UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Asymmetric reconstruction of mammalian reovirus reveals interactions among RNA, transcriptional factor $\mu 2$ and capsid proteins

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3wj042f2

Journal Nature Communications, 12(1)

ISSN

2041-1723

Authors

Pan, Muchen Alvarez-Cabrera, Ana L Kang, Joon S <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.1038/s41467-021-24455-4

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24455-4

OPEN



Asymmetric reconstruction of mammalian reovirus reveals interactions among RNA, transcriptional factor $\mu 2$ and capsid proteins

Muchen Pan (b^{1,2,3,4,5}, Ana L. Alvarez-Cabrera (b^{2,3}, Joon S. Kang (b^{2,3,6}, Lihua Wang^{1,7}, Chunhai Fan (b⁵ & Z. Hong Zhou (b^{2,3,6})

Mammalian reovirus (MRV) is the prototypical member of genus *Orthoreovirus* of family *Reoviridae*. However, lacking high-resolution structures of its RNA polymerase cofactor μ^2 and infectious particle, limits understanding of molecular interactions among proteins and RNA, and their contributions to virion assembly and RNA transcription. Here, we report the 3.3 Å-resolution asymmetric reconstruction of transcribing MRV and in situ atomic models of its capsid proteins, the asymmetrically attached RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) λ_3 , and RdRp-bound nucleoside triphosphatase μ^2 with a unique RNA-binding domain. We reveal molecular interactions among virion proteins and genomic and messenger RNA. Polymerase complexes in three *Spinoreovirinae* subfamily members are organized with different pseudo- D_{3d} symmetries to engage their highly diversified genomes. The above interactions and those between symmetry-mismatched receptor-binding σ^1 trimers and RNA-capping λ^2 pentamers balance competing needs of capsid assembly, external protein removal, and allosteric triggering of endogenous RNA transcription, before, during and after infection, respectively.

¹ CAS Key Laboratory of Interfacial Physics and Technology, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China.
² Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, CA, USA. ³ California NanoSystems Institute, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁴ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ⁵ School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Frontiers Science Center for Transformative Molecules, Institute of Translational Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China.
⁶ Molecular Biology Institute, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁷ The Interdisciplinary Research Center, Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Zhangjiang Laboratory, Shanghai Advanced Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China. ⁸ email: Hong.Zhou@UCLA.edu

ammalian reovirus (MRV) infects a broad range of mammals, including humans, and affects gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts^{1,2}. It also has beneficial applications, as reflected by ongoing clinical trials with engineered MRV type 3 Dearing strain in oncolytic virotherapy^{3,4}. Significantly, MRV is the prototypical member of the Orthoreovirus genus of the Reoviridae⁵, a large family of doublestranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses, including the life-threatening human pathogen rotavirus⁶. These viruses differ in two main aspects: the number of protein layers of their capsids and the presence (in the viruses of nine genera that comprise the Spinoreovirinae subfamily) or absence (in the viruses of six genera that comprise the Sedoreovirinae subfamily) of mRNA-capping turrets on the twelve icosahedral vertices of their innermost capsid layer⁵. All viruses in the *Reoviridae* are capable of transcribing RNA inside intact capsids (endogenous transcription) without the need of host factors, an attracting characteristic that allows viral RNA transcription of these viruses to be studied in vitro in cell-free environment².

Among members of the *Spinoreovirinae* subfamily, MRV contrasts cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus (CPV) by the number of their capsid layers: the former has two concentric protein layers⁷, the latter a single shelled capsid^{8,9} structurally equivalent to the MRV core². The outer layer of MRV comprises protection protein σ 3 and penetration protein μ 1 (see ref. ²). Removing σ 3 leads to the maximally infectious form of MRV, known as the infectious subvirion particle (ISVP)¹⁰, which uses its newly exposed μ 1 penetration proteins to escape from the endosome into host cytoplasm¹¹. The ISVP transcribes its ten genomic segments¹², adds a methylated guanosine cap to the 5' end of each mRNA transcript¹³, and releases the capped mRNA from the turrets at the capsid vertices¹⁴.

The atomic models of most individual proteins¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and the core¹³ of MRV have been solved already by X-ray crystallography and provided insight into viral RNA transcription, capsid assembly, and host attachment not only for MRV in particular but also for dsRNA viruses in general. Historically, MRV is one of the first viruses subjected to 3D reconstruction by cryoEM¹⁷. In 2003, cryoEM reconstruction of MRV already reached 7.6 Å resolution and resolved secondary structures of RdRp λ 3 protein¹⁸. Despite imposition of icosahedral symmetry in this reconstruction, fitting of existing crystal models into this reconstruction localized inside the capsid attachment sites and revealed the possible nonicosahedrally related locations of RdRp $\lambda 3$ (see ref. ¹⁸). RdRp $\lambda 3$ and its protein co-factor µ2 are collectively known as the transcribing enzyme complex (TEC). Nonetheless, because imposition of icosahedral symmetry in this cryoEM reconstruction and the crystal structure of the MRV core¹³ smeared asymmetrically organized elements, which of the 60 subunits of the capsid shell protein (CSP) $\lambda 1$ and how the genomic RNA associate with TEC remain unknown. Biochemical and virology studies have shown that µ2 displays functionally and structurally unique sequence domains involved in discrete steps of inclusion/viroplasm development and viral replication^{19,20}. RdRp's atomic structure has been solved by X-ray crystallography¹⁶, but not μ 2's, preventing a full description of the transcription mechanism, and how different domains of µ2 contribute to viral replication. MRV's receptorbinding protein σ 1 forms a trimeric filament whose structure has been determined by X-ray crystallography¹⁵, but how it is anchored to the pentameric turret remains unknown due to a lack of high-resolution in situ structures. Therefore, notwithstanding the significance of prior cryoEM and X-ray structures, the above three sets of questions, and how interactions among capsid proteins outside trigger RNA transcription inside the virus, remain unanswered in the absence of an atomic resolution description of the infectious MRV particle.

In this study, we have determined near-atomic resolution asymmetric structures of the MRV ISVP by cryo electron microscopy (cryoEM) with a sub-particle reconstruction workflow. Our results not only unveil the atomic structure of $\mu 2$ (which we show is an NTPase) but also reveal interactions among RdRp $\lambda 3$, $\lambda 1$, $\mu 2$, and genomic and newly transcribed RNA, as well as among external capsid proteins, including the symmetrymismatched receptor-binding protein $\sigma 1$ trimer and capping enzyme $\lambda 2$ pentamer. As the high-resolution structures of MRV and of the Orthoreovirus genus of the *Reoviridae*, these structures fill in a critical knowledge gap in the ever-growing repertoire of dsRNA virus structures; several structural features provide mechanistic insights into allosteric triggering and catalytic regulation of endogenous RNA transcription inside multilayered members of the *Spinoreovirinae* subfamily of the *Reoviridae*.

Results

Overall protein interactions and RNA genome organization. Isolated with minimal steps and without density gradient, our MRV particles (Supplementary Fig. 1) contained a mixture of both virion and ISVP and were separated by computational classification (Supplementary Fig. 2). Due to symmetry mismatch among RNA, internal and external protein components, we implemented a step-wise sorting and symmetry-guided sub-particle reconstruction workflow (Supplementary Fig. 3) to improve the resolution of the cryoEM reconstructions of local regions (i.e., sub-particles) of the ISVP to 3.3 Å. By applying the asymmetric orientation parameters of the classified sub-particles to their corresponding full particles (see "Methods" section), we eventually reconstructed an asymmetric structure of the full ISVP at 4.3 Å resolution, revealing the global architecture and genomic RNA organization of the full infectious particle (Fig. 1a, b). Virions, which are non-infectious (quiescent) due to presence of protection protein σ 3 (see ref. ²¹, whose structure is already known) on top of penetration protein μ 1, account for only about 2.4% of the total particles in our sample, limiting the resolution of our virion reconstruction to 8.6 Å (Supplementary Fig. 2); thus, a higher resolution reconstruction was not pursued further.

Within the inner capsid shell of the final asymmetric reconstruction of the ISVP, two kinds of densities can be distinguished: filamentous, located inside the capsid; and non-filamentous, occupying local regions underneath ten of the twelve icosahedral vertices (Fig. 1b–d and Supplementary Movie 1). In particular, when examined at a higher density threshold, the filamentous densities have the defining features of dsRNA: right-handed duplexes with major and minor grooves (inset of Fig. 1b). Therefore, we attribute the filamentous densities to viral RNA and the non-filamentous densities to proteins—more specifically, TEC, surrounded by the dsRNA to be transcribed.

