

UCLA

UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology

Title

Personal Names: Structures and Patterns

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/42v9x6xp>

Journal

UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology, 1(1)

Author

Vittmann, Günter

Publication Date

2013-01-10

Copyright Information

Copyright 2013 by the author(s). All rights reserved unless otherwise indicated. Contact the author(s) for any necessary permissions. Learn more at <https://escholarship.org/terms>

Peer reviewed

PERSONAL NAMES: STRUCTURES AND PATTERNS

الأسماء الشخصية : تركيبات ونماذج

Günter Vittmann

EDITORS

WILLEKE WENDRICH
Editor-in-Chief
University of California, Los Angeles

JACCO DIELEMAN
Editor
University of California, Los Angeles

ELIZABETH FROOD
Editor
Area Editor Individual and Society
University of Oxford

JOHN BAINES
Senior Editorial Consultant
University of Oxford

Short Citation:

Vittmann, 2013, Personal Names: Structures and Patterns. *UEE*.

Full Citation:

Vittmann, Günter, 2013, Personal Names: Structures and Patterns. In Elizabeth Frood and Willeke Wendrich (eds.), *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, Los Angeles.
<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002dwqsr>

8004 Version 1, January 2013

<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002dwqsr>

PERSONAL NAMES: STRUCTURES AND PATTERNS

الأسماء الشخصية : تركيبات ونماذج

Günter Vittmann

Personennamen: Strukturen und Muster
Noms de personne: Structure et modèles

The diversity and complexity of ancient Egyptian personal names points to a range of available patterns and options for name-giving. Alongside personal names that make direct reference to the name-bearer and his or her family, there are numerous names that refer to a god, the ruling (or an earlier) king, or some venerated individual, with or without simultaneous reference to the name-bearer or his family. An individual could be designated, for example, as one beloved, given, or protected by a god or king, but a name could equally contain an objective statement about god or king.

تشير الأسماء الشخصية للمصريين القدماء في تنوعها وتركيباتها إلى وجود مجموعة من الأنماط والخيارات المتاحة لتسمية الأفراد. فهناك الأسماء الشخصية التي تشير بشكل مباشر إلى حامل الاسم وعائلته، أيضا هناك العديد من الأسماء التي تشير الي اسم اله أو الملك الحالي (أو السابق)، أو بعض أصحاب المهابة والتبجيل حتى وإن كان لا يتشابه مع حامل الاسم أو عائلته، فعلى سبيل المثال يمكن للشخص أن يلقب بالمحبيب أو المحروس من الإله أو الملك، ولكن ربما يتضمن الأسم جملة تحمل معني حسيا عن إله أو عن ملك.



ancient Egyptian personal names were manifold both in structure and in meaning. From a purely formal point of view, most Egyptian personal names may be assigned to one of the following three basic groups (for the sake of simplicity, in the citations below Ranke 1935 shall be indicated by “I”; Ranke 1952 shall be indicated by “II”; and Lüddeckens et al. 1980 – 2000 shall be indicated by “DN”):

1. one-word names (including, from Dynasty 18 onward, the definite article), such as *Jbj* “Ibi” (I 20,10; DN 6; untranslatable); *Hr* “Horus” (I 245,18; DN 786-788); *P3-whr* “The dog” (I 104,12; DN 181); *P3-Md3y* “The Medjamman” (I 105,18, Dynasty 18); *Nhsj*, *P3-Nhsj* “The Nubian” (I 209,4; 113,13; DN 194).
2. compound names not constituting a complete sentence, e.g., *P3-ntj-n(.j)* “He who

belongs to me” (I 114, 5: several examples from the Middle Kingdom, when the use of the definite article in proper names was otherwise uncommon); *3st-wrt* “Great Isis,” “Isis the great” (I 4,1; DN 76-77); *Ddw-Sbk* (I 401,19-20), later *P3-dj-Sbk* “Given by Sobek,” “He whom Sobek has given” (I 126,8; DN 340-341). For the very frequent names introduced by the possessive articles *p3-n* (Demotic *pa*) “he of ...” and *t3-nt* (Demotic *ta*) “she of,” see below under *Theophorous Names*.

3. names constituting a complete sentence, e.g., *Nj-nh(.j?)-Shmt* “(My?) life belongs to Sakhmet” (I 172,3-4); *Nb(.j)-pw-S-n-wsrt* “Senusret is my lord” (I 184,15); *Jmn-m-h3t* “Amun is in front” (I 28,8; DN 64); *H3c.w-s-n-3st* “They laid him/her before Isis” (I 262,19; DN 870); *Ns-Hnsw* “He/She belongs to Khons” (I 178,20; DN 689).

Another possible classification is determined by content and semantics. “Endophorous” names refer directly to the bearer and/or his or her family without reference to gods or kings. Conversely, “exophorous” names introduce a god or pharaoh with or without simultaneous reference to the bearer and his family. Yet another group is formed by hypocoristica (i.e., abbreviations, which are types of “demotivated” formations; for this terminology see Vernus 1986: 121 - 122, 127).

Naming at Birth

The natural setting of many names is the situation immediately associated with birth. Such names usually express relief and happiness, a wish or its fulfilment, a hope or a statement made by the name-giver. Though there are some explicit sources pointing to the role of the mother as name-giver (Posener 1970), it is usually impossible to relate a personal name directly to the mother. Theoretically, the “speaker” in names such as *Nht.n.j* “She whom I desired” or *nh.f/s* “May he/she live!” might be either the father or the mother, although Ranke (1937: 24), as a rule, preferred the latter option.

It is important, however, to make a distinction between the original context of a name, or name-pattern, on the one hand, and the reason for its use in a particular case, on the other hand. A name such as *Dd-Pth-jw.f-nh* “Ptah said, he will live” (I 410,11-12; DN 1365), for example, evokes the decision of an oracle in which Ptah reassured the anxious parents that the child would survive (see Ranke 1926: 734 - 735); in view of the high rate of child mortality in antiquity, this was certainly a very realistic concern. However, this does not mean that every individual named *Dd-Pth-jw.f-nh* necessarily owed his name to an oracular decision of Ptah. Similarly, there is no reason to believe that every *Jmn-m-hb* was born on the day of the festival of Amun, although this is probably the original implication of that name (Ranke 1937: 24; 1952: 216 - 219). Still other factors such as papponymy (i.e., naming after the grandfather) would often determine the use of a given name (see Vittmann 2012).

