UC Irvine
UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Coincidences between homological densities, predicted by arithmetic

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4522t5x0

Authors

Farb, Benson

Wolfson, Jesse

Wood, Melanie Matchett

Publication Date

2019-08-01

DOI

10.1016/j.aim.2019.06.016

Peer reviewed

Coincidences between homological densities, predicted by arithmetic

Benson Farb, Jesse Wolfson and Melanie Matchett Wood

June 13, 2019

Abstract

Motivated by analogies with basic density theorems in analytic number theory, we introduce a notion (and variations) of the *homological density* of one space in another. We use Weil's number field/ function field analogy to predict coincidences for limiting homological densities of various sequences $\mathcal{Z}_n^{(d_1,\ldots,d_m)}(X)$ of spaces of 0-cycles on manifolds X. The main theorem in this paper is that these topological predictions, which seem strange from a purely topological viewpoint, are indeed true.

One obstacle to proving such a theorem is the combinatorial complexity of all possible "collisions" of points. This problem does not arise in the simplest (and classical) case $(m, n) = (1, 2)$ of configuration spaces. To overcome this obstacle we apply the Björner–Wachs theory of lexicographic shellability from algebraic combinatorics.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of the "homological density" of one space in another, and to prove coincidences for limiting densities for various sequences of spaces of 0-cycles on manifolds. We were led to such coincidences by analogy with classical density results in analytic number theory. We do not yet understand why these topological predictions end up being true.

Spaces of 0-cycles. Let X be a connected, oriented, smooth manifold with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ (this is a standing assumption throughout this paper). Fix $m, n \geq 1$. Let $\vec{\mathbf{d}}$ denote a tuple of non-negative integers $(d_1, \ldots, d_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$, and let $|\vec{\mathbf{d}}| := \sum_i d_i$. Let $\text{Sym}^d(X) := X^d/S_d$ be the dth symmetric product of X; more generally, let $\text{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) := \prod_i \text{Sym}^{d_i}(X)$. Consider the space $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \subset \text{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ of sets D of $|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|$ (not necessarily distinct) points in X such that:

1. precisely d_i of the points in D are labeled with the "color" i, and

2. no point of X is labelled with at least n labels of every color.

Such spaces of 0-cycles include several basic examples in topology and geometry. For example:

- $\mathcal{Z}_2^d(X)$ is the configuration space of unordered d-tuples of distinct points in X.

- $\mathcal{Z}_1^{\overbrace{(d,\,\dots,\,d)}^{m}}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of degree d, based rational maps $f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{m-1}$ with $f(\infty) = [1 : \cdots : 1]$.

The space $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ is a topological analogue of the set of "relatively n-prime" m-tuples of ideals in the ring of integers in a number field K.

Homological densities. The density of one set in another (e.g. square-free integers in the interval $[1, d]$) is a basic concept in analytic number theory. Motivated by the framework of the Weil conjectures, we propose the following notion of "homological density".

Recall that the *Poincaré polynomial* $P_X(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of a space X with finite-dimensional rational cohomology is defined by

$$P_X(t) := \sum_{i \geqslant 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) t^i.$$

Definition 1.1. Let $Y \subset Z$ be spaces with finite-dimensional rational cohomology. We define the *homological density* of Y in Z to be the ratio of Poincarè polynomials $\dfrac{P_Y(t)}{P_Z(t)}$.

Coincidences of limiting densities. Results going back to the 19th century imply that the limiting density of the set of relatively n-prime m-tuples of ideals in a ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, considered within the set of all m-tuples of ideals, converges to $\zeta_K(mn)^{-1}$ (see §2 below); in particular, the limiting density only depends on the product mn and the number field K. By considering this in connection with topological results of Arnol'd [Arn70], Segal [Seg79], Cohen–Cohen–Mann–Milgram [CCMM91], Vassiliev [Vas92] and others, we were led to predict that analogous coincidences should hold for limiting homological densities for spaces of 0-cycles. That these predictions are true is the main result of our paper.

Theorem 1.2 (Coincidences between limiting homological densities). *Let X be a connected orientable smooth manifold with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ such that the cup-product of any k compactly supported cohomology classes is 0. If m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 are positive integers with $m_1 n_1 = m_2 n_2 \geqslant k$ then*

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{N}^{m_1} \to \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_{n_1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t)}{P_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t)} = \lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{N}^{m_2} \to \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_{n_2}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t)}{P_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the limits are in t-adic topology on the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ of formal power series; in particular these limits exist as all $d_i \to \infty$, at any rates.

In Remark 3.5 we verify that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 hold in the following examples.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a connected manifold with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds when:*

1. *X a smooth affine variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $k = 3$; or*

2. *X an open submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^r$ and $k = 2$; or*

3. X an orientable, noncompact, smooth manifold and $k > \dim X$.

For each fixed $N > 0$, Theorem 1.2 asserts that the limiting homological densities are the same for all spaces with $mn = N$. This gives many coincidences of homological densities, one for each divisor of N. For example when $mn = 2$, one sees that the following different kinds of configuration spaces have the same limiting homological densities: 1)the space of indistinguishable particles on X with no two in the same location, and 2) the space of red and blue (otherwise indistinguishable) particles on X where no location has both a red and blue particle. The main point of this paper is that these coincidences in topology exist, and that one needs to consider homological density to see them. To prove Theorem 1.2, we in fact compute the Poincare polynomials explicitly for every $X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, m, n$; see (3.2) and Theorem 3.6.

For odd-dimensional manifolds we prove a stronger statement. While extending the coincidences of Theorem 1.2, it also makes clear that, for odd-dimensional manifolds, the limiting homological densities are less interesting.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a connected, oriented, smooth, manifold of dimension $2r + 1 \geqslant 3$ with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology. In particular the limit (1.1) exists and equals 1.*

Theorem 1.4 appears as Statement 1 of Theorem 3.1 below. Theorem 1.4 extends previous results of Felix–Tanrè[1] [FT05, Theorem 4] who proved Theorem 1.4 for configuration spaces, i.e. the case $(m, n) = (1, 2)$.

The following is an illustration in a simple case of the content of Theorem 1.2.

Example 1.5. Consider the case when $X = \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $mn = 2$. A variation on theorems of Arnol'd and Segal (using Theorem 3.1 below) gives:

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} P_{\mathcal{Z}_2^d(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t) = 1 + 2t + 2t^2 + 2t^3 + \cdots \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} P_{\mathcal{Z}_1^{(d,d)}(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t) = 1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 4t^3 + \cdots \tag{1.3}$$

An easy computation gives $P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t) = 1 + t$ for $d \geqslant 2$. So while (1.2) and (1.3) are not equal, we find that:

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_2^d(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t)}{P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t)} = \frac{1 + 2t + 2t^2 + 2t^3 \cdots}{1 + t} = 1 + t + t^2 + t^3 + \cdots$$

and

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_1^{(d,d)}(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t)}{(P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^\times)}(t))^2} = \frac{1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 4t^3 \cdots}{(1 + t)^2} = 1 + t + t^2 + t^3 + \cdots$$

are equal. This illustrates why one must take a quotient in Theorem 1.2. We remark that $\mathcal{Z}_1^{(d,d)}(X)$ in this example can be replaced by $\mathcal{Z}_1^{(d_1,d_2)}(X)$ for any $(d_1, d_2) \longrightarrow \infty$.

---

[1]See also Bodigheimer–Cohen–Taylor [BCT89].

Remark 1.6. The assumption that $mn > 2$ when X is an affine variety is sharp (and similarly in (3) of Corollary 1.3): let $X = T^2 - *$ be the punctured torus. For $mn = 2$, one can compute using Theorem 3.1 below that:

$$\lim_{d \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_2^d(T^2-*)}(t)}{P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(T^2-*)}(t)} = 1 + 3t^2 - t^3 + \cdots$$

while

$$\lim_{d \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_1^{d,d}(T^2-*)}(t)}{(P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(T^2-*)}(t))^2} = 1 + t^2 + 5t^3 + \cdots$$

Homological Stability. We deduce the existence of the limits in Theorem 1.2 from the following. For an m-tuple $\vec{\mathbf{d}} = (d_1, \ldots, d_m)$, define $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i := (d_1, \ldots, d_i + 1, \ldots, d_m)$.

Theorem 1.7 (**Rational homological stability for spaces of 0-cycles**). *Let X be a smooth, orientable, connected manifold with $\dim(X) \geqslant 2$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, there exists a natural (in X) map*

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X); \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(X); \mathbb{Q})$$

that is an isomorphism for $* \leqslant d_i$ when either $r \geqslant 2$, $m \geqslant 2$ or $n \geqslant 3$, and $* \leqslant d_i/2$ when $(r, m, n) = (1, 1, 2)$, this last case being the case of configuration spaces on surfaces.

In light of Theorem 1.4, the content of Theorem 1.7 is for $\dim(X)$ even.

Remark 1.8.

1. For $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, the special case $\vec{\mathbf{d}} = (d, \ldots, d)$ of Theorem 1.7 is a consequence of Theorem 1.2(2) of [FW16]. For $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and general $\vec{\mathbf{d}}$, Theorem 1.7 was first proven by Gadish [Gad, Theorem 6.13] as a special case of his theory of finite generation for families of linear subspace arrangements.

2. For general X, Theorem 1.7 gives a simultaneous generalization of stability for configuration spaces [Chu12],[RW13], [BM14], [Knu] (the $(m, n) = (1, 2)$ case, proved only recently), for bounded symmetric powers [Vas92, KM] (the $(m, n) = (1, n), n > 2$ case), and for spaces of rational maps [Seg79] (the $(m, n) = (m, 1), m \geqslant 2$ case).

3. Arabia [Ara16] has considered a different generalization of (ordered) configuration spaces than the kind we consider here, and defined a notion of *i-acyclic*, which is equivalent to requiring that the cup product of any 2 compactly supported cohomology classes is 0. For *i-acyclic* spaces, he gives formulas for the Poincaré polynomials of his generalized ordered configuration spaces in terms of the Poincaré polynomial of X, in the same spirit as the formulas we give in (3.2) and Theorem 3.6.

4. The main difference between Theorem 1.7 and these previously studied cases is the greater complexity of the allowed collisions of the particles. Regardless of which topological method one uses to compute cohomology (we use the classical Leray spectral sequence), one needs to keep track of this combinatorics, and to interface this information with the topological tools used. This is the bulk of the proof of Theorem 1.2. A crucial ingredient is the the Björner-Wachs theory of lexicographic shellability.

5. The shellability point-of-view shows why the case of configuration spaces is particularly simple: the associated partition lattice is a pure poset.

Two variants. We prove Theorem 1.2 as an application of an explicit computation (Theorem 3.1) of the E_2-page and first non-trivial differential of the Leray spectral sequence for the sheaf $\mathbb{Q}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$. The failure of this differential to vanish is responsible for the failure of the coincidence in the example $T^2 - *$ and $mn = 2$ above. We now state two variants of Theorem 1.2 that bypass this differential.

Note that $P_X(-1) = \chi(X)$ for any space X. Further, recall that when X is also an algebraic variety, it comes equipped with a mixed Hodge structure, giving *Hodge-Deligne numbers* $h^{p,q}(X) \geqslant 0$ (see §3.5 below). These numbers can be concisely packaged into the *Hodge-Deligne polynomial* [2] :

$$\mathrm{HD}_X(u,v) := \sum_{p,q \geqslant 0} h^{p,q}(X) u^p v^q \in \mathbb{Z}[u,v]$$

and if X is a smooth projective variety then $\mathrm{HD}_X(t,t) = P_X(t)$.

Theorem 1.9. *Fix $m, n \geqslant 1$ and let $\vec{\mathbf{d}} = (d_1, \ldots, d_m)$, and let $\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty}$ mean "as all $d_i \to \infty$", at any rates.*

1. *For X a connected, oriented, smooth, even-dimensional manifold with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$,[3]*

$$\frac{\sum_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^m} \chi(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)) x^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}}{\sum_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^m} \chi(\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)) x^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}} = (1 - x^{mn})^{\chi(X)}.$$

   In particular, this only depends on the product mn and on $\chi(X)$.

2. *For X a connected, smooth complex-algebraic variety, the limit*

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty} \frac{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)}{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)} \tag{1.4}$$

   exists in the adic topology on $\mathbb{Z}[[u,v]]$, and depends only on the product mn, the mixed Hodge structure on $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$, and $\dim X$.

Theorem 1.9 avoids the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 because the Euler characteristic and the Hodge–Deligne polynomial do not distinguish between the E_2 and E_∞-pages in a spectral sequence. It would be interesting to extract appropriate "correction terms" from the differentials so that the (corrected) limiting homological densities coincide in general. Getzler [Get96] has given generating function formulas for the Hodge–Deligne polynomials of ordered configuration spaces, and as a corollary one has that the limit (1.4) in the case $m = 1$ is as predicted by the analogy with arithmetic. The second part of Theorem 1.9 would also follow from an analogous statement in the Grothendieck ring of varieties. We conjecture the limit

---

[2]This is slightly nonstandard usage: the Hodge-Deligne polynomial is more commonly defined using compactly supported cohomology.

[3]For example, X is compact or is the interior of a compact manifold with boundary.

(1.4) lifted to the Grothendieck ring of varieties is $\zeta_X([\mathbb{A}^1]^{-mn})^{-1}$, where ζ_X is Kapranov's motivic zeta function. The $m = 1$ case of this conjecture, as well as similar results for other generalizations of configuration spaces is proven in [VW15, Theorem 1.30].

How arithmetic predicts the coincidences in Theorem 1.2. We originally conjectured the coincidences of Theorem 1.2 by analogy with density results in arithmetic. As a simple example, consider the following heuristic for the density of the set of square-free integers among the set of all integers:

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#\{n \in [1, d] : n \neq p^2\}}{\#\{n \in [1, d]\}} = \lim_{d \to \infty} \prod_{p \text{ prime} \leqslant d} \text{Prob}(p^2 \nmid n)$$

$$= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - \frac{1}{p^2}) = \zeta(2)^{-1}$$

where $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ is the Riemann zeta function. A heuristic for the density of pairs of relatively prime integers among all pairs of integers is given by:

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#\{(m, n) \in [1, d]^2 : \gcd(m, n) = 1\}}{\#\{(m, n) \in [1, d]^2\}} = \lim_{d \to \infty} \prod_{p \text{ prime} \leqslant d} [1 - \text{Prob}(p|m \text{ and } p|n)]$$

$$= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - \frac{1}{p^2}) = \zeta(2)^{-1}$$

Both heuristics above are accurate: it has been known since the 1800s [Geg85, Mer74] that each density is indeed $\zeta(2)^{-1}$. This is a well-known coincidence. Note that in the two limits we divide by d and d^2, respectively, corresponding to the cardinality of the "background spaces" $[1, d]$ and $[1, d]^2$.

