

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

MBEW-4 Experiments with Bright Cesium⁺ Beams

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4dz2j660>

Author

Fessenden, T.J.

Publication Date

1991-08-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA


Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

Presented at the Symposium on High-Brightness Beams for
Advanced Accelerator Application, College Park, MD,
June 6-7, 1991, and to be published in the Proceedings

MBE-4 Experiments with Bright Cesium⁺ Beams

T.J. Fessenden

August 1991



1 LOAN COPY 1
1 Circulates 1
1 for 4 weeks 1

Bldg. 50 Library.
Copy 2

LBL-31186

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

HIFAN-521
LBL-31186

MBE-4 Experiments with Bright Cesium⁺ Beams*

Thomas J. Fessenden
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,
Berkeley, CA 94720

August 1991

* Work supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Advanced Energy Projects Division, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

MBE-4 EXPERIMENTS WITH BRIGHT CESIUM⁺ BEAMS^{†*}

T.J. Fessenden

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California

SUMMARY

Since 1985 the Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research program at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory has been studying current amplification and emittance variations in MBE-4, a four-cesium-beam ion induction linac. This experiment models much of the accelerator physics of the electrostatically focused section of a fusion driver. Four space-charge dominated Cs⁺ beams, initially about one meter in length at currents of 5-10 mA, are focused by electrostatic quadrupoles and accelerated in parallel from approximately 200 keV up to one MeV by 24 accelerating gaps. Final currents of 20-40 mA per beam are typical. Recent experiments with extremely low emittance beams ($\epsilon_n = 0.03 \pi$ mm-mRad) have investigated variations of transverse and longitudinal normalized emittance for drifting and accelerating beams. Experiments show that very cold ($\sigma_0 = 72^\circ, \sigma = 6^\circ$), off-axis or poorly matched beams increase transverse emittance when drifted or accelerated through these MBE-4 apparatus. Only by carefully centering and matching the beams can acceleration at constant normalized emittance be achieved. Warmer beams with less tune depression exhibit little to no emittance growth and show smaller emittance fluctuations when off axis or mis-matched.

INTRODUCTION

The Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research Program (HIFAR) at LBL is assessing the multiple-beam induction linac as a inertial fusion driver. In this concept multiple parallel beams of heavy ions are continually amplified in current and in voltage as they are accelerated to the parameters required to ignite an inertial fusion target (≈ 10 GeV, 500 TW, 10 ns). Control of the lengths of the beam bunches during the acceleration process is one of the key beam dynamics issues of this approach to a fusion driver. The accelerating waveforms must be carefully shaped to shorten the bunch length and to control longitudinal space charge forces while accelerating the beams. Small acceleration errors, particularly troublesome at low beam energies, can lead to current spikes and beam spill and/or to unacceptable increases in beam emittance. A necessary consequence of current-amplifying acceleration is that the focusing system must transport beams whose speed at a focusing element increases by as much as 20% over the time of the pulse.

MBE-4 is a multiple-beam current-amplifying ion induction accelerator. It was built to develop an experimental understanding of this new type of accelerator. Longitudinal beam dynamics in this experiment are similar to those expected in the electrostatic-focused region of a heavy ion driver. Experiments began with the completion of the injector in 1985 and continued for approximately six years through April 1991. Of particular interest were possibilities of interactions between the multiple

[†]Much of this work was presented at the 1991 Particle Accelerator Conference in San Francisco as paper GGC8

^{*}Work supported by the Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.



Fig 1 The MBE-4 multiple-beam ion accelerator (CBB 912-1174)

beams, longitudinal beam control, and the preservation of longitudinal and transverse normalized emittance during acceleration. The main thrust of the first three years of experimentation was longitudinal beam dynamics and control. The last three mostly studied transverse beam control and emittance growth during acceleration. MBE-4 has been very useful in understanding the physics of current-amplifying accelerators and in providing confidence that much larger ion induction linacs can be expected to operate satisfactorily. This paper will summarize our findings from MBE-4.

