

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

A Focused Review of Gamma Neuromodulation as a Therapeutic Target in Alzheimers Spectrum Disorders.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3kh7j4px>

Journal

Journal of Psychiatry and Brain Science, 9(1)

Authors

Granholm, Eric

Singh, Fiza

Shu, I-Wei

et al.

Publication Date

2024

DOI

10.20900/jpbs.20240001

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

J Psychiatr Brain Sci. 2024 ; 9(1): . doi:10.20900/jpbs.20240001.

A Focused Review of Gamma Neuromodulation as a Therapeutic Target in Alzheimer's Spectrum Disorders

I-Wei Shu¹, Yayu Lin^{1,2,3}, Eric L. Granholm¹, Fiza Singh^{1,*}

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

²Swartz Center for Computational Neuroscience, Institute for Neural Computation, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

³Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Abstract

The aging population of the world is increasing at an unprecedented rate which is expected to lead to a corresponding unparalleled increase in age related diseases. Of particular concern are the large number of older adults expected to develop Alzheimer's disease (AD), which will require extraordinary local, national and worldwide healthcare resources. In this context, innovative interventions are needed urgently to delay AD onset and thereby give our healthcare systems time to prepare and provide meaningful care to our aging populations. This focused review discusses the crucial role of frontal gamma oscillations as a therapeutic target to delay or ameliorate cognitive decline in AD. Frontal gamma oscillations, including from prefrontal cortical areas, serve as a biomarker for working memory and other cognitive functions, and their impairment is observed before clinical symptoms manifest. This review evaluates evidence from animal models and human subjects to highlight the correlation between gamma wave abnormalities and cognitive deterioration. Furthermore, the review summarizes 11 clinical studies using neuromodulation techniques designed to stimulate gamma oscillations in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and AD patients, including transcranial electrical stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and rhythmic sensory stimulation. These interventions have shown promise in mitigating early-stage cognitive decline, as evidenced by improved performance on memory tests, increased gamma oscillatory responses, and some have even shown reduced brain atrophy. These early studies suggest that treatments that strengthen frontal gamma oscillatory responses through neuromodulation are a promising approach to delay cognitive decline, that may serve as an adjunct to other therapies or as a standalone treatment in some populations.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

*Correspondence: Fiza Singh, fsingh@ucsd.edu.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dr. I-Wei Shu, Ms. Yayu Lin and Dr. Fiza Singh co-wrote the manuscript. Dr. Fiza Singh and Dr. Eric Granholm edited the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Drs. Shu, Granholm, and Singh have equity interests in BioSignal Solutions LLC. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by the University of California, San Diego in accordance with its conflict of interest policies

Keywords

mild cognitive impairment; gamma oscillations; transcranial alternating current stimulation; transcranial direct current stimulation; EEG neurofeedback

INTRODUCTION

The last 50 years of medical advances have led to dramatic increases in lifespan such that, by the year 2050, over 2 billion people worldwide will be over 60 years of age [1]. Among them, more than 130 million will develop some form of dementia, with Alzheimer's Disease (AD) being the most common [2,3]. In the United States (US) alone, where AD currently affects over 6 million older adults [1], prevalence is expected to more than double by 2050 and reach a staggering 13 million, the equivalent of 1 in 25 Americans. Thus, interventions that delay the onset of dementia are urgently needed to both improve the wellness or functional lifespan of our aging population and reduce caregiver and societal costs to realistic and manageable levels. According to one study, with over 900,000 new AD cases in the US every year, the ability to delay illness onset by 5 years now would reduce the total number of cases by over 3 million, and total healthcare costs by over 33%, by 2050 [4]. Without new treatment options, total economic costs of treating and caring for AD (currently estimated at over \$600 billion, i.e., over \$350 billion in direct healthcare costs plus over \$250 billion in unpaid caregiver costs) is expected to approach \$2 trillion by 2050 [1].

Therefore, treatments that delay the onset of disease are urgently needed to mitigate oncoming economic and other costs. In this context, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and subjective cognitive decline (SCD), two high risk states that increase the risk of developing AD are especially noteworthy timepoints for early intervention. The diagnosis of MCI consists of cognitive impairments that can be readily demonstrated with behavioral tests, but where the individual does not exhibit significantly impaired levels of function. A diagnosis of MCI leads to a 10-fold increase in the risk for developing AD compared to age matched controls. Patients meeting criteria for SCD also exhibit increased risk for developing AD (though risk is less, when compared to patients with MCI) [5–8]; more specifically, among the 15 million older Americans meeting SCD criteria, 40 percent will progress to some form of dementia within 20 years.

Despite widespread awareness of the high personal and socio-economic costs to individuals and communities, there are few treatment options for AD disorders. Medications approved in previous decades (cholinesterase inhibitors, memantine) offer only modest effects on cognition and do not alter the course of illness [2,10]. Newer anti-amyloid antibody infusions (e.g., aducanumab, lecanemab) offer promise; however, these medications come with serious side-effects and risks, as well as extraordinary costs (\$10,000 to \$37,000 per patient per year), which will limit their access to millions of older adults in the early stages of cognitive decline. Therefore, these factors underscore the urgent need for novel approaches to produce cost-effective and accessible interventions for older adults with emerging cognitive decline.

Within the past decade, external stimulation of high-frequency (e.g., gamma) neural activity has emerged as a promising approach towards attenuating or reversing AD-related declines in cognitive and neurologic health [11–14]. Building on the information presented in these reviews, we detail here the biological relationship between gamma activity and information processing, and how this biology presents frontal gamma responses, especially in the context of working memory (WM), as an especially promising treatment target for patients at risk for, or exhibiting, AD-related cognitive decline. We revisit gamma neuromodulation studies targeting AD-related cognitive decline, with a special focus on their lessons for our proposed WM-informed approach towards frontal gamma neuromodulation. We conclude by reviewing how electroencephalographic (EEG) neurofeedback (NFB) targeting frontal gamma activity may provide unique advantages and information for this emerging landscape of accessible and promising treatment options, which directly engage neural function to improve cognitive health for patients at risk for, or exhibiting, AD-related difficulties.

NEURAL BASIS OF WORKING MEMORY AND OTHER COGNITIVE FUNCTIONS

Our ability to perform complex, goal-directed behaviors requires coordinated activity across multiple brain regions including frontal, parietal and limbic/paralimbic (e.g., cingulate) brain areas [15]. Synchronous, oscillatory neural activity that arises from activation of large neuronal assemblies across these brain areas support a set of closely-related cognitive processes (e.g., executive functions) including sustained attention, planning, cognitive control and working memory (WM). WM consists of our mental ability to internally maintain and manipulate task-relevant information [15,16], and is especially relevant for everyday functioning. In coordination with related cognitive processes, WM supports complex behaviors in both humans and animal models, and is significantly impaired in patients with neuropsychiatric disorders, e.g., schizophrenia, major depression, posttraumatic stress disorder and AD [17,18].

During tasks assessing WM and related cognitive functions, task-relevant brain areas generally exhibit increased high-frequency, or gamma (e.g., 30–50 Hz), neural activity, in an event specific manner, supporting gamma synchronization as a conserved mechanism for information processing by neural assemblies [19].