MRV contains ten TECs, each under one of its twelve vertices, as identified in the Earth-like Mercator projection (i.e., the surface of a sphere is projected into a rectangle map) of the densities inside the core of the asymmetric reconstruction (Fig. 1c). Building on the reference to the Earth, MRV's 3-fold axis is analogous to the Earth's axis of rotation and MRV's Mercator projection is analogous to the Earth's map. Accordingly, six of the ten TECs are located near the two poles (pole-proximal) and are related to each other by D_{3d} symmetry; the remaining four are located near the tropic (tropic-proximal), two above and two below the tropic, and are related to each other by pseudo- D_{3d} symmetry (Fig. 1c, e). The two vertices without a TEC, one above and one below the tropic (Fig. 1e), are occupied by dsRNA densities (red circles in Fig. 1c); consequently, three duplexes of dsRNA extend from the north pole to the south pole across these two unoccupied tropic-proximal vertices, in a right-handed



Fig. 1 Transcription enzyme complex (TEC) and dsRNA organization revealed by asymmetric reconstruction of mammalian reovirus (MRV) infectious subvirion particle (ISVP). a Surface representation of the asymmetric reconstruction of MRV ISVP. The **Y**-axis lies along a pseudo-3-fold symmetry axis. **b** Cut-open view of the capsid, exposing the RNA genome and TECs. The twelve vertices are numbered from 1 to 12 (not all shown). NTPases and RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRps) colored in blue and purple, respectively, and RNA duplexes colored in gray. The red circle indicates the unoccupied 5-fold vertex. The inset (black circle) is the zoom-in view of a TEC and its surrounding RNA duplexes. **c** Mercator projection of the core density illustrating the organization of ten TECs. The two TEC-absent vertices (red circles at positions 5 and 8) are occupied by three RNA duplexes. **d** Slice view of the boxed region in (**b**), highlighting the five concentric layers of RNA duplexes and virus proteins. **e** Illustration of TEC organization of MRV, cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus (CPV), and aquareovirus (ARV). The vertices are aligned for ease of comparison. The TEC-absent vertices are highlighted in red. Particle sizes are not to scale. **f** Surface representation of the sub-particle reconstruction of the TEC-containing vertex, with same view and colors as (**d**). Vertices are numbered the same way in (**b**, **c**, **e**).

fashion around the 3-fold axis (Fig. 1c). The dsRNA orientation set by the unoccupied vertices may contribute to the overall righthanded pattern of the entire genome (Fig. 1b, c). There are seven right-handed RNA duplexes between the two tropic-proximal TECs on the same latitude, e.g., between TEC 4 and 6, and the two RNA duplexes between two tropic-proximal TECs across the equator, e.g., between TEC 4 and 7 (Fig. 1c).

Among the many viruses within the Spinoreovirinae subfamily of the Reoviridae, only MRV (this study), CPV^{22,23}, aquareovirus (ARV)²⁴, and Fako virus (FAKV)²⁵ have their TEC organizations resolved to date. The TEC organizations of MRV, CPV, and ARV were resolved by classification of symmetry-expanded particles without alignment, while the TEC organizations of FAKV was based on probabilities estimated by cross-correlating with various arrangements of TEC decoys²⁵. Though their TECs all follow pseudo- D_{3d} symmetry^{22,24}, the locations of their unoccupied vertices within the capsid differ (Fig. 1e). While MRV and CPV both have ten TECs in each capsid, ARV has eleven, and FAKV has nine. All of their six pole-proximal vertices are occupied by TECs. However, this is not the case for their six tropic-proximal vertices: there is only one unoccupied vertex in ARV, while two unoccupied vertices in MRV, CPV, and FAKV: one on either side of the equator in MRV (vertex 5 and 8) but both on the same side of the equator in CPV and FAKV (vertex 7 and 8). In other words, in terms of their TEC organizations, CPV, ARV, and FAKV have polarity along 3-fold axis, but MRV does not. Except for the multiple TEC organization possibilities suggested for FAKV²⁵, a common trend among the viruses with unique TEC organization is that the number of genomic dsRNA segments of these viruses matches the number of TECs within each of their capsid.

Visible dsRNA density in the asymmetric reconstruction of the ISVP includes five concentric layers, layer 1–5, located at particle radii of 230, 209, 186, 161, and 139 Å (Fig. 1d), respectively. The distance between adjacent RNA duplexes within layer 1 is 27 Å (Fig. 1b). Taken together, our asymmetric ISVP and sub-particle reconstructions show that each MRV ISVP contains, from inside out, 10 TECs (a heterodimer of λ 3 and μ 2, Fig. 1f), 120 copies of CSP λ 1, 150 copies of clamping protein σ 2, 60 copies of mRNA capping protein λ 2 which form the 12 pentameric turrets, 600 copies (or 200 trimers) each of the membrane penetration protein μ 1 and its protection protein σ 3, and 12 trimers of receptor binding protein σ 1 [visible only in the sub-particle reconstruction (Fig. 1f) for reasons described in the "Methods" section].

RdRp cofactor µ2 is an NTPase and has a dynamic RNAbinding domain. Anchored to each occupied vertex is a TEC, which is a heterodimer composed of one RdRp λ 3 and its cofactor µ2 (Figs. 1f and 2a) and surrounded by genomic dsRNA (Fig. 1b). Our vertex sub-particle reconstruction at 3.3 Å resolution revealed amino-acid side chains that helped building the atomic model of µ2 de novo (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Movie 2). Within μ 2, we observed a density attributed to the y-phosphate group detached from NTP (inset of Fig. 2d), similar to that observed in ARV NTPase VP4 (Supplementary Fig. 5) (see ref. ²⁴), indicating that MRV μ 2 is also an NTPase (Supplementary Fig. 5). We were able to model 680 of the 736 total amino acid residues of $\mu 2$ (Supplementary Fig. 6). The atomic model of µ2 has a triangular shape (Fig. 2b), comprising three domains: an N-terminal, RNA-binding domain²⁰ (RBD, residues 1-264, see details in the TEC-RNA interaction section below), an NTPase domain (residues 265-607) with a bound yphosphate group, and a C-terminal domain (CTD, residues 608-736) (Fig. 2c-e and Supplementary Movie 2).

The RBD contains eleven α helices (R α 1–11) and two parallel β sheets (one formed by strands R1, 2, 6, 7, and 8 and other by strands R3-5) (Fig. 2b, e). The RBD can be further divided into two subdomains-tip and main-according to their electrostatic potentials. The positively-charged tip subdomain, distal to the NTPase domain, contains α helices (R α 5–8) and loops, which connect to the main subdomain (Fig. 2b-e). The negativelycharged main subdomain of RBD, proximal to the NTPase domain, is a condensed structure of alternating α helices and β sheets that resembles the proximal region of ARV N-terminal domain (NTD). The comparison was limited to the proximal region because the distal region of the main subdomain, and the entire tip subdomain of ARV NTD could not be modeled due to low resolution density²⁴, indicating the region's high flexibility. In the main subdomain, sequences for two density regions were assigned but not modeled due to sub-optimal, low-resolution density quality: one (residue 190-196) on the inner surface of RBD with fragmented density and the other (residues 265-283) at the junction of RBD and NTPase domain with density only visible when low-pass filtered. The existence of these lowresolution features in the otherwise well-defined overall density of RBD suggests that RBD is a dynamic structure, consistent with prior observation that most of the homologous regions in ARV NTPase VP4 are highly flexible thus not modeled²⁴. Moreover, the main subdomain's alternating α helices/ β sheets and the two subdomains are connected by many loops, which may collectively confer flexibility to the overall RBD structure.

The NTPase domain contains twelve α helices (N α 1–12) and two antiparallel β sheets (one formed by strands N1 and 6 and other by strands N2–4) (Fig. 2d). At the center of the NTPase domain, the NTP binding site, comprising loop 410–414 and helices N α 10–11, holds the aforementioned γ -phosphate group (inset of Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 5d), as also observed in ARV²⁴. The NTPase domain is conserved among MRV, CPV, and ARV^{22,24} and is the most stable domain in all three viruses, indicated by the well-defined density of this domain (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Extending from the NTPase domain is the CTD, which comprises two short α helices (C α 1 and 2), two antiparallel β sheets (one formed by strands C1, 2, and 5 and other by strands C3 and 4) (Fig. 2c), and three long loops pointing towards inner-layer RNA densities (Fig. 2a, b). The RNA-proximal densities at the middle regions of the three long loops are of insufficient resolution for atomic modeling but can be assigned to the residues 625–635 (negatively charged), 714–720 (positively charged), and 670–680 (negatively charged), based on the locations of their immediate upstream and downstream modeled residues.

