## UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

### Title

Expression of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 Correlates with Clinical Features of Lung Cancer

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4b85m7xw

## Journal

PLOS ONE, 2(6)

### ISSN

1932-6203

## Authors

Chen, Ping-Ping Li, Wen-Jie Wang, Yan <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2007

## DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0000534

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

# Expression of *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1* Correlates with Clinical Features of Lung Cancer

Ping-Ping Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Wen-Jie Li<sup>2</sup>, Yan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Song Zhao<sup>3</sup>, De-Yun Li<sup>4</sup>, Li-Yun Feng<sup>3</sup>, Xiang-Lin Shi<sup>1</sup>, H. Phillip Koeffler<sup>5</sup>, Xiang-Jun Tong<sup>1,6</sup>, Dong Xie<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, Institute for Nutritional Sciences, Shanghai Institutes of Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, 2 College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, 3 Department of Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, 4 Institute for Medicine of Chronic Disease, Disease Control and Prevention of Sichuan, Sichuan, China, 5 Department of Hematology and Oncology, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 6 College of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China

*Background*. CCN family, comprising six members (Cyr61, CTGF, Nov, WISP-1, WISP-2, WISP-3), is involved in the stimulation of cell proliferation, migration, adhesion, angiogenesis, and tumorigenesis. Several studies have shown that expression of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 affects the tumorigenic potential of lung cancer cells in vitro. However, the correlation of expression of CCN family proteins and clinical features of lung cancer remains unknown. *Methodology and Principal Findings*. In the present work, we quantified the mRNA levels of *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1* in samples from 60 primary lung cancers and their matched normal lung tissues by quantitative real-time PCR assay. Downregulation of the *Cyr61* and *CTGF* genes and upregulation of the *WISP-1* gene were found in primary lung cancers compared to the paired normal lung tissues. Immunohistochemistry analysis also disclosed a similar expression pattern of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 protein in paired lung cancer tissues. Statistical analysis revealed significant associations between expression of either *Cyr61* or *CTGF* with tumor stage, tumor histology, metastasis, smoking, and family history at diagnosis. A significant correlation also existed between WISP-1 expression with tumor histology, and patient age. Moreover, expression levels of *Cyr61* and *CTGF* correlated with survival of the lung-cancer patients. *Conclusions*. Our results suggest that *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1* might be implicated in the development and progression of primary lung cancers, and their levels might serve as valuable prognostic markers, as well as potential targets for therapeutic intervention.

Citation: Chen P-P, Li W-J, Wang Y, Zhao S, Li D-Y, et al (2007) Expression of *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1* Correlates with Clinical Features of Lung Cancer. PLoS ONE 2(6): e534. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534

#### INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer death in the world [1]. The majority of lung cancers are non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), which is subdivided into adenocarcinoma (AC), squamous-cell carcinoma (SC), and large-cell carcinoma [2]. The high mortality associated with NSCLC is in part due to metastasis before surgical removal of the primary tumor. Tumor metastasis involves detachment of tumor cells from the primary tumor mass, microinvasion of tumor cells into stromal tissue, intravasation of tumor cells into blood vessels, and extravasation and growth of tumor cells in secondary sites [3,4]. To become metastatic, tumor cells must increase the expression of metastasispromoting genes and/or decrease the expression of metastasissuppressing genes. Utilizing human lung cancer cell lines, cysteinerich protein 61 (Cyr61) and connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) have been demonstrated to inhibit metastasis and invasion of cancer cells, and therefore they have been considered as potential suppressors of metastasis [5,6].

Both CTGF and Cyr61 belong to the CCN family, named for its three first described members -  $\underline{C}$ yr61 (CCN1),  $\underline{C}$ TGF (CCN2), and  $\underline{N}$ ov (nephroblastoma overexpressed, CCN3) [7]. CCN family has six members : Cyr61, CTGF, Nov, WISP-1 (Wnt-1-induced secreted protein 1) (CCN4), WISP-2 (CCN5) and WISP-3 (CCN6) [8–11]. These proteins are modular in structure, consisting of an N-terminal signal sequence followed by domains with sequence similarity to insulin-like growth factor-binding protein, von Willebrand factor C, thrombospondin type 1, and a cysteine knot at the C terminus with an exception of WISP-2, which lacks the C terminus region [12]. All CCN molecules are secreted, extracellular matrix-associated proteins and involved in internal and external cellular signaling to regulate cell adhesion, migration, mitogenesis, differentiation, and survival [13]. They also regulate angiogenesis [13–15]. Previous studies suggested that Cyr61 induces cell proliferation, cell adhesion and angiogenesis through activation of integrin ( $\alpha V\beta 3$ ) in endothelial cells [16]. CTGF plays a key role downstream of TGF- $\beta$  and SMAD signaling and stimulates production of fibronectin and collagen, which is important for wound healing [17,18]. WISP-1 is strongly expressed in the fibrovascular stroma of breast tumors developing in Wnt-1 transgenic mice [10]. Forced overexpression of WISP-1 in normal rat kidney fibroblasts (NRK-49F) was sufficient to induce their transformation [11]. Moreover, increasing evidence has suggested that CCN proteins are involved in tumorigenesis, and variation of expression of these molecules has been observed in several types of cancers [8,9].

Academic Editor: Anja-Katrin Bielinsky, University of Minnesota, United States of America

Received March 16, 2007; Accepted May 24, 2007; Published June 20, 2007

**Copyright:** © 2007 Chen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 30370690, 30470847, and 30528003 for Xie, D.; No. 30200143 for Tong, X.), Chinese Academy of Sciences (One Hundred Talents Program; Grant KSCX-YW-R-73 for Xie, D.), Science & Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality 04D214007 and 05DJ14009 (Xie, D.); China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Wang, Y.), and Chinese Academy of Sciences K.C. Wong Post-doctoral Fellow-ships (Wang, Y.).

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: dxie@sibs.ac.cn

PLOS one

We have demonstrated that Cyr61 acted as a tumor suppressor in the growth of NSCLC cells. Cyr61 suppressed the growth of NSCLC cells by triggering a signal transduction pathway through upregulation of p53 [6,19]. CTGF and WISP-1 also affected the tumorigenicity of lung cancer cells [5,20–22]. However, correlations between the three molecules with clinical features of lung cancer are unexplored. Our previous work suggested that expression of CCN family proteins has prognostic value for glioma progression and overall patient survival [23]. In the present studies, we performed real-time quantitative RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry to measure the mRNA and protein levels of three CCN genes in primary NSCLC samples and their matched normal lung tissues. Furthermore, we determined whether levels of these CCN genes were correlated with clinical features of NSCLC samples by several statistical analysis models.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patients and samples

This study analyzed the primary cancer and matched normal tissues from 60 NSCLC patients treated at the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University (Henan, China) from 2002 to 2005 after their written informed consent. Cancer samples were resected surgically without any neo-adjuvant therapy and corresponding non-cancerous tissues, which were at least 3–4 cm away from cancer, were also obtained. Each specimen was divided into 2 parts: one was sectioned and examined histologically by traditional H&E staining for the presence of more than 80% tumor cells (cancer sample) or only normal cells without any inflammatory or tumor infiltrating areas (matched normal sample); the other was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until analysis. Our work was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Institute for Nutritional Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

#### RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from fresh-frozen NSCLC specimens and matched normal lung tissues by TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quality of the RNA samples was determined by electrophoresis through agarose gels and staining with ethidium bromide, the 18S and 28S RNA bands were visualized under UV light. 2  $\mu$ g of total RNA was processed directly to cDNA by reverse transcription with Superscript II (Life Technologies, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol in a total volume of 50  $\mu$ l.