For example, increasing intensity of external visual stimuli (relative to background) increases occipital-parietal gamma activity [20]. Similarly, recognition of internally-maintained visual information (relative to distractor stimuli) increases occipital-parietal gamma activity [21]. In contrast to gamma activation of task-related cortical areas, lower-frequency oscillations, e.g., alpha (8–14 Hz) or beta (15–30 Hz), generally inhibit, or otherwise modulate, task-irrelevant areas [22]. Beta activation is most famously observed at corresponding cortical sensorimotor areas during inhibition of motor movements, i.e., “beta inhibition” [23]. Conversely, central-posterior gamma synchronization during visual recognition is accompanied by central-posterior beta desynchronization i.e., “beta inhibition” being turned off [21,24]. Consistent with this model, during facial recognition, posterior gamma synchronization is similarly accompanied by posterior alpha and beta

desynchronization [25]. As expected, these general responses during cortical processing of sensory stimuli become more varied during more complex information processing tasks. For example, when instructed to “encode” visual stimuli during WM tasks, target visual stimuli can produce theta and alpha (though not generally beta), in addition to gamma, synchronization [21,25,26]. During the “retention” or “maintenance” intervals of such tasks, theta and alpha synchronizations generally diminish, with gamma synchronization generally being preserved. Opposing interactions between beta and gamma synchronization can be observed during this interval, likely indications of internal representations being manipulated. Responses during the “recall” or “retrieval” phase of these tasks generally mirror responses during the “encoding” phase.

During the “retention” or “maintenance” intervals of WM tasks, frontal gamma synchronization may be a relatively specific marker of prefrontal gamma activity. In support of this model, Semprini and colleagues demonstrated that the maintenance phase of the N-Back (a WM task) was associated with significant gamma synchronization, especially in frontal, and dorsal-lateral prefrontal (DLPFC) areas [27]; in contrast, gamma activity during the encoding and retrieval phases were relatively attenuated (with theta and beta activity being more prominent). Tallon-Baudry and colleagues similarly demonstrated significant frontal (e.g., F3, F4) gamma synchronization during the maintenance interval of a visual WM task (delayed match to sample, or DMS), compared to control intervals where maintenance were not required [21]. In another study assessing WM function using a visual DMS task, Honkanen and colleagues also demonstrated that only gamma activity was significantly increased in prefrontal areas during the maintenance phase (in contrast to other frequencies, e.g., beta) [28]. A specific role for DLPFC gamma synchronization during WM was further supported by Roux and colleagues demonstrating that, during a DMS task with varying loads (0, 3, or 6 items), only medial and DL PFC (i.e., Brodmann Area 9) gamma activity correlated with and predicted performance in a load-dependent manner [29].

The potential for frontal gamma synchronization to serve as a relatively specific marker of prefrontal gamma activity is further supported by the routine use of frontal EEG electrodes (i.e., F3, F4) as locations for assessing or targeting DLPFC activity, e.g., during near-infrared spectroscopy, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), respectively. Anatomically, the electrode sites F3 and F4 (from the International 10–20 System for EEG electrode placement) are approximately 14 mm directly above the left and right DLPFC in 81% and 98% of individuals, respectively, with standard deviation ± 8 mm [30]. The limited simultaneous intracranial electrocorticography (ECoG) and EEG studies available have also reported high correlation between DLPFC and F3/F4 neurophysiologic activity [31]. Consistent with this model, in a simultaneous TACS and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study of 15 healthy volunteers, Mencarelli and colleagues demonstrate that 16 min of gamma-TACS (F3, anode; F4, cathode; 40 Hz; 2 mA; 60 s on; 60 s off), produced increased DLPFC blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) fMRI signal (with secondary activation of cingulate, motor, temporal and visual areas) [32]. Similarly, in a simultaneous TMS/TACS study of 13 healthy volunteers, Maiella and colleagues demonstrated that intermittent theta burst TMS stimulation (iTBS), which involves bursts of gamma (50 Hz) stimulation at rates equivalent to theta (e.g., bursts every 200 ms being equivalent to 5 Hz), combined with gamma-TACS (F3 or F4, anode; R deltoid muscle, cathode; 70 Hz,

1 mA; synchronized to iTBS) produced greater gamma responses (compared to theta- or sham-TACS) at DLPFC sources (localized using BrainVision) receiving stimulation, and not contralateral DLPFC or other sources [33]. Thus, despite the limited anatomic resolution of EEG in general, frontal gamma activity, especially when recorded at F3 and F4 during WM tasks, may be a relatively specific marker of DLPFC activation.

GAMMA WAVE ABNORMALITIES IN AD

Consistent with gamma synchronization's critical role in optimal memory function, the role of abnormal gamma oscillations in AD has been observed since the early 1990's, for example, in an early case report (from 1991) of decreased global gamma MEG activity in patients with Alzheimer's [34], as well as in more recent studies [35–38]. More specifically, compared to matched controls, older adults with amnesic MCI exhibit decreased gamma activity, which correlated with decreased verbal learning performance [39]. Interestingly, even in the absence of frank cognitive impairment, older adults with abnormal amyloid and tau levels exhibit decreased gamma activity and WM performance, compared to matched controls with normal amyloid/tau levels [40]. Some studies have reported ambiguous results or no differences in gamma activity between AD patients and healthy control participants; however, gamma activity was in fact not analyzed (e.g., technical difficulties with artifact removal) in several such studies [41,42]. In their review of conflicting evidence, Babiloni and colleagues suggest that the “relatively low sampling frequency” utilized in many studies precludes specific assessment of “EEG signal beyond 40 Hz” [43].

Specific assessments of frontal gamma responses (within the context of the model presented in the previous section) has been further confounded by methodologic and pathophysiologic variability in these studies. For example, studies demonstrating lower levels of gamma functionality generally utilize measures of cross-electrode or cross-frequency coupling [38,44–47]. In studies utilizing procedures mirroring those discussed above, the healthy comparison groups generally have not exhibited expected event-related gamma responses; therefore, any deviations exhibited by the AD groups are not easily reconciled with established neural models of cognitive function [36,48,49]. Conversely, in one study where healthy controls ($n = 27$) did, in fact, exhibit expected frontal (Fz) gamma synchronization during the N-Back, participants with MCI ($n = 21$) and AD ($n = 16$) exhibited $> 25\%$ decrease in gamma synchronization in comparison [50]. In another study utilizing the N-Back, compared to stable MCI patients ($n = 13$), MCI patients with cognitive decline one year following baseline assessment ($n = 16$) exhibited decreased frontal gamma responses during baseline N-Back assessment [51]. Methodologic variability commonly contribute to described variability among results across studies; for example, we have observed, in studies of WM-related frontal gamma responses in patients with schizophrenia, extracting gamma power over time windows on the order of 100–500 ms after stimulus onset (a time window mostly devoted to encoding and retrieval during WM tasks) can produce effects opposite to time windows more specifically aligned with retention/maintenance during WM tasks [52].

