Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title THE RELATION OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS TO RESPIRATION

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4fx6k60t>

Authors

Weigl, J.W. Warrington, P.M. Calvin, M.

Publication Date 1950-07-20

UCRL- 811 UNCLASSIFIED

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division. Ext. 5545

RADIATION LABORATORY

UCRL-811 Unclassified Distribution

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

The Relation of Photosynthesis to Respiration

J.W. Weigl, **P.M.** Warrington, and **M.** Calvin

July 20, **1950**

Berkeley, California

No, of Copies

t.

INSTALLATION:

Argonne National Laboratory 8 hed Forces Special Ieapons Project 1 Atomic Energy Commission - Washington 2
Battelle Memorial Institute 1 Battelle Memorial Institute 1 (1999) 1 (19 Brush Beryllium Company 1
Brookhaven National Laboratory 4 Brookhaven National Laboratory 4 Bureau of Medicine and Surgery **1**
Bureau of Ships **1** bureau of Medicine and Surgery
Bureau of Ships 1 Carbide **and** Carbon Chemicals Division **(K-25** Plant) 4 Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Division (Y-12 Plant) 4
Chicago Operations Office 1 Chicago Operations Office
 Columbia University (J, R, Dunning) 1

Columbia University (G, Failla) 1 Columbia University (G_e Failla) 1
Dow Chemical Company 1 **Dow Chemical Company 1**
 H. K. Ferguson Company 1 **H, K, Ferguson Company 1**
 General Electric Richland 3 General Electric, Richland 3
Harshaw Chemical Corporation 3 Harshaw Chemical Corporation **1** Idaho Operations Office 1

Iowa State College 2 Iowa State College 2 Kansas City Operations Branch 1 Kellex Corporation 2

Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory 4 Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory 4.

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory 6.6 (1996) 7.5 (1996) 7.6 (1997) 7.6 (1997) 7.6 (1997) 7.6 (1997) 7.6 (1997) Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory 3

Mallinckrodt Chemical Works 1 Exallex Corporation

Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

Mallinckrodt Chemical Works

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (A. Gaudin) 1 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (A. Gaudin) 1
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (A. R. Kaufmann) 1
Mound Ishoratory 3 Mound Laboratory . 3 National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics 1
National Bureau of Standards 2008 National Bureau of Standards 3

Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory 3 Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory 2
New Brunswick Laboratory 2 New Brunswick Laboratory 1
New York Operations Office 1986 New York Operations Office 3
North American Aviation Inc. 3 North American Aviation, Inc.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

B Oak Ridge National Laboratory 6 (1999) 8 (Patent Branch (Washington) 1
Rand Corporation 1 Rand Corporation 1

Sandia Corporation 1 Sandia Corporation 1

Santa Fe Operations Office 2 Santa Fe Operations Office

2 Sylvania Electric Products. Inc.

2 1 Sylvania Electric Products, Inc.
Technical Information Division (Oak Ridge) 15 **Technical Information Division (Oak Ridge) 15

USAF**, Air Surgeon (Lt. Col. R. H. Blount) 1 USAF, Air Surgeon (Lt. Col. R. H. Blount) 1

USAF Director of Armament (Captain C I Browne) 1 **USAF,** Director of Armament (captain C, I, Browne) 1 USAF, Director of Research and Development (Col, R, J, Mason, Fred **W,** Bruner) 2 USAF, Eglin Air Force Base (Major A. C. Field) 1
USAF Kirtland Air Force Base (Col Marcus F. Cooper) 1 USAF, Kirtland Air Force Base (Col, Marcus F, Cooper) 1

USAF Maxwell Air Force Base (Col F N Movers) 1 USAF, Maxwell Air Force Base (Col, F, N, Moyers) 1
USAF NEPA Office 2 USAF, NEPA Office 2

USAF Office of Atomic Energy (Col H C Donelly A A Fickel) 2 USAF, Office of Atomic Energy (Col.H.C. Donelly, A.A. Fickel) 2
USAF, Offutt Air Force Base (Col. H. R. Sullivan. Jr.) USAF, Offutt Air Force Base (Col, H, **R,** Sullivan, **Jr,)** 1 USAF, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (Rodney Nudenberg)

 $-2-$

ROBERT COMMENT

INSTALLATION No. of Copies

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ $\sim 10^{-10}$ $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{q}_0) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{q})$

> \sim $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{c}}$ $\overline{}$

> > \ldots .

