

UC Agriculture & Natural Resources Farm

Title

Drought Tip: Crop Salt Tolerance

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3q76x30w>

Author

Grattan, Stephen R

Publication Date

2016-03-01

DOI

10.3733/ucanr.8562

Peer reviewed

DROUGHT TIP

Crop Salt Tolerance

Soil salinity is an important stress affecting irrigated crops in many areas of California. This stress is often exacerbated during drought years. Over time, salts can accumulate in the soils as crops extract water, leaving the salts behind in the root zone. Without sufficient leaching, accumulated salts will eventually reach a level that will damage crops. Even if irrigation water is low in salinity, salts will eventually build up in the soil to damaging levels without sufficient leaching from rainfall or excess irrigation water.

Under drought conditions, high-quality surface water supplies may not be available in sufficient quantities to meet crop needs and may be supplemented or completely replaced with poorer-quality ground or surface water. Ironically, irrigation water higher in salinity will require more water in order to maintain the same level of soil salinity; that is, poorer-quality water requires more leaching. But regardless of the quality of the water applied, deficit irrigation combined with poor quality water will exaggerate the salinity problem.

All soils and irrigation water contains dissolved salts, but these salts vary in both concentration and composition. The major dissolved salts are the cations sodium (Na^+), calcium (Ca^{2+}), and magnesium (Mg^{2+}), and the anions chloride (Cl^-), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}), and bicarbonate (HCO_3^-). Typically, groundwater has naturally higher concentrations of these constituents, and some soils have higher salinity than others. These dissolved salts can be growth-limiting when concentrations in the root zone exceed critical levels, as described below.

Salinity is best characterized by the electrical conductivity (EC) of the irrigation water (EC_w) or the EC of the saturated soil paste (EC_e); the higher the dissolved salt concentration, the higher the EC. The units of EC are expressed in dS/m or mmho/cm where $1 \text{ dS/m} = 1 \text{ mmho/cm}$. For EC values less than 5 dS/m , $1 \text{ dS/m} = 640 \text{ mg/L}$ total dissolved solids (TDS) and $1 \text{ dS/m} = \text{about } 800 \text{ mg/L}$ for EC values above 8 dS/m .

STEPHEN R. GRATTAN,
Cooperative Extension Plant-
Water Specialist, University of
California, Davis



ECe is an important parameter because this value is used to characterize crop salt tolerance. All crops can tolerate a certain level of salts up to a critical threshold (ECt), beyond which yields decline in a linear fashion. Critical ECt values vary among crops, as do the rates of yield decline with increasing soil salinity (i.e., the slope of yield decline) beyond this threshold.

Crop Tolerance to Salinity and Specific Ions

Crops respond not only to the total concentration of dissolved salts in the water but also to specific constituents (or ions) as well. Responses to salinity are categorized as either osmotic or specific ion effects. Osmotic effects occur when the concentration of salt in the soil solution, with no reference to the kind of salts present, is excessive to optimal production or crop quality. Therefore, excess fertilizer salts can suppress crop growth just as table salt (NaCl) would. Specific ion effects, on the other hand, occur when chloride (Cl⁻), sodium (Na⁺) and/or boron (B) accumulate in the plant and cause specific damage or visual injury (high concentrations of sodium can also cause nutritional imbalances in the plant). Although difficult to quantify, osmotic effects are thought to be the dominant growth-suppressing effect in annual crops, while specific ion effects can become the dominant growth-suppressing effect in tree and vine crops. Unfortunately, long-term studies on salt damage to tree crops are lacking. This publication addresses crop damage due to osmotic effects.

Crop Salt Tolerance

The most common whole-plant response to salt stress is a general stunting of growth. This is an osmotic effect. As salt concentration in the root zone increases above a threshold level (ECt), both the growth rate and ultimate yield of the crop progressively decrease. The salt-stressed plant is smaller than non-stressed plants but otherwise appears healthy unless salinity is extreme (see fig. 1). However, the threshold and the rate of growth reduction vary widely among different crop species. In a field of annual crops, the canopy may take on a wave-like appearance, and barren spots may be observed. This is largely due to the variability of soil salinity across the field.



Figure 1. Osmotic effects showing decreased growth of celery as EC of the added salts in the soil water increases from 0 to 12 dS/m.
Photo: Leland Francois.

Crop salt tolerance is based on the crop's ability to maintain yield and quality with increased salinity. The salt tolerance of crops can be described as a function of yield decline across a range of salt concentrations expressed as the average root zone salinity (fig. 2). Salt tolerance can be adequately characterized on the basis of two parameters: a "threshold" parameter (ECt), which is the maximum root zone salinity that the crop can tolerate above which yields decline, and the "slope," which describes the rate by which yields decline with increased soil salinity. Salt-sensitive plants have low thresholds and steep slopes, while salt-tolerant plants have higher thresholds and lower slopes.