Specific to studies of patients at risk of, or with, AD, Gaubert and colleagues have also demonstrated that pathophysiologic status (e.g., amyloid levels) can produce opposite effects on gamma activity [53]. Initially, amyloid decreases gamma activity. Further accumulation

then generally increases gamma activity until, at the highest levels of amyloid, gamma activity again decreases. Consistent with abnormal amyloid disrupting frontal gamma synchronization, compared to older adults with normal cerebrospinal amyloid levels, older adults with abnormal cerebrospinal amyloid levels exhibit lower N-Back accuracy and frontal gamma synchronization [40]. In a recent effort to more mechanistically interrogate frontal gamma activation in AD patients, Casula and colleagues demonstrated that, compared to 21 healthy controls, 60 participants with AD exhibited significantly lower DLPFC gamma responses to transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS, $t = -2.977$, $p = 0.004$) [54]. Furthermore, DLPFC gamma responses significantly predicted AD status (in a regression model), positively-correlated with cortical plasticity (measured by theta burst induced changes in motor evoked potentials) and negatively-correlated with CSF tau (including phosphorylated tau, but not amyloid).

GAMMA WAVE ACTIVATION AS A THERAPEUTIC TARGET IN AD

Despite heterogeneity across studies, that patients at risk of, or with, AD generally exhibit disturbed gamma responses has led to studies testing gamma neuromodulation as a potential treatment for AD-related cognitive decline. Prior to clinical availability of non-invasive neuromodulation, deep brain stimulation (DBS) was tested to increase gamma synchronization in AD patients with promising results. For example, in a case series of 6 participants with mild to moderate AD, Laxton and colleagues demonstrated that 12 months of chronic bilateral deep-brain fornix stimulation was associated with improved cognitive and behavioral measures in most patients [55]. Furthermore, results from mechanistic inquiries in this study indicated that bilateral deep-brain fornix 130 Hz stimulation activates bilateral temporal (including hippocampal), cingulate (including anterior cingulate) and medial prefrontal activity in the near-term; and, additional parietal and prefrontal areas in the long term. Similarly, in a case series of 7 participants with intracranial depth electrodes for seizure evaluation, Suthana and colleagues demonstrated that entorhinal (but not hippocampal) 50–130 Hz stimulation improved visual-spatial memory [56]. In this same study, mechanistically, entorhinal stimulation was associated with hippocampal theta phase resetting.

More recently, enhancing gamma activation with sensory and electromagnetic approaches has demonstrated promise for AD-related cognitive decline [57,58]. The non-invasive neuromodulation therapies proven to be safe and efficient for treating brain disorders include several modalities. These modalities include rhythmic sensory stimulation (RSS), which utilizes auditory and visual stimulation; transcranial alternating (TACS) or direct current stimulation (TDCS), which modulate cortical activity with low-intensity currents; TMS, which induces cortical currents electromagnetically; and neurofeedback (NFB), which utilizes self-regulated neural responses. For example, older adults with early AD receiving gamma rhythmic sensory stimulation (RSS) exhibit improved memory function and reduced loss of brain volume, compared to participants with early AD receiving placebo/control intervention [57]. Another study of gamma RSS on 10 MCI patients showed enhanced functional connectivity after 8 weeks of training [59].

With specific regards to modulating gamma activity with visual stimuli, Duecker and colleagues demonstrated that gamma responses to high-frequency flicker may be independent of, and exhibit minimal interaction with, gamma responses to dynamic visual gratings [60]. More specifically, while visual flicker at varying frequencies specifically produced gamma synchronization at corresponding frequencies (from MEG sources projecting to occipital areas), when simultaneously presenting flicker and dynamic grating stimuli, grating-related gamma responses were not further focused by frequency of flicker stimuli. In contrast to the absence of interaction between flicker- and grating-related gamma responses, Lobo and colleagues observed partial but significant interactions between flicker- and grating-related gamma responses in over one-third of participants in a similarly designed MEG study primarily focused on gamma responses to 60 Hz flicker [61]. Lobo and colleagues further report that, in Duecker and colleagues' report, a similar interaction is visible in an early and primarily methods-focused figure with data from individual participants, indicating that heterogeneous results across studies may arise from methodologic variability, especially given features unique to gamma responses (e.g., high frequency responses of low power). In addition to these and other methodologic differences (e.g., stimulus design, MEG feature extraction), Lobo and colleagues' study also specifically required participants to attend to active stimuli, whereas participants in Duecker and colleagues' study were instructed to attend to distractor stimuli, consistent with attention and other cognitive events being important modulators of gamma responses. For example, in a study requiring participants to attend (or ignore) active (or inactive) unilateral flicker stimuli (a design enabling isolation of effects from attention, inattention, flicker on, flicker off, and hemisphere) Gulbinaite and colleagues demonstrate that flicker produced synchronous interactions between flicker-related and endogenous occipital gamma responses, both generally and at specific flicker frequencies [62]. Compared to ignore trials, attend trials further enhanced synchronous gamma interactions; in contrast, consistent with model presented above, synchronous alpha interactions were greater during ignore trials (alpha suppression of task-irrelevant areas). Of note, given gamma's relatively low power, and sensitivity to methodologic variability, Gulbinaite and colleagues developed a custom feature extraction approach (from established source separation and clustering methods) specifically for visual flicker stimuli [63], which was utilized for both alpha and gamma responses in this study.

While not a specific test of synchronous interactions between stimulus-related and endogenous gamma responses, of neurophysiologic and methodologic interest, Wang and colleagues (by utilizing a WM design where visual stimuli flickered at, and volume of auditory stimuli pulsed at, gamma frequencies) demonstrated that synchronous interactions between gamma responses to separate stimuli can be modulated by varying relative phase of external stimuli [64]; a follow-up analysis further demonstrated modulation by optimal memory performance, i.e., greater synchronous interactions between gamma responses to visual and auditory stimuli (including of prefrontal and hippocampal gamma responses) during correct trials [65]. This preprint by Kahn and colleagues further discusses similarities and differences between studies by Tsai and colleagues and Soula and colleagues [66], with specific regards to investigating gamma sensory stimulation in animal models of AD beyond the scope of this review.

RECENT STUDIES TESTING GAMMA NEUROMODULATION AS TREATMENT FOR AD

To further explore evidence for gamma neuromodulation as a treatment option for patients with AD, we searched PubMed for reports of gamma (or 40 Hz) neuromodulation (e.g., transcranial magnetic or electrical stimulation) for patients at risk of, or with, AD (Table 1). Eight studies were not included in Table 1 due to (1) including non-AD forms of dementia [67], (2) providing descriptive statistics only [68,69], (3) statistics not directly controlling for control condition, i.e., within-group statistics only [58,59,70,71], or (4) testing novel TMS device for unsupervised patient use at home, without providing generally required adherence and fidelity information [72]. Please also see review by McDermott and colleagues for review of older reports, most not included in PubMed [73].

