

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

EXCHANGE PROCESSES AND $1S_{0n-p}$ SCATTERING LENGTH

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3tm7b12p>

Authors

Shield, E.
Slobodrian, R.J.

Publication Date

1965-03-10

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

EXCHANGE PROCESSES AND 1S_0 n-p SCATTERING LENGTH

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted for publication in the
Physics Review Letters.

UCRL-11985

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California
AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

EXCHANGE PROCESSES AND 1S_0 n-p SCATTERING LENGTH

E. Shield and R. J. Slobodrian

March 10, 1965

EXCHANGE PROCESSES AND 1S_0 n-p SCATTERING LENGTH*

E. Shield and R. J. Slobodrian

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

March 10, 1965

The experiments related with the n-p interaction cross section can be classified as follows:¹ 1) Measurements of the total cross section; 2) Measurements of differential cross sections (angular distributions) from 3 MeV on. The energy region between 0 and 3 MeV has been studied only through experiments of type 1, due to the obvious experimental difficulties involved in experiments of type 2. The radiative capture cross section is of the order of 1.5% of the total cross section at zero energy and drops with a $1/v$ law, and therefore the total cross section is essentially a measure of the elastic cross section. The information on p-p interaction is obtained in the same energy region through experiments of type 2, because of the experimental difficulties in performing experiments of type 1, and also because of problems intrinsic to the Coulomb interaction in the limit of zero energy. It is possible that the scattering parameters extracted for the n-p interaction at low energies, depend on implicit assumptions about the processes that take place during the interaction.

It is well known that when the n-p scattering parameters were first obtained, there was no question about the absolute distinguishability of neutron and proton. It can then be assumed safely that the interaction can be described by a wave equation with a short range potential $V = V(r)$ and a wave function $\psi(\vec{r})$ without any defined symmetry, satisfying Schrödinger's equation

$$[T + V(r)] \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r})$$

for 1S_0 -wave scattering $\psi(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k}_i \cdot \vec{r}} + \frac{1}{k} e^{i\delta_0} \sin \delta_0 \frac{e^{i\vec{k}_f \cdot \vec{r}}}{r}$

$$\frac{\vec{k}_i}{|\vec{k}_i|} \equiv \vec{z} \text{ axis} \quad |\vec{k}_i| \equiv |\vec{k}_f|$$

so that $f(\theta) = \frac{1}{k} e^{i\delta_0} \sin \delta_0$ and $\sigma(\theta) = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sin^2 \delta_0$

Nevertheless, when higher energy angular distributions became available it was observed that n-p scattering between 10 and 100 MeV exhibited a persistent symmetry around 90° CM, similar to the p-p scattering symmetry. The "explanation" of this striking phenomenon of the interaction of distinguishable particles, which nevertheless exhibits features of the scattering of indistinguishable particles, was attributed to a Serber² "force" and to a potential that can be written as

$$V = V(r) (\alpha + \beta M_{12})$$

M_{12} is the Majorana exchange operator and $\alpha + \beta = 1$. The n-p scattering symmetry requires $\alpha \simeq \beta \simeq 1/2$. This explanation has the well known property of eliminating the odd waves. The Schrödinger equation would be

$$\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{r}) + V(r) \frac{1}{2} [\psi(\vec{r}) + \psi(-\vec{r})] = E' \psi(\vec{r}) \quad (1)$$

It is meaningless as it stands, but it can be reduced to a pair of equivalent equations writing

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} [\psi(\vec{r}) + \psi(-\vec{r})] + \frac{1}{2} [\psi(\vec{r}) - \psi(-\vec{r})] = \Phi_S + \Phi_A \quad (2)$$

Substituting into (1) we can separate the equation into the two following equations

$$[T + V(r)] \Phi_S = E \Phi_S \quad (3)$$

$$T \Phi_A = E \Phi_A \quad (4)$$

The sum of (3) and (4) regenerates (1). The conclusion is also well known, the scattering potential affects only even waves, and odd waves remain in the beam. The scattering amplitude corresponding to Φ_S is of course

$$\hat{f}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}[f(\theta) + f(\pi - \theta)] \quad (5)$$

and therefore we obtain the same scattering amplitude for S-wave scattering as if the scattering potential were simply $V = V(r)$, without the exchange operator. The choice $\alpha \simeq \beta \simeq 1/2$ is indicated by the symmetry of the experimental angular distributions. It is also apparent that it involves equal probabilities for no exchange and for exchange when any pair n-p interacts. If this is the case it would be impossible to attribute certainty to the nature of an outgoing nucleon (neutron or proton) throughout the interaction. What are the consequences of a loss of identity of the interacting nucleons? Is there a significant difference between this loss of identity and the indistinguishability of identical particles? Quoting Landau and Lifschitz³ "in quantum mechanics, identical particles entirely lose their individuality," and we might say that what holds for identical particles, holds for the unidentifiable pair n-p, if an exchange process can occur during the interaction. Of course, with this assumption we obtain a different normalization of the wave function, and of the scattering amplitudes, in agreement with the classical limit,⁴ whereas the current Serber force treatment does not agree with it.

In the general case $\alpha \neq \beta$ and then the Schrödinger equation is

$$[T + V(r) (\alpha + \beta M_{12})] \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r}) \quad (6)$$

An equation of type (6) requires eigenfunctions of well defined symmetry, as

$$\psi_S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\psi(\vec{r}) + \psi(-\vec{r})] \quad (7)$$

$$\psi_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\psi(\vec{r}) - \psi(-\vec{r})] \quad (8)$$

the normalization is consistent with the analogy to the case of identical particles. The Eq. (6) gives rise to the following equations through the use of (7) and (8)

$$[T + V(r)] \psi_S = E \psi_S \quad (9)$$

and

$$[T + V(r) (\alpha-\beta)] \psi_A = E' \psi_A$$

Obviously $\psi_{S,A} = \sqrt{2} \Phi_{S,A}$. The scattering amplitude corresponding to ψ_S in

$$\hat{f}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [f(\theta) + f(\pi - \theta)] \quad (10)$$

Let us consider classically, a charge exchange scattering process particles distinguishable only by their charges, and assume that there are equal amplitudes for the direct and exchange processes. The cross-section is

$$\hat{\sigma}(\theta) = \frac{\sigma(\theta)}{2} + \frac{\sigma(\pi-\theta)}{2} \quad (11)$$

Half of the particles are scattered "directly" through θ (compared to the same dynamical process without exchange), while half are scattered through θ with an exchange of the "label" charge. Therefore, classically, we would consider exchange (spin or charge) as a non-dynamical process that simply

exchanges the labels on incoming and target particles. However, quantum mechanically, there is, of course, interference of the amplitudes for the direct and exchange processes. These interference terms vanish in the classical limit. The normalization of the scattering amplitude which gives (11) in the limit that interference terms vanish is precisely (10).

The consequences of the alternate normalization obtained through our assumption is straightforward, the scattering length of the 1S_0 n-p interaction, analog to the s-wave p-p interaction, becomes

$$a_S^{np} = \frac{-23.7}{\sqrt{2}} f = -16.7 f$$

in excellent agreement with the p-p scattering length $a_S^{pp} = -17$ (corrected for Coulomb effects) and with the most recent value for the n-n scattering length $a_S^{nn} = -16.4 f$.⁵

REFERENCES

*This work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. A summary of nucleon-nucleon cross sections above 10 MeV is presented by Wilmot N. Hess, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30, 368 (1958).
2. J. Hadley, E. Kelly, C. Leith, E. Segrè, C. Wiegand, and H. York, Phys. Rev. 75, 351 (1949); Richard S. Christian and Edward W. Hart, Phys. Rev. 77, 441 (1950).
3. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, Quantum Mechanics (Addison Wesley Pub. Co., Reading, Mass. 1958), Chap. IX, p. 204
4. Leonard I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1949) Chap. IX, p. 222.
5. R. P. Haddock, R. M. Salter, Jr., M. Zeller, J. B. Czirr and D. R. Nygren, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 318 (1965).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