ή÷

 λ

 \mathbb{C}^*

 $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ V. \bar{x}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{c}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{c}}) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{c}})$

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$

 $\zeta \rightarrow$

 $\lambda_{1,1} \neq \lambda_{1,1}$.

 \mathbb{R}^2

TOTAL 139

ЦЧ.

t se de l'autres le les les des parlements de les ses
Le parlement de la production de la produc

 $\bar{\beta}$

 \sim

 \mathcal{L} $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{in}}$

 \mathcal{L}

 $\hat{\vec{r}}$

 \lesssim

Information Division Radiation Laboratory $\overline{}$ University of California Berkeley, California

 $\ddot{}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\ddot{}$ $\ddot{\cdot}$ \overline{a}

UCRL-811 ABSTRACT

The Relation of Photosynthesis to Respiration¹ J.W. Weigl², P.M. Warrington³ and M. Calvin Radiation Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California,

Berkeley, California

ABSTRACT

July 20, 1950

The gas exchange by barley leaves of **oxygen,** carbon dioxide, and added radiocarbon dioxide has been measured in a closed system, with the following results:

1. Carbon dioxide follows different but not necessarily independent paths in photosynthesis and light respiration.

2, The carbon of newly formed photosynthetic intermediates is not available for respiration while the light is on, but becomes immediately respirable in the dark, The enhancement of dark respfratfon after a light period is largely due to built-up "photosynthates".

3. Photosynthesis proceeds at a measureable rate even at the lowest **CO_p** pressures observed (0.03 mm. Hg). There is no evidence for a "threshold" concentration of carbon dioxide for the reaction; at the lowest concentrations reached, respiration exaetly equals assimilation,

4. The mean rate of respiratory $CO₂$ evolution in strong light was found to be less than that **in** the dark, Internal re-photosynthesis of respiratory

-

Gerat Car

3 Present address: Bechtel Gorporatfo~, San Francisco, California

¹ The work described in this paper was sponsored by the Atomic Energy Commission,

² Present address: School of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota

CPT $R1$ **ABSTRACT**

 $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}$

carbon may have been sufficient to account for this effect.

 $\begin{array}{l} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{$

 $\ddot{}$

5. The assimilation of $c^{1/4}$ ₀ is about 17% slower than that of c^{12} ₀₂.

The Relation of Photosynthesis to Respiration¹

J.W. Weigl², P.M. Warrington³ and M. Calvin

Radiation Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California

r dili

Berkeley, California

July 20, 1950

The relation between photosynthesis and yespiration **in** green plants is, to date, inadequately understood. Until recently, it was not even certain whether, in the light, there occurs any respiratory evolution of carbon dioxide .simultaneously with the assimilation of carbon dioxide from the air, or whether, perhaps, the path of carbon in photosynthesis is merely the reverse of that in respiration, The reason for this uncertainty is that the net overall reactions which may be written for these two processes are opposite:

> Photosynthesis: $CO_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow CH_2O$ + O_2 Respiration: $0_2 + (CH_2 0) \longrightarrow H_2 0 + CO_2$

where (CH₂O) represents carbohydrates, which are typical photosynthetic products and respiratory substrates,

Various attempts have been made by a number of investigators to distinguish between these simultaneous and opposite reactions, and to

3 Present address: Bechtei Corporation, **Sm** Frmcfseo, CaPiforrAa

-5-

¹ The work described in this paper was sponsored by the Atomic Energy Commission.

² Present address: School of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, M3nneapolis, Minnesota

商品、高温高速的

measure the rate of respiration in the light. These have involved the "selective" poisoning of one of the reactions $(4,5,6)$, the inhibition of photosynthesis alone by removal of the carbon dioxide supply (7,8,9), the variation of temperatwe (LO), **of light** f ntenslty and color (ll,l2,13,14)

- 4 Gaffron, H. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 7, 377 (1939) Biochem. Z 292, 24 (1937)
- *6* Myers, J. and Burr, G.O., J.Gen.Physiol. 24, 45 (1940)
6 Fockler, H., Jahrb.wiss.Botan. <u>85</u>, 267 (1938)
-
- McAlister, E.D. and Myers, J., Smithson.Miscell.Coll. 99, No.6 (1940) 7
- Gabrielsen, $E_{s}K_{s}$, Nature $\underline{163}$, 359 (1949) 8
- 9 Warburg, $0_{.9}$ Burk, $D_{.9}$ Schocken, $V_{.9}$ Korzenovsky, M. and Hendricks, S.B. Arch.Biochem. 23, 330 (1949)
- 10 Noddack, W. and Kopp, C., Z.physik.Chem. (\mathbf{A}) , 187, 79 (1940)
- **191 Emerson,** R, and Lewis, C,M,, AmoJoBoto *3,* **165** *(1943)*
- 12 Moore, W.E. and Duggar, B.M. in PHOTOSYNTHESIS IN PLANTS (ed. by Franck, J. and Loomis, W.E.), Iowa State Col. Press. Ames, 1949
(Chapter 11).
- 13 Kok, B. Enzymologia XIII, 1 (1947). Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, **<u>J</u>**, 625 (1949)