DESCRIPTION OF MBE-4

MBE-4 is an induction linac that accelerates four Cs^+ beams from 200 keV at the injector to as much as 875 keV(head); 950 keV(tail) after 24 accelerator gaps with current amplifications as large as nine. Transverse focusing is supplied by four-beam arrays of electrostatic quadrupoles. The initial bunch length is 1.3 m with duration of 2.2 μs . Fabrication of the apparatus was completed in September 1987. A picture of MBE-4 is presented in Fig. 1 and a schematic of the accelerator is given in Fig. 2. The accelerator is 30 periods long. Pumping and diagnostic access are provided at the ends and at each 5th focusing period. Our principal diagnostics are Faraday cups and two-slit scanners which reveal the beam size and emittance for current measurements at each of the diagnostic stations. An electrostatic energy analyzer at the end of the experiment is used to measure the final beam energy and obtain estimates of the longitudinal beam emittance. We also used a small electrostatic energy analyzer that could be inserted at the diagnostic stations to characterize the beam at the input and along the accelerator. During operation the apparatus is pulsed every five seconds. Each pulse is highly repeatable. Every waveform repeats to better than 1 %.




Fig. 2 Schematic of MBE-4.

LONGITUDINAL STUDIES AND FINDINGS

Current amplification and longitudinal bunch length control through the accelerator require the use of carefully shaped accelerating voltage waveforms. The method of finding waveforms for accelerating beams in ion induction linacs, was developed by C. Kim¹. In this current self-replicating scheme, the functional form of the current versus time at a fixed location is preserved through the accelerator and the magnitude increases as the bunch shortens in time. Solutions for the current and the accelerating waveforms at every accelerating gap can be constructed. The charge distribution along the length of the beam bunch generates a longitudinal electric field E_z that will lengthen the bunch if not compensated by the accelerating voltages. The procedure for generating these wave forms including the effects of longitudinal space charge and the finite width of the accelerating gaps were incorporated into a code called SLID which runs on a small computer.

The SLID procedure has proved to be extremely valuable for engineering pulsers for MBE-4 and for understanding and interpreting the results of the experiments. An improved version of the procedure called SLIDE² which permits particle overtaking was recently developed by Henestroza. We have found that the SLID and SLIDE calculations of the current and energy waveforms agree very well with experimental measurements^{3,4}.

To develop the pulsers for controlling and accelerating beams in MBE-4, ideal waveforms from the SLID procedure were first supplied to engineering. As the pulsers were fabricated, the outputs of the pulsers were measured and used as input to the SLID code to generate downstream waveform requests that tended to compensate for unavoidable upstream synthesis errors. Our method of synthesizing waveforms was to add the outputs of several pulsers so as to generate the waveform asked for by the SLID procedure as well as possible. An example of this synthesis is presented in figure 3. Here the outputs of four pulsers are added at gap 11 to generate the waveform requested by the SLID procedure. As can be seen, small errors are inevitably generated as each pulser is energized. Waveforms to provide control of the bunch ends are not present at each accelerating gap but rather are provided at every five to seven gaps.




Fig. 3. Synthesis of MBE-4 accelerator wave forms. The outputs of four pulsers are added to produce an accelerating voltage close to that requested by the SLID acceleration procedure (XBB 872-1623)

Amplifying current waveforms obtained in MBE-4 are shown in Fig. 4. Here the current of each of the four beams increases from 10 mA at 0.2 MV at the accelerator input to over 90 mA at end of the accelerator. At exit the beam energy increases approximately linearly from 650 kV at the head to 750 kV at the tail. The effect of the acceleration errors can be seen in these waveforms.

TRANSVERSE STUDIES AND FINDINGS

Since approximately August of 1988, the preservation of normalized emittance with acceleration has been the principal issue studied with MBE-4. We had observed during the longitudinal experiments that the transverse emittance remained approximately constant or increased with drift or acceleration implying that the normalized emittance was increasing. Moreover, although each emittance measurement could be repeated to within about 5% if done immediately, measurements over long periods varied by factors of 2 to 3.

Figure 5 shows data collected from accelerated beams at an initial current of 10 mA per beam over a period of several months. Very similar emittance variations obtained from 2-D PIC simulations of strongly tune-depressed beams drifting in electric-quadrupole-focused transport systems had been reported previously by Celata⁵. These simulation studies were continued and extended to accelerating beams by K. Hahn. We define normalized emittance as $\epsilon_n = 4 \beta \gamma \epsilon_{rms}$ where ϵ_{rms} is given by

$$\epsilon_{rms} = [\langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2]^{1/2} \quad (1)$$




Fig 4. Current amplification from 10 to 90 mA/beam in MBE-4. Each oscillogram shows several pulses (XBB 887-7123)




Fig. 5 Measurements and simulation of normalized emittance along MBE-4. The intrinsic emittance is that determined by the source temperature ($\approx 1000^\circ\text{C}$) and beam radius.