Supporting McDermott and colleague's conclusion in 2018 that "the 40 Hz frequency value seems of particular neurological importance and as such represents a natural target value [with] promise for clinical application to AD", the studies presented Table 1 establish gamma neuromodulation as a promising treatment option for patients at risk of, or with, AD. As the approach tested in 6 studies, TACS currently provides the most evidence supporting a therapeutic role for gamma neuromodulation. Consistent with the model presented above, half of the included TACS studies targeted frontal areas. More specifically, Kim and colleagues demonstrated that a single 30 min session of 40 Hz TACS stimulation at F3 or F4 (i.e., bilateral DLPFC) improved cognitive control (as measured by Stroop performance) and trail making (a test of executive function with emphasis on attention, processing speed and flexibility) in participants with MCI [75]. Administering daily sessions of frontal gamma TACS weekly, over 2–4 weeks, was further associated with increased bilateral hippocampal perfusion and bilateral temporal gamma activity [77]; and, simultaneous administration with a memory challenge for 8 sessions over 4 weeks was associated with improved WM and verbal fluency [81]. In addition to frontal areas, gamma TACS stimulation at Pz (e.g., precuneus) and of the angular gyrus, whether a single session or daily sessions over weeks, have also been associated with improved WM and verbal fluency [82], including in comparison to sham stimulation [74,78].

While significantly better established clinically, only two studies utilized TMS, though both demonstrated a positive effect of multiple TMS sessions per week, over 2–6 weeks, targeting DLPFC/parietal areas, or precuneus, on coupling of gamma activity across distributed cortical networks, WM and trail making [80,83]. That TACS, despite being much less well established clinically, exhibits greater popularity than TMS likely arises from TACS being significantly more cost-effective, portable and accessible [12]. Furthermore, while rare, TMS nevertheless exhibits significantly higher seizure risk than TACS, a potentially serious risk in older patients with neurologic decline [85,86].

The remaining studies included in Table 1 utilize gamma RSS. While gamma RSS had been well established as a method for stimulating gamma responses [87,88], Iaccarino and colleagues first established the therapeutic potential of gamma RSS for patients at risk of, or with, AD by demonstrating that both internal (using optogenetic) and external (visual flicker) gamma stimulation reduces amyloid-beta levels in a mouse model of AD,

most likely by increasing gamma and glial responses [89]. These results aligned well with existing studies associating disturbed gamma activity and amyloid-beta levels in both mouse models of and patients with AD [90]. More recently, testing in patients at risk of, or with, AD have confirmed gamma RSS as a promising treatment option (Table 1). More specifically, in 3 sham-controlled studies ($n = 47$ total active participants; 28, control condition), simultaneous gamma auditory-visual RSS was associated with reduced overnight restlessness, loss of daily function, ventricular enlargement, and loss of CNS white matter, as well as improved semantic memory performance [76,79,84].

To summarize Table 1, the 11 included studies represent a sample of $n = 214$ participants at risk of, or with, AD exhibiting improved cognitive (e.g., executive, attention, processing speed, WM, semantic memory, verbal fluency), clinical (e.g., sleep, daily), and neurophysiologic (e.g., gamma) function, as well as improved bilateral hippocampal perfusion, and reduced ventricular enlargement, and loss of CNS white matter (in comparison to $n = 165$ control participants in 6, or just over half, of the studies). For clarity and conciseness, we included in Table 1 only select outcome measures (those most relevant to the models of AD pathophysiology presented above). While not all positive and negative outcome measures were presented, the included outcome measures were accepted for publication, and we defer to the publishers that data analytic methods (e.g., multiple comparisons corrections) were valid. Of the eight studies not included in Table 1 (see above rationale), 7 reported similarly positive outcome measures [58,59,67–71], and only 1 reported only negative outcome measures [72]. As mentioned above, we did not include the study reporting only negative outcomes in Table 1 due to the study not reporting adherence and fidelity information generally required for the testing of a novel TMS device for unsupervised patient use at home.

Motivated by these promising results, we have developed an additional approach towards enhancing frontal gamma activity using EEG neurofeedback (NFB). Briefly, EEG-NFB is a form of operant conditioning where an EEG feature is coupled to, generally visual and/or auditory, positive and negative reinforcement signals; for example, sound or music, slideshows, digital games [91]. In particular and in light of findings discussed above, enhancement of gamma activity is expected to improve WM and other cognitive functions for patients at risk of or with AD. Support for this hypothesis comes from the findings that gamma-NFB, but not alpha-, beta-, or placebo-NFB, is associated with improvements in visual processing and memory [92,93]. NFB inherently offers advantages in that training can be easily (1) personalized (e.g., personalized media/games); and, (2) may be used to target additional EEG features. As a first step forward, we reported last year preliminary results from a double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of gamma NFB (30 min, 2/ week, 12 weeks) for patients with MCI ($n = 9$ active; 9, placebo) [94]. More specifically, we demonstrate that, compared to placebo-NFB, patients receiving active-NFB exhibit significantly increased frontal gamma responses during training. In this ongoing study, early data suggest that in those undergoing gamma-NFB, baseline F4 gamma power (but not at other electrodes) is significantly correlated with the slope of training-related increases in frontal gamma responses – consistent with frontal gamma responses being an important neural event and promising therapeutic target for understanding and treating AD-related cognitive decline.

SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The “silver tsunami” of aging adults is on its way, and a thoughtful, concerted response is needed from our healthcare system to ensure timely and comprehensive care for our aging adults. Although medical advances have increased lifespans, a corresponding increase in the wellness span of aging adults has not been realized. Furthermore, statistical models predict an unprecedented increase in the number of older adults with cognitive impairment and AD disorders, requiring enormous local, national and global resources by 2050. Thus, cost- and resource-efficient solutions to slow down cognitive aging are needed NOW. In this regard, recent advances in our understanding of the neural basis of short-term memory, especially WM, coupled with emergent neuromodulation techniques present opportunities for development of novel interventions to slow down cognitive decline. The field is in its nascent stage and although the scientific rationale is well delineated, few clinical studies have been conducted to date. Results from a handful of studies using TMS, tACS, tDCS, RSS and EEG-NFB are notable in that these modalities appear feasible, are largely well tolerated and show early indications of separation from placebo. Furthermore, promising results from this growing body of literature suggest that frontal neural circuitry can be engaged using these neuromodulation techniques in individuals in early stages of cognitive decline. Fortuitously, engaging this circuitry is associated with changes at virtually every level including brain substance, neurophysiology and behavior, making a compelling case for the mechanistic role of this circuitry in disorders of cognition. Additional studies are needed to further delineate dose-response curves, safety profiles and rational combinations of these promising treatments with other modalities.