Endophorous Names

Examples of (endophorous) names lacking any mention of divinities or kings include *Nht.n.j* “She whom I desired” (I 207,13); *Jw.f-ꜥ/Jw.f-ꜥw* “He will attain old age” (I 14,2-3; DN 59); *Jw.f/s-r/Ø-nh* “He/she lives,” “He/she will live/survive” (I 14,5,20; 15,2,10; DN 60); *nh.f/s* “May he/she live!” (I 67,2,13; DN 104 and fasc. 18, corrections and addenda ad p. 100) equivalent to older *Hꜥ-nh.f/s* (I 232,2-3); and *Rn(.j)-snb* “My name is healthy” (I 222,26-27; 223,1, a typical Middle Kingdom name). In names of the Old and Middle Kingdoms, the concept of the *ka* plays a vital role (Bolshakov 1997), e.g., *Whm-kꜥ(.j)* “Who repeats my *ka*” (i.e., “My double”; I 83,23 with a slightly different reading), and *Mrrw-kꜥ(.j)* “Whom my *ka* loves” (I 162,27 with different analysis).

Sometimes names evoked an individual’s physical features, e.g., *Fndj* “He with the nose,” “Nosy” (I 142,22, similarly *Fnd* “Nose” I 142,20 and *Fndt* I: 142,21), *Nht* “Strong” (I 209,16), and *Nfr/Nftr* “Beautiful; Perfect” (I 194,1; 201,10), but it is also possible that the first of these three examples, with its variants, is an epithet of Thoth used as a personal name that should be translated as “He/She with the beak.”

Names based on titles (II 187-191) may involve administrative, military, and priestly functions, as well as those of other professions, e.g., *Pꜥ-jmj-rꜥ-šnw* “The Overseer of the Granary” (I 101,1, New Kingdom); *Pꜥ-jmj-rꜥ-mšꜥ*, conventionally translated as “The General” (I 100,18; also attested, without the article, as the name of a king of the 13th Dynasty); *Pꜥ-hrj-pdt* “The Overseer of the Archers,” “The Troop-commander” (I 115,27-28 with correction by Thirion 1985: 127); *Pꜥ-jt-ntr* “The God’s Father” (Marciniak 1974: 142 No. 110); *Pꜥ-hm-ntr* “The Prophet” (I 115,16; DN 204; 505); and *Pꜥ-jn-mw* “The Water-carrier” (I 101,7). There are also more complex formations that give the impression of being a kind of appellative rather than a true personal name, e.g., *Pꜥ-hm-ntr-H.nw* “The Second Prophet,” or *Pꜥ-hm-ntr-Mꜥꜥt* “The

Prophet of *Maat*” (DN 505; see also Pestman 1993: 440 n. 4).

Several animal names are known, especially from the Old and Middle Kingdoms (II 182 - 185), that might not be expected to be chosen for people, e.g., *Pnw* “Mouse” (I 133,6) and *Hfnr* “Tadpole” (I 239,12-13), also found in the Old Testament in the form *Hōpnī* (1 Samuel 1, 3, etc.), *Hdr*, and similarly “Hyena (?)” (I 261,18.20.24-25).

By the Late Period, but perhaps already earlier, animal names had acquired a religious dimension, e.g., *P3-bjk* “The falcon” (DN 182); the two phonetically similar names *P3-mzj* “The lion” (I 105, 5; DN 186) and *P3-mjw* (Demotic *P3-[j]mj*) “The cat” (I 105,7; DN 187; for both names see Yoyotte 1988); *P3-msh* “The crocodile” (DN 191; cf. *Msh*, *Mshjtj* and similarly I 164,14 - 17, Old and Middle Kingdoms); *P3-hf* “The snake” (DN 204); and *T3-hf-špšt* “The sacred snake” (DN 1078, Roman). For certain names such as *P3-qrr* “The frog” (I 120,1; DN 277), an animal associated with regeneration, or *Hntš* “Lizard” (DN 786); *T3-hflj* “The lizard” (DN 1078), this background is less evident but still possible, whereas for Demotic *ʿl*, *P3-ʿl*, *T3-ʿl* “The shrew-mouse” (DN 106; 164; 1052), it may be considered definite (Vittmann 1997 – 1998: 99 - 100).

Plant names (II 180-182) were not uncommon in the Old and Middle Kingdom, e.g., *Sššn* “Lotus flower” (I 298,2), the ultimate origin of “Susan” and similar formations in European languages, and *Jsr* “Tamarisk” (II 267,14; cf. also I 46,25 and the derivative *Jsry* I 46,24). These are rather rare by the Late Period, but names such as *G3wt-sšn* “Lotus bundle” (I 350,6); *Hmdrtj* “Asphodel” (DN 785, with hieroglyphic predecessors); and *T3-wrtj*, *T3-wrtj-mn* “The Rose,” “The Rose of Min” (DN 1058) are attested. For the case of *Nhj-wrt* “Great sycamore,” see below under *Theophorous Names*.

Theophorous Names

Extremely common in all periods of Egyptian history were “theophorous” names, expressing

a relationship between the name-bearer, or his parents, and a deity (or deities). Theophorous name-patterns varied over time, the most prevalent being:

1. *The individual as “belonging” to god X:*

Nj-X (Old Kingdom), e.g., *Nj-B3stt* “Who belongs to Bastet” (Thirion 2001: 271); *Nj-Pth* “Who belongs to Ptah” (I 172,14); *P3-n/Pa-X*, *T3-nt/Ta-X* “He/She of X” (from the New Kingdom onward), e.g., *P3-n-Jmn/Pa-Jmn* “He of Amun” (I 106,8; DN 350); *T3-nt-Jmn/Ta-Jmn* “She of Amun” (I 358,4; DN 1162-1163); and *Nj-sw/sj-X = Ns-X* “He/She belongs to X” (from the Old Kingdom onward, but predominantly from the Late New Kingdom to the Late Period), e.g., *Ns-Hnsw* “He/She belongs to Khons” (I 178,20; DN 689). One could also “belong” to a holy emblem, such as the sacred staff: a common Late Period name was *Ns-p3-mdw/Ns-p3-mtr* “He/She belongs to the (holy) staff” (I 175,1; DN 664-666).

2. *As servant of god X:*

Hm-X, e.g., *Hm-Rc/Hmt-Rc* “(male/female) servant of Ra” (I 239,24; 240,5; Thirion 1988: 139); later *P3/Ø-b3k-n/Pa-X*; *T3/Ø-b3kt-n/Ta-X*, e.g., *B3k-n/Ø-Hnsw* “Servant of Khons” (I 91,13.18); *T3-b3kt-n-Mwt* “The (female) servant of Mut” (I 356,6); also the frequent Late Period name *P3-jw-n-Hr*, *P3-jwjw-Hr* “The dog of Horus” (I 100,9.11; DN 156) possibly has this particular connotation.

3. *Beloved or praised by god X:*

e.g., *Mry-Shmt* “Loved by Sakhmet” (I 157,25; 161,10); *Hsy-Rc* “Praised by Ra” (I 255,3).

4. *Protected or saved by god X:*

e.g., *Nhm-s(w)-3st* “Isis has saved him,” or perhaps “May Isis save him!” (I 208,10; DN 643); *Hwj.n-Hr* “He whom Horus has protected” (I 266,25); *Hk3-m-s3.f* “Heka is his protection” (I 256,24); *Hk3-ty.f-nht* with the same meaning (I 256,25; DN fasc. 18, corrections and addenda ad p. 847); *Šd-sw-Nfr-tm* “Nefertem rescued him,” or “May Nefertem rescue him!” (I 331,8).

5. *The individual as a gift of god:*

Ddw/Ddt-X “given by X” (very common in the Middle Kingdom), e.g., *Ddt-Mwt* “(She who has been) given by Mut” (I 403,11); *P3/T3-dj-X* “He/She whom X has given” (extremely common from the late New Kingdom until the Ptolemaic Period, when it became gradually supplanted by other names; see Jennes and Depauw 2012), e.g., *P3-dj-3st* “He whom Isis has given” (I 121,18-19; DN 290-291). In the Late Period there are also the widespread patterns *X-(j.)jr-dj-s* “It is X who has given him/her,” e.g., *Jmn-(j.)jr-dj-s* “It is Amun who has given him/her” (I 26,24-25; DN 63), and *Šp/b-n-X* “Gift of X,” e.g., *Šp-Mn* “Gift of Min” (I 325,23; DN 965); *P3-šb-Jw.s-ʕ.s* “The gift of (the goddess) Iusaas” (II 287,2; DN 221; Thirion 1985: 140).

6. *“Son” or “daughter” of god X:*

s3-/s3t-X from the Middle Kingdom onwards, e.g., *S3-Sbk* “Son of Sobek” (I 284,11-14; DN 904 - 905); *S3t-Hwt-Hr* “Daughter of Hathor” (I 291,14-16, very frequent in the Middle Kingdom); in the Late Period preferably in the modernized form *P3-šr-n-X*, *T3-šrt-n-X* (very common), e.g., *P3-šr-Hnsw* “The son of Khons” (Thirion 1981: 85; DN 256-257); *P3-šr-n-n3-ntrw* “The son of the gods” (DN 252). A similar meaning is probably conveyed by the pattern *T3(w)-n-divinity*, e.g., *T3(w)-n-n3-hbw* “Scion of the (sacred) ibises” (I 386: 30-31; see for these names Yoyotte and de Meulenaere 1983: 107 - 108; 122).

7. *“Made” by god X:*

e.g., *Jr-n-Rʕ* “Made by Ra” (I 39,36, Old Kingdom); *P3-jr-Jʕ* “He who is made by the Moon(-God)” (II 278,3; Thirion 1988: 140).

8. *The “speaker” (or “mother,” according to Ranke 1937: 24) as “kept alive” by god X:*

e.g., *Sʕnh-wj-Pth* “Ptah kept, or keeps, me alive” (I 300,26, Old Kingdom).

Another large group of names is constituted by theophorous names without explicit reference to the bearer or his family.

Pure, unextended divinity names are not used as personal names in the Old Kingdom, whereas in the Middle and New Kingdoms *3st*

“Isis” (I 3,18), *B3stt* “Bastet” (I 90,3), *Pth* “Ptah” (I 138,9), *Rʕ* “Ra” (I 217,7; 153,11 with correction by Thirion 1979: 90), *Hr* “Horus” (I 245,18), *Hnsw* “Khons” (I 270,16), *Sth* “Seth” and similarly (I 321,29; Piankoff 1947; Thirion 1979: 94; Zivie 1997; for the shape of the “Seth-sign” as a dating criterion in texts of New Kingdom, see Janssen 1997), and *Dhwtj* “Thoth” (I 407,13) are well attested. From certain cases such as *Hnsw* and *Dhwtj*, where the gender of the name-bearer does not always correspond to that of the gods, it may be concluded that such names often were abbreviations of compound theophorous names.

In the Late Period (including the Ptolemaic and Roman Periods) the names of the “greater” gods were rarely used as personal names unless in extended or compound forms such as *Pth-nfr* “Beautiful Ptah” (I 140,14; 196,10 with corrections in Thirion 1995: 173; DN 489) and *3st-wrt* “Isis the great” (I 4,1; DN 76-77), the most important exception being *Hr* “Horus” (I 245,18; DN 786-788), which in the course of the first millennium BCE became the most frequently occurring personal name of all. There were apparently no restrictions for the names of “lesser” divinities such as Bes (*Bs*, I 98,14; DN 146), Smithis (*Šsmtt* I 322,17-18 [read *št.t*, *štj.t*]), *Šmtj* (DN 968), Tutu/Tithoes (*Twtw* I 379,15-16; DN 1273-1275), and of course the divinized individuals Imhotep and Amenhotep son Hapu, all of which were widely appropriated as male proper names (*Jj-m-htp* I 9,2-4; DN 55-56; *Jmn-htp* I 30,12; DN 67). *Wnn-nfr(w)* (later *Wn-nfr*) “He who exists being rejuvenated,” a name of Osiris first attested in the Middle Kingdom, is found as a personal name already in the Old Kingdom and became increasingly popular in the New Kingdom and later (I 79,19; DN 118-119; Gardiner 1950), whereas “Osiris” was never used as a personal name.

Very frequently, a divine epithet is used instead of a god’s name proper, e.g., *Nb-swmmw* “Lord of Sumenu” = Sobek (I 186,8-9, see Kuentz 1928; Thirion 1986: 132), *Nhj-wrt* “Great sycamore” = Hathor (DN 643), and less obviously *P3-mr-jht* “The ox-herd,” which

has been shown to be an epithet of Anubis and other gods (I 100,16, DN 188-190 and literature quoted *ibid.* 190; add Thirion 1985: 130; Leitz 2002: 241).

Much in favor were names formulated as sentences that expressed an action, a quality, or a state of the deity. Thus, it is said that a god “has come,” e.g., *Hnsw-jw* “Khons has come” (I 270,17; DN 878), “lives,” e.g., *nh-ḥp* “May the Apis bull live!” (I 65,25; DN 103), “is hale,” e.g., *Hr-wd3* “Horus is hale” (I 246,23; 251,24; DN 796-798), *Wd3-Hr* “May Horus be hale!” (I 88,26; DN 130), “enduring,” e.g., *Hp-mn* “The Apis bull is enduring” (I 237,13; DN 781), “has been born,” e.g., *Dḥwtj-ms* “Thoth has been born” (I 408,5; DN 1303), “is strong,” e.g., *Sbk-nḥt* “Sobek is strong” (I 304,15-16); *Nḥt-Mntw* and *N3-nḥt-Mntw* “Montu is strong” (I 210,19; DN 650-651), is “in festival,” e.g., *Hnsw-m-ḥb* “Khons is in festival” (I 271,3), is “content,” e.g., *Htp-Pth*, *Pth-ḥtp* “Ptah is content” (I 258,6; 141,5; cf. below on honorific transposition), destroys the evil eye, e.g., *Hdb-Hnsw-jrt-bjnt* “May Khons kill the evil eye” (I 278,19; DN 893), or seizes enemies, e.g., *T3j-ḥp-jm.w* “May Apis take hold of them!” (I 388,2; DN 1350-1351). Sometimes, the theophorous element is inherent in a suffix, as in the Late Period names *Hr.w* (very frequent, I 230,5-7; DN 746-748) and *Htp.w* “They (the gods) are content,” “May they be content!” (DN 848).

As with the names ending in *-ms* and *-m-ḥb* (II 216-219), it is not always easy to tell whether the use of names such as *Dḥwtj-ms* “Thutmose” in the New Kingdom and in the Late Period was influenced by the royal name or chosen independently in analogy to other names formed in the same manner.

Names from the Old Kingdom, when the suffix of the first-person singular was regularly omitted in writing, are often ambiguous. Whereas, for example, *Nj-M3-t-Rc* “Maat belongs to Ra” (I 172,16) is a general statement without direct reference to a human being, it is unclear whether a similar construction such as *Nj-nḥ-Shmt* (172,3-4) should be understood as an analogous statement “Life belongs to

Sakhmet” or whether it should rather be taken as *Nj-nḥ(.j)-Shmt* “My life belongs to Sakhmet,” which results in an immediate connection between name-giver and theological background of the name (for the various names with initial *nj*, see Fischer 1996: 55 - 60).

Basilophorous Names

There are two types of names based on royal names:

1. Unextended royal names, consisting of either the birth name—e.g., *Jmn-m-ḥst* “Amenemhat” (I 28,8; DN 64), abbreviated *Jmny* (I 31,13-15), *S-n-wsr* “Senusret” (I 279,1; DN 895 *S-wsr* and similarly), *Dḥwtj-ms* “Thutmose” (I 408,5; 407,23 with correction by Thirion 1988: 134; DN 1303), *Ššnq* “Shoshenq” (I 330,6; DN 970), *Psmṯk* “Psammetichus” (I 136, 8; DN 212), *Jḥ-ms* “Amasis” (I 12,19; DN 58)—or the throne name, e.g., *Shḥp-jb-Rc* “Sehetepibra” (I 318, 6), *Hpr-k3-Rc* “Kheperkara” (I 269,1; DN fasc. 18, corrections and addenda ad p. 875), *Mn-ḥpr-Rc* “Menkheperra” (I 150,14; DN 595 *Mnḥ-p3-Rc*), *W3ḥ-jb-Rc* “Wahibra” (I 72,28; DN 113), *Nfr-jb-Rc* “Neferibra” (I 194,13; DN 617 *N3-nfr-jb-Rc*), *Hnm-jb-Rc* “Khenem-ibra” (I 276,14; DN 887), these being the throne names of Amenemhat I, Senusret I, Thutmose III, Psammetichus I and II, and Amasis respectively, which were widely used as personal names during and after the reigns of those kings.

2. Names composed with a royal name, often, but not always, forming a complete sentence (see Barta 1990), such as *Mry-ttj* “Beloved by Teti” (I 161,12), *Mry-Rc-nḥ* “Merira (Pepy I) is alive” (I 160,24-25), *Rc-ms-s(w)-nḥt* “Ramesses is strong, or victorious” (I 219,3), *nḥ-Ššnq* “May Shoshenq live” (I 66, 16; DN 105), *Hnm-jb-Rc-mn* “Khenemibra (i.e., Amasis) is enduring” (I 276,15; DN 887), whose formulations are analogous to those of theophorous names as described above.

Royal names often offer no more than the *terminus a quo* for dating; thus one must examine each case individually. In the Old Kingdom,

unextended royal names were generally not used as personal names, *Tj* (I 384,4) presumably being no exception as it need not be the king's name. Compound basilophorous names were used, however, both during the reigns of the respective kings and later, e.g., *Snfrw-htp* "Seneferu is content" (I 315,21, 6th Dynasty, cf. Porter and Moss 1974: 96; and Middle Kingdom), *Hwfw-nht* "Khufu is strong, or victorious" (I 268,8, 4th Dynasty, cf. Porter and Moss 1974: 57), *Hwfw-mr-ntrw* "Khufu is beloved by the gods" (I 268,6, 6th Dynasty, cf. Porter and Moss 1974: 96), *Hwfw-m-zht* "Khufu is in the horizon" (Porter and Moss 1974: 17; Zivie-Coche 1991: 144, 146; 162 - 163, a member of a priestly family from the 26th Dynasty that served in the mortuary cult of several pharaohs of the 4th Dynasty), *nh(.j)-m-ꜥ-Dd.f-Rꜥ* "My life is in the hand of Radjedef" (I 64,5, 4th Dynasty according to Cherpion 1984: 41).

From the Middle Kingdom onwards, the unextended name of the king, past or present, could be used as a personal name (see examples above), but is usually not enclosed by a cartouche (an exception is *Hpr-k3-Rꜥ*, the owner of a Middle Kingdom stela in Leiden, see Boeser 1909: 3 - 4 no. 6 and pl. V). Within compound names, the basilophorous element may or may not be enclosed by a cartouche. In the course of the 26th Dynasty, and especially in the 27th Dynasty, the use of a cartouche for unextended royal names used as personal names becomes frequent, but there seems to be no fixed rule for its absence or presence (de Meulenaere 1966: 33 - 34).

The names of the royal butlers of the Ramesside Period, especially those of presumably foreign origin, were frequently based on the names of the ruling pharaoh, as exemplified by *Mr-n-Pth-m-pr-Pth* "Merenptah is in the House of Ptah," or *Nfr-k3-Rꜥ-m-pr-Jmn* "Neferkara (= Ramesses IX) is in the House of Amun" (I 200,19; Schulman 1990: 13 no. 10; 18 no. 52). The same practice may be observed with many of the so-called "beautiful names" of the 26th Dynasty (see Vittmann 2012): It is reasonable to assume that somebody with the "beautiful name" *Nfr-jb-*

Rꜥ-mr-Pth "Neferibra (= Psammetichus II) is beloved by Ptah" (I 194, 15) received, or assumed, this name during the reign of the specified ruler. However, names of this type, e.g., *W3h-jb-Rꜥ-m-zht* "Wahibra (= Psammetichus I) is in the horizon" (I 73,3; DN 112) and *Jꜥh-ms-mn-m-hwt-ꜥzt* "Amasis is enduring in the Great Mansion (of Heliopolis)" (II 261,16) were also used much later (see Vittmann 2009: 96 - 97). Frequently, the basilophorous names of the Late Period hark back to early predecessors: for example, *Nfr-sšm-Psmꜥk* "Good is the guidance(?) of Psammetichus" (I 200,6; Thirion 1994: 179) is modeled after the typical Old Kingdom pattern *Nfr-sšm-king/divinity* (I 200, 5.7-11).

Given the situation just described, it is hardly surprising that Libyan royal names such as *Ššnq* "Shoshenq" and *Psmꜥk* "Psammetichus" were used long after the reign of those kings, even in the Ptolemaic Period (see, e.g., Lüddeckens et al. 1980 - 2000: 212 - 214 for *Psmꜥk* and compounds, *ibid.* 970 for *Ššnq*), whereas no contemporaneous Egyptian source is known applying a Kushite or Persian royal name to an individual. An important discussion of the use of Libyan royal names in contemporaneous anthroponymy is that of Anthony Leahy (1992; a further interesting example being *nh-P3-my* "May (king) Pami live!" in the unpublished hieratic papyrus Vienna D 12011 from the Third Intermediate Period).

Names Based on Those of Other Individuals

In addition to theophorous and basilophorous personal names, there are also names based on those of individuals who were in some way or other of special importance to the name-bearer. It is often impossible to determine the kind of relationship between the "borrower" and the "lender." In some instances it is probable that the use of a personal name as a part of a more complex name points to the veneration of a deceased and deified individual. For example, this seems to be the case with names composed with *Tj* in early New Kingdom Thebes, such as *Tj-ꜥn* "Teti is beautiful," *Tj-ꜥnh* "Teti is alive," and simply

Ttj “Teti” (Whelan 2007: 56, 63 - 96). In other instances, a parent of the name-bearer might be involved, as in *Mḥw-m-ḥst* “Mehu is in front” and *Nb(j)-pw-Mḥw* “Mehu is my lord” (two sons of the vizier Mehu, cf. Rummel 2003: 371), or, alternatively, a superior of the name-bearer. An instructive example of the latter from the 20th Dynasty is the name *R^c-ms-s(w)-nḥt-mn* “Ramessenakht is enduring.” This hitherto unattested name of a temple carpenter from Karnak is clearly based on the name of his superior, the High Priest of Amun *R^c-ms-s(w)-nḥt* (Rummel 2003), which in turn is a basilophorous name as described above.

Other examples from earlier periods include *Gm.n.j-m-ḥst* “(Ka)gemni is in front” and *Gm.n.j-m-sz.f* “(Ka)gemni is his protection” (I 351,19-20). A problem can be posed by names that are basically basilophorous. It is sometimes uncertain whether the element “Senusret” in *Nb(.j)-pw-S-n-wsrt* “Senusret is my lord” really refers to the pharaoh or rather to an individual named after the pharaoh.

From the latter half of the Ptolemaic Period onwards, but especially in the Roman Period, personal names of the type “The son/The daughter of (the individual) X” become frequent (Vleeming 2011: 918 - 935). Examples include *Pz-šr-pa-ḥnm* “The son of *Pa-ḥnm*” (DN 242), *Tz-šrt-jw.f-ḥnḥ* “The daughter of *Jw.f-ḥnḥ*” (DN 1088), and *Tz-šrt-n-pz-dj-mn* “The daughter of *Pz-dj-mn*” (DN 1124-1125, rendered as Σενπετεμινς and similarly in Greek). In the very common case of theophorous personal names of this type, however, it is often impossible to tell whether we have to analyze them as “The son/The daughter of (the individual named after the divinity) X” or directly as “The son/The daughter of god X.” Thus, for example, it is unclear whether *Pz-šr-pz-mzj* is to be understood as “The son of the (divine) Lion” or rather as “The son of *Pz-mzj*” (DN 235). Sometimes, and surprisingly only with women, these names are genuine patronymics, e.g., *Tz-šrt-n-pz-dj-Mn*, “The daughter of *Pz-dj-Mn*,” her father’s name actually being *Pz-dj-Mn* (Arlt 2011: 23, text no. 32; for other examples see *ibid.*: 157).

In the late second century CE, and predominantly in the third century, this onomastic type is further extended according to the model “The son of the daughter of X” and “The daughter of the son of X,” e.g., *Pz-šr-n-tz-šrt-Ḥr-wdʒ* “The son of (the woman called) ‘The daughter of *Ḥr-wdʒ*’” (rendered in Greek documents as Ψενσεναρουωτης, DN 269) and *Tz-šrt-n-pz-šr-tz-msjʿt* “The daughter of the (man called) ‘The son of the (divine) midwife’” (Σενψενθμεισιως, DN 1121; for this name-pattern, which is mainly attested in mummy labels from the Panopolite nome, see Vleeming 2011: 923 - 928).

Abbreviations and Untranslatable Names (“Demotivated Names”)

Already in the Old Kingdom, many short names were formed by reduplication of single consonants, e.g., *Ppj* (I 131,12, as opposed to the royal-name *Ppy*, see Fischer 1989), *Fff* (I 142, 8), *Mmj* (I 149,18), *Ššj* (I 330,3), *Ttj* (I 384,4; II 398 with a later example). Another large group is constituted by abbreviations and hypocoristica (pet names), such as *Jbj* (I 20,5-10; DN 61); *Jnj/Jny* (I 33,4-5. 11-16; see also Thirion 1979: 82 - 83); *Jpj/Jpy* (I 22,13-15.22-24; 23,2-3); *Jtj/Jty* (I 49,13-21); *My* (I 146,10); *1y* (I 233,18-20) short for *Jmn-ḥtp*, *ny* (I 2,10-11) short for *Jmn-m-jnt* in the New Kingdom (Moore 1996). From cuneiform transcriptions we know that, in the New Kingdom, -y was pronounced as [-ya], e.g., *My* = Maya, *Hy* = Haya, etc. (Edel 1948: 13 - 14). In the Late Period, we find *Tzj-n-jm.w* (I 387,13; DN 1348 - 1349) as an apparent abbreviation of *Tzj-ḥ-n-jm.w* “May (god) X seize them!” and similarly *Ddhy* = *Dd-Ḥnsw*, short for *Dd-Ḥnsw-jw.f-ḥnḥ* (I 412,1-4; DN 1374-1375). In the Ptolemaic Period, we find *Pz-sy* (DN 412), short for *Pz-dj-Wsjr*. For a rare pejorative use of abbreviations, see Vittmann (2012).

Honorific Transposition

An important phenomenon is “honorific transposition” (II 13-15; Fischer 1996: 69 - 71; Peust 2007). Theophorous or basilophorous elements would frequently be written at the

beginning of the name, even if pronounced in the syntactically appropriate place. As a consequence, there are ambiguous examples: A name written *Pth* + *hṯp* might well be understood as *Hṯp-Pth* (for the latter construction in the Old Kingdom, with avoidance of the pseudoparticiple, see Fischer 1996: 61 - 66). The problem is complicated by the fact that both types, in the concrete case *hṯp* - divinity/divinity - *hṯp*, were in use as we know from Greek renderings, e.g., *Jmn-hṯp* Ἰμνωθης (I 30, 12; DN 67): *Hṯp-Jmn* Ἐππαμουνης (I 258, 1; DN 847).

Distinguishing Like-named Persons in a Family

When father and son (or elder brother and younger brother, and the like) had the same

name, ambiguities would often be avoided by additions such as *wr*, later *p3 ʿ3*, “senior,” or *nds*, later *nhn*, and (especially in the Late Period) *p3 hm* “junior.” If several like-named individuals had to be distinguished, *hrj-jb* “the middle one” could be added (II 10-12; Vandekerckhove and Müller-Wollermann 294 - 295). Apparently, these distinguishing additions were sometimes treated by the Egyptians themselves as integral constituents of the name, as we may infer from Greek renderings such as Ἀρχημις = *Hr-p3-hm* “Horus junior” or Σμητο = *Ns-mtr-(p3)-ʿ3* “*Ns-mtr* senior” (Lüddeckens et al. 1980 - 2000: 805; 677).

Bibliographic Notes

The standard works of reference remain Ranke (1935 and 1952; with important additions and corrections by Thirion [1979-], and a useful index by Backes [2002]), but Lieblein (1871 and 1892) are still valuable, the more so since the author integrates the personal name in its genealogical and prosopographical context. The non-initial elements of proper names are conveniently listed, together with the names in which they are found, in Ranke (1977). As part of the project “[Wortdiskussionen](#),” currently undertaken by the Egyptological Seminar of Basel University, latest update April 2012), literature on proper names is being collected. Useful and readable overviews on the diversity, and various aspects, of Egyptian personal names are Ranke (1936 and 1937). For the Old Kingdom, Scheele-Schweitzer’s eagerly awaited comprehensive monograph will hopefully stimulate comparable studies. An important recent contribution highlighting the significance of Old and Middle Kingdom personal names for the study of grammar and syntax is offered by Gundacker (2010: 63 - 90). For the Middle Kingdom and the Second Intermediate Period, the (1986) study by Vernus on double names presents and analyzes much material. Rosati (1980) is a lengthy article on Middle Kingdom onomastics, founded on firmly datable sources. Based on form and content, Trindade-Lopes (1998) presents a rather short overview on the personal names of the New Kingdom with references to Ranke but without including transcriptions. An analytical study of theophorous names of the Old and Middle Kingdoms was undertaken by Hoffmann (1915); a short overview of the subject covering the whole time-frame from earliest periods to the Coptic era is Lüddeckens (1985). For Demotic proper names, Lüddeckens et al. (1980-) is an indispensable tool. Foreign personal names in Egyptian sources are collected and studied by Schneider (1992, 1993, 2003: 112 - 176) (mostly Semitic but also Anatolian); Colin (1996: II) (Libyan; a revised edition is forthcoming); Vittmann (2004), Tavernier (2007), and Schmitt and Vittmann (forthcoming) (Iranian). Greek personal names in Demotic transmission are found in Lüddeckens et al. (1980 - 2000). For Egyptian personal names rendered in non-Egyptian scripts, see Ranke (1910), Leahy (1992), Zeidler (1994),

Bongenaar and Haring (1994) (Akkadian; a comprehensive collection and analysis of the scattered sources is still a desideratum); Muchiki (1999) (Phoenician, Hebrew, and Aramaic, with important reviews by Quack [2000] and Schneider [2001]), Porten (2002) (Aramaic), Vittmann (2001) (Carian) and (2002) (Phoenician, Aramaic, and Carian). For the Greek renderings of Egyptian personal names, Lüddeckens et al. (1980 – 2000) is useful, but a complete analysis on the basis of Preisigke (1922) and Foraboschi (1971) is still to be made.

References

Arlt, Carolin

- 2011 *Deine Seele möge leben für immer und ewig: Die demotischen Mumienbilder im British Museum*, Studia Demotica 10. Leuven: Peeters.

Backes, Burkhard

- 2002 Index zu Michelle Thirion, “Notes d’onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke *PN*,” 1-11^e série. *British Museum Studies in Ancient Egypt and Sudan* 3, pp. 1 - 30 (Internet resource: http://www.britishmuseum.org/research/publications/bmsaes/issue_3/backes.aspx).

Barta, Winfried

- 1995 Zur Konstruktion ägyptischer Personennamen mit einem Königsnamen als Komponente. *Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 117, pp. 2 - 11.

Boeser, Pieter A. A.

- 1909 *Beschreibung der ägyptischen Sammlung des niederländischen Reichsmuseums der Altertümer in Leiden 1: Die Denkmäler der Zeit zwischen dem Alten und Mittleren Reich und des Mittleren Reiches: Stelen*. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.

Bolshakov, Andrey

- 1997 *Man and his double in Egyptian ideology of the Old Kingdom*. Ägypten und Altes Testament 37. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Bongenaar, A. C. V. M., and Ben Haring

- 1994 Egyptians in Neo-Babylonian Sippar. *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 46, pp. 59 - 72.

Cherpion, Nadine

- 1984 De quand date la tombe du nain Seneb? *Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale* 84, pp. 35 - 54.

Colin, Frédéric

- 1996 *Les Libyens en Égypte (XV^e siècle a.C. – I^{er} siècle p.C.): Onomastique et histoire*. Two volumes. PhD dissertation, Université Libre de Bruxelles (Internet resource: <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00120038/en/>).

de Meulenaere, Herman

- 1966 *Le surnom égyptien à la Basse Époque*. Istanbul: Nederlands Historisch-Archaeologisch Instituut in het Nabije Oosten.

Edel, Elmar

- 1948 Neue keilschriftliche Umschreibungen ägyptischer Namen aus den Boğazköytexten. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 7, pp. 11 - 24.

Fischer, Henry George

- 1989 The transcription of the royal name Pepy. *Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 75, pp. 214 - 215.
1996 *Egyptian studies III: Varia nova*. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Foraboschi, Daniele

- 1971 *Onomasticon alterum papyrologicum: Supplemento al Namenbuch di F. Preisigke*, Testi e documenti per lo studio dell'antichità 16, Serie papirologica 2. Milan: Istituto Editoriale Cisalpino.
- Gardiner, Alan
1950 Onnōphris. In *Miscellanea Academica Berolinensia: Gesammelte Abhandlungen zur Feier des 250jährigen Bestehens der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, pp. 44 - 53. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Gundacker, Roman
2010 Eine besondere Form des Substantivalsatzes: Mit besonderer Rücksicht auf ihre dialektale und diachrone Bedeutung. *Lingua Aegyptia* 18, pp. 41 - 117.
- Hoffmann, Konrad
1915 *Die theophoren Personennamen des älteren Ägyptens*. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Ägyptens 7. Leipzig: Hinrichs.
- Janssen, Jacob J.
1997 The Seth-sign as a dating criterion. In *Village varia: Ten studies on the history and administration of Deir el-Medina*, Egyptologische Uitgaven 11, by Jacob J. Janssen, pp. 139 - 145. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.
- Jennes, Gwen, and Mark Depauw
2012 Hellenization and onomastic change: The case of Egyptian *P3-dī*/Πετε-names. *Chronique d'Égypte* 87, pp. 109 - 132.
- Kuentz, Charles
1928 Quelques monuments du culte de Sobk. *Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale* 28, pp. 113 - 172.
- Leahy, Anthony
1992 "May the king live": The Libyan rulers in the onomastic record. In *Studies in Pharaonic religion and society in honour of J. Gwyn Griffiths*, Occasional Publications 8, ed. Alan Lloyd, pp. 146 - 163. London: Egypt Exploration Society.
- Leitz, Christian (ed.)
2002 *Lexikon der ägyptischen Götter und Götterbezeichnungen*. Volume I. *Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta* 110. Leuven: Peeters.
- Lieblein, Jens
1871 *Dictionnaire de noms hiéroglyphiques en ordre généalogique et alphabétique publié d'après les monuments égyptiens*. Christiania: Brögger & Christie; Leipzig: Hinrichs.
1892 *Dictionnaire de noms hiéroglyphiques en ordre généalogique et alphabétique publié d'après les monuments égyptiens: Supplément*. Leipzig: Hinrichs.
- Lüddeckens, Erich
1985 Die theophoren Personennamen im pharaonischen, hellenistisch-römischen und christlichen Ägypten. In *Ägypten: Dauer und Wandel: Symposium anlässlich des 75jährigen Bestehens des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts Kairo am 10. und 11. Oktober 1982*, Deutsches Archäologisches Institut Abteilung Kairo, Sonderschrift 18, pp. 105 - 113. Mainz: Philipp von Zabern.
- Lüddeckens, Erich, Wolfgang Brunsch, Heinz-Josef Thissen, Günter Vittmann, and Karl-Theodor Zauzich
1980- *Demotisches Namenbuch*. 18 fascicles (1980 – 2000). Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Marciniak, Marek
1974 *Deir el-Bahari I: Les inscriptions hiéroglyphiques du Temple de Thoutmosis III*. Varsovie: Éditions Scientifiques de Pologne.
- Moore, Teresa
1996 Any as an element in theophoric names. *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 33, pp. 139 - 152.
- Muchiki, Yoshiyuki

- 1999 *Egyptian proper names and loanwords in North-West Semitic*, Society of Biblical Literature, Dissertation Series 173. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.
- Pestman, Pieter W.
1993 *The archive of the Theban choachytes (second century B.C.): A survey of the Demotic and Greek papyri contained in the archive*. Studia Demotica 2. Leuven: Peeters.
- Peust, Carsten
2007 Die honorative Transposition in der ägyptischen Schrift. *Lingua Aegyptia* 15, pp. 93 - 135.
- Piankoff, Alexandre
1947 Le nom du roi Sethos en égyptien. *Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale* 47, pp. 175 - 177.
- Porten, Bezalel
2002 Egyptian names in Aramaic texts. In *Acts of the Seventh International Conference of Demotic Studies, Copenhagen, 23-27 August 1999*, CNI Publications 27, ed. Kim Ryholt, pp. 283 - 327. Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanum Press.
- Porter, Bertha, and Rosalind Moss
1974 *Topographical bibliography of ancient Egyptian hieroglyphic texts, reliefs, and paintings (PM), Vol. III: Memphis: Part 2: Abū Rawāsh to Abūšīr*. 2nd edition, revised and augmented by Jaromír Málek. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Posener, Georges
1970 Sur l'attribution d'un nom à un enfant. *Revue d'Égyptologie* 22, pp. 204 - 205.
- Preisigke, Friedrich
1922 *Namenbuch enthaltend alle griechischen, lateinischen, ägyptischen, hebräischen, arabischen und sonstigen semitischen und nichtsemitischen Menschennamen, soweit sie in griechischen Urkunden (Papyri, Ostraka, Inschriften, Mumienschildern u.s.w.) Ägyptens sich vorfinden*. Heidelberg: Selbstverlag des Herausgebers.
- Quack, Joachim
2000 Review of Muchiki 1999. *Review of Biblical Literature* 4(24) (Internet resource: http://www.bookreviews.org/pdf/408_983.pdf).
- Ranke, Hermann
1910 *Keilschriftliches Material zur altaegyptischen Vocalisation*. Abhandlungen der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 1910, Philosophisch-Historische Classe, Abhandlung II. Berlin: Verlag der Königlich Akademie der Wissenschaften.
1926 Zur Namengebung der Ägypter. *Orientalistische Literaturzeitung* 29, columns 733 - 735.
1935 *Die ägyptischen Personennamen I: Verzeichnis der Namen*. Glückstadt: J.J. Augustin.
1936 Les noms propres égyptiens. *Chronique d'Égypte* 11, pp. 293 - 323.
1937 Grundsätzliches zum Verständnis der ägyptischen Personennamen in Satzform. *Sitzungsberichte der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-Historische Klasse, 1936/37, 3*. Heidelberg: Carl Winter's Universitätsbuchhandlung.
1952 *Die ägyptischen Personennamen II: Einleitung: Form und Inhalt der Namen. Geschichte der Namen. Vergleiche mit andren Namen. Nachträge und Zusätze zu Band I. Umschreibungslisten*. Glückstadt, Hamburg, and New York: J.J. Augustin.
1977 *Die ägyptischen Personennamen III: Verzeichnis der Bestandteile*. Zusammengestellt von A. Biedenkopf-Ziehner, W. Brunsch, G. Burkard, H.-J. Thissen, K.-Th. Zauzich. Glückstadt: J.J. Augustin.
- Rosati Castellucci, Gloria
1980 L'onomastica del Medio Regno come mezzo di datazione. *Aegyptus* 60, pp. 3 - 72.
- Rummel, Ute
2003 "Ramsesnacht-dauert": Die Beziehung zwischen Namenspatron und Namensträger am Beispiel einer Besucherinschrift aus Dra' Abu el-Naga. In *Es werde niedergelegt als Schriftstück: Festschrift für Hartwig Altenmüller*, Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur, Beiheft 9, ed. Nicole Kloth, Karl Martin, and Eva Pardey, pp. 367 - 377. Hamburg: Buske.
- Scheele-Schweitzer, Katrin

- fc. *Die Personennamen des Alten Reiches: Altägyptische Onomastik unter lexikographischen und sozio-kulturellen Aspekten*. Philippika 28. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Schmitt, Rüdiger, and Günter Vittmann
 fc. *Iranisches Personenamenbuch VIII: Iranische Personennamen in ägyptischer Nebenüberlieferung*. Vienna: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Schneider, Thomas
 1992 *Asiatische Personennamen in ägyptischen Quellen des Neuen Reiches*, Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 114. Freiburg: Universitätsverlag; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
 1993 Asiatic personal names from the New Kingdom: An outline with supplement. In *Sesto Congresso Internazionale di Egitologia: Atti II*, pp. 453 - 470. Torino: Stabilimento Poligrafico.
 2001 Review of Muchiki 1999. *Jewish Quarterly Review* 92, pp. 155 - 165.
 2003 *Ausländer in Ägypten während des Mittleren Reiches und der Hyksoszeit 2: Die ausländische Bevölkerung*. Ägypten und Altes Testament 42. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Schulman, Alan
 1990 The royal butler Ramessessamion: An addendum. *Chronique d'Égypte* 65, pp. 12 - 20.
- Tavernier, Jan
 2007 *Iranica in the Achaemenid Period (ca. 550 – 330 B.C.): Lexicon of Old Iranian proper names and loanwords, attested in non-Iranian texts*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 158. Leuven, Paris, and Dudley, Mass.: Peeters.
- Thirion, Michelle
 1979 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Première série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 31, pp. 81 - 96.
 1981 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Deuxième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 33, pp. 79 - 87.
 1982- Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Troisième série : 1982 - 1983). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 34, pp. 101 - 114.
 1985 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Quatrième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 36, pp. 125 - 143.
 1986 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Cinquième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 37, pp. 131 - 137.
 1988 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Sixième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 39, pp. 131 - 146.
 1991 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Septième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 42, pp. 223 - 240.
 1992 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Huitième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 43, pp. 163 - 168.
 1994 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Neuvième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 45, pp. 175 - 188.
 1995 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Dixième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 46, pp. 171 - 186.
 2001 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Onzième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 52, pp. 265 - 276.
 2003 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Douzième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 54, pp. 177 - 190.
 2004 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Treizième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 55, pp. 149 - 159.
 2005 Notes d'onomastique: Contribution à une révision du Ranke PN (Quatorzième série). *Revue d'Égyptologie* 56, pp. 177 - 189.
- Trindade-Lopes, Maria Helena
 1998 Les noms propres au Nouvel Empire. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress of Egyptologists, Cambridge, 3-9 September 1995*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 82, ed. Christopher Eyre, pp. 703 - 711. Leuven: Peeters.

- Vandekerckhove, Hans, and Renate Müller-Wollermann
 2001 *Elkab VI: Die Felsinschriften des Wadi Hilâl*. 2 volumes. Publications du comité des fouilles belges en Égypte. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Vernus, Pascal
 1982 Name, Namengebung, Namensbildung. In *Lexikon der Ägyptologie*, Vol. 4 (columns 320 - 337), ed. Wolfgang Helck, and Wolfhart Westendorf. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
 1986 *Le surnom au Moyen Empire: Répertoire, procédés d'expression et structures de la double identité du début de la XIIe dynastie à la fin de la XVIIe dynastie*, Studia Pohl 13. Rome: Biblical Institute Press.
- Vittmann, Günter
 1997- Between grammar, lexicography and religion: Observations on some Demotic personal names. *Enchoria: Zeitschrift für Demotistik und Koptologie* 24 (1997 – 1998), pp. 90 - 102.
 2001 Ägyptisch-Karisches. *Kadmos* 40, pp. 39 - 59.
 2002 Ägyptische Onomastik der Spätzeit im Spiegel der nordwestsemitischen und karischen Nebenüberlieferung. In *Altorientalische und semitische Onomastik, Alter Orient und Altes Testament* 296, ed. Michael Streck, and Stefan Weninger, pp. 85 - 107. Münster: Ugarit Verlag.
 2004 Iranisches Sprachgut in ägyptischer Überlieferung. In *Das Ägyptische und die Sprachen Vorderasiens, Nordafrikas und der Ägäis: Akten des Basler Kolloquiums zum ägyptisch-nichtsemitischen Sprachkontakt Basel 9.-11. Juli 2003*, *Alter Orient und Altes Testament* 310, ed. Thomas Schneider, pp. 129 - 182. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.
 2009 Rupture and continuity: On priests and officials in Egypt during the Persian Period. In *Organisation des pouvoirs et contacts culturels dans les pays de l'empire achéménide: Actes du colloque organisé au Collège de France par la "Chaire d'histoire et civilisation du monde achéménide et de l'empire d'Alexandre" et le "Réseau international d'études et de recherches achéménides"* (GDR 2538 CNRS), 9-10 novembre 2007, *Persika* 14, ed. Pierre Briant and Michel Chauveau, pp. 89 - 121. Paris: De Boccard.
 2012 Personal names: Function and significance. In Elizabeth Frood and Willeke Wendrich (eds.), *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, Los Angeles.
<http://digital2library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002dwqr7>
- Vleeming, Sven
 2011 *Demotic and Greek-Demotic mummy labels and other short texts gathered from many publications*, *Studia Demotica* 9. Leuven, Paris, and Walpole, Mass.: Peeters.
- Whelan, Paul
 2007 *Mere scraps of rough wood? 17th - 18th Dynasty stick shabtis in the Petrie Museum and other collections*. GHP Egyptology 6. London: Golden House Publications.
- Yoyotte, Jean
 1988 *Des lions et des chats: Contribution à la prosopographie de l'époque libyenne*. *Revue d'Égyptologie* 39, pp. 155 - 178.
- Yoyotte, Jean, and Herman de Meulenaere
 1983 Deux composants "natalistes" de l'anthroponymie tardive. *Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale* 83, pp. 107 - 122.
- Zeidler, Jürgen
 1994 *Einige neue keilschriftliche Entsprechungen ägyptischer Personennamen: Zu weiteren Namen in Jacobsen*, *CTNMC* Nr. 68, *Welt des Orients* 25, pp. 36 - 56.
- Zivie, Alain
 1997 Seth, échanson royal, et sa tombe de Saqqara. In *Essays on ancient Egypt in honour of Herman te Velde*, *Egyptologisch Memoirs* 1, ed. Jacobus van Dijk, pp. 373 - 382. Groningen: STYX Publications.
- Zivie-Coche, Christiane
 1991 *Giza au premier millénaire: Autour du temple d'Isis dame des pyramides*. Boston: Museum of Fine Arts.