

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF THE NONLOCAL p -POTENTIAL IN THE EMPIRICAL PSEUDOPOTENTIAL METHOD

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3k18z1rq>

Authors

Fong, C.Y.
Chadi, D.J.
Cohen, Marvin L.

Publication Date

1974-11-01

00004207555

Submitted to Physical Review

LBL-3540
Preprint C.1

AN ALTERNATIVE FORM OF THE NONLOCAL p-POTENTIAL
IN THE EMPIRICAL PSEUDOPOTENTIAL METHOD

C. Y. Fong, D. J. Chadi, and Marvin L. Cohen

November, 1974

Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-3540
c.1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

An Alternative Form of the Nonlocal p-Potential
in the Empirical Pseudopotential Method

C. Y. Fong*

Department of Physics, University of California
Davis, California 95616

and

D. J. Chadi

Xerox Palo Alto Research Center,
Palo Alto, California 94304

and

Marvin L. Cohen[†]

Department of Physics, University of California
and

Inorganic Materials Research Division,
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

An alternative nonlocal p-potential is suggested. The energy gaps at a few important k-points are calculated for NbC and NbN and compared with previous results.

Recently, we have calculated the band structures of NbN¹ and NbC.² Since the anions in these two compounds are in the first row of the periodic table, they do not have core p-states. From the point of view of the Phillip's

cancellation theorem, the valence p-states of C and N are not cancelled by the core states of same character. Because of this, in our previous calculations,^{1,2} we have augmented the potential with an $\ell = 1$ nonlocal pseudopotential having the following form:

$$V_{NL}(\underline{r}) = \sum_j \hat{P}_1^\dagger U(|\underline{r}-\underline{R}_j|) \hat{P}_1 \quad (1)$$

where \hat{P}_1 is a projection operator which operates on the spherical harmonics with $\ell = 1$, and \hat{P}_1^\dagger is the corresponding Hermitian adjoint operator. The form of $U(|\underline{r}|)$ is

$$U(|\underline{r}|) = \begin{cases} A_1 r e^{-\alpha r} & r \leq R_s \\ 0 & r > R_s \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where R_s is the core radius of the atom. A_1 and α are treated as disposable parameters. When Eq. (1) is evaluated between two plane waves, we get the following radial integral in the matrix elements:

$$\int_0^{R_s} j_1(k_1 r) j_1(k_2 r) r^3 e^{-\alpha r} dr \quad (3)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the magnitudes of \underline{k} for the two plane waves. Because the integrand is singular as $r \rightarrow 0$, the integral does not have a closed form. A gaussian quadrature numerical integration routine with 8-point has been used in refs. 1 and 2. Unfortunately, we have found that the 8-point integration scheme is not highly accurate and the effect of the p potential is over estimated. To avoid the singular

behavior in the matrix elements, we suggest here an alternate form for the nonlocal p-potential:

$$V_{NL}(\underline{r}) = \sum_j \begin{cases} |U_1(|\underline{r}-\underline{R}_j|)|P_1\rangle\langle U_1(|\underline{r}-\underline{R}_j|)|P_1| & |\underline{r}-\underline{R}_j| \leq r_s \\ 0 & |\underline{r}-\underline{R}_j| > r_s \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $U_1(r)$ is a constant. The differences between the present form and Eq. (1) are: (a) The radial part of the potential in Eq. (4) is nonlocal; (b) The matrix element of Eq. (4) between any two plane waves can be expressed in closed form, and therefore, there is no need for a costly numerical integration routine.

The value of $U_1(r)$ is determined by fitting the important p to s and d energy gaps reported in ref. 1 and 2 by keeping R_s , the local and the d nonlocal pseudopotential fixed. In table I, we compare the values of the previously obtained energy gaps with the ones calculated by using Eq. (4). In NbC, the overall difference is of the order of 0.2 eV. The largest discrepancies in NbN are at X_5 , and L_3 . The better overall agreement for the NbC case is probably due to the fact the A_1 used in ref. 2 was about 0.5 ryd. smaller than that used in ref. 1.

Part of this work was done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

References

- * Supported in part by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC) under Grant No. AFOSR-72-2353.
- † Supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant DMR72-03206-A02.
1. C. Y. Fong and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B6, 3633 (1972).
 2. D. J. Chadi and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B10, 496 (1974).

Table Caption

Table 1. Comparison of the principal energy gaps in NbC and NbN for two forms of the nonlocal p-pseudopotential.

Table 1

| Compounds nonlocal p-pseudopotential | NbC | | NbN | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Eqs. (1) and (2) | Eq. (4) | Eqs. (1) and (2) | Eq. (4) |
| $\Gamma_{15} \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ | 4.06 eV | 3.75 eV | 6.52 eV | 6.52 eV |
| $X_{4'} \rightarrow \Gamma_{15}$ | 3.08 | 3.15 | 2.25 | 2.21 |
| $X_{5'} \rightarrow \Gamma_{15}$ | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.9 | 0.41 |
| $\Gamma_{15} \rightarrow \Gamma_{25'}$ | 0.92 | 0.79 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| $\Gamma_{15} \rightarrow \Gamma_{12}$ | 3.08 | 2.96 | 3.14 | 3.14 |
| $L_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{15}$ | 5.23 | 5.45 | 4.84 | 4.6 |
| $L_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_{15}$ | 4.96 | 5.39 | 4.21 | 5.23 |
| $X_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_{15}$ | 2.15 | 2.35 | 1.74 | 1.74 |
| $\Gamma_{15} \rightarrow X_1$ | 2.58 | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.69 |
| $R_1(r)^2$ | | -0.19 ryd | | -0.67 ryd |

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720