant difference in the secondary outcome measures. Surgical decompression within 24 h of acute traumatic T1–L1 SCI is safe and is associated with improved neurological outcome, defined as at least a 2-grade improvement in AIS at 12 months.

Keywords: acute; lumbar cord; randomized controlled trial; spinal cord injuries; thoracic cord; traumatic

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Trauma Research Center, Shahid Rajaee (Emtiaz) Trauma Hospital, Shiraz, Iran.

²Department of Emergency Medicine, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California, USA.

³Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

⁴Division of Neurosurgery, Krembil Brain Institute, Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

⁵Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, ¹⁰Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, ¹¹Department of Neurosurgery, Shariati Hospital,

¹²Pre-Hospital and Hospital Emergency Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

⁶Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA.

⁷Department of Orthopedics and Neurosurgery, Thomas Jefferson University and the Rothman Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

⁸Department of Neurosurgery, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran.

⁹Department of Neurosurgery, The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, USA.

¹³Tissue Repair Laboratory, Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics (IBB), University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

¹⁴Shiraz Neuroscience Research Center, ¹⁵Department of Neurosurgery, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

*Address correspondence to: Pegah Derakhshan, MD, MPH, Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Hassan-Abad Square, Imam Khomeini Avenue, Tehran, 11365-3876 Iran, E-mail: Pegah.derakhshan1997@gmail.com; Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, MD, Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Sina Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Hassan-Abad Square, Imam Khomeini Avenue, Tehran, 11365-3876 Iran, E-mail: v_rahimi@sina.tums.ac.ir



Introduction

Acute traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) is a devastating disorder that exerts a significant physical, emotional, and economic burden on patients, families, and society at large.^{1,2} The pathophysiology of SCI involves primary and secondary injury mechanisms; the rationale for expeditious surgical decompression in the setting of acute SCI is that it may mitigate secondary injury, improving long-term neurological outcomes.^{3,4} To this end, pre-clinical studies have demonstrated better neurobehavioral outcomes in rodent models of SCI when the time from injury to decompression is minimized.^{5–9} There are few randomized human studies evaluating the effect of early surgical decompression for acute SCI.¹⁰ Currently, the highest quality evidence comes from the Surgical Timing in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study (STASCIS), which demonstrated that early (<24 h) surgical decompression was associated with greater odds of achieving a 2-or-more grade improvement in the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale (AIS), as compared with late (≥ 24 h) surgery, in patients with acute cervical SCI.¹¹ On the basis of this and other studies, clinical practice guidelines developed and published by AO Spine provide a weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence that “early surgery be offered as an option for acute SCI patients regardless of level.”¹²

Although STASCIS addressed the question of early surgery for cervical SCI, a critical knowledge gap remains on the efficacy of early decompressive surgery in the setting of thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI. Thoracic and thoracolumbar SCIs have distinct biomechanical and physiological characteristics; most notably, these injuries often result from high-energy trauma and are more likely to result in complete injury compared with other regions,¹³ and the tenuous vascular supply to the region may lead to reduced potential for recovery.^{14,15} The natural history of thoracic SCI has been thought to involve minimal recovery, which occurs significantly more in low-thoracic than high/mid-thoracic SCIs.¹⁶ Improved conversion rates were observed in all registries for low-thoracic (T10–T12) injuries when compared with high/mid-thoracic (T2–T9) injuries. Given the paucity of evidence in this domain, the present study sought to compare the neurological outcomes of early (<24 h) versus late (24–72 h) surgical decompression in patients with thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI.

Methods

Trial design and oversight

In this randomized controlled trial (RCT), conducted from September 2010 to April 2018, patients with thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI presenting to the Neurosurgery Department of Shahid Rajaei Hospital, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive early (<24 h; intervention) or late (24–72 h; control) surgical decompression. We generated the randomization during the protocol preparation but when logistic and clinical problems precluded us from commitment to the randomization sequence, some patients crossed over to the other group. Cross-overs at the allocation phase are described in the Results section. Randomization was by permuted blocks stratified by complete (AIS grade A) versus incomplete (AIS grades B, C, D) SCI. The randomization scheme was generated centrally by a scientist who was not involved in determining study eligibility. We generated the randomization using sealedenvelope.com when preparing the protocol, and the randomization of study subjects was concealed in sealed, opaque, sequentially numbered envelopes. By nature, subjects and physicians could not be blinded to treatment. However, outcome residents (senior neurosurgery resident) trained to undertake the examinations in the RCT remained blinded to treatment group allocation throughout randomization and follow-up.

The trial was registered with the ISRCTN Registry (ISRCTN61263382). The study protocol has been published in the peer-reviewed literature previously.¹⁷ Randomization and data collection, analysis, and interpretation were conducted at Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center of Tehran University Medical Sciences. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to enrollment.

There are approximately 30–40 cases of thoracolumbar SCI seen at Shahid Rajaei Hospital per year, but only about 25% of these (6–10 case per year) present within 24 h of injury. A primary report of the study was published with 35 cases.¹⁸ Based on *a priori* calculations, the target sample size was 328 patients.¹⁷ However, the trial had to be terminated early, at 73 patients, due to slower than anticipated patient recruitment, which is a well-recognized challenge in conducting clinical trials for SCI.^{19,20} This logistic problem prevented



us from continuing the study. After 10 years, the local principal investigator moved to a different country and we lost direct supervision of the project and residents, and so we stopped the study to prevent a decrease in study quality.

Participants

Patients (≥ 16 years of age) with acute traumatic thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI (T1–L1) and who were hemodynamically stable, had evidence of spinal cord compression on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and presented less than 24 h of injury were eligible. Initial patient assessment was performed twice. The first clinical examination was by a junior resident at admission and the second was by a senior resident or local principal investigator at least 1 h prior to the surgery, who confirmed the previous examination. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Table 1. Clinical parameters collected at baseline included age, sex, level of injury, mechanism of injury, and time from injury to admission.

Interventions

All surgeries were performed at a single trauma center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. Standard spinal immobilization techniques were used. Patients were resuscitated in accordance with the standard Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) protocol. We infused methylprednisolone sodium succinate for all eligible patients admitted within 24 h of injury. The dose of intravenous methylprednisolone sodium succinate was a 30 mg/kg bolus and a 5.4 mg/kg/h infusion for 24 h. Patients underwent long- or short-segment posterior

fixation with or without instrumentation of the fractured vertebrae, or 360-degree fixation, at the discretion of the treating surgeon.

Outcomes

Neurological outcomes were evaluated by standard ASIA/International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury (ISNCSCI)²¹ examination at enrollment and 1, 3, 6, and 12 months post-operatively by trial coordinators who were unaware of treatment assignment. The primary end-point was an ordinal change in AIS grade at 12-month follow-up. Change in ASIA motor score (AMS) at 12 months was evaluated as a secondary end-point.

Safety outcomes. Major and minor adverse events, including mortality, were recorded on an ongoing basis throughout the study period, from screening to end of study participation.

Statistical analysis

All analyses followed the intention-to-treat principle. Descriptive statistics were by the mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and count and percentage for categorical variables. Between-group comparisons of ≥ 1 -grade and ≥ 2 -grade improvements in AIS were made by chi-square test. The change in AMS from baseline to 12 months in the two groups was compared with use of a *t* test. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 15 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) with an *a priori* specified significance level of $p=0.05$ (two-tailed).

Table 1. Eligibility Criteria of Early vs. Late Surgical Decompression for Acute Traumatic Thoracic and Thoracolumbar Spinal Cord Injury

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Age ≥ 16 years	Concomitant traumatic brain injury ^a
Acute traumatic SCI with neurological level from T1 to L1	Pre-injury major medical comorbidity ^b
Hemodynamic stability	Pre-injury major neurological deficits or disease ^c
Spinal cord compression confirmed by MRI	Current major psychiatric illness
Hospital admission within 24 h of injury	Ankylosing spondylitis
Patient or proxy able and willing to provide informed consent	Penetrating spinal injury
	Life-threatening injuries that prevent early spinal cord decompression
	Pregnancy
	Criminals under incarceration
	Spinal shock ^d
	Cognitive impairment preventing accurate neurological assessment
	Injury involving more than two adjacent vertebral levels

^aDefined as an altered level of consciousness (GCS score ≤ 14)


^bIncludes myocardial infarction within 3 months, uncompensated congestive heart failure, active systemic malignancy, AIDS, and diabetes mellitus.

^cIncludes hemiparesis, paraparesis, or quadriparesis; stroke; Parkinson's disease; syringomyelia; and Guillain-Barré syndrome.

^dDefined as areflexia and autonomic dysfunction.

GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SCI, spinal cord injury.






Results

Patients

Patient enrollment, randomization, and follow-up are summarized in Figure 1. A total of 78 participants underwent randomization, of which 39 underwent early and 39 late surgical decompression. Five patients (6.4%) died during acute hospitalization ($n=2$, early surgery; $n=3$, late surgery) and were excluded from

further analysis. The remaining 73 patients ($n=37$, early surgery; $n=36$, late surgery) formed the study cohort. From the early group of 37 patients, 15 underwent surgery in less than 24 h, and 17 patients crossed over to the late surgery group. The crossover patients were analyzed based on the intention-to-treat (ITT) assignment (Fig. 2). The exact times lapsed for 5 patients allocated to the early surgery group were missed. In the



late group, 30 patients underwent surgery ≥ 24 h, and 5 patients crossed over to the early surgery group. The exact time lapsed for 1 patient allocated in the late surgery group was missed. Baseline patient and injury characteristics (Table 2) were balanced between treatment groups. The mean age of the overall study cohort was 29.74 ± 11.4 years. There were 19 females (26.0%). The most common injury mechanism was a motor vehicle collision (41; 56.2%). The majority of patients (41; 56.2%) had a complete injury (AIS grade A). Mean AMS was 60.2.

We provide the details of patients' data in Supplementary Table S1.

Primary outcome measure

In total, 29 patients (39.7%) experienced an improvement in AIS grade at 12 months. In the early surgery group, AIS grade improvement at 12 months was as follows: 20 patients (54.1%) had no improvement, 8 (21.6%) had a 1-grade improvement, 3 (8.1%) had a 2-grade improvement, and 6 (16.2%) had a 3-grade improvement. Five out of 21 (23.8%) of AIS A patients had a 3-grade improvement (Table 3). In the late

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of Early vs. Late Surgical Decompression for Traumatic Thoracic and Thoracolumbar Spinal Cord Injury (n = 73), n (%)

Characteristic	Early surgery, n=37	Late surgery, n=36	P-value
Age, mean \pm SD	29.7 \pm 10.3	34.9 \pm 12.0	0.057
Female sex, no. (%)	9 (24.3)	10 (27.8)	0.79
Mechanism of injury			
Motor vehicle collision or crashes	17 (45.9)	24 (66.7)	0.09
Falls	18 (48.7)	11 (30.6)	
Sport	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Other	2 (5.4)	0 (0.0)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	1 (2.8)	
AIS grade, no. (%)			1.00
A	21 (56.8)	20 (55.6)	
B	5 (13.5)	5 (13.9)	
C	4 (10.8)	4 (11.1)	
D	7 (18.9)	7 (19.4)	
Neurological level number (%)			0.25
T1-4	1 (2.7)	4 (11.1)	
T5-8	5 (13.5)	7 (19.4)	
T9-L1	31 (83.8)	25 (69.4)	
AMS, mean \pm SD	62.3 \pm 15.6	58.1 \pm 14.1	0.23
Fracture morphology ^a			0.71
Type A	17 (45.9)	13 (36.1)	
Type B	7 (18.9)	9 (25.0)	
Type C	12 (32.4)	14 (38.9)	

^aBased on the AO Spine Thoracolumbar Spine Injury Classification System.²¹

AIS, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale; AMS, ASIA motor score; SD, standard deviation.

Table 3. Ordinal Change in AIS grade from Baseline to 12-month Follow-Up: Early surgery group (n = 37)

	12-month AIS grade					Total
	A	B	C	D	E	
Pre-operative AIS grade						
A	16	0	0	5	0	21
B	0	0	3	1	1	5
C	0	0	0	2	2	4
D	0	0	0	4	3	5

AIS, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale.

surgery group, improvement in AIS grade at 12 months was as follows: 24 patients (66.7%) had no improvement, 10 (27.8%) had a 1-grade improvement, 2 (5.6%) had a 2-grade improvement, and no patients had a 3-grade improvement (Table 4). Accordingly, 17 patients (45.9%) in the early surgery group and 12 (33.3%) in the late surgery group had a ≥ 1 -grade improvement in AIS at 12 months (early vs. late; OR 1.70, 95% CI: 0.66-4.39, $p=0.271$), and 9 patients (24.3%) in the early surgery group and 2 (5.6%) in the late surgery group had a ≥ 2 -grade improvement in AIS at 12 months (early vs. late; OR 5.46, 95% CI: 1.09-27.38, $p=0.025$; Table 5 and Fig. 3).

Secondary outcome measures and adverse events

Mean AMS at 12 months was 71.3 ± 20.5 points (mean 75.1 ± 21.2 points, early surgery; mean 67.3 ± 19.2 points, late surgery). In the overall study cohort, AMS improved by a mean of 11.1 ± 11.7 points at 12 months. Improvements in AMS at 12 months were comparable between early (mean 12.8, 95% CI: 8.6-17.1 points) and late (mean 9.2 points, 95% CI: 5.7-12.7 points) surgery groups ($p=0.235$). Patients with incomplete SCI experienced greater improvement in AMS than patients with complete SCI, in both early and late decompression groups ($p < 0.001$).

Three patients in the early surgery group developed a deep vein thrombosis and three laterally placed screws

Table 4. Ordinal Change in AIS Grade from Baseline to 12-Month Follow-Up: Late Surgery Group (n = 36)

	12-month AIS grade					Total
	A	B	C	D	E	
Pre-operative AIS grade						
A	19	0	1	0	0	20
B	0	0	4	1	0	5
C	0	0	0	4	0	4
D	0	0	0	5	2	7

AIS, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale.



Table 5. Comparison of AIS Grade Conversions in Early vs. Late Surgery Groups

Outcome	Early surgery, n=37	Late surgery, n=36	OR (95% CI)	P-value
≥1-grade AIS improvement	17 (45.9)	12 (33.3)	1.70 (0.66-4.39)	0.27
≥2-grade AIS improvement	9 (24.3)	2 (5.6)	5.46 (1.09-27.38)	0.037*

*Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

AIS, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

were revised the same day of surgery. Eleven patients in the late surgery group experienced complications, including deep vein thrombosis ($n=2$), laterally placed screws ($n=3$ screws in two cases), bilateral rod fracture ($n=1$), a delayed pulled-out screw ($n=1$), wound infection ($n=2$), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak ($n=1$), meningitis ($n=1$), and decubitus ulcer ($n=1$). According to our study design, there was no complication reported related to the methylprednisolone therapy.

Discussion

The present study is a prospective RCT comparing early versus late surgery in acute traumatic thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI. The primary analysis indicates a significant difference, in favor of early surgery versus late surgery, for a >2-grade AIS conversion at 12-month follow-up. A 2-grade AIS change was considered clinically significant in the Sygen multi-center

acute spinal cord injury study.²² Improvements in AMS at 12 months were comparable between early and late surgery groups.

A Chinese single-center prospective cohort study of 721 patients with thoracic and thoracolumbar incomplete SCI also divided patients into an early (<24 h after SCI, $n=335$) and a late (24–72 h after SCI, $n=386$) decompression group. Each group was divided into A, B, and C subgroups according to the AO Spine Injury Classification System (AOSICS). Analysis of the effect of surgical timing on the AIS grade conversion showed that early surgical decompression did not lead to significant AIS improvement in AOSICS classification type A, but there was improved conversion in AOSICS classification type B and type C. The authors concluded that patients classified with AOSICS type A with complete SCI do not have to undergo aggressive early operations. However, patients with type B and type C injuries should undergo an early operation to achieve better clinical results.²³

Yousefifard and colleagues in a systematic review and meta-analysis indicated that surgical decompression within 24 h can improve neurological recovery by 0.75 (95% CI: 0.63-0.90; $p=0.002$).²⁴ The pooled recovery rate (RR) was 0.77 (95% CI: 0.68-0.89) for at least 1-grade neurological improvement, and 0.84 (95% CI: 0.77-0.92) for at least 2-grade improvement. Further, surgical decompression performed within 24 h after injury was found to be associated with




FIG. 3. American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale (AIS) grade improvement at 12-month follow-up with early versus late surgery.



significantly lower rates of post-surgical complications (RR=0.77; 95% CI: 0.68-0.86; $p < 0.001$). In the current RCT, we did not find a significantly lower complication rate, perhaps due to low sample size.

Khorasanizadeh and associates in a recent meta-analysis on thoracic SCI¹³ evaluated 114 studies for AIS/Frankel changes in 19,913 patients and AMS changes in 6920 patients. The overall AIS conversion rate was 19.3% (95% CI: 16.2-22.6) for patients with grade A. Considering baseline neurological impairment as the most important prognostic factor for neurological recovery, patients with incomplete SCI experienced more significant improvement in AMS than patients with complete SCI in both early and late decompression groups. This is in line with previous findings, which suggest that patients with complete SCI have poor neurological recovery. In the above-mentioned meta-analysis, the lowest neurological RR was significantly different between all grades of SCI severity and the lowest RR was in AIS A in the following order: C > B > D > A. The lowest RR according to the level of injury was thoracic, which was in the following pattern: lumbar > cervical and thoracolumbar > thoracic.

Khorasanizadeh and associates have shown that studies with follow-up durations of approximately 6 months or less reported significantly lower RRs for incomplete SCI compared with studies with long-term follow-ups.¹³ We followed the patients in our study for at least a year. Interestingly, 5 patients (out of 19 patients) with AIS grade A in the early surgery group improved to AIS grade D at 1-year follow-up, but only 1 patient (out of 19 patients) in the late surgery group with AIS grade A improved to grade C in the same time period. Among 6 patients who recovered from complete SCI, the level of injury was T5 ($n = 1$), T11 ($n = 1$), and T12 ($n = 4$). As such, our findings support that early decompression enhances the chance for future improvement especially in the lower thoracic, which 83.3% of improvement in our study belongs to the lower thoracic.

Bourassa-Moreau and coworkers suggested that early surgical decompression improved the AIS score more than late surgical decompression in patients with complete cervical SCI, but not in those with thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI.²⁵ El Teclé and colleagues in a systematic review of 11 RCTs and 9 observational studies with 1162 patients with AIS A reported that patients who underwent early surgery had a higher rate of conversion (46.1%) than patients who underwent late surgery (25%; OR 2.31, 95% CI: 1.08-4.96, $p = 0.03$).²⁶ In a

retrospective cohort study in 86 patients with traumatic SCI in all levels, Kim and associates showed that AIS grade improvement was significantly greater in the early (<48 h) than in the late group (>48 h; $p = 0.039$). AIS grade improvement was also significantly greater in the incomplete SCI group than in the complete SCI group.²⁷

According to an updated literature review using the GRADE approach, a clinical guideline with low-quality evidence suggests that “early surgery can be offered as an option for acute SCI patients regardless of level.”¹² But due to a lack of high-quality evidence, the challenge of the surgical timing remains unsolved. A recent meta-analysis based on observational studies in 948 patients with thoracic SCI showed there was no superiority of either early or late surgical decompression in neurological RR.²⁸

Another meta-analysis indicates motor RR after traumatic SCI depends on injury factors (i.e., injury severity, level, and mechanism of injury). Interestingly, type of treatment (surgical decompression vs. conservative treatment) and country of origin (developing vs. developed countries) do not have significant effect on the motor RR.¹³ In a meta-analysis from 1683 patients (990 with incomplete neurological deficit), patients with incomplete SCI who underwent early (<24 h) surgery had greater neurological improvement than late surgical decompression and conservative management alone. However, there was only one prospective randomized study and eight prospective non-randomized case series.²⁹ In another systematic review of two prospective and eight retrospective observational studies with 1427 patients with thoracolumbar traumatic SCI, early surgery was associated with fewer complications, shorter hospital stay, and shorter intensive care unit (ICU) stay. However, the effect of early decompression on the neurological outcome remains unclear.³⁰

Based on previous feasibility studies, due to transport and lifesaving protocols, only 23.5–51.4% of patients with SCI can undergo an operation within the first 24 h after injury.³¹ This rate may also be reduced in thoracic SCI due to high-energy multiple trauma that is needed to produce thoracic SCI, which produces more injuries that can complicate early surgery. We, therefore, excluded patients with life-threatening injuries that prevent early spinal cord decompression.

In the current study, all patients regardless of their treatment groups underwent assignment of AIS grade within the first hour after emergency admission.



Burns and colleagues showed that patients who are classified as AIS A within 72 h post-injury remain grade A in 80% of cases, with about 10% converting to AIS B and about 10% converting to AIS C.³² Scivoletto and associates showed that, if the baseline assessment is performed after 72 h post-injury, the percentage of improvement decreases dramatically, to 2.5%.³³ The most important answer to this significant criticism of the study protocol is randomization.

To ascertain the validity of the AIS grade we also excluded patients with moderate traumatic brain injury (Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] score ≤ 14) or patients with life-threatening injury. Another condition that could violate the validity of the AIS grade assessed in the emergency department is spinal shock. However, spinal shock is a dynamic physiological continuum consisting of four phases, in all patients with SCI beginning from the injury up to a year.³⁴ Therefore, it would be inappropriate to classify patients into two groups; with and without spinal shock. In addition, in the current study there were trends toward potential differences between the two groups with regard to age and cause of injury. However, Table 2 presents the ITT population, and therefore these differences could not have arisen due to crossovers. Rather, given the nature of randomization, these baseline differences arose by chance alone, as is often seen in RCTs. In our protocol and study design, defined *a priori*, there was no specific plan to adjust for baseline covariates. Therefore, we believe it would be a violation of the protocol to now adjust for these baseline characteristics that were found to be different. Nonetheless, given the small sample size, we do agree that these could influence the results to some degree.

Limitations

The present study has some limitations. First, due to problems in the hospital setting, we could not evaluate all outcomes of interest predefined in the protocol. Therefore, we focused on the AIS conversion rate, AMS, and complications during the hospital admission. Also, repeated evaluations of AIS and AMS in serial follow-up, as mentioned in the protocol, were missed in some subjects during the executory phase at 1, 3, and 6 months. In addition, especially the 1- and 3-month follow-up evaluation and comparison were premature and less substantial than the 12-month outcome. In the interpretation of the results, this lapse suggests some degree of attrition bias from 1- to 6-month follow-up. Second, the causes of mortal-

ity in five case patients (two in the early decompression group and three in the late decompression group) were not recorded. Further, due to limited available information regarding comorbidities in study patients, we were not able to conduct additional analyses regarding these conditions. Third, after 9 years of patient recruitment, we had recruited 73 patients or just one-fourth of the desired sample size. Because we could not continue the trial due to the logistic problem, the potential for selection bias is high and analysis is underpowered to detect the minimum clinical difference in the AMS between early and late decompression groups. To address this issue, we provide details, including individual patient data (IPD), in Supplementary Table S1, to enable future systematic reviews to perform IPD meta-analysis and to derive meaningful conclusions.

Conclusion

Surgical decompression within 24 h of acute traumatic thoracic and thoracolumbar SCI is safe and associated with improved neurological outcomes, defined as at least a 2-grade improvement in AIS at 12 months. AIS grade and AMS were improved in both the early and late surgical decompression groups after traumatic SCI. Greater improvement of both AIS ≥ 1 -grade and AMS was observed in the early group, although it was not significant versus the late group. The discrepancy between AIS and AMS might be due to the low sample size/low power of the analysis. Future RCTs with greater sample sizes are needed to confirm these findings.

Acknowledgments

We thank Professor Bizhan Aarabi, who had a great role in the preparation of Supplementary Table S1, including patient data in detail, and Dr. Vali Baigi, who helped us with data analysis and interpretation.

Funding Information

This work was funded by Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (grant numbers 89-01-38-9337). There was no industry funding or involvement. All listed authors confirm that their research is supported by an institution that is primarily involved in education or research.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist except for Alex R Vaccaro as follows: Replication Medical, Inc., Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interest; Medtronic,



Consulting/Independent Contractor, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Stryker Spine, Consulting/Independent Contractor, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Globus, Consulting/Independent Contractor, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Paradigm Spine, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Stout Medical, Consulting/Independent Contractor, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Progressive Spinal Technologies, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interest; Advanced Spinal Intellectual Properties, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Aesculap, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Spine Medica, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Computational Biodynamics, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interest; Spinology, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Flagship Surgical, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Cytonics, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Bonovo Orthopaedics, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Electrocore, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Insight Therapeutics, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Flow Pharma, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Rothman Institute and Related Properties, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; AO Spine, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees; Innovative Surgical Design, Consulting/Independent Contractor, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Orthobullets, Consulting/Independent Contractor; Thieme, Receipt of Royalty Payments, Deputy Editor/Editor/Editorial Board; Jaypee, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Elsevier, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Taylor Francis/Hodder and Stoughton, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Expert testimony, Consulting/Independent Contractor; Vertiflex, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Avaz Surgical, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Clinical Spine Surgery, Deputy Editor/Editor/Editorial Board; Spine Journal, Deputy Editor/Editor/Editorial Board; Prime Surgeons, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Dimension Orthotics, LLC, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Spine Therapy Network, Inc., Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees; SpineWave, Consulting/Independent Contractor, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Atlas Spine, Consulting/

Independent Contractor, Receipt of Royalty Payments; Nuvasive, Consulting/Independent Contractor, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Parvizi Surgical Innovation, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Franklin Bioscience, Stock/Stock Option Ownership Interests; Sentryx, Service on Scientific Advisory Board/Board of Directors/Service on Committees.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1

References

1. Badhiwala, J.H., Wilson, J.R., and Fehlings, M.G. (2019). Global burden of traumatic brain and spinal cord injury. *Lancet Neurol.* 18, 24–25.
2. James, S.L., Theadom, A., Ellenbogen, R.G., Bannick, M.S., Montjoy-Venning, W., Lucchesi, L.R., Abbasi, N., Abdulkader, R., Abraha, H.N., and Adsuar, J.C. (2019). Global, regional, and national burden of traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* 18, 56–87.
3. Ahuja, C.S., Nori, S., Tetreault, L., Wilson, J., Kwon, B., Harrop, J., Choi, D., and Fehlings, M.G. (2017). Traumatic spinal cord injury—repair and regeneration. *Neurosurgery* 80, S9–S22.
4. Badhiwala, J.H., Ahuja, C.S., and Fehlings, M.G. (2018). Time is spine: a review of translational advances in spinal cord injury. *J. Neurosurg. Spine* 30, 1–18.
5. Dimar, J.R., Glassman, S.D., Raque, G.H., Zhang, Y.P., and Shields, C.B. (1999). The influence of spinal canal narrowing and timing of decompression on neurologic recovery after spinal cord contusion in a rat model. *Spine* 24, 1623.
6. Carlson, G.D., Gorden, C.D., Oliff, H.S., Pillai, J.J., and LaManna, J.C. (2003). Sustained spinal cord compression: part I: time-dependent effect on long-term pathophysiology. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 85, 86–94.
7. Furlan, J.C., Noonan, V., Cadotte, D.W., and Fehlings, M.G. (2011). Timing of decompressive surgery of spinal cord after traumatic spinal cord injury: an evidence-based examination of pre-clinical and clinical studies. *J. Neurotrauma* 28, 1371–1399.
8. Guha, A., Tator, C., Endrenyi, L., and Piper, I. (1987). Decompression of the spinal cord improves recovery after acute experimental spinal cord compression injury. *Spinal Cord* 25, 324–339.
9. Batchelor, P.E., Wills, T.E., Skeers, P., Battistuzzo, C.R., Macleod, M.R., Howells, D.W., and Sena, E.S. (2013). Meta-analysis of pre-clinical studies of early decompression in acute spinal cord injury: a battle of time and pressure. *PLoS One* 8.
10. Wilson, J.R., Tetreault, L.A., Kwon, B.K., Arnold, P.M., Mroz, T.E., Shaffrey, C., Harrop, J.S., Chapman, J.R., Casha, S., and Skelly, A.C. (2017). Timing of decompression in patients with acute spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Global Spine J.* 7, 955–1155.
11. Fehlings, M.G., Vaccaro, A., Wilson, J.R., Singh, A., Cadotte, D.W., Harrop, J.S., Aarabi, B., Shaffrey, C., Dvorak, M., Fisher, C., Arnold, P., Massicotte, E.M., Lewis, S., and Rampersaud, R. (2012). Early versus delayed decompression for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: results of the Surgical Timing in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study (STASCIS). *PLoS One* 7, e32037.
12. Fehlings, M.G., Tetreault, L.A., Wilson, J.R., Aarabi, B., Anderson, P., Arnold, P.M., Brodke, D.S., Burns, A.S., Chiba, K., and Dettori, J.R. (2017). A clinical practice guideline for the management of patients with acute spinal cord injury and central cord syndrome: recommendations on the timing (≤ 24 hours versus >24 hours) of decompressive surgery. *Global Spine J.* 7, 1955–2025.
13. Khorasanizadeh, M., Youseffard, M., Eskian, M., Lu, Y., Chalangari, M., Harrop, J.S., Jazayeri, S.B., Seyedpour, S., Khodaei, B., and Hosseini, M. (2019). Neurological recovery following traumatic spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Neurosurg. Spine* 30, 683–699.




14. El-Khoury, G., and Whitten, C. (1993). Trauma to the upper thoracic spine: anatomy, biomechanics, and unique imaging features. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.* 160, 95–102.
15. Wilson, J.R., Jaja, B.N., Kwon, B.K., Guest, J.D., Harrop, J.S., Aarabi, B., Shaffrey, C.I., Badhiwala, J.H., Toups, E.G., and Grossman, R.G. (2018). Natural history, predictors of outcome, and effects of treatment in thoracic spinal cord injury: a multi-center cohort study from the North American Clinical Trials Network. *J. Neurotrauma* 35, 2554–2560.
16. Aimetti, A.A., Kirshblum, S., Curt, A., Mobley, J., Grossman, R.G., and Guest, J.D. (2019). Natural history of neurological improvement following complete (AIS A) thoracic spinal cord injury across three registries to guide acute clinical trial design and interpretation. *Spinal Cord* 57, 753–762.
17. Rahimi-Movaghar, V., Saadat, S., Vaccaro, A.R., Ghodsi, S.M., Samadian, M., Sheykhmozaafari, A., Safdari, S.M., and Keshmirian, B. (2009). The efficacy of surgical decompression before 24 hours versus 24 to 72 hours in patients with spinal cord injury from T1 to L1—with specific consideration on ethics: a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 10, 77.
18. Rahimi-Movaghar, V., Niakan, A., Haghnegahdar, A., Shahlaee, A., Saadat, S., and Barzideh, E. (2014). Early versus late surgical decompression for traumatic thoracic/thoracolumbar (T1-L1) spinal cord injured patients. Primary results of a randomized controlled trial at one year follow-up. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 19, 183–191.
19. Tator, C.H. (2006). Review of treatment trials in human spinal cord injury: issues, difficulties, and recommendations. *Neurosurgery* 59, 957–987.
20. Badhiwala, J.H., Wilson, J.R., Kwon, B.K., Casha, S., and Fehlings, M.G. (2018). A review of clinical trials in spinal cord injury including biomarkers. *J. Neurotrauma* 35, 1906–1917.
21. Kirshblum, S.C., Burns, S.P., Biering-Sorensen, F., Donovan, W., Graves, D.E., Jha, A., Johansen, M., Jones, L., Krassioukov, A., and Mulcahey, M. (2011). International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (revised 2011). *J. Spinal Cord Med.* 34, 535–546.
22. Geisler, F.H., Coleman, W.P., Grieco, G., Poonian, D., and Group, S.S. (2001). The Sygen® multicenter acute spinal cord injury study. *Spine* 26, S87–S98.
23. Du, J.P., Fan, Y., Liu, J.J., Zhang, J.N., Meng, Y.B., Mu, C.C., and Hao, D.J. (2018). Decompression for traumatic thoracic/thoracolumbar incomplete spinal cord injury: application of AO Spine Injury Classification System to identify the timing of operation. *World Neurosurg.* 116, e867–e873.
24. Youseffard, M., Rahimi-Movaghar, V., Baikpour, M., Ghelichkhani, P., Hosseini, M., Jafari, A., Aziznejad, H., and Tafakhori, A. (2017). Early versus late spinal decompression surgery in treatment of traumatic spinal cord injuries; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Emerg. (Tehran)* 5, e37.
25. Bourassa-Moreau, E., Mac-Thiong, J.-M., Li, A., Ehrmann Feldman, D., Gagnon, D.H., Thompson, C., and Parent, S. (2016). Do patients with complete spinal cord injury benefit from early surgical decompression? Analysis of neurological improvement in a prospective cohort study. *J. Neurotrauma* 33, 301–306.
26. El Teclé, N.E., Dahdaleh, N.S., Bydon, M., Ray, W.Z., Torner, J.C., and Hitchon, P.W. (2018). The natural history of complete spinal cord injury: a pooled analysis of 1162 patients and a meta-analysis of modern data. *J. Neurosurg. Spine* 28, 436–443.
27. Kim, M., Hong, S.K., Jeon, S.R., Roh, S.W., and Lee, S. (2018). Early (≤ 48 hours) versus late (> 48 hours) surgery in spinal cord injury: treatment outcomes and risk factors for spinal cord injury. *World Neurosurg.* 118, e513–e525.
28. Ter Wengel, P.V., Martin, E., De Witt Hamer, P.C., Feller, R.E., Van Oortmerssen, J.A.E., Van Der Gaag, N.A., Oner, F.C., and Vandertop, W.P. (2019). Impact of early (< 24 h) surgical decompression on neurological recovery in thoracic spinal cord injury: a meta-analysis. *J. Neurotrauma* 36, 2609–2617.
29. La Rosa, G., Conti, A., Cardali, S., Cacciola, F., and Tomasello, F. (2004). Does early decompression improve neurological outcome of spinal cord injured patients? Appraisal of the literature using a meta-analytical approach. *Spinal Cord* 42, 503–512.
30. Rutges, J.P.H.J., Oner, F.C., and Leenen, L.P.H. (2007). Timing of thoracic and lumbar fracture fixation in spinal injuries: a systematic review of neurological and clinical outcome. *Eur. Spine J.* 16, 579–587.
31. Bötzel, U., Gläser, E., and Niedeggen, A. (1997). The surgical treatment of acute spinal paralysed patients. *Spinal Cord* 35, 420–428.
32. Burns, A.S., Marino, R.J., Flanders, A.E., and Flett, H. (2012). Clinical diagnosis and prognosis following spinal cord injury, in: *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*. Elsevier, pps. 47–62.
33. Scivoletto, G., Morganti, B., and Molinari, M. (2004). Neurologic recovery of spinal cord injury patients in Italy. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 85, 485–489.
34. Ditunno, J., Little, J., Tessler, A., and Burns, A. (2004). Spinal shock revisited: a four-phase model. *Spinal Cord* 42, 383–395.

Cite this article as: Haghnegahdar A, Behjat R, Saadat S, Badhiwala J, Farrokhi MR, Niakan A, Eghbal K, Barzideh E, Shahlaee A, Ghaffarpasand F, Ghodsi Z, Vaccaro AR, Sadeghi-Naini M, Fehlings MG, Guest JD, Derakhshan P, Rahimi-Movaghar V (2020) A randomized controlled trial of early versus late surgical decompression for thoracic and thoracolumbar spinal cord injury in 73 patients, *Neurotrauma Reports* 1:1, 78–87, DOI:10.1089/neur.2020.00027.

Abbreviations Used

AIS	= American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale
AMS	= ASIA motor score
AOSICS	= AO Spine Injury Classification System
ASIA	= American Spinal Injury Association
ATLS	= Advanced Trauma Life Support
CI	= confidence interval
CSF	= cerebrospinal fluid
GCS	= Glasgow Coma Scale
ICU	= intensive care unit
IPD	= individual patient data
ISNCS	= International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury
ITT	= intention-to-treat
MRI	= magnetic resonance imaging
OR	= odds ratio
RCT	= randomized controlled trial
RR	= recovery rate
SCI	= spinal cord injury
SD	= standard deviation
STASCIS	= Surgical Timing in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study
T1–L1	= from T1 to L1

Publish in *Neurotrauma Reports*



- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous peer review
- Compliance with open access mandates
- Authors retain copyright
- Highly indexed
- Targeted email marketing

liebertpub.com/neur