Weil espoused a powerful analogy between number fields and function fields (over $\mathbb{C}$ and over finite fields). This analogy gives in particular the following correspondences:

Number field	Function field
$[1, d]$	$\text{Pol}_d := \{\text{monic } f \in \mathbb{C}[t] : \deg(f) = d\} \cong \text{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C})$
$\{\text{square-free } n \in [1, d]\}$	$\{\text{square-free } f \in \text{Pol}_d\} \cong \mathcal{Z}_2^d(\mathbb{C})$
$\{(m, n) \in [1, d]^2 : \gcd(m, n) = 1\}$	$\{(f_0, f_1) \in \text{Pol}_d^2 : \gcd(f_0, f_1) = 1\} \cong \mathcal{Z}_1^{d,d}(\mathbb{C})$
$\#$	(co)homology

Taking this analogy seriously, from the two examples above one might guess two things: first, that $\lim_{d \to \infty} H_*(\mathcal{Z}_2^d(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ and $\lim_{d \to \infty} H_*(\mathcal{Z}_1^{d,d}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ exist; and second, that these limits are equal. This is true: in two highly influential papers, Arnol'd [Arn69] and Segal [Seg79] proved existence of these limits, and showed that they each equal $H_*(\Omega_0^2 \mathbb{CP}^1; \mathbb{Z})$, the basepoint component of the second loop space of the 2-sphere. [4]

---

[4]There are many other such coincidences. For example, generalizing the above, for any fixed $n \geqslant 2$ the density of "n-power-free integers" among all integers equals $\zeta(n)^{-1}$, which also happens to be the density of n-tuples of integers with common gcd 1 among all n-tuples of integers. See Section 2 for more details on the analogy in number theory. The topological analogs were proved by Vassiliev [Vas92] and Segal [Seg79], with limiting homology that of $\Omega_0^2 \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$.

One might try to push the analogy further, replacing $\mathbb{C}$ with other open manifolds X. However, as we see from Example 1.5 for $X = \mathbb{C}^\times$:

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} H_i(\mathcal{Z}_2^d(\mathbb{C}^\times); \mathbb{Q}) \neq \lim_{d \to \infty} H_i(\mathcal{Z}_1^{d,d}(\mathbb{C}^\times); \mathbb{Q}).$$

What went wrong? The answer lies in the fact that we didn't take Weil's analogy seriously enough: we need to somehow "divide" by the spaces corresponding to $[1, d]$ and $[1, d]^2$, namely $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^2$. As indicated by Theorem 1.2, interpreting this division as division of Poincaré polynomials gives a correct theorem in many examples. Note that the necessity of dividing was not visible in the example when $X = \mathbb{C}$ since, by Newton's Theorem, $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^d$, and so $P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C})}(t) = 1 = (P_{\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C})}(t))^2$.

One can ask what exactly about cohomology should arise in the analogy above. The idea that the function field analog of counting is an Euler characteristic or Hodge-Deligne polynomial is suggested by the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula. This is a well-understood analogy, and via this analogy Theorem 1.9 is predicted. In [VW15], based on theorems about Hodge-Deligne polynomials motivated by arithmetic, Vakil and the third author posed many questions about about actual Betti numbers, asking how far this analogy might extend to topology and to what extent it can predict not just Euler characteristics but Betti numbers. One point of this paper is that these analogies from arithmetic can be extended to topology beyond just Euler characteristics, as seen in Theorem 1.2, but this extension is more mysterious than the well-understood analogy with Euler characteristics or Hodge-Deligne polynomials, as seen by Remark 1.6.

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2. We deduce Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 from an explicit description of the E_2-page of the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$; this description is the content of Theorem 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is quite involved and takes up Sections 4-7. In outline, the proof of Theorem 3.1 proceeds as follows.

1. We start by considering an ordered version $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X)$ of $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$, defined for any "$m$-colored" set D, on which a product of symmetric groups $S_D \cong S_{d_1} \times \cdots S_{d_m}$ acts with quotient $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$. Our first goal is to analyze $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X); \mathbb{Q})$ by using the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \to X^D \cong X^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}$.

2. The E_2-page is given by $H^p(X^D; R^q\pi_*\mathbb{Z})$. We must therefore understand the coefficient sheaves $R^q\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$. In §5, we reduce this, using the Goresky-MacPherson formula, to a combinatorial problem expressed in terms of the homology of order complexes associated to certain posets of so-called "colored n-equals partitions". In contrast to configuration spaces (the case $(m, n) = (1, 2)$), the possibility of particle collisions leads to much greater combinatorial complexity of the relevant partition lattices.

3. To handle this complexity, we make critical use of Björner–Wachs' theory of "lexico-graphic shellability" [BW96, BW97]. This theory gives a method for proving that certain combinatorially-defined complexes are homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres, and we show in Section 4 that it holds for the order complexes of posets of colored n-equals partitions. We first use this to give a *qualitative* description of the E_2-page of the ordered case in terms of sheaves supported on diagonals in $X^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}$ (Theorem 5.6).

4. The next ingredient for the computation of $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ is the "local case" $X = \mathbb{R}^N$. In §6 we give (Theorem 6.1) an explicit computation of $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q})$. The key idea is to consider a filtration of the "discriminant locus" in $\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, whose complement is $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and then to use associated cofiber sequences in an inductive argument. This is similar to the work of Farb-Wolfson [FW16], which in turn built on work of Segal [Seg79] and Arnol'd [Arn70].

5. In §7 we combine the local computation with the combinatorial results of Section 4 to obtain a *quantitative* description of the S_D-invariants of the E_2-page; by transfer this gives Theorem 3.1.

6. In Section 3, we use the description of the E_2-page to obtain information about $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ and prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Analogies in number theory

In this section we indicate the statements in number theory that led us to the statement of Theorem 1.2.

Given integers $a_1, \ldots, a_m$, we say they are *relatively n-prime* if there does not exist an integer $b \geqslant 2$ such that $b^n \mid a_i$ for all i; in other words, if $\gcd(a_1, ..., a_m)$ is n-power-free. Let $\zeta(s)$ be the Riemann zeta function. The following is a standard result in number theory.

Theorem 2.1 (see, e.g. [Ben76]). *Given positive integers m and n, the limit*

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#\{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in (\mathbb{N}_{\leqslant d})^m \mid a_1, \ldots, a_m \text{ relatively } n\text{-prime}\}}{\#\{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in (\mathbb{N}_{\leqslant d})^m\}}$$

exists and equals $\zeta(mn)^{-1}$. In particular, this limit only depends on the product mn.

Moreover, such a statement holds if we replace $\mathbb{Z}$ with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ in an number field K, the set $\mathbb{N}_{\leqslant d}$ with the set of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of norm at most d, the function $\zeta(s)$ with the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$, and relatively n-prime with the requirement that there be no non-trivial ideal $b \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $b^n \mid a_i$ for all i.

In the usual analogy between number fields and function fields, we can also replace $\mathbb{Z}$ with the ring of integers in a function field over a finite field such as $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. Let S_d be the set of monic polynomials of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. A set of polynomials is *relatively n-prime* if there does not exist a non-constant polynomial b that divides all of them.

Theorem 2.2 (see, e.g. [MD]). *Given positive integers m and n, the limit*

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\#\{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in (S_d)^m \mid a_1, \ldots, a_m \text{ relatively } n\text{-prime}\}}{\#\{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in (S_d)^m\}}$$

exists and equals $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[t]}(mn)^{-1}$. In particular, this limit only depends on the product mn.

Similarly to the above, the analogous version is also true when we replace $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ with the ring of integers in any function field over any finite field. The function field statements can also be interpreted geometrically as the following.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a curve (not necessarily complete or smooth) over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with local zeta function $\zeta_X(s)$. Given positive integers m and n, the limit*

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty} \frac{\# \mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)(\mathbb{F}_q)}{(\# \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)(\mathbb{F}_q))} \tag{2.1}$$

exists and equals $\zeta_X(mn)^{-1}$. In particular, the limit only depends on the product mn.

The number field version "with punctures" holds as well, taking the zeta function without the factors in the Euler product corresponding to the punctures. In fact, Theorem 2.3 holds for any connected variety X, not just one-dimensional X, with $\zeta_X(mn)^{-1}$ replaced by $\zeta_X(mn \dim X)^{-1}$.

3 The Leray spectral sequence for $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$, and applications

In this section we state our main technical theorem, Theorem 3.1 below, which gives the E_2 page of a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$. We then apply this theorem as a black box to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.4, 1.7 and 1.9 given in the introduction. The proof of Theorem 3.1 will then take up the rest of the paper.

3.1 Statement of the main technical theorem

We want to understand the cohomology of the space $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ for X a smooth manifold with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. To this end, we consider the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ and the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote the (p,q) term of the jth page of this spectral sequence by $E_j^{p,q}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n)$. Note that $E_j^{*,*}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n)$ is a bigraded algebra, graded by (p,q).

We will need some notation for certain bigraded vector spaces. Denote by $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ the rank 1 vector space of bidegree $(0, i)$, and by $H^j(X; \mathbb{Q}[i])$ the vector space $H^j(X; \mathbb{Q})$ with bidegree (j, i). Given any bigraded vector space V, the symmetric group S_k acts on $V^{\otimes k}$ in the graded way with respect to the total grading, i.e. as in the Künneth formula. Let $\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^k V$ denote the trivial S_k subpresentation of $V^{\otimes k}$.

The following theorem is the main technical result of this paper. For the definitions from mixed Hodge theory necessary to understand part (2) of the theorem, see §3.5 below.

Theorem 3.1 (Cohomology of spaces of 0-cycles). *Let X be a connected, smooth, orientable manifold. Fix $\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and $n > 0$.*

1. *If $\dim(X) = 2r + 1, r > 0$, then the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ induces an isomorphism on rational cohomology.*

2. *If $\dim(X) = 2r, r > 0$, then $E_2^{p,q}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n) = 0$ unless $p + q \leqslant 2r|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|$ and $q/(2r(mn-1) - 1) \in \mathbb{N}_{\leqslant \min_i \frac{d_i}{n}}$, in which case it is isomorphic to the degree (p,q) part of :*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1]) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{d_i - nq/(2r(mn-1)-1)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0]) \tag{3.1}$$

where $H^p(X; \mathbb{Q}[q])$ has bidegree (p, q), and where bidegrees are additive under symmetric powers.

3. *If X is a smooth complex variety with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = r > 0$ then $E_2^{p,q}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n)$ is isomorphic, with its mixed Hodge structure to the degree (p, q) part of:*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1](r(mn-1), r(mn-1)))$$
$$\otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{d_i - nq/(2r(mn-1)-1)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0](0,0)).$$

where $\mathbb{Q}[i](c, c)$ denotes the rank 1 vector space of bidegree $(0, i)$ and pure Hodge structure of weight $2c$.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 only gives the additive structure of $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X), \mathbb{Q})$. The precise multiplicative structure seems more subtle. [5] However, after passing to an associated graded of a certain filtration, $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X), \mathbb{Q})$ has a particularly nice multiplicative structure which we hope to address in forthcoming work.

3.2 Application 0: Homological stability

In this subsection we deduce Theorem 1.7 from Theorem 3.1. When $\dim(X)$ is odd, the theorem follows from Statement 1 of Theorem 3.1 together with classical rational homological stability for symmetric powers. We thus assume that $\dim(X)$ is even.

Given $\vec{\mathbf{d}}$, n and X, consider the $S_{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}$-equivariant map between the spaces of ordered 0-cycles $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ given by forgetting the last point of color i. This map induces an $S_{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}$-equivariant map between the associated Leray spectral sequences for the inclusion into the ordered products. Transfer gives a corresponding map $\Psi : E_r^{p,q}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n) \longrightarrow E_r^{p,q}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i, n)$ between the Leray spectral sequences computing $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X); \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(X); \mathbb{Q})$. The E_2 pages of these spectral sequences are given explicitly by Statement 2 of Theorem 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 will show that for $q \leqslant d_i \cdot (2r(mn-1)-1)/n$ and $p + q \leqslant 2r|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|$ the map Ψ is given by the tensor product of the identity map on the first big factor of (3.1), the identity map on all but the ith factor of the second big factor, and the inclusion $\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{d_i - nq/(2r(mn-1)-1)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{d_i+1-nq/(2r(mn-1)-1)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0])$ on the remaining factor. Applying classical rational homological stability for symmetric products gives the desired result and the stated stable range.

3.3 Application 1: Coincidences between limiting homological densities

In this subsection we apply Theorem 3.1 to deduce Theorem 1.2. To understand the differential in our spectral sequence, we will recall some basic facts about the differential in the Leray spectral sequence for the complement of a closed submanifold.

Lemma 3.3. *Let Y be a smooth manifold and let Z be a smooth, closed submanifold with orientable normal bundle. Let $k \geqslant 1$ be the codimension of Z in Y. There is a map*

$$H^*(Z; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{*+k}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$$

---
[5]This question has been addressed in a recent work of Ho [Ho].

described in any of the following equivalent ways.

1. *The differential $d_k : H^*(Z; \mathbb{Q}) \cong E_2^{*,k-1} \to E_2^{k+*,0} \cong H^{*+k}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ in the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $Y \backslash Z \to Y$ with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients.*

2. *The composite $H^*(Z; \mathbb{Q}) \overset{\cup Th_{N_{Z/Y}}}{\longrightarrow} H^{*+k}(N_{Z/Y}, N_{Z/Y} \backslash 0; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{*+k}(Y, Y \backslash Z; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{*+k}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ of the Thom isomorphism for the normal bundle $N_{Z/Y}$, an isomorphism from the tubular neighborhood theorem and excision, and the map from relative cohomology to cohomology.*

3. *The composite $H^*(Z; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(H_c^{\dim Z - *}(Z; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(H_c^{\dim Z - *}(Y; \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{*+k}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ of the Poincarè duality map for Z, the map from the usual pull-back of compactly supported cohomology and the the Poincarè duality map for Y.*

4. *When Z is connected and orientable, and $Y = Z^\ell$ with $Z \subset Y$ the diagonal, and e_i is a graded basis for $H_c^*(Z; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\check{e}_i$ is a Poincarè dual basis for $H^*(Z; \mathbb{Q})$ and $T : H^{\dim Z}(Z; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, the map*

$$\alpha \mapsto \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell} T(e_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup e_{i_\ell} \cup \alpha) \check{e}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \check{e}_{i_\ell}.$$

Proof. The equivalence of 1 and 2 follows by a similar argument as the identification of the differential in [BT82, p.177-178]. The equivalence of 2 and 3 is explained in [BT82, p.65-69]. It is easy to work out 4 as an explicit version of 3; see for example Chapter 11 of [MS]. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a connected orientable smooth manifold such that the cup-product of any mn compactly supported cohomology classes is 0. Then all the differentials of the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \subset \operatorname{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ vanish.*

Remark 3.5. Note that when $X = \mathbb{R}^r$ the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4 holds by [Ara16, Lemma 1.2.4], and thus it similarly holds for any open submanifold of $X = \mathbb{R}^r$ by *loc. cit.*. If X is an affine variety over $\mathbb{C}$, then each non-zero $e_i \in H_c^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has degree $\geqslant \frac{1}{2} \dim X$ (by the Andreotti-Frankel Theorem [AF59]), and $e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3$ is thus 0. As another example, if X is a connected orientable non-compact smooth manifold, then since each non-zero $e_i \in H_c^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has degree at least 1 (by X non-compact) we have $e_1 \cup \cdots \cup e_k = 0$ for $k > \dim X$. This proves Corollary 1.3. In general, if X is a connected orientable non-compact smooth manifold, and s is the highest degree in which X has non-vanishing cohomology, then for $k > \frac{\dim X}{\dim X - s}$, the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4 holds by a similar argument.

Proof. We have the complement of the diagonal $j : X^{mn} \backslash X \to X^{mn}$. We claim that the differential $d_{\dim X(mn-1)}$ vanishes on $E^{0, \dim X(mn-1)-1}$ for the Leray spectral sequence for j with rational coefficients. The differential is given by Lemma 3.3 (4) and is clearly seen to be 0 if and only if the cup-product of any mn compactly supported cohomology classes is 0.

Define $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \subseteq X^D$ to be the space of tuples of (not necessarily distinct) points in X labeled by the elements of D such that no point of X has at least n labels of each color. For any choices of n integers from 1 to d_i for each i from 1 to m, we have a morphism from $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \to X^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}$ to the inclusion j that projects $X^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}$ to the mn chosen coordinates.

From Theorem 5.6 and Lemma 4.8, we have that in the Leray spectral sequence for π,

$$E_2^{0,\dim X(mn-1)-1} = \bigoplus_{\substack{I \in \Pi_n^D \\ I \text{ singletons except one subset of size } mn}} H^0(X_I, \mathbb{Z})$$

and $E_2^{\dim X(mn-1),1} = H^{\dim X(mn-1)}(X^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|}; \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, we can see $d_{\dim X(mn-1)}$ is trivial on $H^0(X_I, \mathbb{Z})$ here because it pulls back from the Leray differential for the inclusion j via the choices of coordinates given by I.

Consider the subalgebra A of the E_2 page generated by the bottom row and $E_2^{0,\dim X(mn-1)-1}$. By the above $d_{\dim X(mn-1)}$ vanishes on A. All lower or higher differentials then also vanish on A because they are forced by degree to vanish on the generators of A. By Theorem 5.6, Lemma 7.1, and the proof of Theorem 3.1 (specifically (7.8)), the algebra A includes all the S_D invariants of the E_2 page, and thus all differentials vanish for the Leray spectral sequence for $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$, as desired. $\qquad\square$

Thus under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4, for with $mn \geqslant k$, we have, by Theorem 3.1

$$\sum_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{N}^m} [H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X))] = [\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^* H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})] \prod_{i=1}^m [\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^* H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})] \tag{3.2}$$

is an equality in the Grothendieck ring of $\mathbb{Z}^{(m+1)}$ graded vector spaces (replacing the bigrading above), where $H^j(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X))$ has grade $(j, d_1, \ldots, d_m)$ and the first $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has grade $(* + 2\dim X(mn-1) - 1, n, \ldots, n)$ and the ith $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ in the product has grade $(*, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where the 1 is in the $i+1$ coordinate, and the grading used in the definition of Sym_{gr} is given by the first coordinate. Since

$$\sum_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{N}^m} [H^*(\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X))] = \prod_{i=1}^m [\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^* H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})],$$

with the analogous gradings, we can conclude the following result about the limits in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.6. *Fix positive integers m, n with $mn \geqslant 2$. Let X be a connected, smooth orientable manifold with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. Suppose that the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)$ and the sheaf $\mathbb{Q}$ degenerates on the E_2 page. Let $b_i(X) := \dim H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Then*

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty} P_{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t) = \prod_{i \geqslant 0} (1 - (-t)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1})^{-(-1)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1} b_i(X)} \left(\prod_{i \geqslant 1} (1 - (-t)^i)^{-(-1)^i b_i(X)} \right)^m$$

and

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty} \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t)}{(P_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(t))} = \prod_{i \geqslant 0} (1 - (-t)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1})^{-(-1)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1} b_i(X)}.$$

Here $\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty}$ means "as all $d_i \to \infty$" (at any rates), and we take the limit in the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ with the usual t-adic topology.

12

Proof. The E_2 page of the Leray spectral sequence computing $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X), \mathbb{Q})$ is given by Theorem 3.1. The assumption that this spectral sequences degenerates on the E_2 page thus implies that for $k \geqslant 0$, when all the d_i are sufficiently large (given m, n, r, k), then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^k(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X), \mathbb{Q})$ is the dimension of the of total degree k part of

$$\mathrm{Sym}^*_{gr} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1]) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Sym}^*_{gr} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0]).$$

Recall that for a positively graded vector space V with V_i the degree i part, that the Poincaré series of $\mathrm{Sym}^*_{gr} V$ is

$$\prod_{i \geqslant 1} (1 - (-1)^i t^i)^{-(-1)^i \dim V_i}.$$

The theorem then follows from the multiplicativity of Poincaré series under tensor product. $\square$

Theorem 1.2 then follows from Theorems 3.6 and 3.4.

3.4   Application 2: Coincidences for Euler characteristics

In this subsection we apply Theorem 3.1 to deduce Claim 1 of Theorem 1.9. By Hopf's Theorem that the Euler characteristic of a complex is the Euler characteristic of its homology, we have, for any m,

$$\chi(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)) = \chi(E_2^{*,*}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n)).$$

When $\dim X = 2r$ is even, let $g = 2r(mn-1) - 1$, and Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\sum_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^m} P(E_2^{*,*}(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n)) x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_m^{d_m} = \prod_{i \geqslant 0} (1 - (-t)^{g+i}(x_1 \cdots x_m)^n)^{(-1)^i b_i(X)} \prod_{k=1}^m \prod_{i \geqslant 0} (1 - (-t)^i x_k)^{(-1)^{i+1} b_i(X)},$$

where $b_i(X) = \dim H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Setting $x_i = x$ and $t = -1$ gives

$$\sum_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^m} \chi(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)) x^{|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|} = \prod_{i \geqslant 0} (1 - x^{mn})^{(-1)^i b_i(X)} \prod_{k=1}^m \prod_{i \geqslant 0} (1 - x)^{(-1)^{i+1} b_i(X)}.$$

It is standard that

$$\sum_{d \geqslant 0} \chi(\mathrm{Sym}^d X) x^d = (1 - x)^{-\chi(X)},$$

and thus Claim 1 of Theorem 1.9 follows.

3.5   Application 3: Coincidences for Hodge-Deligne polynomials

Mixed Hodge structures and Hodge-Deligne polynomials. We will need some of the basics of mixed Hodge structures; see, e.g., Chapters 3 and 4 of [PS08], as well as [Sai90].

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. A (rational) *pure Hodge structure of weight n* on V is a decomposition

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{p,q}$$

so that $V^{q,p} = \overline{V^{p,q}}$. This decomposition gives a decreasing *Hodge filtration* $F^i V_{\mathbb{C}} := \oplus_{p \geqslant i} V^{p,q}$ of V. Classical Hodge theory shows that for any smooth, projective (complex) algebraic variety X, the vector space $H^n(X; \mathbb{Q})$ has a pure Hodge structure of weight n.

Even when X is not compact (and in fact not even assumed to be smooth), Deligne proved that for each $i \geqslant 0$, the vector space $H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ comes equipped with a *mixed Hodge structure* : there is an ascending *weight filtration*

$$0 = W_{-1} \subseteq W_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{2i} = H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$$

and a descending *Hodge filtration*

$$H^i(X; \mathbb{C}) = F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^m \supseteq F^{m+1} = 0$$

with the property that the filtration induced by F on each graded piece $Gr_n(W) := W_n/W_{n-1}$ is a pure Hodge structure of weight n. Define $h^{p,q,i}(X)$ to be the dimension of the pth graded piece of this F-induced filtration on $Gr_{p+q}(W)$. The *Hodge-Deligne number* $h^{p,q}(X)$ is then defined as $h^{p,q}(X) := \sum_{i \geqslant 0} h^{p,q,i}(X)$. Each of these numbers is finite, and only finitely many of them are nonzero. The *Hodge-Deligne polynomial* $\mathrm{HD}_X(u,v)$ of X is the generating function :

$$\mathrm{HD}_X(u,v) := \sum_{p,q \geqslant 0} h^{p,q}(X) u^p v^q \in \mathbb{Z}[u,v].$$

It is more common to define the Hodge-Deligne polynomial with compactly supported cohomology, but we use regular cohomology here for simplicity. Since we consider smooth varieties, by Poincaré duality the data in the polynomial is the same as in the usual definition.

Deligne proved that mixed Hodge structures are *functorial* : for any algebraic map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ between varieties, the induced map $f^* : H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ strictly preserves mixed Hodge structures. Deligne also proved that the Kunneth isomorphism $H^*(X \times Y) \cong H^*(X) \otimes H^*(Y)$ is compatible with mixed Hodge structures, as are cup products.

Corollary 3.7. *Fix positive integers m, n with $mn \geqslant 2$. Let X be a smooth, connected complex variety. Then*

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty} \frac{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)}{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)} = \prod_{p,q \geqslant 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2r} \left(1 - (-1)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1} u^{p+r(mn-1)} v^{q+r(mn-1)}\right)^{-(-1)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1} h^{p,q,i}(X)}$$

In particular, this limit depends only on the product mn and on the mixed Hodge structure on $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Here $\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \to \infty}$ means "as all $d_i \to \infty$" (at any rates), and we take the limit in the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{Z}[[u,v]]$ with the usual adic topology.

Proof of Corollary 3.7. We first claim that

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \longrightarrow \infty} \mathrm{HD}_{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v) = \lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}} \longrightarrow \infty} \mathrm{HD}_{E_2(X,\vec{\mathbf{d}},n)}(u,v) \tag{3.3}$$

14

in $\mathbb{Z}[[u,v]]$. To see this, first note that the associated graded (with respect to the two filtrations of the mixed Hodge structure) spectral sequence $E_*^{p,q}(X,\vec{\mathbf{d}},n)$ breaks up as a direct sum of spectral sequences according to weights (r,s). Corollary 3.2.15 of [Del71] implies that for any fixed weights (r,s), only finitely many terms of the E_2 page of the (r,s)-weight part of $E_2^{p,q}(X,\vec{\mathbf{d}},n)$ are nonzero. Thus the E_∞ page of this part equals the E_N page for some N. We can thus apply Hopf's theorem for finite chain complexes, that the alternating sums of the ranks of the i-chains equals the corresponding sum for the ranks of the i-dimensional homology groups. Apply this to each page gives the claim for each weight (r,s). Applying this one weight at a time, the definition of the adic topology on $\mathbb{Z}[[u,v]]$ gives (3.3).

Equation (3.3) implies that :

$$\lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}}\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)}{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)} = \lim_{\vec{\mathbf{d}}\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HD}_{E_2(X,\vec{\mathbf{d}},n)}(u,v)}{\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X)}(u,v)} \tag{3.4}$$

We thus need to understand $\mathrm{HD}_{E_2^{p,q}(X,\vec{\mathbf{d}},n)}(u,v)$. We will do this by quoting Statement 3 of Theorem 3.1. We will build up to this, starting with a general statement.

Let V be a graded vector space endowed with a rational mixed Hodge structure. Then we can write the associated graded of V with respect to the two filtrations as :

$$\mathrm{gr}\, V = \bigoplus_{p,q\geqslant 0} \bigoplus_{i\geqslant 0} (\mathbb{Q}(p,q)[i])^{v_{p,q,i}} \tag{3.5}$$

for some $v_{p,q,i} \geqslant 0$. The mixed Hodge structure on V induces a mixed Hodge structure on the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}^*(V)$. Its Hodge-Deligne polynomial can be written :

$$\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^*(V)}(u,v) = \prod_{p,q\geqslant 0} \prod_{i\geqslant 0} (1-(-1)^i u^p v^q)^{-(-1)^i v_{p,q,i}}. \tag{3.6}$$

We now apply this general reasoning to

$$V = H^*(X;\mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1](r(mn-1),r(mn-1))).$$

To this end, we first need to express V as in (3.5). Keeping track of weights and degrees, and using the fact that $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ for $p < 0$ or $q < 0$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= H^*(X;\mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1](r(mn-1),r(mn-1))) \\[2mm]
&= \bigoplus_{p,q\geqslant 0} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2\dim X} h^{p-r(mn-1),q-r(mn-1)}(H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})[2r(mn-1)-1]) \\[2mm]
&= \bigoplus_{p,q\geqslant r(mn-1)} \bigoplus_{i=2r(mn-1)-1}^{2\dim X+2r(mn-1)-1} (\mathbb{Q}(p,q)[i])^{h^{p-r(mn-1),q-r(mn-1),i-2r(mn-1)-1}(X)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now Statement 3 of Theorem 3.1 gives $E_2^{p,q}(X,\vec{\mathbf{d}},n)$ as a tensor product of two symmetric algebras. As $\vec{\mathbf{d}} \longrightarrow \infty$, the Hodge-Deligne polynomial of the first of these algebras converges to $\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^*(V)}(u,v)$, while for the second of these algebras the Hodge-Deligne polynomial converges to $(\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^\infty(X)}(u,v))^m$. Since

$$\mathrm{HD}_{U\otimes W}(u,v) = \mathrm{HD}_U(u,v)\,\mathrm{HD}_W(u,v)$$

15

for mixed Hodge structures U and W, the right-hand side of (3.4) equals $\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^*(V)}(u,v)$. Plugging the formula we just obtained for V in (3.6) gives:

$$\mathrm{HD}_{\mathrm{Sym}^*(V)}(u,v) = \prod_{p,q \geqslant r(mn-1)} \prod_{i=2r(mn-1)-1}^{i=2\dim X + 2r(mn-1)-1} (1-(-1)^i u^p v^q)^{-(-1)^i h^{p-r(mn-1),q-r(mn-1),i-2r(mn-1)-1}(X)}$$

which by re-indexing equals

$$= \prod_{p,q \geqslant 0} \prod_{i=0}^{2\dim X} (1-(-1)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1} u^{p+r(mn-1)} v^{q+r(mn-1)})^{-(-1)^{i+2r(mn-1)-1} h^{p,q,i}(X)}$$

thus giving the theorem.

$\square$

4 The poset of colored n-equals partitions and its homology

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 4.13 and Theorem 4.9 below. These purely combinatorial results are the first of three main ingredients in our proof of Theorem 3.1.

Fix throughout this section an integer $m \geqslant 1$ and m colors. Let D be a *finite colored set*; that is, a finite set D and function $D \longrightarrow \{1, \ldots, m\}$ which we think of as "coloring" each point of D by one of the colors $1, 2, \ldots, m$. Let $D(i)$ denote the subset of D consisting of all elements with color i. For any set S denote the cardinality of S by $|S|$. Let

$$S_D := \{\text{color preserving self-bijections of } D\}$$

Definition 4.1 (n-equals partition).

1. Fix m colors. Let D be a finite colored set. A partition of D is an *n-equals* partition if each block of the partition either has size 1, or contains at least n elements of each of the m colors.

2. Denote by Π_n^D the poset of n-equals partitions of the colored set D, ordered by refinement: $I \leqslant J$ if and only if I refines J.

For $m = 1$, the lattice Π_n^d has been intensely studied by Björner and his collaborators, under the name of "n-equals" arrangement. Recall that elements of Π_n^d are partitions I of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that all blocks in the partition have size 1 or size at least n.

We record the following elementary observation. For a poset P, denote by $P(\leqslant x)$ the subposet of P consisting of all elements of P that are $\leqslant x$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $J \in \Pi_n^D$. Let $J_1, \ldots, J_k$ denote the blocks of the partition J. Let $\mathrm{stab}_J \subset S_D$ be the stabilizer of J. There exists a stab_J-equivariant isomorphism of posets*

$$\Pi_n^D(\leqslant J) \cong \prod_i \Pi_n^{J_i}.$$

Proof. Refinements of J are equivalent to a choice of n-equal partitions of J_i for each i. The claim follows. $\square$

We will need the following.

Definition 4.3 ($\hat{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$). Given a poset P with an initial object $\hat{0}$ and a terminal object $\hat{1}$, define $\overline{P} := P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$.

Definition 4.4 (The order complex $\Delta(P)$ of a poset P). For a poset P, the *order complex* $\Delta(P)$ associated to P is the simplicial complex whose k-simplices are the chains $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_k$ (i.e. the totally ordered subsets of P).

Convention 4.5. Note that if P is the poset with two elements $(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ then $\overline{P}$ is empty, so $\widetilde{H}_*(\Delta(\overline{P}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ in degree -1. As a special convention, if P is the poset with one element then we will say that $\widetilde{H}_*(\Delta(\overline{P}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ in degree -2.

4.1 EL-shellability of the colored n-equals lattice

We quickly recall the theory of lexicographic shellability, first developed by Björner-Wachs; see, e.g., Section 5 of [BW96].

For a poset P let $E(P)$ denote the set of *edges* of P, i.e. pairs $a, b \in P$ with $a < b$ and no c such that $a < c < b$. For $a \leqslant b$ in P, the *(closed) interval* is defined as $[a, b] := \{x \in P : a \leqslant x \leqslant b\}$; the open interval (a, b) is defined similarly. The poset P is *bounded* if it has a greatest element $\hat{1}$ and a least element $\hat{0}$. A *chain* of length r in P is a string $a_0 < \cdots < a_r$ with $a_i \in P$. A chain is *maximal* if it is not a proper subchain of any chain in P. A chain $a_1 < \cdots < a_r$ is *unrefinable* if it is maximal in the interval $[a_1, a_r]$.

An *edge-labelling* of P is a map $\lambda : E(P) \longrightarrow \Lambda$ for some poset Λ. Given an edge-labelling λ, for a chain c of length r we write $\lambda(c) \in \Lambda^r$ for the ordered tuple of the labels of the edges of c. A *rising chain* in an interval $[a, b]$ is a chain c with the property that it is a maximal chain in $[a, b]$ with $\lambda(c) = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r)$ satisfying $\ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_r$ in Λ.

Definition 4.6 (EL-labelling and EL-shellability). An edge-labelling λ of a poset is called an *EL-labelling* if :

1. Every interval $[a, b]$ has a unique rising chain c, and

2. this unique rising chain is lexicographically strictly first among maximal chains: $\lambda(c) < \lambda(c')$ for all other maximal chains c' in $[a, b]$.

   A bounded poset that admits an EL-labeling is called *EL-shellable*.

The property of EL-shellability is preserved by several standard properties of posets. In particular, as given in Theorem 10.16 of [BW97]: if P and Q are bounded posets then P and Q are EL-shellable if and only if $P \times Q$ is EL-shellable. The importance of EL-shellability comes from a theorem of Björner-Wachs stating that if a bounded poset P is EL-shellable then $\Delta(\overline{P})$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres, indexed as follows.

Definition 4.7 (Falling chains). Let P be a poset with an edge-labeling. A chain $a_0 < \cdots < a_r$ of P is *falling* if it is maximal and for all $0 < i \leqslant r$ the label ℓ_i of $a_{i-1} < a_i$ is not less than the label ℓ_{i+1} of $a_i < a_{i+1}$.

Lemma 4.8 (Theorem 5.9 of [BW96])**.** *If a poset P is EL-shellable then*

$$\widetilde{H}_r(\Delta(\overline{P}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\{\text{falling chains of } P \text{ of length } r+2\}}.$$

The main result of this subsection is the following.

Theorem 4.9 (Π_n^D is EL-shellable). *The poset Π_n^D is EL-shellable.*

Remark 4.10. Björner–Wachs [BW96, Theorem 6.1] give an EL-labelling for Π_n^d for a single d (i.e. in the case $m = 1$). By refining their construction, we give an EL-labelling of Π_n^D in all cases $m \geqslant 1$. Our labelling (and proof) reduces to theirs in the case $m = 1$. The case $m > 1$ is considerably more delicate.

Proof of Theorem 4.9. If $m = n = 1$, note Π_n^D is the same as if $m = 1$ and $n = 2$, so without loss of generality, we assume $mn \geqslant 2$. First, we determine all the edges in Π_n^D. Extending Björner–Wachs, we introduce three types of edges in Π_n^D, which we will show are exhaustive. Whenever we write $a_i \in D$ it denotes that a_i is an element of D of color i.

Block creation: A new non-singleton block B with n elements each of the m colors is created from singletons. Let B_i be the set of elements of color i in the block.

Singleton adding: A singleton block $\{a_i\}$ is merged with a non-singleton block.

Block merging: Two non-singleton blocks B (whose subset of color i elements is B_i) and C (whose subset of color i elements is C_i) are merged.

By induction, we can show that the first two types of edges are sufficient to generate every element of Π_n^D. However, the first two types of edges do not give all edges.

To see that the 3 types above exhaust the list of edges, suppose that $I < J$ is an edge. Consider a non-singleton block of J that is not a block of I. The block of J is either (1) entirely singletons in I, (2) contains a non-singleton block of I and a singleton of I, or (3) contains at least two non-singleton blocks of I. In each case, there exists I' with $I < I' \leqslant J$ and $I < I'$ of the corresponding type, and so $I' = J$ since $I < J$ is an edge.

For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we pick a linear ordering on $D(i)$ so that it is an ordered set. Let $d_i := \max D(i)$. We let $\overline{D(1)}$ be an isomorphic copy of the ordered set $D(1)$ with elements $\bar{a}$ for $a \in D(1)$. Let $D(1)^\epsilon$ be the ordered set whose elements at $a \in D(1)$ and $a - \epsilon$ for $a \in D(1)$ with the obvious ordering ($a - \epsilon < a$ and if $a > b$ for $a, b \in D(1)$, then $a - \epsilon > b$). Let $\vec{\Lambda}$ be the poset
$$\vec{\Lambda} := \overline{D(1)} \sqcup D(1)^\epsilon \times D(2) \times D(3) \times \ldots \times D(m),$$
where $D(1)^\epsilon \times \ldots \times D(m)$ is ordered lexicographically, and where $\bar{a} < \gamma$ for all $\bar{a} \in \overline{D(1)}$ and all $\gamma \in D(1)^\epsilon \times \ldots \times D(m)$.

We now define an edge-labeling of Π_n^D with labels in $\vec{\Lambda}$:

1. For block creation of a block B, we assign the label $(\max B_1, \ldots, \max B_m)$.

2. For adding a singleton a_i of color $i > 1$ to a block B, we assign the label
$$\lambda(B \cup \{a_i\}) := (\max B_1, \ldots, \max B_{i-1}, a_i, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_m).$$

   For adding a singleton a_1, we assign the label
$$\lambda(B \cup \{a_1\}) := (a_1 - \delta\epsilon, d_2, \ldots, d_m)$$

   where $\delta = 0$ if $a_1 > \max B_1$ and $\delta = 1$ if $a < \max B_1$.

18

3. For block merging, we assign the label $\overline{\max\{B_1 \cup C_1\}}$.

We now prove that this edge-labeling is an *EL*-labeling. Note that for an edge-labeling to be an EL-labeling, it suffices to require that every interval $[x, w]$ has a unique rising chain, and that the first edge $x < y$ of that rising chain has label less than any other edge $x < z$ with $z \leqslant w$. We will prove this stronger condition of our edge-labeling. We first prove this condition for terminal intervals $[x, \hat{1}]$ in Π_n^D, and then prove it for general intervals.

Step 1: Terminal Intervals. Let $x \in \Pi_n^D$ be any element. We will show the condition above for $[x, \hat{1}]$. We will consider three cases based on the number of non-singleton blocks of x.

Case I: We first consider the case that x has a single non-singleton block B. By our edge-labeling, in a rising chain, block merging can never occur after block creation. Yet, any maximal chain of $[x, \hat{1}]$ that creates a block must later merge it with the block of x. Thus, any rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ can only consist of adding singletons.

Claim 1. There is a unique rising order in which singletons can be merged to B.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $S_{<B} \subset D\backslash B$ consist of all singletons a_i (for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$) such that $a_i < \max B_i$. Let $S_{>B} \subset D\backslash B$ consist of all singletons a_i (for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$) such that $a_i > \max B_i$. Note that $S_{<B} \cup S_{>B} = D\backslash B$. We first will prove that in a rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ singletons in $S_{<B}$ must be added before singletons in $S_{>B}$.

For any subset C of D, we can partition $D\backslash C$ into $S_{<C} \cup S_{>C}$ as above. If we have a block C and add a singleton $a_i \in S_{<C}$, we call that a *low singleton add*, and if we have a block C and add a singleton $a_i \in S_{>C}$, we call that a *high singleton add*. Note that the label $\lambda(C \cup \{a_i\})$ of a high singleton add to form a block $C' = C \cup \{a_i\}$ is greater than or equal to the label of creating the resulting block C'. However, the label $\lambda(C' \cup \{b_i\})$ of a low singleton add of $b_i \in S_{<C'}$ to a block C' to form a block $C'' = C' \cup \{b_i\}$ is less than the label of creating the starting block C'. Thus, in a rising chain, a high singleton add can never be followed by a low singleton add. Starting at x, the first singleton add from $S_{>B}$ will be a high singleton add (even if it occurs after some low singleton adds), and any singleton add from $S_{<B}$ at any point will be a low singleton add. Thus, in a rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ singletons in $S_{<B}$ must be added before singletons in $S_{>B}$.

Next we will show there is at most one rising order to merge singletons in $S_{<B}$, and at most one rising order to add singletons in $S_{>B}$ to B.

Singletons in $S_{<B}$: We claim there is a unique rising order in which singletons in $S_{<B}$ can be added to B. If we have added some singletons from $S_{<B}$ to B to form a block B', then note that $\max B_i = \max B_i'$ for all i. Thus, whenever we add a singleton a_i to a block formed from adding singletons from $S_{<B}$ to B, the label will be

$$(\max B_1, \ldots, \max B_{i-1}, a_i - \delta\epsilon, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_m) \qquad (4.1)$$

(where $\delta = 0$ if $i > 1$ and $\delta = 1$ if $i = 1$). If $i < i'$ and $a_i, b_{i'} \in S_{<B}$, then since $a_i < \max B_i$,

$$(\max B_1, \ldots, \max B_{i-1}, a_i - \delta\epsilon, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_m) < (\max B_1, \ldots, \max B_{i'-1}, b_{i'} - \delta\epsilon, d_{i'+1}, \ldots, d_m).$$

So if $i < i'$, in a rising chain all singletons of color i in $S_{<B}$ must be added before any singletons of color i' in $S_{<B}$. Among singletons of a given color in $S_{<B}$, we note by the labels as given in Equation (4.1), in a rising chain they must clearly be added in increasing order.

Singletons in $S_{>B}$: After adding all the singletons in $S_{<B}$ to B to form a block B', we will show that there is a unique rising order in which to add the singletons of $S_{>B} = S_{>B'}$. The first singleton add from $S_{>B}$ will be a high singleton add, and so by our observation above, all further singleton adds in a rising chain must be high singleton adds. When we add a singleton a_i from $S_{>B}$ to block C to form block C' the label will be

$$(\max C_1, \ldots, \max C_{i-1}, a_i, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_m). \tag{4.2}$$

(Note we do not have to consider subtracting ϵ since that is only required for low singleton adds.) We have $\max C_j = \max C'_j$ for $j \neq i$ and $\max C'_i = a_i$ because adding a_i was a high singleton add. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that in a rising chain we add a_i of color i followed by $b_{i'}$ of color i' with $i < i'$. Then the label of adding $b_{i'}$ to C' is

$$(\max C_1, \ldots, \max C_{i-1}, a_i, \max C_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{i'}, d_{i'+1}, \ldots, d_m).$$

Since every entry past the ith in this label is at most the corresponding entry in the label of Equation 4.2 above, the chain cannot be rising and we have a contradiction. Thus, for $i < i'$ in a rising chain we have to add all elements from $S_{>B}$ of color i' before each of color i. Within a color, in a rising chain singletons clearly have to be added in increasing order.

So far, we have shown that in any rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$, we have to add in singletons from $S_{<B}$ in a unique order, and then add in singletons from $S_{>B}$ in a unique order. It remains only to check that adding the singletons in this order does indeed give a rising chain. It is easy to check that adding singletons from $S_{<B}$ in the required order is rising, and the final label is less than the label of the block creation of B. The first addition of a singleton from $S_{>B}$ to $B \cup S_{<B}$ has label greater than the label of the block creation of B. Finally, it is easy to check that adding singletons from $S_{>B}$ to a block $B \cup S_{<B}$ in the required order is indeed rising. $\qquad \square$

We we have shown that when x has a single non-singleton block B, there is a unique rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$. Next we will show that the first edge $x < y$ of this chain has label less than any other edge $x < z$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is some edge $x < z$ with $z \neq y$ and $\lambda(x < z) \leqslant \lambda(x < y)$.

1. First we consider the case that $x < z$ is a block creation of a block C (disjoint from B). Then $\max C_1 \in D \backslash B$.

a. We consider the case that $x < y$ has label with first coordinate $\leqslant \max B_1$, then we have $\max C_1 \leqslant \max B_1$, which implies $\max C_1 < \max B_1$. Thus, from our description of the unique rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ above, since $S_{<B}$ has some element of color 1 in it, the edge $x < y$ adds the smallest singleton of color 1 to B. Thus since $\max C_1$ is some singleton of color 1 in $S_{<B}$, the first coordinate of $\lambda(x < y)$ is at most $\max C_1 - \epsilon$, which contradicts the hypothesis that $\lambda(x < z) \leqslant \lambda(x < y)$.

b. We consider the case that $\lambda(x < y)$ has first coordinate $> \max B_1$, i.e. $x < y$ is a high singleton add of a singleton of color 1, and by our above analysis of the unique rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$, it must be adding the smallest singleton s_1 of color 1 in $D \backslash B$ and $D \backslash B$ must have no elements of any color $\geqslant 2$. If $m \geqslant 2$, then there can be no block C in $D \backslash B$ to create. If $m = 1$, then since $n \geqslant 2$, we have $\max C_1 > s_1$. Then $\lambda(x < y)$ has first coordinate $s_1 < \max C_1$, which is a contradiction since C_1 is the first coordinate of $\lambda(x < z)$.

2. Second, we consider the case that $x < z$ adds a singleton b_j to B.

20

a. We consider the case that $b_j \in S_{<B}$. Then $S_{<B}$ is non-empty, and we see from our analysis above of adding singletons in $S_{<B}$ that the label of $x < z$ is the same as the label of the edge that adds b_j in the rising chain, which is greater than $\lambda(x < y)$, which is a contradiction.

b. We consider the case that $b_j \in S_{>B}$, i.e. $x < z$ is a high singleton add. Then $\lambda(x < z)$ is greater than the label of the block creation of B. If $x < y$ was a low singleton add, then $\lambda(x < y)$ is less than the label of the block creation of B, and so we conclude $x < y$ must be a high singleton add. From our analysis above of the unique rising chain, we then have $S_{<B}$ is empty and $x < y$ adds the minimal element a_i of the maximal color i of $S_{>B}$. Thus $j \leqslant i$, and if $j = i$ then $a_i < b_j$. Since $x < z$ and $x < y$ are both high singleton adds, we see from the definition of the labels that $\lambda(x < y) < \lambda(x < z)$.

Thus in every case, we conclude that the first edge $x < y$ of the rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ has label less than any other edge $x < z$.

Case II: Suppose x had no non-singleton blocks, i.e. $x = \hat{0}$. Then the only edges $x < y$ are block creations. Consider a rising chain c in $[x, \hat{1}]$ that starts with an edge $x < y$ that creates a block B. From the above, we see there is a unique rising chain in $[y, \hat{1}]$ that we can append to $x < y$ to obtain c. If $S_{<B}$ is not empty, then the rising chain in $[y, \hat{1}]$ starts with a low singleton add whose label is less then the label of the block creation of B, which is a contradiction. Thus $S_{<B}$ is empty, and we have that B must consist of the n smallest elements of each color. Thus any rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ must start with a specified block creation $x < y$, and from there continue with the unique rising chain in $[y, \hat{1}]$. This shows there is at most 1 rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$. Also, note that if we form the block B of the n smallest elements of each color in $x < y$, then $S_{<B}$ is empty, and the first edge in the rising chain of $[y, \hat{1}]$ is a high singleton add, which implies its label is greater than the label of the block creation of B. So, we can concatenate $x < y$ with the rising chain of $[y, \hat{1}]$ to get a rising chain of $[y, \hat{1}]$. Since any edge $x < y$ is a block creation, and the block of the n least elements of each color has label less than any other block creation, the first edge of the rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$ has label less than any other edge $x < z$.

Case III: Suppose x has more than one non-singleton block. Then in any maximal chain of $[x, \hat{1}]$, there must be some block merging, and in a rising chain, all block merging must happen before any block creation or singleton adding. So any rising chain must start with block merging until there is only one block. If there are k blocks, and the maximal elements of color 1 in them are $a(1)_1 < \cdots < a(k)_1$, then note that $\{\overline{a(j)_1}\}$ are the only possible labels of block merges starting from these blocks into a single block. Further, $\overline{a(1)_1}$ can never be one of the labels of the block merges. Thus, since $k-1$ block merges are required with strictly increasing labels, a rising chain must first merge the blocks containing $a(1)_1$ and $a(2)_1$, and then merge the result with the block containing $a(3)_1$, and so on. Once we have one block in a partition w, there is a unique rising chain in $[w, \hat{1}]$ from the above that only involves singleton adds. Therefore there is at most 1 rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$. Further, we note that if we merge blocks in the order described above, that part of the chain is rising, and the unique rising chain in $[w, \hat{1}]$ only involves singleton adds, whose labels are all greater than block merge labels, and thus there is a rising chain in $[x, \hat{1}]$. Finally, we consider the first block merge $x < y$ in this rising chain and any other edge $x < z$. If z is not a block merge, then clearly $\lambda(x < z) > \lambda(x < y)$, and if z is a block merge other than that of the blocks containing $a(1)_1$ and $a(2)_1$, then $\lambda(x < z) > \lambda(x < y)$. So, the first edge of the rising chain

21

in $[x, \hat{1}]$ has label less than any other edge $x < z$.

Step 2: General Intervals. Let $x < w \in \Pi_n^D$ be a general pair of elements. Let the non-singleton blocks of w be D_α, indexed by α. Then, Lemma 4.2 gives that $\Pi_n^D(\leqslant w) \simeq \prod_\alpha \Pi_n^{D_\alpha}$. Each edge in $\Pi_n^D(\leqslant w)$ only modifies blocks that are subsets of a single D_α. For each D_α, let x_α be the partition of D_α obtaining by taking the blocks of x that are subsets of D_α. Then, for each α, we know there is a unique rising chain in $[x_\alpha, \hat{1}] \subset \Pi_n^{D_\alpha}$. Note that edge labels only depend on the blocks that are being modified by an edge. If we have a rising chain of $[x, w]$, for any α, we can take the subset of edges that involve modifying subsets of D_α and obtain a rising chain of $[x_\alpha, \hat{1}] \subset \Pi_n^{D_\alpha}$ (which must be the unique such chain). Further, the first coordinate of the label of any edge gives an element in a block (singleton or non-singleton) being modified by that edge. Thus if $\alpha \neq \beta$, a label of an edge modifying subsets of D_α cannot be the same as the label of an edge modifying subsets of D_β. Since the labels are linearly ordered, there is a unique way to combine the rising chains of $[x_\alpha, \hat{1}] \subset \Pi_n^{D_\alpha}$ into a rising chain of $[x, w]$.

Finally, suppose that $x < z$ is some edge with $z \leqslant w$. Let β be such that the first edge of the rising chain of $[x, w]$ modifies subsets of D_β. For each α, let $x_\alpha < y_\alpha$ be the first edge of the rising chain in $[x_\alpha, \hat{1}] \subset \Pi_n^{D_\alpha}$. Note that for each α, the label of $x_\alpha < y_\alpha$ occurs as a label in the rising chain of $[x, w]$, and thus $\lambda(x_\alpha < y_\alpha) \geqslant \lambda(x_\beta < y_\beta)$ with equality if and only if $\alpha = \beta$. Then $x < z$ corresponds, for some α, to an edge $x_\alpha < z_\alpha$ of elements of $\Pi_n^{D_\alpha}$, and thus either $z_\alpha = y_\alpha$ or the label of $x < z$ (which is the same as the label of $x_\alpha < z_\alpha$) is greater than or equal to the label of $x_\alpha < y_\alpha$, with equality if and only if $z_\alpha = y_\alpha$. Thus $\lambda(x < z) \geqslant \lambda(x_\beta < y_\beta)$ with equality if and only if $\alpha = \beta$ and $z_\alpha = y_\beta$. In other words, for any edge $x < z$ with $z \leqslant w$ that is not the first edge of the rising chain of $[x, w]$, we have that $\lambda(x < z)$ is greater than the label of the first edge of the rising chain of $[x, w]$. $\square$

Theorem 4.9 together with Lemma 4.8 shows that for any colored n-equals partition I, the homology of $\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)})$ is torsion free. Along with Lemma 4.2, this suggests a Künneth type formula. We develop this now.

For any colored subset F of D, the inclusion $F \hookrightarrow D$ induces an injection of lattices $\Pi_n^F \hookrightarrow \Pi_n^D$, and under this injection $\Pi_n^F \cong \Pi_n^D(\leqslant \tilde{F})$, where $\tilde{F}$ is the n-equals partition consisting of the single nonsingleton block F (or $\tilde{F} = \hat{0}$ if F contains fewer than n elements of some color).

In light of this, we can rewrite the isomorphism of Lemma 4.2 as

$$\prod_i \Pi_n^D(\leqslant \tilde{J}_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_n^D(\leqslant J)$$

where $\{J_i\}$ are the blocks of the partition J. Note that we are viewing J_i both as a block of J and as a partition of D with only that non-singleton block.

Definition 4.11 (cd(I)). For a partition I, define $\text{cd}(I) := |D| - |I|$, i.e. $\text{cd}(I)$ equals the number of elements of D minus the number of blocks of I.

Definition 4.12. Given $I, J \in \Pi_n^D$, denote their *join* by $I \wedge J$, i.e. $I \wedge J$ is the finest partition which both J and I refine. We say that I and J *meet transversely* when $\text{cd}(I) + \text{cd}(J) = \text{cd}(I \wedge J)$.

22

As discussed in §1.8-1.9 and §4.2 of [DGM00], the direct sum

$$\left(\bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \tilde{H}_{*-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \right) \tag{4.3}$$

has the structure of a graded-commutative algebra; we refer to its product as the *intersection product*. From the construction (below), this algebra carries a natural S_D action.

Explicitly, the intersection product is given by 0 on summands associated to I and J which do not meet transversely. On summands associated to I and J which do meet transversely, the intersection product is given on each summand by the composition

$$\tilde{H}_i(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \tilde{H}_j(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant J)}); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_{i+j}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}) \times \Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant J)}); \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_{i+j+2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I) \times \Pi_n^D(\leqslant J)}); \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\wedge} \tilde{H}_{i+j+2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I \wedge J)}); \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4.4}$$

where the first isomorphism is given by Künneth, the second isomorphism arises from a canonical homeomorphism of order complexes of bounded posets, due to Walker [Wal88, Theorem 5.1] [6], and the final map comes from the join, viewed as a map of posets

$$\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I) \times \Pi_n^D(\leqslant J) \longrightarrow \Pi_n^D(\leqslant I \wedge J)$$
$$(L, K) \mapsto L \wedge K.$$

For a partition I with blocks $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell$, note that the isomorphism of Lemma 4.2 is just the iterated join

$$\prod_i \Pi_n^D(\leqslant \tilde{I}_i) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\wedge} \Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)$$

$$(L_1, \ldots, L_\ell) \mapsto L_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge L_\ell.$$

Comparing with the definition of the intersection product, we conclude the following.

Proposition 4.13. *(A "Künneth" decomposition) Let I be a partition. Denote by $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell$ the blocks of I. The intersection product induces for each $k \geqslant 0$ a stab_I-equivariant isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{k_1 + \cdots + k_\ell = k} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \tilde{H}_{k_i}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{I_i}}); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_{k+2(\ell-1)}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}). \tag{4.5}$$

In particular, the algebra (4.3) *is generated by the subspace* $\bigoplus_{I'} H_{*-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I')}); \mathbb{Z})$ *where the sum is over partitions* $I' \in \Pi_n^D$ *with precisely one nonsingleton block.*

One might naively expect that Proposition 4.13 should follow directly from the Künneth theorem. However, it is not the case that the isomorphism in Lemma 4.2 holds with $\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)$ replaced by $\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}$. Indeed, this is one reason that the intersection product is needed. On the other hand, an interpretation in terms of Kunneth can be found in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5 of [Pet2].

---

[6]We remark that our notation differs slightly from [Wal88]: here a degree 2 shift appears rather than degree 1 (as in [Wal88]); this is because Theorem 5.1 in [Wal88] involves a suspension.

5 Spaces of ordered 0-cycles

Now fix a manifold X of dimension N. Define $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \subseteq X^D$ to be the space of D-tuples of (not necessarily distinct) points in X labeled by the elements of D such that no point of X has at least n labels of each color. Since we have fixed m colors throughout, if D happens to not include any elements of some color then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) = X^D$. The permutation action of S_D on X^D leaves invariant $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X)$.

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 5.6 below. This theorem describes the $\mathbb{Q}[S_D]$-algebra structure of the E_2-page of the Leray spectral sequence associated to the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \longrightarrow X^D$ and the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$. The description will be in terms of the cohomology of X and the homology of order complexes related to Π_n^D. Petersen [Pet] gives a spectral sequence for stratified spaces that might also be used to be understand the homology of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X)$. However, we crucially need to further understand that action of S_D on the homology, and it is not straightforward to see that action in Petersen's spectral sequence. We begin by studying the combinatorics of the complement of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let

$$L_1(D) := \{(\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^{D(1)} \times \ldots \times (\mathbb{R}^N)^{D(m)} \mid x_{1i} = x_{ai} \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, \ 1 < a \leqslant m,$$
$$x_{11} = x_{1j} \text{ for } 2 \leqslant j \leqslant n\}$$

Define the *colored n-equals arrangement* $\mathcal{A}_n^{N,D}$ to be the linear subspace arrangement in $(\mathbb{R}^N)^D$ consisting of the set of all translates of $L_1(D)$ under the action of S_D. Denote by $\Pi_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the associated *intersection lattice* :

$$\Pi_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{L \subset (\mathbb{R}^N)^D \mid L = L_{\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap L_{\sigma_k}, \text{ for } \sigma_i \in S_D, \ L_{\sigma_i} = \sigma_i(L_1(D))\}$$

and $\Pi_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is ordered by reverse inclusion. Note also that we include the entire space $(\mathbb{R}^N)^D$ (i.e. the empty intersection when $k = 0$ above), and will alternately denote it by $\hat{0}$. It is an initial element of the poset $\Pi_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark 5.1. For $(m, n) = (1, 2)$, the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_1^{2,D}$ with complement $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2^D(\mathbb{C})$ is precisely the braid arrangement studied by Arnol'd [Arn69]. Arnol'd showed that the cohomology algebra is generated by classes in degree 1 subject to a quadratic relation. The algebras $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Z})$ are near cousins of Arnol'd's algebra, and one might hope they admit a similar presentation, though we do not expect that they are always quadratic algebras.

Problem 5.2. Give an algebra presentation for $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Z})$.

We do not solve Problem 5.2 here, but only give a set of algebra generators. A solution to Problem 5.2 would shed significant light on the algebra structure of the E_2-page of the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \longrightarrow X^D$.

Before continuing we will need to make a definition.

Definition 5.3 $(\mathbf{cd}(x), \mathbf{coor}(x))$. When x is an element of the intersection lattice of a subspace arrangement over $\mathbb{R}$, we will denote by $\mathrm{cd}(x)$ the codimension of the subspace x, and when x is also a complex subspace, we write $\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{C}}(x)$ for its complex codimension. Let

$$\mathrm{coor}(x) := H_c^N(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(H_c^{\dim(x)}(x; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

More generally, given a smooth closed submanifold Z in a manifold X, define

$$\mathrm{coor}(Z) := H_c^{\dim(X)}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(H_c^{\dim(Z)}(Z; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

We will need the following form of the Goresky-MacPherson formula. The first statement follows from Deligne–Goresky–MacPherson [DGM00, Corollary 1.8, and §1.10-1.11], and the fact that the morphism constructed in [DGM00, §1.6] is clearly equivariant under our hypotheses. For the second statement, see Deligne–Goresky–MacPherson [DGM00, §4.2]; or de Longueville–Schultz [dLS01, Theorem 5.2], for a related treatment.

Theorem 5.4 (Goresky-MacPherson Formula). *Let $\mathcal{A} := \{L_i\}$ be an arrangement of linear subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and let $\Pi_\mathcal{A}$ denote its intersection lattice. Let $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{R}^N - \bigcup_i L_i$.*

Suppose that for every $x, y \in \Pi_\mathcal{A}$ with $x < y$ we have $\mathrm{cd}(y) - \mathrm{cd}(x) \geqslant 2$, and $H^*(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}; \mathbb{Z})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Then we have the following.

1. *There exists an isomorphism of abelian groups*

$$H^i(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in \Pi_\mathcal{A}} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(x)-i-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_\mathcal{A}(\leqslant x)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(x) \tag{5.1}$$

   that is equivariant with respect to invertible linear maps $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R}, N)$ that preserve the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$. (The action on the left-hand side comes from the action of the linear maps on $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}$ and the action on the right hand side comes from the induced action on $\Pi_\mathcal{A}$ and the induced actions on the $\mathrm{coor}(x)$.)

2. *Taking the direct sum over all $i \geqslant 0$ in (5.1) gives an isomorphism of graded-commutative algebras :*

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{x \in \Pi_\mathcal{A}} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(x)-i-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_\mathcal{A}(\leqslant x)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(x) \tag{5.2}$$

   where the algebra structure on the right-hand side of (5.2) is the intersection product (4.4) on the tensor factors for the order complex, and is given by the natural maps $\mathrm{coor}(x) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{coor}(x \cap y)$, which are isomorphisms for subspaces x and y which intersect transversely, and 0 otherwise.

Now let I be an n-equals partition of the colored set D, with blocks $I_1, \ldots, I_e$. Let $X_I \subset X^D$ be the subset where coordinates from the same I_i are all equal. Note that $\dim X_I = e \dim(X)$ and $X_I \cong \prod_i X_{I_i}$ where $X_{I_i} \cong X$ and the isomorphism records the equality of the coordinates indexed by I_i. Define

$$\mathrm{cd}(I, X) := \dim_\mathbb{R}(X) \cdot (|D| - e),$$

which is the codimension of X_I in X^D. When $X = \mathbb{R}^N$, the X_I form a linear subspace arrangement, and we have the map of posets $\Pi_n^D \to \Pi_{\{X_I\}_I}$ given by $I \mapsto X_I$ is an isomorphism. Further, we have an inclusion of the colored n-equals arrangement $\mathcal{A}_n^{N,D} \to \{X_I\}_I$, and it is not hard to see that they have the same intersection lattices. So, we also have an isomorphism of posets $\Pi_n^D \to \Pi_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$ taking I to X_I.

Definition 5.5 ($\epsilon_I(q)$). Let I be an n-equals partition of the colored set D, with blocks $I_1, \ldots, I_e$. Define $\epsilon_I(q)$ to be the stab_I-equivariant constant sheaf, supported on X_I, whose stalk at each point equals

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_I) \cong \bigoplus_{k_1+\cdots+k_e=\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2e} \overset{e}{\underset{i=1}{\boxtimes}} \tilde{H}_{k_i}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{I_i}}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{I_i})$$

where $\boxtimes$ denotes the external tensor product of the constant sheaves $\tilde{H}_{k_i}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{I_i}}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{I_i})$ on X_{I_i} and where stab_I acts on the tensor factors by

$$\tilde{H}_{k_i}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{I_i}}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{I_i}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \tilde{H}_{k_i}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{\sigma \cdot I_i}}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{\sigma \cdot I_i}).$$

Theorem 5.4 for colored n-equals arrangement $\mathcal{A}_n^{N,D}$ endows the direct sum $\bigoplus_q \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_I(q)$ with a canonical structure as a sheaf of graded algebras.

Theorem 5.6 (The Leray spectral sequence). *Let X be a connected, orientable manifold of dimension $N \geqslant 2$. Let $\tilde{E}_2^{p,q}(X, D, n)$ denote the (p, q) term of the E_2-page of the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \to X^D$, computing the cohomology of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X)$. There exists an S_D-equivariant isomorphism of bigraded algebras*

$$\bigoplus_{p,q} \tilde{E}_2^{p,q}(X, D, n) \cong \bigoplus_{p,q} \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q)).$$

Further, when X is a smooth, complex algebraic variety, this isomorphism respects mixed Hodge structures. Here the mixed Hodge structure on

$$\epsilon_I(q) \cong \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_I)$$

is trivial on the first tensor factor and the canonical one (i.e. pure of type $(\mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_I), \mathrm{cd}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_I))$) on the second tensor factor.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. By the definition of the Leray spectral sequence, the theorem reduces to showing that, given the inclusion $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \to X^D$, there is an S_D-equivariant isomorphism of sheaves of graded algebras:

$$\bigoplus_q R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_q \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_I(q).$$

where the S_D action on the right-hand side is given on the underlying spaces by $\sigma : X_I \longrightarrow X_{\sigma \cdot I}$ and the map of sheaves $\epsilon_I(q) \longrightarrow \sigma^* \epsilon_{\sigma \cdot I}(q)$ is given on stalks by

$$\epsilon_I(q)_x \cong \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(\sigma \cdot I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant \sigma \cdot I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \epsilon_{\sigma \cdot I}(q)_{\sigma \cdot x}$$

where the first and last isomorphisms are those of Proposition 4.13.

For each q, we will give an S_D-equivariant map of sheaves

$$E := \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_I(q) \to R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z}.$$

We give the map of sheaves by giving it on the basis of open sets on X^D consisting of all sets of the form $U = U_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots$ where each U_j is a small, nice contractible open and such that for each j, k either $U_j = U_k$ or $U_j \cap U_k = \emptyset$.

To such a U we can associate a partition J of D according to which U_i are equal. Then, as in [Tot96, Proof of Theorem 1] we have

$$H^q(U \cap \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^q(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots; \mathbb{Z}). \tag{5.3}$$

26

By the Künneth isomorphism, we have

$$H^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i_1+\cdots+i_\ell=q} \bigotimes_{a=1}^{\ell} H^{i_a}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_a}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Z}). \qquad (5.4)$$

For $N \geqslant 2$, the colored n-equals arrangement $\mathcal{A}_n^{N,D}$ is easily checked to satisfy the codimension assumptions of the Goresky-MacPherson formula (Theorem 5.4), and thus, for each $i \geqslant 0$, there is an S_D-equivariant isomorphism of graded algebras:

$$\bigoplus_{i_a} H^{i_a}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_a}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i_a} \bigoplus_{I_a \in \Pi_n^{J_a}} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I_a,X)-i_a-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{J_a}(\leqslant I_a)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{I_a}) \qquad (5.5)$$

Plugging this in to (5.4) and distributing terms yields an isomorphism of graded algebras:

$$\bigoplus_q H^q(U \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_q \bigoplus_{(I_1,\ldots,I_\ell) \in \Pi_n^{J_1} \times \cdots \times \Pi_n^{J_\ell}} \bigoplus_{i_1+\cdots+i_\ell=q} \bigotimes_{a=1}^{\ell} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I_a,X)-i_a-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{J_a}(\leqslant I_a)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{I_a})$$

$$(5.6)$$

By Proposition 4.13, the right-hand side of (5.6) is isomorphic as a graded algebra to :

$$\bigoplus_q \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D(\leqslant J)} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_I)$$

which is isomorphic as a graded algebra to $\bigoplus_q \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_I(q)(U)$.

If V is another open set in our basis, with associated partition K, then if there is an inclusion $V \longrightarrow U$, then $K \leqslant J$. The map $V \longrightarrow U$ induces a homomorphism

$$H^q(U \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^q(V \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X); \mathbb{Z}) \qquad (5.7)$$

$$\bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D(\leqslant J)} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_I) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D(\leqslant K)} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_I).$$

From [DGM00, Equation 1.11.3], we see that this morphism is just given by sending each summand where $I \not\leqslant K$ to 0, and is the identity map on summands where $I \leqslant K$. This agrees with the restriction map $\bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_I(q)(U) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_I(q)(V)$, so gives an isomorphism of sheaves of algebras.

We now prove the second statement of the theorem. Our computations above, combined with Proposition 4.13, give that the E_2 page of the Leray spectral sequence is generated by $H^*(X^D)$ together with $\bigoplus_{I'} H^*(X_{I'}; \epsilon_{I'}(q))$, where the direct sum is over partitions $I' \in \Pi_n^D$ with precisely one nonsingleton block. It is therefore enough to compute the weights on these summands.

The summand $H^*(X^D)$ is just the restriction along the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) \hookrightarrow X^D$, and so carries its canonical mixed Hodge structure, as claimed. Now consider each of the other summands $H^*(X_{I'}; \epsilon_{I'}(q))$. Write I' as I_1 plus singletons. There is a commutative diagram of varieties:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X) & \hookrightarrow & X^D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{I_1}(X) & \hookrightarrow_j & X^{I_1} \end{array}$$

27

By the naturality of the Leray spectral sequence, this commutative diagram induces a map of Leray spectral sequences from that of the bottom row to that of the top row. By the first part of the theorem, the image of this map equals $\bigoplus_{J \leqslant I'} H^*(X_J; \epsilon_J(q))$. It thus suffices to compute the Hodge type for the E_2 page of the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $j_{X,I_1} \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{I_1}(X) \hookrightarrow X^{I_1}$. This will follow from a standard argument, which we now recall for the sake of the reader.

For simplicity of notation, let $W := \mathbb{C}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$. Recall that for a colored, n-equals partition $J \in \Pi_n^{I_1}$, we denote by W_J be the linear subspace in W^{I_1} defined by setting coordinates to be equal as determined by the partition J. For $x \in X^{I_1} - \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{I_1}(X)$, a standard argument using Noether Normalization shows that there is an étale neighborhood $f \colon U \longrightarrow X^{I_1}$ of x in X^{I_1} that admits an étale map $\pi \colon U \longrightarrow W^{I_1}$ with the property that $\pi^{-1}(W_J) = f^{-1}(X_J)$ for each $J \in \Pi_n^{I_1}$. So for $x \in X_J$ we have an isomorphism

$$(R^q j_{X,I_1 *} \mathbb{Z})_x \xrightarrow{\ \cong\ } R^q (j_{W,J})_* \mathbb{Z})_y.$$

where the right hand side denotes the stalk at a generic $y \in W_J$ of the push-forward of the constant sheaf along the inclusion

$$j_{W,J} \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_1}(W) \times W^{I_1 \setminus J_1} \hookrightarrow W^{I_1}.$$

Now $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{J_1}(W) \times W^{I_1 \setminus J_1}$ is just a linear subspace complement, and so the Hodge types are known by work of Björner-Ekedahl [BE97, Theorem 4.9].[7] Because $W^{I_1} \cong (\mathbb{A}^r)^{I_1}$ is acyclic, the E_2 page of the Leray spectral sequence for $j_{W,J}$ is precisely H^0 of the pushforward sheaves $R^q(j_{W,j})_* \mathbb{Z}$. Further, the work of Björner-Ekedahl identifies the E_2-page with the cohomology of the arrangement. Taken together, this gives that

$$(R^q (j_{X,I_1})_* \mathbb{Z})_x \cong \bigoplus_{K \leqslant J} H_{\mathrm{cd}(K,X)-q-2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^{I_1}(\leqslant K)}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(W_K).$$

which in turn equals the stalk at x of the sheaf $\bigoplus_{K \leqslant I'} \epsilon_K(q)$; see Theorem 0.1 of [Sai90] for the fact that this sheaf is a mixed Hodge module, and therefore its stalks are endowed with mixed Hodge structures. Note that the codimension of W_K in W^{I_1} equals the codimension of X_K in X^D. Combined with the above, this gives an isomorphism of sheaves

$$R_{j*}^q \mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_{K \leqslant I'} \epsilon_K(q)$$

This completes the proof of the second statement of the theorem. $\qquad\square$

6   The Local Computation: $X = \mathbb{R}^N$

Given a colored set D, define

$$\vec{\mathbf{d}} := (|D(1)|, \ldots, |D(m)|) \in \mathbb{N}^m$$

---

[7]Theorem 4.9 of [BE97] is stated for étale cohomology of arrangements over finite fields. However, as observed in the last line of p. 168 of *loc. cit.*, the arguments give the analogous statement for mixed Hodge structures of the cohomology of arrangements over $\mathbb{C}$. This is also directly addressed in Example 1.14 of [DGM00].

where $|D(i)|$ denotes the number of elements of D of color i. The permutation action of S_D on X^D leaves invariant $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X)$. We denote the quotient space by

$$\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(X) := \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(X)/S_D.$$

The goal of this section is to compute $H^i(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q})$ for $N \geqslant 2$. If we had an explicit presentation of the algebra $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q})$, one might hope to compute the S_D-invariants directly, thus giving $H^i(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q})$ by transfer. We do not know such a presentation. Instead, we induct on a canonical filtration of $\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, extending arguments in [FW16]. The method goes back to Arnol'd [Arn69] , and Segal [Seg79].

Given ℓ, let $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + \ell$ denote the vector $(d_1 + \ell, \ldots, d_m + \ell)$, let $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + \ell_i$ denote $(d_1, \ldots, d_i + \ell, \ldots, d_m)$, and let $\ell \cdot \vec{\mathbf{d}}$ denote the vector $(\ell d_1, \ldots, \ell d_m)$. Our goal in this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 6.1 (Local computation). *Fix $r \geqslant 1$, n, m and $\vec{\mathbf{d}}$ with $d_i \geqslant n$ for all i.*

1. *If $N = 2r + 1$, then*

$$H^i(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r+1}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & i = 0 \\ 0 & else \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

2. *If $N = 2r$, then*

$$H^i(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & i = 2r(mn - 1) - 1 \\ \mathbb{Q} & i = 0 \\ 0 & else \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

6.1 Proof of Theorem 6.1: the top level

Let $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{or}}$ denote the orientation sheaf on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Because $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^D}_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is an oriented manifold, transfer followed by Poincarè duality gives:

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q})^{S_D}$$
$$\cong (H_c^{N|D|-*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{or}})^{S_D}.$$

The S_D action on $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{or}}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{Q}_{or} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{sgn}} & N \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{triv}} & N \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{triv}}$ are the restrictions to $S_D \subset S_{|D|}$ of the sign and trivial representations. This is the critical place where the even and odd dimensional cases differ.

Case 1: $N > 1$ odd.

Let $A_D := S_D \cap A_{|D|} \subset S_{|D|}$. By transfer and the fact that S_D acts on $\mathbb{Q}_{or}$ by the sign representation, we have that

$$(H_c^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{or}})^{S_D} \cong (H_c^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)/A_D; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{or}})^{S_2}. \tag{6.3}$$

Define $\widetilde{R}_{n,1}^D(\mathbb{R}^N) := (\mathbb{R}^N)^D - \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The open embedding

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^N)^D$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence in compactly supported cohomology

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_c^i(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_c^i((\mathbb{R}^N)^D; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_c^i(\widetilde{R}_{n,1}^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_c^{i+1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

By Equation (6.3) and Poincarè duality, the theorem for $N > 1$ odd is equivalent to :

$$(H_c^*(\widetilde{R}_{n,1}^D(\mathbb{R}^N)/A_D; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{sgn}})^{S_2} = 0.$$

To see this, it suffices to observe that S_2 acts trivially on the space $\widetilde{R}_{n,1}^D(\mathbb{R}^N)/A_D$. Indeed, by definition, any $x \in \widetilde{R}_{n,1}^D(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has at least two coordinates, say a_i and b_i, of each color i being equal. On the other hand, the S_2-action on the orbit space $\widetilde{R}_{n,1}^D(\mathbb{R}^N)/A_D$ is given by applying *any* transposition to an orbit representative. Picking the transposition $(a_1\ b_1)$, we see that $S_2 \cdot [x] = [x]$ as claimed. This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2: $N > 1$ even.

Now let $N = 2r$. We will make repeated use of the fact that for any k,

$$H^*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^{2r}); \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & * = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

by transfer, and, similarly, for any space X,

$$H_c^*(X \times \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^{2r}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_c^{*-2rk}(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Now, as observed above, by Poincaré duality and transfer it suffices to prove the version in compactly supported cohomology.

Our argument follows the lines of the argument in [FW16], which itself is an extension of the arguments in Segal [Seg79]. Recall the filtration:

$$\mathbb{R}^{2r|\vec{\mathbf{d}}|} = R_{n,0}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \supset R_{n,1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \supset \cdots \supset \varnothing.$$

with $R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$ the space of m-tuples $(D_1, \ldots, D_m)$ of effective 0-cycles on $\mathbb{R}^{2r}$, with $\deg(D_i) = d_i$, for which there exists an effective 0-cycle D of degree at least k, and effective 0-cycles C_i, such that $D_i = C_i + nD$ for each i. By the same arguments as in [FW16], there are homeomorphisms

$$R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) - R_{n,k+1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}-nk}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^{2r}).$$

Since $N = 2r$ and since $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{or}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{triv}}$ as S_D-equivariant sheaves, to prove the theorem it is enough to prove Equation (6.4) in the following.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $r \geqslant 1$. Let $\vec{\mathbf{d}} \geqslant n \cdot \vec{1}$ and $k \leqslant \lfloor \frac{\min_i d_i}{n} \rfloor$. Then*

$$H_c^i(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & i = 2r(|\vec{\mathbf{d}}| - mn + 1) + 1 \\ \mathbb{Q} & i = 2r|\vec{\mathbf{d}}| \\ 0 & else \end{cases} \tag{6.4}$$

$$H_c^i(R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & i = 2r(|\vec{\mathbf{d}}| - k(mn - 1)) \\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$

and for each $j \geqslant 1$ the continuous open embeddings

$$\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_j}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \tag{6.5}$$

$$R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r} \longrightarrow R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_j}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \tag{6.6}$$

given by "bringing zeroes in from infinity" induce isomorphisms on compactly supported rational cohomology.

Our goal in the rest of this section is to prove Proposition 6.2, and thus Theorem 6.1.

6.2 Proof of Proposition 6.2

We prove the proposition by induction on $(\vec{\mathbf{d}}, k)$, ordered lexicographically upward on the entries in $\vec{\mathbf{d}}$ and downward on k.

For the base case $\vec{\mathbf{d}} = n \cdot \vec{1} := (n, \dots, n)$, the isomorphism (6.4) follows immediately from the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}_n^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{\vec{n}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) - \mathbb{R}^{2r} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^m - \mathbb{R}^{2r}.$$

Similarly, we have isomorphisms

$$R_{n,1}^{n \cdot \vec{1}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2r}$$
$$R_{n,0}^{n \cdot \vec{1}} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^m$$

and a map of cofiber sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
R_{n,1}^{n \cdot \vec{1}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & R_{n,0}^{n \cdot \vec{1}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{n \cdot \vec{1}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(R_{n,1}^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (R_{n,0}^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+
\end{array}
$$

where X^+ denotes the 1-point compactification of X. This is homeomorphic to

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\mathbb{R}^{4r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\operatorname{Sym}^{n \cdot \vec{1}+1_i} \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{n \cdot \vec{1}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\mathbb{R}^{2r} \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\operatorname{Sym}^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{n \cdot \vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+
\end{array}
$$

Because the first two vertical maps induce isomorphisms in cohomology, the Five Lemma (applied to the map of long exact sequences in cohomology) shows that the right vertical map induces a cohomology isomorphism as well. This establishes the base case of the induction.

Given these base cases, the main claim (6.4) of the proposition follows from the claim that (6.5) is an isomorphism. Suppose we have proved the isomorphism (6.6) for all $(\vec{\mathbf{d}}', k)$. Consider the map of cofiber sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
R_{n,1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(R_{n,1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Sym}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+
\end{array}
$$

and note that the center column is just the case of (6.6) for $k = 0$. Our assumption on (6.6) gives that the left-hand and central vertical maps induce isomorphisms on cohomology. Applying the Five Lemma to the long exact sequence in cohomology gives that the right-hand vertical map induces an isomorphism on cohomology, proving the isomorphism (6.5).

It remains to prove the formula for the compactly supported cohomology of $R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$ and the isomorphism (6.6). We have already shown the base case. For the inductive step, suppose now that we have shown the proposition for all $(\vec{\mathbf{d}}', k)$ with $\vec{\mathbf{d}}' < \vec{\mathbf{d}}$. We will show, by downward induction on k, that the proposition holds for $(\vec{\mathbf{d}}, k)$ for all k. To prove this, we consider two cases.

Case 1: $n \nmid \vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i$. This case means that $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i \neq n \cdot \vec{\mathbf{d}}'$ for some $\vec{\mathbf{d}}'$. For the base case of the downward induction on k, i.e. $k = \lfloor \frac{\min_i d_i}{n} \rfloor$, a similar observation to the above shows that the map (6.6) induces an isomorphism on compactly supported cohomology.

Now suppose we have shown that (6.6) induces such an isomorphism for $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i$ and $k + 1 > 1$. Observe that the "bringing in zeroes" maps fit together to give a continuous map of cofiber sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
R_{n,k+1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{(\vec{\mathbf{d}}+1_i)-kn}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(R_{n,k+1}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (R_{n,k}^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}-kn}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+
\end{array}
$$

Our inductive hypotheses show that the left and right vertical maps induce isomorphisms on cohomology. Applying the Five Lemma to the long exact sequences in cohomology gives that the central map is an isomorphism in cohomology. This concludes the induction step, and thus the proof, when $n \nmid \vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i$.

Case 2: $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i = an \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}$ for $a > 1$. In this case, the induction proceeds as above, once we establish the cases $k = a$ and $k = a - 1$. The claim about the cohomology of $R_{n,a}^{an \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}}$ follows from the isomorphism

$$
R_{n,a}^{an \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathbb{R}^{2r}).
$$

For $k = a - 1$, the identification

$$
R_{n,a-1}^{an \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}} - R_{n,a}^{an \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}} \cong \mathcal{Z}_n^{n \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \cong (\mathrm{Sym}^{n \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) - \mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})
$$

gives rise to the long exact sequence in compactly supported cohomology

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_c^{p-2r(a-1)}(\mathrm{Sym}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})-\mathbb{R}^{2r};\mathbb{Q})\longrightarrow H_c^p(R_{n,a-1}^{an\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r});\mathbb{Q})\longrightarrow H_c^p(\mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathbb{R}^{2r});\mathbb{Q})\overset{\partial}{\longrightarrow}\cdots$$

This implies that

$$H_c^p(R_{n,a-1}^{an\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r});\mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & p < 2ra \\ 0 & 2ra+1 < p < 2r(mn+a-1) \\ \mathbb{Q} & p = 2r(mn+a-1) \\ 0 & p > 2r(mn+a-1) \end{cases}$$

This leaves the cases $p = 2ra$ and $p = 2ra+1$. For these, we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_c^{2ra}(R_{n,a-1}^{an\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r});\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_c^{2ra}(\mathbb{R}^{2ra};\mathbb{Q}) \overset{\partial}{\longrightarrow} H_c^{2ra+1}((\mathrm{Sym}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})-\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r});\mathbb{Q})$$
$$\longrightarrow H_c^{2ra+1}(R_{n,a-1}^{an\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r});\mathbb{Q})\longrightarrow 0.$$

It suffices to show that the boundary map is an isomorphism. To see this, consider the closed embedding

$$\mathbb{R}^{2r}\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Sym}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$$
$$(\bar{z},D)\mapsto(n\cdot\bar{z},\cdots,n\cdot\bar{z},D)$$

where we view $\mathbb{R}^{2r}\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$ as the variety of pairs of effective 0-cycles $(\bar{z},D)$ on $\mathbb{C}^r$ with $\deg(\bar{z})=1$ and $\deg(D)=a-1$, and where we view $\mathrm{Sym}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$ as the variety of $(m+1)$-tuples of effective 0-cycles

$$(D_1,\cdots,D_m,D)$$

with $\deg(D_i)=n$ and $\deg(D)=a-1$. By inspection,

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})-\mathbb{R}^{2r}\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\cong\mathcal{Z}_n^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$$

and the assignments

$$(\bar{z},D)\mapsto(\bar{z}+D)$$
$$(D_1,\cdots,D_m,D)\mapsto(D_1+nD,\cdots,D_m+nD)$$

determine a map of cofiber sequences

$$(\mathbb{R}^{2r}\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sym}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+ \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_n^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+$$

$$R_{n,a}^{an\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ \longrightarrow R_{n,a-1}^{an\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_n^{n\cdot\vec{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})\times\mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^+$$

The left vertical map is an a-fold branched cover, so on the top degree of compactly supported cohomology, the map it induces is multiplication by a. In particular, this gives an

33

isomorphism in rational cohomology, and by the Five Lemma applied to the map of long exact sequences, we see that the cohomology of $R^{an\cdot\vec{1}}_{n,a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$ is as claimed.

Finally, to see that (6.6) is an isomorphism for $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i = an \cdot \vec{1}$ and $k = a-1$, we apply the Five Lemma to the map of long exact sequences induced by the continuous map of cofiber sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
R^{an\cdot\vec{1}}_{n,a}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & R^{an\cdot\vec{1}}_{n,a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}^{n\cdot\vec{1}}_n(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r(a-1)})^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
* & \longrightarrow & (R^{an\cdot\vec{1}-1_i}_{n,a-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+ & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{Z}^{n\cdot\vec{1}-1_i}_n(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2r(a-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{2r})^+
\end{array}
$$

Using that the map (6.6) is now an isomorphism for $\vec{\mathbf{d}} + 1_i = an \cdot \vec{1}$ and $k = a-1$, the downward induction on k now proceeds exactly as above, and this completes the proof of the proposition. $\qquad\square$

7 Completing the proof of Theorem 3.1

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. We will deduce the theorem in a number of steps, using Theorem 4.9, Theorem 5.6, and Theorem 6.1. By transfer,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{p,q}_2(X, \vec{\mathbf{d}}, n) &\cong (\tilde{E}^{p,q}_2(X, D, n) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_D} \\
&\cong \left(\bigoplus_{I \in \Pi^D_n} H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \right)^{S_D} \qquad \text{(by Theorem 5.6)} \\
&\cong \left(\bigoplus_{I \in \Pi^D_n} H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{stab}_I} \right)^{S_D}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q))^{\mathrm{stab}_I} \cong (H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times \cdots \times S_{I_k}})^{\mathrm{stab}_I/(S_{I_1} \times \cdots \times S_{I_k})}
$$

and, because $S_{I_1} \times \cdots \times S_{I_k}$ acts trivially on X_I,

$$
\cong (H^p(X_I; (\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times \cdots \times S_{I_k}}))^{\mathrm{stab}_I/(S_{I_1} \times \cdots \times S_{I_k})}.
$$

Our first task after this reduction of the problem is to describe the coefficients $(\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times \cdots \times S_{I_k}})$. Before stating the next lemma we need some terminology. Let $\dim_\mathbb{R}(X) = N$. Let $\mathbb{Q}[j]$ denote the rank 1 graded vector space of bidegree $(0, j)$. Note here that the external tensor product is bigraded.

Lemma 7.1 (Invariants of the coefficient sheaves $\epsilon_I(q)$). *Endow $\epsilon_I(q)$ with the bigrading $(0, q)$.*

1. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2r + 1, r > 0$. There is an S_D-equivariant isomorphism of bigraded sheaves on X^D :

$$(\epsilon_{\hat{0}}(0) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[0]$$

where S_D acts trivially on $\mathbb{Q}[0]$. Further, for all $I \neq \hat{0} \in \Pi_n^D$:

$$(\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots} \cong 0.$$

2. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2r, r > 0$.

   (a) If I consists only of singletons and $q/(2r(mn-1)-1)$ blocks of size mn, then

$$\text{stab}_I = ((\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} S_{I_i}) \rtimes S_{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} S_{s(I,i)} \qquad (7.1)$$

   where $s(I, i)$ denotes the number of singletons of color i, and there is a $\text{stab}_I /(S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots)$-equivariant isomorphism of bigraded sheaves on X_I :

$$(\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots} \cong \mathbb{Q}[q]$$

   where $\text{stab}_I /(S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots) \cong S_{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} S_{s(I,i)}$ acts on the sheaf $\mathbb{Q}[q]$ via the alternating representation for $S_{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)}$ and the trivial representation for $\prod_{i=1}^{m} S_{s(I,i)}$.

   (b) For all other I:

$$(\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots} \cong 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 7.1. Let $N = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. We first prove the lemma in the case where $|I| = 1$, i.e. $I = \hat{1}$ is the terminal object in Π_n^D and $\text{stab}_I = S_D$. Note that S_D acts trivially on $X_{\hat{1}}$. By the Goresky-MacPherson Formula (Theorem 5.4) and the definition of $\epsilon_K(q)$, for all $x \in X_{\hat{1}}$, there is an S_D-equivariant isomorphism

$$\left(\bigoplus_{K \in \Pi_n^D} \epsilon_K(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \right)_x \cong H^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^n); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Recall the following three facts :

1. By Theorem 6.1, for $r > 0$ we have :

$$H^q(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & q = 2r(mn-1)-1 \\ \mathbb{Q} & q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad (7.2)$$

and

$$H^q(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^{2r+1}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad (7.3)$$

35

2. Transfer and the Goresky-MacPherson formula (Theorem 5.4) gives, for each $q \geqslant 0$ and all $N \geqslant 2$:

$$H^q(\mathcal{Z}_n^{\vec{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^q(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^D(\mathbb{R}^N); \mathbb{Q})^{S_D}$$

$$\cong \left(\bigoplus_{I \in \Pi_n^D} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(I, \mathbb{R}^N) - q - 2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)}); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}((\mathbb{R}_I^N)) \right)^{S_D}$$

3. Theorem 4.9 gives that Π_n^D satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.8. This lemma then gives that $\dim \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^N) - q - 2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D}); \mathbb{Q})$ is given by the number of falling chains of Π_n^D of length $\mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^N) - q$.

Recall that $\epsilon_{\hat{1}}(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the constant sheaf $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^N) - q - 2}(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D}); \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathrm{coor}(X_{\hat{1}})$ on $X_{\hat{1}}$.

First suppose that $N = 2r + 1, r > 0$. Then S_D acts on the sheaves $\mathrm{coor}(X_I)$ by the sign representation for all $I \neq \hat{0}$. The three facts above combine to show that the S_D-invariants $(\epsilon_{\hat{1}}(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_D}$ vanish unless $q = 0$, and there exist falling chains C in Π_n^D with $\ell(C) = \mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^{2r+1})$. Since $\mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^{2r+1}) = (2r + 1)(|D| - |\hat{1}|) = (2r + 1)(|D| - 1)$, these conditions are equivalent to:

$$\ell(C) = (2r + 1)(|D| - 1). \tag{7.4}$$

Now suppose $N = 2r, r > 0$. Then S_D acts on the sheaf $\mathrm{coor}(X_I)$ by the trivial representation, and the orientation of X induces an S_D-equivariant trivialization $\mathrm{coor}(X_I) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for all I. The three facts above combine to show that the S_D-invariants $(\epsilon_{\hat{1}}(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_D}$ vanish unless $q = 2r(mn - 1) - 1$ or $q = 0$, and there exist falling chains C in Π_n^D with $\ell(C) = \mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^N) - (2r(mn - 1) - 1)$ or $\ell(C) = \mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $\mathrm{cd}(\hat{1}, \mathbb{R}^N) = 2r(|D| - |\hat{1}|) = 2r(|D| - 1)$, these conditions are equivalent to:

$$\ell(C) = 2r(|D| - mn) + 1, \tag{7.5}$$

$$\text{resp. } \ell(C) = 2r(|D| - 1). \tag{7.6}$$

We now claim that, for any $N \geqslant 2$, unless $|D(i)| = n$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, respectively $|D| = 1$, there does not exist any falling chain C satisfying (7.5), respectively (7.4) or (7.6). Note that we are still assuming $|I| = 1$ here. To see the claim, note that if $|D(i)| \geqslant n$ for all i, the longest falling chain C' must consist of one creation of a non-singleton block, followed by singleton mergers. Since there are $|D| - mn$ singletons left after the first move, it follows that

$$\ell(C') = |D| - mn + 1.$$

In particular $\ell(C') \leqslant N(|D| - mn) + 1$, with equality as in (7.5) only when $|D| - mn = 0$. Further, (7.4) and (7.6) never occur. When $|D(i)| = n$ for all i, there is one falling chain of length 1, and we see that S_D must act trivially on it when $N = 2r$ since, from Fact 1 above, there is an invariant.

Similarly, if $|D(i)| < n$ for some i, then there are no nontrivial colored n-equals partitions, i.e

$$\Pi_n^D = \{0\}.$$

Thus the unique falling chain has length 0, which is only equal to $N(|D|-1)$ when $|D|=1$. When $|D|=1$, $\hat{1}=\hat{0}$. Therefore $\mathrm{coor}(X_{\hat{0}}):=H_c(X^D;\mathbb{Z})\otimes H_c(X^D;\mathbb{Z})^\vee=\mathbb{Z}$ with the trivial S_D-action regardless of the dimension of X. Of course S_D also acts trivially on the unique falling chain. This proves the lemma in the case $|I|=1$.

In the case $|I|>1$, suppose I has non-singleton blocks $I_1,\ldots,I_k$ and singleton blocks $I_{k+1},\ldots,I_\ell$. The projection of $\epsilon_I(q)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ onto the $(S_{I_1}\times S_{I_2}\times\cdots)$-invariants can be factored as follows: compose the projections π_j onto the invariants for the group which fixes I_j setwise and $D\backslash I_j$ pointwise, for $j=1,\ldots,k$.

By Proposition 4.13, any class in $H_*(\Delta(\overline{\Pi_n^D(\leqslant I)});\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathrm{coor}(X_I)$ is a product of classes coming from the partitions with only one non-singleton block I_j for $j=1,\ldots,k$. The argument above shows that the projection π_j is 0 unless N is even and $|I_j\cap D(i)|=n$ for $i=1,\ldots,m$. In the case that $N=2r$ is even, if all I_j for $j=1,\ldots,k$ have $|I_j\cap D(i)|=n$ for $i=1,\ldots,m$, we have a single dimension of $(S_{I_1}\times S_{I_2}\times\cdots)$–invariants for any q divisible by $2r(mn-1)-1$ and no invariants for any other q. This gives the Statement 1 and the first part of Statement 2 of the lemma.

For the second part of Statement 2, the group acting here is non-canonically isomorphic to

$$((S_n^{\times m})\wr S_{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)})\times\prod_{i=1}^m S_{s(I,i)}.$$

Under the isomorphism of Proposition 4.13, the isomorphism of Lemma 7.1 takes the form

$$(\epsilon_I(q)\otimes\mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1}\times\cdots\times S_{I_\ell}}\cong\boxtimes_{j=1}^\ell\mathbb{Q}\langle I_j\rangle \tag{7.7}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}\langle I_j\rangle$ denotes, for $|I_j|=mn$, the constant sheaf on X_{I_j} with stalk the rank 1 graded vector space of bidegree $(0,2r(mn-1)-1)$ corresponding to the unique falling chain in $\Pi_n^{I_j}$ of length 1, and where $\mathbb{Q}\langle I_j\rangle$ denotes, for $|I_j|=1$, the constant sheaf on X_{I_j} with stalk the rank 1 graded vector space of bidegree $(0,0)$. Passing to the quotient $\mathrm{stab}_I/(S_{I_1}\times\cdots S_{I_\ell})\cong S_{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)}\times\prod_{i=1}^m S_{s(I,i)}$, we see that the $S_{q/(2r(mn-1)-1)}$ acts on the right-hand side of (7.7) according to the Künneth isomorphism and the graded rule of signs, i.e. by permuting classes of odd total degree past each other via the sign representation, while $S_{s(I,i)}$ acts by permuting classes of total degree 0 past each other, i.e. via the trivial representation. □

Back to the proof of Theorem 3.1. First note that Theorem 5.6 gives

$$(\widetilde{E}_2^{p,q}(X,D,n)\otimes\mathbb{Q})^{S_D}\cong\left(\bigoplus_{I\in\Pi_n^D}H^p(X_I;\epsilon_I(q)\otimes\mathbb{Q})\right)^{S_D}$$

$$\cong\left(\bigoplus_{I\in\Pi_n^D}H^p(X_I;\epsilon_I(q)\otimes\mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1}\times S_{I_2}\times\cdots}\right)^{S_D}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from basic linear algebra. Since $S_{I_1}\times S_{I_2}\times\cdots$ acts trivially on X_I, Lemma 7.1 gives that:

- If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2r + 1$, $r > 0$ then $(\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots} = 0$ unless I consists only of singletons and $q = 0$; and

- if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2r$, $r > 0$ then $(\epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{I_1} \times S_{I_2} \times \cdots} = 0$ unless I consists only of singletons and $q/(2r(mn - 1) - 1)$ blocks of size mn.

We conclude that if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2r + 1$, $r > 0$ then

$$(\widetilde{E}_2^{p,q}(X, D, n) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_D} \cong \begin{cases} H^p(X^D; \mathbb{Q})^{S_D} & q = 0 \\ 0 & q > 0 \end{cases}$$

This proves the first statement of the theorem.

For the second statement, if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2r$, $r > 0$ then the above gives that

$$(\widetilde{E}_2^{p,q}(X, D, n) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_D} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{I \in \Pi_n^D \\ I = \text{singletons and blocks of size } mn \\ \text{with } q/(2r(mn-1)-1) \text{ blocks of size } mn}} H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \right)^{S_D} . \quad (7.8)$$

We are now in a position to prove the second statement of Theorem 3.1. Let $J \in \Pi_n^D$ be a partition composed of singletons and $q/(2r(mn - 1) - 1)$ blocks of size mn. Then the S_D-representation

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{I \in \Pi_n^D \\ I \text{ singletons and blocks of size } mn \\ \text{with } q/(2r(mn-1)-1) \text{ blocks of size } mn}} H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

is the induction from stab_J up to S_D of

$$H^p(X_J; \epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

Thus, by Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\substack{I \in \Pi_n^D \\ I = \text{singletons and blocks of size } mn \\ \text{with } q/(2r(mn-1)-1) \text{ blocks}}} H^p(X_I; \epsilon_I(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \right)^{S_D} = H^p(X_J; \epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\text{stab}_J}.$$

We have

$$H^p(X_J; \epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\text{stab}_J} = \left(H^p(X_J; \epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{J_1} \times S_{J_2} \times \cdots} \right)^{\text{stab}_J / (S_{J_1} \times S_{J_2} \times \cdots)}.$$

Note that, $S_{J_1} \times S_{J_2} \times \cdots$ acts trivially on X_J. By Lemma 7.1, we have that $(\epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{S_{J_1} \times S_{J_2} \times \cdots} \cong \mathbb{Q}[q]$ where $\mathbb{Q}[q]$ denotes the constant rank 1 graded sheaf on X_J in bidegree $(0, q)$. By Lemma 7.1, $\text{stab}_J / (S_{J_1} \times S_{J_2} \times \cdots)$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}[q]$ by the sign representation

for permutations of nonsingleton blocks and the trivial representation for permutations of singletons.

Let J have k blocks of size mn and $s(J, i)$ singletons of color i. Note that $k \leqslant |D(i)|/n$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Then $X_J \cong X^k \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} X^{s(J,i)}$ and, by the definition of $\epsilon_J(q)$ and Lemma 7.1, $H^p(X_J; \epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}^{S_{J_1} \times \cdots})$ is the degree (p, q) part of

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1])^{\otimes k} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0])^{\otimes s(J,i)},$$

where the cohomological degree contributes only to the p degree, and where $\mathrm{stab}_J / (S_{J_1} \times S_{J_2} \times \cdots) \simeq S_k \times S_{\ell_1} \times S_{\ell_2} \times \cdots$ acts in the usual (graded) way from the Künneth formula. Thus $H^p(X_J; \epsilon_J(q) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{stab}_J}$ is the degree (p, q) part of

$$\mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^k H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[2r(mn-1)-1]) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Sym}_{gr}^{s(J,i)} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}[0])$$

as claimed.

When X is a smooth complex variety, Theorem 5.6 applied to Equation (7.8) gives the weights as claimed in the third statement of the theorem. $\qquad\square$

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Berglund, J. Grodal, M. Kapranov, B. Knudsen, J.P. May, J. Miller, M. Nori and C. Westerland for helpful comments and questions. We are very appreciative to Tom Church for making extensive comments on an earlier draft of this paper, and to Dan Petersen for pointing out a crucial example which suggested a much richer picture. Finally, we thank the anonymous referees for many comments that helped to improve the paper.

References

[AF59]   A. Andreotti and T. Frankel. The Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 69: 713-717, 1959.

[Ara16]   A. Arabia. Espaces de configuration généralisés. Espaces topologiques i-acycliques. Suites spectrales "basiques." arXiv:1609.00522.

[Arn69]   V. Arnol'd. The cohomology ring of the colored braids group. *Mat. Zametki*, 5:227–231, 1969.

[Arn70]   V. Arnol'd. On some topological invariants of algebraic functions. *Tr. Mosc. Mat. Obsc.*, pages 27–46, 1970.

[BCT89]   C.-F. Bodigheimer, F. Cohen, and L. Taylor. On the homology of configuration spaces. *Topology*, 28(1):111–123, 1989.

[BE97]   A. Björner and T. Ekedahl. Subspace arrangements over finite fields: cohomological and enumerative aspects. *Adv. Math.*, 129(2):159–187, 1997.

[Ben76]   S.-J. Benkoski. The probability that k positive integers are relatively r-prime. *J. Number Theory*, 8(2):218–223, 1976.

[BM14]   M. Bendersky and J. Miller. Localization and homological stability of configuration spaces. *Q. J. Math.*, 65(3):807–815, 2014.

[BT82]   R. Bott and L. Tu. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, volume 82 of *GTM*. Springer–Verlag, 1982.

[BW96]   A. Björner and M. Wachs. Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(4):1299–1327, 1996.

[BW97]   A. Björner and M. Wachs. Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(10):3945–3975, 1997.

[Chu12]   T. Church. Homological stability for configuration spaces of manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 188:465–504, 2012.

[CCMM91] F. Cohen, R. Cohen, B. Mann, R.J. Milgram. The topology of rational functions and divisors of surfaces. *Acta Math.*, 166(3-4):162–221, 1991.

[Del71]   P. Deligne. Theorie de Hodge II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40):5–57, 1971.

[DGM00]   P. Deligne, M. Goresky, and R. MacPherson. L'algèbre de cohomologie du complément, dans un espace affine, d'une famille finie de sous-espaces affines. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:121–136, 2000.

[dLS01]   M. de Longueville and C. Schultz. The cohomology rings of complements of subspace arrangements. *Math. Ann.*, 319(4):625–646, 2001.

[FT05]   Y. Félix and D. Tanré. The cohomology algebra of unordered configuration spaces. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 72(2):525–544, 2005.

[FW16]   B. Farb and J. Wolfson. Topology and arithmetic of resultants, I. *New York J. Math.*, 22:801–821, 2016. Also see the Corrigendum, Volume 25 (2019), 195-197.

[Gad]   N. Gadish. Representation Stability For Families Of Linear Subspace Arrangements. *Adv. Math.*, to appear.

[Geg85]   L. Gegenbauer. Asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie. *Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe*, 49:37–80, 1885.

[Get96]   E. Getzler Mixed Hodge structures of configuration spaces. arXiv:alg-geom/9510018.

[Ho]   Q. Ho, Densities and stability via factorization homology, preprint, Feb. 2018.

[KM]   A. Kupers and J. Miller. E_n-cell attachments and a local-to-global principle for homological stability. arXiv:1405.7087.

[Knu]   B. Knudsen. Betti numbers and stability for configuration spaces via factorization homology. arXiv:1405.6696.

[MD]   K. Morrison and Z. Dong. The probability that random polynomials are relatively r-prime. http://www.calpoly.edu/~kmorriso/Research/RPFF04-2.pdf.

[Mer74]   F. Mertens. Ueber einige asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 77:289–338, 1874.

[MS]   J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, Characteristic classes, *Annals of Math. Studies*, No. 76, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1974.

[Pet]   D. Petersen. A spectral sequence for stratified spaces and configuration spaces of points. arXiv:1603.01137.

[Pet2]   D. Petersen. Cohomology of generalized configuration spaces. arXiv:1807.07293.

[PS08]   C. Peters and J. Steenbrink. *Mixed Hodge Structures.* Springer–Verlag, 2008.

[RW13]   O. Randal-Williams. Homological stability for unordered configuration spaces. *Q. J. Math.*, 64(1):303–326, 2013.

[Sai90] M. Saito. Mixed Hodge modules. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 26:221–333, 1990.

[Seg79] G. Segal. The topology of spaces of rational functions. *Acta Math.*, 143(1-2):39–72, 1979.

[Tot96] B. Totaro. Configuration spaces of algebraic varieties. *Topology*, 35(4):1057–1067, 1996.

[VW15]   R. Vakil and M. M. Wood Discriminants in the Grothendieck ring. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(6):1139–1185, 2015.

[Vas92] V.A. Vassiliev. *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*, volume 98. American Mathematical Society, 1992.

[Wal88] J. Walker. Canonical homeomorphisms of posets. *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, 9:97–107, 1988.

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Chicago
E-mail: farb@math.uchicago.edu

Dept. of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine
E-mail: wolfson@uci.edu

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin–Madison; American Institute of Mathematics
E-mail: mmwood@math.wisc.edu