14 van der **Veen,** R, Physfol, Plant, 2, **21fll9199)**

and finally, a study of respiration rates in the dark, subsequent to periods of illumination,

In general, the poisoning and low-carbon dioxide experiments yielded equal values for respiration in light and darkness. Kok **(13)** and van der Teen *(u),* on the other hand, observed a sharp decrease in the slope of the curve of gas exchange rg light intensity, not far above the</u> compensation point; unfortunately, this could be interpreted either as a light-caused decrease **io** respiratory rate at low bight intensities, or else as an enhancement at higher illuminations,

Extensive studies of dark respiration as a function of pre-treatment have revealed two effects, both of which often increase dark respiration after exposure of plants to the light: first, a direct stimulation, mainly due to violet and ultraviolet light absorbed by pigments other than chlorophyll $(11,15,16,17)$; second, a mass action enhancement, due to the avail-. ability of recently assimilated products for respiration. The latter effect, first found by Borodin (18) was usually found to be strongest after active photosynthesis in plenty of carbon dioxide $-$ that is, under conditions where new respirable compounds have been rapidly synthesized $(19,20,21)$.

- 15 Parija, **Po** and Saran, A,B,, Am,Bot, **a,** *34'7* 91934)
- **16** Montfort, C. and Fockler, H., Planta 28, 515 (1938)
- 17 Gessner, F,, Plants 23, *265* (1939)

 $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\$

- 18 Borodin, I., Mem.Acad.Imp.Sci.(St.Petersburg). VIII, 28, 1 (1881)
- Weintraub, $R L_{0.9}$ Botan. Rev. 10, 383 (1944) 19
- 20 Rabinowitch, E.I., PHOTOSYNTHESIS, Vol.1, Interscience Publ., New York, **1945 (Chapter 20).**
- 21 Mothes, K., Baatz, I., and Sagronsky, H., Planta 30, 289 (1939)

 $-7-$

UCRI-811

None of these investigations have conclusively answered the following fundamental questions:

1. Are the enzymatic paths of carbon in photosynthesis and respiration closely linked? For instance, are recently photosynthesized compounds available for respiration while the light is on?

2, Assuming the processes to be independent, what is the rate of respiration during the photosynthesis of normal plants?

The availability of tracer carbon-14 has made it possible, at least in principle, to answer these questions, One way to do this is to allow leaves to photosynthesize in radioactive carbon dioxide in a closed system and to follow continuously, by means of non-destructive methods of analysis, the concentrations of radioactive and inactive carbon dioxide in the gas phase, If simultaneous photosynthesis and respiration are different reactions, at least the initial respiratory carbon dioxide will be inactive; the rate of reduction of the original specific activity of the radioactive carbon dioxide supplied should be a quantitative measure of the rate of respiration,

Since in these experiments we have been mainly concerned with the exchange of carbon dioxide in the gas phase, we have used the following terminology:

Photosynthesiss - Assimilation of carbon dioxide from the gas. Respiration: - Evolution of carbon dioxide into the gas. These definitions imply that even in strong light all respired carbon leaves the cells as carbon dioxide, is mixed with the entire gas

phase, and can only then be re-assimilated, Hence, the quantitative evaluation of the rate of respiration in the light will yield, not the total rate, but only that fraction of' it which actually appears in the atmosphere as carbon dioxide,

EXPERIMENTAL

General. -- Fig. 1 shows the apparatus used. At the beginning of a run, the green leaves of one to two week old barley shoots (22) were cut, moistened **well,** and placed into a **flat** glass chamber measurfng **46x13de5** cm. (231, This was closed and darkened, the entire system **evacuated** and filled with the desired gas mixture (containing radioactive carbon dioxide) to about 500 mm. pressure, the partial vacuum being necessary to hold the chamber together. A rubber tubing pump (24) took a continuous sample of the gas in the chamber and recycled it through a series of three instruments: an ionization chamber to measure radioactive carbon dioxide; an infrared carbon dioxide analyzer; and a paramagnetic - type oxygen analyzer, Within less than a minute the sample **was** returned to the plant chamber, the flow rate being over **500** ec/min, After two to five