In situ structure of RdRp λ 3 and its interactions with NTPase μ 2. Based on our vertex sub-particle reconstruction, we were also able to build an in situ atomic model of RdRp λ 3. Following the nomenclature established in the crystal structures of the recombinant $\lambda 3$ (see ref. ¹⁶), our in situ structure comprises five major domains: N-terminal domain (residues 1-386), thumb (residues 793-901), fingers (residues 387-556 and 595-690), palm (residues 557-594 and 691-792), and C-terminal bracelet (residues 902-1267) (Fig. 2f-h and Supplementary Movie 2). As in the crystal structures¹⁶, four channels can be readily identified in the in situ structure of λ 3: one into the RdRp on the opposite side of the capsid, the RNA template entrance; one on the side of the capsid, the transcript exit; one at the middle of the bracelet, the template RNA exit; and one on the opposite side of the bracelet, the NTP entrance (Fig. 2h). Our in situ structure is more similar to the crystal structures of $\lambda 3$ with two nucleotides (i.e., initiation



Fig. 2 In situ structure of the TEC. a Ribbon representation of TEC, which contains NTPase μ 2 and RdRp λ 3, with domains distinguished by different colors. **b** Ribbon representation of NTPase μ 2 and its three domains, RNA-binding domain (RBD), in green, NTPase domain, in cyan, and C-terminal domain (CTD), in orange. The unmodelled residues are shown in dashed lines colored as domains. The vertical dotted line on the RBD is the divider between the "main" and "tip" subdomain. **c-e** Ribbon representation of μ 2 domains: CTD (**c**), NTPase domain (**d**), and RBD (**e**), reoriented to provide a clear view of the secondary structure elements (labeled). The inset in (**d**) shows the atomic model (red sticks) and density (mesh) of the bound γ -phosphate group. **f** Ribbon representation of RdRp λ 3 structure and its five domains: N-terminal domain (yellow), thumb (green), fingers (blue), palm (red), and C-terminal bracelet domain (purple). **g** Superpositions of the ribbon representations of our in situ cryoEM structure [colored as in **f**] and the crystal structure structures (gray) of λ 3. **h** Right-hand illustration and ribbon representation of the RdRp domains, highlighting the entrances of NTP and template RNA as well as exits of RNA transcript and template RNA.

state, PDB: 1N1H) with an RMSD of 0.953 Å between the two structures, as compared to RMSD of 1.044 and 1.015 Å, between our in situ structure and the crystal structures of one and four phosphodiester bonds (i.e., elongation state, PDB: 1N38 and 1N35) (see ref. ¹⁶), respectively (Fig. 2g). At the active site of our in situ structure, the priming loop (residues 558–565) extends from the palm domain, blocking the synthesis of double-stranded product, similar to that in the crystal structure of the initiation state (Fig. 2g). These two features suggest that our in situ structure is at the initiation state. Nonetheless, for both initial state structures, the in situ structure is a bit more spatially expanded than the crystal structure, as indicated by their total calculated solvent-accessible surface areas of 49,604 Å² versus 46,263 Å², and total volumes of 1.71 × 10⁵ Å³ versus 1.63 × 10⁵ Å³.

Aside from the significance as the atomic structure of transcription cofactor $\mu 2$, our in situ structure of TEC allows the exploration of the interface between our NTPase $\mu 2$ and RdRp $\lambda 3$ to identify the various interactions between these two proteins (Fig. 3a, b). All three domains of $\mu 2$ interact with $\lambda 3$, with a total contact area of approximately 745 Å². Residues lining the interface include those forming hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3c, d) and those conducive to the hydrophobic effect (Fig. 3e, f). For example, hydrogen bonds form between N536 of the $\mu 2$ NTPase domain and S392 of the $\lambda 3$ fingers domain and between N690 of the $\mu 2$ CTD and E468 of the $\lambda 3$ finger domain (Fig. 3d). By contrast, interactions between the $\mu 2$ RBD and the $\lambda 3$ fingers domain include both hydrogen bonds (between F230 of $\mu 2$ and A638 of $\lambda 3$, Fig. 3d) and hydrophobic effects (between F230 of $\mu 2$ and I626 of $\lambda 3$, Fig. 3f).

Interactions between TEC and RNA. Many interactions can be identified between dsRNA densities and multiple regions of TEC (Fig. 4). Based on clearly visible major and minor grooves of these RNA densities (inset of Fig. 1b and Supplementary Movie 3), backbone poly-AU duplex models were built for four dsRNA fragments around the TEC (Fig. 4a, b). All these RNA duplexes interact through hydrogen bonds with regions of TEC, including the µ2 RDB tip subdomain, NTPase domain, RdRp NTD (Fig. 4c-g). In addition, we identify a mechanism for RNA to cross different layers of the genome: on the "front-mid" RNA duplex, the end opposite to the hydrogen bond interaction faces many negatively charged residues on RBD main subdomain, repelling the negatively charged RNA duplex to cross from layer 1 to layer 2 (Supplementary Fig. 7a, b); likewise, on the "back-top" RNA duplex, the end opposite to the hydrogen bond interaction faces many negatively charged residues on the µ2 NTPase domain (Supplementary Fig. 7c), repelling the RNA duplex to cross from layer 2 to layer 3.

Further exploration into the interaction between RNA and TEC led to the identification of a branch point of the RNA duplex near the RdRp's template-RNA entrance (Fig. 4h). We interpreted this branch point to be the transcription/replication fork of the terminal dsRNA (Fig. 4h, i) and the "front-mid" RNA duplex to be the tail portion of the same genomic RNA segment, as also visualized inside CPV at the transcription initiation state²³. Therefore, the RNA branch located at the template entrance of the RdRp is the 3' end of the negative-strand RNA template and the other branch is the 5' end of the positive-strand RNA non-template (Fig. 4h and Supplementary Movie 4).

In our structure, the template RNA has already passed through the positively charged entry tunnel to reach the RdRp active site (Fig. 4j). Though we did not build a model for the terminal RNA, the μ 2 CTD interacts with the terminal RNA to guide the branching and entrance to the entry tunnel (Fig. 4j), consistent with earlier suggestions of μ^2 as a helicase²⁶. At the active site near the template RNA is a density that appears to be the putative transcript (Fig. 4k, l). This putative transcript density is supported by residue S561 of the priming loop (Fig. 4l), which is known to regulate the progression of RNA transcription from the initiation to the elongation state^{16,27}. The non-template RNA is guided by the positively charged surface from the RdRp C-terminal bracelet to the edge of the template exit (Fig. 4i and Supplementary Fig. 7d), thus positioned to reanneal with the exiting template RNA into a duplex. These structural observations are consistent with our aforementioned RdRp model being at the transcription initiation state¹⁶.

Loops and N-terminal fragments of CSP $\lambda 1$ conformers are involved in TEC and RNA interactions. Our asymmetric reconstruction resolved key elements of CSP $\lambda 1$ interacting with TEC that were not previously resolved in the crystal structure of the MRV core with icosahedral symmetry imposed¹³. The crystal structure of the icosahedral core contains 60 identical $\lambda 1$ homodimers. The two subunits within each homodimer have slightly different conformations: conformers $\lambda 1A$ near the 5-fold axis and $\lambda 1B$ near the 3-fold axis. Our asymmetric vertex sub-particle reconstruction now further reveals subtle structural differences among the five $\lambda 1A/\lambda 1B$ dimers surrounding each vertex, hereby designated as $\lambda 1A_1/\lambda 1B_1$ through $\lambda 1A_5/\lambda 1B_5$ (Fig. 5a, b). Under this convention, $\lambda 1A_{1-2,5}/\lambda 1B_{1-2,5}$ forms the primary platform to which the TEC binds; by contrast, $\lambda 1A_{3-4}/\lambda 1B_{3-4}$ make minimal contact, as predicted earlier¹⁸.

The asymmetric structures resolved in the five conformers of λ 1A are primarily the N-terminal fragments (Fig. 5b, c). The Nterminal fragment (residues 222–240) in conformer $\lambda 1A_1$ is a long loop, extending from the λ 3 fingers domain to μ 2 NTPase domain (Fig. 5d). By contrast, the N-terminal fragment (residues 194–240) in conformer $\lambda 1A_2$ is a loop-helix-loop structure, extending from the $\lambda 1$ surface to the RdRp $\lambda 3$ bracelet domain (Fig. 5e). For the $\lambda 1A_3$ N-terminal fragment (residues 211–230), we identified a helix-loop structure near the $\lambda 1A_2$ N-terminal fragment that extends from the RdRp λ 3 NTD towards the bracelet domain (Fig. 5e). For the $\lambda 1A_4$ and $\lambda 1A_5$ N-terminal fragments (residues 214-226 and 213-225), we identified a short helix region extending toward the RdRp (Fig. 5f). When $\lambda 1A_4$ and $\lambda 1A_5$ are superimposed, these short helices almost completely overlap each other in both overall structure and relative position to Loop 581-590 (Fig. 5c). Notably, this N-terminal helix in the homologous CSP A_2 and A_4 subunits in rotavirus have been shown to be a transcriptional regulator^{28–30}, and similar RdRp interactions between MRV and rotavirus for this N-terminal fragment of $\lambda 1 A_2$ and $\lambda 1 A_4$ suggest a similar role. Taken together, these structures indicate that the role of N-terminal fragments of λ 1A conformers is to anchor the TEC to the capsid and possibly also to regulate transcription.

Near the $\lambda 1$ N-terminal fragments, we also identified two sets of loops with differing conformations among the five $\lambda 1$ pairs. The first set of loops (residues 562–571), which we named promiscuous loops (PL) because of their involvement in interactions with different partners, are part of $\lambda 1B_{1-5}$ (Fig. 5c): the PL of $\lambda 1_1$ interacts with the RdRp bracelet domain (Fig. 5g, red box); the PL of $\lambda 1_2$ interacts with the back-mid RNA (Fig. 5g, orange box); the PL of $\lambda 1B_3$ is not involved in any interactions (Fig. 5g, yellow box); the PL of $\lambda 1_4$ sits underneath the frontbottom RNA, 5.9 Å away (Fig. 5g, green box); and the PL of $\lambda 1_5$ interacts with the tip subdomain of NTPase RBD (Fig. 5g, blue box). The second set of loops (loop 581–590) are part of $\lambda 1A_{1-5}$. When superimposed together, these loops align well, except for its



Fig. 3 The interface between RdRp λ 3 and NTPase μ 2 and identification of key interacting residues. a, b Ribbon representation of TEC (a), and NTPase μ 2 and RdRp λ 3 rotated 90° in opposite directions, exposing the interface and the interacting residues between the two proteins (b). c, d Same view as (a, b), with positive, neutral, and negative electrostatic potentials indicated in blue, white, and red, respectively. e, f Same view as (a, b), with hydrophilic, neutral, and hydrophobic area, indicated in cyan, white, and gold, respectively.



central region (Fig. 5c), to which vastly varying N-terminal fragments are attached, though not visualized.

