UC Berkeley

Title
Aversion hot spots in the dopamine system

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/45m4x1vb

Authors
Verharen, Jeroen PH
Zhu, Yichen
Lammel, Stephan

Aversion Hot Spots in the Dopamine System

Jeroen P.H. Verharen, **Yichen Zhu**, **Stephan Lammel**[*]

Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute University of California Berkeley, USA

Abstract

Through the development of optogenetics and other viral vector-based technologies, our view of the dopamine system has substantially advanced over the last decade. In particular, progress has been made in the reclassification of dopamine neurons based on subtypes displaying specific projections, which are associated with different features at the molecular, anatomical, molecular and behavioral level. Together, these discoveries have raised the possibility that individual groups of dopamine cells make a unique contribution to the processing of reward and aversion. Here, we review recent studies that have identified non-canonical dopamine pathways that are activated in response to aversive stimuli, including dopamine projections to the ventromedial shell of the nucleus accumbens, prefrontal cortex, tail of the striatum and amygdala.

Dopamine: From Reward to Aversion

For the survival of any organism, it is crucial to avoid stimuli that are potentially harmful to the body, including toxins, predators and mechanical stressors. Accordingly, a large part of the brain is dedicated to avoiding environments and stimuli that may expose one to such harmful agents, providing an aversion-based learning system that promotes avoidance and defensive behaviors [1,2]. Historically, the dopamine (DA) system has mostly been associated with reward and reward-based learning, but a substantial body of evidence suggests a similarly important role for this system in the processing of aversive experiences [3–8].

A quantitative way to describe the role of DA in value-based learning is based on the reward prediction error (RPE) hypothesis, which represents the difference between the predicted reward and the reward actually received [9,10]. As such, the activity of DA neurons, dependent on the experience of an organism at any moment in time, is thought to correlate directly with the magnitude of this RPE. Thus, when a received reward is higher than the predicted reward, there is a positive RPE, resulting in increased firing of DA neurons; and

[*]**Correspondence to:** Stephan Lammel, Ph.D., Department of Molecular and Cell Biology and Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, 142 Life Science Addition #3200, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA, Telephone: 510-664-7821, lammel@berkeley.edu.

Conflict of interest statement
Nothing declared.

when a received reward is lower than the predicted reward, the RPE is negative, and DA neuronal firing is decreased. Finally, when a received reward could be fully predicted by an organism, the RPE is zero and the rate of DA neuron firing does not change. Similarly, an unexpected aversive event, such as a punishment, evokes a negative RPE-evoked reduction in DA neuron firing, whereas a fully predictable aversive event does not change firing [9,11,12]. In this way, DA is thought to directly contribute to computations that are necessary to guide learning processes in the brain, consistent with influential theoretical models of value-based learning [13,14].

Although encoding of RPE by DA neurons has been well established, data from many laboratories over the last decades have shown that that not all DA neurons strictly follow the RPE model. Indeed, our view of the DA system has changed substantially over the last decade. For example, reclassification of DA neurons based on subtypes displaying specific projections, which are correlated with different features at the molecular, anatomical, and electrophysiological level [15–19], has given strong emphasis to the possibility that individual groups of DA cells show very different responses to rewarding and aversive stimuli. In direct contrast with the RPE hypothesis, increased DA activity or DA release in response to aversive events has been reported [20–25]. The idea that DA may not act as a unitary 'reward signal' has led to a long-standing controversy in the field [5,8,26]. However, through the advancement of viral vector-based approaches (e.g., cell type- and projection-specific electrophysiology, *in vivo* imaging and optogenetics), convincing evidence has been provided that DA neurons can be divided into a much larger number of functionally distinct populations than previously assumed [27–33].

In this short review, we highlight recent studies that have identified non-canonical (i.e., aversion-activated) DA neurons based on their specific downstream projection targets (Figure 1). While we mainly focus on ventral tegmental area (VTA) DA neurons projecting to subregions of the nucleus accumbens (NAc; mesolimbic pathway) or medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC; mesocortical pathway), we will also briefly discuss amygdala-projecting DA neurons (mesoamygdaloid pathway) and DA neurons in the lateral aspect of the substantia nigra (SN) projecting to tail of the striatum (TS), as well as DA neurons located in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DR) and periaqueductal gray (PAG).

The Striatum

With regard to aversive learning, a formal distinction can be made between (1) instrumental aversive learning, which is comprised of (1a) punishment learning and (1b) active avoidance and escape learning, and (2) aversive Pavlovian conditioning [34]. A punishment refers to a direct adverse outcome of an action, and it suppresses the future expression of that behavior. In contrast, during active avoidance and escape learning, an animal learns to take an action in order to avoid an aversive stimulus, such as a foot shock (in a laboratory) or predator attack (in the wild). Finally, during aversive Pavlovian conditioning, a neutral stimulus (e.g., sound) is paired with an aversive stimulus (e.g., foot shock), leading to a stimulus-outcome association that is independent of an animal's behavior.

Although such a formal distinction is useful for studying aversion in a laboratory setting, in real life situations, these processes often occur in concert. For example, when a foraging animal endures a predator attack, it may suppress future exploratory behaviors in order to avoid attacks (*punishment*). In addition, it may escape from a location that resembles the place in which the attack has occurred (*active avoidance*), which may especially be the case when it hears, sees or smells the same predator (*Pavlovian conditioning*). That being said, there is considerable evidence that different brain structures are involved in these distinct types of aversive learning [34].

The striatum is a major target of midbrain DA neurons, and it is ideally positioned to be a key structure for processing aversive stimuli. The striatum not only receives many cortical and subcortical inputs related to processing of sensory cues, location, memory and emotion, and as such may guide which cues need to be avoided, but it also represents an important output to basal ganglia structures, thereby allowing an animal to quickly respond to overt threats [35–38]. While the striatum is often divided into a ventral and dorsal subdivision, based upon the function of and connection from VTA and SN DA neurons, respectively, more recent studies have suggested more complex DA innervation patterns along a mediolateral gradient that applies to both the ventral and dorsal striatum [16,28,30,39–41]. However, whether DA neurons projecting to the striatum, and in particular the NAc, are excited or inhibited during aversive experiences has been controversial for many decades. There is a large body of literature based on microdialysis and fast-scan cyclic voltammetry showing that a number of different noxious stimuli (e.g., tail pinch, foot shock, stress) can both increase and decrease DA transmission in the NAc [6,20,21,24,42,43]. In contrast, most electrophysiological studies reported that the majority of VTA DA neurons are inhibited in response to aversive stimuli [9,11,12] (cf. [22,23,44]), consistent with RPE theory.

Several attempts have been made to explain the increase in DA release in response to aversive stimuli, and to resolve the apparent discrepancy between microdialysis and voltammetry recordings (showing differential responses to aversive stimuli) and electrophysiological recordings (showing unitary encoding of RPE). For example, it has been proposed that (1) DA neurons form a heterogeneous population tuned to either rewarding, aversive and/or both (in terms of general motivational salience) stimuli [5,8], (2) aversive stimuli may reflect physical impact rather than aversiveness [9,26,45], (3) reward or relief is experienced when an aversive stimulus is terminated [9,46], (4) high-reward contexts may contribute to the excitatory responses to aversive stimuli [47], and (5) DA neurons transmit a safety signal when an animal avoids an aversive event successfully [48,49].

With the advancement of novel cell type- and projection-specific targeting strategies, the argument that DA neurons show high levels of anatomical and functional heterogeneity has received considerable attention over recent years. These studies have given strong emphasis to the possibility that DA neurons, defined by their downstream projection targets, make a unique contribution to the processing of rewarding and aversive stimuli [27,28,30–33]. For example, de Jong et al. simultaneously recorded DA release in different ventral striatal subregions during an aversive conditioning task using *dLight* fiber photometry [28]. They found that DA was released in the ventromedial striatum (i.e., ventral NAc medial shell

(vNAcMed)) in response to unexpected aversive outcomes and to cues that predict them, whereas DA release in other ventral striatal subregions (e.g., NAc lateral shell) was persistently decreased. Such a spatial separation is consistent with a previous fast-scan cyclic voltammetry study demonstrating that a fear-evoking cue increased DA release in the NAc medial shell [21]. The finding that vNAcMed-projecting neurons release DA in response to aversive stimuli was corroborated by another research group that systematically compared calcium dynamics in DA terminals across different subregions of the NAc [33]. Interestingly, ablation of glutamatergic neurons in the lateral hypothalamus led to an inability of vNAcMed-projecting DA neurons to respond to aversion-predictive cues, without affecting the neurons' propensity to release DA in response to the aversive event itself [28], which points to a pivotal role of this pathway in aversive learning.

In addition to the vNAcMed, other striatal aversive hot spots have been identified in the posterior dorsolateral striatum and tail of the striatum. For example, Lerner et al. described two functional classes of DA neurons located medially and laterally in the SN which project to dorsomedial and dorsolateral striatum, respectively; the dorsomedial striatum-projecting DA neurons showed a marked decrease in activity at the time of an aversive stimulus, whereas the dorsolateral striatum-projecting DA neurons showed an increase [30]. These findings are consistent with those put forward by Matsumoto and Hikosaka (2009), who showed that DA neurons located more laterally within the SN increase firing in response to aversive stimuli [23], as well as Menegas et al., who reported that aversion-activated DA neurons in the lateral SN project to the tail of the striatum (TS) [50]. This latter study also demonstrated that optogenetic stimulation of DA terminals in the TS caused avoidance in a real-time place preference assay in mice [32], as opposed to place preference that would be expected when optogenetically stimulating VTA DA cell bodies in a non-projection defined manner [51]. Interestingly, DA terminals in the TS were activated by novel stimuli (either neutral, aversive or rewarding), and these novelty responses attenuated over time when these cues were paired with certain unconditioned stimuli [52]. Similarly, de Jong et al. showed that vNAcMed-projecting DA neurons responded to unpredicted rewarding stimuli [28] suggesting that both vNAcMed- and TS-projecting DA neurons, though anatomically separated in terms of cell body location (medial VTA versus lateral SN), may signal motivational salience. Additionally, medial VTA and lateral SN DA neurons express vesicular glutamate transporter 2 genes (*VGLUT2*) suggesting that they are capable of co-releasing glutamate and DA. Conversely, canonical (i.e., RPE-encoding) DA neurons in the lateral VTA and medial SN DA neurons lack expression of *VGLUT2* [18,41,53]. Thus, an unresolved question is what the functional role of glutamate and DA co-release in these neurons is, and whether it is necessary for aversion-related behaviors [54].

The Medial Prefrontal Cortex

While DA release in the mPFC has shown to be involved in complex forms of decision-making, planning and working memory [55–57], there is also evidence that it is crucial for certain aversion-based learning processes. This could be due to the mPFC being a "top-down" controller of reward-based decision making [56,58]. In other words, when consequences associated with a behavior become aversive, the mPFC may be involved in decreasing the frequency of that behavior through behavioral inhibition. Abercrombie et al.

(1989) was one of the first studies that observed increased DA transmission in the mPFC in response to aversive tail-shock stress [59]. Since then, several studies have suggested, though often based on indirect evidence, that the mesocortical DA pathway may play a role in processing aversion-related information.

For example, Lammel et al. (2011) showed that excitatory inputs onto mesocortical DA neurons are potentiated in response to an aversive experience [60]. The same authors showed in a subsequent study that lateral habenula neurons selectively target both mesocortical DA neurons and GABAergic neurons in the rostromedial tegmentum and that activation of these lateral habenula efferents promotes place aversion [61]. More direct evidence for a role of the mesocortical DA pathway in aversion is based on experimental data showing that optogenetic stimulation of VTA DA terminals in the mPFC promotes conditioned place aversion [62], although it should be noted that in another study optogenetic stimulation of that same pathway had no effect on place preference behavior [63]. Indeed, a key challenge for studying the mesocortical pathway is the relatively sparse DA innervation of the mPFC in mice as compared to the dense DA innervation of the striatum. In addition, there seems to be no or very little expression of the dopamine transporter in the mesocortical DA system [16], which together presents a caveat for performing genetically-targeted optogenetic manipulations or fiber photometry recordings when using transgenic mouse lines [64,65].

Despite these limitations, Kim et al. (2016) successfully used fiber photometry to study calcium transients in DA terminals in the mPFC in response to different salient stimuli. They found increased calcium transients in response to an aversive tail shock, but not to a water reward [29]. More recently, Vander Weele et al. (2018) confirmed these findings and further suggested that DA release increases the signal-to-noise ratio of neuronal responses to aversive stimuli of mPFC neurons projecting to the dorsal periaqueductal gray [66]. Although these studies point to a role for DA release in the mPFC in aversive behaviors, it will be important to further examine how these findings integrate with other well-established DA-dependent cortical functions such as working memory.

The Amygdala

VTA DA neurons also project to the basolateral amygdala, a brain region that is well known to be involved in fear responses through aversive Pavlovian conditioning [67–69]. As such, it has been demonstrated that restoration of DA transmission in the basolateral amygdala of DA-deficient mice can restore some short-term aspects of Pavlovian fear memory [70]. However, these effects may extend beyond aversive Pavlovian conditioning, since DA can also potentiate appetitive Pavlovian conditioning through activation of DA D1 receptors in the lateral amygdala [71]. More recently, Lutas et al. (2019) demonstrated that VTA DA terminals in the basal amygdala are excited by stimuli that carry motivational salience and cues that predict them. The authors further showed that hunger reduced excitatory responses of DA terminals to reward while simultaneously strengthening excitatory responses to aversive stimuli, indicating that activity of the mesoamygdaloid DA pathway is dependent on the motivational state of the animal [31]. Together, these studies suggest an important role of amygdala-projecting DA neurons in mediating cue-outcome associations, irrespective of the valence of the outcome.

Dopamine Neurons in Dorsal Raphe and Periaqueductal Gray

Thus far, we have focused on brain regions that represent prominent downstream projection targets of VTA and SN DA neurons. However, DA neurons are also located in the DR and PAG, although they are more sparsely distributed when compared to the ventral midbrain [72]. It remains debatable whether DA neurons in the DR and PAG represent separate populations, since both structures are adjacent to each other and DA cells in both regions project to the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and the central nucleus of the amygdala [27,72–74]. That being said, recent studies have suggested an important role of these DA neurons in aversion-related behaviors. For example, Matthews et al. demonstrated a role for DR DA neurons in the aversive properties of social isolation in mice. As such, social isolation evoked synaptic changes in DR DA cells, and *in vivo* calcium imaging revealed that these neurons showed higher responses during social interaction when the subject had been socially isolated. In addition, optogenetic activation of DR DA neurons caused place avoidance, while it also increased social preference (similar to prolonged social isolation), suggesting a potential role of these neurons in mediating 'loneliness' [74]. More recently, Groessl et al. (2018) showed that DA is released by DR/PAG neurons in response to foot shock, and contributes to aversive Pavlovian conditioning by facilitating plasticity in the central amygdala. Interestingly, during the aversive Pavlovian learning process, the responses of these DR/PAG DA neurons shifted from the unconditioned (shock) to the conditioned (shock-predicting tone) stimulus, suggesting that these neurons encode a 'sign inversed' RPE signal, rather than general motivational salience, thereby providing a neurocomputational mechanism through which DR/PAG DA may facilitate learning [27]. Together, these studies point to a unique role of DR/PAG DA neurons in specific types of aversion-related motivated behaviors.

Conclusions

Historically, DA is thought to contribute to aversive learning processes through homogeneous coding of RPEs, thereby reinforcing and punishing actions that triggered rewarding or aversive stimuli, respectively. However, a growing body of evidence suggests a more complex picture: several subpopulations of DA neurons have been discovered that show robust activation in response to aversive stimuli and cues that predict them. These DA neurons may be identified based on their specific projection targets to vNAcMed, mPFC, amygdala and TS. They seem to represent non-canonical DA neurons that have electrophysiological and molecular properties that are largely different from classical DA neurons in the lateral VTA, which are known to be inhibited in response to aversive events. Interestingly, DA subpopulations that are activated in response to aversive stimuli are clustered in the medial VTA and to some degree in the lateral SN as well as DR/PAG.

The discovery and delineation of these aversive hot spots now paves the way for a more thorough investigation into the anatomy, genetics and function of the DA subtypes that innervate these aforementioned structures. While an extensive amount of research has focused on the role of lateral VTA DA neurons underlying RPE encoding, much remains to be learned from medial VTA DA neurons and how these cells may contribute to aversive learning. A key challenge will be to identify pathway-specific molecular markers that can be

used to selectively target DA cell subtypes [18,75,76]. Although it will certainly take some time to develop a detailed understanding of medial VTA DA neurons in a way we have achieved it for the lateral VTA, the initial discoveries of aversive DA hot spots provide crucial new insights into the functional architecture of the DA system. Together with recent advances in human neuroimaging of the DA system [4,77,78], it is possible that continued research on the heterogeneity of DA neurons will shed light on how anatomically and functionally distinct DA subsystems contribute to the variety of symptoms in neuropsychiatric disorders.

Acknowledgements

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

• of special interest

•• of outstanding interest

1. Hu H: Reward and Aversion. Annu Rev Neurosci 2016, 39:297–324. [PubMed: 27145915]

2. Tovote P, Esposito MS, Botta P, Chaudun F, Fadok JP, Markovic M, Wolff SBE, Ramakrishnan C, Fenno L, Deisseroth K, et al.: Midbrain circuits for defensive behaviour. Nature 2016, 534:206–12. [PubMed: 27279213]

3. Bromberg-Martin ES, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O: Dopamine in Motivational Control: Rewarding, Aversive, and Alerting. Neuron 2010, 68:815–834. [PubMed: 21144997]

4. Brooks AM, Berns GS: Aversive stimuli and loss in the mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. Trends Cogn Sci 2013, 17:281–286. [PubMed: 23623264]

5. Lammel S, Lim BK, Malenka RC: Reward and aversion in a heterogeneous midbrain dopamine system. Neuropharmacology 2014, 76 Pt B:351–359. [PubMed: 23578393]

6. McCutcheon JE, Ebner SR, Loriaux AL, Roitman MF: Encoding of Aversion by Dopamine and the Nucleus Accumbens. Front Neurosci 2012, 6:137. [PubMed: 23055953]

7. Salamone JD, Correa M: The Mysterious Motivational Functions of Mesolimbic Dopamine. Neuron 2012, 76:470–485. [PubMed: 23141060]

8. Watabe-Uchida M, Uchida N: Multiple Dopamine Systems: Weal and Woe of Dopamine. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 2018, 83:83–95. [PubMed: 30787046]

9. Schultz W: Dopamine reward prediction-error signalling: a two-component response. Nat Rev Neurosci 2016, 17:183. [PubMed: 26865020]

10. Schultz W, Dayan P, Montague PR: A neural substrate of prediction and reward. Science 1997, 275:1593–1599. [PubMed: 9054347]

11. Mirenowicz J, Schultz W: Preferential activation of midbrain dopamine neurons by appetitive rather than aversive stimuli. Nature 1996, 379:449–51. [PubMed: 8559249]

12. Ungless MA, Magill PJ, Bolam JP: Uniform inhibition of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area by aversive stimuli. Science 2004, 303:2040–2042. [PubMed: 15044807]

13. Rescorla RA, Wagner AR: A Theory of Pavlovian Conditioning: Variations in the Effectiveness of Reinforcement and Nonreinforcement In Classical conditioning II: current research and theory. Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1972.

14. Sutton RS, Barto AG: Reinforcement learning: an introduction. The MIT Press; 2018.

15. Farassat N, Costa KM, Stojanovic S, Albert S, Kovacheva L, Shin J, Egger R, Somayaji M, Duvarci S, Schneider G, et al.: In vivo functional diversity of midbrain dopamine neurons within

identified axonal projections. eLife 2019, 8:e48408 [PubMed: 31580257] • Paper showing distinct in vivo electrophysiological properties of VTA DA neurons with different projection targets.

16. Lammel S, Hetzel A, Hackel O, Jones I, Liss B, Roeper J: Unique properties of mesoprefrontal neurons within a dual mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. Neuron 2008, 57:760–73. [PubMed: 18341995]

17. Morales M, Margolis EB: Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and behaviour. Nat Rev Neurosci 2017, 18:73–85. [PubMed: 28053327]

18. Poulin J-F, Caronia G, Hofer C, Cui Q, Helm B, Ramakrishnan C, Chan CS, Dombeck DA, Deisseroth K, Awatramani R: Mapping projections of molecularly defined dopamine neuron subtypes using intersectional genetic approaches. Nat Neurosci 2018, 21:1260–1271. [PubMed: 30104732] • Identification of molecular markers in projection-defined dopamine neurons.

19. Roeper J: Dissecting the diversity of midbrain dopamine neurons. Trends Neurosci 2013, 36:336–342. [PubMed: 23582338]

20. Anstrom KK, Miczek KA, Budygin EA: Increased phasic dopamine signaling in the mesolimbic pathway during social defeat in rats. Neuroscience 2009, 161:3–12. [PubMed: 19298844]

21. Badrinarayan A, Wescott SA, Vander Weele CM, Saunders BT, Couturier BE, Maren S, Aragona BJ: Aversive Stimuli Differentially Modulate Real-Time Dopamine Transmission Dynamics within the Nucleus Accumbens Core and Shell. J Neurosci 2012, 32:15779–15790. [PubMed: 23136417]

22. Brischoux F, Chakraborty S, Brierley DI, Ungless MA: Phasic excitation of dopamine neurons in ventral VTA by noxious stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2009, 106:4894–4899. [PubMed: 19261850]

23. Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O: Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive and negative motivational signals. Nature 2009, 459:837–841. [PubMed: 19448610]

24. Young AMJ: Increased extracellular dopamine in nucleus accumbens in response to unconditioned and conditioned aversive stimuli: studies using 1 min microdialysis in rats. J Neurosci Methods 2004, 138:57–63. [PubMed: 15325112]

25. Zweifel LS, Fadok JP, Argilli E, Garelick MG, Jones GL, Dickerson TMK, Allen JM, Mizumori SJY, Bonci A, Palmiter RD: Activation of dopamine neurons is critical for aversive conditioning and prevention of generalized anxiety. Nat Neurosci 2011, 14:620–626. [PubMed: 21499253]

26. Schultz W: Recent advances in understanding the role of phasic dopamine activity. F1000Research 2019, 8:1680.

27. Groessl F, Munsch T, Meis S, Griessner J, Kaczanowska J, Pliota P, Kargl D, Badurek S, Kraitsy K, Rassoulpour A, et al.: Dorsal tegmental dopamine neurons gate associative learning of fear. Nat Neurosci 2018, 21:952–962. [PubMed: 29950668]

28. de Jong JW, Afjei SA, Pollak Dorocic I, Peck JR, Liu C, Kim CK, Tian L, Deisseroth K, Lammel S: A Neural Circuit Mechanism for Encoding Aversive Stimuli in the Mesolimbic Dopamine System. Neuron 2019, 101:133–151.e7. [PubMed: 30503173] •• Study showing that aversive stimuli and cues that predict them increase DA release in the ventromedial region of the NAc shell. These cells receive direct excitatory input from the lateral hypothalamus.

29. Kim CK, Yang SJ, Pichamoorthy N, Young NP, Kauvar I, Jennings JH, Lerner TN, Berndt A, Lee SY, Ramakrishnan C, et al.: Simultaneous fast measurement of circuit dynamics at multiple sites across the mammalian brain. Nat Methods 2016, 13:325–328. [PubMed: 26878381]

30. Lerner TN, Shilyansky C, Davidson TJ, Evans KE, Beier KT, Zalocusky KA, Crow AK, Malenka RC, Luo L, Tomer R, et al.: Intact-Brain Analyses Reveal Distinct Information Carried by SNc Dopamine Subcircuits. Cell 2015, 162:635–647. [PubMed: 26232229]

31. Lutas A, Kucukdereli H, Alturkistani O, Carty C, Sugden AU, Fernando K, Diaz V, Flores-Maldonado V, Andermann ML: State-specific gating of salient cues by midbrain dopaminergic input to basal amygdala. Nat Neurosci 2019, 22:1820–1833. [PubMed: 31611706] •• Study showing that dopamine neurons projecting to the basal amygdala encode a signal of motivational salience that invigorates adaptive behaviors.

32. Menegas W, Akiti K, Amo R, Uchida N, Watabe-Uchida M: Dopamine neurons projecting to the posterior striatum reinforce avoidance of threatening stimuli. Nat Neurosci 2018, 21:1421–1430. [PubMed: 30177795] •• Important study showing that DA neurons projecting to the tail of striatum are activated by salient stimuli and have a role in avoidance learning.

33. Yuan L, Dou Y-N, Sun Y-G: Topography of Reward and Aversion Encoding in the Mesolimbic Dopaminergic System. J Neurosci 2019, 39:6472–6481. [PubMed: 31217328] • Systematic comparison of the responses of mesolimbic dopamine subpopulations following various rewarding and aversive stimuli.

34. Jean-Richard-Dit-Bressel P, Killcross S, McNally GP: Behavioral and neurobiological mechanisms of punishment: implications for psychiatric disorders. Neuropsychopharmacol 2018, 43:1639–1650.

35. Floresco SB: The nucleus accumbens: an interface between cognition, emotion, and action. Annu Rev Psychol 2015, 66:25–52. [PubMed: 25251489]

36. Kravitz AV, Tye LD, Kreitzer AC: Distinct roles for direct and indirect pathway striatal neurons in reinforcement. Nat Neurosci 2012, 15:816–818. [PubMed: 22544310]

37. Le Moine C, Normand E, Bloch B: Phenotypical characterization of the rat striatal neurons expressing the D1 dopamine receptor gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991, 88:4205–4209. [PubMed: 1827915]

38. da Silva JA, Tecuapetla F, Paixão V, Costa RM: Dopamine neuron activity before action initiation gates and invigorates future movements. Nature 2018, 554:244–248. [PubMed: 29420469]

39. Haber SN, Fudge JL, McFarland NR: Striatonigrostriatal pathways in primates form an ascending spiral from the shell to the dorsolateral striatum. J Neurosci 2000, 20:2369–2382. [PubMed: 10704511]

40. Voorn P, Vanderschuren LJMJ, Groenewegen HJ, Robbins TW, Pennartz CMA: Putting a spin on the dorsal-ventral divide of the striatum. Trends Neurosci 2004, 27:468–474. [PubMed: 15271494]

41. Yang H, de Jong JW, Tak Y, Peck J, Bateup HS, Lammel S: Nucleus Accumbens Subnuclei Regulate Motivated Behavior via Direct Inhibition and Disinhibition of VTA Dopamine Subpopulations. Neuron 2018, 97:434–449.e4. [PubMed: 29307710]

42. Roitman MF, Wheeler RA, Wightman RM, Carelli RM: Real-time chemical responses in the nucleus accumbens differentiate rewarding and aversive stimuli. Nat Neurosci 2008, 11:1376–1377. [PubMed: 18978779]

43. Tidey JW, Miczek KA: Social defeat stress selectively alters mesocorticolimbic dopamine release: an in vivo microdialysis study. Brain Res 1996, 721:140–9. [PubMed: 8793094]

44. Guarraci FA, Kapp BS: An electrophysiological characterization of ventral tegmental area dopaminergic neurons during differential pavlovian fear conditioning in the awake rabbit. Behav Brain Res 1999, 99:169–179. [PubMed: 10512583]

45. Fiorillo CD: Two Dimensions of Value: Dopamine Neurons Represent Reward But Not Aversiveness. Science 2013, 341:546–549. [PubMed: 23908236]

46. Tanimoto H, Heisenberg M, Gerber B: Experimental psychology: event timing turns punishment to reward. Nature 2004, 430:983. [PubMed: 15329711]

47. Matsumoto H, Tian J, Uchida N, Watabe-Uchida M: Midbrain dopamine neurons signal aversion in a reward-context-dependent manner. elife 2016, 5:e17328. [PubMed: 27760002]

48. Luo R, Uematsu A, Weitemier A, Aquili L, Koivumaa J, McHugh TJ, Johansen JP: A dopaminergic switch for fear to safety transitions. Nat Commun 2018, 9:2483. [PubMed: 29950562]

49. Oleson EB, Gentry RN, Chioma VC, Cheer JF: Subsecond Dopamine Release in the Nucleus Accumbens Predicts Conditioned Punishment and Its Successful Avoidance. J Neurosci 2012, 32:14804–14808. [PubMed: 23077064]

50. Menegas W, Bergan JF, Ogawa SK, Isogai Y, Umadevi Venkataraju K, Osten P, Uchida N, Watabe-Uchida M: Dopamine neurons projecting to the posterior striatum form an anatomically distinct subclass. eLife 2015, 4:e10032. [PubMed: 26322384]

51. Tsai H-C, Zhang F, Adamantidis A, Stuber GD, Bonci A, de Lecea L, Deisseroth K: Phasic Firing in Dopaminergic Neurons Is Sufficient for Behavioral Conditioning. Science 2009, 324:1080–1084. [PubMed: 19389999]

52. Menegas W, Babayan BM, Uchida N, Watabe-Uchida M: Opposite initialization to novel cues in dopamine signaling in ventral and posterior striatum in mice. eLife 2017, 6: e21886. [PubMed: 28054919]

53. Stuber GD, Hnasko TS, Britt JP, Edwards RH, Bonci A: Dopaminergic Terminals in the Nucleus Accumbens But Not the Dorsal Striatum Corelease Glutamate. J Neurosci 2010, 30:8229–8233. [PubMed: 20554874]

54. Root DH, Estrin DJ, Morales M: Aversion or Salience Signaling by Ventral Tegmental Area Glutamate Neurons. iScience 2018, 2:51–62. [PubMed: 29888759] • Study showing heterogeneity of VTA glutamate neurons in their responses to rewarding and aversive stimuli.

55. Dalley JW, Cardinal RN, Robbins TW: Prefrontal executive and cognitive functions in rodents: neural and neurochemical substrates. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2004, 28:771–784. [PubMed: 15555683]

56. Miller EK, Cohen JD: An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001, 24:167–202. [PubMed: 11283309]

57. Robbins TW, Arnsten AF: The neuropsychopharmacology of fronto-executive function: monoaminergic modulation. Annu Rev Neurosci 2009, 32:267–87. [PubMed: 19555290]

58. Christakou A, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ: Prefrontal cortical-ventral striatal interactions involved in affective modulation of attentional performance: implications for corticostriatal circuit function. J Neurosci 2004, 24:773–780. [PubMed: 14749421]

59. Abercrombie ED, Keefe KA, DiFrischia DS, Zigmond MJ: Differential effect of stress on in vivo dopamine release in striatum, nucleus accumbens, and medial frontal cortex. J Neurochem 1989, 52:1655–8. [PubMed: 2709017]

60. Lammel S, Ion DI, Roeper J, Malenka RC: Projection-specific modulation of dopamine neuron synapses by aversive and rewarding stimuli. Neuron 2011, 70:855–62. [PubMed: 21658580]

61. Lammel S, Lim BK, Ran C, Huang KW, Betley MJ, Tye KM, Deisseroth K, Malenka RC: Input-specific control of reward and aversion in the ventral tegmental area. Nature 2012, 491:212–217. [PubMed: 23064228]

62. Gunaydin LA, Grosenick L, Finkelstein JC, Kauvar IV, Fenno LE, Adhikari A, Lammel S, Mirzabekov JJ, Airan RD, Zalocusky KA, et al.: Natural Neural Projection Dynamics Underlying Social Behavior. Cell 2014, 157:1535–1551. [PubMed: 24949967]

63. Ellwood IT, Patel T, Wadia V, Lee AT, Liptak AT, Bender KJ, Sohal VS: Tonic or Phasic Stimulation of Dopaminergic Projections to Prefrontal Cortex Causes Mice to Maintain or Deviate from Previously Learned Behavioral Strategies. J Neurosci 2017, 37:8315–8329. [PubMed: 28739583]

64. Lammel S, Steinberg EE, Földy C, Wall NR, Beier K, Luo L, Malenka RC: Diversity of transgenic mouse models for selective targeting of midbrain dopamine neurons. Neuron 2015, 85:429–438. [PubMed: 25611513]

65. Stuber GD, Stamatakis AM, Kantak PA: Considerations When Using Cre-Driver Rodent Lines for Studying Ventral Tegmental Area Circuitry. Neuron 2015, 85:439–445. [PubMed: 25611514]

66. Vander Weele CM, Siciliano CA, Matthews GA, Namburi P, Izadmehr EM, Espinel IC, Nieh EH, Schut EHS, Padilla-Coreano N, Burgos-Robles A, et al.: Dopamine enhances signal-to-noise ratio in cortical-brainstem encoding of aversive stimuli. Nature 2018, 563:397–401. [PubMed: 30405240] •• Study demonstrating that dopamine modulates the responses of individual prefrontal cortex neurons to aversive stimuli, providing a neuronal mechanism by which prefrontal dopamine may stimulate defensive behaviors.

67. Johansen JP, Cain CK, Ostroff LE, LeDoux JE: Molecular mechanisms of fear learning and memory. Cell 2011, 147:509–524. [PubMed: 22036561]

68. Lüthi A, Lüscher C: Pathological circuit function underlying addiction and anxiety disorders. Nat Neurosci 2014, 17:1635–1643. [PubMed: 25402855]

69. Sah P, Westbrook RF, Lüthi A: Fear conditioning and long-term potentiation in the amygdala: what really is the connection? Ann N Y Acad Sci 2008, 1129:88–95. [PubMed: 18591471]

70. Fadok JP, Dickerson TMK, Palmiter RD: Dopamine is necessary for cue-dependent fear conditioning. J Neurosci 2009, 29:11089–11097. [PubMed: 19741115]

71. Tye KM, Tye LD, Cone JJ, Hekkelman EF, Janak PH, Bonci A: Methylphenidate facilitates learning-induced amygdala plasticity. Nat Neurosci 2010, 13:475–481. [PubMed: 20208527]

72. Cardozo Pinto DF, Yang H, Pollak Dorocic I, de Jong JW, Han VJ, Peck JR, Zhu Y, Liu C, Beier KT, Smidt MP, et al.: Characterization of transgenic mouse models targeting neuromodulatory

systems reveals organizational principles of the dorsal raphe. Nat Commun 2019, 10:4633. [PubMed: 31604921]

73. Li C, Sugam JA, Lowery-Gionta EG, McElligott ZA, McCall NM, Lopez AJ, McKlveen JM, Pleil KE, Kash TL: Mu Opioid Receptor Modulation of Dopamine Neurons in the Periaqueductal Gray/ Dorsal Raphe: A Role in Regulation of Pain. Neuropsychopharmacology 2016, 41:2122–2132. [PubMed: 26792442]

74. Matthews GA, Nieh EH, Vander Weele CM, Halbert SA, Pradhan RV, Yosafat AS, Glober GF, Izadmehr EM, Thomas RE, Lacy GD, et al.: Dorsal Raphe Dopamine Neurons Represent the Experience of Social Isolation. Cell 2016, 164:617–631. [PubMed: 26871628]

75. Ekstrand MI, Nectow AR, Knight ZA, Latcha KN, Pomeranz LE, Friedman JM: Molecular profiling of neurons based on connectivity. Cell 2014, 157:1230–1242. [PubMed: 24855954]

76. Heymann G, Jo YS, Reichard KL, McFarland N, Chavkin C, Palmiter RD, Soden ME, Zweifel LS: Synergy of Distinct Dopamine Projection Populations in Behavioral Reinforcement. Neuron 2019, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.11.024.

77. Boeke EA, Moscarello JM, LeDoux JE, Phelps EA, Hartley CA: Active Avoidance: Neural Mechanisms and Attenuation of Pavlovian Conditioned Responding. J Neurosci 2017, 37:4808–4818. [PubMed: 28408411]

78. Hennigan K, D'Ardenne K, McClure SM: Distinct midbrain and habenula pathways are involved in processing aversive events in humans. J Neurosci 2015, 35:198–208. [PubMed: 25568114]

Highlights

- DA neurons show high levels of anatomical and functional heterogeneity

- Role for projection-defined DA neurons in processing aversive stimuli

- Identification of aversive hot spots in vNAcMed, TS, mPFC, BLA

Figure 1. Aversion pathways within the dopamine system.
In the schematic overview, dopamine subpopulations that have been shown to be activated by aversive stimuli are highlighted based on their anatomical connectivity between ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra (SN) and projection targets in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), ventromedial nucleus accumbens shell (vNAcMed), basolateral amygdala (BLA) and tail of the striatum (TS). Dopamine neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus and periaqueductal gray (PAG) project to the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) and central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) (BNST and CeA projections are not shown).