

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Measurements of Density of Liquid Oxides with an Aero-Acoustic Levitator

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3f5259zz>

Journal

Materials, 14(4)

ISSN

1996-1944

Authors

Ushakov, Sergey V

Niessen, Jonas

Quirinale, Dante G

et al.

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.3390/ma14040822



Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Article

Measurements of Density of Liquid Oxides with an Aero-Acoustic Levitator

Sergey V. Ushakov ^{1,*} , Jonas Niessen ^{2,*}, Dante G. Quirinale ^{3,*}, Robert Prieler ², Alexandra Navrotsky ¹  and Rainer Telle ²

¹ School of Molecular Sciences and Center for Materials of the Universe, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA; anavrots@asu.edu

² Institut fuer Gesteinshuettenkunde/Mineral Engineering, RWTH Aachen University, 52062 Aachen, Germany; prieler@ghi.rwth-aachen.de (R.P.); telle@ghi.rwth-aachen.de (R.T.)

³ Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA

* Correspondence: sushakov@asu.edu (S.V.U.); j.niessen@ghi.rwth-aachen.de (J.N.); quirinaldg@ornl.gov (D.G.Q.)

Abstract: Densities of liquid oxide melts with melting temperatures above 2000 °C are required to establish mixing models in the liquid state for thermodynamic modeling and advanced additive manufacturing and laser welding of ceramics. Accurate measurements of molten rare earth oxide density were recently reported from experiments with an electrostatic levitator on board the International Space Station. In this work, we present an approach to terrestrial measurements of density and thermal expansion of liquid oxides from high-speed videography using an aero-acoustic levitator with laser heating and machine vision algorithms. The following density values for liquid oxides at melting temperature were obtained: Y_2O_3 4.6 ± 0.15 ; Yb_2O_3 8.4 ± 0.2 ; $Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95}$ 4.7 ± 0.2 ; $Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975}$ 4.9 ± 0.2 ; HfO_2 8.2 ± 0.3 g/cm³. The accuracy of density and thermal expansion measurements can be improved by employing backlight illumination, spectropometry and a multi-emitter acoustic levitator.

Keywords: levitation; rare earth oxides; zirconia; hafnia; melting; thermodynamics



Citation: Ushakov, S.V.; Niessen, J.; Quirinale, D.G.; Prieler, R.; Navrotsky, A.; Telle, R. Measurements of Density of Liquid Oxides with an Aero-Acoustic Levitator. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 822. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14040822>

Academic Editor: Franz Saija
Received: 30 December 2020
Accepted: 27 January 2021
Published: 9 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Most metal alloys are produced by melt processing, and thermodynamic and thermophysical properties of metallic melts have been systematically investigated for over a century. The developed ab initio and Calphad-based computational tools show spectacular results for the prediction of crystallization pathways and equilibrium phases for metal alloys [1]. Refractory oxide ceramics are usually produced by sintering, and application-driven incentives to study high temperature oxide melts have been largely limited to metallurgical slugs and glasses. The situation has changed with the application of additive manufacturing techniques to ceramic materials [2–7]. These techniques often involve laser melting, and their advance is hampered by a lack of data on oxide melts.

A plethora of techniques is available for high temperature study of metal alloys. The “exploding wire” technique [8,9] has been in development for more than 300 years and has also been adapted for electrically conductive carbides and nitrides [10–13]. In this method, pulse discharge through a metallic wire or conducting ceramic coating provides instantaneous heating and excludes any contamination from the container.

Electromagnetic [14] and electrostatic [15] levitation have been successfully used for contactless high temperature studies on metal alloys for decades [16–22]. Electromagnetic levitation was also applied to semiconductors after preheating; liquid silicon was studied extensively with this technique [20,23]. Image-based density measurements using levitation have been reported since the 1960s [24], and have been further refined using modern machine vision algorithms and applying Legendre polynomial fitting to the results of edge detection routines for volume calculation [23,25–28]. Modulated laser calorimetry on

electromagnetically levitated melts was developed by Fukuyama et al. in 2007 [29]. Data on excess volume from image processing combined with data on excess heat capacities of mixing [30] provide a thermodynamic foundation for constructing realistic solution models for metallic alloys.

However, many refractory oxides are dielectrics and cannot be studied using exploding wire or electromagnetic levitation. Electrostatic levitation can be applied to dielectric materials, but it relies on surface charges and is challenging in terrestrial conditions. Most of the work on the application of electrostatic levitation to oxides was accomplished by the group at Tsukuba Space Center in Japan [31–34]. It culminated in the design of an electrostatic levitation furnace (ELF), which is currently in operation at the International Space Station [35]. The first results on the density of liquid Er_2O_3 and Gd_2O_3 were published in 2020 [36,37].