More specifically, each of the modalities offers some specific advantages and disadvantages. For instance, TMS has been tested and FDA approved for other indications including depression and is therefore the farthest along in terms of clinical application; however, TMS requires significant investment in equipment and staff, can produce distress for some patients, and is associated with very low but nevertheless concerning risk for seizures. TACS has a lower resource burden, but at present the safety profile of current stimulation protocols remains scant. RSS is an inexpensive and low resource requiring modality; however, it is also one of the newest and clinical applications are several years away. EEG-NFB is unique in that it trains the brain’s intrinsic mechanisms and optimizes neural processing without external stimulation and therefore may be an option as a standalone or adjunctive treatment with other therapies. An added advantage of EEG-NFB comes from the fact that its safety profile is well established, and with the advent of improved hardware and software, EEG-NFB can be delivered with much greater specificity than previously possible. Nonetheless, EEG-NFB also presents some challenges in that it relies on effort and would therefore be primarily suitable for motivated individuals. Despite some limitations, in large part, direct brain treatments present an emerging, and desperately needed area of therapeutic development for aging adults at risk of developing AD and related dementias. These treatments can be implemented alone or as dose-lowering strategies or adjuncts to other more invasive and costly treatments. We urge the field to take a thoughtful and open-minded approach to respond to the emerging public health crisis of AD and related dementias.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Institute of Aging (1R01AG065252) and a UCSD Academic Senate Grant.

DATA AVAILABILITY

This is a review article, and no data were generated from the study.

ABBREVIATIONS

Aβ	beta amyloid
AD	Alzheimer's Disease
CNS	central nervous system
DBS	deep brain stimulation
DLPFC	dorsolateral prefrontal cortex
DMPFC	dorsomedial prefrontal cortex
EEG	electroencephalography
MCI	mild cognitive impairment
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
NFB	neurofeedback
RSS	rhythmic sensory stimulation
SCD	subjective cognitive decline
TACS	transcranial alternating current stimulation
TDCS	transcranial direct current stimulation
TMS	transcranial magnetic stimulation
US	United States
WM	working memory

REFERENCES

1. 2021 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2021;17(3):327–406. [PubMed: 33756057]
2. Scheltens P, Blennow K, Breteler MM, de Strooper B, Frisoni GB, Salloway S, et al. Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet.* 2016;388(10043):505–17. [PubMed: 26921134]
3. Alzheimer's Disease International (ADI). *World Alzheimer Report 2015: An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends.* London (UK): Alzheimer's Disease International (ADI); 2015.

4. Alzheimer's Association. Changing the Trajectory of Alzheimer's Disease: How a Treatment by 2025 Saves Lives and Dollars. Chicago (IL, US): Alzheimer's Association; 2015.
5. Jessen F, Amariglio RE, Buckley RF, van der Flier WM, Han Y, Molinuevo JL, et al. The characterisation of subjective cognitive decline. *Lancet Neurol.* 2020;19(3):271–8. [PubMed: 31958406]
6. Slot RER, Sikkes SAM, Berkhof J, Brodaty H, Buckley R, Cavado E, et al. Subjective cognitive decline and rates of incident Alzheimer's disease and non-Alzheimer's disease dementia. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2019;15(3):465–76. [PubMed: 30555032]
7. Buckley RF, Maruff P, Ames D, Bourgeat P, Martins RN, Masters CL, et al. Subjective memory decline predicts greater rates of clinical progression in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2016;12(7):796–804. [PubMed: 26852195]
8. van Maurik IS, Slot RER, Verfaillie SCJ, Zwan MD, Bouwman FH, Prins ND, et al. Personalized risk for clinical progression in cognitively normal subjects-the ABIDE project. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* 2019;11(1):33. [PubMed: 30987684]
9. Taylor CA, Boudin ED, McGuire LC. Subjective Cognitive Decline Among Adults Aged \geq 45 Years - United States, 2015–2016. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2018;67(27):753–7.
10. Knopman DS, Amieva H, Petersen RC, Chetelat G, Holtzman DM, Hyman BT, et al. Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2021;7(1):33. [PubMed: 33986301]
11. Arulchelvan E, Vanneste S. Promising neurostimulation routes for targeting the hippocampus to improve episodic memory: A review. *Brain Res.* 2023;1815:148457. [PubMed: 37315722]
12. Manippa V, Palmisano A, Nitsche MA, Filardi M, Vilella D, Logroscino G, et al. Cognitive and Neuropathophysiological Outcomes of Gamma-tACS in Dementia: A Systematic Review. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2023 Mar 6. doi: 10.1007/s11065-023-09589-0
13. Struber D, Herrmann CS. Modulation of gamma oscillations as a possible therapeutic tool for neuropsychiatric diseases: A review and perspective. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2020;152:15–25. [PubMed: 32240665]
14. Traikapi A, Konstantinou N. Gamma Oscillations in Alzheimer's Disease and Their Potential Therapeutic Role. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 2021;15:782399. [PubMed: 34966263]
15. Niendam TA, Laird AR, Ray KL, Dean YM, Glahn DC, Carter CS. Meta-analytic evidence for a superordinate cognitive control network subserving diverse executive functions. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci.* 2012;12(2):241–68. [PubMed: 22282036]
16. Gratton G Brain reflections: A circuit-based framework for understanding information processing and cognitive control. *Psychophysiology.* 2018;55(3). doi: 10.1111/psyp.13038
17. Banich MT, Mackiewicz KL, Depue BE, Whitmer AJ, Miller GA, Heller W. Cognitive control mechanisms, emotion and memory: a neural perspective with implications for psychopathology. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2009;33(5):613–30. [PubMed: 18948135]
18. Menon V Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: a unifying triple network model. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2011;15(10):483–506. [PubMed: 21908230]
19. Herrmann CS, Frund I, Lenz D. Human gamma-band activity: a review on cognitive and behavioral correlates and network models. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2010;34(7):981–92. [PubMed: 19744515]
20. Schadow J, Lenz D, Thaerig S, Busch NA, Frund I, Rieger JW, et al. Stimulus intensity affects early sensory processing: visual contrast modulates evoked gamma-band activity in human EEG. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2007;66(1):28–36. [PubMed: 17599598]
21. Tallon-Baudry C, Bertrand O, Peronnet F, Pernier J. Induced gamma-band activity during the delay of a visual short-term memory task in humans. *J Neurosci.* 1998;18(11):4244–54. [PubMed: 9592102]
22. Miller EK, Lundqvist M, Bastos AM. Working Memory 2.0. *Neuron.* 2018;100(2):463–75. [PubMed: 30359609]
23. Pfurtscheller G, Neuper C, Andrew C, Edlinger G. Foot and hand area mu rhythms. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 1997;26(1–3):121–35. [PubMed: 9202999]
24. Fisch L, Privman E, Ramot M, Harel M, Nir Y, Kipervasser S, et al. Neural "ignition": enhanced activation linked to perceptual awareness in human ventral stream visual cortex. *Neuron.* 2009;64(4):562–74. [PubMed: 19945397]

25. Lachaux JP, George N, Tallon-Baudry C, Martinerie J, Hugueville L, Minotti L, et al. The many faces of the gamma band response to complex visual stimuli. *Neuroimage*. 2005;25(2):491–501. [PubMed: 15784428]
26. Jokisch D, Jensen O. Modulation of gamma and alpha activity during a working memory task engaging the dorsal or ventral stream. *J Neurosci*. 2007;27(12):3244–51. [PubMed: 17376984]
27. Semprini M, Bonassi G, Barban F, Pelosin E, Iandolo R, Chiappalone M, et al. Modulation of neural oscillations during working memory update, maintenance, and readout: An hdEEG study. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2021;42(4):1153–66. [PubMed: 33200500]
28. Honkanen R, Rouhinen S, Wang SH, Palva JM, Palva S. Gamma Oscillations Underlie the Maintenance of Feature-Specific Information and the Contents of Visual Working Memory. *Cereb Cortex*. 2015;25(10):3788–801. [PubMed: 25405942]
29. Roux F, Wibrat M, Mohr HM, Singer W, Uhlhaas PJ. Gamma-band activity in human prefrontal cortex codes for the number of relevant items maintained in working memory. *J Neurosci*. 2012;32(36):12411–20. [PubMed: 22956832]
30. Okamoto M, Dan H, Sakamoto K, Takeo K, Shimizu K, Kohno S, et al. Three-dimensional probabilistic anatomical cranio-cerebral correlation via the international 10–20 system oriented for transcranial functional brain mapping. *Neuroimage*. 2004;21(1):99–111. [PubMed: 14741647]
31. Ball T, Kern M, Mutschler I, Aertsen A, Schulze-Bonhage A. Signal quality of simultaneously recorded invasive and non-invasive EEG. *Neuroimage*. 2009;46(3):708–16. [PubMed: 19264143]
32. Mencarelli L, Monti L, Romanella S, Neri F, Koch G, Salvador R, et al. Local and Distributed fMRI Changes Induced by 40 Hz Gamma tACS of the Bilateral Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex: A Pilot Study. *Neural Plast*. 2022;2022:6197505. [PubMed: 35880231]
33. Maiella M, Casula EP, Borghi I, Assogna M, D'Acunto A, Pezzopane V, et al. Simultaneous transcranial electrical and magnetic stimulation boost gamma oscillations in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12(1):19391. [PubMed: 36371451]
34. Ribary U, Ioannides AA, Singh KD, Hasson R, Bolton JP, Lado F, et al. Magnetic field tomography of coherent thalamocortical 40-Hz oscillations in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991;88(24):11037–41. [PubMed: 1763020]
35. Koenig T, Prichep L, Dierks T, Hubl D, Wahlund LO, John ER, et al. Decreased EEG synchronization in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2005;26(2):165–71. [PubMed: 15582746]
36. Kurimoto R, Ishii R, Canuet L, Ikezawa K, Iwase M, Azechi M, et al. Induced oscillatory responses during the Sternberg's visual memory task in patients with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuroimage*. 2012;59(4):4132–40. [PubMed: 22047628]
37. Rossini PM, Del Percio C, Pasqualetti P, Cassetta E, Binetti G, Dal Forno G, et al. Conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease is predicted by sources and coherence of brain electroencephalography rhythms. *Neuroscience*. 2006;143(3):793–803. [PubMed: 17049178]
38. Stam CJ, van Cappellen van Walsum AM, Pijnenburg YA, Berendse HW, de Munck JC, Scheltens P, et al. Generalized synchronization of MEG recordings in Alzheimer's Disease: evidence for involvement of the gamma band. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. 2002;19(6):562–74. [PubMed: 12488788]
39. Vanneste S, Luckey A, McLeod SL, Robertson IH, To WT. Impaired posterior cingulate cortex-parahippocampus connectivity is associated with episodic memory retrieval problems in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2021;53(9):3125–41. [PubMed: 33738836]
40. Rochart R, Liu Q, Fonteh AN, Harrington MG, Arakaki X. Compromised Behavior and Gamma Power During Working Memory in Cognitively Healthy Individuals With Abnormal CSF Amyloid/Tau. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2020;12:574214. [PubMed: 33192465]
41. Gouw AA, Alsema AM, Tijms BM, Borta A, Scheltens P, Stam CJ, et al. EEG spectral analysis as a putative early prognostic biomarker in nondemented, amyloid positive subjects. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2017;57:133–42. [PubMed: 28646686]
42. Xia J, Mazaheri A, Segaert K, Salmon DP, Harvey D, Shapiro K, et al. Event-related potential and EEG oscillatory predictors of verbal memory in mild cognitive impairment. *Brain Commun*. 2020;2(2):fcaa213. [PubMed: 33364603]
43. Babiloni C, Ferri R, Noce G, Lizio R, Lopez S, Soricelli A, et al. Resting-state electroencephalographic delta rhythms may reflect global cortical arousal in healthy old seniors

- and patients with Alzheimer's disease dementia. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2020;158:259–70. [PubMed: 33080295]
44. Babiloni C, Ferri R, Binetti G, Cassarino A, Dal Forno G, Ercolani M, et al. Fronto-parietal coupling of brain rhythms in mild cognitive impairment: a multicentric EEG study. *Brain Res Bull.* 2006;69(1):63–73. [PubMed: 16464686]
 45. Bosboom JL, Stoffers D, Wolters E, Stam CJ, Berendse HW. MEG resting state functional connectivity in Parkinson's disease related dementia. *J Neural Transm (Vienna).* 2009;116(2):193–202. [PubMed: 18982241]
 46. de Haan W, Pijnenburg YA, Strijers RL, van der Made Y, van der Flier WM, Scheltens P, et al. Functional neural network analysis in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease using EEG and graph theory. *BMC Neurosci.* 2009;10:101. [PubMed: 19698093]
 47. Tao HY, Tian X. Coherence Characteristics of Gamma-band EEG during rest and cognitive task in MCI and AD. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2005;2005:2747–50. [PubMed: 17282809]
 48. Park JY, Lee KS, An SK, Lee J, Kim JJ, Kim KH, et al. Gamma oscillatory activity in relation to memory ability in older adults. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2012;86(1):58–65. [PubMed: 22906816]
 49. Guntekin B, Akturk T, Arakaki X, Bonanni L, Del Percio C, Edelmayer R, et al. Are there consistent abnormalities in event-related EEG oscillations in patients with Alzheimer's disease compared to other diseases belonging to dementia? *Psychophysiology.* 2022;59(5):e13934. [PubMed: 34460957]
 50. Fraga FJ, Mamani GQ, Johns E, Tavares G, Falk TH, Phillips NA. Early diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's with event-related potentials and event-related desynchronization in N-back working memory tasks. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2018;164:1–13. [PubMed: 30195417]
 51. Missonnier P, Herrmann FR, Michon A, Fazio-Costa L, Gold G, Giannakopoulos P. Early disturbances of gamma band dynamics in mild cognitive impairment. *J Neural Transm (Vienna).* 2010;117(4):489–98. [PubMed: 20217436]
 52. Shu IW, Granholm EL, Singh F. Targeting Frontal Gamma Activity with Neurofeedback to Improve Working Memory in Schizophrenia. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci.* 2023;63:153–72. [PubMed: 35989397]
 53. Gaubert S, Raimondo F, Houot M, Corsi MC, Naccache L, Diego Sitt J, et al. EEG evidence of compensatory mechanisms in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Brain.* 2019;142(7):2096–112. [PubMed: 31211359]
 54. Casula EP, Pellicciari MC, Bonni S, Borghi I, Maiella M, Assogna M, et al. Decreased Frontal Gamma Activity in Alzheimer Disease Patients. *Ann Neurol.* 2022;92(3):464–75. [PubMed: 35713198]
 55. Laxton AW, Tang-Wai DF, McAndrews MP, Zumsteg D, Wennberg R, Keren R, et al. A phase I trial of deep brain stimulation of memory circuits in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2010;68(4):521–34. [PubMed: 20687206]
 56. Suthana N, Haneef Z, Stern J, Mukamel R, Behnke E, Knowlton B, et al. Memory enhancement and deep-brain stimulation of the entorhinal area. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(6):502–10. [PubMed: 22316444]
 57. Chan D, Suk H-J, Jackson BL, Milman NP, Stark D, Klerman EB, et al. Gamma Frequency Sensory Stimulation in Probable Mild Alzheimer's Dementia Patients: Results of a Preliminary Clinical Trial. *PLoS One.* 2022; 17(12): e0278412. [PubMed: 36454969]
 58. Naro A, Corallo F, De Salvo S, Marra A, Di Lorenzo G, Muscara N, et al. Promising Role of Neuromodulation in Predicting the Progression of Mild Cognitive Impairment to Dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2016;53(4):1375–88. [PubMed: 27392866]
 59. He Q, Colon-Motas KM, Pybus AF, Piendel L, Seppa JK, Walker ML, et al. A feasibility trial of gamma sensory flicker for patients with prodromal Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2021;7(1):e12178.
 60. Duecker K, Gutteling TP, Herrmann CS, Jensen O. No Evidence for Entrainment: Endogenous Gamma Oscillations and Rhythmic Flicker Responses Coexist in Visual Cortex. *J Neurosci.* 2021;41(31):6684–98. [PubMed: 34230106]

61. Lobo T, Brookes MJ, Bauer M. Can the causal role of brain oscillations be studied through rhythmic brain stimulation? *J Vis.* 2021;21(12):2.
62. Gulbinaite R, Roozendaal DHM, VanRullen R. Attention differentially modulates the amplitude of resonance frequencies in the visual cortex. *Neuroimage.* 2019;203:116146. [PubMed: 31493535]
63. Cohen MX, Gulbinaite R. Rhythmic entrainment source separation: Optimizing analyses of neural responses to rhythmic sensory stimulation. *Neuroimage.* 2017;147:43–56. [PubMed: 27916666]
64. Wang D, Shapiro KL, Hanslmayr S. Altering stimulus timing via fast rhythmic sensory stimulation induces STDP-like recall performance in human episodic memory. *Curr Biol.* 2023;33(17):3801–3. [PubMed: 37699337]
65. Kahn M, Chan D, Wang D, Geigenmuller U, Blanco-Duque C, Murdock MH, et al. Gamma sensory stimulation and effects on the brain. *bioRxiv.* 564197 [Preprint]. 2023 Nov 02. Available from: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.10.30.564197v1>. Accessed 2024 Feb 25.
66. Soula M, Martin-Avila A, Zhang Y, Dhingra A, Nitzan N, Sadowski MJ, et al. Forty-hertz light stimulation does not entrain native gamma oscillations in Alzheimer's disease model mice. *Nat Neurosci.* 2023;26(4):570–8. [PubMed: 36879142]
67. Moussavi Z, Kimura K, Kehler L, de Oliveira Francisco C, Lithgow B. A Novel Program to Improve Cognitive Function in Individuals With Dementia Using Transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation (tACS) and Tutored Cognitive Exercises. *Front Aging.* 2021;2:632545. [PubMed: 35822057]
68. Dhaynaut M, Sprugnoli G, Cappon D, Macone J, Sanchez JS, Normandin MD, et al. Impact of 40 Hz Transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation on Cerebral Tau Burden in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease: A Case Series. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2022;85(4):1667–76. [PubMed: 34958021]
69. Liu Y, Tang C, Wei K, Liu D, Tang K, Chen M, et al. Transcranial alternating current stimulation combined with sound stimulation improves the cognitive function of patients with Alzheimer's disease: A case report and literature review. *Front Neurol.* 2022;13:962684. [PubMed: 36212652]
70. Liu C, Han T, Xu Z, Liu J, Zhang M, Du J, et al. Modulating Gamma Oscillations Promotes Brain Connectivity to Improve Cognitive Impairment. *Cereb Cortex.* 2022;32(12):2644–56. [PubMed: 34751749]
71. Clements-Cortes A, Ahonen H, Evans M, Freedman M, Bartel L. Short-Term Effects of Rhythmic Sensory Stimulation in Alzheimer's Disease: An Exploratory Pilot Study. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2016;52(2):651–60. [PubMed: 27031491]
72. Mimenza-Alvarado AJ, Aguilar-Navarro SG, Martinez-Carrillo FM, Rios-Ponce AE, Villafuerte G. Use of Fast Gamma Magnetic Stimulation Over the Left Prefrontal Dorsolateral Cortex for the Treatment of MCI and Mild Alzheimer's Disease: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Sham-Controlled, Pilot Study. *Front Neurol.* 2021;12:729872. [PubMed: 34566873]
73. McDermott B, Porter E, Hughes D, McGinley B, Lang M, O'Halloran M, et al. Gamma Band Neural Stimulation in Humans and the Promise of a New Modality to Prevent and Treat Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2018;65(2):363–92. [PubMed: 30040729]
74. Benussi A, Cantoni V, Cotelli MS, Cotelli M, Brattini C, Datta A, et al. Exposure to gamma tACS in Alzheimer's disease: A randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled, crossover, pilot study. *Brain Stimul.* 2021;14(3):531–40. [PubMed: 33762220]
75. Kim J, Kim H, Jeong H, Roh D, Kim DH. tACS as a promising therapeutic option for improving cognitive function in mild cognitive impairment: A direct comparison between tACS and tDCS. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2021;141:248–56. [PubMed: 34256276]
76. Cimenser A, Hempel E, Travers T, Strozewski N, Martin K, Malchano Z, et al. Sensory-Evoked 40-Hz Gamma Oscillation Improves Sleep and Daily Living Activities in Alzheimer's Disease Patients. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 2021;15:746859. [PubMed: 34630050]
77. Sprugnoli G, Munsch F, Cappon D, Paciorenk R, Macone J, Connor A, et al. Impact of multisession 40Hz tACS on hippocampal perfusion in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* 2021;13(1):203. [PubMed: 34930421]
78. Benussi A, Cantoni V, Grassi M, Brechet L, Michel CM, Datta A, et al. Increasing Brain Gamma Activity Improves Episodic Memory and Restores Cholinergic Dysfunction in Alzheimer's Disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2022;92(2):322–34. [PubMed: 35607946]