²⁴Weigl, J.W. and StalUngs, **D** ,M, Rev,Scient ,Inst,, 2, 395 **(1950**

 Q_{∞}

²² Variety Sacramento, kindly supplied by the Division of Plant Nutrition, University of California

²³Aronoff, **So,** Benson, **A,,** Hassi d, W,Z, and Calvin **M,, Science** u, 664 (1947)

minutes required for the initial mixing, all instrument readings became steady and meaningful. Time lags between the individual instruments were carefully checked and found to be less than half a minute; the resnlts obtained **were** furthermore shown to be independent of the sequence of instruments in the circuit.

 \sim The components of the system were connected by about three meters of 5 mm. i.d. Tygon tubing. The rate of carbon dioxide diffusion through this and through the rubber tubing pump was measured and found to be about 0.38 ml. carbon dioxide (S.T.P.)/hr/meter of tubing/atmosphere of carbsn dioxide, In ow experiments **this** amounted to about **O,l\$** of a typical rate of photosynthesis and was hence negligible. A 25 cm. x 1 cm. tube filled with calcium chloride and calcium sulfate was used to dry the gas on its **way** to the instruments, and through the rubber tubing pump was measured and found to be
 0.38 m], carbon dioxide (S.T.F.)/hr/meter of tubing/atmosphere of

ndioxide, In our experiments this amounted to about 0.1% of a

al rete of photosynthesi

A plant chamber **was** immersed in a **tank** sf cooling water, whole temperature remained constant within f^n ⁰; infrared filters were placed in the 5 cm, of water covering the chamber. A bank of spotlights provided between 7,000 and 14,000 f.c. from above; a sheet of aluminum foil reflected some of this light from underneath.

Determination of Radioactivity. -- Radioactive carbon dioxide was measured continuously by means of an ionization chamber and a Lindemann-Ryerson electrometer, the latter being used as a null instrument. The circuit of Janney and Moyer (25) was modified (26) so as to yield the

²⁵Janney, COD, and Evloyer, BJ, **Rev,Sei,Xnst,** *3, 667 (1948)* 26 We are indebted to Dr. C.D. Janney for much valuable aid and advice.

 $-10-$

instantaneous value of the ionization current as the product of a decade resistance (27) and of a current which could be recorded continuously on the chart of an Esterline-Angus milliammeter. Thus, radioactivity was measured in f'millivolts'. By its nature, the circuit was linear, and subject to the same per cent error over a range equal to ten thousand. times the full scale of the ammeter. The sensitivity of the apparatus was limited by that of the electrometer (about **1000** div,/volt), background being well below this level.

^Alarge (100 oe,) and a small (10 oc .) ionization chamber were used in different experiments. Each was shown to respond linearly to increments of radioactive carbon dioxide at constant total pressure **(25,28);** the time required for 905% response to a sudden large change in radioactive carbon dioxide contents was about six seconds, respectively thirty seconds "Memory" effects due to the adsorption of radioactive carbon dioxide on the brass walls were shown to be negligible (< **.05\$)** after five **minutes** or less. Troublesome "leakage currents" (giving large apparent "background" readings) were eliminated by the use of Teflon insullators,

The linearity of both chambers and the electrometer, as well **as** "memory effectst' were critically checked in a special experiment, **A** photosynthetic run was started, using the 100 cc. chamber on the Lindemann

28 Weigl, J,1., Unpublished data

 $-11-$

²⁷ Leeds and Northrup decade resistance box, 1-999 ohms; for very large ionization currents, 10,000 ohm precision wire-wound resistors were added in **series,**

lus coñ a

electrometer; after 9% of the radioactivity had been used by the plants, the small chamber, mounted on a sensitive vibrating reed electrometer (29,30) was cut into the circuit. After this, both ionization chambers and electrometers operated in tandem for three hours over a 20-fold range of radioactivity, Their readings were strictly proportional, Unless all four pieces of apparatus had compensating errors, this ahawed **all** to have linear response in the range used in our kinetic experiments and furthermore, demonstrated that the large chamber $^{\mathfrak{m}}$ remembered" less than 0.05% of its initial radioactivity,