Aerodynamic levitation in a conical nozzle (CNL) [38–41] has been used extensively for oxide melts; however, it has limitations of limited sample visibility and large thermal gradient. The development of an aero-acoustic levitator (AAL) was funded by NSF and NASA and built by Intersonics Inc. in 1990 [42]. In this method, the sample is stabilized above the gas jet by acoustic forces that allow unimpeded access for multi-beam laser heating, pyrometer aiming and video recording.

Only two AAL instruments were commercially produced [43]: the first one with analog controls [44], which was operated in Japan [45–47]. The second one, used in this work, was built for RWTH Aachen University [48]. It enabled the first direct observation of liquid immiscibility between zirconia and silica-rich melts in the ZrO_2 - SiO_2 system [49]. To the authors' knowledge, this is the only instrument of its kind in operation to date. However, it will not be for long. Marzo et al. [50] made openly accessible a new acoustic levitator design using mass-produced acoustic transducers. This drastically reduces the cost of the development of new generation AAL. We anticipate that this innovation will result in a wider application of this technique to study oxide melts. In this work, we present measurements of the density of Y_2O_3 , Yb_2O_3 , YSZ, and HfO_2 melts with an aero-acoustic levitator using machine vision algorithms developed for metal alloys.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Synthesis

HfO_2 , Y_2O_3 , and Yb_2O_3 oxide spheroids 2–3 mm in diameter were prepared by the melting of oxide powders obtained from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA, USA) with metals purity 99.98% or higher. The powders were sintered at 1500 °C in air for 5 h, then placed into a copper hearth and melted with a 400 W CO_2 laser beam into irregularly shaped pieces surrounded by an unmelted powder bed. The resulting solid pieces were remelted in a conical nozzle aerodynamic levitator in Ar flow. Experiments were also performed on laser melted $\text{Y}_{0.05}\text{Zr}_{0.95}\text{O}_{1.975}$ and $\text{Y}_{0.1}\text{Zr}_{0.9}\text{O}_{1.95}$ samples prepared and characterized earlier for neutron diffraction experiments [51].

2.2. Measurement Procedure

The design of the aero-acoustic levitator used in this work (Figure 1) was described in detail by Nordine et al. [48]. The sample for levitation was positioned above the alumina tube heated to 550 °C, which serves as a gas jet. The levitation of the sample above the jet is stabilized by six acoustic transducers controlled with levitator software using a positioning system with three low power 808 nm solid state lasers. Experiments with Y_2O_3 were performed using N_2 or Ar gas jets; due to high density of HfO_2 and Yb_2O_3 samples their levitation was only possible using an Ar gas jet.




Figure 1. Operation of the aero-acoustic levitator at RWTH Aachen. J.N. is positioning the bead using an air pick above the gas jet for levitation. Inset: the sample bead heated with the dual laser beam, A1–A6 are frequency matched 22.2 kHz acoustic transducers controlled with input from positioning lasers and cameras (labeled PL and PLC, respectively).

After levitation was established, the sample was heated to its melting temperature with two antiparallel 240 W CO₂ laser beams (Synrad, WA, USA). The video was recorded using a Phantom V9.1 camera from Vision Research, Inc. (Wayne, NJ, USA) with an acquisition interval of 0.5–1 ms and exposure time of 20–200 μs. The temperature was recorded with a narrow band 650 nm Exactus pyrometer from BASF Corporation (Florham Park, NJ, USA). The pyrometer was operated with a 1-ms acquisition interval; the measurement spot size was set to 0.8 mm, and emissivity was set to 1. It was possible to record videos and cooling traces for the crystallization of Y₂O₃, Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975}, and Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95} samples. This allowed correlation of the spike in density trace with recalescence peaks on crystallization, obtaining density values at melting temperature, and evaluating volume thermal expansion of the liquids. Levitated HfO₂ and Yb₂O₃ melts became unstable after turning off the lasers and fell out of the field of view before recalescence peaks were captured with a pyrometer. This is attributed to their higher density. Levitation stability in AAL is discussed in detail by Nordine et al. [48].

2.3. Video Processing

Volume was calculated using a modification of the algorithm developed by Bradshaw et al. [25] as implemented by Bendert et al. [52]. As the videos were not filtered, the large temperature range and surface features of the molten samples made shape determination using edge detection routines difficult, so the video contrast was post-processed using open source software [53] to provide better definition. The numerical routines were used to calculate volume assumed symmetry about the vertical axis. This is not necessarily the case with aero-acoustically levitated droplets, which may be slightly asymmetric and experience a slight precession, introducing some additional uncertainty into the measurement. The implementation of multi-emitter acoustic levitator design [50] may reduce this uncertainty.

Camera calibration was performed by imaging commercially obtained machined Al₂O₃ spheres 3.27 mm in diameter levitated without laser heating. The video was processed using the same procedure as for the laser-heated molten oxides. The variation in calculated volume from the machine vision algorithm did not exceed 1%. The main con-

tribution to calibration uncertainty comes from measurements of diameter and sphericity of the Al_2O_3 sphere (taken as ± 0.025 mm). The total uncertainty in volume from camera calibration was estimated as $\pm 3\%$, from $\pm 2.3\%$ uncertainty in volume of the calibration standard and $\pm 0.3\%$ variation in volume from video edge detection procedure.

Correlation of the pyrometer trace with the video recording and density curve obtained from video analysis was performed manually. The moment of turning off the lasers is clearly observed from the disappearance of the bright spot on the molten sample. The onset of crystallization was evident from the video from a sudden increase in sample brightness—sample “flash” or recalescence, caused by reheating the sample on crystallization by released heat of fusion.

3. Results and Discussion

In experiments performed on Y_2O_3 , $\text{Zr}_{0.95}\text{Y}_{0.05}\text{O}_{1.975}$, and $\text{Zr}_{0.9}\text{Y}_{0.1}\text{O}_{1.95}$, it was possible to record recalescence on cooling traces and videos. This allowed accurate temperature correlation of density values and evaluation of thermal expansion of the liquid. Stable levitation of HfO_2 and Yb_2O_3 through recalescence was challenging, and we did not succeed in recording videos of recalescence. However, considering 3% uncertainty from calibration, we attribute the measured values for HfO_2 and Yb_2O_3 to the melting temperatures. Matched temperature–density profiles are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The obtained density and thermal expansion data are summarized in Table 1, together with relevant reference values.




Figure 2. Cooling trace of a 59-mg Y_2O_3 sample (~ 2.5 mm in diameter) melted in an Ar jet in an aero-acoustic levitator with overlaid density measurements and video frames (lateral view): (1)—molten droplet heated laterally with two laser beams which are visible as bright spots; (2)—undercooled liquid before crystallization; (3)—recalescence or “flash” on crystallization; (4)—phase transformation from high temperature hexagonal to cubic bixbyite phase. The brightness of images (2–4) was adjusted by the same degree for visibility. A video fragment is provided in Supplementary Materials (Video S1).




Figure 3. Cooling traces with overlaid density measurements. (A) 119.5-mg $Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95}$ sample levitated in Ar; (B) a 32-mg $Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975}$ sample levitated in nitrogen; (C) video frames for the $Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95}$ sample (~3.5 mm in diameter): (1)—before turning off the lasers (visible as bright spot); (2–5)—113, 132, 135 and 151 ms after turning off the laser. The brightness was adjusted individually for every frame. A video fragment is provided in Supplementary Materials (Video S2).

3.1. Measurements on Y_2O_3

The density profile overlaid with the cooling trace of the Y_2O_3 droplet is shown in Figure 2. The ~ 300 °C temperature rise on recalescence gives the magnitude of the observed undercooling of liquid Y_2O_3 . Recalescence can be pinpointed as a flash on the video, and the density profile shows a sharp decrease due to reheating of the sample. After crystallization onset, the density calculations from the bead dimension are not meaningful since the surface of the droplets crystallizes first, and cavities are formed on further crystallization of core parts of the sample.

The first phase to crystallize from Y_2O_3 melt is known to be a hexagonal phase, common to lanthanide oxides [54–57]. It is stable in a narrow ~ 100 °C temperature range and undergoes a transition to cubic bixbyite, which is stable at room temperature. This transition is clearly seen on the cooling trace as a second peak with a smaller ~ 100 °C rise corresponding to undercooling on hexagonal (H-type) to cubic phase transformation and a plateau at a temperature ~ 100 °C lower than the recalescence peak. Apart from the “flash” of the bead due to temperature increase, crystallization of the H phase is not clearly distinguished in videos, indicating its emissivity is similar to that of the liquid.

Table 1. Density and volumetric thermal expansion coefficient (TEC) for liquid oxides at melting temperatures (T_m) measured in this work compared with previously published data.