Where data are available, the potential yield function for a particular crop can be plotted in a similar manner to the lines grafted on figure 2. While thresholds (ECt) and slopes vary among crops, most crop-specific lines fall in between the tolerance boundary lines in figure 2. These lines give their general salt

tolerance ranking as salt sensitive, moderately salt sensitive, moderately salt tolerant or salt tolerant. For example, a crop with a yield threshold (ECt) of 2.0 dS/m and yield of 50% at 7 dS/m would be classified as moderately salt sensitive.

Table 1 provides the tolerance rankings of selected crops. The crop tolerance ranking from table 1 can be used with figure 2 to get a general estimate of the crop's yield potential for a given root zone salinity (ECe). Note that most tree and vine crops are categorized as sensitive or moderately sensitive. Many grass and forage crops are categorized as moderately tolerant to tolerant. For more specific information on yield potentials from individual crops, see the UC ANR Drought Tips *Water Quality Guidelines for Vegetable and Agronomic Crops* (UC ANR Publication 8558, <http://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/Details.aspx?itemNo=8558>) and *Water Quality Guidelines for Trees and Vines* (in process).

Monitoring and Management

- **Minimize water stress.** During drought years, do not impose water stress (i.e., under-irrigation) when a salinity stress is present. The combination of the stresses can be devastating for the crop.
- **Monitor the soil.** Monitor the soil root zone for changes in salinity and boron concentrations. Corrective action will be required if there is a steady increase over time.
- **Leach soil.** If the salinity in the root zone is approaching yield-reducing levels, more irrigation water is needed to leach the salts from the root zone. Typically, leaching over the winter rainy season is generally effective at leaching salts when the evaporative demand is very low and the grower can take advantage of the non-saline rainwater and smaller amounts of high-quality water.

References

Grieve, C. M., S. R. Grattan, and E. V. Maas. 2012. Plant salt tolerance. In W. W. Wallender and K. K. Tanji, eds., *Agricultural salinity assessment and management*. 2nd ed. ASCE Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice no. 71. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers. 405–459.




Figure 2. Relative crop yield (or yield potential) as a function of average root zone salinity (ECe, dS/m) grouped according relative tolerance or sensitivity to salinity. *Source:* Adapted from Maas and Grattan 1999; Grieve et al. 2012).

Läuchli, A., and S. R. Grattan. 2007. Plant growth and development under salinity stress. In M. A. Jenks, P. A. Hasegawa, and S. M. Jain, eds., *Advances in molecular breeding towards salinity and drought tolerance*. Dordrecht: Springer-Verlag. 1–31.

Maas, E. V., and S. R. Grattan. 1999. Crop yields as affected by salinity. In R. W. Skaggs and J. van Schilfhaarde, eds., *Agricultural drainage*. Agronomy Monograph 38. Madison, WI: ASA, CSSA, SSSA. 55–108.

Table 1. Salt tolerance ratings of various crops

Herbaceous crops			
Sensitive	Moderately sensitive	Moderately tolerant	Tolerant
rice, paddy	artichoke, Jerusalem	<i>Lesquerella</i> spp.	barley
sesame	chick pea	Roselle	canola
	corn	safflower	cotton
	crambe	sorghum	guar
	flax	soybean	kenaf
	peanut	sunflower	millet, channel
	sugarcane	wheat	oats
			rye
			sugarbeet
			triticale
			wheat, semidwarf
			wheat, durum
Grasses and forage crops			
Sensitive	Moderately sensitive	Moderately tolerant	Tolerant
gram, black or Urd bean	alfalfa	barley (forage)	alkaligrass, Nuttall
pea, pigeon	bentgrass, creeping	brome (mountain)	alkali sacaton
	bluestem, Angleton	brome (smooth)	bermudagrass
	broadbean	canarygrass, reed	kallargrass
	buffelgrass	clover (Hubam, sweet)	kikuyugrass
	burnet	dhaincha	oats (forage)
	clover (alsike, berseem, ladino, Persian, red, strawberry, white Dutch)	fescue [tall, meadow (<i>Festuca</i>)]	rye (forage)
	clover (Berseem, Persian, red, strawberry)	guinea grass	salt grass, desert
		hardinggrass	wheatgrass, fairway crested
	corn (forage)	rape (forage)	wheatgrass, tall
	cowpea (forage)	recuegrass	wildrye, Altai
	dallisgrass	rhodesgrass	wildrye, Russian
	foxtail, meadow (<i>Alopecurus</i>)	ryegrass (Italian, perennial, Wimmera)	
	glycine	sudangrass	
	grama, blue	trefoil, narrowleaf birdsfoot	
	lablab bean	wheat (forage)	
	lovegrass	wheat, durum (forage)	
	milkvetch, Cicer	wheatgrass, standard crested	
	millet, Foxtail	wheatgrass, intermediate	
	oatgrass, tall	wheatgrass, slender	
	orchardgrass	wheatgrass, slender	
	panicum, blue	wheatgrass, western	
	sesbania	wildrye, beardless	
	siratro	wildrye, Canadian	
	sphaerophysa		
	timothy		
	trefoil, big		
	trefoil, broadleaf birdsfoot		
	vetch		
Vegetable and fruit crops			
Sensitive	Moderately sensitive	Moderately tolerant	Tolerant
bean (common, mung)	cassava	artichoke	Asparagus
carrot	brussels sprouts	bean, lima	Swiss chard
fennel	cabbage	beet, red	
gram, black or Urd bean	cauliflower	broccoli	
onion (bulb)	corn, sweet	celery	
parsnip	cucumber	cowpea	
pigeon pea	eggplant	purslane	

(continued)

Table 1. Salt tolerance ratings of various crops (continued)

Sensitive	Moderately sensitive	Moderately tolerant	Tolerant
strawberry	garlic	squash, zucchini	
	kale	turnip (greens)	
	kohlrabi	winged bean	
	lettuce		
	muskmelon		
	okra		
	onion (seed)		
	pea		
	pepper		
	potato		
	pumpkin		
	radish		
	spinach		
	squash, scallop		
	sweet potato		
	teparty bean		
	tomato		
	tomato, cherry		
	turnip		
	watermelon		
Woody crops			
Sensitive	Moderately sensitive	Moderately tolerant	Tolerant
almond	castor bean	coconut	date palm
apple	grape	fig	Guayule
apricot	macadamia	guava	jambolan plum
avocado	papaya	jujube, Indian	Jojoba
banana	pecan	olive	natal plum
blackberry	pomegranate	pineapple	Tamarugo
boysenberry	plum/prune	pistachio	
cherimoya	pomegranate	scarlet wisteria	
cherry (sweet, sand)	popinac, white		
currant			
gooseberry			
grapefruit			
lemon			
lime			
loquat			
mandarin orange; tangerine			
mango			
orange			
passion fruit			
peach			
pear			
persimmon			
pummelo			
raspberry			
rose apple			
sapote, white			
walnut			

Source: Maas and Grattan 1999; Grieve et al. 2012.

Note: These values serve only as a guideline to relative tolerances among crops. Absolute tolerances depend on climate, soil conditions, and cultural practices. The data are applicable when rootstocks are used that do not accumulate Na⁺ or Cl⁻ rapidly or when these ions do not predominate in the soil.



For More Information

This publication was written and produced by the University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources under agreement with the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). It was part of a publication series developed as a cooperative effort by the California DWR; University of California; USDA Drought Response Office and Soil Conservation Service; and the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region.

To order or obtain ANR publications and other products, visit the ANR Communication Services online catalog at <http://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/> or phone 1-800-994-8849. You can also place orders by mail or FAX, or request a printed catalog of our products from

University of California
Agriculture and Natural Resources
Communication Services
1301 S. 46th Street
Building 478 - MC 3580
Richmond, CA 94804-4600
Telephone 1-800-994-8849
510-665-2195
FAX 510-665-3427
E-mail: anrcatalog@ucanr.edu

©2016 The Regents of the University of California. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Publication 8562

ISBN-13: 978-1-60107-961-9

The University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources (UC ANR) prohibits discrimination against or harassment of any person in any of its programs or activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender, gender expression, gender identity, pregnancy (which includes pregnancy, childbirth, and medical conditions related to pregnancy or childbirth), physical or mental disability, medical condition (cancer-related or genetic characteristics), genetic information (including family medical history), ancestry, marital status, age, sexual orientation, citizenship, status as a protected veteran or service in the uniformed services (as defined by the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 [USERRA]), as well as state military and naval service.

UC ANR policy prohibits retaliation against any employee or person in any of its programs or activities for bringing a complaint of discrimination or harassment. UC ANR policy also prohibits retaliation against a person who assists someone with a complaint of discrimination or harassment, or participates in any manner in an investigation or resolution of a complaint of discrimination or harassment. Retaliation includes threats, intimidation, reprisals, and/or adverse actions related to any of its programs or activities.

UC ANR is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. All qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment and/or participation in any of its programs or activities without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, age or protected veteran status.

University policy is intended to be consistent with the provisions of applicable State and Federal laws.

Inquiries regarding the University's equal employment opportunity policies may be directed to: John Sims, Affirmative Action Contact and Title IX Officer, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2801 Second Street, Davis, CA 95618, (530) 750-1397. Email: jsims@ucanr.edu. Website: http://ucanr.edu/sites/anrstaff/Diversity/Affirmative_Action/.

An electronic copy of this publication can be found at the ANR Communication Services catalog website, <http://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/>.



This publication has been anonymously peer reviewed for technical accuracy by University of California scientists and other qualified professionals. This review process was managed by ANR Associate Editor for Land, Air, and Water Resources Anthony O'Geen.

web-03/16-SB/CR