Carbon Dioxide Analyzer, -- A selective-detecter infrared gas analyzer of the general type developed by Luft (31) and modified by Eltenton, Pompeo and Smith (32) was used to measure carbon dioxide (33). Infrared radiation was passed through the sample cell into a detector chamber filled with pure carbon dioxide. Carbon dioxide in the "unknown" cell took out a certain amount of the radiation corresponding to its characteristic spectrum (mainly at 4.3 **p9 some** at **2,7 p)\$** whatever was left of the **SSght** sf **these wave** lengths **was** completely absorbed by the carbon dioxide **in** the detector, which, as a result was warmed and expanded slightly, When the light **was** shut **off,** the gas cooled and contracted, The radiation was pulsed at **I20** cycles

32 Bompeo, **D,J,** and **Smith V,,** lieport **8% the** Gordon **Researoh** Conference **of** the A,A.A,S, **August** 1949,

33 **Drs,** Otto Bee& and **D,J,** Pompeo of the Shell Devehpment **Co,, Emeryville,** California, were kind enough to let **us;** copy an early model of their instrument; this was further modified to improve its sensitivity.

 $-12-$

and set up a 120-cycle acoustical signal in the detector, whose amplitude was a measure of the amount of carbon dioxide in the sample cell, and which could be picked up by means of a condenser microphone. A similar optical and acoustical train, whose sample cell was filled with nitrogen, provided a standard signal. The two were balanced continuously on a Brown Electronik recording potentiometer,

The instrument was calibrated **by** means of previously analyzed mixtures of carbon dioxide and nitrogen. Two tanks were used: one of them contained nitrogen, the other a nitrogen-carbon dfox5de mixture corresponding to the full-scale percentage of carbon dioxide desired **Q4,@** respectively 0.755 carbon dioxide), Parallel streams of these **gases** were measured by calibrated rotameters (34) and flushed through the sample cell. The gas stream **was** now partially exhausted to about 100 mm,, 200 mm., and 300 mm. vacuum (measured to f **l** mm. Hg by a differential manometer) and readings were taken at each pressure, The whole procedure was repeated for six or seven other **gas** mixtures, In order to eliminate possible systematic errors due to the flowmeter technique, a few mixtures were prepared by mixing gases to known partial pressures, in large, exhausted flasks,

Within experimental error, check points obtained in this way fell on the curves plotted from the flowmeter data, **The** respcnse time of the instrument was about fifteen seconds, the time required to sweep out the 80 ec. volume with new gases, Readings **were** mt affected by the **rate** of

 $-13-$

³⁴ Manufactured by Fischer and Porter Co,, Hatboro, Pa,; calibrations were re-checked periodically,

gas flow.

Fig. 2 shows calibration curves valid at atmospheric pressure and at 255 mm. (10 in.) Hg vacuum. The strong pressure broadening effect can be related to perturbations of carbon dioxide molecular vibrations by inert molecules (35). The curves were valid within \pm 1 division below 2 mm. and, at most, \pm 2 divisions above 2 mm. partial pressure. Calibrations were stable over periods of a week or more; they were rechecked before each major photosynthetic experiment.

Water vapor has an absorption band near 2.6 μ which overlaps the carbon dioxide spectrum by some $4\frac{1}{2}$, and it is hence "seen" by the carbon dioxide in the detector cells to that extent. The calcium chloride-dried gas, however, contained only 0.3 mm. Hg of water, which was seen as a maximum of 0.01 mm. of carbon dioxide by the gas analyzer; this figure was **just** within experimental **error** in our most sensitive experiments, It is **a** curious fact (36) that the infrared spectra of $C^{12}O_2$ and $C^{14}O_2$ overlap only very slightly, if at all; as a result, the CO_2 -analyzer measured only Vinaetive%nd not **"total"** carbon dioxide, Drift and similar phenomena associated with the CO_{2} - analyzer were the main experimental difficulties encountered in this investigation.

Oxygen Analyzer, -- The Pading Meter *(37')* consists of a small mag-

 $-14-$

netic torsion balance, whose position depends on the volume magnetic susceptibility of the gas in its sample **cell,** Since axygen is the only common paramagnetic gas, the instrument can be calibrated directly in terms of partial pressure of oxygen. The particular instrument used in certain of ow experiments had a fzfrly linear range from **0** to 100 mm, Hg and could be read to \pm 0.2 mm. Hg (38).

Results. -- Experiments were started in the dark in order to have a minimum of change occurring during the initial mixing of gases. After this, light and dark periods were alternated as desired. Oxygen pressures were read directly; radioactive and inactive CO_2 readings were automatically recorded; they were read off the charts after each experiment and converted to "millivolts of radioactivity", respectively partial pressure of carbon dioxide. For convenience, the relative specific activity (39) at the start of the experiment was set arbitrarily equal to unity; this enabled us to calculate and plot "partial pressure of $C^{1/4}O_{2}$ " to the same scale as the inactive c^{12} ₀, For certain calculations it was necessary to use the absolute specific activity of the CO_2 ; this was obtained by multiplying the initial fraction of isotope $(1.1\%$ in Barley $1/4$, 4.75% in Barley 28) by the relative specific activity.

Although a number of similar experiments was performed, the behavior of the plants was most cleaTly shown in Barley *U* and 28, Others suffered

38 Calibrated by the manplfaeturer, Arnold **0,** Beckman **Co,,** Pasadena, Calif, $\texttt{Relative specific activity} \equiv 0^{14} \texttt{o}_2 \texttt{ / } (0^{14} \texttt{o}_2 * 0^{12} \texttt{o}_2)$ mm/mm.

 $-15-$

5857 en

TABLE **1**

Experiment	$14 - 1$	$14 - 2$	$14 - 3$	$28 - 1$	$28 - 2$		
Barley age (days)	8	\mathbf{g}	\mathbf{g}	14	14		
Fresh Weight	20	20	20	(15)	(15)		
Pretreatment before light	L 24 h.	L 40 m.	L 15 m _o	L 30 mo	L 135 m.		
	D 40 m.	D 65 m.	D 70 m.	D 70 m.	D 80 m.		
Initial $^{P}CO_{2}$, mm. Hg	17	3.6		4.2	1.16		
$P_{\rm{C}_{\rm{O}}}$ ₂ mm. Hg	(10)	(23)		39.9	49.0		
$% c^{1/4}$ ₀ in $co2$	1.1	0.14		4.75	0,23		
Vacuum, \pm 5 mm. Hg	255	255		244	$215 + 13$		
Temperature, \pm 1°C.	22	22		14.5	15.5		
Illumination, f.c.	(7000)	(7000)		14,000	14,000		
Experimental Conditions. ∞ Experiment $14-1$, -2 and -3 refer to the three photosynthetic periods included in Barley 14; similarly for Barley 28-1 and -2 . Under pretreatment, L = light, D = dark, h. = hours, m. = minutes.							

Experiment	Time min.	P_{0_2} (av.) mmo Hg	Dark Respiration mm. $CO_2/min. x 100$	Pre-Treatment*			
Barley 14	$0 - 20$	(10)	9.8	20 m. D			
	60	(27)	10.6	40 m. L $(15$ m. SS)			
	65		7.6	5 m. D			
	$70 - 85$		6,6	10 m. D			
	100	(24)	5.2	40 m. D 4. 成立福			
	110		4.4	50 m. D			
	120		3.0	60 m. D			
	140	(27)	9.6	15 m. L $(5$ m. SS)			
	145		6.8	5 m _o D			
	150		6.4	10 m. D			
	160-210	(26)	5.0	20 m. D			
	225-310	(27)	$6.0*$	15 m. L $(2m. SS)$			
Barley 28	$0 - 20$	40	1.45	50 m. D			
	155-205	$44 - 48$	1.28	135 m. L $(75$ m. SS)			
	230-235	49	1.91	75 m. D			
	275-280	53	1.85	40 m. L (10 m. SS)			
	280-300	53	1.68	5 m. D			
Dark respiration. * L = light, D = dark, SS = steady state, at limiting 00 pressure. \bullet obtained by sweeping out co_2 and weighing barium carbonate.							

TABLE I1

TABLE **III**

+obtained by flushing out $C^{1,4}O_2$ with nitrogen, precipitating and counting as BaC¹⁴O₃. Precision of Measurements.

from various experimental difficulties; however, in no case did they contradict the conclusions drawn from these two runs. In view of the con- 3.44 3.4 ones. In Table III maximum reasonable errors are estimated; these are approximately twice the "probable errors".

Discussion. -- Fig. 3 shows the changes in $({\rm CO}_2)$ and $({\rm C}^{14}{\rm O}_2)$ which took place in Barley $\frac{1}{4}$. At time zero, a uniform gas mixture containing about 17 mm. $\overline{CO_2}$ (1.1% $\overline{C}^{1/4}$) and 10 mm. O_2 was present in the system. The plants respired inactive $CO₂$ in the dark, thus reducing the specific activity. When the light was turned on the specific activity first continued dropping due to induction effects, then rose to approximately 1.2 times its initial value, or 1.3 times the minimum which had occurred about time **25.** The fact that this peak was some **LO\$** higher even than the specific activity of the original c^{14} ₀ left no explanations other than an isotope effect $(40,41)$. Finally, the continuous respiratory evolution of inactive $CO₂$ surpassed the photosynthetic isotope concentration and quickly reduced the specific activity to a very low value. Photosynthesis

4l Weigl, Jew, and Calvin, **Me,** J,Chem.Phys, 3, 210 (1949)

⁴⁰ This isotope discrimination has been observed in six experiments of this type as well as in three sampling runs and one experiment in which algae were grown from $C^{12}O_2$ containing $C^{13}O_2$ and $C^{14}O_2$. After about 70% of this mixture had been assimilated, the algae were found to be about 75% depleted in C^{13} and 20% in C^{14} , as compared to the remaining $CO₂$. The effect has not been demonstrated, as yet, by direct sampling experiments using a mass spectrometer.

was CO₂-limited below about 1 mm. partial pressure and became exactly equal to respiration when the only carbon dioxide avaflable for assimilation was provided by respiration. There was no evidence for a CO_2 "threshold" for photosynthesis *(8,42* ,

After ten minutes, at this steady state, the lights were turned off. Both radioactive and inactive carbon dioxide were evolved immediately, and after five minutes, in a constant ratio; as a result, the specific activity of tha gas rose, then ~emafned constant over long periods of time, **This** means that in the dark recently assimilated radioactive compounds became immediately respirable in a constant ratio to the evolution of "dead" CO_{2} , whereas they were not respirable while the light was still on.

The light was **now** turned on again; at low **@02** pressures the respiratory dilution overtook the isotope effect more quickly and the specific activity did not actually rise, but merely failed to drop inmediately, Shortly after the steady state was reached, the lights were turned off once more and the specific activity found to rise again, to a slightly lower level than that observed after the first light period, As a check on the instruments, this procedure **was** repeated once more; this time, after the lfghts were turned off, the entire system was swept for 85 minutes with tank nitrogen (containing about 4 mm . of 0_2) through a sodium hydroxide bubbler. The resultant earbonate was precipitated as barium carbonate and counted **by** means of a Geiger counter; when its specific activity was converted to ionization chamber units, it was found again to be slightly lower than the preceding

42 Gabrielsen, $E_{s}K_{s}$, Nature $\underline{161}_{s}$, 138 (1948)

 $-20-$

level; the average rate of respiration was close to that of preceding dark periods (see Table II and III).

The steady level of specific activity in the dark was found to be roughly inversely proportional to the total light period from the time the first Tnajor assimilation of radio carbon dioxide took place, **This** merely signified that the photosynthetic intermediates were transformed into nonrespirable products much more **quickly** in the light than in the dark.

We have usually found that after a period of intense photosynthesis in the presence of plenty of $CO₂$ the dark respiration rate is enhanced by factors of two to three or more for periods varying from 10 - 200 minutes. (for example, see TabLe IS, Barley **q)** , **On** the other hand, in a couple of other experiments (e.g. Barley 28) this temporary rise did not appear; in these cases the plants had been kept in the light at the low, steady-state pressure of $CO₂$ for long periods of time.

One may interpret all thsse results in terms of the mass action effect first suggested by Borodin (18) ; the building-up of photosynthetic intermediates, which become respirable in the dark, If the plants are kept in the light with little $CO₂$ for long periods, these intermediates are transformed further into more stable structural and storage materials and are no longer readily ava5lable for the enhancement of respiration. **This** reasoning might lead one to expect no rise in the specific activity after such a long period of light and **low** carbon **dioxide** pressure. Barley 28 @ig, 4)shows a striking case of this *(431,*

 $-21-$

⁴³ Note, however, that in this experiment at time **275** the specific activity did rise as usual, after a short period at low **C02** pressure.

Photosynthetic and respiratory quotients were computed in a number of experiments in which the Pauling meter was included among the instruments. Both quotients were quite variable, even within a given experiment; over long periods of time, they usually averaged about unity. **A** remarkably fast uptake of oxygen was nsually observed for five to ten minutes after the light was turned off; this was about three to ten times as fast as the steady oxygen absorption rate later on and two to five times the initial enhancement of CO_2 evolution. The effect was increased by long periods at low CO_2 pressures; in view of, this and of the high light intensities prevailing, this phenomenon may well have been evidence of photo-oxidation **(201,** which probably had been going on even faster in the light (44) .

In Barley 28 alone, to date, has the precision of the data justified a detailed kinetic analysis to evaluate the rate of "light respiration". Unfortunately, the isotope effect introduces a third variable, in addition to the photosynthetic and respiratory rates (45). This makes an explicit solution impossible; however, one can choose a very sensitive function of these three parameters and try to fit it to the experimentally determined values. The function chosen was the time rate of change of specific activity, the **ex**pression for which is the same no matter how photosynthesis depends on CO_{2} . pressure (Michaelis type or mass action kinetics of any order). It **could** be

44 This point might be worth checking by means of tracer oxygen. **45** This could be avoided **by** feeding fnaetfve **GO2** to uniformly labeled plants.

 $-22-$

n i sa serientite
Serienti del sue central

derived from the following basic equations:

$$
d/dt(c^{12}o_2) = R(1 - c) - k (c^{12}o_2)
$$

$$
d/dt(c^{14}o_2) = Rc - kU(c^{14}o_2)
$$

where R is the rate of Light; respiration, **k** the rate constant for the assimilation of G^{120} ₂, U the isotope utilization factor (ratio of k $\frac{1}{2}h^2 + h^2 = \frac{1}{2}h^2$ for **C=4Q2,** to **k** for CLOZ], c the specific activity **if** the respiratory carbon (very small), and s the isotope ratio in the gaseous CO_2 . The resultant equation was:

$$
ds/dt = \frac{1}{c^{12}O_2} \qquad d/dt \ (c^{14}O_2) \frac{U-1}{U} - Rs(1-c) + \frac{Rc}{U}
$$

┏

A family of curves of **this function** was plotted against time for various values of R an6 **U** *(46);* the **ones** which most closely matched the experimental curve corresponded to $U = 0.83 \pm .03$ and $R = 0.5 \pm .1$ times the preceding dark respiration. (These results were roughly confirmed by plotting the rate of gas exchange $\underline{v}\underline{s}$. CO_2 pressure and extrapolating to the ordinate,) Roweverg the rate of respiration **was** by no means constant over the period of illumination; initially, at high **C02** mmentrations, **it** appeared to **be** faster even than dark respiration, whereas it dropped well below half the dark rate at subsequent low CO_{2}

46 This treatment was justified by the fact that ds/dt was affected mainly by U at first and by R later on; the value of c was not critical.

pressures. In judging the significance of these figures one must remember that they were obtained by gas phase measurements alone; it is entirely possibls that the observed depression of total respiration was merely due to a quick re-assimilation of respiratory carbon before it had a chance to leave the cells. This effect and similar diffusion limitations would be expected to reduce external gas exchange most drastically at low $CO₂$ pressures; our experimental evidence is in accord with this view. However, quite independent experiments of a different type (47) indicate that light inhibits the appearance of newly assimilated carbon in the respiratory intermediates, It would thus appear that at least some of the observed gas exchange affects are indeed the result of interference by the light in intracellular chemistry.

The authors are indebted to Prof, C, Ouellet for some valuable discussions and for his assistance in several experiments.

SUMMARY

The gas exchange by barley leaves of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and added radiocarbon dioxide has been measured in a closed system, with the following **~esults 8**

1. Carbon dioxide follows different but not necessarily independent paths in photosynthesis and light respiration,

47 Benson, AoA, and Calvin, M., J.Fxpe.Botany, Jan, 1950, **2, 63.**

 $-24-$

2. The carbon of newly formed photosynthetic intermediates is not available for respiration whfle the light is on, but becomes immediately respirable in the dark, The enhancement of dark respiration after a light period is largely due to built-up "photosynthates".

3. Photosynthesis proceeds at a measureable rate even at the lowest CO₂ pressures observed (0.03 mm. Hg). There is no evidence for a "threshold" concentration of carbon dioxide for the reaction; at the lowest concentrations reached, respiration exactly equals assimilation.

4. The mean rate of respiratory $CO₂$ evolution in strong light was found to be less than that in the dark, Internal re-photosynthesis of respiratory carbon may have been sufficient to account for this effect.

5. The assimilation of $C^{1/4}O_2$ is about 17% slower than that of $C^{1/2}O_2$.

у.

DIAGRAM OF APPARATUS

FIG. 2 CO₂ ANALYZER CALIBRATION CURVE, USED FOR BARLEY 28

FIG. 3 EXPERIMENT 14