Composition	T_m , °C [Ref.]	Density g/cm ³	TEC 10 ⁻⁴ K ⁻¹	Method [†]	Ref.
Y ₂ O ₃	2431 [58]	4.6 ± 0.15	3 ± 1	AAL	This work
		4.42	1.9	CNL↓	Granier 1988 [59]
		4.15 ‡	4.5	AI MD	Kapush 2017 [60]
HfO ₂	2800 [61]	8.2 ± 0.3	-	AAL	This work
		8.16		PDF	Gallington 2017 [62]
		8.7 *		AI MD	Hong 2018 [63]
Zr _{0.95} Y _{0.05} O _{1.975}	2730 [64]	4.9 ± 0.2	2 ± 1	AAL	This work
Zr _{0.9} Y _{0.1} O _{1.95}	2750 [64]	4.7 ± 0.2	3 ± 1	AAL	This work
ZrO ₂	2710 [61]	4.9	0.9	AI MD	Hong 2018 [63]
		5.05	1.8	CNL→	Kohara 2014 [65]
		4.69 ± 0.23	0.9	CNL→	Kondo 2019 [66]
Yb ₂ O ₃	2434 [67]	8.4 ± 0.2	-	AAL	This work
		7.94	0.9	CNL↓	Granier 1988 [59]
		8.75	4.5	AI MD	Fyhrie 2019 [68]
Er ₂ O ₃	2417 [67]	8.17 ± 0.16	1.0	ESL(ISS)	Koyama 2020 [37]
		7.60	0.4	CNL↓	Granier 1988 [59]
Gd ₂ O ₃	2420 [67]	7.24 ± 0.14	0.7 ± 0.2	ESL(ISS)	Ishikawa 2020 [36]
		6.93	1.5	CNL↓	Granier 1988 [59]

[†] Methods abbreviation: AAL—aero-acoustic levitation; CNL ↓—conical nozzle aerodynamic levitation top view; CNL →—idem., side view; PDF—refined from experimental pair distribution function; ESL(ISS)—electrostatic levitation at international space station; AI MD—ab initio molecular dynamic computations. ‡ The density value from calculations at 2377 °C. * The density value from calculations at 2827 °C.

On turning off the laser, the density of liquid Y₂O₃ increases from 4.3 g/cm³ at 2650 °C to 5.1 g/cm³ at 2100 °C. The density of the liquid at the melting temperature is estimated at 4.6 ± 0.15 g/cm³. For the experiment shown in Figure 2, observed fluctuation in refined density is likely due to the non-symmetrical oscillation of the molten sample in the acoustic field, which ceases after crystallization. In addition to the experiment shown in Figure 2, density was refined from experiments on three more Y₂O₃ samples, 56–80 mg in weight, using Ar and N₂ for levitation. The refined values varied from 4.3 to 4.7 g/cm³. In several experiments, the scatter in volume from video processing was as low as ±0.01 g/cm³. We were not able to correlate other measurements with the temperature trace on cooling; however, the observed variation is consistent with the density value from Figure 2. Volume thermal expansion of liquid Y₂O₃ at melting temperature was estimated as (3 ± 1) × 10⁻⁴ K⁻¹.

3.2. Measurements on Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95} and Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975}

The density profiles overlaid with cooling traces from Y-doped zirconia samples are shown in Figure 3. Undercooling on the crystallization of Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95} and Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975} does not exceed 50 °C and 80 °C, respectively. The recalescence step is much more pronounced for a smaller sample. In previous experiments on these compositions in an aerodynamic levitator, no recalescence peaks were detected [51], likely due to the larger sample gradient.

The video stills from the crystallization of Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95} (Figure 3C) have interesting features. The Marangoni flows on the cooling of Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95} are more pronounced than for Y₂O₃ but are not observed at the bottom of the sample. The Marangoni flows are caused by temperature or composition-related gradients in surface tension. Calorimetry experiments indicated the possibility of oxygen dissolution in liquid ZrO₂ and HfO₂ [63]. In our experiments, the melting of Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95} was accomplished in an air–argon mixture,

with argon flow provided by an auxiliary gas jet. The lower oxygen fugacity at the bottom of the sample impinged upon by the argon jet could be a plausible reason for this behavior.

In the case of congruent crystallization, one would expect that a solid phase would first appear at the bottom surface of the sample due to additional cooling by the argon jet. This is the case for the C–H transformation in Y_2O_3 (Figure 2). However, this is not what happens in $Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95}$, in which the bottom of the bead seems to crystallize last. This supports the hypothesis of variable oxygen content in the melt. The densities of $Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975}$ and $Zr_{0.9}Y_{0.1}O_{1.95}$ at melting temperatures were estimated at 4.9 and 4.7 g/cm³, respectively. The difference between compositions is within the assigned experimental uncertainty of ± 0.2 g/cm³.

