UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Susceptibility loci revealed for bovine respiratory disease complex in pre-weaned holstein calves

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3hw8k302>

Journal BMC Genomics, 15(1)

ISSN 1471-2164

Authors

Neibergs, Holly L Seabury, Christopher M Wojtowicz, Andrzej J [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3hw8k302#author)

Publication Date 2014

DOI

10.1186/1471-2164-15-1164

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH ARTICLE Example 2014 12:30 THE Open Access

Susceptibility loci revealed for bovine respiratory disease complex in pre-weaned holstein calves

Holly L Neibergs^{1*}, Christopher M Seabury^{2*}, Andrzej J Wojtowicz¹, Zeping Wang¹, Erik Scraggs¹, Jennifer N Kiser¹ , Mahesh Neupane¹, James E Womack², Alison Van Eenennaam³, Gerald Robert Hagevoort⁴, Terry W Lehenbauer⁵ , Sharif Aly⁵, Jessica Davis⁵, Jeremy F Taylor⁶ and The Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex Coordinated Agricultural Project Research Team

Abstract

Background: Bovine respiratory disease complex (BRDC) is an infectious disease of cattle that is caused by a combination of viral and/or bacterial pathogens. Selection for cattle with reduced susceptibility to respiratory disease would provide a permanent tool for reducing the prevalence of BRDC. The objective of this study was to identify BRDC susceptibility loci in pre-weaned Holstein calves as a prerequisite to using genetic improvement as a tool for decreasing the prevalence of BRDC. High density SNP genotyping with the Illumina BovineHD BeadChip was conducted on 1257 male and 757 female Holstein calves from California (CA), and 767 calves identified as female from New Mexico (NM). Of these, 1382 were classified as BRDC cases, and 1396 were classified as controls, with all phenotypes assigned using the McGuirk health scoring system. During the acquisition of blood for DNA isolation, two deep pharyngeal and one mid-nasal diagnostic swab were obtained from each calf for the identification of bacterial and viral pathogens. Genome-wide association analyses were conducted using four analytical approaches (EIGENSTRAT, EMMAX-GRM, GBLUP and FvR). The most strongly associated SNPs from each individual analysis were ranked and evaluated for concordance. The heritability of susceptibility to BRDC in pre-weaned Holstein calves was estimated.

Results: The four statistical approaches produced highly concordant results for 373 top ranked SNPs that defined 126 chromosomal regions for the CA population. Similarly, in NM, 370 SNPs defined 138 genomic regions that were identified by all four approaches. When the two populations were combined (i.e., CA + NM) and analyzed, 324 SNPs defined 116 genomic regions that were associated with BRDC across all analytical methods. Heritability estimates for BRDC were 21% for both CA and NM as individual populations, but declined to 13% when the populations were combined.

Conclusions: Four analytical approaches utilizing both single and multi-marker association methods revealed common genomic regions associated with BRDC susceptibility that can be further characterized and used for genomic selection. Moderate heritability estimates were observed for BRDC susceptibility in pre-weaned Holstein calves, thereby supporting the application of genomic selection to reduce the prevalence of BRDC in U.S. Holsteins.

Keywords: Genomics, Bovine respiratory disease complex, Dairy, Calves, Loci

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© 2014 Neibergs et al.; licensee BioMed Central. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver [\(http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: Neibergs@wsu.edu; CSeabury@cvm.tamu.edu ¹ ¹Department of Animal Sciences, Washington State University, P.O. Box

^{646310,} Pullman, WA 99164-6310, USA

² Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

Background

Bovine respiratory disease complex (BRDC) is the most common infectious disease and the leading natural cause of death among cattle in the United States [\[1](#page-18-0)], with the onset of disease generally considered to be initiated by a stressful event that suppresses the normal host immune response, thereby enabling opportunistic viral and bacterial infections of the respiratory tract. Many diverse pathogens can be involved in this process, such that bovine respiratory disease is considered to be etiologically complex (i.e., BRDC), and perhaps more challenging to control or mitigate than many diseases caused by a single, discrete pathogen. The most common viral pathogens associated with BRDC include: bovine herpesvirus 1, bovine parainfluenza virus 3, bovine viral diarrhea viruses 1 and 2, bovine respiratory syncytial virus, bovine adenoviruses A-D, and bovine coronavirus, whereas the most common bacterial pathogens are Arcanobacterium pyogenes, Manheimia haemolytica, Pasteurella multocida, Histophilus somni, and Mycoplasma spp. [\[2](#page-18-0)].

Bovine respiratory disease complex is commonly observed in beef and dairy calves, with both acute and long term effects on health and performance [\[3-5](#page-18-0)]. Acute effects include production or performance losses associated with the symptoms of BRDC that include fever, rapid breathing, repetitive coughing, nasal and/or eye discharge, diarrhea, dehydration and appetite depression [[5,6\]](#page-18-0). The prevalence of BRDC is temporally variable, with the proportion of affected feedlot cattle ranging from 5% to 44% over a 15 year period, with an average overall prevalence of 17% in U.S. feedlots [\[3](#page-18-0),[7,8](#page-18-0)]. In pre-weaned U.S. dairy calves, the prevalence of BRDC over a 20 year period ranged from 3.3 to 23.6% [[4\]](#page-18-0). The mortality rate for BRDC in pre-weaned dairy calves was 22.5%, even though 93.4% of all heifers diagnosed with BRDC were treated with an antimicrobial agent, and the majority of these calves were also vaccinated for one or more known pathogens [[4,9](#page-18-0)]. Chronic effects of BRDC have resulted in reduced growth rates and decreased productivity of cows later in life [[10,11](#page-18-0)]. Cows affected by respiratory disease as a calf were twice as likely to die before calving, and calved at an older age as heifers, than those cows that were not affected by BRDC prior to 90 days of age [\[12,13\]](#page-18-0).

Despite the availability and use of vaccines for common respiratory pathogens, the prevalence of BRDC in dairy and beef animals is not declining [\[7](#page-18-0),[14,15\]](#page-18-0). Additional approaches to reduce the prevalence or prevent BRDC are needed to positively augment the use of vaccines and current best management practices aimed at reducing stress. Selection of breeding stock that are less susceptible to BRDC represents one viable approach that could be implemented, with the expectation of systematically reducing BRDC prevalence over the long

term. Previous studies have identified genetic differences among animals in relation to BRDC susceptibility, thereby providing ample support and precedent for this hypothesis and investigation [[16](#page-18-0)-[19\]](#page-18-0). The objective of this study was to identify loci associated with BRDC susceptibility in pre-weaned Holstein calves via a case–control study design. Genome-wide association analyses (GWAA) identified genomic regions associated with BRDC that were largely concordant across four analytical approaches. This level of concordance has not often been reported in other Holstein GWAA studies that have evaluated multiple methods [\[20](#page-18-0)], which strongly underscores the potential for genomic selection enabled by BRDC susceptibility loci reported here. Additionally, heritability was estimated for BRDC susceptibility in both the individual and the combined CA and NM Holstein populations to further evaluate the potential for reducing the prevalence of BRDC through the selection of less susceptible breeding stock.

Results and discussion

Study animals

Prior to GWAA, seven of the pre-weaned California (CA) calves $(n = 2021)$ were removed due to conflicts with their reported disease status and health scores (i.e., recording errors). Likewise, 73 (7.2%) of the control calves (48 males; 25 females) were later reclassified as BRDC cases prior to weaning (i.e., they developed clinical signs), and were subsequently removed from the analysis of the distribution of health scores (Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S1). However, these animals did remain in the GWAA. Consequently, 2,014 calves (1,011 controls and 1,003 cases) from CA were used in this study. A total of 766 calves identified as females were enrolled from New Mexico (NM). Eighteen of the animals were subsequently identified to be a Jersey or a Jersey crossbred and were removed; leaving 748 Holstein identified heifers in the NM study; 372 as controls and 376 cases. Six percent of the heifers $(n = 23)$ originally classified as matched controls later converted to BRDC case-status but remained in the study, as new diagnostic health scores and swabs were obtained at the time of conversion. Further details regarding the study animals (age, gender, proportion of males and females in the cases and control groups, and diagnostic health scores) may be found in Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S1.

Diagnostics

The observed pathogen profiles of the CA and NM animals differed for all pathogens with the exception of bovine herpes virus and bovine viral diarrhea virus, which were rarely detected $(P < 0.05)$ (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). The detection of different pathogens in CA and NM may have been influenced by the stage of disease at the time

Pathogen	*California $n = 2,014$	*^New Mexico $n = 748$	*California & ^New Mexico $n = 2,763$	⁺ Odds ratio	Odds ratio 95% confidence interval	Odds ratio P value
Arcanobacterium pyogenes	0.3(0)	10.7(4.3)	3.1(1.2)	2.8	$1.5 - 5.3$	0.0003
Histophilus somni	1.7(0.4)	3.2(0.5)	2.1(0.4)	4.9	$2.0 - 14.6$	< 0.0001
Manheimia haemolytica	23.5(11.1)	4.5(3.5)	18.4(9)	2.3	$1.8 - 2.9$	< 0.0001
Pasteurella multocida	36.3 (23.6)	61.1(54.8)	43.0 (32)	1.6	$1.4 - 1.9$	< 0.0001
Mycoplasma spp.	64.6 (57.1)	57.4 (48.7)	62.6 (54.8)	1.4	$1.2 - 1.6$	< 0.0001
Bovine corona virus	9.6(7.7)	50.0 (35.0)	19.9 (14.5)	1.5	$1.2 - 1.8$	0.0004
Bovine respiratory syncytial virus	20.8(7.7)	4.9(2.5)	16.3(6.3)	2.9	$2.2 - 3.8$	< 0.0001
Bovine viral diarrhea virus	0(0)	1.3(0)	0.4(0)	NA	NA	NA
Bovine herpes virus	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	NA	NA	NA

Table 1 Pathogens identified from deep pharyngeal and mid-nasal swabs in Holstein calves from California and New **Mexico**

*Percent of cases and controls (in parentheses) where individual pathogens were present in the pre-weaned Holstein calves. Animals classified as undetermined with respect to case–control status were not included in the summary statistics presented here.

 \triangle Jersey calves (n = 18) were removed:

+ Odds ratio of being affected with BRDC when the pathogen was present when the animal was swabbed.

that the diagnostic swabs were obtained at each study site. The difference in the mean health scores between cases and controls (Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S1) representing the two populations (i.e., CA vs NM ; $P < 0.001$ in both populations) may simply reflect local differences in BRDC disease progression, possibly due to environmental differences or differences in BRDC pathogen(s) at the two study sites (Table 1). Notably, viruses that were important during the initiation of BRDC in Holstein calves may have been missed since the clinical manifestation of disease is necessary to identify cases using the McGuirk scoring system [\[6](#page-18-0)]. Moreover, some viruses are only rarely detected in the bovine pharynx beyond the first two days of infection (i.e., prior to their migration to the lung), which may have impacted our diagnostic results. Because this study occurred in an industry setting, the timing and certainty of pathogen exposure was unknown. However, the bacteriology and virology obtained from mid-nasal and deep pharyngeal swabs in this study collectively represent the largest ever dairy cattle diagnostic survey of respiratory pathogens which are likely to influence the clinical manifestation of BRDC. This survey is useful for a variety of reasons, including understanding why GWAA signals might potentially differ between locales, and for understanding the overall diversity in detectable pathogen profiles, as well as their putative frequency distributions among Holstein calves classified as BRDC cases and controls [[6\]](#page-18-0). Therefore, the diagnostic data were helpful in providing a general overview of BRDC pathogen diversity at the time of phenotype classification (i.e., case vs control), but do not necessarily provide precise insight into the temporal and sequential progression of disease with associated pathogens across time. Nevertheless, the use of deep pharyngeal swabs has the very clear advantages of being a much more

rapid, less costly, and less invasive sampling technique than transtracheal washes or bronchioalveolar lavage.