Loops in penetration protein μ 1 contribute to virion assembly. Previous efforts have fitted existing crystal structures^{13,14} to lowresolution icosahedral reconstructions to suggest their interactions with the underlying inner capsid and with the capping enzyme^{18,31}. Here, our near-atomic resolution structures reveal the atomic details of these interactions. The triangle in Fig. 6a demarcates an asymmetric unit of the icosahedral ISVP. Each asymmetric unit is composed of three and one third μ 1 trimers**Fig. 4 Molecular interactions between TEC and RNA. a** Surface representation of TEC, with surrounding RNA strands in surface representation and duplexes in both surface and ribbon representation. **b** 90° rotated view of (**a**), with ribbon representation of TEC and RNA duplexes. **c**-**g** Zoom-in views of the boxed regions in (**b**), detailing hydrogen bond interactions between TEC and surrounding RNA duplexes. **h**, **i** Surface representation of TEC and unwound terminal dsRNA with transcription fork (**h**), and the 90° rotated view (**i**). **j** The zoom-in view of the boxed region in (**h**), showing the atomic models of initial template RNA and priming loop and the density of putative transcript within the RdRp active site, with RdRp in the ribbon representation and template RNA in stick representation. **k** Superposition of mesh and stick representatic surfaces representation of the RdRp, with positive, neutral and negative Coulomb potentials indicated in blue, white, and red, respectively. Bottom panel, ribbon representation of the RdRp and stick representation of the template RNA.

Q, R, S, and 1/3T trimers—totaling ten μ 1 monomers (Fig. 6a, b)³¹. Each μ 1 monomer is myristoylated at its amino terminus and consists of four domains, among which domain I has an autolytic cleavage site between N42 and P43, 8 Å apart (Fig. 6c). Our asymmetric reconstruction reveals two types among the ten N-myristoyl fragments in each asymmetric unit: nine are at the interface between μ 1 and λ 2 (Fig. 6d–f). Notably, the N-myristoyl group of the first type is kept within each respective μ 1's hydrophobic pocket^{14,32}, a helix-loop-helix motif (residue M212–R243) (Fig. 6e). In contrast, the N-myristoyl group of the second type is exposed and extended to interact with λ 2 (Fig. 6f).

Aside from the μ 1 N-terminal fragments, we also identified multiple conformations of μ 1 Domain I at the interface with its neighboring μ 1 trimers (Fig. 6g–i). The different conformations arise from the different positioning of the interacting loops of Domain I—namely, Loop 51–61 and Loop 72–96, which face toward the virus core. When viewed from inside out, each μ 1 trimer is triangular (Fig. 6g), with three sides that interact with the neighboring proteins, each side harboring a Loop 51–61 and a Loop 72–96. The bond is formed via complementarity between Loop 51–61 of one μ 1 trimer and Loop 72–96 of its neighboring μ 1 trimer (Fig. 6g).

Based on the positioning of the interacting loops, two conformations between Loop 51–61 and Loop 72–96 can be identified. The first conformation, occurring between Loop 51–61 of trimer S and Loop 72–96 of trimer R (Fig. 6h), arises from lack of interaction due to the great distance between the interacting loops. Without interaction, Loop 72–96 of trimer R becomes flexible, hence its residues 81–90 are unresolved in our structure (Fig. 6h). The second conformation, which occurs between all other loops, arises from interactions between the two trimers (Fig. 6i).

Interactions between symmetry-mismatched pentamer of capping enzyme $\lambda 2$ and trimer of receptor binding protein $\sigma 1$. Five $\lambda 2$ subunits form a pentameric turret with an axial channel (Fig. 7). In our structure of ISVP, loop 581–588 of $\lambda 2$ bends towards the 5-fold axis (green in Fig. 7d, e) to avoid steric clash with N-myristoyl fragments of µ1 (Fig. 7c), unlike the unbent loop (gray structures in Fig. 7d, e) in the crystal structure of the core, in which μ 1 is absent¹³. Extending from the axial channel of the $\lambda 2$ turret is the trimeric $\sigma 1$ receptor-binding spike^{2,5,15}, like many receptor-binding spikes in other viruses. Our 3D classification yielded two distinct sub-particle reconstruction classes of the $\lambda 2$ turret: one (59.1%) with $\sigma 1$ (Fig. 7a) and the other (40.9%) without (Fig. 7b). Fitting the $\sigma 1$ trimer and $\lambda 2$ crystal structures^{13,15} to these reconstruction classes suggested how $\sigma 1$ and $\lambda 2$ could possibly interact. In this provisional fitting, the five D1283 residues from the $\lambda 2$ subunits of the turret would form the vertices of a pentagon (Fig. 7c, f). Three ol subunits form a filamentous coiled-coil helix bundle projecting radially (Fig. 7a, c and Supplementary Movie 5) with their R48 residues projecting laterally to form the vertices of a triangle (Fig. 7f, g). Two of the three R48 residues of the σ 1 trimer would be close enough to

create hydrogen bonds with their corresponding residue D1283 of $\lambda 2$ subunits of the surrounding turret (Fig. 7f, g). As a result, there would be a free $\lambda 2$ D1283 residue between the two aforementioned hydrogen bonds. The third R48 residue of the $\sigma 1$ trimer would lie between the two remaining D1283 residues in the $\lambda 2$ pentamer (Fig. 7f). Overall, as illustrated in Fig. 7g, such symmetry-mismatched positioning of the trimeric $\sigma 1$ inside the axial channel of a pentameric $\lambda 2$, and the resulting interaction thereof, could balance the competing needs of the $\sigma 1$ spike. This arrangement would allow the spike to first associate with, and then dissociate from, the turret during capsid assembly and viral infection, respectively.

Discussion

The work reported here represents the atomic description of an infectious MRV particle. MRV exhibits both similarities and differences in its genome and TEC organizations when compared to ARV and CPV, two other members with known structures^{22,24} of the Spinoreovirinae subfamily of the Reoviridae family. The genome of both MRV and CPV is segmented into ten segments^{12,33} and of ARV into eleven³⁴. Within each of these three viruses, the number of dsRNA segments equals the number of their TECs (Fig. 1) (see refs. ^{22,24}). Remarkably, the organizations of the TECs differ among these viruses (Fig. 1e). Their genome segments can be classified into three groups based on the length of each dsRNA segment: large, medium, and small (Supplementary Table 2). MRV and ARV both contain three large and three medium segments but four and five small segments, respectively^{12,35}. CPV contains four large, two medium and four short segments⁸ (Supplementary Table 2). Across all three viruses, each dsRNA segment encodes for one and only one specific protein^{36,37} (Supplementary Table 2). With the μ 2 structure presented here, the atomic structures of all these proteins are now available and structural comparison among them show that only four are structurally homologous and indeed functionally related: three proteins (CSP, RdRp, and the turret protein) encoded by three large segments, and one (the NTPase) encoded by one medium segment³⁸. Therefore, these viruses' genomes have diverged substantially to allow incorporation of segments encoding for completely different proteins needed to interact with different host cells, as exemplified by the proteins involved in cell entry (µ1 for MRV and spike protein for CPV, e.g., Supplementary Table 2). In addition, it remains controversial regarding possible bias in the various approaches used for decoupling asymmetric structures from the icosahedral arranged components. Indeed, the TEC organizations of Fako virus were not unique based on probabilities estimated by cross-correlating with various arrangements of TEC decoys²⁵, and those within nonturreted dsRNA viruses^{28,39} in the Sedoreovirinae subfamily of the Reoviridae are yet to be established. Because many mechanisms, including but not limited to recombination, duplication and hyper-mutation and overprinting, could have given rise to the conserved untranslated ends among RNA segments of these viruses, it remains a mystery how the different TEC organizations reported here (Fig. 1e) have emerged.



Fig. 5 N-terminal fragments and loops of λ **1 involved in TEC and RNA interactions. a** Surface representation of λ 1₁₋₅, colored in red, orange, yellow, green, and blue respectively, and TEC, colored as in Fig. 4, viewed from inside of ISVP. **b** Ribbon representation of λ 1, TEC, and surrounding RNA duplexes. Main bodies of λ 1A₁₋₅ and λ 1B₁₋₅ represented in light and dark gray, respectively. Loops 581-590 of λ 1A₁₋₅, the N-terminal fragment of λ 1A₁₋₅, and promiscuous loops (PL) 562-571 of λ 1B₁₋₅ are colored as in (**a**). Eye symbols indicate different view directions. **c** Superposition of ribbon representation of λ 1₁₋₅ from the view indicated by the eye symbols in (**b**). **g** Ribbon representation of promiscuous loops of λ 1B₁₋₅ from the view indicated by the eye symbols in (**b**).



