

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

The Association Between Pelvic Discomfort and Erectile Dysfunction in Adult Male Bicyclists

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3h34947g>

Journal

The Journal of Sexual Medicine, 17(5)

ISSN

1743-6095

Authors

Balasubramanian, Adithya
Yu, Justin
Breyer, Benjamin N
et al.

Publication Date

2020-05-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.01.022

Peer reviewed

EPIDEMIOLOGY & RISK FACTORS

The Association Between Pelvic Discomfort and Erectile Dysfunction in Adult Male Bicyclists



Adithya Balasubramanian, BA,¹ Justin Yu, BS,¹ Benjamin N. Breyer, MD,^{2,3} Roger Minkow, MD,⁴ and Michael L. Eisenberg, MD^{5,6}

ABSTRACT

Background: Bicycle riding's impact on erectile function remains a topic of great interest given cycling's popularity as a mode of transportation and exercise.

Aim: We evaluated risk factors for sexual dysfunction in male cyclists with the primary intention of determining if genital/pelvic pain and numbness are associated with erectile dysfunction (ED).

Methods: We surveyed male cyclists using an online anonymous questionnaire. Cyclists were queried on their demographics, cycling experience, and sexual function using the Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM). ED was diagnosed when a completed SHIM score was <22. Regression analysis was used to evaluate the risk of ED in men with genital/pelvic pain or numbness after riding. The survey was designed in the United States.

Outcomes: Quantitative characterization of cycling habits, onset and timing of genital pain and numbness, and SHIM score.

Results: A total of 1635 participants completed the survey. A majority of men were over the age of 50 (58%, 934/1,607), Caucasian (88%, 1,437/1,635), had been active cyclists for over 10 years (63%, 1,025/1,635) and used road bikes (97%, 1,578/1,635). Overall, 22%, 30%, and 57% of men reported ED, genital pain, and genital numbness, respectively. While controlling for cohort demographics, body mass index, cycling intensity and equipment, and medical comorbidities, no saddle characteristics were associated with the risk of developing genital numbness. However, men reporting penile numbness were at higher risk of reporting ED (odds ratio [OR] = 1.453, $P = .048$). In addition, quicker onset of numbness and resolution of numbness within a day was associated with impaired erectile function. For example, numbness occurring less than 1 hour after cycling had greater odds of leading to ED than numbness after 5 hours (OR = 2.002, $P = .032$). Similarly, genital pain occurring less than 1 hour (OR = 2.466, $P = .031$) after cycling was associated with higher ED risk.

Strengths & Limitations: Strengths include a large sample size of high-intensity cyclists and validated questionnaire use. Limitations include reliance on anonymous self-reported survey data and minimal inquiry into the riding preferences and terrain traversed by cyclists.

Conclusions: Pelvic pain and numbness are common complaints among male riders in the United States. Men with such complaints are more likely to also report ED especially if it occurs earlier in the ride. Although direction of causality and temporality are uncertain, alleviation of factors resulting in pelvic discomfort may reduce cycling's impact on sexual function. Such interventions are critical given that cycling for both active travel and aerobic exercise confers numerous health benefits. **Balasubramanian A, Yu J, Breyer BN, et al. The Association Between Pelvic Discomfort and Erectile Dysfunction in Adult Male Bicyclists. J Sex Med 2020;17:919–929.**

Copyright © 2020, International Society for Sexual Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Key Words: Cycling; Erectile Dysfunction; Pelvic Discomfort; Adult Male; Bicycling

Received September 18, 2019. Accepted January 23, 2020.

¹Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA;

²Department of Urology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA;

³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA;

⁴Private Practice, Petaluma, CA;

⁵Department of Urology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA;

⁶Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Copyright © 2020, International Society for Sexual Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.01.022>

INTRODUCTION

Cycling remains a popular activity for leisure, sport, transportation, and fitness in the United States and across the globe.^{1,2} Cycling adoption is projected to increase as urban planners expand bicycle infrastructure to reduce vehicle congestion and promote public health.³ Cycling is considered a safe, low-impact activity that improves cardiovascular and metabolic health.^{4–6} Cycling and aerobic exercise in general confer numerous health benefits including decreased blood pressure, regulation of blood sugar, and strengthening of the immune system.^{7–9} Cycling has also been affiliated with mental health benefits including improvements in executive function and neuroplasticity.^{10–12}

Despite its overall health benefits, increasing attention has been drawn to the sexual side effects including erectile dysfunction (ED) and perineal numbness that are associated with cycling.^{13,14} Several vascular and neurogenic mechanisms have been thought to contribute to these sexual health outcomes of interest namely penile blood flow compromise and pudendal nerve compression.^{15,16} As cycling is increasingly adopted across age groups for both exercise and recreation, further research is required to understand whether bicycle riders are at heightened risk for sexual dysfunction. A recent systematic review of physical activity interventions to improve erectile function showcased that cycling is frequently used as a modality to combat ED.¹⁷ Owing to the fact cycling maybe increasingly pursued to improve overall health and reduce ED, it is vital to understand whether certain equipment choices or habits may worsen certain sexual health outcomes.

The proportion of cyclists reporting these symptoms has been identified to be a function of biking intensity and duration.^{13,14} Various investigators have aimed to optimize the interface between rider and bicycle saddle to mitigate associated ED and genital numbness symptoms. Bicycle saddles are conventionally designed as narrow devices composed of a seat and elongated nose that enable the rider to bear bodyweight while riding. Breda et al highlighted that redesigned geometric saddles can more uniformly distribute compression pressures across the gluteus, ischiatic tuberosity, and ischial muscles and can thereby appreciably improve perineal perfusion.¹⁸ Munnariz et al evaluated saddles designed without front nose extensions and demonstrated that this design improves penile hemodynamics.¹⁹ Sanford et al incorporated seat post shock absorbers into traditional saddles and established that this modification can alleviate microtrauma to genital structures.²⁰

Despite increasing research to improve bicycle design, few studies have characterized saddle preferences, associated symptoms, and cycling habits among the broader cycling community. It is critical to understand adoption trends among cyclists given that cycling for both active travel and aerobic exercise confers numerous health benefits. In this study, we sought to evaluate risk factors for sexual dysfunction in male cyclists from around

the world with the primary intention of determining if genital/pelvic pain and numbness are associated with ED. Our hypothesis is that certain cycling habits and equipment preferences are more likely to be associated with increased rates of ED and genital numbness among male cyclists.

METHODS

Survey Recruitment

Cyclists were invited to complete an online, Stanford University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board–approved anonymous survey overviewing cycling habits and sexual health. Recruitment was targeted at individuals aged 18 years or older. Surveys were created and administered using the Qualtrics survey platform (Provo, UT). The electronic survey was distributed via various online cycling forums and social media. Several (>6) cycling publications based in the United States were contacted to help publicize the survey. Several cycling groups located in Northern California disseminated the survey via their email listservs. The survey was published on Facebook, and presentations were also published on websites affiliated with US cycling groups. Data were collected across a 2-year period from October 2016 through October 2018. Respondents to the survey were provided a formal consent form through the Qualtrics platform and were required to authorize their information for use in this study.

Survey Design

The survey collected demographic information including age, body mass index, race/ethnicity, and marital status. Participants were asked to report pertinent medical history such as hypertension, depression, hyperlipidemia, arthritis, and tobacco or alcohol use. Participants were asked to report cycling habits including preferred type of riding (road, mountain, triathlon), hours spent during each type of riding, distance cycled per week, number of years cycling, and preferred rider position on the saddle. Cycling equipment choices including bike type, saddle shape, cycling shorts padding/size, handlebar height, and saddle lubrication were also assessed. Survey participants were provided with multiple choice options to indicate their bicycle type, cycling short use, padding type, handlebar height, and saddle lubrication preferences. Survey participants could identify the saddle shape they used through both multiple-choice options and a series of images depicting whether a saddle was shaped as a dome, semi-dome, or flat.

Cyclists were asked to rate their sexual health using the validated Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM) questionnaire.²¹ Participants were classified with ED when their reported SHIM scores were less than 22. Moderate ED was defined as SHIM scores less than 17. Genital numbness and/or genital pain were other primary outcomes assessed by this survey. If a participant reported any of these symptoms, he was asked to specify the

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of male cyclists in study

| Variable | Total cohort | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | P value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Number of participants | 1,635 | 387 | 336 | 475 | 437 | |
| Age distribution | | | | | | |
| Less than 30 | 154 | 28.6% | 24.7% | 22.1% | 24.7% | .1694 |
| 30 to 39 | 221 | 24.9% | 16.3% | 32.1% | 26.7% | |
| 40 to 49 | 298 | 21.1% | 20.8% | 31.9% | 26.2% | |
| 50 to 59 | 402 | 19.9% | 23.1% | 27.9% | 29.1% | |
| 60 and older | 532 | 25.6% | 19.0% | 29.5% | 25.9% | |
| Median BMI (IQR) | 24.4 (22.6-27.0) | 25.34 (23.5-28.1) | 24.8 (22.8-27.0) | 24.3 (22.3-26.6) | 24.0 (22.3-26.3) | |
| Race/ethnicity | | | | | | |
| African American | 15 | 20.0% | 20.0% | 26.7% | 33.3% | .2465 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| Asian | 59 | 37.3% | 25.4% | 22.0% | 15.3% | |
| Caucasian | 1,437 | 23.8% | 20.5% | 28.7% | 27.0% | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 40 | 10.0% | 17.5% | 37.5% | 35.0% | |
| Native Hawaiian | 3 | 0.0% | 33.3% | 33.3% | 33.3% | |
| Other | 72 | 18.1% | 18.1% | 36.1% | 27.8% | |
| Marital status | | | | | | |
| Dating (same partner for <6 months) | 30 | 16.7% | 23.3% | 23.3% | 36.7% | .005802 |
| Dating (same partner for >6 months) | 123 | 21.1% | 21.1% | 20.3% | 37.4% | |
| Divorced | 65 | 13.8% | 9.2% | 36.9% | 40.0% | |
| Married or living as married | 1,244 | 24.2% | 21.5% | 29.3% | 25.0% | |
| Separated | 12 | 25.0% | 16.7% | 8.3% | 50.0% | |
| Single | 140 | 27.9% | 15.7% | 32.1% | 24.3% | |
| Widowed | 14 | 14.3% | 21.4% | 50.0% | 14.3% | |
| Medical history | | | | | | |
| Arthritis | 205 | 24.4% | 17.1% | 30.2% | 28.3% | .4129 |
| Depression | 136 | 25.7% | 16.2% | 27.9% | 30.1% | |
| High cholesterol | 282 | 26.6% | 20.9% | 30.1% | 22.3% | |
| Hypertension | 194 | 23.7% | 24.2% | 23.7% | 28.4% | |
| Alcohol use | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1,058 | 23.4% | 20.6% | 30.1% | 25.9% | .03124 |
| No | 286 | 20.3% | 19.6% | 25.5% | 34.6% | |
| Median number of drinks in past month | 4 (2-7) | 4 (1-8) | 4 (2-7) | 4 (2-8) | 4 (1-7) | |
| Current smoker | | | | | | |
| Yes | 22 | 22.7% | 9.1% | 31.8% | 36.4% | .5629 |
| No | 1,322 | 22.8% | 20.6% | 29.0% | 27.7% | |

(continued)

Table 1. Continued

| Variable | Total cohort | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | <i>P</i> value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Median number of cigarettes/day (IQR) | 10 (5.25-14.25) | 15 (8-15) | 10 (10-10) | 10 (10-15) | 3.5 (2-7.5) | |
| Former smoker | | | | | | |
| Yes | 337 | 22.8% | 22.6% | 33.2% | 21.4% | .01448 |
| No | 1,007 | 22.7% | 19.7% | 27.6% | 30.0% | |
| Number of years cycling | | | | | | |
| Less than 2 | 77 | 51.9% | 19.5% | 15.6% | 13.0% | <.001 |
| 2 to 5 | 244 | 23.8% | 22.5% | 32.4% | 21.3% | |
| 6 to 10 | 281 | 18.1% | 23.8% | 30.6% | 27.4% | |
| Greater than 10 | 1,025 | 22.8% | 19.4% | 28.9% | 28.9% | |
| Median days cycled | | | | | | |
| Median kilometers (Km) per week | 160 (96.6-221.3) | 80.5 (48.3-98.3) | 128.7 (96.6-160.9) | 160.9 (128.7-209.2) | 250 (193.1-321.9) | |
| Cycling type | | | | | | |
| Road | 1,578 | 22.8% | 20.6% | 29.2% | 27.4% | .004748 |
| Mountain | 577 | 17.0% | 22.0% | 31.0% | 30.0% | |
| Triathlon | 72 | 12.5% | 23.6% | 25.0% | 38.9% | |
| Spin/trainer | 350 | 15.7% | 22.0% | 27.4% | 34.9% | |
| Self-reported cycling preferences | | | | | | <.001 |
| Commuter | 130 | 31.5% | 20.8% | 33.8% | 13.8% | |
| Enthusiast | 1,216 | 18.1% | 21.1% | 31.0% | 29.9% | |
| Professional | 37 | 0.0% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 94.6% | |
| Recreational | 249 | 50.6% | 20.1% | 21.3% | 8.0% | |

Bold values indicate statistically significant differences between quartiles.
 BMI = body mass index; IQR = interquartile range.

Table 2. Relationship between cycling intensity, cycling equipment, and cycling habits

| Variable | Total cohort | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | P value |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Aero bar | | | | | | |
| Aero bars | | | | | | |
| Yes | 190 | 20.0% | 21.1% | 23.2% | 35.8% | .01589 |
| No | 1,421 | 24.1% | 20.8% | 29.7% | 25.5% | |
| Shorts | | | | | | |
| Shorts use | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1,496 | 22.6% | 20.9% | 29.1% | 27.3% | .003526 |
| No | 116 | 37.1% | 19.0% | 25.9% | 18.1% | |
| Shorts size | | | | | | |
| Extra small (XS) | 11 | 0.0% | 18.2% | 36.4% | 45.5% | .007744 |
| Small (S) | 117 | 20.5% | 17.1% | 30.8% | 31.6% | |
| Medium (M) | 623 | 18.9% | 19.4% | 30.8% | 30.8% | |
| Large (L) | 465 | 27.3% | 22.4% | 25.6% | 24.7% | |
| Extra large (XL) | 238 | 23.1% | 21.8% | 31.9% | 23.1% | |
| Extra extra large (XXL) | 38 | 34.2% | 28.9% | 23.7% | 13.2% | |
| Extra extra extra large (XXXL) | 3 | 33.3% | 66.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| Presence of padding | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1,474 | 22.2% | 20.9% | 29.4% | 27.5% | .004587 |
| No | 18 | 55.6% | 22.2% | 5.6% | 16.7% | |
| Lubrication use | | | | | | |
| Yes | 675 | 16.6% | 19.7% | 31.9% | 31.9% | <.001 |
| No | 937 | 28.7% | 21.6% | 26.7% | 23.1% | |
| Bike fit | | | | | | |
| Bike fit undertaken | | | | | | |
| Yes | 898 | 19.5% | 19.5% | 30.6% | 30.4% | <.001 |
| No | 721 | 28.8% | 22.3% | 26.6% | 22.2% | |
| Measurement of ischial tuberosities (sit bones) | | | | | | |
| Yes | 367 | 14.7% | 20.2% | 31.6% | 33.5% | .01383 |
| No | 202 | 24.3% | 23.3% | 26.2% | 26.2% | |
| Saddle | | | | | | |
| Saddle length | | | | | | |
| Less than 250 mm | 413 | 22.3% | 19.4% | 30.0% | 28.3% | .752 |
| Greater than 250 mm | 862 | 21.7% | 22.0% | 29.1% | 27.1% | |
| Different saddle widths available | | | | | | |
| Yes | 658 | 18.8% | 20.8% | 29.6% | 30.7% | .003901 |
| No | 743 | 26.4% | 20.6% | 28.3% | 24.8% | |
| Saddle shape | | | | | | |
| Flat | 620 | 22.4% | 20.2% | 29.7% | 27.7% | .1677 |
| Semi-dome | 685 | 22.0% | 21.9% | 29.6% | 26.4% | |
| Dome | 144 | 31.9% | 15.3% | 25.0% | 27.8% | |
| Padding level | | | | | | |
| Minimal | 774 | 20.5% | 21.2% | 30.5% | 27.8% | .02298 |
| Moderate | 545 | 27.2% | 19.8% | 28.6% | 24.4% | |

(continued)

Table 2. Continued

| Variable | Total cohort | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | P value |
|--|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Heavy | 17 | 47.1% | 17.6% | 17.6% | 17.6% | |
| None | 172 | 21.5% | 18.6% | 25.0% | 34.9% | |
| Influenced by manufacturer marketing | | | | | | |
| Yes | 438 | 25.6% | 17.1% | 29.7% | 27.6% | .1871 |
| No | 1,071 | 22.5% | 21.8% | 28.7% | 27.0% | |
| Cycling habits | | | | | | |
| Ride on rough terrain (pot holes, curbs) | | | | | | |
| Yes | 437 | 21.3% | 16.2% | 32.0% | 30.4% | .005802 |
| No | 1,175 | 24.5% | 22.5% | 27.7% | 25.4% | |

Bold values indicate statistically significant differences between quartiles.

anatomic location (penis, scrotum, perineal, buttocks), duration, and timing of onset after cycling. The survey was designed by authors of this study through a combination of expert opinion and literature review. The survey was pilot tested by authors and several local cycling groups to assess its clarity and adequacy before distribution.

Data Analysis

Respondents were classified into quartiles of cycling intensity based on the number of weekly hours ridden. Hours ridden was correlated to total distance using a Pearson correlation coefficient. Patient demographic and medical history were coded as categorical variables and are presented in Table 1. A chi-squared test for these categorical variables was performed to determine whether cycling intensity was independent of patient demographics and cycling habits. Microsoft Excel version 16.11 (Redmond, WA) was used for this analysis.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed on this retrospective database to determine the association of cycling habits on sexual health while controlling for patient demographics and comorbidities. Cycling equipment including bike type, saddle characteristics, cycling shorts padding/size, and terrain were coded as categorical variables and used to predict the odds ratio (OR) of developing ED, genital pain, or genital numbness. Specific categorizations of bike type, saddle characteristics, and other variables are shown in Table 2. The anatomic location (ie, perineum, buttocks, penis, scrotum), duration, and time of onset after cycling of genital numbness and pain were correlated with developing ED using the SHIM score. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). A Wald test was performed with 0.05 set as the threshold for significance.

RESULTS

A total of 1,635 participants completed the survey from the beginning to the end. Some survey participants elected to not respond to certain questions, and hence, the total number of responses may not total up to 1,635 for certain categories. Demographics and cycling habits for men included in this study are summarized in Table 1. A majority of men were over the age of 50 (58%, 934/1,607). Most participants were Caucasian (88%, 1,437/1,635) and married (77%, 1,244/1,607). A majority of men had been active cyclists for over 10 years (63%, 1,025/1,635). Nearly all participants (98%, 1595/1635) were from English-speaking countries.

Most men cycled using road bikes (97%, 1,578/1,635), followed by mountain bikes (35%, 577/1,635) and spin/trainer bikes (21%, 350/1,635). A total of 46% (760/1,635) of survey respondents used 2 or more cycle types. A list of auxiliary cycling equipment used by participants is presented in Table 2. Most respondents preferred riding using cycling shorts (93%, 1,496/1,612) with padding (99%, 1,474/1,492). Less than 57% of

Table 3. Multivariate regression for association between genital pain, numbness, and ED with cycling equipment

| Variable | OR for ED (SHIM < 22)* | P value | OR for moderate ED (SHIM < 17)* | P value | OR for genital numbness* | P value | OR for genital pain* | P value |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| Type of bike | | | | | | | | |
| Road | 1.263 | .749 | 0.875 | .91 | 0.77 | .711 | 0.476 | .24 |
| Mountain | 1.245 | .407 | 1.588 | .283 | 0.883 | .6 | 0.984 | .939 |
| Triathlon | 0.408 | .183 | 0.175 | .145 | 1.377 | .561 | 1.884 | .188 |
| Spin or training | 0.864 | .603 | 0.995 | .99 | 1.613 | .071 | 1.343 | .203 |
| Position on saddle | | | | | | | | |
| Back | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | |
| Center | 0.792 | .452 | 0.968 | .948 | 0.808 | .481 | 1.021 | .94 |
| Forward | 0.821 | .623 | 1.155 | .818 | 1.207 | .634 | 1.237 | .545 |
| Terrain | | | | | | | | |
| Rough | 1.082 | .75 | 1.919 | .082 | 0.936 | .771 | 1.059 | .786 |
| Aero bars | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 0.998 | .996 | 2.064 | .17 | 0.924 | .822 | 0.855 | .638 |
| Shorts size | | | | | | | | |
| Small | 0.546 | .549 | 0.264 | .416 | 2.656 | .209 | 1.733 | .572 |
| Medium | 0.523 | .45 | 0.271 | .357 | 2.789 | .137 | 1.74 | .527 |
| Large | 0.856 | .847 | 0.464 | .568 | 3.881 | .05 | 1.622 | .567 |
| XL | 0.694 | .639 | 0.596 | .689 | 3.558 | .076 | 1.592 | .571 |
| XXL | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | |
| Lubrication | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 0.819 | .397 | 0.531 | .088 | 1.076 | .736 | 0.784 | .226 |
| Saddle length | | | | | | | | |
| >250 mm | 0.615 | .05 | 0.542 | .112 | 1.145 | .559 | 0.999 | .995 |
| Saddle shape | | | | | | | | |
| Dome | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | |
| Flat | 1.319 | .514 | 0.413 | .121 | 1.335 | .423 | 0.8 | .513 |
| Semi-dome | 1.513 | .319 | 0.579 | .313 | 1.671 | .153 | 0.902 | .762 |
| Padding | | | | | | | | |
| No padding | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | | Referent | |
| Minimum | 0.883 | .781 | 0.619 | .498 | 1.17 | .711 | 0.815 | .592 |
| Moderate | 0.974 | .955 | 0.717 | .644 | 0.978 | .959 | 1.284 | .521 |
| Heavy | 1.807 | .602 | 2.538 | .531 | 0.64 | .682 | 1.207 | .857 |

Bold values indicate statistically significant OR following multivariate regression for association between genital pain, numbness, and ED with cycling equipment.

BMI = body mass index; ED = erectile dysfunction; HTN, hypertension; OR = odds ratio; SHIM = Sexual Health Inventory for Men.

*Adjusted for age, BMI, cycling intensity, partner status, HTN, arthritis, high cholesterol, depression.

Table 4. Multivariate regression between genital pain and numbness presentation with ED symptoms

| Variable | OR for ED (SHIM < 22)* | P value | OR for moderate ED (SHIM < 17)* | P value |
|--|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Anatomic location | | | | |
| Penis numbness | 1.453 | .048 | 0.972 | .915 |
| Scrotum numbness | 1.212 | .341 | 1.061 | .846 |
| Perineal numbness | 1.223 | .273 | 1.501 | .142 |
| Buttocks numbness | 1.229 | .456 | 1.03 | .941 |
| Penis pain | 0.842 | .55 | 1.064 | .887 |
| Scrotal pain | 1.324 | .38 | 0.855 | .767 |
| Perineal pain | 1.102 | .726 | 2.973 | .014 |
| Buttocks pain | 0.743 | .305 | 1.002 | .996 |
| Duration | | | | |
| Numbness resolves in few hours | Referent | | Referent | |
| Numbness resolves in a day | 2.787 | .017 | 0.634 | .567 |
| Numbness resolves in several days | 0.69 | .568 | n/a | n/a |
| Numbness resolves in a week | 0.813 | .864 | n/a | n/a |
| Numbness resolves in several weeks | 1.701 | .583 | n/a | n/a |
| Pain resolves in a few hours | Referent | | Referent | |
| Pain resolves in a day | 0.586 | .09 | 0.561 | .275 |
| Pain resolves in a few days | 0.465 | .057 | 0.489 | .276 |
| Pain resolves in a week | 0.336 | .188 | 1.206 | .836 |
| Pain resolves in several weeks | 0.846 | .851 | n/a | n/a |
| Time of onset after biking | | | | |
| Numbness occurred < 1 hour | 2.002 | .032 | 2.817 | .041 |
| Numbness occurred between 1 to 5 hours | 1.521 | .106 | 1.855 | .151 |
| Numbness occurred > 5 hours | Referent | | Referent | |
| Pain occurred < 1 hour | 2.466 | .031 | 5.075 | .015 |
| Pain occurred between 1 to 5 hours | 2.893 | .002 | 3.848 | .021 |
| Pain occurred > 5 hours | Referent | | Referent | |

Bold values indicate statistically significant OR following multivariate regression between genital pain and numbness presentation with ED symptoms.

BMI = body mass index; ED = erectile dysfunction; HTN, hypertension; OR = odds ratio; SHIM = Sexual Health Inventory for Men.

*Adjusted for age, BMI, cycling intensity, HTN, arthritis, high cholesterol, depression.

respondents (937/1,635) applied lubrication to further cushion the saddle surface. Approximately 55% (898/1,635) of men completed a bike fit. Most riders used saddles greater than 250 mm (53%, 862/1,635). Groove (33%, 545/1,635) and cut out (36%, 594/1,635) saddles were the most popular seat shapes. Twenty-seven percent (438/1,635) of cyclists considered manufacturer advertisement of health/safety benefits when purchasing their saddle. A total of 12% (190/1,611) of cyclists used Aero bars. Of these riders, 95% (180/190) used road bikes, 32% used (60/190) mountain bikes, 35% (66/190) used triathlon cycles, and 33% (62/190) rode on spin bikes/trainers. Among these survey respondents, 38% (73/190) rode exclusively on one form of biking modality. Road bikes (67/73) were the most common modality among riders riding on one bicycle type.

Cyclists were classified into quartiles based on the number of weekly hours ridden. The low-intensity quartile was comprised of cyclists who rode less than 6 hours a week, followed by the moderate-intensity quartile who rode between 6 and 8 hours a week. The high-intensity quartile was defined as men who cycle between 8 and 11 hours a week, and the very-high-intensity quartile was comprised of men who cycled for greater than 11 hours a week. Low-intensity riders preferred using padded saddles while high-intensity cyclists preferred seats with less padding ($P = .023$).

A total of 27% (437/1,612) of riders indicated that they stayed in the saddle when riding on rough terrain. Among these riders, a majority rode on road bikes (97%, 426/437), followed by mountain bikes (34%, 134/437), triathlon cycles (5%, 22/437), and lastly by spin bike/trainers (19%, 85/437). Of these survey respondents, 58% (253/437) rode on only one form of biking modality. Road bikes (245/253) were the most common modality among riders exclusively using one type of bicycle.

Multivariate regression analysis was performed to determine associations between cycling equipment and genital pain, genital numbness, and ED (Table 3). Overall, there was no association between cycling intensity (as measured by hours of riding per week) and erectile function. Moreover, hours ridden per week were found to be positively correlated to miles ridden per week with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.23 ($P < .001$). While controlling for age, race, body mass index, cycling equipment and intensity, and other medical comorbidities, the saddle shapes included in this survey were found to have minimal impact on genitourinary dysfunction.

We also analyzed the influence of the location, duration, and onset of genital pain and numbness on ED symptoms (Table 4). Men who experienced penile numbness were at higher risk of reporting lower SHIM scores (OR = 1.453, CI = 1.003 to 2.105, $P = .048$). Earlier onset of symptoms was associated with increased risk of developing ED. Numbness occurring less than 1 hour after cycling had greater odds of leading to diminished erectile function than numbness after 5 hours (OR = 2.002, CI = 1.063 to 3.769, $P = .032$). Similarly, genital pain occurring less than 1 hour (OR = 2.466, CI = 1.085 to 5.606, $P = .031$) or between 1 and 5 hours (OR = 2.893, CI = 1.461

to 5.731, $P = .002$) after cycling was associated with higher risk of ED compared with pain that began >5 hours into a ride. The association with the duration of numbness or pain did not follow a clear temporal pattern.

DISCUSSION

Cycling is a physical activity governed by a rider's contact between the handlebar, saddle, and pedals.²² A rider's comfort and overall performance is influenced by positioning at each of these points. As cycling becomes an increasingly popular activity among the general public for transportation and exercise, further attention has been drawn to the saddle's potential adverse impact on rider comfort, fatigue, pain, and overall genitourinary function.²³ Schwarzer highlighted that certain saddle types are more likely to induce perineal compression and decrease penile blood flow, thereby placing riders at an increased risk of developing ED.¹⁵ Other potential mechanisms of injury that have been explored include pudendal nerve compression which may further predispose riders to ED.¹⁶ Despite these proposed mechanisms of injury, recent work by Awad et al demonstrated that cyclists did not have worse sexual or urinary function when compared with swimmers or runners.¹⁴ The authors did however discover that cycling may place riders at risk for other genitourinary issues such as urethral strictures and genital numbness. Ultimately the authors highlighted that further work was required to understand the cycling habits and equipment factors that may contribute to the development of genitourinary issues among cyclists. In this study, we assessed whether various risk factors including saddle preferences and cycling habits may predispose men to developing genital/pelvic pain, numbness, and ED.

We observed that there were notable differences in cycling habits and equipment preferences after stratifying riders into intensity quartiles. As expected, it was observed that cyclists who were stratified into the very-high-intensity quartile, as determined by time spent on the saddle, had the highest median kilometers cycled per week. In addition, we observed that there were distinct cycling type and equipment preferences between the different quartiles. Cyclists in the high-intensity quartiles were more likely to use auxiliary equipment including aero bars and cycling shorts. Physicians counseling riders about the impact of cycling on overall health and genitourinary function should be vigilant of the heterogeneity of equipment choices among cyclists across intensities. This variability highlights that health-care practitioners should assess not only if cyclists are participating for commuting, recreational, or exercise reasons but also the overall volume of cycling they engage in. However, there was no association between bike fit, sexual function, and genital pain/numbness in the current report, suggesting that cycle fit optimization may not equate to saddle safety.

A multivariate analysis was performed to identify potential associations between genital pain, numbness, and ED with a rider's cycling equipment choice. After controlling for various demographic, medical history, and cycling intensity factors, it

was noted that saddle length greater than 250 mm was associated with lower risk of developing mild ED. This relationship between saddle length and ED development goes against prior studies that have proposed that minimizing saddle length or eliminating the saddle nose altogether may be an effective approach to reduce ED risk.^{19,24} Our results therefore highlight that further work is required to identify whether certain subpopulations of riders may benefit from the presence of a nosed saddle.

Further analysis was performed to identify the relationship between genital pain, numbness, and ED. Indeed, genital numbness and pain were positively associated with ED. This finding highlights that riders should be vigilant about the development of numbness and pain, as well as the specific location where they are experiencing reduced sensation/discomfort. In addition, the onset of the numbness was related to the probability of developing ED, whereby men with rapid onset of numbness were at higher risk for reporting ED. Together, these features suggest that riders should be mindful of the context in which their numbness and pain presents and that these features are likely insightful into the eventual development of ED. The awareness of when these symptoms emerge has implications for both commuters as well as cyclists that participate recreationally in clubs.

Prior work by Baradaran et al did however conclude that genital numbness was not associated with worse sexual function among their study cohort.¹³ Our results demonstrated parallel associations between genital pain and ED compared with their study. These differences underscore that further work is required to understand the impact of cycling on genitourinary symptoms across the spectrum of individuals who partake in the activity. An important consideration however is that participants in this study had lower rates of ED than a similar aged group from the general population.²⁵ The fact that certain subsets of cyclists may develop numbness and ED does not detract from the fact that cycling is largely protective against various ED risk factors including several components of metabolic syndrome.

Several limitations warrant mention. First, we used an anonymous self-reported survey to collect our data, which may be susceptible to recall bias or omission. Furthermore, our cross-sectional design does not permit the identification of causal pathways. Second, we sampled riders who visit cycling enthusiast websites and forums. Acquiring data from a population with higher cycling intensity may limit the generalizability of our findings to cyclists who do not ride a bicycle as often. In addition, 88% of our survey respondents were Caucasian. While cycling adoption has historically been concentrated among certain demographic categories, the broadening of the sport among different groups may temper the ability to translate our results across the evolving cycling landscape.²⁶ Third, our survey had limited inquiry into variables such as riding preferences and terrain that riders routinely cycle on. Then, we tested several

cycling variables and identified associations that may have occurred by chance alone. As such, further research is required to characterize the impact that these cycling habits and environments have on the development of ED and other genitourinary symptoms. Similarly, we did not quantify the degree of pain and numbness which limited our ability to further investigate the influence of these variables of interest. Fourth, given the fact that many respondents used multiple types of bikes, it is possible that our conclusions about the relationship between equipment and ED may be less reliable. Furthermore, it is important to reiterate that the cardiovascular benefits experienced by cyclists will outweigh the impact of being on the saddle on sexual function and overall health.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we assessed the association of a range of risk factors on the development of sexual dysfunction in male cyclists. We first highlighted that there are considerable differences in cycling equipment choices among riders of differing cycling intensities. Moreover, we identified several features that may influence the development of ED and genital numbness. Specifically, we identified that saddle characteristics may be associated with rider comfort and sexual function. In addition, our study revealed that features such as the early onset of genitourinary pain and numbness may be more predictive of developing ED. Cyclists should be vigilant of pain or numbness in specific anatomic regions such as the penis or perineum as discomfort in these locations was associated with increased risk of ED. Future research further characterizing the development of genital numbness and pain may elucidate new opportunities to mitigate sexual side effects from cycling.

Corresponding Author: Michael L. Eisenberg, MD, Associate Professor Department of Urology Stanford University, 300 Pasteur Drive Grant S283, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. Tel: 650-723-3391; Fax: 650-724-9609 E-mail: eisenberg@stanford.edu

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no disclosures pertaining to this article.

Funding: None.

REFERENCES

1. Zalt Austwick M, O'Brien O, Strano E, et al. The structure of spatial networks and communities in bicycle sharing systems. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e74685.
2. Zhou X. Understanding Spatiotemporal Patterns of Biking Behavior by Analyzing Massive Bike Sharing Data in Chicago. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0137922.
3. Bernstein R, Schneider R, Welch W, et al. Biking for Health: Results of a Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial Examining the Impact of a Bicycling Intervention on Lower-Income Adults. *WMJ* 2017;116:154-160.

4. Dinu M, Pagliai G, Macchi C, et al. Active Commuting and Multiple Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med* 2019;49:437-452.
5. Celis-Morales CA, Lyall DM, Welsh P, et al. Association between active commuting and incident cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mortality: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2017;357:j1456.
6. Rasmussen MG, Grøntved A, Blond K, et al. Associations between Recreational and Commuter Cycling, Changes in Cycling, and Type 2 Diabetes Risk: A Cohort Study of Danish Men and Women. *Plos Med* 2016;13:e1002076.
7. Martland R, Mondelli V, Gaughran F, et al. Can high-intensity interval training improve physical and mental health outcomes? A meta-review of 33 systematic reviews across the lifespan. *J Sports Sci* 2019:1-40.
8. Cayres-Santos SU, Urban JB, Barbosa MF, et al. Sports participation improves metabolic profile in adolescents: ABCD growth study. *Am J Hum Biol* 2019:e23387.
9. Campbell JP, Turner JE. Debunking the Myth of Exercise-Induced Immune Suppression: Redefining the Impact of Exercise on Immunological Health Across the Lifespan. *Front Immunol* 2018;9:648.
10. Roberts L, Jones G, Brooks R. Why Do You Ride?: A Characterization of Mountain Bikers, Their Engagement Methods, and Perceived Links to Mental Health and Well-Being. *Front Psychol* 2018;9:1642.
11. Ryu J, Jung JH, Kim J, et al. Outdoor cycling improves clinical symptoms, cognition and objectively measured physical activity in patients with schizophrenia: A randomized controlled trial. *J Psychiatr Res* 2020;120:144-153.
12. Steventon JJ, Foster C, Furby H, et al. Hippocampal Blood Flow Is Increased After 20 min of Moderate-Intensity Exercise. *Cereb Cortex* 2019.
13. Baradaran N, Awad M, Gaither TW, et al. The association of bicycle-related genital numbness and Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM) score: results from a large, multinational, cross-sectional study. *BJU Int* 2019;124:336-341.
14. Awad MA, Gaither TW, Murphy GP, et al. Cycling, and Male Sexual and Urinary Function: Results from a Large, Multinational, Cross-Sectional Study. *J Urol* 2018;199:798-804.
15. Schwarzer U, Sommer F, Klotz T, et al. Cycling and penile oxygen pressure: the type of saddle matters. *Eur Urol* 2002;41:139-143.
16. Silbert PL, Dunne JW, Edis RH, et al. Bicycling induced pudendal nerve pressure neuropathy. *Clin Exp Neurol* 1991;28:191-196.
17. Gerbild H, Larsen CM, Graugaard C, et al. Physical Activity to Improve Erectile Function: A Systematic Review of Intervention Studies. *Sex Med* 2018;6:75-89.
18. Breda G, Piazza N, Bernardi V, et al. Development of a new geometric bicycle saddle for the maintenance of genital-perineal vascular perfusion. *J Sex Med* 2005;2:605-611.
19. Munarriz R, Huang V, Uberoi J, et al. Only the nose knows: penile hemodynamic study of the perineum-saddle interface in men with erectile dysfunction utilizing bicycle saddles and seats with and without nose extensions. *J Sex Med* 2005;2:612-619.
20. Sanford T, Gadzinski AJ, Gaither T, et al. Effect of Oscillation on Perineal Pressure in Cyclists: Implications for Micro-Trauma. *Sex Med* 2018;6:239-247.
21. Rosen RC, Cappelleri JC, Smith MD, et al. Development and evaluation of an abridged, 5-item version of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF-5) as a diagnostic tool for erectile dysfunction. *Int J Impot Res* 1999;11:319-326.
22. Ericson M. On the biomechanics of cycling. A study of joint and muscle load during exercise on the bicycle ergometer. *Scand J Rehabil Med Suppl* 1986;16:1-43.
23. Bini R, Hume PA, Croft JL. Effects of bicycle saddle height on knee injury risk and cycling performance. *Sports Med* 2011;41:463-476.
24. Schrader SM, Breitenstein MJ, Lowe BD. Cutting off the nose to save the penis. *J Sex Med* 2008;5:1932-1940.
25. Feldman HA, Goldstein I, Hatzichristou DG, et al. Impotence and its medical and psychosocial correlates: results of the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. *J Urol* 1994;151:54-61.
26. Aldred R, Woodcock J, Goodman A. Does More Cycling Mean More Diversity in Cycling? *Transp Rev* 2016;36:28-44.