Genome wide association analysis

Heritability Estimates. Implementation of EMMAX [[21,22](#page-18-0)] in conjunction with a genomic relationship matrix (EMMAX-GRM) [[23](#page-18-0)] and an additive model produced moderate pseudo-heritability estimates $(h^2 = 0.21)$ for BRDC susceptibility in CA Holstein calves. The pseudoheritability, as previously defined $\left(h^2 = \sigma_a^2/(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2)\right)$ [[21](#page-18-0)], is the proportion of phenotypic variance that is explained by the empirically estimated relationship matrix. Although it is not an exact analytical replicate of the heritability estimate produced by Restricted Maximum Likelihood Estimation (REML) in the Genomic Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (GBLUP) analyses, the EMMAX-GRM pseudo-heritability estimate was very similar to the GBLUP REML heritability estimate $(h^2 = 0.21)$ for BRDC susceptibility in the California calves. EMMAX-GRM analyses performed using an additive genetic model also produced a moderate pseudo-heritability estimate (h^2 = 0.21) for BRDC susceptibility in the NM calves, which was nearly identical to the GBLUP REML estimate (h^2 = 0.20). In a final analysis, we combined the NM and CA calves into a single case–control cohort. EMMAX-GRM pseudo-heritability for BRDC susceptibility within the combined cohort was again moderate $(h^2 = 0.13)$, but considerably lower than the estimates produced for the individual populations. This result was supported by the GBLUP REML estimated heritability for the combined population (h^2 = 0.13), which was very similar to EMMAX-GRM (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

The heritability estimates of approximately 0.21 for BRDC susceptibility are greater than similar estimates

Table 2 Heritability estimates of Holstein Calves from California, New Mexico and California and New Mexico combined populations

Population	h ²	Va	٧F
California-EMMAX-GRM	0.2110	0.0524	0.1959
California-GBLUP	0.2151	0.0535	0.1952
New Mexico-FMMAX-GRM	0.2139	0.0535	0.1966
New Mexico-GBI UP	0.2013	0.0501	0.1988
Combined-FMMAX-GRM	0.1318	0.0328	0.2161
Combined-GBLUP	0.1311	0.0326	0.2162

for other health traits such as mastitis (0.04-0.05), cystic ovaries (0.05), ketosis (0.01-0.14), metritis (0.01-0.07) and lameness (0.02-0.03), but lower than estimates for displaced abomasum (0.32) and retained placenta (0.02-0.36) previously reported in dairy cows [\[24-26\]](#page-18-0). Bovine clinical mastitis (CM) is similar to BRDC in that a variety of microorganisms can be involved in the disease etiology. In CM, heritability estimates increase when the animal health phenotype is more precisely defined by the specific microorganism(s) involved in the CM etiology [[27](#page-18-0)]. For example, heritability estimates for susceptibility to CM caused by Coliform species were found to be moderate (i.e., 0.17-0.19) [[27\]](#page-18-0), and similar to our estimates for BRDC, whereas heritability estimates were lower when CM cases were pooled (i.e., multiple, unrelated pathogens), or when CM cases were undetected [\[27](#page-18-0)-[29](#page-18-0)], thereby underscoring the importance of precise animal disease phenotypes.

Moderate heritability estimates for BRDC susceptibility strongly suggest that there is ample opportunity to use genomic selection to reduce the prevalence of BRDC in Holstein dairy cattle. The reduction in heritability estimated for the combined CA and NM cohort, in comparison to the individual population estimates, is a complex phenomenon that likely reflects environmental differences as well as differences in the host genetic basis for differential susceptibility to a variety of BRDC pathogens that were detected at variable frequencies within the two populations. However, it should also be noted that the NM calves also tended to be older and presumably more clinically ill (as defined by the McGuirk Scoring System) [[6\]](#page-18-0) at sampling than did the CA calves, with the majority of the calves enrolled in the CA study being males, and nearly all of the calves enrolled in the NM study identified as being females by Illumina HD genotype (i.e., X and Y chromosome SNP calls; See Methods). Studies are currently underway to evaluate the statistical relationship between pathogen profiles, and the clinical scores (McGuirk Scoring System) [[6](#page-18-0)] assigned to all Holstein calves.

California population

When the top 2,000 SNPs (i.e., largest effects) for CA were compared across the four analytical approaches for the case–control phenotype, a strong concordance of association with BRDC susceptibility was observed. Among the top 2,000 SNPs from each analytical approach, each SNP (or sliding window of 7 SNPs centered on the third SNP within each window for GBLUP) was given a ranking (i.e., 1 represented the most significant SNP or SNP window) and these rankings were summed across all analytical approaches for each population (Tables [3](#page-5-0), [4](#page-6-0) and [5](#page-7-0)). For the CA calves, Figure [1](#page-9-0) shows the Manhattan plots for all analyses (EMMAX-GRM, FvR, GBLUP and EIGENSTRAT). In CA, the most significant locus across all four analyses was located on BTA15 between 30–31 Mb (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). This was also the most significant locus detected using EMMAX-GRM ($P = 2.95 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 2.95 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 2.95 \times 10^{-6}$, Additional file 1: Table S2), GBLUP (proportion of additive genetic variance explained by the window of 7 SNPs or PVE = 0.13; note these windows slide one SNP at a time and so are not independent and the sum of PVE for all SNPs is greater than 1; Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S3) and FvR ($P = 3.74 \times$ 10−⁷ , Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S4). The same BTA15 locus was also ranked 30th among SNPs most strongly associated $(P = 2.14 \times 10^{-5})$ with BRDC susceptibility using EIGENSTRAT (Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S5). This SNP association was supported by 18 adjacent SNPs ranked among the top 200 SNPs, and 38 SNPs ranked among the top 2,000 SNPs for either their magnitude of association or the proportion of variance explained with respect to BRDC susceptibility. The poliovirus receptorrelated 1 (PVRL1, HGNC: 9706) gene is proximal and adjacent to this GWAA signal.

PVRL1 is a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily that is known to mediate entry of several herpes viruses, including bovine herpes virus 1 (BHV-1), the causative agent of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR), which is also a known etiological agent of BRDC [[30](#page-18-0)]. Notably, *BHV-1* was not diagnostically detected in the mid-nasal or pharyngeal swabs from the CA Holstein calves, but previous experimental challenge and pathology studies have demonstrated that many respiratory viruses, including BHV-1, are only transiently present in the pharynx prior to settling into the lung (Laurel Gershwin, personal communication). Therefore, it is unsurprising that BHV-1 was not detected by our diagnostic procedures, although the host genomic signature of this pathogen was clearly detected and well supported statistically by all GWAA approaches. Our failure to detect BHV-1 likely reflects the temporal and/or transient differences in viral expression and pathology (i.e., since the swabs were taken only at one time point), including latency of the virus in the trigeminal ganglion, or perhaps other factors, both known and unknown [[31](#page-18-0)]. BHV-1 causes a lifelong latent

Chromosome (location Mb)	EMMAX-GRM ⁺	$GBLUP+$	FvR^+	EIGENSTRAT ⁺	No. SNPs^	Lead SNP [#]	Score*
BTA15 (30-31)	$\mathbf{1}$		$\mathbf{1}$	30	18	rs41756356	33
BTA14 (63-64)	14	7	15	6	34	rs134331138	42
BTA3 (119-120)	65	9	$\overline{7}$	5	11	rs41660991	86
BTA23 (3-4)	5	$\overline{2}$	76	115	5	rs110158528	198
BTA15 (14-15)	156	67	19	3	$\mathbf{1}$	rs136365736	245
BTA19 (9-10)	73	170	37	44	1	rs121919115	324
BTA6 (42-43)	79	21	171	65	12	rs43456007	336
BTA4 (47-48)	74	19	192	63	$\overline{1}$	rs41651168	348
BTA14 (11-12)	147	64	3	178	$\overline{2}$	rs133522438	392
BTA6 (40-41)	131	72	172	32	12	rs134070398	412
BTA13 (71-72)	45	187	34	155	$\overline{7}$	rs110991341	421
BTA7 (10-11)	97	274	135	41	6	rs134195407	547
BTA18 (0-1)	35	313	198	72	32	rs109385868	618
BTA3 (46-47)	178	364	178	31	$\overline{2}$	rs133069708	678
BTA2 (45-46)	143	29	287	227	1	rs136772856	686
BTA11 (71-72)	304	375	51	48	$\mathbf{1}$	rs133739594	778
BTA12 (6-7)	76	84	418	210	1	rs135134119	789
BTA3 (107-108)	294	315	98	110		rs137396132	817
BTA12 (33-34)	306	176	355	34	1	rs133730139	871
BTA16 (36-37)	125	140	112	529	13	rs136788834	906
BTA6 (95-96)	191	35	82	652	$\overline{2}$	rs41911495	960

Table 3 Concordance of genome-wide association analyses for the California calves with the loci most strongly associated with BRDC susceptibility for all analyses

+Reflects the ranking of the best (lowest) ranked SNP for that genomic region for that analysis. Only scores <1000 are shown.

^Number of SNPs ranked among the top 200 SNPs (top 0.03%) that are found within this genomic region as being associated with BRDC susceptibility across the different analyses.

The rs SNP identification number for the SNP with the lowest ranking for the QTL.

*Score is the sum of the ranks for the best ranked SNP for that genomic region across all analyses.

infection and sporadic shedding of the virus which can lead to horizontal transmission to other animals in the herd. In addition to BRDC, IBR also has the potential to cause rhinotracheitis, vaginitis, balanoposhitis, abortion, conjunctivitis, and enteritis [[32\]](#page-18-0). Ulcers of BHV-1 infected animals often occur in the mouth and nose, with mortality as high as 10% [[33\]](#page-18-0).

A second locus of interest identified across all analyses was located on BTA14 between 63–64 Mb. It contained the 6th ranked SNP by EIGENSTRAT ($P = 6.47 \times 10^{-6}$), Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S5), the 7th ranked SNP by GBLUP (PVE = 0.12, Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S3), the $14th$ ranked SNP by EMMAX-GRM ($P < 3.55 \times 10^{-5}$ $P < 3.55 \times 10^{-5}$ $P < 3.55 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file 1: Table S2), and the 15th ranked FvR SNP ($P = 1.09 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S4). This locus was supported by 34 top ranked SNPs, and was located near positional candidate genes BAALC, ATP6V1C1 and AZIN1.

The most highly ranked SNP in this region across all analyses was proximal to AZIN1 (antizyme inhibitor 1, HGNC: 16432), a gene that is involved in many biological processes, and that is most highly expressed in both lung and skeletal muscle [[34](#page-18-0)]. AZIN1 has been associated with

Fanconi's anemia, tumorogenesis, cirrhosis of the liver, and regulation of polyamine synthesis [[35](#page-18-0)-[37](#page-18-0)]. The AZIN1 protein (Az1) regulates ornithine decarboxylase stability, which degrades polyamines, and in turn, up-regulates AZIN1. Interestingly, ornithine carboxylase activity and elevated polyamine levels are detected when cells are transformed by viral agents [[38\]](#page-18-0). Individuals with deleterious mutations involving AZIN1 (i.e., qualitative and/ or quantitative) may be more likely to become infected with viruses, such as hepatitis C [[38](#page-18-0)]. Some mutations in AZIN1 may also enhance susceptibility to other viruses, such as those implicated in the clinical manifestation of BRDC. Flanking genes within this region include brain and acute leukemia cytoplasmic (BAALC, HGNC: 14333), and ATPase, H+ transporting, lysosomal 42 kDa, V1 subunit C1 (ATP6V1C1, HGNC: 856). Mutations in BAALC are implicated in myeloid leukemogenesis, and are associated with a poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia as well as acute lymphoblastic leukemia [\[39](#page-18-0)]. The ATPases are proton pumps that are involved in a wide variety of physiological processes [\[40](#page-18-0)]. In drosophila, the overexpression of V1 subunits was recently found to alter the

Chromosome (location Mb)	EMMAX-GRM ⁺	${\small\textsf{GBLUP}^+}$	FvR ⁺	EIGENSTRAT ⁺	No. SNPs^	Lead SNP [#]	Score*
BTA16 (70-71)	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$	31	10	rs41617348	38
BTA14 (7-8)	5	48	30	$\overline{7}$	17	rs109009102	90
BTA18 (65-66)	58	39	62	90	4	rs135115567	249
BTA12 (77-78)	62	30	175	14	$\mathbf{1}$	rs134174086	281
BTA5 (23-24)	$\mathbf{1}$	104	$\overline{4}$	192	4	rs109319628	301
BTA13 (56-57)	38	19	184	61	7	rs41630678	302
$BTA2 (2-3)$	18	65	61	180	31	rs134633034	324
BTA13 (71-72)	112	94	116	38	11	rs133881876	360
BTA16 (64-65)	36	28	36	261	$\mathbf{1}$	rs42692646	361
BTA28 (36-37)	84	23	204	89	$\overline{2}$	rs136647452	400
BTA21 (47-48)	88	91	82	144	$\overline{2}$	rs134318902	405
BTA25 (22-23)	40	22	98	273	6	rs134095535	433
BTA13 (67-68)	3	6	168	259	6	rs41700634	436
BTA10 (28-29)	145	75	74	167	6	rs136924615	461
BTA17 (72-73)	129	187	178	146	3	rs134357791	640
BTA14 (9-10)	124	179	237	159	$\overline{2}$	rs136578677	699
BTA21 (50-51)	73	50	278	322	$\mathbf{1}$	rs133167991	723
BTA19 (26-27)	128	38	81	590	3	rs110385484	837
BTA14 (10-11)	159	344	216	142	5	rs110172337	861
BTA8 (63-64)	194	161	15	491	14	rs43557067	861
BTA13 (6-7)	31	301	389	152	$\mathbf{1}$	rs135796451	873
BTA13 (53-54)	44	82	403	342	13	rs42388964	882

Table 4 Concordance of genome-wide association analyses for the New Mexico calves with the loci most strongly associated with BRDC susceptibility for all analyses

+Reflects the ranking of the best (lowest) ranking SNP for that genomic region for that analysis. Only scores <1000 are shown.

^Number of SNPs ranked in the top 200 SNPs (top 0.03%) that are found in this genomic region as associated with BRDC susceptibility across the different analyses.

The rs SNP identification number for the SNP with the lowest ranking for the QTL.

*Score is the sum of the ranking of the best ranking SNP for that genomic region across all analyses.

replication capacity of influenza virus [\[41](#page-18-0)]. It is also conceivable that alteration of V1 subunits could potentially play a role in the replication capacity of other viruses, such as those involved in BRDC [\[41\]](#page-18-0).

The third most significant locus across all analyses for CA was a 91 kb region defined by 11 SNPs on BTA3 between 119 and 120 Mb. The SNP rankings for EIGEN-STRAT, FvR and GBLUP were very similar (i.e., $5th$, $7th$, and $9th$, respectively), while EMMAX-GRM ranked this locus 65th (Table [3](#page-5-0); Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S3, Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S4, and Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S5). No annotated genes are known to reside in this region of the genome.

The fourth most significant locus for CA across all analyses was a 10 kb region on BTA23 between 3 and 4 Mb (Table [3](#page-5-0)). This was the $2nd$ most highly ranked locus for GBLUP (PVE = 0.13, Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S3), 5^{th} for EMMAX-GRM ($P = 1.65 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 1.65 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 1.65 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file 1: Table S2), and the $76th$ and $115th$ ranked marker for

FvR ($P = 5.58 \times 10^{-5}$) and EIGENSTRAT ($P = 1 \times 10^{-4}$), respectively. The BTA23 SNPs identified as being associated with BRDC susceptibility are within an intron and exon of the dystonin gene (DST or BPAG1, HGNC: 1090). Interestingly, the previously described positional candidate gene PVRL1 and DST share similar functions, as they both play a role in herpes virus infections [[42](#page-18-0)[,43](#page-19-0)], one of the common BRDC pathogens responsible for IBR.

The dystonin protein is essential for anchoring epidermal cells to the underlying basement membrane, and dystonin proteins also function as autoantigens in a group of skin diseases that affect humans [\[44,45](#page-19-0)]. Dystonin is also an integral component of hemidesmosomes, and is regulated by interferon gamma [[46](#page-19-0)]. Relevant to our BRDC GWAA, dystonin is important in the transport of herpes simplex virus 1 capsids to the nucleus of the host cell through the microtubule network [\[42,](#page-18-0)[43\]](#page-19-0). Moreover, if the dystonin protein is depleted, capsid transport and efficient herpes virus infections are strongly inhibited [[42](#page-18-0)[,43\]](#page-19-0).

Chromosome (location Mb)	EMMAX-GRM ⁺	${\sf GBLUP}^+$	FvR ⁺	EIGENSTRAT ⁺	No. SNPs^	Lead SNP [#]	Score*
BTA15 (30-31)	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$	11	16	rs41756356	15
BTA19 (9-10)	40	188	20	$\overline{1}$	1	rs121919115	249
BTA15 (31-32)	33	$\overline{2}$	299	25	$\mathbf{1}$	rs109057462	359
BTA11 (80-81)	123	103	71	64	9	rs133204524	361
BTA20 (0-1)	30	20	9	315	13	rs110484875	374
BTA3 (46-47)	55	192	91	81	$\overline{2}$	rs133069708	419
BTA4 (47-48)	$\overline{4}$	36	358	34	18	rs136678678	432
BTA17 (16-17)	26	3	49	397	3	rs135082107	477
BTA3(119-121)	41	339	118	82	3	rs110977672	580
BTA14 (11-12)	142	59	63	319	2	rs133522438	583
BTA17 (14-15)	80	135	26	391	20	rs110488418	632
BTA29 (48-49)	94	171	198	197	$\mathbf{1}$	rs136036800	660
BTA4 (48-49)	21	209	455	3	7	rs110213301	688
BTA20 (0-1)	143	251	170	144	5	rs110513803	708
BTA16 (36-37)	162	280	224	92	14	rs136059947	758
BTA15 (14-15)	182	110	125	354	$\overline{2}$	rs136365736	771
BTA3 (89-90)	342	146	138	147	2	rs136571247	773
BTA14 (81-82)	227	371	133	63	6	rs132956286	794
BTA18 (55-56)	107	26	647	26	$\mathbf{1}$	rs136121374	806
BTA12 (58-59)	137	21	593	86	2	rs135900256	837
BTA7 (11-12)	297	207	$\overline{4}$	378	$\mathbf{1}$	rs134912653	886
BTA10 (1-2)	284	133	249	267	1	rs136001993	933
BTA17 (10-11)	105	480	100	260	$\overline{2}$	rs136672854	945
BTA14 (62-63)	277	613	44	12	$\mathbf{1}$	rs136594328	946
BTA20 (23-24)	60	202	461	254	9	rs109301681	977

Table 5 Concordance of genome-wide association analyses for the combined California and New Mexico calves with the loci most strongly associated with BRDC susceptibility for all analyses

+Reflects the ranking of the best (lowest) ranking SNP for that genomic region for that analysis. Only scores <1000 are shown.

^Number of SNPs ranked in the top 200 SNPs (top 0.03%) that are found in this genomic region as associated with BRDC susceptibility across the

different analyses.

The rs SNP identification number for the SNP with the lowest ranking for the QTL.

*Score is the sum of the ranking of the best ranking SNP for that genomic region across all analyses.

The locus ranked fifth across all GWAA approaches in the CA population was on BTA15 between 14–15 Mb (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). This locus was ranked 3^{rd} ($P = 4.28 \times 10^{-6}$) by EIGEN-STRAT (Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S5), 19th by the FvR approach ($P = 1.31 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S4), 67th by GBLUP (PVE = 0.10), and $156th$ by EMMAX-GRM $(P = 0.003)$. The associated SNP lies within intron two of mastermind-like 2 (MAML2) which has been associated with mucoepidermoid carcinoma, and height in humans [\[47-49\]](#page-19-0).

New Mexico population

Manhattan plots for the NM calves for all analyses are shown in Figure [2](#page-11-0). The most highly ranked locus across all GWAA approaches for NM was located on BTA16 between 70 and 71 Mb (Table [4](#page-6-0)). This locus was defined by 10 SNPs and contained the second most highly ranked SNP for FvR ($P = 2.93 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 2.93 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 2.93 \times 10^{-6}$, Additional file 1:

Table S4), the second highest ranked SNP for EMMAX-GRM ($P = 1.39 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S2), the third highest ranked SNP for GBLUP (PVE = 0.07, Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S3) and the $31st$ ranked SNP for EIGENSTRAT $(P = 2.99 \times 10^{-5})$. Investigation of the associated region (105 kb) revealed two uncharacterized loci (LOC 101905027 and LOC101904971; Entrez gene: 101905027 and 101904971) [\[50\]](#page-19-0), and no annotated genes.

Across all GWAA analyses, the second most highly ranked locus for NM was located on BTA14 between 7 and 8 Mb and was characterized by 17 SNPs (Table [4](#page-6-0)). The 25 kb region was located 2 and 9 kb away from the transfer RNA glycine (anticodon CCC) (TRNAG-CCC, Entrez gene: 100126533) and transfer RNA cysteine (anticodon ACA) (TRNAC-ACA, Entrez gene: 100499315) genes, respectively. This region contained the 5th ranked SNP for EMMAX-GRM ($P = 2.97 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 2.97 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 2.97 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file 1:

(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 1 Manhattan plots representing four genome wide association analyses for the binary BRDC case–control phenotype using (A) EMMAX-GRM, (B) GBLUP, (C) EIGENSTRAT and (D) Full versus reduced model regression (FvR) in the CA calves. In panel A, the plot for EMMAX-GRM case–control with covariates of sex and age is shown. For EMMAX-GRM, the first panel shows the -log₁₀ P-values on the Y axis and the second panel shows the proportion of variance explained by effects at a single SNP. In panel B, the plot for GBLUP case–control with covariates of sex and age is shown. The Y axis shows the proportion of variance explained by a sliding window of 7 SNPs centered on the third SNP within each window. In panel C, the plot for EIGENSTRAT case-control principal component corrected input data (top 100 principle components) with covariates of sex and age is shown. The -log₁₀ P-values are indicated on the Y axis. The blue line indicates the Wellcome Trust threshold for SNPs with modest evidence for association with BRDC susceptibility, and the red line indicates the threshold for SNPs with strong evidence for association with BRDC susceptibility. In panel D, the Manhattan plot for FvR case–control principal component corrected input data (top 53 principal components) with covariates of sex and age is shown. The first FvR panel shows the –log₁₀ P-values on the Y axis, and the second panel shows corresponding F-test values for the Full versus Reduced Model Analysis.

Table S2), the 7th ranked SNP for EIGENSTRAT ($P = 1.09 \times$ 10⁻⁵, Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S5), the 30th ranked SNP for FvR ($P = 2.28 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S4), and the 48^{th} ranked SNP for GBLUP (PVE = 0.06).

The third ranked QTL for NM was on BTA18 (65–66 Mb) (Table [4\)](#page-6-0). This region contained the $39th$ ranked SNP for GBLUP (PVE = 0.06), the 58th ranked SNP for EMMAX-GRM ($P = 0.001$), the 62nd ranked SNP for FvR $(P = 5.69 \times 10^{-5})$ and the 90th ranked SNP for EIGEN-STRAT ($P = 7.4 \times 10^{-5}$). This QTL spanning 7.2 kb involved 4 SNPs located in introns 1–3 of the zinc finger protein 850 (ZNF850, HGNC: 27994) gene. At present, little is known about the function of this gene and protein, which precludes specific biological inferences in relation to BRDC.

The 4th ranked locus for NM was located on BTA12 (77–78 Mb) (Table [4](#page-6-0)). This locus was only represented by a single SNP, and was most highly ranked by EIGENSTRAT (ranked $14th$, $P = 1.82 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S5). This locus was ranked 30^{th} by GBLUP (PVE = 0.06, Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S3), $62nd$ by EMMAX-GRM $(P = 0.0001)$, and 175th by FvR ($P = 0.0002$). Heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase 3 (HS6ST3, HGNC: 19134) is the only annotated gene in this region. One previous study suggests that HS6ST3 may perform diverse functions [[51](#page-19-0)], and more recent studies have associated HS6ST3 with obesity in humans [\[52,53](#page-19-0)].

The 5th ranked locus for NM was located on BTA5, between 23 and 24 Mb (Table [4](#page-6-0)). This locus, spanning 3.6 kb, had the highest ranking SNP for EMMAX-GRM $(P = 1.22 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S2), ranked 4th with the FvR approach ($P = 6.04 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 6.04 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 6.04 \times 10^{-6}$, Additional file 1: Table S4), ranked $104th$ with GBLUP (PVE 0.06), and ranked 192nd with EIGENSTRAT ($P = 0.0002$). No genes or obvious biological candidates were found in this genomic region, although an expressed sequence tagged site (EST Bt.86019) [[54](#page-19-0)] detected in bovine kidney tissue was found to underlie this peak.

Combined California and New Mexico population

When the top 2,000 SNPs (i.e., largest effects) for the combined population $(CA + NM)$ Holstein calves) were compared across the four analytical approaches for the

case–control phenotype, we noted that the results were skewed toward those initially reported for the CA population (Table [3](#page-5-0), Figure 1, Figure [3,](#page-13-0) Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S2, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S3, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S4, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S5). This was relatively unsurprising, as the CA Holstein population had nearly three times the number of study animals as did the NM population, with the top ranked locus for BRDC susceptibility in the combined population being the same top ranked locus identified for the CA Holsteins (i.e., positional candidate PVRL1, see Table [3\)](#page-5-0). Underlying this QTL signal was the highest ranked SNP using EMMAX-GRM ($P = 1.95 \times$ 10−⁵ , Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S2) and GBLUP (PVE = 0.11, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S3), the second highest ranked SNP for FvR ($P = 6.42 \times 10^{-6}$, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S4), and eleventh ranked SNP for EIGENSTRAT $(P = 1.75 \times$ 10−⁵ , Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S5).

Across all analyses, the second strongest association $(n = 1$ SNP) in the combined cohort was observed on BTA19 (9–10 Mb) (Table [5](#page-7-0)). This SNP was the highest ranked by EIGENSTRAT ($P = 5.82 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 5.82 \times 10^{-6}$ $P = 5.82 \times 10^{-6}$, Additional file 1: Table S5), but was also highly ranked by the FvR approach (Rank = 20^{th} , $P = 1.97 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 1.97 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 1.97 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file 1: Table S4), and by EMMAX-GRM (Rank = 40^{th} , $P = 8.91 \times 10^{-5}$). This SNP was ranked $188th$ by GBLUP (PVE = 0.09). The BTA19 SNP associated with BRDC susceptibility is located within intron 7 of the myeloperoxidase (MPO, HGNC: 7218) gene. The protein encoded by MPO is central to the activity of neutrophils, and sputum myeloperoxidase is recognized as a putative biomarker for neutrophil activity in pulmonary disease [[55](#page-19-0)].

For the combined cohorts (CA and NM), the third highest ranked locus across all analyses was represented by a single SNP located on BTA15 (31–32 Mb) (Table [5](#page-7-0)). This SNP was the second highest ranked SNP by GPLUP (PVE = 0.10, Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S3), the $25th$ ranked SNP by EIGENSTRAT ($P = 4.04 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 4.04 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 4.04 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file 1: Table S5), the 33rd ranked SNP by EMMAX-GRM ($P = 6.9 \times$ 10^{-5}), and the 299th ranked SNP by FvR (P = 0.003). At present, no annotated genes have been described within 50 kb of this SNP, thereby precluding the identification of one or more suitable positional candidate genes.

Neibergs et al. BMC Genomics 2014, 15:1164 **Page 10 of 19** Page 10 of 19 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/15/1164

(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 2 Manhattan plots representing four genome wide association analyses for the binary BRDC case–control phenotype using (A) EMMAX-GRM, (B) GBLUP, (C) EIGENSTRAT and (D) Full versus reduced model regression (FvR) in NM calves. In panel A, the plot for EMMAX-GRM case–control with covariates of sex and age is shown. For EMMAX-GRM, the first panel shows the -log₁₀ P-values on the Y axis and the second panel shows the proportion of variance explained by effects at a single SNP. In panel B, the plot for GBLUP case–control with covariates of sex and age is shown. The Y axis shows the proportion of variance explained by a sliding window of 7 SNPs centered on the third SNP within each window. In panel C, the plot for EIGENSTRAT case-control principle component corrected input data (top 5 principal components) with the covariate age is shown. In **panel C**, the $-\log_{10} P$ -values are on the Y axis. The blue line indicates the Wellcome Trust threshold for SNPs with modest evidence for association with BRDC susceptibility, and the red line indicates the threshold for SNPs with strong evidence for association with BRDC susceptibility. In panel D, the Manhattan plot for FvR case-control principal component corrected input data (top 9 principal components) with covariates of sex and age is shown. The first FvR panel shows the -log₁₀ P-values on the Y axis, and the second panel shows corresponding F-test values for the Full versus Reduced Model Analysis.

The fourth ranked genomic region for the combined cohort $(CA + NM)$ was located on BTA11 (80–81 Mb), and was ranked $64th$ among the results obtained using EIGENSTRAT ($P = 8.42 \times 10^{-5}$, Table [5](#page-7-0)), 71^{st} using FvR $(P = 5.33 \times 10^{-5})$, 103rd using GBLUP (PVE = 0.09), and $123rd$ using EMMAX-GRM ($P = 0.0002$). The most proximal gene to this SNP is retinol dehydrogenease 14 (all-trans/9-cis/11-cis) (RDH14, HGNC: 19979), which is located approximately 13 kb away. This gene encodes a mitochondrial protein that recognizes retinoids as substrates and may protect the mitochondria from oxidative stress associated with exposure to retinaldehyde [\[56\]](#page-19-0).

The fifth ranked locus identified by all methodological approaches revealed a strong positional candidate gene on BTA20 (0–1 Mb) that is supported by 13 SNPs and spans 19 kb (Table [5\)](#page-7-0). This QTL was identified by SNPs among the top 30 ranked for FvR (ranked 9th, $P = 1.32 \times$ 10^{-5} Additional file [1](#page-17-0): Table S4), GBLUP (ranked 20^{th} , PVE = 0.10; Additional file [1:](#page-17-0) Table S3) and EMMAX-GRM (ranked 30th, $P = 6.02 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 6.02 \times 10^{-5}$ $P = 6.02 \times 10^{-5}$, Additional file 1: Table S2). This SNP was ranked 315th by EIGENSTRAT $(P = 0.0004)$. The most strongly associated SNPs were located within introns 2 and 10 of the slit homolog 3 $(SLIT3, HGNC: 11807)$ gene [[57\]](#page-19-0). $SLIT3$ is a very large gene spanning 727 kb. The protein encoded by SLIT3 has numerous functions, one of which includes the down regulation of inflammatory mediators such as IL-1β, Il-6 and IL-8 [[58](#page-19-0)]. SLIT3 has also been implicated in cell migration, axon guidance, inflammation, angiogenesis and organogenesis [[59](#page-19-0)]. The SLIT family of proteins features a tandem of four leucine-rich repeat domains which mediate binding to the immunoglobulin-like domains of the Roundabout (Robo) family of genes [[60](#page-19-0)]. SLIT-Robo signaling has been implicated in immune response through the regulation of dendritic cell migration [[61](#page-19-0)]. Airway dendritic cells are key regulators of pulmonary immune responses, and in the human lung, dendritic cells continuously present antigenic information from the airways to the pulmonary lymph nodes, thereby modulating the regulation of immune responses [\[62\]](#page-19-0). Therefore, perturbations of bovine SLIT3 function may also influence susceptibility to BRDC, making it a plausible functional candidate gene for future investigations.

Conclusions

Differences existed in the CA and NM Holstein calf populations in the ages of the calves when sampled, the average McGuirk health score [[6\]](#page-18-0) of the cases and controls, and the gender of the calves. These differences were incorporated into the analysis models to account for age and gender of the calves. The differences between the CA and NM pathogens detected at sampling likely had an impact on the BRDC susceptibility loci detected in the calves.

The BRDC heritability estimate of 0.21 for CA and NM calves was consistent across the two populations, but dropped to 0.13 when the populations were combined. This decline is most likely due to pathogen and environmental differences at the two study sites. However, the moderate heritability (0.13) estimated for BRDC susceptibility in the combined Holstein population is sufficient to support a nation-wide approach to reduce the prevalence of BRDC via genomic selection. Although each of the methods used to conduct the GWAA have strengths and weaknesses, the concordance between the loci associated with BRDC susceptibility across the four approaches has resulted in the identification of loci that are strong candidates for harboring causal mutations, and these QTL signals are less likely to have arisen solely by type 1 error. Several biologically meaningful positional candidate loci were identified in this study. Among these positional candidates are genes on BTA15 (PVRL1) and BTA23 (*DST*) that are known to mediate herpes virus entry into host cells, a gene involved in viral susceptibility on BTA14 (AZIN1), and a gene that modulates inflammation on BTA20 (SLIT3). Studies in Bos taurus feedlot cattle are currently underway to determine if some of the same loci are associated with enhanced susceptibility to BRDC in older cattle from different breeds.

Selection and breeding of less susceptible cattle with respect to BRDC is the most sustainable approach to reducing the prevalence of disease, as it will decrease

(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 3 Manhattan plots representing four genome wide association analyses for the binary BRDC case–control phenotype using (A) EMMAX-GRM, (B) GBLUP, (C) EIGENSTRAT and (D) Full versus reduced model regression (FvR) in the CA and NM calves combined. In panel A, the plot for EMMAX-GRM case-control with covariates of sex, age, and herd of origin is shown. For EMMAX-GRM, the first panel shows the $-\log_{10} P$ -values on the Y axis and the second panel shows the proportion of variance explained by effects at a single SNP. In panel B, the plot for GBLUP case–control with covariates of sex and age is shown. The Y axis shows the proportion of variance explained by a sliding window of 7 SNPs centered on the third SNP within each window. In panel C, the plot for EIGENSTRAT case–control principle component corrected input data (top 80 principal components) with covariates of sex and age is shown. The $-\log_{10} P$ -values are indicated on the Y axis. The blue line indicates the Wellcome Trust threshold for SNPs with modest evidence for association with BRDC susceptibility, and the red line indicates the threshold for SNPs with strong evidence for association with BRDC susceptibility. In panel D, the Manhattan plot for FvR case-control principal component corrected input data (top 91 principal components) with covariates of sex, age, and herd of origin is shown. The first FvR panel shows the -log₁₀ P-values on the Y axis, and the second panel shows corresponding F-test values for the Full versus Reduced Model Analysis.

the use of therapeutic antibiotics, lead to superior production efficiency, require less handling, and ultimately be more profitable. Studies are currently underway to identify the causal mutations associated with enhanced BRDC susceptibility, as an alternative to relying on array-based SNP markers as imperfect predictors of these mutations. Moreover, unlike approaches that rely on markers in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the causal mutations for genomic selection, the accuracy of utilizing causal mutations to predict susceptibility will not decay over time, and likely will have utility across breeds, which enhances the value and longevity of the final marker panel to the industry. Identification of the causal mutations underlying BRDC QTL will ensure that the initial work of this study is sustainably translated to industry, with QTLs associated with enhanced BRDC susceptibility providing the foundation for identifying causal mutations with the largest effects on susceptibility, so that these may be incorporated into predicted transmitting abilities (PTA) for commercial dairy artificial insemination (AI) sires. As greater than 70% of the dairy calves in the U.S. are conceived by AI annually [[63](#page-19-0)] this will provide immediate translation of our discoveries to a large segment of the U.S. dairy industry.

Methods

Study population

This study was conducted with an approved animal use protocol from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of California at Davis (16431), Washington State University (04110), New Mexico State University (2011–010), Texas A&M University (2014–0032) and the University of Missouri (7505). California Holstein calves were enrolled in a case–control study from July 2011 through January 2012. Collectively, 2,014 calves were enrolled as cases $(n = 1,003)$ and controls $(n = 1,011)$, with 1,257 males and 757 females. Animals in New Mexico were enrolled from August 2011 through June 2012 in a case control study at three calf raising facilities within the Clovis and Portales areas of New Mexico. All study animals were identified as heifers in the field, and consisted of 372 controls and 376 cases. The animals were

sampled such that the proportions of cases and controls were almost identical across seasons of the year and locations in order to eliminate these effects as sources of variation in the experimental design. Details on the calf raising facilities, and the management and vaccination of the calves are specified in Additional file [1](#page-17-0).

BRD and control calf determination

Calves treated with antibiotics within 10 days prior to study onset were not considered for enrollment since treatment could facilitate negative bacterial cultures. Calves younger than 22 days of age were not enrolled to avoid potential false positive results during viral diagnostic tests (i.e., real time quantitative PCR, qPCR) due to the modified-live vaccines administered at 1 and 8 days of age [\[64\]](#page-19-0). Calves housed in hutches between the ages of 27 and 60 days of age were closely monitored and considered for enrollment as either BRDC cases or controls, based on the assignment of calf health scores using a respiratory screening tool developed by McGuirk [\[6](#page-18-0)]. Calves were observed before feeding (in the early morning) and assigned a health score as follows: 0 for normal, 1 for slightly abnormal, 2 for abnormal, and 3 for severely abnormal, with a numeric score also assigned for rectal temperature, cough, nasal discharge, eye discharge, and ear tilt as previously described [[6](#page-18-0)]. The ability to induce a cough was assessed by entering the calf hutch and applying pressure to (while massaging) the bovine larynx. A calf with a cumulative score \geq 5 was enrolled in the study as a BRDC case, and immediately thereafter, a calf adjacent to the BRDC case that possessed a cumulative score \leq 3 was enrolled as a matched control. If no adjacent calf was suitable, the closest calf in the same row was enrolled as a matched control. Other observed clinical signs (though not included in the scoring system) were status for depression, dyspnea, tachypnea, and watery diarrhea, given the presence of fresh stool. Once enrolled, calves were assigned a case number and the hutch was labeled for subsequent monitoring. After enrollment, calves were observed and scored daily for clinical signs of BRDC. If a previously enrolled control calf converted to a BRDC

case, its phenotypic classification was changed from control to a case and two new matched control and case calves were enrolled.

Sample collection

Each day after completion of respiratory scoring, with identification of cases and matched controls, a veterinarian and facility personnel returned to those animals to collect samples. All case and control calves had nasopharyngeal and pharyngeal recess swabs collected for qPCR diagnostics, and a second pharyngeal recess swab was collected for aerobic bacteria and mycoplasma respiratory pathogen culturing. Samples collected from the nasopharyngeal region utilized a six-inch sterile unguarded polyester swab that was inserted five inches into a clean naris and rotated against the surface for 15 seconds. Thereafter, the swab was removed and cut ¼ inch above the polyester tip, and placed into 3 ml of viral transport media (minimum essential media, HCO₃, HEPES, Gentamycin, Amphotericin B). Pharyngeal recess swabbing utilized a 27-inch sterile guarded swab with a polyester fiber tip (Kalajian Industries, Signal Hill CA 90755). The distance from the nose to the medial canthus of the eye was measured and marked as a gauge on the guarded swab. The swab was inserted through a nostril into the ventral meatus and then advanced toward the pharyngeal recess until reaching the gauge mark at the external naris. The swab was then pushed to open the guarding cap and advanced approximately two inches, rotated against the pharyngeal recess surface for 15 seconds, retracted back into the guarding sheath, and removed from the nose. The swab was cut two inches above the polyester tip, and placed into the same tube of viral transport media containing the nasopharyngeal swab. A second deep pharyngeal swab was placed into 1 ml of bacterial transport media (Brucella broth with 15% glycerol) for the detection of bacteria. All samples were stored on wet ice in the dark until completion of sampling and delivery to the lab. Scissors used to cut individual swabs were disinfected with a dilute Povidone iodine solution, and dried before each use. Bacterial samples were submitted daily for bacterial respiratory pathogen culture to either the California Animal Health and Food Safety lab system (CAHFS, Tulare CA Branch) for the CA samples, or to the Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory at Washington State University (WADDL, Pullman, WA) for the NM samples. Viral qPCR samples from CA and NM were stored at −80°C until submission and processing at the CAHFS Davis Branch. Whole blood and serum were also collected for use in the GWAA studies.

Analyses of animal data

The difference in mean age of groups of calves was tested using a t-test. Likewise, health scores between calf groups

were investigated for differences using the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test. To test whether the proportions of cases in groups of males and females were unequal, the standard test for two binomial proportions with continuity correction was used. All statistical tests were two-tailed tests with significance thresholds of $P = 0.05$ and were performed in R. A detailed summary of all tests is provided in the Additional file [1](#page-17-0). The findings reported in Additional file [1](#page-17-0) indicate that age and gender had an effect on susceptibility to BRDC, and therefore, were included in the GWAA models. Neither season nor month of sampling had an effect on BRDC susceptibility, and thus were not included in the GWAA models.

To date, conflicting results have emerged regarding the potential influence of gender on susceptibility to BRDC. Male beef calves have been reported to be more susceptible to BRDC than female calves, both at preweaning (14.4% compared to 9.5%) and post-weaning (17.2% compared to 12.5%) [\[17](#page-18-0)]. In the feedlot, Gallo and Berg [[65](#page-19-0)] found that male calves were more likely to relapse ($P = 0.0015$) and be chronically affected ($P = 0.03$) with BRDC than females, and Cusack et al. [\[66](#page-19-0)] found that steers were more likely ($P = 0.03$) to die in the feedlot due to BRDC than were cows. In contrast, Ribble et al. [[67](#page-19-0)] found that heifers were at a higher risk of death from BRDC than male calves, but in this study, the heifers were purchased in smaller groups than were their male counterparts, which may have impacted their risk for death. Finally, Sanderson et al. [[68\]](#page-19-0) reported no gender differences with respect to BRDC susceptibility, indicating a lack of unity within the established literature regarding the impact of gender on risk, and therefore, gender should be included within GWAA models for BRDC.

Aerobic bacteria and Mycoplasma culture and qPCR

Culturing for aerobic respiratory pathogens allowed for the identification of the following important bacterial species: Histophilus somni, Pasteurella multocida, Bibersteinia trehalosi, Mannheimia haemolytica. The culture and qPCR of bacteria and mycoplasma for samples derived from the CA calves were conducted at the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory System (University of California Veterinary Medicine Teaching and Research Center in Tulare, California). Similar samples obtained from the NM calves were processed using standardized procedures at WADDL (Pullman, WA). Additional aerobic culture methods are presented in the Additional file [1](#page-17-0).

Virology

Diagnostics for bovine corona virus, bovine respiratory syncytial virus, bovine viral diarrhea virus and bovine herpes virus type I were conducted from an aliquot of 3 ml of transport media (previously described) contained in 5 ml cryotubes that housed a mid-nasal and deep

pharyngeal swab taken from each calf. Swabs were placed into the cryotubes immediately post collection and kept on ice until they reached the laboratory where they were frozen until analyzed. For the diagnostic analysis, samples were thawed and an aliquot of the transport media was removed for use in the qPCR diagnostics. Diagnostics were performed at the University of California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory System in Davis California.

Analyses of diagnostic data

The estimated odds ratio for BRDC pathogens and case status (inclusive of the 95% confidence intervals for the estimated odds ratio) were calculated in R. A two-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to determine if the odds ratios differed significantly from 1, with a significance threshold of $P = 0.05$.

Bovine DNA isolation

Bovine DNA was isolated from 3 ml of whole blood collected in EDTA tubes using the Puregene DNA extraction kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Gentra, Minneapolis, MN). DNA samples were quantified using NanoDrop (Wilmington, DE), spectrophotometry and purity was estimated using the 260/280 ratio. Samples with 260/280 ratios between 1.8 and 2.0 were diluted to 50 ng/μl, and 250 ng of each calf's DNA was genotyped using the Illumina BovineHD Genotyping Beadchip at GeneSeek (Lincoln, NE). The Illumina (San Diego, CA) BovineHD Beadchip assay [[69\]](#page-19-0) contained 777,962 SNPs, with mean and median intermarker spacing of one SNP every 3.43 and 2.68 kb across the bovine genome, respectively.

Heritability estimation

For the GBLUP analyses, custom developed software was used to generate the genomic relationship matrix [\[23](#page-18-0)] and to fit a generalized linear mixed model incorporating fixed effects and a random additive genetic merit effect for each individual. The software incorporates the inverse of the genomic relationship matrix and iterates on REML estimates of additive genetic and residual variance components until the heritability estimate has converged to a user-defined precision ($P < 0.0005$ here). At this point, GBLUP estimates of SNP effects were estimated as the regression of SNP effects on additive genetic merits as described by Taylor [\[70\]](#page-19-0). Pseudo-heritability $(h^2 = \sigma_a^2/(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2))$ [[21](#page-18-0)] was similarly estimated using a genomic relationship matrix [\[23\]](#page-18-0), with REML estimates of variance components, as previously described and implemented in Python [\[22\]](#page-18-0).

Genome-wide association analyses

Susceptibility to BRDC was treated as a binary trait based on the classification of the health scores obtained from the McGuirk [\[6\]](#page-18-0) scoring system taken at the time of sample

collection from the calves. The GWAA was conducted using four analytical approaches: 1) Efficient Mixed-Model Association eXpedited-genomic relationship matrix (EMMAX-GRM) [\[21,22\]](#page-18-0), 2) Full versus Reduced model (FvR) regression using principle component analysiscorrected input data within SNP & Variation Suite 7 (SVS; Golden Helix, Bozeman) [[71](#page-19-0)], 3) GBLUP [\[70](#page-19-0)], and 4) EIGENSTRAT [\[72\]](#page-19-0).

Using the binary BRDC case–control phenotype, the EMMAX-GRM analyses were conducted using an additive model and consisted of single marker tests of association [[21](#page-18-0)], with corresponding estimates for the proportion of variance explained (PVE) by effects at single markers, and utilized a genomic relationship matrix [\[23](#page-18-0)], rather than an IBS kinship matrix [[21,22](#page-18-0)]. EMMAX-GRM analyses treated additive effects at X-linked loci in a different manner to those of autosomal loci, which has previously been suggested [\[70](#page-19-0)]. Specifically, within an additive model, hemizygous males may only possess 0 or 1 copy of an X-linked minor allele (excluding the pseudoautosomal region), whereas females may possess 0, 1, or 2 copies of the minor allele. A gender correction reflecting these ploidy differences was utilized to recode X-linked genotypes prior to EMMAX-GRM analyses. All EMMAX-GRM analyses were coded in Python [\[22,](#page-18-0)[73,74\]](#page-19-0) and were executed in the SVS environment (Golden Helix, version 7.7.6), thereby allowing for simultaneous data visualization and exploration while also providing a Python shell that allows for modification of scripts, as needed (i.e., REML versus ML variance component estimates, etc.). Briefly, the general mixed model can be specified as: $y = X\beta + Zu$ + ϵ , where γ is an $n \times 1$ vector of the observed phenotypes, X is a $n \times q$ matrix of fixed effects, β is a $q \times 1$ vector representing the levels of the fixed effects, and Z is a $n \times t$ matrix relating the instances of the random effect to the phenotypes. Herein, we assume that $Var(u) = \sigma_g^2 K$ and $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma_e^2 I$, such that $Var(y)\sigma_g^2 ZKZ' + \sigma_e^2 I$, but in this study, Z is simply the identity matrix I , and K is the matrix of pairwise genetic relationships among all samples. To solve the mixed model equations using the generalized least squares solution, the variance components $\left(\sigma_g^2$ and $\sigma_e^2\right)$ must first be estimated (21–22). We used the REML-based EMMA approach to estimate the variance components as previously described [\[75](#page-19-0)], with stratification among all Holstein dairy calves accounted for and controlled using a GRM [[23](#page-18-0)] computed from the filtered Illumina 778 K genotypes. Individual EMMAX-GRM analyses for NM and CA included both sex and age as covariates. Likewise, for our analysis of the combined cohort $(NM + CA)$, both sex and age were again treated as covariates, but we also included a population of origin variable as a third and final covariate (Additional file [2](#page-17-0): Figure S1). All relevant formulae are also available online ([http://doc.goldenhelix.](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/7.7.6/mixed_models.html)

[com/SVS/7.7.6/mixed_models.html](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/7.7.6/mixed_models.html); [http://doc.goldenhe](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/mixed_models.html)[lix.com/SVS/latest/mixed_models.html](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/mixed_models.html)).

The FvR regression analysis utilized the binary BRDC case–control phenotype as the dependent variable within an additive model, with all genotypes recoded numerically based on the copy number of the minor allele. Recoding also included the same ploidy-based gender correction for X-linked loci described for the EMMAX-GRM procedure above. We corrected for population stratification using principal components analysis (PCA), with PCA-based correction of the input data using established methods [[72,76](#page-19-0)]. To identify a reasonable number of principal components for correction of the input data, we first estimated the Genomic Inflation Factor Lambda (λ) [[77\]](#page-19-0) across increasing numbers of principal components for each Holstein population, with 9 (NM), 53 (CA) and 91 (CA + NM) principal components yielding Inflation Factors (λ) of 1.06, 1.12, and 1.06, respectively. Thereafter, we implemented the FvR method, which consists of using two regression models commonly referred to as: A) the reduced model and B) the full model; with the significance of the genetic marker estimated after removing the effects of covariates by performing a full versus reduced model analysis. The reduced model included only the dependent variable (BRDC binary case–control status) and any potentially confounding covariates (i.e., the covariates sex, age, or population of origin variable in the combined NM + CA cohort). The full model included all of the variables plus the effect of each genetic marker individually. For the "full versus reduced" linear regression model (i.e., FvR), the regression sums of squares were calculated both for the reduced and for the full model. An F test was performed to find the significance of the full versus the reduced model as follows:

$$
F^* = \frac{\left(\frac{SSReg_F}{SSE_F} - \frac{SSReg_R}{SSE_R}\right) \times (n-m)}{\left(1 + \frac{SSReg_R}{SSReg_R}\right) \times (m-r)}
$$

The *P*-value is calculated by: *P-value* = $P(X > F^*)$ where $X \sim (m-r, n-m)$, with m and r being the rank of the full and reduced model coefficient matrices, respectively, and n being the number of observations. All relevant formulae for PCA correction of input data, the FvR method, and recoding procedures have previously been described [\[71](#page-19-0),[78\]](#page-19-0). For all FvR regression analyses, we used the following covariates: NM (sex, age), CA (sex, age), Combined cohort NM + CA (sex, age, population of origin variable) (Additional file [3:](#page-17-0) Figure S2). All PCA correction [\[72](#page-19-0)] and FvR regression analyses were performed in the SVS environment (Golden Helix, version 7.76), with relevant formulae available online ([http://](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/formulas_theories.html#ftfullvreduced) [doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/formulas_theories.html](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/formulas_theories.html#ftfullvreduced) [#ftfullvreduced;](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/formulas_theories.html#ftfullvreduced) [http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis.html)

[principal_component_analysis.html;](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis.html) [http://doc.golden](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis.html?highlight=pca%20corrected%20input%20data)[helix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis.](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis.html?highlight=pca%20corrected%20input%20data) [html?highlight=pca%20corrected%20input%20data\)](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis.html?highlight=pca%20corrected%20input%20data).

In the GBLUP analyses, we fit the model $y_{ijk} = \mu +$ Sex_i + β Age_i + a_k + e_{ijk} where y_{ijk} is a binary variable set to 1 for cases and 0 for controls of the ith sex (i = male, female), jth age (in days) and with additive genetic merit for susceptibility to BRDC of a_k . The e_{ijk} are residuals assumed identically and independently distributed with null mean and for $e = \{e_{ijk}\}\$ we assumed $Var(e) = I\sigma_e^2$. For $a = \{a_k\}$ we assumed $Var(a) = G\sigma_a^2$ where G is the gen-omic relationship matrix [[23](#page-18-0)[,70\]](#page-19-0). Variance components σ_a^2 and σ_e^2 were estimated by restricted maximum likelihood and at convergence of the variance component estimates, SNP effects were estimated by GBLUP as the regression of SNP effects on additive genetic merits as described in Taylor [\[69\]](#page-19-0). The GBLUP analysis also included X-linked SNP using the approach described in [[69](#page-19-0)]. Previous analyses using the software have been published including Decker et al. [\[79\]](#page-19-0) and McClure et al. [[80](#page-19-0)].

EIGENSTRAT [\[72](#page-19-0)] is a method for analysis of association between genotype and phenotype that corrects for stratification using a principal components approach. Principal component analysis is applied to genotype data to infer axes of genetic variation. Genotypes and phenotypes are subsequently adjusted using the top principal components. The adjusted genotype $(g_{ij \; adjusted})$ for each principal component is computed by:

$$
g_{ij, \, adjusted} = g_{ij} - \frac{\sum_{j} a_{j} g_{ij}}{\sum_{j} a_{j}^{2}} aj
$$

where g_{ij} denotes genotype of individual *j* at SNP *i* and a_j denotes ancestry of individual *j* along a given principal component. The phenotypic adjustment is similarly computed for each principal component as:

$$
p_{j, \, adjusted} = p_j - \frac{\sum_j a_j p_j}{\sum_j a_j^2} a_j
$$

Finally, the association between the adjusted genotypes and phenotypes is tested using the test statistic:

$$
X^2 = (N-K-1)r_i^2
$$

where r_i is the correlation between the adjusted genotype at SNP *i* ($g_{ij, \nadjusted}$) and the adjusted phenotype $(p_{j, \textit{adjusted}}), N$ is the number of individuals, and K is the number of principal components used for adjustment. This test statistic is χ^2 distributed with one degree of freedom.

In order to correct for the stratification in the genotypic data, the first (top) 100, 5, and 80 principal components were used in the EIGENSTRAT analysis of California, New Mexico, and the combined data sets, respectively. The use of these principal components also corrected for the relatedness (sire half-sib families) that was found among the calves (Additional file 4: Figure S3, Additional file 5: Figure S4). As a result, the Genomic Inflation Factor Lambda (λ) values declined to 1.08 in CA, 1.09 in NM, and 1.09 in the CA-NM combined data. Sex and age were fit in the model for CA, age was fit in the model for NM and sex, age was fit in the model for the combined population (Additional file 6: Figure S5).

Significance for all association tests (i.e., EMMAX-GRM, FvR, EIGENSTRAT) was based on the recommendation of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium [[81](#page-19-0)] where unadjusted P-values less than 5×10^{-7} were considered to provide strong evidence of association, and unadjusted P-values between 5×10^{-5} and 5×10^{-7} were considered to provide moderate evidence for association. Individual analyses were conducted for the CA and NM populations, with final analyses that included the combined cohort $(NM + CA)$. For comparisons of the top SNPs and loci identified across the four analytical approaches, the top ranked 2,000 SNPs from each of the four analytical approaches were identified and compared. SNPs were ranked either by P value (EIGENSTRAT, EMMAX-GRM and FvR) or by PVE (GBLUP) from 1 (most significant SNP) to 2,000. For comparisons of SNPs that were associated with BRDC susceptibility across all statistical methodologies (EIGENSTRAT, EMMAX-GRM, FvR and GBLUP) as shown in Tables [3](#page-5-0), [4](#page-6-0) and [5,](#page-7-0) the ranking of the SNP in each method was summed to arrive at a composite ranking. SNPs with a composite ranking that was less than 1000 across all methods are provided in Tables [3,](#page-5-0) [4](#page-6-0) and [5](#page-7-0).

QTL were defined by the number of top ranked SNPs that were associated with BRDC susceptibility for a genomic region within each statistical method. For example, if a single SNP within a region was among the top ranked SNPs, the locus would be defined by the single SNP. However, if several highly ranked SNPs were in close proximity to one another, the QTL would be defined by the chromosomal location of the outermost SNPs in that region. An example of this would be the QTL on BTA4 (48–49 Mb) in the CA + NM population where the QTL is defined by 7 SNPs within the region 48,052,484 to 48,149,487 bp.

Additional files

[Additional file 1:](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-15-1164-S1.pdf) Supplementary Information. Table S1. Distribution of pre-weaned Holstein calf health scores (McGuirk 2008). Table S2. Top 30 ranked bovine SNPs by the EMMAX-GRM analyses of the California, New Mexico and combined populations. Table S3. Top 30 ranked bovine SNPs for GBLUP analyses of California, New Mexico and combined populations. Table S4. Top 30 ranked bovine SNPs resulting from the FvR analyses of California, New Mexico and the combined populations. Table S5. Top 30 ranked bovine SNP for EIGENSTRAT analyses of California, New Mexico and combined populations.

[Additional file 2: Figure S1.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-15-1164-S2.pdf) EMMAX-GRM P-P plots with age and sex in the model for: A. California, and B. NM. In panel C., sex, age and population of origin were included in the combined CA-NM population. For each of the plots in panels A-C, the observed –log10 P-value (on the Y axis) is plotted against the expected –log10 P-value (on the X axis).

[Additional file 3: Figure S2.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-15-1164-S3.pdf) FvR P-P plots with sex and age in the model for: A. California with correction for 53 principle components, and B. NM calves with correction of 9 principle components. In panel C. sex, age and population of origin were included in the combined CA-NM population which included correction of 91 principle components. For each of the plots in panels A-C, the observed –log10 P-value (on the Y axis) is plotted against the expected –log10 P-value (on the X axis).

[Additional file 4: Figure S3.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-15-1164-S4.pdf) The first four EIGENSTRAT principal component analyses plots showing the distribution of presumed half-siblings (based on the genomic relationship matrix) of ten sires with the most offspring within the California calf study population. Each sire's offspring are coded with a different color and tend to cluster together within the study population. In panel A., the first principal component (PC1) (plotted on the X axis) is compared against the second principal component (PC2)(on the Y axis). In panel B., PC1 is again plotted on the X axis but is now compared against the third principal component (PC3) on the Y axis. Principal component 2 (PC2) (on the X axis) is plotted against the third principal component (PC3)(on the Y axis) in panel C. In Panel D., PC1 (on the X axis) was plotted against principal component 4 (PC4) (on the Y axis). In panel E., PC2 (on the X axis) was compared to PC4 (on the Y axis). Finally, in panel F., PC1 was plotted (on the X axis) and compared to PC4 (on the Y axis).

[Additional file 5: Figure S4.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-15-1164-S5.pdf) The first four EIGENSTRAT principal component analyses plots showing the distribution of presumed half-siblings (based on the genomic relationship matrix) of the ten sires with the most offspring within the New Mexico calf population. Each sire's offspring are coded with a different color and tend to cluster together within the study population. In panel A., the first principal component (PC1) (plotted on the X axis) is compared against the second principal component (PC2)(on the Y axis). In panel B., PC1 is again plotted on the X axis but is now compared against the third principal component (PC3) on the Y axis. Principal component 2 (PC2) (on the X axis) is plotted against the third principal component (PC3)(on the Y axis) in panel C. In Panel D., PC1 (on the X axis) was plotted against principal component 4 (PC4) (on the Y axis). In panel E., PC2 (on the X axis) was compared to PC4 (on the Y axis). Finally, in panel F., PC1 was plotted (on the X axis) and compared to PC4 (on the Y axis).

[Additional file 6: Figure S5.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-15-1164-S6.pdf) EIGENSTRAT Q-Q plots: A. CA with correction of 100 principle components and age and sex included in the model, B. NM calves with correction of 5 principle components with only age included in the model, and C. the combined CA-NM population with correction of 80 principle components and sex and age included in the model. For each of the plots in panels A-C, the observed -log₁₀ P-value (on the Y axis) is plotted against the expected $-log_{10}$ P-value (on the X axis).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HN, AW, CS, JT conducted the GWAA. HN drafted the initial manuscript and CS and JT made significant editorial contributions. AW conducted the clinical analyses. ZW, ES, JK and MN assisted in the GWAA. AVE, GRH, TL, SA, JD were instrumental in the diagnosis, and clinical aspects of the study. JW, HN, CS, JT, AVE, GRH, TL and SA participated in the design of the study. The BRDC consortium team provided intellectual insight and contributions to the conduction and interpretation of the results presented in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

The Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex Coordinated Agricultural Project Research Team - Team members include: David Anderson, Noah Cohen, Allan Dabney, Scott Dindot, Mark Enns, Laurel Gershwin, Joseph S Neibergs, Tim Ross, Loren Skow, Milton Thomas, Cassandra Tucker, Curt Van Tassell, and Aldroaldo Zanella.

Acknowledgement

This project is supported by the Agriculture and Food research Initiative competitive grant no. 2011-68004-30367 of the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture.

Author details

¹Department of Animal Sciences, Washington State University, P.O. Box 646310, Pullman, WA 99164-6310, USA. ²Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA. ³Department of Animal Sciences, University of California Davis, Davis, USA. ⁴Extension Animal Sciences and Natural Resources Department, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, USA. ⁵Department of Population Health and Reproduction, University of California Davis, Davis, USA. ⁶Division of Animal Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA.

Received: 11 August 2014 Accepted: 11 December 2014 Published: 22 December 2014

References

- 1. National Agricultural Statistics Service: Cattle Death Loss. USA: Agricultural Statistics Board, United States Department of Agriculture; 2006.
- Fulton RW, Blood KS, Panciera RJ, Payton ME, Ridpath JF, Confer AW, Saliki JT, Burge LT, Welsh RD, Johnson BJ, Reck A: Lung pathology and infectious agents in fatal feedlot pneumonias and relationship with mortality, disease onset and treatments. J Vet Diagn Invest 2009, 21:464-477.
- 3. USDA: Feedlot 2011 Part IV: Health and Health Management on U.S. Feedlots with a capacity of 1,000 or more head. Fort Collins: USDA-APHIS-VS-CEAH-NAHMS; 2011. CO #638.0913.
- 4. USDA: Dairy 2007, Heifer Calf Health and management practices on U.S. Dairy Operations. Fort Collins: USDA-APHIS-VS, CEAH; 2010. CO #550.0110.
- 5. Guterbock WM: The impact of BRD: the current dairy experience. Anim Health Res Rev 2014. doi:10.1017/s1466252314000140.
- 6. McGuirk SM: Disease management of dairy calves and heifers. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 2008, 24:139–153.
- 7. Snowder GD, Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV, Bennett GL: Influence of breed, heterozygosity, and disease prevalence on estimates of variance components of respiratory disease in preweaned beef calves. J Anim Sci 2005, 83:1247–1261.
- 8. Snowder GD, Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV, Bennett GL, Koohmaraie M, Dikeman ME: Bovine respiratory disease in feedlot cattle: phenotypic, environmental, and genetic correlations with growth, carcass, and longissimus muscle palatability traits. J Anim Sci 2006, 85:1886–1892.
- 9. USDA: Dairy 2007, Part I: Reference of dairy cattle health and management practices in the United States. Fort Collins, CO: USDA-APHIS2007b. -VS, CEAH; 2007. #N480.1007.
- 10. Sivula J, Ames TR, Marsh WE, Werdin RE: Descriptive epidemiology of morbidity and mortality in Minnesota dairy heifer calves. Prev Vet Med 1996, 27:155–171.
- 11. Donovan GA, Dohoo IR, Montgomer DM, Bennett RL: Calf and disease factors affecting growth in female Holstein calves in Florida, USA. Prev Vet Med 1998, 33:1–10.
- 12. Waltner-Toews D, Martin SW, Meek AH: Effect of calfhood morbidity on age at first calving in New York Holstein herd. Prev Vet Med 1986, 50:314–317.
- 13. Correa MT, Curtis CR, Erb HN: Effect of calfhood morbidity on age at first calving in New York Holstein herd. Prev Vet Med 1988, 6:253–262.
- 14. USDA: Cattle and calves nonpredator death loss in the United States, 2005. Fort Collins: USDA-APHIS-VS, CEAH; 2005. CO #N462.0507.
- 15. USDA: Dairy 2007, Part V: Changes in dairy cattle health and management practices in the United States, 1996–2007. Fort Collins, CO: USDA-APHIS-VS, CEAH; 2007. #519.0709.
- 16. Maltecca C, Khatib H, Schutzkus VR, Hoffman PC, Weigel KA: Changes in conception rate, calving performance, and calf health and survival from the use of crossbred Jersey x Holstein sires as mates for Holstein dams. J Dairy Sci 2006, 889:2747–2754.
- 17. Muggli-Cockett NE, Cundiff LV, Gregory KE: Genetic analysis of bovine respiratory disease in beef calves during the first year of life. J Anim Sci 1992, 70:2013–2019.
- 18. Snowder GD, Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV, Bennett GL: Bovine respiratory disease in feedlot cattle: environmental, genetic and economic factors. J Anim Sci 2006, 84:1999–2008.
- 19. Zanella RJ, Wenz J, Casas E, Neibergs JS, Moore D, Neibergs HL: Loci on BTA2 and BTA26 are linked with bovine respiratory disease and associated with persistent infection of bovine viral diarrhea virus. J Anim Sci 2011, 89:907-915.
- 20. Ma L, Wiggans GR, Wang S, Sonstegar TS, Yang J, Crooker BA, Cole JB, Van Tassell CP, Lawlor TJ, Da Y: Effect of sample stratification on dairy GWAS results. BMC Genomics 2012, 13:536.
- 21. Kang HM, Sul JH, Service SK, Zaitlen NA, Kong SY, Freimer NB, Sabatti C, Eskin E: Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2010, 42:348–354.
- 22. Segura V, Vihjálmsson BJ, Platt A, Korte A, Seren Ü, Long Q, Nordborg M: An efficient multi-locus mixed-model approach for genome-wide association studies in structured populations. Nat Genet 2012, 44:825–830.
- 23. VanRaden PM: Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. J Dairy Sci 2008, 91:4414-4423.
- 24. Cassell B: Using heritability for genetic improvement. Virginia: Virginia Cooperative Extension publication; 2009:404–084.
- Pritchard T, Coffey M, Mrode R, Wall E: Genetic parameters for production, health, fertility and longevity traits in dairy cows. Animal 2013, 7:34–46.
- 26. Gaddis KLP, Cole JB, Clay JS, Maltecca C: Genomic selection for producer-recorded health event data in US dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci 2014, 97:3190–3199.
- 27. Nash DL, Rogers GW, Cooper JB, Hargrove GL, Keown JF, Hansen LB: Heritability of clinical mastitis incidence and relationships with sire transmitting abilities for somatic cell score, udder type traits, productive life, and protein yield. J Dairy Sci 2000, 93:2350–2360.
- 28. Pösö J, Mäntysaari EA: Relationships between clinical mastitis, somatic cell score, and production for the first three lactations of Finnish Ayrshire. J Dairy Sci 1996, 79:1284–1291.
- 29. Weller JI, Saran A, Zeliger Y: Genetic and environmental relationships among somatic cell count, bacterial infection, and clinical mastitis. J Dairy Sci 1992, 75:2532–2540.
- 30. Geraghty RJ, Krummenacher C, Cohen GH, Eisenberg RJ, Spear PG: Entry of alpha herpesviruses mediated by poliovirus receptor-related protein 1 and poliovirus receptor. Science 1998, 280:1618–1620.
- 31. Smits CB, Van Maanen C, Glas RD, De Gee AL, Dijkstrab T, Van Oirschot JT, Rijsewijk FA: Comparison of three polymerase chain reaction methods for routine detection of bovine herpes virus 1 DNA in fresh bull semen. J Virol Methods 2000, 85:65–73.
- 32. O'Toole D, Chase CCL, Miller MM, Van Campen H: Kennedy, the early sixties and visitation by the angel of death. Vet Path 2014, 51:1051–1062.
- 33. Fenner FJ, Gibbs EPJ, Murphy FA, Rott R, Studdert MJ, White DO: Veterinary Virology. 2nd edition. Inc.: Academic Press; 1993.
- 34. Zhang AL, Wu XY, Li JQ, Zhang Z, Zhang H: Molecular characterization, tissue expression and nucleotide variation of the porcine AZ1 gene. Gene 2012, 501:79–84.
- 35. Peng L, Guo J, Zhang Z, Liu L, Cao Y, Shi H, Wang J, Wang J, Friedman SL, Sninsky JJ: A candidate gene study for the association of host single nucleotide polymorphisms with liver cirrhosis risk in Chinese hepatitis B patients. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 2013, 17:681–686.
- 36. Chen L, Li Y, Lin CH, Chan TH, Chow RK, Song Y, Liu M, Yuan YF, Fu L, Kong KL, Qi L, Li Y, Zhang N, Tong AH, Kwong DL, Man K, Lo CM, Lok S, Tenen DG, Guan XY: Recoding RNA editing of AZIN1 predisposes to hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Med 2013, 19:209–216.
- 37. Murakami Y, Ohkido M, Takizawa H, Murai N, Matsufuji S: Multiple forms of mouse antizyme inhibitor 1 mRNA differentially regulated by polyamines. Amino Acids 2014, 46:575–583.
- 38. Kahana C, Asher G, Shaul Y: Mechanisms of protein degradation and odyssey with ODC. Cell Cycle 2005, 4:1461–1464.
- 39. Eisfeld AK, Marcucci G, Liyanarachchi S, Döhner K, Schwind S, Maharry K, Leffel B, Döhner H, Radmacher MD, Bloomfield CD, Tanner SM, de la Chapelle A: Heritable polymorphism predisposes to high BAALC expression in acute myeloid leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109:6668–6673.
- 40. Pedersen PL: Transport ATPases: structure, motors, mechanism and medicine: a brief overview. J Bioenerg Biomembr 2005, 37:349-357
- 41. Adamson AL, Chohan K, Swenson J, LaJeunesse D: A drosophila model for genetic analysis of influenza viral/host interactions. Genetics 2011, 189:495–506.
- 42. McElwee M, Beilstein F, Labetoulle M, Rixon FJ, Pasdeloup D: Dystonin/ BPAG1 promotes plus-end-directed transport of herpes simplex virus 1 capsids on microtubules during entry. J Virol 2013, 87:11008–11018.
- 43. Pasdeloup D, McElwee M, Beilstein F, Labetoulle M, Rixon FJ: Herpesvirus tegument protein pUL37 interacts with dystonin/BPAG1 to promote capsid transport on microtubules during egress. J Virol 2013, 87:2857–2867.
- 44. Stanley JR, Hawley-Nelson P, Yuspa SH, Shevach EM, Katz SI: Characterization of bullous pemphigoid antigen, a unique basement membrane protein of stratified squamous epithelia. Cell 1981, 24:897-903.
- 45. Stanley JR: Pemphigus and pemphigoid as paradigms of organ-specific, autoantibody-mediated disease. J Clin Invest 1989, 83:1443–1448.
- 46. Kaneko T, Tamai K, Matsuzaki Y, Yamazaki T, Nakano H, Kon A, Hashimoto I, Hanada K, Kaneda Y, Uitto J: Interferon-gamma down-regulates expression of the 230-kDa bullous pemphigoid antigen gene (BPAG1) in epidermal keratinocytes via novel chimeric sequences of ISRE and GAS. Exp Dermatol 2006, 15:308–314.
- 47. Tonon G, Modi S, Wu L, Kubo A, Coxon AB, Komiya T, O'Neil K, Stover K, El-Naqqar A, Griffin JD, Kirsch IR, Kaye FJ: T(11;19)(q21;p13) translocation in mucoepidermoid carcinoma creates a novel fusion product that disrupts a Notch signaling pathway. Nat Genet 2003, 33:208–213.
- 48. Hao Y, Liu X, Lu X, Yang X, Wang L, Chen S, Li H, Li J, Cao J, Chen J, Li Y, Zhao L, Shi Y, Shen C, Yan W, He J, Huang J, Gu D: Genome-wide association study in Han Chinese identifies three novel loci for human height. Hum Genet 2013, 6:681-689.
- 49. MAML2. In [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_report&list_uids=521194) [report&list_uids=521194.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_report&list_uids=521194)
- 50. LOC101905027 and LOC101904971. In [[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_report&list_uids=101905027) [cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_report&list_uids=101905027\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_report&list_uids=101905027)
- 51. Habuchi H, Tanaka M, Habuchi O, Yoshida K, Suzuki H, Ban K, Kimata K: The occurrence of three isoforms of heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase having different specificities for hexuronic acid adjacent to the targeted N-sulfoglucosamine. J Biol Chem 2000, 275:2859–2868.
- 52. Berndt SI, Gustafsson S, Magi R, Ganna A, Wheeler E, Feitosa MF, Justice AE, Monda KL, Croteau-Chonk DC, Day FR, Esko T, Fall T, Ferreira T, Gentilini D, Jackson AU, Luan J, Randall JC, Vedantam S, Willer CJ, Winkler TW, Wood AR, Workalemahu T, Hu Y-J, Lee SH, Liang L, Lin DY, Min JL, Neale BM, Thorleifsson G, Yang J, et al: Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 11 new loci for anthropometric traits and provides insights into genetic architecture. Nat Genet 2013, 45:501–512.
- 53. Wang K-S, Wang L, Liu X, Zeng M: Association of HS6ST3 gene polymorphisms with obesity and triglycerides: gene x gender interaction. J Genet 92:395–402.
- 54. EST Bt.86019. In [[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/clust.cgi?](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/clust.cgi?ORG=Bt&CID=86019) [ORG=Bt&CID=86019\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/clust.cgi?ORG=Bt&CID=86019)
- 55. Zhu A, Ge D, Zhang J, Teng Y, Yuan C, Huang M, Adcock IM, Barnes PJ, Yao X: Sputum myeloperoxidase in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Eur J Med Res 2014, 19:12.
- 56. Belyaeva OV, Korkina OV, Stetsenko AV, Kedishvili NY: Human retinol dehydrogenase 13 (RDH13) is a mitochondrial short-chain dehydrogenase/ reductase with a retinaldehyde reductase activity. FEBS J 2008, 275:138–147.
- 57. SLIT3. In [\[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_<?A3B2 ek?>report&list_uids=615883) [report&list_uids=615883\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene?cmd=retrieve&dopt=full_<?A3B2 ek?>report&list_uids=615883)
- 58. Lim R, Barker G, Lappas M: SLIT3 is increased in supracervical human foetal membranes and in laboring myometrium and regulates pro-inflammatory mediators. Am J Reprod Immunol 2014, 71:297–311.
- 59. Yang YH, Manning Fox JE, Zhang KL, MacDonald PE, Johnson JD: Intra islet SLIT-ROBO signaling is required for betqa-cell survival and potentiates insulin secretion. PNAS USA 2013, 110:16480–16485.
- 60. Hohenester E: Structural insight into Slit-Robo signaling. Biochem Soc Trans 2008, 36:251–256.
- 61. Katoh Y, Katoh M: Comparative genomics on SLIT1, SLIT2, and SLIT3 orthologs. Oncol Rep 2005, 14:1351–1355.
- 62. Lommatzsch M, Bratke K, Bier A, Julius P, Kuepper M, Luttmann W, Virchow JC: Airway dendritic cell phenotypes in inflammatory diseases of the human lung. Eur Respir J 2007, 30:878–886.
- 63. USDA APHIS: Reproduction practices on US Dairy Operations, 2007. Fort Collins: USDA-APHIS-VS, CEAH; 2009. CO #546.0209.
- 64. Timsit E, Le Drean E, Maingourd C, Belloc C, Guatteo R, Bareille N, Seegers H, Douart A, Sellal E, Assie S: Detection by real-time RT-PCR of a bovine respiratory syncytial virus vaccine in calves vaccinated intranasally. Vet Rec 2009, 165:230–233.
- 65. Gallo GF, Berg JL: Efficacy of a feed-additive antibacterial combination for improving feedlot cattle performance and health. Can Vet J 1995, 36:223–229.
- 66. Cusack PM, McMeniman NP, Lean IJ: Feedlot entry characteristics and climate: their relationship with cattle growth rate, bovine respiratory disease and mortality. Aust Vet J 2007, 85:311-316.
- 67. Ribble CS, Jim GK, Janzen ED: Efficacy of immunization of feedlot calves with a commercial Haemophilus somnus bacterin. Can J Vet Res 1988, 52:191–198.
- 68. Sanderson MW, Dargatz DA, Wagner BA: Risk factors for initial respiratory disease in the United States' feedlots based on producer-collected daily morbidity counts. Can Vet J 2008, 49:373-378.
- 69. Illumina. In [\[http://res.illumina.com/documents/products/datasheets/](http://res.illumina.com/documents/products/datasheets/datasheet_bovinehd.pdf) [datasheet_bovinehd.pdf\]](http://res.illumina.com/documents/products/datasheets/datasheet_bovinehd.pdf)
- 70. Taylor JF: Implementation and accuracy of genomic selection. Aquaculture 2014, 420–421(Suppl 1):8–14.
- Pinedo PJ, Galvão KN, Seabury CM: Innate immune gene variation and differential susceptibility to uterine diseases in Holstein cows. Theriogenology 2013, 80(4):384–390.
- 72. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D: Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2006, 38:904–909.
- 73. Python. In [[https://github.com/bvilhjal/mixmogam\]](https://github.com/bvilhjal/mixmogam)
- 74. Python. In<https://github.com/bvilhjal/mixmogam/wiki>.
- 75. Kang HM, Zaitlen NA, Wade CM, Kirby A, Heckerman D, Daly MJ, Eskin E: Efficient control of population structure in model organism association mapping. Genetics 2008, 178:1709–1723.
- 76. Golden Helix PCA. In [[http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis) [component_analysis](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/latest/principal_component_analysis)]
- 77. Devlin B, Roeder K: Genomic Control for Association Studies. Biometrics 1999, 55(4):997–1004.
- 78. Golden Helix Formulas. In [http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/7.7.6/](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/7.7.6/formulas_theories.html#ftfullvreduced) [formulas_theories.html#ftfullvreduced](http://doc.goldenhelix.com/SVS/7.7.6/formulas_theories.html#ftfullvreduced).
- 79. Decker JE, Vasco DA, McKay SD, McClure MC, Rolf MM, Kim J, Northcutt SL, Bauck S, Woodward BW, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF: A novel analytical method, Birth Date Selection Mapping, detects response of the Angus (Bos taurus) genome to selection on complex traits. BMC Genomics 2012, 13:606.
- 80. McClure MC, Ramey HR, Rolf MM, McKay SD, Decker JE, Chapple RH, Kim JW, Taxis TM, Weaber RL, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF: Genome-wide association analysis for quantitative trait loci influencing Warner-Bratzler shear force in five taurine cattle breeds. Anim Genet 2012, 43:662–673.
- 81. Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium: Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. Nature 2007 447:661-678.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-1164

Cite this article as: Neibergs et al.: Susceptibility loci revealed for bovine respiratory disease complex in pre-weaned holstein calves. BMC Genomics 2014 15:1164.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit