

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Re: CYP2D6 Genotype and Tamoxifen Response in Postmenopausal Women With Endocrine-Responsive Breast Cancer: The Breast International Group 1-98 Trial

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4cw0d4sx>

Journal

Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 104(16)

ISSN

0027-8874

Authors

Nakamura, Yusuke
Ratain, Mark J
Cox, Nancy J
et al.

Publication Date

2012-08-22

DOI

10.1093/jnci/djs304

Peer reviewed

Notes

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Affiliations of authors: Departments of Public Health and Primary Care (PDPP), and Oncology (PDPP, JA, CC), University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

Correspondence to: Paul D. P. Pharoah, BM, BCh, PhD, Strangeways Research Laboratory, Worts Causeway, Cambridge, CB1 8RN, UK (e-mail: paul.pharoah@medschl.cam.ac.uk).

DOI:10.1093/jnci/djs312

©The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Re: CYP2D6 Genotype and Tamoxifen Response in Postmenopausal Women With Endocrine-Responsive Breast Cancer: The Breast International Group 1-98 Trial

Two recent articles by Regan et al. (1) and Rae et al. (2), accompanied by an editorial (3), purport to settle the controversy of whether *CYP2D6* genotype is associated with the pharmacodynamics of tamoxifen. There have been many previous studies, which vary in source of DNA (tumor, blood), phenotype (efficacy, toxicity, pharmacokinetics), general design (prospective, retrospective), concomitant medications (other anticancer medications, *CYP2D6* inhibitors), and statistical approaches (4). A key issue in all genetic studies is the quality of the primary genetic data, as no inferences can be drawn from genotype data of low quality. In regard to the latter, a critical and fundamental first step in assessing the quality of genotypes is a test for deviation of the genotype distribution from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) (5), which should be considered of particular importance when DNA for genotyping has been extracted from tumor, rather than germline tissue.

Thus, it is of grave concern that one of the recent studies (1) shows clear evidence of massive departures from HWE; insufficient information was provided in the second study (2) to assess the quality of the genotype data. Using the data in Table 2 of the Regan et al. study (1), the two most important variants, rs3892097 and rs28371725, fail quality control, with unacceptable *P* values (from χ^2 tests for consistency with HWE) of approximately 10^{-91} and 10^{-173} , respectively.

For both variants, there is an excess of homozygotes, consistent with the hypothesis that hemizygous deletions of *CYP2D6*

in tumors from which DNA samples were obtained may account for these flawed results. The estimated excess of homozygotes is approximately 5% for each genotype, consistent with approximately 33% of tumor samples having *CYP2D6* deletions. Because *CYP2D6* is located on chromosome 22q13 where frequent losses of heterozygosity in breast cancer cells have been reported (6), it would not be surprising if *CYP2D6* were deleted in breast cancer. In addition, 22q13 deletions have been associated with a worse prognosis, as exemplified by a large single-institution Japanese study in which 32% of tumors had 22q13 deletions (7). Thus, if a tumor from a patient who is a germline heterozygote loses one of the alleles, this causes misclassification of that patient's tamoxifen metabolism phenotype. An alternative explanation, given the incomplete genotyping in these DNA samples, is that samples from heterozygotes are disproportionately not called (ie, the missing data are not missing at random). Genotyping of additional markers on chromosome 22q13 could distinguish these hypotheses. In any case, the genotype data from this study fail the most rudimentary quality tests, and therefore, we question its validity. Given the importance of the question being studied, we urge the retraction of the Regan et al. study (1).

We also urge reanalysis of other studies that have utilized tumor DNA for genotyping, given the potential for hemizygous deletion of *CYP2D6* in breast cancer. Hopefully, this will be another important “lesson learned” for investigators in breast cancer genomics (3). The goal of personalized medicine is to provide an appropriate dose of the optimal drug to each individual patient, but it is critical that quality data from rigorous studies be used to inform these decisions.

YUSUKE NAKAMURA
MARK J. RATAIN
NANCY J. COX
HOWARD L. MCLEOD
DEANNA L. KROETZ
DAVID A. FLOCKHART

References

1. Regan MM, Leyland-Jones B, Bouzyk M, et al. *CYP2D6* genotype and tamoxifen response in postmenopausal women with endocrine-responsive breast cancer: The Breast International Group 1-98 Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104(6):441–451.
2. Rae JM, Drury S, Hayes DF, et al. *CYP2D6* and *UGT2B7* genotype and risk of recurrence

- in tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104(6):452–460.
3. Kelly CM, Pritchard KI. *CYP2D6* genotype as a marker for benefit of adjuvant tamoxifen in postmenopausal women: lessons learned. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104(6):427–428.
4. Fleeman N, Martin Saborido C, Payne K, et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of genotyping for *CYP2D6* for the management of women with breast cancer treated with tamoxifen: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess.* 2011;15(33):1–102.
5. Gomes I, Collins A, Lonjou C, et al. Hardy-Weinberg quality control. *Ann Hum Genet.* 1999;63(6):535–538.
6. Castells A, Gusella JF, Ramesh V, et al. A region of deletion on chromosome 22q13 is common to human breast and colorectal cancers. *Cancer Res.* 2000;60(11):2836–2839.
7. Hirano A, Emi M, Tsuneizumi M, et al. Allelic losses of loci at 3p25.1, 8p22, 13q12, 17p13.3, and 22q13 correlate with postoperative recurrence in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001;7(4):876–882.

Funding

Translational Research Professorship from the Conquer Cancer Foundation (awarded to MJR).

Notes

All authors have institutional leadership positions in units committed to implementing pharmacogenomics into clinical practice. Dr Ratain receives royalties from his employer related to *UGT1A1* genotyping for irinotecan (indirectly received from the Mayo Clinic, the exclusive licensee, and its sublicensees), consults for Abbott, and has agreed to serve (with compensation) on a data safety and monitoring board for Roche's Genentech unit. Dr Ratain has also testified as an expert witness on behalf of both Mylan and Teva in patent litigation; Mylan and Teva are manufacturers of tamoxifen. Dr McLeod is a consultant for Gentris. Dr Flockhart has received research funding from Pfizer and Novartis.

Affiliations of authors: Department of Medicine and Center for Personalized Therapeutics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL (YN, MJR, NJC); Eshelman School of Pharmacy and Institute for Pharmacogenomics and Individualized Therapy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC (HLM); Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences and Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA (DLK); Department of Medicine and Indiana Institute for Personalized Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN (DAF).

Correspondence to: Yusuke Nakamura, MD, PhD, Center for Personalized Therapeutics, The University of Chicago, 5841 S, Maryland Ave, MC2115, Chicago, IL 60637 (e-mail: ynakamura@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu) and Mark J. Ratain, MD, Center for Personalized Therapeutics, The University of Chicago, 5841 S, Maryland Ave, MC2115, Chicago, IL 60637 (e-mail: mratain@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu).

DOI:10.1093/jnci/djs304

©The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.