Among the atomic models of the aforementioned four MRV proteins with homologs in both ARV and $CPV^{13,23}$, only that of the NTPase $\mu 2$ is built de novo in the current study. Our $\mu 2$ atomic model contains both conserved and non-conserved regions when compared to ARV NTPase VP4 and CPV NTPase VP4. Indeed, despite only 25% sequence identity match

between MRV and ARV NTPases and no recognizable match between MRV and CPV NTPases at the sequence level, the NTPases from MRV, ARV, and CPV all share the same dihedral angle in NTPase domains. The similarities in their NTPase domains are reflected by the matching helices shaping their NTP binding sites (Supplementary Fig. 5d-f). By contrast, these **Fig. 6 Autolytically cleaved penetration protein µ1 with myristoyl groups and interaction loops. a** Density map view of ISVP facing the 2-fold axis. $\lambda 2$ is colored in green, while µ1.Q, S, R, and T trimers are colored in purple, yellow, blue, and red, respectively. White pentagons, triangle, and oval represent the icosahedral 5-fold, 3-fold, and 2-fold symmetry axes, respectively. Black triangle, connecting white symmetry points, represents an asymmetric unit. **b** Ribbon representation of µ1 trimer, with one µ1 monomer colored by domain (domain I, blue; domain II, green; domain III, yellow; domain IV, magenta). **c** Ribbon representation of isolated µ1 monomer, showing autolytic cleavage between residues 42 and 43 in the boxed region. **d** Zoom-in surface representation of µ1 hexagon from (**a**), formed by µ1 S1, S2, Q2, R2, T, and R1 trimers. **e** Zoom-in view of R1's myristoyl group (stick representation) as well as neighboring S1 (ribbon representation) from black box in (**d**). **f** Zoom-in view of Q1's myristoyl group (stick representation) and hydrophobic pocket (ribbon representation), as well as neighboring $\lambda 2$ (ribbon representation) from blue box in (**d**). **g** Flipped view of (**d**), in ribbon representation, highlighting loops 51-61 and 72-96, which are divided by three concentric dashed circles. **h** Zoom-in ribbon representation of R1's loop 72-96 (81-90 unmodeled) and S1's loop 51-61, from green box in (**g**). **i** Zoom-in ribbon representation of S2's loop 72-96 and Q2's loop 51-61, from magenta box in (**g**).



Fig. 7 Hydrogen bonding between symmetry-mismatched receptor-binding protein σ **1 trimer and capping enzyme** λ **2 pentamer. a**, **b** Surface representation of receptor binding protein σ 1, mRNA capping protein λ 2, and surrounding μ 1. **c** Ribbon representation of σ 1, λ 2 and a surrounding μ 1 trimer Q1 as viewed from the top of (**a**). **d** Superposition of the ribbon representation of our in situ λ 2 structure (colored as in **a**) and X-ray λ 2 structure (gray). The stick representation of Q1's myristoyl group and the ribbon representation of the hydrophobic pocket is also indicated. **e** Rotated zoom-in view of the dotted box in (**d**), highlighting the conformational difference of loop 581-588 between in situ and crystal structure. **f** Clipped view of the dotted pentagon in (**c**), detailing the interactions between σ 1 and λ 2. The interacting residues are represented in stick style. **g** Schematic illustration of symmetry mismatch between σ 1 (*C*₃) and λ 2 (*C*₅). The two hydrogen bond areas are indicated in transparent light blue.

homologous proteins are less similar in their CTD and NTD (in MRV, we show this to be an RNA-binding domain in the current study) (Supplementary Fig. 5a–c). Within their NTDs, the tip subdomains of ARV and CPV NTPases were poorly resolved and not modeled^{22,24}, indicating flexibility. The main subdomains of NTDs of MRV and ARV NTPases share similar positions of α -helices (R α 1–4, 10–11), β -sheet and unmodeled region (residue 190–196). However, within these main subdomains, the region that interfaces the NTPase domain is structurally unique to each virus; this region interacts with CSPs in different ways for each virus. Regarding the CTD, MRV μ 2, and ARV VP4 are similar, while CPV VP4 lacks the CTD. MRV NTPase μ 2 likely has additional roles as it possesses two N-terminal Pro residues (P204 and P208, respectively), which are known to support microtubule association and formation of inclusion bodies^{40,41}.

Across the three domains of the MRV NTPase μ 2, both NTD (i.e., RBD) and CTD tend to be more flexible than the NTPase domain, which is highly conserved across different members of the *Spinoreovirinae* subfamily. RBD faces the template RNA exit, while CTD faces the terminal dsRNA entrance (Fig. 3h). We suggest that CTD guides the template strand of the terminal dsRNA into RdRp to initiate RNA transcription and the NTPase domain provides the required energy for this process, thus playing the role of a helicase, while RBD guides the template RNA out of the RdRp active site.

When TECs of ISVP are in the transcription initiation state, most of the N-myristoyl fragments of membrane penetration protein µ1 remain hidden inside the hydrophobic pockets (Fig. 6e). This observation suggests that the trigger for RNA transcription is not the attachment of µ1 to the cell membrane, but rather the removal of protection protein σ 3 from the capsid surface²¹. During our sample purification, most σ 3 has inadvertently detached from most virion particles (Supplementary Fig. 2), exposing membrane penetration protein μ 1 and triggering its automatic cleavage (Fig. 6). The cleaved C-terminal fragments of µ1 could enter inside the capsid¹⁰ thus relaying a message of cleavage (in a real, in vivo infection event, this would mean attachment to, and penetration through, the endosomal membrane, immediately prior to entering the host cytoplasm) to start RNA transcription. It is conceivable that only residual NTPs from the cell culture medium were present in the extracellular medium to "fuel" RNA transcription, halting ISVPs' transcription at the initiation state as observed. Lacking an external protein layer, the single-shelled CPV uses a different triggering mechanism⁴². The binding of SAM and GTP to the turret protein triggers a cascade of allosteric conformational changes, from the turret protein to the CSP, which in turn, changes the conformation of RdRp inside to shift from the meta-stable quiescent to the lower energy level active state^{23,43}. Therefore, beyond the conserved mechanism of RNA transcription within the active site of the ancient hand-shaped core domain of RdRp, the triggering, regulatory, and organizational aspects of the endogenous RNA transcription of these dsRNA viruses have apparently diverged significantly, consistent with the highly mutable nature of RNA genomes and these viruses' need to adapt to their vast host ranges.

Methods

Viral culture and isolation. Mammalian reovirus serotype Dearing 3 (ATCC, VR-824) was propagated in LLC-MK2 derivative cells (ATCC, CCL-7.1). Cells were maintained in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) (ATCC, 30-2003) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Omega Scientific, FB-11), 100 I.U. penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Corning, 30-002-Cl) and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in air atmosphere of >95% humidity. Infection was performed in 18 aliquots of 38 ml culture flasks when cell culture reached 80% confluence. At 3–4 days of post-infection, when cytopathic effect began, supernatant (~700 ml) was collected after centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 20 min to remove cellular debris. The clarified supernatant was centrifuged at 80,000 × g for 1 h to pellet the virion

particles. Virion particle pellets were then resuspended in 10 ml PBS, pH 7.4, loaded onto a double sucrose cushion (50% wt/wt sucrose and 80% wt/wt sucrose, both in PBS, pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h. The band between the two sucrose cushions was collected, diluted 20-fold in PBS, pH 7.4, and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h. The resulting pellet, which consisted mainly of virus particles, was resuspended in 20 μ l of PBS, pH 7.4, evaluated for concentration and particle integrity using negative-stain (2% uranyl acetate) transmission electron microscopy, and subsequently used for cryoEM sample preparation.

cryoEM. For cryoEM grid preparation, 2.5-µl aliquots of the purified MRV sample were applied to a glow discharged Quantifoil R2/1 300-mesh holey carbon grid (Quantifoil, Micro Tools GmbH), manually blotted with filter paper (Whatman grade 1 from GE Healthcare), and plunged into a 60–40 propane–ethane mixture.

The grids were loaded into a Titan Krios electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a Gatan imaging filter (GIF), and cryoEM images were recorded on a post-GIF Gatan K2 Summit direct electron detection camera operated in super-resolution electron-counting mode. The magnification was $\times 130,000$, giving a pixel size of 1.07 Å/pixel at the specimen level. Data collection was facilitated by SerialEM⁴⁴. The dosage rate was set to 56 electrons/Å² on the sample level, and the exposure time for each frame was 0.2 s. Targeted under-focus value was 1.8–2.2 µm. In total, 2032 movies were recorded.

Single-particle icosahedral reconstruction. We followed a workflow in the framework of Relion 3.0 (see ref. 45) to carry out single-particle reconstruction of MRV. Frames in each movie were aligned and averaged with UCSF MotionCor246, generating two motion-corrected micrographs from each movie for distinct usages: a dose-weighted micrograph with high-resolution but low contrast for final reconstruction, and a non-dose weighted micrograph with high contrast but lowresolution for particle picking and estimation of the micrograph's contrast transfer function (CTF). Defocus values of micrographs were determined by CTFFIND4.1 (see ref. ⁴⁷). Micrographs with ice contamination were discarded, and the remaining micrographs were modified using EMAN2 (see ref. 48), to prepare for the subsequent particle picking in Ethan⁴⁹, as detailed in the following: EMAN2 e2proc2d. py tool⁴⁸ was first used to rescale the non-dose weighted micrographs down to bin4, followed by low-pass filtering with frequency cutoff value of 0.2 Å⁻¹. Subsequently, we used Ethan to automatically pick circular particles with a radius of 65 pixels (i.e., 278.2 Å) from the EMAN2-processed micrographs. The Ethan program picked 11,229 particles and identified 43 micrographs with no identifiable particles that were discarded. Subsequently, from the same set of micrographs used in Ethan, we manually picked 12,122 additional particles with the "Manual picking" tool on the graphical user interface (GUI) of Relion (particle diameter = 800 Å). Both automatically and manually-picked particles were combined into a single Relion STAR file for each micrograph. We then extracted these particles using "Particle extraction" tool of the Relion GUI with the following parameters: box size: 1024 pixels, diameter background circle: 944 pixels, rescale size: 256 pixels. The extracted bin4 particles were classified with the "2D classification" tool of Relion GUI with the following parameters: number of classes: 100, regularization parameter: 2, number of iterations: 25, mask diameter: 1000 Å. After 2D classification, 11 "good" class averages exhibiting fine capsid features, comprising 14,465 particles, were manually selected for 3D auto-refine, using "Subset selection" tool on the Relion GUI. Particle images belonging to the selected "good" class averages were subjected to an icosahedral (option I3) refinement using "3D auto-refine" on the Relion GUI, resulting in a 4.3 Å resolution reconstruction. In this icosahedral reconstruction, we observed a faint outer density around the capsid protein µ1. To identify the faint outer density, we used Gaussian filter (width 2) in UCSF Chimera⁵⁰ to generate a low-resolution reconstruction, from which we were able to confirm that the faint densities are contributed by capsid protection protein σ 3. This finding indicates that our 4.3 Å icosahedral reconstruction contains contributions from both ISVP and quiescentstate virions.

Classifying and separating ISVP and virion particles. In order to improve the homogeneity of particle populations, as indicated by the detection of faint $\sigma 3$ density, we attempted to classify and separate the particles into two groups. However, the faint σ 3 density present in the quiescent virion particles is not sufficient to drive a successful separation of the ISVPs and virion particles into different classes. To overcome this challenge, we subtracted density from the particle images to create more prominent differences between the ISVP and virion particles, prior to the 3D classification. The following details the workflow for achieving the separation of virion particles from ISVPs and subsequent final reconstructions (Supplementary Fig. 2). First, we generated capsid volume data for use in the subsequent mask creation step. To create the capsid volume data, we initially used the Volume Eraser function in Chimera to generate a sphere with 250 Å radius. Then, we moved this sphere to the center of the 4.3 Å reconstruction with the following command lines in Chimera: cofr #model_ID_of_4.3 Å reconstruction; move cofr mod #model_ID_of_volume_eraser. Finally, we erased the volume inside the sphere so that the outer ISVP capsid density and the outermost o3 faint density remained in the post-subtraction volume, referred to as capsid volume. Second, we used this capsid volume as the input 3D map to generate a mask, using "Mask creation" tool on the Relion GUI. The output mask, when applied to the

4.3 Å reconstruction map, masked any remaining signal within it as 0. Third, we applied the mask to the particles that were used to build the 4.3 Å reconstruction map, using the "Particle subtraction" tool on the Relion GUI as follows: We used our 4.3 Å icosahedral reconstruction and its corresponding STAR file as "Map to projected" and "Input particles", respectively, and the mask from the previous step as "Mask to apply to this map" to generate the subtracted particles (Supplementary Fig. 2). Finally, the subtracted particles were subjected to a 3D classification step in Relion with icosahedral symmetry (option I3) into three classes, using the core density map as the reference map. (The core density was generated, following the same steps for creating the aforementioned capsid volume, except the volume outside the sphere was erased so that the core of the 4.3 Å icosahedral reconstruction remains.) This 3D classification step generated two identical core 3D class averages, representing the structure of ISVP, from 14,115 extracted particle images and one dissimilar class average, corresponding to the structure of quiescent virion, from 350 extracted particle images (Supplementary Fig. 2). ISVP-representing class averages were separated from quiescent virion class average using "Subset selection" tool on the Relion GUI. Particles belonging to either ISVP or quiescent virion class average were separately subjected to an icosahedral refinement (option I3) in "3D auto-refine" tool on Relion GUI, resulting in 3D reconstructions of ISVP and quiescent virion at resolutions of 4.3 Å and 8.6 Å, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 2). Only the particles in the ISVP group were subjected to further data processing.

Vertex sub-particle reconstruction. We followed a step-wise symmetry relaxation workflow (Supplementary Fig. 3) in the framework of Relion⁴⁵ to carry out asymmetric sub-particle reconstructions. First, the final 4.3 Å ISVP icosahedral reconstruction from above was symmetry-expanded with icosahedral symmetry (option I3), using relion_particle_symmetry_expand command, which generated an output Relion STAR file, containing 60 orientation entries for each ISVP particle. We then sorted these 60 entries for each particle into 12 groups, each group containing 5 entries with the same psi angle, i.e., entries belonging to the same vertex, and subsequently retained only the first of five symmetry-related occurrences in each vertex group in the final output Relion STAR file (using system command "sort -k 6,6 -k 4.1, 4.10 -u STAR file", where column six is _rlnImageName and column 4 is _rlnAnglePsi angle). Then, we extracted vertex subparticles according to the STAR file from above, using the "Particle extraction" tool on Relion GUI with the following parameters: select the sorted STAR file from above as the input for the "Refined particles STAR file", set "re-center refined coordinates" to yes, set the "recenter on - X, Y, Z (pixel)" parameters as 0, 0, 114, set "Particle box size (pixel)" as 400 and "Re-scale size (pixel)" as 200. The output Relion STAR file was subjected to C₅ symmetry expansion using relion_particle_symmetry_expand command. In this resulting STAR file, C5 symmetry-related orientations within each vertex share the same _rlnImageName. Subsequently, we conducted C1 (asymmetric) 3D classification on the aforementioned C5 symmetryexpanded sub-particles in Relion, with a reference map and a reference mask generated using Chimera, as detailed in the following work flow: First, we used "Volume Eraser" tool on Chimera to create and position a sphere on the 4.3 Å ISVP icosahedral reconstruction, according to the recenter coordinates and particle box size from the aforementioned sub-particle extraction process. More specifically, sphere radius of 200 pixels \times 2.14 Å / pixel = 428 Å was moved to the recenter coordinates (0, 0, 114), which is 114 pixels \times 2.14 Å / pixel = 244 Å away from the center of the 4.3 Å ISVP icosahedral reconstruction, along the positive Z axis. Second, the volume outside the aforementioned Volume-eraser sphere of 214 Å radius was erased and the remaining density was saved into a new mrc file. Finally, the new mrc file was rescaled using relion_image_handler command (-rescale_angpix 1.07 -new_box 400) and used as the reference map for the C1 (asymmetric) 3D classification. As for the reference mask, we used the "Volume eraser" tool in UCSF Chimera to position a local spherical mask on the RdRp and NTPase region and finely adjusted the mask size to exclude as much of the capsid volume as possible. The C1 (asymmetric) 3D classification step generated seven class averages, of which five classes displayed clear RdRp λ 3 and NTPase µ2 structural elements. One of the aforementioned five class averages was selected using the "Subset selection" tool of Relion GUI for further processing as detailed in the following: We removed redundant sub-particles in the selected class average by examining the "_rlnImageName" and "_rlnMaxValueProbDistribution" of each sub-particle in the STAR file. More specifically, among the entries with the same "_rlnImageName" (column 6 in STAR file), i.e., within the same vertex, only one entry with the highest "_rlnMaxValueProbDistribution" (column 28 in STAR file) score was retained (using bash command "sort -k 6,6 -k 28.1,28.8 -u STAR file"). This process retained 102,966 sub-particles of good quality. Subsequently, we extracted these sub-particles without rescaling (bin1) using "Particle extraction" tool, fol-lowed by local refinement using "3D auto-refine" tool on Relion GUI, with the same reference map from the aforementioned 3D classification, which generated a 3.3 Å resolution asymmetric reconstruction of vertex sub-particle.

 D_{3d} -symmetry reconstruction of the ISVP core. Under the Relion I3 convention, the XZ plane contains a 5-fold and a 2-fold axis with the Z-axis along the 5-fold axis, resulting in a total of four icosahedral vertices on the plane (two along the Z-axis and the other two away from it). We designated the position of the vertex sub-particle passing through the positive Z-axis as vertex 1 (V1) and the position of its

nearest vertex on the $-\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}$ plane as vertex 2 (V2), as indicated in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Our 3.3 Å resolution asymmetric vertex map is reconstructed from ISVPs that share the same TEC orientations at one vertex. As per our designation rule from the previous paragraph, we designated this vertex as V1. Within this group of V1aligned ISVPs, we sought for those that are also aligned at V2, in an attempt to reconstruct an asymmetric core map. To align the TEC orientation at V2, we followed the workflow as detailed below. First, we extracted V2 sub-particles from our V1-aligned ISVPs using the "Particle extraction" tool on the Relion GUI with the following parameters: select input file as data STAR file of the 3.3 Å asymmetric reconstruction, set "re-center refined coordinates" to yes, set the "recenter on - X, Y, Z (pixel)" parameters as -96, 0, -60, which are the X and Z vector components from V1 to V2, set "Particle box size (pixel)" as 400 and "Re-scale size (pixel)" as 100. The extracted V2 sub-particles (bin4) were subjected to 3D classification with a reference map generated by relion_reconstruct command (relion_reconstruct -i extracted V2 sub-particle.star -o reference map.mrc -ctf), a local spherical mask on the TEC region, and with no applied symmetry. This 3D classification generated three class averages, of which two classes displayed TEC structural density (Supplementary Fig. 3). We selected one of the TEC-displaying classes and removed redundant sub-particles in the selected class data STAR file, as described above. Subsequently, we extracted the bin2 ISVP core particles using the "Particle extraction" tool of Relion GUI with the following parameters: select the above STAR file as the input for "Refined particles STAR file", set "re-center refined coordinates" to yes, set the "recenter on - X, Y, Z (pixel)" parameters as 48, 0, -27, which are the X and Z vector components from V2 to ISVP core center, set "Particle box size (pixel)" as 1024 and "Re-scale size (pixel)" as 512. Finally, we ran relion reconstruct command (relion reconstruct -i extracted particles.star -o output name.mrc -ctf) without any symmetry, which generated a core reconstruction with twelve TECs that are related to each other by D_{3d} symmetry. The twelve TECs were further divided into four groups, three in each group, according to their positions relative to the threefold axis: North Pole, Northern Tropical, Southern Tropical, and the South Pole.

Decoupling D_{3d} **symmetry for asymmetric (C₁) reconstruction**. By adjusting the volume threshold of core reconstruction in Chimera, we discovered a cylinder "inner core", within the layers that embed twelve TECs, with a 3-fold axis of icosahedron symmetry along the centers of the cylinder bases, i.e., our core reconstruction contains D_{3d} symmetry. Our next objective was to decouple D_{3d} symmetry of the core and generate an asymmetric reconstruction to further examine the TECs at all vertices.

In order to decouple D_{3d} symmetry of the ISVP core, we followed the work flow as described below. First, we generated a new STAR file (saved as rotated_core.star) that contains the rotated orientation parameters of the core particles so that the 3fold axis is on the Z-axis. To accomplish this, we used relion_rotate_particles.py script from Localized Reconstruction⁵¹ using the vector along the 3-fold axis (75.87, -211.3, 26.89) and data STAR file of the D_{3d} core reconstruction (using the command line "python localrec-master/scripts/relion_rotate_particles.py -vector 75.87, -211.3, 26.89 -output rotated_core.star data.star"). Second, we generated a new rotated core map using rotated_core.star as the input of relion_reconstruct command (using the command line " relion_reconstruct -i rotated_core.star -o rotated_core.mrc -ctf"). The rotated_core.star was D_{3d} symmetry expanded using relion_particle_symmetry_expand command. The symmetry-expanded output STAR file was then subjected to 3D classification without any symmetry (C_1) , with the reference map (rotated_core.mrc) and a solvent mask. EMAN2_data python script was used to create the mask, such that the region excluding the core layer embedding twelve TECs was set to zero. This 3D classification step generated fifteen class averages, of which six classes showed reasonable and D_{3d} symmetryrelated core structures. The core structure had two vacant vertices, exhibiting pseudo-D_{3d} symmetry among the remaining ten TECs. Subsequent 3D autorefinement of one of six classes obtained a better resolution.

Atomic model building and model refinement. For atomic modeling, we followed an established protocol⁵² described in the followings. Briefly, we first fitted the existing crystal structures of MRV RdRp (PDB ID: 1MUK) and the cryoEMderived model of ARV NTPase VP4 (PDB ID: 6M99) into our cryoEM density map of vertex, and identified the regions in the map that did not align well or were not modeled in the existing structures. MRV NTPase µ2 shares only 27% of sequence identity with ARV NTPase VP4, consistent with the observation that these two NTPases align only at some α-helix regions. As a result, MRV NTPase µ2 had to be modeled de novo. For other proteins, we first fitted the existing crystal structure into our cryoEM map and refined the fitted structure with the real space refinement tool in Phenix⁵³. We then manually built atomic models from the regions that did not align well, using Coot de novo⁵⁴ as described in the following. First, we turned on the calculated skeleton using the "Map Skeleton" tool. We used "Ca Baton Mode" tool in Coot to create Baton Atom by placing the Ca at the baton tip one by one, from N-terminus to the C-terminus along the map skeleton. Second, we converted baton atoms (i.e., Ca positions) into poly-alanine, main-chain model using the Coot's "Ca Zone to Mainchain" tool. Third, we mutated alanine residues to the specific amino acid residues of the MRV proteins with Coot's "Mutate Residue Range" tool by following the Ca density bumps and bulky side chain

densities (such as those of Tyr and Trp). Last, we performed local and global model refinements in PHENIX⁵³. Poorly-fitting residues were manually adjusted using the Rotate/Translate, Rotamer Fit, and Real Space Refine Zone tools in Coot. Models were further refined using PHENIX's real space refinement tool⁵³.

Modeling of dsRNA. We generated a 7-bp ideal type A dsRNA model, using the "ideal DNA/RNA" tool in Coot, and fitted this ideal dsRNA model to the center region of the dsRNA density in the 3.3 Å resolution sub-particle reconstruction. Then, we extended from both ends of the ideal dsRNA model with Rosetta's custom functionalities⁵⁵.

Model validation and visualization. The quality of our final protein models was assessed, based on three areas: model geometry, fit to the density map, and agreement with the Ramachandran plot. Models were refined with PHENIX⁵³. Electrostatics map was generated by PDB2PQR⁵⁶ and APBS⁵⁷. Figures and movies were generated using UCSF Chimera⁵⁰ and Chimera X⁵⁸.

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

Data availability

The cryoEM density maps have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank under accession codes EMD-31183 (C_1 Vertex sub-particle with TEC and λ 1), EMD-31184 (C_1 Vertex sub-particle with µ1 and λ 2), EMD-31187 (Asymmetric ISVP), and EMD-31188 (Icosahedral virion). The atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession codes 7ELH (C_1 Vertex sub-particle with TEC and λ 1) and 7ELL (C_1 Vertex sub-particle with µ1 and λ 2). Other data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 3 February 2021; Accepted: 17 June 2021; Published online: 07 July 2021

References

- 1. Eledge, M. R., Zita, M. D. & Boehme, K. W. Reovirus: friend and foe. *Curr. Clin. Microbiol. Rep.* 6, 132–138 (2019).
- Nibert, M. L. in: Reoviruses I: Structure, Proteins, and Genetics (eds. Tyler, K. L. & Oldstone, M. B. A.) (Springer, 1998).
- Vidal, L. et al. A phase I study of intravenous oncolytic reovirus type 3 Dearing in patients with advanced cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 14, 7127–7137 (2008).
- Gupta-Saraf, P. & Miller, C. L. HIF-1alpha downregulation and apoptosis in hypoxic prostate tumor cells infected with oncolytic mammalian orthoreovirus. *Oncotarget* 5, 561–574 (2014).
- Mertens, P. P. C., Rao, S., Zhou, Z. H. in Virus Taxonomy, VIIIth Report of the ICTV (eds. Fauquet C. M. et al.) (Elsevier/Academic Press, 2004).
- Tate, J. E. et al. 2008 estimate of worldwide rotavirus-associated mortality in children younger than 5 years before the introduction of universal rotavirus vaccination programmes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 12, 136–141 (2012).
- 7. Day, J. M. The diversity of the orthoreoviruses: molecular taxonomy and phylogentic divides. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **9**, 390–400 (2009).
- Hill, C. L. et al. The structure of a cypovirus and the functional organization of dsRNA viruses. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 6, 565–568 (1999).
- Zhang, H. et al. Visualization of protein-RNA interactions in cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus. J. Virol. 73, 1624–1629 (1999).
- Nibert, M. L. & Fields, B. N. A carboxy-terminal fragment of protein mu 1/mu 1C is present in infectious subvirion particles of mammalian reoviruses and is proposed to have a role in penetration. J. Virol. 66, 6408–6418 (1992).
- 11. Chandran, K. & Nibert, M. L. Animal cell invasion by a large nonenveloped virus: reovirus delivers the goods. *Trends Microbiol.* **11**, 374–382 (2003).
- Shatkin, A. J., Sipe, J. D. & Loh, P. Separation of ten reovirus genome segments by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. J. Virol. 2, 986–991 (1968).
- Reinisch, K. M., Nibert, M. L. & Harrison, S. C. Structure of the reovirus core at 3.6 A resolution. *Nature* 404, 960–967 (2000).
- Liemann, S., Chandran, K., Baker, T. S., Nibert, M. L. & Harrison, S. C. Structure of the reovirus membrane-penetration protein, μ1, in a complex with its protector protein, σ3. *Cell* **108**, 283–295 (2002).
- Dietrich, M. H. et al. Structural and functional features of the reovirus sigmal tail. J. Virol. 92, e00336–18 (2018).
- Tao, Y., Farsetta, D. L., Nibert, M. L. & Harrison, S. C. RNA synthesis in a cage —structural studies of reovirus polymerase λ3. *Cell* 111, 733–745 (2002).
- 17. Dryden, K. A. et al. Early steps in reovirus infection are associated with dramatic changes in supramolecular structure and protein conformation:

analysis of virions and subviral particles by cryoelectron microscopy and image reconstruction. J. Cell Biol. 122, 1023-1041 (1993).