A simulation in which the measured beam in phase space at the output of the injector was used to load the code is also presented in Fig. 5. The intrinsic beam emittance as determined by the source radius r_s and the rms thermal velocity v_θ at a temperature of 0.1 eV was used at the start of the simulation. The initial emittance growth is due to a space-charge-driven re-arrangement of particles in the beam⁶. Diagnostic access and, consequently, emittance measurements are limited to every 5th lattice period. Because of the sparse sampling and the rapid fluctuations in emittance, the measured emittance can both increase and decrease along the accelerator.

To demonstrate that the rms emittance was rapidly varying along MBE-4, measurements of beam offset and emittance versus the strength of the focusing lattice (s_0) at fixed position were performed. This technique (which was suggested by D. Keefe) showed that the beam was oscillating back and forth in the channel with an amplitude of 4 to 5 millimeters or nearly 20% of the channel aperture as shown in Fig. 6. This experiment also confirmed that the rms beam emittance was strongly modulated at what corresponds to 2.3 lattice periods in excellent qualitative agreement with the simulations.

Figure 7 shows the x and y normalized emittance versus z obtained from simulations of strongly tune-depressed beams in MBE-4. Except for the case of no offset, the beams were started 3 mm off axis, slightly more than 10% of the channel aperture, with equal x and y deflections. For the lower curves the simulations were started at the intrinsic beam emittance. The dots on the y emittance plot signify the emittance that might be measured at the points of diagnostic access. A simulation at twice the intrinsic emittance which is close to the final emittance of the first off-axis case is also given. These simulations suggest that strongly tune-depressed beams conserve normalized emittance if held to the system axis. Off-axis low-emittance beams exhibit large modulations in rms emittance and a net growth in passing through the transport system. At increased initial emittance, the modulations are less severe and the growth less significant. This behavior was experimentally checked⁷ by approximately doubling the beam emittance at injection with a biased grid pair and measuring emittance growth through MBE-4. Reduced emittance growth was recorded as predicted by the simulation.




Fig. 6. Variations of beam position and emittance versus focus strength at lattice point 20. Varying focus strength brings the beam fluctuations to the point of diagnostic access.




Fig. 7 Beam emittance variations in the x and y planes for offset beams drifted through MBE-4 obtained from code simulations.

Recent MBE-4 experiments have concentrated on carefully matching and centering the beams in the channel to demonstrate current amplification at constant normalized emittance. A second steering array was placed at the entrance to the accelerator that, with the first array at the injector output, centered and aligned the beam on axis. In our initial attempt⁸, the beam was centered but not adequately matched. As a consequence, emittance growth was observed. Only after carefully matching, centering, and aligning the beams could these very cold beams be accelerated at constant normalized emittance. Figure 8 shows measurements of normalized beam emittance versus position and a comparison with simulation. Much more information on these experiments was presented at the 1991 Particle Accelerator Conference by T. Garvey⁹ et al.




Fig. 8 Measurements and simulation of normalized emittance during acceleration through MBE-4. For these experiments the beams were very carefully matched, centered and aligned in the focusing channel.

Current amplification by drift-compression was studied in MBE-4 by using the first four accelerators to impart a voltage ramp or tilt to the beams. The beam emittance was studied as the beams drifted through the remainder of the apparatus. Figure 9 shows the current versus time at lattice point 20 for increasing initial tilts. The lowest case was obtained with no applied tilt or acceleration and shows the effects of longitudinal beam spreading due to uncorrected axial space charge forces.




Fig. 9. Measurements of beam current at lattice point 20 for various beam tilts applied at the first four accelerating gaps. The lowest curve was obtained for no applied acceleration.

The growth in longitudinal emittance for the case of maximum current amplification is shown in Fig. 10. Both theory and experiment¹⁰ indicate that the beam radius increases as the square root of the current. As the beam size increases, the nonlinear ef-

fects of image charges and of the contributions of higher order multipoles of the focusing fields causes the emittance to grow. For these experiments a beam loss of approximately 10 % was observed near the point of maximum emittance growth.




Fig. 10 Measurements of beam emittance along MBE-4 for the case of maximum current growth above.

DISCUSSION

The MBE-4 experiments were performed to obtain practical experience with a current amplifying multiple-beam ion induction linac. One of the initial concerns was possible interactions between the beams as they were accelerated or transported through the accelerator. With the exception of a weak interaction in the injectors where the beams are at low energy and "see" each other for some distance, no such effect was observed. At low energy the beams interact primarily through their radial space-charge electric fields. These are easily controlled by radial shorting planes along the accelerator which are present for other reasons. At high beam energy, magnetic interactions play a stronger role and some periodic beam coupling phenomena could conceivably arise. The major multiple-beam issue encountered in these experiments was the diagnostic complexity associated with working with many beams.

Current amplification in an induction linac is possible and the beams can be controlled longitudinally with the accelerating waveforms. However, longitudinal control in MBE-4 was more difficult than we anticipated. The accelerator pulsers used for MBE-4 are based on conventional thyratron-switched pulse forming lines. This type of pulser does not have adequate waveform flexibility to easily control the beams longitudinally. Future ion induction linacs will require more agile correction or compensating pulsers every few accelerating gaps--particularly at the low energy end.

Beam space charge greatly reduces the impact of longitudinal acceleration errors as can be seen from Eq. 3. At very low beam intensities the response to an error becomes large with time or drift length. The acceleration errors can be repaired or compensated downstream if corrections are made before the forward and backward space charge waves on the beams can split. Although these corrections contribute to the longitudinal temperature of the beams, the scaling formulas suggest that these will not unduly compromise the final focusing of the beam onto the target.

Transversely, very cold space-charge dominated ion beams can be accelerated at constant normalized emittance at least over the length of MBE-4 if great care is taken to match, center, and align these beams in the transport channel. However, for long linacs or drivers, extreme care may not be required. These experiments and simulations suggest that for sufficiently long system, the beams will center and match themselves with an accompanying increase in emittance. This emittance growth may be affordable. Further study is required (experiments, theory, simulation) to determine if this so.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work presented here is a summary of the efforts of much of the LBL HIFAR group since 1985. Notable among these are A. Warwick, and C. Kim who made major contributions to the design of MBE-4 and to the longitudinal studies; H. Meuth, S. Eylon, and T. Garvey who concentrated more on the transverse studies; K. Hahn, E. Henestroza, L. Smith, A. Faltens and more recently W. Fawley who provided the theoretical guidance and simulation backup; and last but far from least was D. Keefe who contributed in every conceivable way to the MBE-4 experimental program.

REFERENCES

1. C.H. Kim and L. Smith, "A Design Procedure for Acceleration and Bunching in an Ion Induction Linac" Part. Accel. **85**, pp 101-113.
2. E. Henestroza, "Study of the Longitudinal Ion Dynamics Extended Version; SLIDE"HIFAR Year End Report 1989
3. T.J. Fessenden, D. Keefe, C. Kim, H. Meuth, and A. Warwick, "The LBL Multiple Beam Experiments" IEEE PAC Cat. No. 87CH2387-9, Vol. 2, pp. 954-956
4. A.I. Warwick, D.E. Gough, D. Keefe, and H. Meuth, "Acceleration, Current Amplification and Emittance in MBE-4, an Experimental Beam Induction Linear Accelerator for Heavy Ions," Proc. 1988 Linear Accelerator Conf., p. 51, Oct. 88.
5. C.M. Celata, "The Effect of Nonlinear Forces on Coherently Oscillating Space-Charge-Dominated Beams" IEEE PAC Cat. No. 87CH2387-9, Vol. 2, pp. 996-1000.
6. T.P. Wangler, K.R. Crandall, R.S. Mills, and M. Reiser, "Relation between Field Energy and RMS Emittance in Intense Particle Beams," IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science, **NS-32**, (1985)
7. S. Eylon, E.R. Colby, T.J. Fessenden, T. Garvey, K. Hahn and E. Henestroza, "Emittance Variations of Very Cold Ion Beams During Transport Through MBE-4," to be published in Part. Accel.
8. T. Garvey, S. Eylon, T.J. Fessenden and E. Henestroza, "Beam Acceleration Experiments on a Heavy Ion Linear Induction Accelerator (MBE-4)," to be published in Part. Accel.
9. T. Garvey, S. Eylon, T.J. Fessenden, K. Hahn, and E. Henestroza, "Transverse Emittance Studies of an Induction Accelerator of Heavy Ions," paper XRA51 1991 Particle Accelerator Conference, San Francisco, Ca.
10. S. Eylon, A. Faltens, W. Fawley, T. Garvey, K. Hahn, E. Henestroza, and L. Smith, "Drift Compression experiments on MBE-4 and Related Emittance Growth Phenomena," paper XRA50 1991 Particle Accelerator Conference, San Francisco, Ca.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
INFORMATION RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720