#### Real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR)

RT-PCR was characterized at the point during cycling when amplification of the PCR product was first detected, rather than the amount of PCR product accumulated after a fixed number of cycles. The parameter Ct was defined as the fractional cycle number at which the fluorescence generated by passing a fixed threshold above baseline. The level of target gene in unknown samples was quantified by measuring the Ct value: Level(target) =  $2^{\text{Ct(target)}}$ . The Ct value of  $\beta$ -actin was also measured as the endogenous RNA control: Level( $\beta$ -actin) =  $2^{Ct(\beta-actin)}$ . The levels of target genes in each sample were normalized on the basis of its  $\beta$ -actin content through the formula: Normalized level (NL) = Le $vel(target)/Level(\beta-actin) = 2^{Ct(target)}/2^{Ct(\beta-actin)} = 2^{Ct(target)-Ct(\beta-actin)} = 2^{Ct(target)-Ct(\beta-actin)} = 2^{Ct(target)-Ct(\beta-actin)} = 2^{Ct(target)}/2^{Ct(\beta-actin)} = 2^{Ct(target)}$  $2^{\Delta Ct}$ . Furthermore, the relative levels (RL) of target genes in cancer samples versus matched normal tissues were calculated according to the formula:  $RL = NL(cancer)/NL(normal) = 2^{\Delta Ct(cancer)}/NL(normal)$  $2^{\Delta Ct(normal)} = 2^{[\Delta Ct(cancer) - \Delta Ct(normal)]} = 2^{\Delta \Delta Ct}$ . Because both NL and RL are represented as  $2^{Ct}$ , we therefore used  $\Delta Ct$  and  $\Delta \Delta Ct$ as NL and RL, respectively, for clinical statistical analysis.

Primers for real-time PCR for Cyr61 (5'-GAGTGGGTCTGT-GACGAGGAT-3' and 5'-GGTTGTATAGGATGCGAGGCT-3'), CTGF (5'-CGACTGGAAGACACGT TTGG-3' and 5'-AGGCTTGGAGATTTTGGGAG-3'), WISP-1 (5'-AGAGCC-GC CTCTGCAACTT-3' and 5'-GGAGAAGCCAAGCCCA-TCA-3') and  $\beta$ -actin (5'-G ATCATTGCTCCTCGAGC-3' and 5'-ACTCCTGCTTGCTGATCCAC-3') were designed using software PRIMER3 and purchased from Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Services CO., Ltd. Amplification reactions were performed in a 20 µl volume of the LightCycler-DNA Master SYBR Green I mix (Roche Diagnostics Ltd., Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) with 10 pmol of each primer, MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration optimized between 2 and 5 mM, 200  $\mu$ M of each dNTP, 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase, and 1×buffer. All of the reactions were performed in triplicate in an iCycler iQ system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min was followed by 40 cycles of a denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, an annealing step between 55°C and 58°C for 20 s, and an extension step at 72°C for 30 s. A final extension step at 72°C for 7 min was added. To confirm specificity of amplification, the PCR products from each primer pair were subjected to a melting curve analysis and subsequent inspection after agarose gel electrophoresis.

#### Statistical analysis

T-test and ANOVA were adopted to study the expression of 60 pairs of NSCLC and normal lung tissues for each CCN gene and their association with single clinical factors (family history, metastasis, smoking, tumor stage, histology, tuberculosis, gender, tumor size and patient's age). Pearson's correlation analysis was used to estimate relative degree by quantity of the expression of the three genes. Pearson's correlation reflects the degree of linear relationship between two variables. It ranges from +1 to -1. The relative values (tumor/control) were relative to actin plotted. For each gene, Kaplan-Meier survival curves for patients with high gene expression versus low gene expression were plotted and logrank test was used for comparing the equality of the two survival curves. Multivariable analyses with the Cox proportional hazards model were used to estimate the effects of the clinical characteristics and the expression of the three genes on survival. Results were considered significant at P < 0.05 or highly significant at P<0.01. All statistical analyses were performed using the program SPSS for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

#### Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemistry, cancerous and corresponding normal lung tissues were frozen in a cryostat chamber and 10  $\mu$ m sections were collected on glass slides. The sections were fixed in ice-cold acetone for 30 min, washed in 0.01 M PBS for  $3 \times 5$  min, blocked for 1 hr in 0.01 M PBS supplemented with 0.3% Triton X-100 and 5% normal serum, and then incubated with rabbit anti-human Cyr61 (polyclonal, 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), goat anti-human CTGF (polyclonal, 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), or goat anti-human WISP-1 (polyclonal, 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), respectively, at 4°C overnight. After brief washes in 0.01 M PBS, sections were incubated for 2 hr in 0.01 M PBS with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG or rabbit anti-goat IgG (1:1000; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), followed by visualization with 0.003%  $\rm H_2O_2$  and 0.03% DAB in 0.05 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.6). Negative controls consisted of substitution of the primary antibody with normal serum at the same dilution. Immunohistochemistry for each individual was performed at least 3 times and all sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Scoring of immunohistochemistry staining was carried out independently by three pathologist blinded to the patient's clinical parameters. All stained sections were scored both in the tumor and adjacent non-tumor areas at least in 10 high-power field areas with a minimum of 300 preserved cells assessed in each area. The percentage of cells expressing target protein was estimated by dividing the number of positive cells by the number of total cells per high-power field area. Lung epithelial cells bearing obvious brown signal in the cytoplasm compared with negative control were defined as positive cells. Paired-samples t-test was used to evaluate the difference between protein levels of Cyr61, CTGF, or WISP-1 in cancer samples compared with matched normal lung tissues. Results were considered statistically highly significant at P<0.01. All statistical analyses were performed using the program SPSS for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

#### RESULTS

## Expression of *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1* genes in NSCLC and matched normal lung tissues

To study the expression pattern of CCN genes in NSCLC, levels of *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1* mRNA were quantified in 60 pairs of

tumors and their matched normal lung tissues by real-time PCR. Expression level was shown as a ratio between Cyr61, CTGF, or *WISP-1* and the reference gene  $\beta$ -actin to correct for the variation in the amounts of RNA. Downregulation of Cyr61 and CTGF mRNA occurred in 48 of 60 (80%) and 39 of 60 (65%) NSCLC samples compared with the paired normal lung tissues, respectively. In contrast, upregulation of levels of WISP-1 mRNA was observed in 50 of 60 (83%) NSCLC samples in comparison with their normal tissues (Fig. 1). Univariate analysis showed that mRNA level of either Cyr61, CTGF, or WISP-1 genes were significantly different between the cancer samples and paired normal ones (Table 1). Expression of Cyr61 and CTGF in primary lung cancers was significantly lower than in matched normal lung tissues (P < 0.001 and P = 0.016, respectively). In contrast, levels of WISP-1 in cancers were significantly higher than those in the matched normal ones (P<0.001) (Table 1). Pearson's correlation analysis further showed that expression of Cyr61 and CTGF was highly positively correlated (R = 0.604; P < 0.001), whereas expression of WISP-1 and CTGF showed a significant negative association ( $\mathbf{R} = -0.299$ ;  $\mathbf{P} = 0.020$ ). Expression of WISP-1 and *Cyr61* was not significantly correlated (R = -0.182; P = 0.164) (Table 2). Because the three genes are all members of CCN family,



**Figure 1. Expression patterns of** *Cyr61, CTGF,* and *WISP-1* mRNAs in NSCLC and matched normal lung tissues. Relative mRNA expression levels of *Cyr61, CTGF,* and *WISP-1* are shown in 60 pairs of primary NSCLC tissues and matched normal lung samples. Expression is displayed as a ratio of expression of CCN genes in cancer *versus* matched normal tissues. Each bar is the log2 value of the ratio of CCN expression levels between lung tumors (T) and matched normal tissues (N) from the same patients. Less than 2-fold change: the ratio between tumor and normal is <2. Because Log2 2 = 1, bar value >1 represents >2-fold increase (T>N), whereas bar value <-1 represents >2-fold decrease (T<N). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.g001

Table 1. Expression of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 mRNA in lung cancer and matched normal lung tissues.

Gene	Ν	Cancer	Matched-normal	P‡
Cyr61	60	$2.74{\pm}4.16^{\dagger}$	4.93±3.34	0.001
CTGF	60	1.40±3.53	2.41±3.37	0.016
WISP-1	60	$-3.09 \pm 3.53$	$-5.82 \pm 3.67$	0.001

<sup>†</sup>Means  $\pm$  SD of expression of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 mRNA in lung cancer or matched normal samples after normalized to  $\beta$ -actin in the same samples P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 are set for significant and highly significant difference, respectively

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.t001

Table 2. Pearson's correlation matrix of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 mRNA in 60 pairs of samples.

Variables	Correlation (R)	P <sup>†</sup>
Cyr61 and CTGF	0.604	0.001
Cyr61 and WISP-1	-0.182	0.164
CTGF and WISP-1	-0.299	0.020

<sup>†</sup>P<0.05 and P<0.01 are set for significant and highly significant difference, respectively

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.t002



Percent positive cells in NSCLC and matched normal lung tissues

O Matched non-cancerous tissue

NSCLC tissue

it is possible that their expression might be controlled by some common regulators (for Cyr61 and CTGF) or antagonized by each other (for WISP-1 and CTGF).

Immunohistochemistry was used to monitor the protein expression of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 in the 60 pairs of lung tissues. Paired-samples t-test indicated that protein expression of Cyr61 (P<0.001) and CTGF (P<0.001) was dramatically downregulated in cancer tissues compared with their normal counterparts (Figure 2). Additionally, significant upregulation of WISP-1 was found in the cancer samples (P < 0.001) in comparison with matched normal tissues (Figure 2). Representative photographs showed that Cyr61 was highly expressed in the cytoplasm of lung epithelial cells in the normal lung tissues of patients with lung carcinoma (Fig. 3A), but levels were remarkably decreased in the cancerous counterparts (Fig. 3B). Notably, the downregulation of Cyr61 is mainly due to the large reduction of Cyr61 expression level in individual cells rather than a decreased number of Cyr61positive lung epithelial cells. CTGF displayed higher intensity of staining in the cytoplasm of normal lung epithelial cells (Fig. 3D) than that in lung cancer cells (Fig. 3E); the ratio of CTGF-positive to -negative cells in cancer tissues was clearly lower in comparison with the corresponding normal lung tissues. Although expression of WISP-1 was low in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells derived from normal lung tissues (Fig. 3G), it was remarkably increased in matched lung-cancer tissues (Fig. 3H). Taken together, the result of immunohistochemistry paralleled those of real-time RT-PCR.

Figure 2. Expression patterns of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 protein in NSCLC and matched normal lung tissues. The graph depict distributions of specimens according to the percentage of cells positive for Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 in 60 pairs of NSCLC and matched non-cancerous lung tissues. P values (paired-samples t-test) are listed suggesting the difference between NSCLC samples versus matched normal tissues.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.g002



Figure 3. Representative immunohistochemistry result, staining for Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 in NSCLC and matched normal lung tissues. In non-cancerous lung tissues from patients with NSCLC, intense Cyr61 immunoreactivity (brown) is observed in the cytoplasm of cells as well as extracellular space (A). In corresponding NSCLC tissues, Cyr61 immunoreactivity is less (B) compared with normal lung tissue. Similar to Cyr61, many CTGF-positive cells (brown) are visible in non-tumor lung tissues (D), and positive signals are only sparsely distributed in NSCLC tissues (E). WISP-1 immunohistochemistry, however, displays a different expression pattern. WISP-1 immunoreactivity (brown) is barely detected in normal lung tissues from NSCLC patients (G) but is markedly increased in NSCLC tissues (H). C, F, and I are negative controls of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1, respectively. All slides are counterstained with hematoxylin (blue). Scale bar = 60 µm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.g003

#### Relationship between expression of Cyr61 in lung cancers and the clinical and pathological features of the individuals

Univariate analysis proved that a significant association existed between expression of Cyr61 versus tumor stage, metastasis, histological subtype, smoking and family history (Table 3). Level of Cyr61 expression was decreased significantly in high stage tumors compared to low stage tumors (P = 0.014). Multiple comparison (LSD t-test) analysis showed that expression of Cyr61 between tumors of stages I and III were significantly different (P = 0.004); but no significant difference in *Cyr61* expression occurred in NSCLC samples comparing either stages I and II (P = 0.063) or stages II and III (P = 0.17). Histological analysis showed that a significant difference existed among SC, AC, adenosquamous cell carcinoma (ASC) and other pathological type in level of expression of Cyr61 (P = 0.001). Moreover, multiple comparison (LSD t-test) demonstrated that expression of Cyr61 between SC versus ASC (P = 0.009) or SC versus other pathological type (P = 0.001) was significantly different. Similarly, significant differences of Cyr61 expression were also observed between AC versus ASC (P = 0.015) or AC versus other pathological type (P = 0.002). Smoking was associated with low expression of Cyr61 (P = 0.009). In contrast, expression of Cyr61 was not correlated with tuberculosis, gender, age, and tumor size (Table 3).

## Relationship between expression of *CTGF* in lung cancers and the clinical and pathological features of the individuals

Statistical analysis revealed that expression of CTGF was strongly associated with some clinical features of NSCLC, including tumor stage, metastasis, histology, smoking, and family history (Table 3). Level of CTGF was decreased significantly in high-stage tumors compared to low-stage tumors (P = 0.040). Moreover, significant difference of CTGF expression existed between tumors, stage I *versus* stage III (P = 0.013) and stage II *versus* stage III (P = 0.024); however, no significant statistical difference was observed between tumors, stage I and II (P = 0.077). For metastasis, the expression of CTGF in metastatic NSCLC was significantly lower than in nonmetastatic NSCLC (P = 0.039); significantly, smoking was associated with a prominent suppression of CTGF expression in NSCLC (P = 0.017) (Table 3). Additionally, a significant difference occurred among SC, AC, ASC and other pathological types in the level of CTGF (P = 0.005). In addition, level of CTGF in the patients was significantly lower than those whose family members did not have cancer (P = 0.038). However, gender, tumor size, age and histology of tuberculosis showed no significant correlations with the expression of CTGF (Table 3).

# Relationship between expression of *WISP-1* in NSCLC and the clinical and pathological features of the individuals

Statistical analysis showed that expression of *WISP-1* was significantly associated with tumor histology, as well as age of NSCLC patients at diagnosis (Table 3). One-way ANOVA analysis demonstrated that significant differences existed among SC, AC, ASC and other histological type in their expression of *WISP-1* (P=0.017). Level of *WISP-1* in ASC was much higher than those in either SC (P=0.002) or AC (P=0.015). Moreover, younger patients (ages, 35–50) had a significantly higher level of *WISP-1* in their tumors than older individuals (ages, 50–65 or  $\geq 65$ ) (P=0.018 and P=0.036, respectively). In contrast, other clinical parameters (family history, metastasis, history of smoking, tuberculosis, gender, tumor type, and tumor size) were not associated with expression of *WISP-1*.

## Expression of *Cyr61*, *CTGF*, *WISP-1* genes and clinical outcome of NSCLC

Univariate survival analysis showed that the expression of Cyr61, CTGF, metastasis, and smoking were significantly associated with survival (Table 4). Kaplan-Meier curves suggested that patients with the high-expression of Cyr61 showed a significantly extended mean survival time in comparison with the other patients (Fig. 4A) (P = 0.001). Similarly, high levels of CTGF were associated with prolonged survival (Fig. 4B) (P=0.031). In contrast, no significant association of WISP-1 expression and survival was noted (Fig. 4C) (P = 0.214). After controlling the clinical and pathological characteristics (age, gender, metastasis, smoke, tumor stage, tuberculosis, tumor size), multivariate (Cox regression) survival analysis was conducted to evaluate the potential effects of the three CCN genes on cancer prognosis. The result showed that both Cyr61 and CTGF were significantly independent positive prognostic factors for survival of patients with NSCLC, and the relative risk was 0.047 for Cyr61 and 0.0357 for CTGF (Table 5). However, no significant association occurred between WISP-1 expression and survival (Table 5).

**Table 3.** Relationship between levels of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 mRNA in lung cancer and the clinical and pathological features of these individuals.

Factors N		Cyr61		CTGF	CTGF		WISP-1	
		Mean±SD*	P**	Mean±SD	P value	Mean±SD	Р	
Family history <sup>†</sup>			0.027		0.038*		0.767	
No	48	$-1.72\pm3.30$		2.69±2.37		2.68±2.99		
Yes	12	$-4.07\pm2.79$		0.59±3.20		2.96±2.68		
Metastasis			0.003		0.039*		0.408	
No	29	$-0.91 \pm 3.05$		1.81±3.00		3.06±2.98		
Yes	31	$-3.39 \pm 3.15$		0.15±3.12		2.43±2.87		
Smoke			0.009		0.017*		0.610	
No	23	$-0.78 \pm 3.25$		1.76±3.08		2.49±2.11		
Yes	37	$-3.06 \pm 3.09$		$-0.21\pm2.93$		2.89±3.33		
Tumor stage			0.014		0.040*		0.969	
I	17	$-0.65 \pm 3.08$		2.48±2.55		2.66±2.01		
Ш	25	$-2.03\pm3.28$		0.69±2.68		2.84±3.45		
111	18	$-3.86{\pm}2.96$	0.014	$-0.09 \pm 3.87$	0.040*	2.65±2.97	0.969	
Histology			0.001		0.005**		0.017	
sc‡	25	$-3.32\pm2.42$		2.49±2.16		1.85±2.74		
AC <sup>§</sup>	15	$-3.35 \pm 3.65$		0.78±2.57		2.39±2.52		
ASC <sup>¶</sup>	10	$-0.31 \pm 3.36$		$-0.17 \pm 4.57$		5.19±3.47		
Other <sup>s</sup>	10	0.49±2.63		$-1.19\pm2.88$		3.01±2.12		
Tuberculosis			0.719		0.324		0.299	
No	51	$-2.13\pm3.50$		1.18±3.14		2.57±2.94		
Yes	9	$-2.56\pm2.16$		0.05±3.22		3.67±2.74		
Gender			0.729		0.849		0.358	
Male	45	$-2.28\pm3.55$		0.96±3.41		2.93±3.19		
Female	15	$-1.93\pm2.59$		1.14±2.29		2.13±1.79		
Tumor size			0.988		0.994		0.141	
$\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$	26	$-2.09\pm3.79$		1.08±3.53		2.53±2.78		
100 cm <sup>3</sup> –	10	$-2.51\pm4.16$		0.87±2.88		4.02±3.63		
200 cm <sup>3</sup> –	8	$-2.03\pm1.83$		0.78±2.55		0.94±1.82		
$\geq$ 300 cm <sup>3</sup>	16	$-2.24\pm2.72$		1.10±3.19		3.16±2.82		
Age			0.089		0.801		0.047	
35-	11	$-1.29\pm4.01$		1.16±3.47		4.68±3.85		
50-	34	$-3.01\pm3.00$		1.13±2.95		2.30±2.63		
≥65	15	$-1.00 \pm 3.15$		0.43±3.53		2.29±2.29		

<sup>†</sup>All patients included in this study were asked whether their living or dead first-degree relatives (parents, siblings, children) had been affected by a lung malignancy. <sup>‡</sup>squamous-cell carcinoma; <sup>§</sup>adenocarcinoma; <sup>¶</sup>adenosquamous-cell carcinoma; <sup>§</sup>including other pathological types except for squamous-cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, adeno-squamous cell carcinoma

<sup>\*</sup>The value is the relative levels of normalized target genes by  $\beta$ -actin in cancer versus matched normal samples.

\*\*P<0.05 and P<0.01 are set for significant and highly significant difference, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.t003

#### DISCUSSION

Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 play important roles in cell proliferation, migration and differentiation. Their expression appears to be regulated differently in various types of tumors. To explore the correlation between expression of CCN genes and NSCLC, we used real-time PCR and immunohistochemistry to evaluate the mRNA and protein levels of these three genes in NSCLC and their matched normal lung tissues. Downregulation of *Cyr61* and *CTGF* and upregulation of WISP-1 occurred in the NSCLC samples compared to their normal counterparts, suggesting that these molecules might be associated with tumor formation and progression in NSCLC. Cyr61 is the first cloned member of the CCN family and its regulatory roles in tumor cells have been widely reported in many types of cancers. In breast cancers, Cyr61 is overexpressed and can stimulate tumor progression [24–27]. A gastric adenocarcinoma cell line became more tumorigenic when the cells were genetically engineered to express high levels of Cyr61 [16]. Expression of Cyr61 was high in rhabdomyosarcomas and cell lines derived from malignant melanomas, colon adenocarcinomas, and bladder papillomas [16,28]. Malignant gliomas often have high levels of Cyr61 associated enhanced tumorigenicity mediated through the integrin-linked kinase signaling pathway [29]. Upregulation of Cyr61 expression was recently identified in peritoneal metastases **Table 4.** Univariate survival analysis of expression of Cyr61,CTGF and WISP-1 mRNA in lung cancer and the clinical andpathological of these individuals.

Mean Survival Gender         N         Events (Monts)         SE         P <sup>‡</sup> Gender         0.4012           Male         45         15         8.5280         0.5314           Female         15         5         15.1274         0.6053           Age(year)         0.5039         0.7233         0.5098           ≥59         31         7         15.8039         0.7233           >59         31         11.4247         0.4792           Metastasis         0.0001         0.7333         0.0001           No         29         15.8548         0.3963           Yes         31         18         12.2135         0.8524           Yes         12         3         6.6275         0.6240           Smoke         23         10         12.6333         0.9882           Yes         37         10         15.5517         0.5926           Tumor stage         7         0         Not reached         Not reached           II         18         5         9.1541         0.1925           Tumor stage         9         3         1.2774         0.807           Yes         9         3 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						
Gender         0.4012           Male         45         15         8.5280         0.5314           Female         15         5         15.1274         0.5635           Age(year)         0.0598         ≤59         31         7         15.8039         0.7233           >59         29         13         11.4247         0.4792         0.4792           Metastasis         0.0001         No         29         2         15.8548         0.3963           Yes         31         18         12.2135         0.8556         0.6451           No         48         17         14.9562         0.5524         0.6240           Yes         12         3         6.6275         0.6240         0.0387           No         48         17         14.9562         0.5524         0.0387           Yes         37         10         15.5517         0.5926         0.0387           Tumor stage         0.4774         Not reached         Not reached         Not reached           II         18         5         9.1541         0.1925         0.7314           No         51         17         14.7840         0.6668         0.807	Factors	Ν	Events	Mean Survival (Months)	SE	P <sup>‡</sup>
Male       45       15       8.5280       0.5314         Female       15       5       15.1274       0.5635         Age(year)       0.0598       ≤59       31       7       15.8039       0.7233         >59       29       13       11.4247       0.4792         Metastasis       0.0001       No       29       2       15.8548       0.3963         Yes       31       18       12.2135       0.8556         Family history <sup>†</sup> 0.66275       0.6240         No       48       17       14.9562       0.5524         Yes       12       3       6.6275       0.6240         Smoke       0.0387       0.9882       0.9882         Yes       37       10       15.5517       0.5926         Tumor stage       0.4774       1       0.6353       11         II       12       5       13       13.7476       0.6353         III       18       5       9.1541       0.1925         Tuberculosis       0       3       11.2774       0.807         Yes       9       3       15.5655       1.4845         >300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16 </td <td>Gender</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.4012</td>	Gender					0.4012
Female15515.12740.5635Age(year)0.0598≤5931715.80390.7233>59291311.42470.4792Metastasis0.0001No29215.85480.3963Yes311812.21350.8556Family histor/ <sup>†</sup> 0.6451No481714.95620.5524Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.03870.98820.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.47741170Not reachedII170Not reachedNotIII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tumor size0.37140.86070.3670 $\leq 100  cm^3$ 260Not reachedNotreached101313.75170.6107200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8315.56551.4845≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 1648.92780.8053 <i>Cyr61</i> 01312.40200.3870High expression291312.40200.3870High expression301213.60410.9023 <i>WSP-1</i> 01313.60410.9023 <i>WSP-1</i> 01313.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590	Male	45	15	8.5280	0.5314	
Age(year)0.0598 $\leq 59$ 31715.80390.7233>59291311.42470.4792Metastasis0.0001No29215.85480.3963Yes311812.21350.8556Family history <sup>†</sup> 0.6451No481714.95620.524Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.0387No231012.63330.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tumor size0.3714No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8007 $\leq 100  cm^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 $cm^3$ 1648.92780.8053 $\xirofI$ 7189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388Creff0.902311.93060.3870High expression31713.68140.9023High expression301213.60110.6590High expression301213.60410.6590	Female	15	5	15.1274	0.5635	
≤5931715.80390.7233>59291311.42470.4792Metastasis0.0001No29215.85480.3963Yes311812.21350.8556Family history <sup>†</sup> 0.6451No481714.95620.5524Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.0387No231012.63330.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedIII1859.15410.1925Tuberculosis0.7314Not reachedNot reachedNot reachedYes9311.27740.8807Tumor size0.3670\$1.127740.8107≤100 cm³260Not reachedNot reached100 cm³101313.75170.6107≥300 cm³8315.56551.4845≥300 cm³1648.92780.8053Cyr61211.93060.8388CTGF0.031312.40200.3870High expression31713.68140.9023WSP-10.400812.40200.3870High expression301213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590 <td>Age(year)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0598</td>	Age(year)					0.0598
>59291311.42470.4792Metastasis0.0001No29215.85480.3963Yes311812.21350.8556Family history <sup>†</sup> 0.6451No481714.95620.5524Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.0387No231012.63330.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedIII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tuberculosis0.7314No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807Tumor size00Not reachedNot reached100 cm³1648.92780.8053200 cm³8315.56551.4845≥300 cm³1648.92780.8053Cyr61Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression31713.68140.9023WSP-10.40081213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590	≤59	31	7	15.8039	0.7233	
Metastasis         0.0001           No         29         2         15.8548         0.3963           Yes         31         18         12.2135         0.8556           Family history <sup>†</sup> 0.6451           No         48         17         14.9562         0.5524           Yes         12         3         6.6275         0.6240           Smoke         0.0387         0.0387           No         23         10         12.6333         0.9882           Yes         37         10         15.5517         0.5926           Tumor stage         0.4774         0.5524         0.4774           I         17         0         Not reached         Not reached           III         25         13         13.7476         0.6353           III         18         5         9.1541         0.1925           Tumor size         0.3670         Not reached         Not reached           100 cm <sup>3</sup> 10         13         13.7517         0.6107           200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8         3         15.5655         1.4845           ≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16         4         8.9278         0.8053	>59	29	13	11.4247	0.4792	
No       29       2       15.8548       0.3963         Yes       31       18       12.2135       0.8556         Family history <sup>†</sup> 0.6451         No       48       17       14.9562       0.5524         Yes       12       3       6.6275       0.6240         Smoke       .       0.0387         No       23       10       12.6333       0.9882         Yes       37       10       15.517       0.5926         Tumor stage       .       0.4774         I       17       0       Not reached       Not reached         III       25       13       13.7476       0.6353         IIII       18       5       9.1541       0.1925         Tumor size       .       .       .       .         Ves       9       3       11.2774       0.807         200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8       3       15.5655       1.4845         ≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Ctroft       .       .       .       .       .         Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857	Metastasis					0.0001
Yes311812.21350.8556G.6451No481714.95620.5524Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.0387No231012.63330.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedIII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tumor stage0.73140.6068Not reachedII251313.74760.6068Yes9311.27740.8807Tumor size0.367011.27740.807≤100 cm³260Not reachedNot reached100 cm³101313.75170.6107≥300 cm³1648.92780.8053Cyr610.3870Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.3870High expression291312.40200.3870High expression291312.40200.3870High expression301213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590	No	29	2	15.8548	0.3963	
Family history <sup>↑</sup> 0.6451         No       48       17       14.9562       0.5524         Yes       12       3       6.6275       0.6240         Smoke       0.3387       0.3387       0.3387         No       23       10       12.6333       0.9882         Yes       37       10       15.5517       0.5926         Tumor stage       0.4774       1       17       0       Not reached       Not reached         II       25       13       13.7476       0.6353       11         III       18       5       9.1541       0.1925         Tuberculosis       0.7314       0.8807       0.6068         Yes       9       3       11.2774       0.8067         Yes       9       3       15.7555       1.4845         ≥100 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8       3       15.5655       1.4845         ≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       200 cm <sup>3</sup> 2       11.9306       0.8388         Cyr61       20       13       12.4020 <td>Yes</td> <td>31</td> <td>18</td> <td>12.2135</td> <td>0.8556</td> <td></td>	Yes	31	18	12.2135	0.8556	
No481714.95620.5524Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.0387No231012.63330.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tuberculosis0.7314No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807Tumor size0.3670≤100 cm³260Not reachedNot reached100 cm³101313.75170.6107200 cm³8315.56551.4845≥300 cm³1648.92780.8053 <i>Cyr61</i> 0.0001Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388 <i>CTGF</i> 0.031312.40200.3870High expression31713.68140.9023 <i>WISP-1</i> 0.40081213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	Family history <sup>†</sup>					0.6451
Yes1236.62750.6240Smoke0.0387No231012.63330.9882Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tuberculosis0.7314No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807Tumor size0.3670 $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 cm³101313.75170.6107200 cm³8315.56551.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 1648.92780.8053Cyr610.0001Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.3370High expression291312.40200.3870High expression301213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	No	48	17	14.9562	0.5524	
Smoke       0.0387         No       23       10       12.6333       0.9882         Yes       37       10       15.5517       0.5926         Tumor stage       0.4774         I       17       0       Not reached       Not reached         III       25       13       13.7476       0.6353         III       25       13       13.7476       0.6353         III       18       5       9.1541       0.1925         Tuberculosis       0.7314       0.807       0.7314         No       51       17       14.7840       0.6068         Yes       9       3       11.2774       0.807         Tumor size       0.3670       Not reached       Not reached       Not reached $\leq 100  cm^3$ 10       13       13.7517       0.6107 $\geq 300  cm^3$ 8       3       15.5655       1.4845 $\geq 300  cm^3$ 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       0.0001       Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857         High expression       31       7       13.6814       0.9023	Yes	12	3	6.6275	0.6240	
No       23       10       12.6333       0.9882         Yes       37       10       15.5517       0.5926         Tumor stage       0.4774         I       17       0       Not reached       Not reached         II       25       13       13.7476       0.6353         III       25       13       13.7476       0.6353         III       18       5       9.1541       0.1925         Tuberculosis       0.7314       0.8007       0.7314         No       51       17       14.7840       0.6068         Yes       9       3       11.2774       0.8807         Tumor size       0.3670       Not reached       Not reached         ≤100 cm <sup>3</sup> 26       0       Not reached       Not reached         ≤300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       0.0001         Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857         High expression       33       2       11.9306       0.8388         CTGF       0.0313       12.4020       0.3870         High expression       31       7       <	Smoke					0.0387
Yes371015.55170.5926Tumor stage0.4774I170Not reachedNot reachedII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925Tuberculosis0.7314No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807Tumor size0.3670 $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 cm <sup>3</sup> 101313.75170.6107200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8315.56551.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 1648.92780.8053Cyr610.0001Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388CTGF0.031312.40200.38700.4008High expression31713.68140.9023WISP-10213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	No	23	10	12.6333	0.9882	
Tumor stage0.47741170Not reachedNot reachedII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925 <b>Duberculosis</b> 0.7314No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807 <b>Tumor size</b> 0.3670 $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 cm <sup>3</sup> 101313.75170.6107200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8315.56551.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 1648.92780.8053 <b>Cyr610.0011</b> Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388 <b>CTGF0.0313</b> Low expression291312.40200.3870High expression31713.68140.9023 <i>WISP-1</i> <b>0</b> 1213.60410.6590High expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	Yes	37	10	15.5517	0.5926	
I170Not reachedNot reachedII251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925 <b>D.Tuberculosis0.7314</b> No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807 <b>Tumor size0.3670</b> $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 cm <sup>3</sup> 101313.75170.6107200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8315.56551.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 1648.92780.8053 <b>Cyr610.0001</b> Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388 <b>CTGF0.0313</b> Low expression291312.40200.3870High expression31713.68140.9023 <i>WISP-1</i> <b>0.4008</b> Low expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	Tumor stage					0.4774
II251313.74760.6353III1859.15410.1925 <b>Luberculosis0.7314</b> No511714.78400.6068Yes9311.27740.8807 <b>Tumor size0.3670</b> $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 cm <sup>3</sup> 101313.75170.6107200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8315.56551.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 1648.92780.8053 <b>Cyr610.0001</b> Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression291312.40200.3870High expression291312.40200.3870High expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	I	17	0	Not reached	Not reached	
III       18       5       9.1541       0.1925         Tuberculosis       0.7314         No       51       17       14.7840       0.6068         Yes       9       3       11.2774       0.8807         Tumor size       0.3670         ≤100 cm <sup>3</sup> 26       0       Not reached       Not reached         100 cm <sup>3</sup> 10       13       13.7517       0.6107         200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8       3       15.5655       1.4845         ≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       0.2857       0.0001       0.2857         Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857         High expression       33       2       11.9306       0.8388         CTGF       0.0313       0.0313       0.09023         Low expression       29       13       12.4020       0.3870         High expression       31       7       13.6814       0.9023         WISP-1       0.4008       10.4008       0.4008         Low expression       30       12       13.6041       0.6590         High expression       30       8	Ш	25	13	13.7476	0.6353	
0.7314         No       51       17       14.7840       0.6068         Yes       9       3       11.2774       0.8807         Tumor size       0.3670         ≤ 100 cm <sup>3</sup> 26       0       Not reached       Not reached         100 cm <sup>3</sup> 10       13       13.7517       0.6107         200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8       3       15.5655       1.4845         ≥ 300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       0.0001         Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857         High expression       23       2       11.9306       0.8388         CTGF       0.0313         Low expression       29       13       12.4020       0.3870         High expression       31       7       13.6814       0.9023         WISP-1       0.4008         Low expression       30       12       13.6041       0.6590         High expression       30       8       15.0882       0.8590	III	18	5	9.1541	0.1925	
No         51         17         14.7840         0.6068           Yes         9         3         11.2774         0.8807           Tumor size         0.3670           ≤ 100 cm <sup>3</sup> 26         0         Not reached         Not reached           100 cm <sup>3</sup> 10         13         13.7517         0.6107           200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8         3         15.5655         1.4845           ≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16         4         8.9278         0.8053           Cyr61         0.0001           Low expression         27         18         9.6648         0.2857           High expression         33         2         11.9306         0.8388           CTGF         0.0313           Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	Tuberculosis					0.7314
Yes9311.27740.8807Tumor size0.3670 $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 260Not reachedNot reached100 cm³101313.75170.6107200 cm³8315.56551.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 1648.92780.8053Cyr610.0001Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388CTGF0.0313Low expression291312.40200.3870High expression31713.68140.9023WISP-10.4008Low expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	No	51	17	14.7840	0.6068	
Tumor size         0.3670 $\leq 100 \text{ cm}^3$ 26         0         Not reached         Not reached $100 \text{ cm}^3$ 10         13         13.7517         0.6107 $200 \text{ cm}^3$ 8         3         15.5655         1.4845 $\geq 300 \text{ cm}^3$ 16         4         8.9278         0.8053           Cyr61         0.0001           Low expression         27         18         9.6648         0.2857           High expression         33         2         11.9306         0.8388           CTGF         0.0313           Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	Yes	9	3	11.2774	0.8807	
$ ≤ 100 \text{ cm}^3 26 0 \text{ Not reached } \text{Not reached} $ $ 100 \text{ cm}^3 10 13 13.7517 0.6107 $ $ 200 \text{ cm}^3 8 3 15.5655 1.4845 $ $ ≥ 300 \text{ cm}^3 16 4 8.9278 0.8053 $ $ \textit{Cyr61} 0.0001 $ $ \text{Low expression } 27 18 9.6648 0.2857 $ $ \text{High expression } 33 2 11.9306 0.8388 $ $ \textit{CTGF} 0.0313 $ $ \text{Low expression } 29 13 12.4020 0.3870 $ $ \text{High expression } 31 7 13.6814 0.9023 $ $ \textit{WISP-1} 0.4008 $ $ \text{Low expression } 30 12 13.6041 0.6590 $ $ \text{High expression } 30 8 15.0882 0.8590 $	Tumor size					0.3670
100 cm³101313.75170.6107200 cm³8315.56551.4845≥300 cm³1648.92780.8053Cyr610.0001Low expression27189.66480.2857High expression33211.93060.8388CTGF0.0313Low expression291312.40200.3870High expression31713.68140.9023WISP-10.4008Low expression301213.60410.6590High expression30815.08820.8590	$\leq$ 100 cm <sup>3</sup>	26	0	Not reached	Not reached	
200 cm <sup>3</sup> 8       3       15.5655       1.4845         ≥300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       0.0001       0.0001       0.0001         Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857         High expression       33       2       11.9306       0.8388         CTGF       0.0313       0.0313       0.0313         Low expression       29       13       12.4020       0.3870         High expression       31       7       13.6814       0.9023         WISP-1       0.4008       0.4008       0.6590         High expression       30       12       13.6041       0.6590         High expression       30       8       15.0882       0.8590	100 cm <sup>3</sup>	10	13	13.7517	0.6107	
≥ 300 cm <sup>3</sup> 16       4       8.9278       0.8053         Cyr61       0.0001       0.0001         Low expression       27       18       9.6648       0.2857         High expression       33       2       11.9306       0.8388         CTGF       0.0313       Low expression       29       13       12.4020       0.3870         High expression       31       7       13.6814       0.9023         WISP-1       0.4008         Low expression       30       12       13.6041       0.6590         High expression       30       8       15.0882       0.8590	200 cm <sup>3</sup>	8	3	15.5655	1.4845	
Cyr61         0.0001           Low expression         27         18         9.6648         0.2857           High expression         33         2         11.9306         0.8388           CTGF         0.0313         0.0313         0.0313           Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	$\geq$ 300 cm <sup>3</sup>	16	4	8.9278	0.8053	
Low expression         27         18         9.6648         0.2857           High expression         33         2         11.9306         0.8388           CTGF         0.0313         0.0313         0.0313           Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008         0.6590           High expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	Cyr61					0.0001
High expression         33         2         11.9306         0.8388           CTGF         0.0313           Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	Low expression	27	18	9.6648	0.2857	
CTGF         0.0313           Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	High expression	33	2	11.9306	0.8388	
Low expression         29         13         12.4020         0.3870           High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023 <i>WISP-1</i> 0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	CTGF					0.0313
High expression         31         7         13.6814         0.9023           WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	Low expression	29	13	12.4020	0.3870	
WISP-1         0.4008           Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	High expression	31	7	13.6814	0.9023	
Low expression         30         12         13.6041         0.6590           High expression         30         8         15.0882         0.8590	WISP-1					0.4008
High expression 30 8 15.0882 0.8590	Low expression	30	12	13.6041	0.6590	
	High expression	30	8	15.0882	0.8590	

<sup>†</sup>All patients included in this study were asked whether their living or dead firstdegree relatives (parents, siblings, children) had been affected by a lung malignancy.

 $^{\circ}P{<}0.05$  and P ${<}0.01$  are set for significant and highly significant difference, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.t004

from human pancreatic cancer [30]. Paradoxically, Cyr61 appears to have the opposite role in lung cancer. We have reported that Cyr61 was downregulated in four of 5 samples of lung cancer, and lung cancer cells stably transfected with a Cyr61 expression vector were less tumorigenic than the vector alone transfected control cells [19]. Our further studies showed that forced expression of



Figure 4. Survival curves from the time of diagnosis for NSCLC patients with either high (+) or low (-) expression of *Cyr61* (A), *CTGF* (B), and *WISP-1* (C).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.g004

 Table 5. Cox regression analysis of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1

 mRNA in lung cancer.

-		
 	 	 •••••

Gene	N	RR	95%CI <sup>†</sup>	P <sup>‡</sup>
Cyr61	60	0.047	0.010-0.216	0.0001
CTGF	60	0.357	0.137-0.933	0.0356
WISP-1	60	1.597	0.537-4.748	0.4000

<sup>†</sup>CI: confidence interval

 $^{\ddagger}\text{P}{<}0.05$  and P ${<}0.01$  are set for significant and highly significant difference, respectively.

: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000534.t005

Cyr61 in lung cancer cells resulted in their cell-cycle arrest in G1 phase mediated by p53 [6]. Here, we found that expression of *Cyr61* is decreased in NSCLC samples compared to their matched controls, which strongly supports our former hypothesis. Cyr61 was also reported to inhibit growth of prostate cancer [31], endometrial cancer [32] and leiomyomas [33]. Taken together, the data suggested that Cyr61 might behave as a tumor suppressor under certain circumstances in several tissue types, including NSCLC.

CTGF was identified as a mitogen found in the conditioned medium of human umbilical vein endothelial cells [34]. It encodes a protein of 349 amino acids with 43% sequence identity to Cyr61, and all 38 cysteines in CTGF and Cyr61 are completely conserved. CTGF was found to be overexpressed in mammary tumors [26,35], melanomas [36], pancreatic cancers [37], sarcomas including chondrosarcomas [38,39]; while an inverse correlation has been reported between the malignant phenotype and the level of CTGF expression in fibroblasts and endothelial cell tumors [40]. In our experiments, we found that the level of expression of CTGF in NSCLCs was lower than in the matched normal lung samples, implying its potential tumor-suppressing function. Consistent with our finding, a recent study by Chien et al. [20] showed that CTGF suppressed lung cancer cell growth by induction of p53, as well as, by inhibition of insulin-like growth factor-I dependent Akt phosphorylation and epidermal growth factor-dependent extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 phosphorylation.

On the other hand, we found that *WISP-1* was overexpressed in NSCLC samples compared to their normal lung tissue counterparts, suggesting that WISP-1 might act as an oncoprotein in NSCLC. WISP-1 was identified as a gene that was upregulated in Wnt-1 transformed C57 MG mouse mammary epithelial cells; it has complete conservation of all 38 cysteine residues with those of Cyr61 and CTGF [10]. WISP-1 has been associated with either enhancing or inhibiting growth of tumors. For example, it is strongly expressed in human breast and colon cancers [10]. Forced overexpression of WISP-1 in normal rat kidney fibroblasts (NRK-49F) was sufficient to induce their transformation [11]. In contrast, WISP-1 expression was inversely correlated with proliferation, metastasis and growth of melanoma cells [41,42]. Additionally, our result that upregulation of WISP-1 was positively correlated

#### REFERENCES

- Greenlee RT, Hill-Harmon MB, Murray T, Thun M (2001) Cancer statistics, 2001. CA Cancer J Clin 51: 15–36.
- 2. Travis WD, Travis LB, Devesa SS (1995) Lung cancer. Cancer 75: 191-202.
- Sleeman JP (2000) The lymph node as a bridgehead in the metastatic dissemination of tumors. Recent Results Cancer Res 157: 55–81.
- Pepper MS (2001) Lymphangiogenesis and tumor metastasis: myth or reality? Clin Cancer Res 7: 462–468.
- Chang CC, Shih JY, Jeng YM, Su JL, Lin BZ, et al. (2004) Connective tissue growth factor and its role in lung adenocarcinoma invasion and metastasis. J Natl Cancer Inst 96: 364–375.
- Tong X, O'Kelly J, Xie D, Mori A, Lemp N, et al. (2004) Cyr61 suppresses the growth of non-small-cell lung cancer cells via the beta-catenin-c-myc-p53 pathway. Oncogene 23: 4847–4855.
- Bork P (1993) The modular architecture of a new family of growth regulators related to connective tissue growth factor. FEBS Lett 327: 125–130.
- Brigstock DR (2003) The CCN family: a new stimulus package. J Endocrinol 178: 169–175.
- 9. Perbal B (2004) CCN proteins: multifunctional signalling regulators. Lancet 363: 62–64.
- Pennica D, Swanson TA, Welsh JW, Roy MA, Lawrence DA, et al. (1998) WISP genes are members of the connective tissue growth factor family that are upregulated in wnt-1-transformed cells and aberrantly expressed in human colon tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 14717–14722.
- Xu L, Corcoran RB, Welsh JW, Pennica D, Levine AJ (2000) WISP-1 is a Wnt-1- and beta-catenin-responsive oncogene. Genes Dev 14: 585–595.

with lung cancer metastasis was consistent with findings from a mouse model [21]. Paradoxically, Soon *et al.* found that *in vitro* overexpression of WISP-1 decreased motility of lung cancer cells [22]. Since WISP-1 is a secreted protein and functions mainly by interaction with ECM, the difference in findings might reflect the difference in ECM *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

Univariate statistical analysis further disclosed that low levels of either Cyr61 or CTGF were related with the progression of NSCLC, and the downregulation of Cyr61 and CTGF was more notable in patients with family history than those without family history. These data implied that Cyr61 and CTGF might be tumor suppressor genes in lung cancer. Through multiple linear regression analyses, moreover, we found that clinical features are closely associated with levels of expression of Cyr61, CTGF and WISP-1. Expression level of Cyr61 in 71% lung cancer samples was determined by eight independent variables, mainly by AC, SC and age. Levels of CTGF in NSCLCs were also markedly associated with clinical features, but with some differences. Gender seemed to play a role in expression of CTGF, but not Cyr61. Sex hormone might regulate expression of CTGF, but more work is needed to confirm this impression. Statistical analysis also revealed that levels of these molecules were correlated with one another, suggesting that their regulation may relate to similar signaling pathways. Furthermore, levels of Cyr61, CTGF, and WISP-1 were tightly related to pivotal clinical and prognostic features of NSCLC. Perhaps, examining the levels of these three molecules either in the primary tumor or in the malignant cells from sputa of patients may help guide therapy.

In summary, our study examined the three CCN molecules (*Cyr61*, *CTGF*, and *WISP-1*) and found correlations between their levels and clinical features of NSCLCs. Although the detailed mechanism remains to be investigated, our results might provide new parameters for diagnosis and prognosis of NSCLC.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: DX. Performed the experiments: PC YW. Analyzed the data: YW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: WL SZ LF DL. Wrote the paper: DX YW XS HK XT.

- Perbal B (2001) NOV (nephroblastoma overexpressed) and the CCN family of genes: structural and functional issues. Mol Pathol 54: 57–79.
- Lau LF, Lam SC (1999) The CCN family of angiogenic regulators: the integrin connection. Exp Cell Res 248: 44–57.
- Brigstock DR (1999) The connective tissue growth factor/cysteine-rich 61/ nephroblastoma overexpressed (CCN) family. Endocr Rev 20: 189–206.
- Perbal B (2001) The CCN family of genes: a brief history. Mol Pathol 54: 103–104.
- Babic AM, Kirceva ML, Kolesnikova TV, Lau LF (1998) CYR61, a product of a growth factor-inducible immediate early gene, promotes angiogenesis and tumor growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 6355–6360.
- Igarashi A, Okochi H, Bradham DM, Grotendorst GR (1993) Regulation of connective tissue growth factor gene expression in human skin fibroblasts and during wound repair. Mol Biol Cell 4: 637–645.
- Duncan MR, Frazier KS, Abramson S, Williams S, Klapper H, et al. (1999) Connective tissue growth factor mediates transforming growth factor betainduced collagen synthesis: downregulation by cAMP. Faseb J 13: 1774–1786.
- Tong X, Xie D, O'Kelly J, Miller CW, Muller-Tidow C, et al. (2001) Cyr61, a member of CCN family, is a tumor suppressor in non-small cell lung cancer. J Biol Chem 276: 47709–47714.
- Chien W, Yin D, Gui D, Mori A, Frank JM, et al. (2006) Suppression of cell proliferation and signaling transduction by connective tissue growth factor in non-small cell lung cancer cells. Mol Cancer Res 4: 591–598.
- Margalit O, Eisenbach L, Amariglio N, Kaminski N, Harmelin A, et al. (2003) Overexpression of a set of genes, including WISP-1, common to pulmonary

metastases of both mouse D122 Lewis lung carcinoma and B16-F10.9 melanoma cell lines. Br J Cancer 89: 314–319.

- Soon LL, Yie TA, Shvarts A, Levine AJ, Su F, et al. (2003) Overexpression of WISP-1 downregulated motility and invasion of lung cancer cells through inhibition of Rac activation. J Biol Chem 278: 11465–11470.
- Xie D, Yin D, Wang HJ, Liu GT, Elashoff R, et al. (2004) Levels of expression of CYR61 and CTGF are prognostic for tumor progression and survival of individuals with gliomas. Clin Cancer Res 10: 2072–2081.
- Xie D, Miller CW, O'Kelly J, Nakachi K, Sakashita A, et al. (2001) Breast cancer. Cyr61 is overexpressed, estrogen-inducible, and associated with more advanced disease. J Biol Chem 276: 14187–14194.
- Sampath D, Winneker RC, Zhang Z (2001) Cyr61, a member of the CCN family, is required for MCF-7 cell proliferation: regulation by 17beta-estradiol and overexpression in human breast cancer. Endocrinology 142: 2540–2548.
- Xie D, Nakachi K, Wang H, Elashoff R, Koeffler HP (2001) Elevated levels of connective tissue growth factor, WISP-1, and CYR61 in primary breast cancers associated with more advanced features. Cancer Res 61: 8917–8923.
- Tsai MS, Hornby AE, Lakins J, Lupu R (2000) Expression and function of CYR61, an angiogenic factor, in breast cancer cell lines and tumor biopsies. Cancer Res 60: 5603–5607.
- Genini M, Schwalbe P, Scholl FA, Schafer BW (1996) Isolation of genes differentially expressed in human primary myoblasts and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. Int J Cancer 66: 571–577.
- Xie D, Yin D, Tong X, O'Kelly J, Mori A, et al. (2004) Cyr61 is overexpressed in gliomas and involved in integrin-linked kinase-mediated Akt and beta-catenin-TCF/Lef signaling pathways. Cancer Res 64: 1987–1996.
- Holloway SE, Beck AW, Girard L, Jaber MR, Barnett CC Jr, et al. (2005) Increased expression of Cyr61 (CCN1) identified in peritoneal metastases from human pancreatic cancer. J Am Coll Surg 200: 371–377.
- Pilarsky CP, Schmidt U, Eissrich C, Stade J, Froschermaier SE, et al. (1998) Expression of the extracellular matrix signaling molecule Cyr61 is downregulated in prostate cancer. Prostate 36: 85–91.
- Chien W, Kumagai T, Miller CW, Desmond JC, Frank JM, et al. (2004) Cyr61 suppresses growth of human endometrial cancer cells. J Biol Chem 279: 53087–53096.
- Sampath D, Zhu Y, Winneker RC, Zhang Z (2001) Aberrant expression of Cyr61, a member of the CCN (CTGF/Cyr61/Cef10/NOVH) family, and

dysregulation by 17 beta-estradiol and basic fibroblast growth factor in human uterine leiomyomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86: 1707–1715.

- Bradham DM, Igarashi A, Potter RL, Grotendorst GR (1991) Connective tissue growth factor: a cysteine-rich mitogen secreted by human vascular endothelial cells is related to the SRC-induced immediate early gene product CEF-10. J Cell Biol 114: 1285–1294.
- Frazier KS, Grotendorst GR (1997) Expression of connective tissue growth factor mRNA in the fibrous stroma of mammary tumors. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 29: 153–161.
- Kubo M, Kikuchi K, Nashiro K, Kakinuma T, Hayashi N, et al. (1998) Expression of fibrogenic cytokines in desmoplastic malignant melanoma. Br J Dermatol 139: 192–197.
- Wenger C, Ellenrieder V, Alber B, Lacher U, Menke A, et al. (1999) Expression and differential regulation of connective tissue growth factor in pancreatic cancer cells. Oncogene 18: 1073–1080.
- Steffen CL, Ball-Mirth DK, Harding PA, Bhattacharyya N, Pillai S, et al. (1998) Characterization of cell-associated and soluble forms of connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) produced by fibroblast cells in vitro. Growth Factors 15: 199–213.
- 39. Nakanishi T, Kimura Y, Tamura T, Ichikawa H, Yamaai Y, et al. (1997) Cloning of a mRNA preferentially expressed in chondrocytes by differential display-PCR from a human chondrocytic cell line that is identical with connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) mRNA. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 234: 206–210.
- Igarashi A, Hayashi N, Nashiro K, Takehara K (1998) Differential expression of connective tissue growth factor gene in cutaneous fibrohistiocytic and vascular tumors. J Cutan Pathol 25: 143–148.
- Hashimoto Y, Shindo-Okada N, Tani M, Takeuchi K, Toma H, et al. (1996) Identification of genes differentially expressed in association with metastatic potential of K-1735 murine melanoma by messenger RNA differential display. Cancer Res 56: 5266–5271.
- 42. Hashimoto Y, Shindo-Okada N, Tani M, Nagamachi Y, Takeuchi K, et al. (1998) Expression of the Elm1 gene, a novel gene of the CCN (connective tissue growth factor, Cyr61/Cef10, and neuroblastoma overexpressed gene) family, suppresses In vivo tumor growth and metastasis of K-1735 murine melanoma cells. J Exp Med 187: 289–296.