- Zhang, X., Walker, S. B., Chipman, P. R., Nibert, M. L. & Baker, T. S. Reovirus polymerase lambda 3 localized by cryo-electron microscopy of virions at a resolution of 7.6 A. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10, 1011–1018 (2003).
- Parker, J. S., Broering, T. J., Kim, J., Higgins, D. E. & Nibert, M. L. Reovirus core protein mu2 determines the filamentous morphology of viral inclusion bodies by interacting with and stabilizing microtubules. *J. Virol.* 76, 4483–4496 (2002).
- Kobayashi, T., Ooms, L. S., Chappell, J. D. & Dermody, T. S. Identification of functional domains in reovirus replication proteins muNS and mu2. *J. Virol.* 83, 2892–2906 (2009).
- 21. Jane-Valbuena, J. et al. Reovirus virion-like particles obtained by recoating infectious subvirion particles with baculovirus-expressed sigma3 protein: an approach for analyzing sigma3 functions during virus entry. *J. Virol.* **73**, 2963–2973 (1999).
- Zhang, X. et al. In situ structures of the segmented genome and RNA polymerase complex inside a dsRNA virus. *Nature* 527, 531–534 (2015).
- Cui, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhou, K., Sun, J. & Zhou, Z. H. Conservative transcription in three steps visualized in a double-stranded RNA virus. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 26, 1023–1034 (2019).
- Ding, K., Nguyen, L., Zhou, Z. H. In situ structures of the polymerase complex and RNA genome show how aquareovirus transcription machineries respond to uncoating. *J. Virol.* 92, e00774–18 (2018).
- Kaelber, J. T., Jiang, W., Weaver, S. C., Auguste, A. J. & Chiu, W. Arrangement of the polymerase complexes inside a nine-segmented dsRNA virus. *Structure* 28, 604–612 (2020). e603.
- Kim, J., Parker, J. S., Murray, K. E. & Nibert, M. L. Nucleoside and RNA triphosphatase activities of orthoreovirus transcriptase cofactor mu2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 4394–4403 (2004).
- Durniak, K. J., Bailey, S. & Steitz, T. A. The structure of a transcribing T7 RNA polymerase in transition from initiation to elongation. *Science* 322, 553–557 (2008).
- Ding, K. et al. In situ structures of rotavirus polymerase in action and mechanism of mRNA transcription and release. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 2216 (2019).
- McDonald, S. M. & Patton, J. T. Rotavirus VP2 core shell regions critical for viral polymerase activation. J. Virol. 85, 3095–3105 (2011).
- Tortorici, M. A., Broering, T. J., Nibert, M. L. & Patton, J. T. Template recognition and formation of initiation complexes by the replicase of a segmented double-stranded RNA virus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 32673–32682 (2003).
- Zhang, X. et al. Features of reovirus outer capsid protein mul revealed by electron cryomicroscopy and image reconstruction of the virion at 7.0 Angstrom resolution. *Structure* 13, 1545–1557 (2005).
- Zhang, X., Jin, L., Fang, Q., Hui, W. H. & Zhou, Z. H. 3.3 A cryo-EM structure of a nonenveloped virus reveals a priming mechanism for cell entry. *Cell* 141, 472–482 (2010).
- Fujii-Kawata, I., Miura, K.-I. & Fuke, M. Segments of genome of viruses containing double-stranded ribonucleic acid. J. Mol. Biol. 51, 247–253 (1970).
- Subramanian, K., McPhillips, T. H. & Samal, S. K. Characterization of the polypeptides and determination of genome coding assignments of an aquareovirus. *Virology* 205, 75–81 (1994).
- Fang, Q. et al. Sequence of genome segments 1, 2, and 3 of the grass carp reovirus (Genus Aquareovirus, family Reoviridae). *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 274, 762–766 (2000).
- Mohd Jaafar, F. et al. Complete characterisation of the American grass carp reovirus genome (genus Aquareovirus: family Reoviridae) reveals an evolutionary link between aquareoviruses and coltiviruses. *Virology* 373, 310–321 (2008).
- Zhou, Z. H. In Segmented Double-Stranded RNA Viruses: Structure and Molecular Biology (ed. Patton, J. T.) (Caister Academic Press, 2008).
- Noad, L., Shou, J., Coombs, K. M. & Duncan, R. Sequences of avian reovirus M1, M2 and M3 genes and predicted structure/function of the encoded mu proteins. *Virus Res.* 116, 45–57 (2006).
- He, Y. et al. In situ structures of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inside bluetongue virus before and after uncoating. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 16535–16540 (2019).
- Broering, T. J., Parker, J. S., Joyce, P. L., Kim, J. & Nibert, M. L. Mammalian reovirus nonstructural protein microNS forms large inclusions and colocalizes with reovirus microtubule-associated protein micro2 in transfected cells. *J. Virol.* **76**, 8285–8297 (2002).
- Mbisa, J. L., Becker, M. M., Zou, S., Dermody, T. S. & Brown, E. G. Reovirus mu2 protein determines strain-specific differences in the rate of viral inclusion formation in L929 cells. *Virology* 272, 16–26 (2000).
- Furuichi, Y. "Methylation-coupled" transcription by virus-associated transcriptase of cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus containing double-stranded RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 1, 809–822 (1974).

ARTICLE

- 43. Yu, X., Jiang, J., Sun, J. & Zhou, Z. H. A putative ATPase mediates RNA transcription and capping in a dsRNA virus. *Elife* 4, e07901 (2015).
- Mastronarde, D. N. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements. J. Struct. Biol. 152, 36–51 (2005).
- Scheres, S. H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure determination. J. Struct. Biol. 180, 519–530 (2012).
- Zheng, S. Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 14, 331–332 (2017).
- Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs. J. Struct. Biol. 192, 216–221 (2015).
- Tang, G. et al. EMAN2: an extensible image processing suite for electron microscopy. J. Struct. Biol. 157, 38–46 (2007).
- Kivioja, T., Ravantti, J., Verkhovsky, A., Ukkonen, E. & Bamford, D. Local average intensity-based method for identifying spherical particles in electron micrographs. *J. Struct. Biol.* 131, 126–134 (2000).
- 50. Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25, 1605–1612 (2004).
- Liu, Y. T., Jih, J., Dai, X., Bi, G. Q. & Zhou, Z. H. Cryo-EM structures of herpes simplex virus type 1 portal vertex and packaged genome. *Nature* 570, 257–261 (2019).
- 52. Yu, I. et al. Building atomic models based on near atomic resolution cryoEM maps with existing tools. J. Struct. Biol. 204, 313–318 (2018).
- 53. Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for
- macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 66, 213–221 (2010).
 54. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 60, 2126–2132 (2004).
- DiMaio, F. et al. Atomic-accuracy models from 4.5-A cryo-electron microscopy data with density-guided iterative local refinement. *Nat. Methods* 12, 361–365 (2015).
- Dolinsky, T. J., Nielsen, J. E., McCammon, J. A. & Baker, N. A. PDB2PQR: an automated pipeline for the setup of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, W665–W667 (2004).
- Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J. & McCammon, J. A. Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 10037–10041 (2001).
- Goddard, T. D. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci.* 27, 14–25 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We thank Yanxiang Cui for advice in both cryoEM and sub-particle reconstruction, Kang Zhou for assistance in cryoEM, and Titania Nguyen and Xiaoguo Liu for editorial assistance. This work was supported in part by grants from the National Key Research and Development Project of China (2016YFA0400900 to L.W.) and the US National Institutes of Health (AI094386 and GM071940 to Z.H.Z.). We acknowledge the use of instruments at the Electron Imaging Center for Nanomachines supported by UCLA and by instrumentation grants from NIH (1S10RR23057, 1S10OD018111 and U24GM116792), NSF (DBI-1338135 and DMR-1548924). As a visiting student at UCLA, M.P. was partially supported by a fellowship from China Scholarship Council (201804910804).

Author contributions

Z.H.Z. and M.P. conceived the project; M.P. and A.L.A. prepared sample; A.L.A. made cryoEM grids; M.P. performed cryoEM imaging, determined the structures and built the atomic models; Z.H.Z., M.P., J.S.K., and A.L.A. interpreted the results and wrote the paper; Z.H.Z. supervised the research; L.W. and C.F. co-supervised M.P. while in China; all authors reviewed and approved the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24455-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.H.Z.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Simon Jenni and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021