79. Chan D, Suk HJ, Jackson BL, Milman NP, Stark D, Klerman EB, et al. Gamma frequency sensory stimulation in mild probable Alzheimer's dementia patients: Results of feasibility and pilot studies. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(12):e0278412. [PubMed: 36454969]
80. Traikapi A, Kalli I, Kyriakou A, Stylianiou E, Symeou RT, Kardama A, et al. Episodic memory effects of gamma frequency precuneus transcranial magnetic stimulation in Alzheimer's disease: A randomized multiple baseline study. *J Neuropsychol*. 2023;17(2):279–301. [PubMed: 36351687]
81. Jones KT, Gallen CL, Ostrand AE, Rojas JC, Wais P, Rini J, et al. Gamma neuromodulation improves episodic memory and its associated network in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: a pilot study. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2023;129:72–88. [PubMed: 37276822]
82. Cappon D, Fox R, den Boer T, Yu W, LaGanke N, Cattaneo G, et al. Tele-supervised home-based transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) for Alzheimer's disease: a pilot study. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2023;17:1168673. [PubMed: 37333833]
83. Hoy KE, Emonson MRL, Bailey NW, Rogers C, Coyle H, Stockman F, et al. Gamma connectivity predicts response to intermittent theta burst stimulation in Alzheimer's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2023;132:13–23. [PubMed: 37717551]
84. Da X, Hempel E, Ou Y, Rowe OE, Malchano Z, Hajos M, et al. Noninvasive Gamma Sensory Stimulation May Reduce White Matter and Myelin Loss in Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2024;97(1):359–72. [PubMed: 38073386]
85. Stultz DJ, Osburn S, Burns T, Pawlowska-Wajswol S, Walton R. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) Safety with Respect to Seizures: A Literature Review. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2020;16:2989–3000. [PubMed: 33324060]
86. Matsumoto H, Ugawa Y. Adverse events of tDCS and tACS: A review. *Clin Neurophysiol Pract*. 2017;2:19–25. [PubMed: 30214966]
87. Norcia AM, Appelbaum LG, Ales JM, Cottareau BR, Rossion B. The steady-state visual evoked potential in vision research: A review. *J Vis*. 2015;15(6):4.
88. Herrmann CS. Human EEG responses to 1–100 Hz flicker: resonance phenomena in visual cortex and their potential correlation to cognitive phenomena. *Exp Brain Res*. 2001;137(3–4):346–53. [PubMed: 11355381]
89. Iaccarino HF, Singer AC, Martorell AJ, Rudenko A, Gao F, Gillingham TZ, et al. Gamma frequency entrainment attenuates amyloid load and modifies microglia. *Nature*. 2016;540(7632):230–5. [PubMed: 27929004]
90. Palop JJ, Mucke L. Network abnormalities and interneuron dysfunction in Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2016;17(12):777–92. [PubMed: 27829687]
91. Singh F, Shu IW, Granholm E, Pineda JA. Revisiting the Potential of EEG Neurofeedback for Patients With Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2020;46(4):741–2. [PubMed: 32133510]
92. Keizer AW, Verment RS, Hommel B. Enhancing cognitive control through neurofeedback: a role of gamma-band activity in managing episodic retrieval. *Neuroimage*. 2010;49(4):3404–13. [PubMed: 19925870]
93. Salari N, Buchel C, Rose M. Neurofeedback training of gamma band oscillations improves perceptual processing. *Exp Brain Res*. 2014;232(10):3353–61. [PubMed: 24992898]
94. Lin Y, Shu I-W, Singh F. Frontal gamma as a marker of effective training during neurofeedback to improve memory in patients with mild cognitive impairment. 2023 11th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering (NER); 2023 Apr 25–27; Baltimore, MD, USA. New York (US): IEEE; 2023.

Table 1.

Summary of gamma neuromodulation studies on MCI or AD patients.

Reference	Modality	Frequency, Location	Participants	Protocol	Select Outcome Measures	Results
Benussi et al, 2021 [74]	TACS	40 Hz, 60 min, Pz	MCI ($n = 20$, active/sham cross-over)	1 session	WM, semantic memory	Compared to control condition, 40 Hz TACS associated with improved WM and semantic memory performance
Kim et al, 2021 [75]	TACS	40 Hz, 30 min (bilateral DLPFC, i.e., F3, F4)	MCI ($n = 20$, TACS/TDCS/sham crossover)	1 session	Cognitive control (Stroop), trail making	Compared to TDCS, 40 Hz TACS improved sham, tACS significantly improved Stroop and trail making performance; compared sham, 40 Hz TACS significantly improved trail making performance
Cimenser et al, 2021 [76]	RSS	40 Hz simultaneous auditory-visual RSS	AD ($n = 14$, active; 8, sham)	1 hour daily x 6 months	Sleep actigraphy, daily level of function	Compared to sham, 40 Hz RSS associated with significantly less restlessness overnight and loss of daily function
Sprugnoli et al, 2021 [77]	TACS	40 Hz, 60 min (frontal/temporal)	AD ($n = 15$, active; no control condition)	5 daily sessions per week x 2 to 4 weeks	MRI: cerebral perfusion (ASL), resting gamma EEG activity	Increased bilateral hippocampal perfusion and bilateral temporal gamma activity
Benussi et al, 2022 [78]	TACS	40 Hz, 60 min, Pz	AD ($n = 60$, active/sham cross-over)	1 session	WM, semantic memory	Compared to control condition, 40 Hz TACS associated with improved WM and semantic memory performance
Chan et al, 2022 [79]	RSS	40 Hz simultaneous auditory-visual RSS	AD ($n = 8$, active; 7, control condition)	1 h daily x 3 months	Structural MRI, semantic memory	Compared to control condition, 40 Hz RSS associated with significantly less ventricular enlargement, and improved semantic memory performance
Traikapi et al, 2023 [80]	TMS	40 Hz, ~45 min (L/R precuneus)	AD ($n = 4$, active; no control condition)	10 sessions over 2 weeks	WM, trail making	1 patient exhibited significant WM and trail making improvements; a second patient exhibited significant trail making improvements
Jones et al, 2023 [81]	TACS	40 Hz, 60 min (anterior frontal/temporal/parietal) administered with memory challenge	MCI ($n = 13$, active; no control condition)	8 sessions over 4 weeks	CVLT, verbal fluency	Improved WM subscore of CVLT; improved verbal fluency
Cappon et al, 2023 [82]	TACS	40 Hz, 20 min (L angular gyrus)	AD ($n = 8$, active; no control condition)	5–7 sessions per week x 14 weeks	MoCA	Improved WM subscore of MoCA; no change in MoCA
Hoy et al, 2023 [83]	TMS	50 Hz iTBS (L/R DLPFC, L/R parietal)	AD ($n = 29$, active; 27, sham)	21 sessions over 6 weeks	Distributed gamma coupling, WM	Compared to sham, active 50 Hz iTBS associated with increased gamma coupling and WM function
Da et al, 2024 [84]	RSS	40 Hz simultaneous	AD ($n = 25$, active; 13, sham)	1 h daily x 6 months	Structural MRI: CNS white matter	Compared to sham, 40 Hz RSS associated with

Reference	Modality	Frequency, Location	Participants	Protocol	Select Outcome Measures	Results
		auditory-visual RSS				significantly less white matter loss

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript