UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Selective Photochemical Oxidation of Reduced Dissolved Organic Sulfur to Inorganic Sulfate.

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3j75r4wn>

Journal Environmental science & technology letters, 10(6)

ISSN 2328-8930

Author Poulin, Brett A

Publication Date 2023-06-01

DOI

10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

[pubs.acs.org/journal/estlcu](pubs.acs.org/journal/estlcu?ref=pdf) Letter

Selective Photochemical Oxidation of Reduced Dissolved Organic Sulfur to Inorganic Sulfate

Brett A. [Poulin](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Brett+A.+Poulin"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-5-0)

Cite This: *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 2023, 10, [499−505](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210&ref=pdf) **Read [Online](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210?ref=pdf)**

dissolved organic sulfur (DOS_{Red}) have implications on the biogeochemical cycling of trace and major elements across fresh and marine aquatic environments, but the underlying processes governing DOS_{Red} stability remain obscure. Here, dissolved organic matter (DOM) was isolated from a sulfidic wetland, and laboratory experiments quantified dark and photochemical oxidation of DOSRed using atomic-level measurement of sulfur X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy. DOS_{Red} was completely resistant to oxidation by molecular oxygen in the dark and underwent rapid and quantitative oxidation to inorganic sulfate $(SO_4^2$ ⁻) in the presence of sunlight. The rate of DOS_{Red} oxidation to SO_4^2 ⁻ greatly exceeded that of DOM photomineralization,

resulting in a 50% loss of total DOS and 78% loss of DOS_{Red} over 192 h of irradiance. Sulfonates (DOS_{SO3}) and other minor oxidized DOS functionalities were not susceptible to photochemical oxidation. The observed susceptibility of DOS_{Red} to photodesulfurization, which has implications on carbon, sulfur, and mercury cycling, should be comprehensively evaluated across diverse aquatic environments of differing DOM composition.

KEYWORDS: *Dissolved organic sulfur, Desulfurization, DOM photochemistry, S-XANES*

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Dissolved organic sulfur (DOS) is a dynamic constituent of fresh^{[1](#page-6-0)} and marine waters^{2,3} that influences diverse biogeochemical processes, including the cycling of sulfur (S) between organic and inorganic forms, $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ formation of atmospheric organic S species (e.g., carbonyl sulfide (COS), carbonyl disulfide (CS_2) , and dimethyl sulfide (DMS) ,^{[4](#page-6-0)} and transport,^{[5](#page-6-0)} bioavailability,^{[6](#page-6-0)} and photochemical reactivity of mercury $(Hg).^{7,8}$ $(Hg).^{7,8}$ $(Hg).^{7,8}$ $(Hg).^{7,8}$ $(Hg).^{7,8}$ The abiotic sulfurization of dissolved organic matter (DOM), involving nucleophilic addition of inorganic sulfide into DOM as reduced DOS (DOS_{Red}) (namely, thiols),^{[1](#page-6-0),[3](#page-6-0),[9](#page-6-0)} occurs in wastewater treatment systems, 10 10 10 wetlands 1 1 1 and estuaries, 11 11 11 sulfidic lakes, 12 and diverse marine waters.^{13−[15](#page-6-0)} Aside from thiols, $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ can be present as thioethers, disulfides, and perhaps thiophenes.^{[3,16](#page-6-0)} Low-molecular-weight thiols (e.g., cysteine, glutathione) rapidly oxidize in dark and light oxic conditions, $17,18$ whereas DOS_{Red} is abundant, ranging from 50 to 70% of total DOS in fresh-waters.^{[1](#page-6-0),[16](#page-6-0),[19](#page-6-0)} Concentrations of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}^{-1}$ exceed low-molecular-weight thiols by 2-3 orders of magnitude.^{[20](#page-6-0)} Therefore, understanding the stability of DOS is central to ascertaining the implications of DOS chemistry on the above-mentioned biogeochemical processes. To date, no studies have quantified the atomic-level transformation of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ due to dark and light oxidation, as research has probed photochemical changes in lowmolecular-weight thiols^{[17](#page-6-0),[21](#page-6-0),[22](#page-6-0)} or total DOS loss,^{[23](#page-6-0)-[25](#page-6-0)} or formation of organic and inorganic byproducts. $4,21$

Here, the stability of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ to light and dark oxidation was quantified by atomic measurements of S X-ray absorption spectroscopy, which quantifies different DOS oxidation states. DOM was isolated from a sulfidic wetland known to have high $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}^{-1}$ and subjected to laboratory oxidation by O_2 in the dark and artificial sunlight. Quantified changes in the DOM S content, DOS oxidation states, and inorganic S byproducts provide a more complete assessment of the stability of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ in aquatic environments.

■ **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

DOM Sample Collection and Extraction. DOM was isolated from a representative sulfidic freshwater environment for laboratory experimentation as shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) S1 and detailed in [Section](#page-5-0) S1 of the Supporting Information (SI). Briefly, pore water was collected from a sulfidic Florida

Received: March 21, 2023 Revised: April 26, 2023 Accepted: April 26, 2023 Published: May 3, 2023

a For light treatment samples the experimental duration is the time in ^a solar simulator at ⁵⁰⁰ ^W m2[−]. *^b* Measured on DOM extracts. *^c* [Eq](#page-3-0) 2 used to determine aqueous concentrations. Values in parentheses are atomic fractions (%) of organic sulfur. *^d* Measured on aqueous solutions prior to DOM extraction. *^e* [Eq](#page-3-0) 3 used to determine total S concentration.

Everglades wetland (site WCA 2A-O; 26.42506°N, -80.47601° -80.47601° -80.47601° W) where DOS_{Red} is elevated,¹ stored under N₂ at 4 °C, and shipped on ice to the U.S. Geological Survey (Boulder, Colorado) for DOM isolation. The pore water was characterized in the field for pH (6.62), oxidation−reduction potential (−252 mV), dissolved oxygen (0.11 mg L^{−1}), and sulfide (0.22 mM), and via laboratory measurements of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (42.7 mgC L^{-1}) and sulfate concentration (0.38 mM), and DOM specific ultraviolet absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA $_{254}$) $(3.4 \text{ L (mg m)}^{-1})$.^{[26](#page-6-0)} In the laboratory, residual inorganic sulfide was removed by purging with helium at pH 4.0, and the hydrophobic organic acid (HPOA) fraction of DOM was isolated on XAD-8 $resin^{27}$ $resin^{27}$ $resin^{27}$ using trace-metal grade acids, degassed solutions, and N_2 -flushed tubing. An experiment (outlined in [Section](#page-5-0) S2 of the SI) evaluated the oxidation of DOS during the elution step by comparing DOM isolated by XAD-8 resin (base elution) to PPL resin (methanol elution)^{[28](#page-6-0)} and verified that DOM isolated by XAD-8 resin using deaerated solutions did not result in measurable oxidation of DOS [\(Figure](#page-5-0) [S2](#page-5-0), [Tables](#page-5-0) S1–S2). The HPOA fraction accounted for 54% of the whole water DOC and was stored for up to 21 days (pH 3.5, under N_2 , 4 °C) for use in laboratory experiments.

Laboratory Oxidation Experiments. The purified DOM sample was diluted with deaerated high-purity water (\geq 18 M Ω) cm; Barnstead GenPro UV) to a DOC concentration of 37.9 mgC L⁻¹ (pH 7) (complete details in [SI](#page-5-0) and [Figure](#page-5-0) S1), similar to surface waters of sulfate-enriched wetlands^{[1](#page-6-0),[12,26](#page-6-0)} but lower than those in previous DOS photolysis studies.^{[21](#page-6-0)} Although no pH buffer was used, subtle changes in pH expected from light exposure²⁹ were not expected to dramatically influence the DOS photochemical oxidation rates.^{[17](#page-6-0)} The initial DOM was sampled for characterization (termed $t = 0$ (Initial)). The following three experimental treatments were performed in 2 L quartz roundbottom flasks with 1 L of DOM solution ([Figure](#page-5-0) S3a); the large volume was necessary for DOS characterization with this technique.^{[1](#page-6-0)} (1) A dark anoxic control treatment ($n = 1$, termed Dark, Anoxic Control) was stored in the dark, under N_2 at 22 ± 2 °C for 14 d to identify changes in DOS during storage or DOM reisolation. (2) A dark O_2 purge treatment ($n = 1$, termed Dark, O_2 Purge) quantified oxidation of DOS by O_2 and was purged in the dark with zero-grade air for 192 h (20.5% O_2 , 79.5% N_2 ; 30 mL min⁻¹; 22 \pm 2 °C). (3) The light treatment (termed Light 1−5 with one data point collected in duplicate, *n* = 6) was performed in a temperature-controlled solar simulator (Suntest XLS) at 500 W m[−]² and 30 °C (300−800 nm irradiance range, spectrum provided in [Figure](#page-5-0) S3b). Immediately before irradiation, DOM solutions were oxygen-saturated by purging with zero-grade air (98% saturation; an Orion RDO optical probe). Independent vessels were irradiated for 1.3, 5.3, 24 (*n* = 2), 78, and 192 h. Light treatments of 24−192 h duration were purged with zero-grade air every 12 h to prevent the depletion of O_2 .^{[21](#page-6-0)}

Following dark and light oxidation experiments, experimental solutions were sampled for aqueous measurements including DOC concentration, DOM absorption, and fluorescence properties (decadic absorption coefficients at 254 nm (α_{254}) and 400 nm (α_{400}) ; SUVA₂₅₄;^{[30](#page-6-0)} spectral slope from 275 to 295 nm $(S_{275-295}$; $x10^{-3}$ nm⁻¹)^{[31](#page-6-0)} humification index (HIX)^{[32](#page-7-0)}), and sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) and thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{2-})$ concentration by ion chromatography. Complete information on these measurements is provided in [Section](#page-5-0) S1 of the SI. DOM optical measurements were used to identify changes in the DOM composition.^{[33](#page-7-0)} Next, DOM solutions were deaerated and DOM was reisolated on XAD-8 resin (to remove inorganic S species), lyophilized, and stored under N_2 for DOS characterization.

DOS Characterization. Atomic S and C contents (and thus atomic S/C) of freeze-dried DOM samples were determined by Huffman Hazen Laboratories (Golden, CO) using International Humic Substances Society (IHSS) methods. Sulfur K-edge XANES spectra were collected on freeze-dried DOM samples

Figure 1. Sulfur K-edge XANES spectra comparing the DOM at the start of the experiment $(t = 0$ (initial)) to (a) the Dark Anoxic control and Dark O₂ Purge (192 h) treatments and (b) light treatment (Light 1–5, 1.3–192 h). Gray dashed vertical lines identify nominal energies of DOS_{Red} (E₀ = 2473.1 and 2474.4 eV for exocyclic and heterocyclic reduced S), sulfonate ($DOS₀₃$, E₀ = 2481.3 eV), and organosulfate ($DOS₀₄$, E₀ = 2482.8 eV) functionalities. In subplot a, spectra show no considerable change in DOM sulfur functionalities in the Dark Anoxic control and Dark O₂ Purge (192 h) treatments compared to the initial sample $(t = 0)$. In subplot b, spectra show a systematic decrease in the relative distribution of DOS_{Red} functionalities and an increase in the relative distribution of DOS_{SO3} and DOS_{SO4} with increased cumulative irradiance. The decrease in the relative distribution of DOS_{Red} functionalities is accompanied by a decrease in the atomic sulfur-to-carbon content (Atomic S/C) of the DOM. Gaussian decompositions of spectra and parameter values are provided in [Figures](#page-5-0) S6 and S8 and [Tables](#page-5-0) S3−S4.

(pressed as 5 mm pellets; see evaluation in [Figure](#page-5-0) S4) on beamline 9-BM-B of the Advanced Photon Source (Argonne National Laboratory) as detailed previously^{[1](#page-6-0)} and in [Section](#page-5-0) S1e. DOS atomic fractions $(f_{\rm DOS_X})$ were determined with a precision estimated at \leq 1.6% (based on measurement of IHSS samples)^{[16](#page-6-0)} for exocyclic reduced (DOS_{Exo}) , heterocyclic reduced (DOS_{Hetero}) , sulfoxide (DOS_{Sulfx}) , sulfone (DOS_{SO2}) , sulfonate (DOS_{SO3}) , and organosulfate (DOS_{SO4}) . Nominal energies of DOS_{Exo} and DOS_{Hetero} are 2473.1 and 2474.4 eV, respectively, based on measurement of diverse model compounds.^{[16](#page-6-0)} However, S-XANES spectra of reduced S model compounds likely in DOM (e.g., thiols, thioethers, disulfide, and thiophenes) span the energy range of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Exo}}$ and $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Hetero}}$ $(\mathrm{Figure\;SS})^{16}$ $(\mathrm{Figure\;SS})^{16}$ $(\mathrm{Figure\;SS})^{16}$ and cannot easily be resolved due to X-ray absorption doublets and shoulders. Thus, this study presents the total reduced DOS_{Red} defined in eq 1.

$$
DOS_{\text{Red}} = DOS_{\text{Exo}} + DOS_{\text{Hetero}} \tag{1}
$$

Concentrations of DOS functionalities, relative to carbon, were calculated by multiplying the fraction of each DOS functionality by the atomic S/C. Aqueous concentrations of DOS functionalities ($[DOS_X]$) were calculated using eq 2, where [DOC] is the DOC concentration measured on experimental solutions before DOM reisolation and the atomic S/C and f_{DOS} . are measured on DOM extracts.

$$
[DOSX] = [DOC] \times atomic \frac{S}{C} \times f_{DOSX}
$$
 (2)

Total S concentration (S_{Tot}) was determined using eq 3, where [DOC] and $[SO_4^{2-}]$ are the DOC and SO_4^{2-} concentrations measured on experimental solutions before DOM reisolation, respectively, and the atomic S/C is of the DOM extract.

$$
S_{\text{Tot}} = \left(\text{[DOC]} \times \text{atomic} \frac{S}{C} \right) + \text{[SO}_4^2 \text{-} \tag{3}
$$

■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Dark Stability of Dissolved Organic Sulfur. DOM at the start of the experiment $(t = 0 \text{ (initial)})$ showed elevated organic S content (atomic $S/C = 9.6 \times 10^{-3}$; [Table](#page-2-0) 1) and an S K-edge XANES spectrum (Figure 1a) with prominent absorption at energies of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ functionalities. The distribution of DOS functionalities, based on spectral fitting [\(Figure](#page-5-0) S6, [Table](#page-5-0) S3), quantified that $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ accounted for 82% of total DOS in the t $= 0$ (initial) sample. Of the 82% of DOS_{Red}, approximately twothirds was highly reduced $\mathrm{DOS}_\mathrm{Exo}$ and one-third was $\mathrm{DOS}_\mathrm{Hetero}$. The concentration of DOS_{Red} in experimental solutions was 24.6 μ M, whereas inorganic SO₄²⁻ and S₂O₃²⁻ were minor components (1.0 *μ*M and <0.45 *μ*M, respectively). The high proportion of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ is consistent with previous investigations of sulfur-enriched Everglades wetlands $1,34$ $1,34$ $1,34$ and peat that has undergone sulfurization^{[35](#page-7-0)} but higher than surface water DOM samples.^{[16](#page-6-0)} Previous measurements of DOM from this location concluded that abiotic sulfurization yields DOS_{Red} primarily as thiols and thioethers, based on complementary use of S K-edge XANES spectroscopy and ultrahigh resolution mass spectrom-etry.^{[1](#page-6-0)} Here, DOS_{Red} stability to dark oxidation was first evaluated under anoxic conditions (Dark Anoxic Control treatment) and by O_2 (Dark O_2 Purge treatment). The Dark Anoxic Control treatment, held anoxic for 14 days, exhibited minor differences in DOS content and functionality (atomic S/ $C = 9.7 \times 10^{-3}$ and $DOS_{Red} = 77\%$ of total DOS, respectively) compared to the $t = 0$ (initial) sample (Figure 1a, [Table](#page-2-0) 1); this confirms negligible oxidation of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ under anoxic storage or during DOM reisolation. The Dark O_2 Purge treatment, purged

Figure 2. Kinetics results of light treatment (Light 1−5, 1.3−192 h) including the (a) aqueous concentrations of total sulfur (Total S), total organic sulfur (Total DOS), five DOS functionalities quantified by S K-edge XANES spectroscopy (DOS_{Red} , DOS_{Sullav} , DOS_{SO2} , DOS_{SO3} , DOS_{SO3} , and inorganic sulfate (SO4 2). Plot of (b) C/C₀ showing the rapid rate of DOS_{Red} photodegradation in comparison to DOC photomineralization and DOM photobleaching (shown as α₄₀₀). In plot (a), the decrease in concentrations of DOS_{Red} functionalities with increasing light duration is concurrent with the increase in SO $_4^{2-}$ concentration; dotted lines are provided to guide the eye, and error bars present accuracies of DOS_{Red}. In plot (b), the dashed lines present the exponential fit of the data to guide the eye.

for 8 days with zero-grade air, had similar DOS content (atomic $S/C = 9.0 \times 10^{-3}$), DOS speciation (DOS_{Red} = 79%), and SO₄² concentration $(2.8 \mu M)$ as the t = 0 (initial) sample. Differences in DOS_{Red} abundance between these three DOM samples were small and within the accuracy of S K-edge XANES measure-ments,^{[16](#page-6-0)} and DOM had comparable DOS content (within 6.2%), DOC and SO_4^2 ⁻ concentrations, and DOM optical properties ([Table](#page-2-0) 1, [Figure](#page-5-0) S7). In summary, DOS_{Red} was completely resistant to dark oxidation, consistent with experi-ments tracking reaction byproducts^{[21](#page-6-0)} and the observed stability of DOS to redox manipulations. 36

Selective Photochemical Oxidation of Reduced DOS. DOM exposure to artificial sunlight yielded systematic and pronounced changes in S K-edge XANES spectra [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 1b), atomic fractions of DOS functionalities [\(Figure](#page-5-0) S8, [Table](#page-5-0) S4), and DOM S content [\(Table](#page-2-0) 1). With increasing cumulative irradiance (light 1−5, 1.3−192 h light exposure), systematic decreases were observed in X-ray absorption at energies of DOS_{Red} functionalities. Fitting results quantified a systematic decrease in DOS_{Red} from 82% of total DOS in the t = 0 (initial) sample to 50% at 192 h of irradiance. An experimental replicate of the Light 3 sample confirmed good reproducibility for each DOS functionality (differences ≤1.7%) [\(Figure](#page-5-0) S9, [Tables](#page-2-0) 1 and [S4](#page-5-0)). Simultaneously, a dramatic and systematic decrease was observed in the DOM S content (49% decrease in atomic S/C). Aqueous concentrations of DOS species [\(eq](#page-3-0) 2) show dramatic decreases in DOS_{Red} with increasing cumulative irradiance (Figure 2a). In contrast, concentrations of other DOS functionalities (DOS_{Sulfav} DOS_{SO2} , DOS_{SO3} , and DOS_{SO4}) were largely uniform in the light treatment. Decreases in DOS_{Red} concentration accounted for all changes in the DOS_{Tot} concentration [\(Figure](#page-5-0) S10), confirming that shifts in S K-edge XANES spectra and decreases in atomic S/C of DOM were exclusively due to the oxidation of DOS_{Red} . The decrease in the

DOSRed concentration with increasing irradiance was mirrored by a quantitative increase in the SO_4^2 ⁻ concentration (from 1.0 to 21.8 μ M; Figure 2a). Importantly, the $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ concentration approached an asymptote, with 37% of the DOS_{tot} being recalcitrant to photochemical oxidation over the experiment, similar to observations made of DOM from diverse sources. 21 21 21 A mass balance analysis of total S in the light experiment $(S_{Tot}; eq)$ $(S_{Tot}; eq)$ $(S_{Tot}; eq)$ [3](#page-3-0)) accounted for 93–106% of S_{Tot} at all time points [\(Table](#page-2-0) 1), verifying quantitative formation of SO_4^2 concurrent with photochemical oxidation of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$.

The light treatment also yielded systematic responses in the DOC concentration and DOM optical indices ([Figure](#page-5-0) S7). Between the $t = 0$ (initial) and Light 5 sample, the DOC concentration decreased from 37.9 to 27.0 mgC L⁻¹, α_{254} and *α*⁴⁰⁰ values decreased by 60%, and systemic shifts in DOM optical indices were observed including a decrease in DOM $SUNA_{254}$ (from 4.6 to 2.6 L (mgC m)⁻¹), increase in $S_{275-295}$ (from 14.9 to $16.8 \text{ x} 10^{-3} \text{ nm}^{-1}$), and decrease in HIX (from 24.2 to 9.4) [\(Figure](#page-5-0) S7). These changes in DOC concentration and DOM optical metrics were strictly due to photochemical processes, consistent with previous observations of photomineralization 21 and photobleaching of DOM chroma-phores.^{[31](#page-6-0),[33](#page-7-0)}

Relative rates of photochemical transformations differed drastically between the DOS_{Red} concentration, DOC concentration, and DOM absorption coefficients (e.g., α_{400}), as shown in Figure 2b as C/C_0 versus light exposure. After 5.3 h of irradiance, 25% of the DOS_{Red} was photo-oxidized to SO_4^2 whereas α_{400} and DOC concentration only decreased by 5% and 3%, respectively. After 192 h of irradiance, 78% of the $\rm DOS_{Red}$ was photo-oxidized to SO_4^2 ⁻⁻. DOS_{Red} oxidation rates could not be adequately modeled using first- or second-order reaction kinetics. The rapid decrease in relative concentration of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ demonstrates the high susceptibility of the majority of $\rm DOS_{Red}$

The contrasting stability of DOS_{Red} to partial or complete oxidation under dark and light conditions, with little evidence of photochemical oxidation or accumulation of intermediate DOS species (e.g., DOS_{SO2} , DOS_{SO3} , and DOS_{SO4}), could be explained by specific DOSRed chemistry or mechanisms of oxidative protection. At the start of the experiment, $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ was likely present as a mixture of thiol and thioether groups, which both could originate from sulfurization reactions $3,9$ $3,9$ $3,9$ or biomolecules (e.g., cysteine and methionine) and are known to undergo photochemical oxidation to SO_4^{2-21} SO_4^{2-21} SO_4^{2-21} Previous measurements of DOM from this wetland confirmed that 98%of molecules that made up $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ had one S atom (e.g., CHOS_1 , $CHON₁₋₂S₁$ $CHON₁₋₂S₁$ $CHON₁₋₂S₁$),¹ discounting the prominence of disulfide moieties. Further, thiols are confirmed in DOM from diverse aquatic environments including sulfidic wetlands and lakes, based on measured binding configuration 37 and strength of DOM-mercury complexes¹⁹ but account for a fraction of DOS_{Red} based on a mercury-titration study.^{[34](#page-7-0)} Yet, model thiols undergo rapid dark oxidation, $17,18$ $17,18$ which contrasts with the dark stability of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ observed here. Perhaps $\mathrm{DOM}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ as thiols are protected from dark oxidation by O_2 in hydrophobic DOM pockets¹⁹ but when exposed to sunlight rapidly oxidize due to high concentrations of photoreactive species (e.g., triplet excited state DOM $(^{3}CDOM*$)).^{[38](#page-7-0)} This would explain the observed susceptibility of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ to sunlight. Although the distribution of thiol and thioethers that make up $\rm{DOS}_{\rm{Red}}$ could not be resolved here, the observed complex kinetics of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ photochemical oxidation and previous mechanistic studies support that a combination of direct photolysis of chromophoric $DOS_{Red}²$ and indirect photolysis via triplet excited state DOM $(^{3}CDOM*)^{22}$ $(^{3}CDOM*)^{22}$ $(^{3}CDOM*)^{22}$ explains the photochemical oxidation of thiols and thioether groups to SO_4^2 ⁻.

The finding of selective DOS_{Red} photochemical oxidation to SO_4^2 ⁻ agrees with irradiance studies of low-molecular-weight thiols and thioethers 21 and DOM, quantified by either the production^{[21](#page-6-0)} of SO₄²⁻ or loss of S-containing molecules.^{23–[25](#page-6-0)} Selective photochemical oxidation of DOS_{Red} to $SO₄²⁻$ was inferred by Ossola et al. $(2019),²¹$ $(2019),²¹$ $(2019),²¹$ as this pathway was greatest in DOM collected from sulfidic environments. Further, a separate analysis presented in Figure S11 shows that the photochemical oxidation of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ to $\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$ measured of IHSS samples 21 is greatest in DOM with elevated $\%\text{DOS}_{\text{Red}}$, the latter measured by Manceau and Nagy $(2012).^{16}$ $(2012).^{16}$ $(2012).^{16}$ Photochemical oxidation of DOS_{Red} to SO_4^{2-} may occur through organic (DOS_{SO2}) DOS_{SO3}) or inorganic intermediates $(SO₂, SO₃²⁻)²¹$ $(SO₂, SO₃²⁻)²¹$ $(SO₂, SO₃²⁻)²¹$ which may not have accumulated in experimental solutions or may have been at a low concentration. It is unclear why a fraction of DOS_{Red} was photorecalcitrant [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 2a, [Table](#page-2-0) 1), but this observation is consistent with previous laboratory studies.^{[21,25](#page-6-0)} Metals have been observed to prevent 18 and promote^{[39](#page-7-0)} oxidation of model reduced S compounds, but additional investigations are required with DOS. Similarly, oxidized organic S functionalities (e.g., DOS_{SO3}) did not change in concentration due to irradiance. Perhaps DOS_{SO3} groups are primarily in nonchromophoric DOM molecules, as supported by photochemical oxidation experiments of model compounds, 21 or that their relative low concentration obscured detection. Results from this study provide a critical atomic-level validation of mechanisms of the selective and rapid photochemical oxidation of DOS_{Red} to SO_4^2 ⁻¹.

Implications of Findings in Biogeochemical Cycles. The selective photochemical degradation of DOS_{Red} to SO_4^2 observed in DOM from sulfidic pore waters is likely an important phenomenon in fresh and marine surface waters, and is likely a result of formation^{[1](#page-6-0),[3](#page-6-0),[9](#page-6-0)} and stabilization of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ in DOM moieties that are highly susceptible to photochemical oxidation. The oxidation of DOS_{Red} helps explain why methylmercury (with Hg in a divalent oxidation state), exclusively bound to DOM thiols in freshwaters, is photoreduced to gaseous elemental Hg rather than photodegraded to divalent inorganic Hg.^{[7](#page-6-0),[8](#page-6-0)} DOS_{Red} may be a precursor to minor volatile organic S species not measured here (e.g., COS , CS ₂, DMS),^{[4](#page-6-0)} whereas oxidized DOS functionalities (e.g., DOS_{SO2}) DOS_{SO3}) could be precursors of methanesulfonic acid and methanesulfinic acid; 21 both require future investigation. Yet, the high relative abundance of $\mathrm{DOS}_{\mathrm{Red}}$ in photic freshwater systems^{[1](#page-6-0),[16](#page-6-0),[19](#page-6-0)} remains an enigma. The photostability of DOS as sulfonate (DOS_{SO3}) here contrasts conclusions drawn of marine and wetland DOS speciation and photolability using a molecular derivatization analysis, $25,40$ $25,40$ $25,40$ highlighting the need for coupled atomic- and molecular-level measurements to unravel DOS complexities in natural waters. This is of particular importance in sulfur-enriched riverine and coastal environments receiving agricultural runoff⁴¹ and wastewater effluent^{[10](#page-6-0)} and marine waters where DOS (de)sulfurization influences S cycling^{[2](#page-6-0)} and carbon diagenesis. 14 Future studies are needed to constrain DOSRed speciation and quantify mechanisms and kinetics of DOSRed photochemical oxidation across a variety of aquatic environments.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT** ***sı Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210?goto=supporting-info).

Description of DOM extraction, laboratory experiments, chemical analyses, and S-XANES spectra acquisition and processing [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210/suppl_file/ez3c00210_si_001.pdf))

All S-XANES spectra ([XLSX](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210/suppl_file/ez3c00210_si_002.xlsx))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Brett A. Poulin − *Department of Environmental Toxicology, University of California Davis, Davis, California 95616, United States;* ● [orcid.org/0000-0002-5555-7733;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5555-7733) Phone: +1 530 754 2454; Email: bapoulin@ucdavis.edu

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00210?ref=pdf)

Notes

The author declares no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I thank David Krabbenhoft (USGS) (field assistance), Aron Stubbins (NU) and Sasha Wagner (RPI) (PPL extraction), Matthew Jones (CUB) and Sara Breitmeyer (USGS) (laboratory assistance), Kathryn Nagy (UIC) and Joseph Ryan (CUB) (consultation), Tianpin Wu and George Sterbinsky (APS) (beamline assistance), and 3 anonymous reviewers and William Arnold (constructive feedback). Support was provided by the U.S. Geological Survey Greater Everglades Priority Ecosystems Science (GEPES) Program and the National Science Foundation (EAR-1629698). This research used

resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Poulin, B. A.; Ryan, J. N.; Nagy, K. L.; Stubbins, A.; Dittmar, T.; Orem, W.; Krabbenhoft, D. P.; Aiken, G. R. Spatial [Dependence](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Reduced Sulfur in Everglades Dissolved Organic Matter [Controlled](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by Sulfate [Enrichment.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51* (7), 3630−3639.

(2) Ksionzek, K. B.; Lechtenfeld, O. J.; McCallister, S. L.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Geuer, J. K.; Geibert, W.; Koch, B. P. [Dissolved](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7796) Organic Sulfur in the Ocean: [Biogeochemistry](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7796) of a Petagram Inventory. *Science* 2016, *354* (6311), 456−459.

(3) Vairavamurthy, A.; Mopper, K. [Geochemical](https://doi.org/10.1038/329623a0) Formation of [Organosulphur](https://doi.org/10.1038/329623a0) Compounds (Thiols) by Addition of H2S to [Sedimentary](https://doi.org/10.1038/329623a0) Organic Matter. *Nature* 1987, *329*, 623−625.

(4) Du, Q.; Mu, Y.; Zhang, C.; Liu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, C. [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.08.006) Production of Carbonyl Sulfide, Carbon Disulfide and [Dimethyl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.08.006) Sulfide in a Lake Water. *J. Environ. Sci.* 2017, *51*, 146−156.

(5) Brigham, M. E.; Wentz, D. A.; Aiken, G. R.; Krabbenhoft, D. P. Mercury Cycling in Stream [Ecosystems.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es802694n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) 1. Water Column Chemistry and [Transport.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es802694n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2009, *43* (8), 2720−2725.

(6) Graham, A. M.; Cameron-Burr, K. T.; Hajic, H. A.; Lee, C.; Msekela, D.; Gilmour, C. C. [Sulfurization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02781?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Dissolved Organic Matter Increases [Hg-Sulfide-Dissolved](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02781?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Organic Matter Bioavailability to a Hg-[Methylating](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02781?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Bacterium. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51* (16), 9080− 9088.

(7) Black, F. J.; Poulin, B. A.; Flegal, A. R. Factors [Controlling](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.01.019) the Abiotic Photo-Degradation of [Monomethylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.01.019) in Surface Waters. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2012, *84*, 492−507.

(8) Jeremiason, J. D.; Portner, J. C.; Aiken, G. R.; Hiranaka, A. J.; Dvorak, M. T.; Tran, K. T.; Latch, D. E. [Photoreduction](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EM00305A) of Hg(II) and [Photodemethylation](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EM00305A) of Methylmercury: The Key Role of Thiol Sites on [Dissolved](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EM00305A) Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* 2015, *17* (11), 1892−1903.

(9) Hoffmann, M.; Mikutta, C.; Kretzschmar, R. Bisulfide [Reaction](https://doi.org/10.1021/es302590x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with Natural Organic Matter [Enhances](https://doi.org/10.1021/es302590x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Arsenite Sorption: Insights from X-Ray Absorption [Spectroscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es302590x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, *46* (21), 11788−11797.

(10) Gonsior, M.; Zwartjes, M.; Cooper, W. J.; Song, W.; Ishida, K. P.; Tseng, L. Y.; Jeung, M. K.; Rosso, D.; Hertkorn, N.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P. Molecular [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.03.016) of Effluent Organic Matter Identified by Ultrahigh Resolution Mass [Spectrometry.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.03.016) *Water Res.* 2011, *45* (9), 2943−2953.

(11) Powers, L. C.; Lapham, L. L.; Malkin, S. Y.; Heyes, A.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Gonsior, M. Molecular and Optical [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2021.104324) Reveals the Preservation and [Sulfurization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2021.104324) of Chemically Diverse Porewater Dissolved Organic Matter in [Oligohaline](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2021.104324) and Brackish [Chesapeake](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2021.104324) Bay Sediments. *Org. Geochem.* 2021, *161*, 104324.

(12) Sleighter, R. L.; Chin, Y.-P.; Arnold, W. A.; Hatcher, P. G.; McCabe, A. J.; McAdams, B. C.; Wallace, G. C. [Evidence](https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500229b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [Incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500229b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Abiotic S and N into Prairie Wetland Dissolved [Organic](https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500229b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 2014, *1* (9), 345−350.

(13) Gomez-Saez, G. V.; Dittmar, T.; Holtappels, M.; Pohlabeln, A. M.; Lichtschlag, A.; Schnetger, B.; Boetius, A.; Niggemann, J. [Sulfurization](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf6199) of Dissolved Organic Matter in the Anoxic Water Column of the [Black](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf6199) Sea. *Sci. Adv.* 2021, *7* (25), No. eabf6199.

(14) Raven, M. R.; Keil, R. G.; Webb, S. M. [Microbial](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6035) Sulfate Reduction and Organic Sulfur [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6035) in Sinking Marine Particles. *Science* 2021, *371* (6525), 178−181.

(15) Gomez-Saez, G. V.; Niggemann, J.; Dittmar, T.; Pohlabeln, A. M.; Lang, S. Q.; Noowong, A.; Pichler, T.; Wörmer, L.; Bühring, S. I. Molecular Evidence for Abiotic [Sulfurization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.027) of Dissolved Organic Matterin Marine Shallow [Hydrothermal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.027) Systems. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2016, *190*, 35−52.

(16) Manceau, A.; Nagy, K. L. [Quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.09.033) Analysis of Sulfur Functional Groups in Natural Organic Matter by XANES [Spectrosco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.09.033)[py.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.09.033) *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2012, *99*, 206−223.

(17) Chu, C.; Erickson, P. R.; Lundeen, R. a.; Stamatelatos, D.; Alaimo, P. J.; Latch, D. E.; McNeill, K. [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01291?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and [Nonphotochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01291?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Transformations of Cysteine with Dissolved [Organic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01291?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50* (12), 6363−6373.

(18) Hsu-Kim, H. Stability of [Metal-Glutathione](https://doi.org/10.1021/es062269+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Complexes during Oxidation by Hydrogen Peroxide and [Cu\(II\)-Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es062269+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2007, *41* (7), 2338−2342.

(19) Haitzer, M.; Aiken, G. R.; Ryan, J. N. Binding of [Mercury\(II\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/es026291o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) to Aquatic Humic [Substances:](https://doi.org/10.1021/es026291o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Influence of PH and Source of Humic [Substances.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es026291o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, *37* (11), 2436−2441.

(20) Liem-Nguyen, V.; Skyllberg, U.; Björn, E. [Thermodynamic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04622?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Modeling of the Solubility and Chemical [Speciation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04622?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Mercury and [Methylmercury](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04622?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Driven by Organic Thiols and Micromolar Sulfide [Concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04622?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Boreal Wetland Soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51* (7), 3678−3686.

(21) Ossola, R.; Tolu, J.; Clerc, B.; Erickson, P. R.; Winkel, L. H. E.; McNeill, K. Photochemical Production of Sulfate and [Methanesulfonic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04721?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Acid from [Dissolved](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04721?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Organic Sulfur. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *53* (22), 13191−13200.

(22) Ossola, R.; Clerc, B.; McNeill, K. [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04340?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Insights into Dissolved Organic Sulfur [Photomineralization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04340?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) through the Study of [Cysteine](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04340?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Sulfinic Acid. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *54* (20), 13066− 13076.

(23) Stubbins, A.; Spencer, R. G. M.; Chen, H.; Hatcher, P. G.; Mopper, K.; Hernes, P. J.; Mwamba, V. L.; Mangangu, A. M.; Wabakanghanzi, J. N.; Six, J. [Illuminated](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.4.1467) Darkness : Molecular [Signatures](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.4.1467) of Congo River Dissolved Organic Matter and Its [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.4.1467) Alteration as Revealed by Ultrahigh Precision Mass [Spectrometry.](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.4.1467) *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2010, *55* (4), 1467−1477.

(24) Herzsprung, P.; Hertkorn, N.; Friese, K.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P. [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4719) Degradation of Natural Organic Sulfur Compounds (CHOS) from Iron-Rich Mine Pit Lake Pore [Waters-an](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4719) Initial Understanding from Evaluation of [Single-Elemental](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4719) Formulae Using [Ultra-High-Resolution](https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4719) Mass Spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2010, *24* (19), 2909−2924.

(25) Gomez-Saez, G. V.; Pohlabeln, A. M.; Stubbins, A.; Marsay, C. M.; Dittmar, T. [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03713?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Alteration of Dissolved Organic Sulfur from Sulfidic [Porewater.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03713?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51* (24), 14144− 14154.

(26) Tate, M. T.; DeWild, J. F.; Ogorek, J. M.; Janssen, S. E.; Krabbenhoft, D. P.; Poulin, B. A.; Breitmeyer, S. E.; Aiken, G. R.; Orem, W. H.; Varonka, M. S. Chemical [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.5066/P976EGIX) of Water, Sediments, and Fish from Water [Conservation](https://doi.org/10.5066/P976EGIX) Areas and Canals of the Florida [Everglades](https://doi.org/10.5066/P976EGIX) (USA), 2012 to 2019. *U.S. Geologic Survey data release* 2023, DOI: [10.5066/P976EGIX](https://doi.org/10.5066/P976EGIX?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(27) Aiken, G. R.; McKnight, D. M.; Thorn, K. A.; Thurman, E. M. Isolation of [Hydrophilic](https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(92)90119-I) Organic Acids from Water Using Nonionic [Macroporous](https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(92)90119-I) Resins. *Org. Geochem.* 1992, *18* (4), 567−573.

(28) Dittmar, T.; Koch, B.; Hertkorn, N.; Kattner, G. A [Simple](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.230) and Efficient Method for the [Solid-Phase](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.230) Extraction of Dissolved Organic Matter [\(SPE-DOM\)](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.230) from Seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 2008, *6* (6), 230−235.

(29) Sharpless, C. M.; Aeschbacher, M.; Page, S. E.; Wenk, J.; Sander, M.; McNeill, K. [Photooxidation-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/es403925g?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Changes in Optical, Electrochemical, and [Photochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/es403925g?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Properties of Humic Substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *48* (5), 2688−2696.

(30) Weishaar, J. L.; Aiken, G. R.; Bergamaschi, B. a; Fram, M. S.; Fujii, R.; Mopper, K. Evaluation of Specific Ultraviolet [Absorbance](https://doi.org/10.1021/es030360x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) as an Indicator of the Chemical [Composition](https://doi.org/10.1021/es030360x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Reactivity of Dissolved Organic [Carbon.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es030360x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, *37* (20), 4702−4708.

(31) Helms, J. R.; Stubbins, A.; Ritchie, J. D.; Minor, E. C.; Kieber, D. J.; Mopper, K. [Absorption](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.3.0955) Spectral Slopes and Slope Ratios as Indicators of Molecular Weight, Source, and [Photobleaching](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.3.0955) of [Chromophoric](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.3.0955) Dissolved Organic Matter. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2008, *53* (3), 955−969.

(32) Ohno, T. Fluorescence [Inner-Filtering](https://doi.org/10.1021/es0155276?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Correction for Determining the [Humification](https://doi.org/10.1021/es0155276?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Index of Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2002, *36* (4), 742−746.

(33) Hansen, A. M.; Kraus, T. E. C.; Pellerin, B. A.; Fleck, J. A.; Downing, B. D.; Bergamaschi, B. A. Optical [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10270) of Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM): Effects of Biological and [Photolytic](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10270) [Degradation.](https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10270) *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 2016, *61* (3), 1015−1032.

(34) Haitzer, M.; Aiken, G. R.; Ryan, J. N. Binding of [Mercury\(II\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/es025699i?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) to Dissolved Organic Matter: The Role of the [Mercury-to-DOM](https://doi.org/10.1021/es025699i?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Concentration](https://doi.org/10.1021/es025699i?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ratio. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2002, *36* (16), 3564−3570. (35) Pierce, C. E.; Furman, O. S.; Nicholas, S. L.; Wasik, J. C.; Gionfriddo, C. M.; Wymore, A. M.; Sebestyen, S. D.; Kolka, R. K.; Mitchell, C. P. J.; Griffiths, N. A.; Elias, D. A.; Nater, E. A.; Toner, B. M. Role of Ester Sulfate and Organic Disulfide in Mercury [Methylation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04662?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in [Peatland](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04662?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, *56* (2), 1433−1444.

(36) Maurer, F.; Christl, I.; Hoffmann, M.; Kretzschmar, R. [Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1021/es301520s?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and [Reoxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es301520s?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Humic Acid: Influence on Speciation of Cadmium and [Silver.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es301520s?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, *46* (16), 8808−8816.

(37) Manceau, A.; Lemouchi, C.; Rovezzi, M.; Lanson, M.; Glatzel, P.; Nagy, K. L.; Gautier-Luneau, I.; Joly, Y.; Enescu, M. [Structure,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01932?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Bonding, and Stability of Mercury [Complexes](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01932?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with Thiolate and Thioether Ligands from [High-Resolution](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01932?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) XANES Spectroscopy and First-Principles [Calculations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01932?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 2015, *54* (24), 11776−11791.

(38) Latch, D. E.; McNeill, K. [Microheterogeneity](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121636) of Singlet Oxygen [Distributions](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121636) in Irradiated Humic Acid Solutions. *Science* 2006, *311* (5768), 1743−1747.

(39) Chu, C.; Stamatelatos, D.; McNeill, K. Aquatic [Indirect](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00324B) Photochemical [Transformations](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00324B) of Natural Peptidic Thiols: Impact of Thiol [Properties,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EM00324B) Solution PH, Solution Salinity and Metal Ions. *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* 2017, *19* (12), 1518−1527.

(40) Pohlabeln, A. M.; Gomez-Saez, G. V.; Noriega-Ortega, B. E.; Dittmar, T. [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00364) Evidence for Abiotic Sulfurization of Marine [Dissolved](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00364) Organic Matter. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 2017, *4*, 364.

(41) Hinckley, E.-L. S.; Crawford, J. T.; Fakhraei, H.; Driscoll, C. T. [A](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0620-3) Shift in Sulfur-Cycle [Manipulation](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0620-3) from Atmospheric Emissions to [Agricultural](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0620-3) Additions. *Nat. Geosci.* 2020, *13* (9), 597−604.