

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AND SHIELDING STUDY OF THE BERKELEY 27-INCH  $3ne$  CYCLOTRON

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/42p9h27g>

### Authors

McCaslin, Joseph B.  
Smith, Alan R.

### Publication Date

1969-10-01

For 2nd Intern. Conf. on Accelerator  
Dosimetry and Experience, Stanford,  
Ca., November 5-7, 1969

UCRL-19374  
Preprint

*ey. L*

RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AND SHIELDING STUDY OF THE  
BERKELEY 27-INCH  $^3\text{He}$  CYCLOTRON

NOV 25 1969

Joseph B. McCaslin and Alan R. Smith

LIBRARY AND  
DOCUMENTS SECTION

October 1969

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

UCRL-19374

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AND SHIELDING STUDY OF THE  
BERKELEY 27-INCH  $^3\text{He}$  CYCLOTRON

Joseph B. McCaslin and Alan R. Smith

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

October 1969

Abstract

The 27-inch  $^3\text{He}$  cyclotron was designed and constructed at LRL-Berkeley for use mainly in isotope production and activation analysis. Beam particle energy is 6 MeV/nucleon.

Activation detectors and nuclear emulsions were exposed to secondary radiations produced by an internal beam of  $\approx 1 \mu\text{A}$  striking a thick copper target. Moderated indium foils, the reactions  $^{27}\text{Al}(n, \alpha)^{24}\text{Na}$ ,  $^{19}\text{F}(n, 2n)^{18}\text{F}$ , and  $^{12}\text{C}(n, 2n)^{11}\text{C}$ , and emulsions provided the information on neutron yield and spectral shape at this beam intensity from which shielding estimates were made for full beam intensity operation of  $\approx 40 \mu\text{A}$ .

The radiation levels, detector techniques, and shielding computations are discussed.

## Introduction

Light-element activation-analysis techniques, studied at the Berkeley Heavy-Ion Linear Accelerator with  $^3\text{He}$  particle beams by Markowitz and Mahony,<sup>1</sup> have generated great interest in producing an inexpensive accelerator specifically designed for this work. Such a machine could be used not only for charged-particle activation analysis, but also for production of short-lived positron-emitting isotopes for biomedical research and diagnostic studies. The Berkeley 27-inch cyclotron<sup>2</sup> was designed and constructed toward these ends. It is a fixed-energy accelerator that produces  $^3\text{He}$  ions of 18 MeV energy, an energy high enough to permit penetration of the beam through thin vacuum and protective windows into target materials. Beam currents of 1 to 4  $\mu\text{A}$  are presently attainable; however, with modification of the ion source the beam current could be much larger. Our shielding study assumes a maximum accelerated beam of 40  $\mu\text{A}$ .

### Source Strength and Spectral Distribution Measurements

In order to simplify the measurement problems, a thick copper target was used, to assure that a large fraction of the beam interacted at a known position. Localization of the neutron-production site allowed a simple computation of source intensity from measurements taken at a known distance from this site (a distance of 60 cm from the target at an angle of 90 deg with respect to the beam direction). We assume that neutron production is isotropic, because the beam particle energy is only 6 MeV per nucleon.

Figure 1 shows the differential neutron spectrum derived from the observed proton recoil track distribution in a nuclear emulsion exposed to neutrons from this cyclotron target. The technique for obtaining a neutron spectrum from such an emulsion is described by Lehman.<sup>3</sup> The spectral shape suggests a slight peak at about 6 MeV lying along the upper edge of a more intense broad peak centered near 2 MeV. We attribute the 2-MeV peak to evaporation neutrons from de-excitation of the compound nucleus formed from  $^3\text{He}$  plus either  $^{63}\text{Cu}$  or  $^{65}\text{Cu}$ ; the 6-MeV peak is probably from specific simple reactions of  $^3\text{He}$  on these Cu isotopes. Slightly more than half the neutrons have energies between 1 and 3 MeV; for higher energies the intensity decreases rapidly, so that only 10% have energies beyond 7 MeV.

A moderated indium foil<sup>4</sup> was used to measure the source strength over the energy region of interest. Table I shows the results of this measurement along with results from three other detectors -- aluminum,<sup>5</sup> fluorine,<sup>6</sup> and carbon,<sup>7</sup> having activation thresholds at about 6, 12, and 20 MeV, respectively. The use of aluminum and carbon threshold detectors is fairly widespread; the use of fluorine (in the form of Teflon) is relatively new, and was suggested to us by Shaw and Stevenson of the Rutherford High Energy Laboratory. The total source strength is  $1.1 \times 10^{10}$  n/sec, normalized to a beam current of 40  $\mu\text{A}$ . The general shape of the emulsion spectrum is confirmed by the threshold detector measurements.

### Considerations in Applying Removal Cross Sections for Neutron Attenuation

The neutrons of interest lie in an energy range from about 0.5 to 15 MeV. Neutrons of lower energy are much more rapidly attenuated in the (concrete) shielding, and there are no neutrons of higher energy. Of the two processes for removal of neutrons from this energy interval -- absorption and scattering -- the

latter is by far the more important. Elastically scattered neutrons travel a greater distance in order to penetrate the shield than do uncollided neutrons, and therefore are more likely to undergo additional interactions. Furthermore, if a significant amount of energy is lost in a scattering event, the degraded-energy neutron subsequently loses energy more rapidly than does a higher-energy neutron, because scattering cross sections usually increase with decreasing neutron energy. Thus an elastic collision might be considered to be a reaction that always leads to removal of the neutron. However, if scattering is not isotropic but is peaked in the forward direction, as is clearly the case at very high energies, elastic scattering is not an effective mechanism for removal. Neutrons that are scattered through small angles with little resultant energy loss cannot be considered to be removed from the beam. In general, elastic scattering can be considered to be isotropic for all nuclei below 100 keV neutron energy (this energy limit is higher for light nuclei); but, because of the effect of potential or shadow scattering, such an assumption (isotropy) cannot be used for fast-neutron shielding studies. This anisotropic scattering is an important consideration, but one for which an attempt at an exact solution may not be justified in view of the complexity of the problem (i. e., the scattering angle is a function of the neutron wavelength and the radius of the struck nucleus, etc.). We also note that nonelastic interactions play an increasingly important role as an energy-loss (removal) mechanism for neutrons, as the neutron energy increases beyond several MeV, and as the mass of the struck nucleus becomes greater.

In a practical situation one must often use rather broad assumptions concerning the ultimate intensity of the radiation source and its spatial distribution, the densities and compositions of shielding materials, etc., so that a high degree of precision in determination of the removal cross sections may not be warranted. This seems a reasonable approach for sources of low to moderate intensity, cases for which a few additional inches of shielding (to cover uncertainties in assumptions and calculations) will not be an important cost factor. However, for high-intensity sources that require an extensive and costly facility incorporating several feet of shielding, a more rigorous evaluation of removal cross-section data would be advisable -- in particular, drawing upon experimentally determined attenuation lengths from similar sources.

In this study we seek an expression which, although accounting for the broad aspects of anisotropic and nonelastic scattering as a function of energy, is not overly complex and burdensome in detail or application. Patterson<sup>8</sup> has shown there is good agreement at high energies between measurements with thick shields under broad beam conditions and a scheme in which the removal cross section  $\sigma_r$  is arbitrarily related to the total cross section,  $\sigma_t$ :

$$\sigma_r = f(E)\sigma_t.$$

The values given  $f(E)$  are shown in Table II. Here it can be seen that the removal cross section is set equal to the total cross section at 1 MeV; as  $E$  becomes larger  $f(E)$  becomes smaller, until at energies above 150 MeV (where elastic scattering is essentially all small-angle forward scattering) the removal cross section is set equal to one-half the total cross section.

The known relative abundance of each constituent of typical Berkeley concrete was used in conjunction with values for the removal cross sections to solve the equation

$$I_t = I_0 \exp [-N\sigma_r(E)t]$$

for values of  $t$  to yield a factor-of-2 attenuation. Patterson's results are shown on Fig. 2. This is the simplification we assume, and now extend its application to the lower-energy region, as exemplified by the radiation field created by the 18-MeV  $^3\text{He}$  cyclotron.

### Estimation of Shielding Thickness

The  $^3\text{He}$  cyclotron ceased operation shortly after completion of the measurements reported here. It was disassembled, moved to the site chosen for permanent location, and is still undergoing reassembly. We have not yet had the opportunity to resume our measurement program and, in particular, cannot now report on evaluation of the shielding that was (in part) designed on the basis of the above measurements. The new shielding is being constructed from "available" materials, and includes assorted shapes and sizes of ordinary concrete blocks, along with some water cans. Thickness of the main section of the concrete shielding wall was selected to be 24 inches; the adequacy of this thickness is now demonstrated from the following simple computations.

The problem is to find the shield thickness required to reduce the neutron flux to a value no greater than  $17.5 \text{ n/cm}^2\text{-sec}$  at a location 200 cm from the cyclotron target. This flux intensity is equivalent to a dose rate of 2.5 mrem/hr. from the neutron spectral distribution under consideration. Table III shows the basis for such judgment, listing those analytic expressions we use to relate neutron energy to dose equivalent -- the expressions suggested by Thomas.<sup>9</sup> The source strength at full power (from measurement at 1/40 full power) was found to be  $1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ n/sec}$ . We assume the measured spectrum is the energy distribution against which we must shield. We also assume the source is localized at the target position, and ignore buildup. The neutron flux at 200 cm from the target is then computed from the measured spectrum as follows:

$$\phi_{200,t} = \sum_{0.5 \text{ MeV}}^{13.5 \text{ MeV}} A(\Delta E) I_0 \exp \left[ -t/T(\Delta E) \right],$$

- where
- A is the fraction of the total flux in each energy interval,  $\Delta E$ ,
  - $I_0$  is  $\frac{1.1 \times 10^{10}}{4\pi r^2}$  and  $r$  is 200 cm,
  - $t$  is concrete thickness in in., for the range 20 to 24 in.,
  - T is the neutron attenuation length for each energy interval  $\Delta E$ , derived from Fig. 2.

The measured spectrum was integrated to determine values for A, taking  $\Delta E$  intervals each 1 MeV wide. Associated with each energy interval is a corresponding value for T, where T is expressed as

$$T = \frac{\text{half-value thickness}}{0.693}$$

We have computed the surviving neutron flux through 20 in. of concrete for each of the 13 energy intervals, and have then summed these fluxes over the spectrum. This process was repeated for concrete thicknesses of 22 and 24 in. Results of the three computations are shown on Fig. 3, and indicate that a thickness of about 22.6 in. will reduce the flux to the required  $17.5 \text{ n/cm}^2\text{-sec.}$  The corresponding dose rate is 2.5 mrem/hr, there being little contribution from neutrons below 1 MeV or above 10 MeV.

We emphasize the following general points. Given the kind of experimental data presented here -- the source intensity and energy spectrum -- one does not have to proceed "blindly" by assuming the shield must be designed against an arbitrarily assigned flux of neutrons of energy equal to some arbitrarily chosen fraction of the accelerated particle energy. One can proceed in a straightforward and simple fashion, starting from a clearly specified source term, to apply the shielding computation scheme just described. If the computation is followed through the entire range of shield thickness considered, one can observe which is the predominant surviving neutron energy group at any given shield depth. He will then be able to evaluate performance of the next increment of shield thickness.

We lack the experimental data taken outside the shield that would enable us to relate directly the source term, the buildup effects, and the shield attenuation characteristics to the neutron flux and spectrum existing at such an exterior point. The  $^3\text{He}$  cyclotron is expected to be in operation again before the end of 1969. Until such time we are left without experimental verification of the adequacy (or inadequacy) of these estimates. We plan to complete these measurements as soon as possible, to offer them either as an addendum to this UCRL report or as a subsequent report. One measurement in particular, that of placing a string of detectors (indium or gold foils) through the shield wall, is most valuable for determining the actual neutron attenuation length; such a measurement will be included with the other relevant measurements.

#### Acknowledgments

We express thanks to Duane Spence and Jay Weidenfeld of the  $^3\text{He}$  cyclotron group for their assistance during the experimental measurement phase of this work.

This work was done under auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. S. Markowitz and J. D. Mahony, Activation Analysis for Oxygen and Other Elements by Helium-3-Induced Nuclear Reactions, Anal. Chem. 34, 329 (1962).
2. R. M. Main, M. R. Curtis, R. Grazier, P. B. Kennedy, B. H. Smith, and D. A. Spence, A Small Helium-3 Cyclotron, UCRL-17274, March 1967.
3. R. L. Lehman, Energy Spectra of Stray Neutrons from the Bevatron, Nucleonics 22, 35 (1964).
4. L. D. Stephens and A. R. Smith, Fast-Neutron Surveys Using Indium-Foil Activation, UCRL-8418, Aug. 1958.



5. A. R. Smith, Threshold Detector Application to Neutron Spectroscopy at the Berkeley Accelerators, in Proceedings of the USAEC First Symposium on Accelerator Radiation Dosimetry and Experience, Brookhaven National Laboratory, November 3-5, 1965 (Brookhaven, Upton, L. I., 1965), pp. 365-409.
6. K. B. Shaw and G. R. Stevenson (Rutherford High Energy Laboratory), private communication, 1968.
7. J. B. McCaslin, A High-Energy Neutron-Flux Detector, Health Phys. 2, 399 (1960).
8. H. W. Patterson, The Effect of Shielding on Radiation Produced by the 730-MeV Synchrocyclotron and the 6.3-GeV Proton Synchrotron at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, in Proceedings of the Conference on Shielding Near Large Accelerators, Saclay, France, January, 1962 (Presses Universitaires de France, Paris).
9. R. H. Thomas, The Radiation Field Observed Around High-Energy Nuclear Accelerators, in Proceedings of XI International Congress of Radiobiology, Rome, September 22-28, 1965.

Table I. Detector response and data.

Detector	Energy (MeV)	Background (cpm)	Response to unit flux within the indicated energy	Source emissivity at 40 $\mu$ A neutron/sec >threshold
Moderated In foil $^{115}\text{In}(n, \gamma)^{116}\text{In}$	0.02-20	8	10 cpm/g (0.5-g foils)	$1.1 \times 10^{10}$
$^{27}\text{Al}(n, \alpha)^{24}\text{Na}$	>6	73	21 cpm (at 14 MeV)	$7.2 \times 10^7$
$^{19}\text{F}(n, 2n)^{18}\text{F}$	>12	50	5 cpm	$< 7.2 \times 10^6$
$^{12}\text{C}(n, 2n)^{11}\text{C}$	>20	133	88 cpm (85% eff)	not detectable at the 1- $\mu$ A beam level

Table II. Tabular values for the expression  $\sigma_r = f(E)\sigma_t$ .

<u>Neutron energy (MeV)</u>	<u>f(E)</u>
1	1.0
5	0.65
14	0.55
$\geq 150$	0.50

Table III. Analytic expressions for dose equivalent vs neutron energy.

Energy range (MeV)	n-cm <sup>-2</sup> -sec <sup>-1</sup> equivalent to 1 mrem-h <sup>-1</sup>
< 10 <sup>-2</sup>	232
10 <sup>-2</sup> - 10 <sup>0</sup>	7.20 E <sup>-3/4</sup>
10 <sup>0</sup> - 10 <sup>1</sup>	7.20
>10 <sup>1</sup>	12.8 E <sup>-1/4</sup>

LEGAL NOTICE

*This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:*

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or*
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.*

*As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.*

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION  
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720