3.3. Comparison with Previously Reported Density Values

In Table 1 the density and thermal expansion coefficient values for liquid oxides measured in this work are listed together with four types of previously published density data: (i) measurements in aerodynamic levitator by Granier and Heurnault's [59], Kohara et al. [65], and Kondo et al. [66]; (ii) measurements with the electrostatic levitator on board of International Space Station [36,37]; (iii) refinement from pair distribution function analysis (PDF) of synchrotron X-ray scattering [62]; (iv) ab initio molecular dynamic computations [60,63].

Granier and Heurnault reported the density of liquid alumina, yttria, and several lanthanide sesquioxides [59,69]. They performed the measurements on photographs of laser-heated droplets levitated in a conical nozzle aerodynamic levitator (CNL). Their value for Al_2O_3 density at melting temperature is about 10% lower than most of the previous measurements [69]. Granier's values for Y_2O_3 and for Yb_2O_3 are 4–5% lower than those measured in this work.

Density measurements for Gd_2O_3 and Er_2O_3 were recently performed by a Japanese group with an electrostatic levitator furnace (ELF) at the International Space Station (ISS) [35–37]. The electrostatic levitation in microgravity conditions ensures the absence of disturbances by acoustic waves or by gas flow, resulting in a perfectly spherical shape of the levitating droplet. Notably, Granier's values for Gd_2O_3 and Er_2O_3 from CNL are also 4–7% lower than measured in ELF at ISS (Table 1).

There is a simple explanation for this discrepancy if one considers how measurements were performed in Granier's and Heurnault's study [59]. In the early version of the aerodynamic levitator they used, the sample was completely surrounded by the nozzle. The photographs were taken from the top, and density values were calculated assuming spherical shape of the sample. However, the shape of the levitated droplet is not an ideal sphere but an oblate spheroid. This can be clearly seen from the lateral view of molten samples in an aero-acoustic levitator (Figure 2). Using top view and assumption of spherical shape would overestimate the volume and underestimate the density. In terrestrial measurements, the degree of oblateness of the spheroid depends on the surface tension of the melt. Melting temperatures of Y_2O_3 , Yb_2O_3 , Gd_2O_3 , and Er_2O_3 are ~ 400 °C higher than Al_2O_3 , and they are expected to have higher surface tension. For these oxides, the bead of the same dimension will be closer to spherical shape than in the case of Al_2O_3 . This is consistent with better agreement of measurements for these oxides with Granier's values.

Kohara et al. [65] also used CNL for measurements of density for liquid ZrO_2 , however they employed a very shallow nozzle which allowed the side view of the sample. Their value for density and thermal expansion of ZrO_2 (5.05 g/cm³ and 1.8×10^{-4} K⁻¹) is the same within uncertainty as our results for $Zr_{0.95}Y_{0.05}O_{1.975}$ (4.9 ± 0.2 g/cm³ and $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-4}$ K⁻¹). The value measured for liquid HfO_2 density in this work (8.2 ± 0.3 g/cm³) is in excellent agreement with the density reported by Gallington et al. [62] (8.16 g/cm³) from refinement of total synchrotron X-ray scattering on liquid HfO_2 .

Liquid ZrO_2 density from ab initio molecular dynamic (AI MD) calculations [63] coincides with our value; however, the Y_2O_3 value from computations is 10% lower

than measured, and for HfO₂ and Yb₂O₃, it is 4–6% higher. The comparison of absolute density values from computations with experiment is compromised by uncertainty in absolute temperature in AI MD simulations and underlying assumptions such as choices of exchange-correlation functionals and size of the simulation system.

3.4. Temperature Measurements

In this work, we correlated high-speed video recording with recalescence peak to provide density and thermal expansion values in the proximity of melting temperature. This approach assumes that peak temperature on recalescence is close to the melting point and does not require knowledge of absolute values of the sample temperature. Thus, our measurements did not require knowledge of emissivity, but relied on known melting temperatures of measured oxides. The only assumption made about emissivity is that it does not change substantially for measured liquid oxides around the melting temperature. However, temperature calibration is a paramount issue for measurement of thermal expansion above the melting temperature and for determination of unknown temperatures of melting and phase transformations. Below we discuss methods of estimation of emissivity values and effective emissivities calculated for measured samples.

Temperature measurements with a single-color pyrometer require knowledge of spectral emissivity and its temperature dependence. These data for refractory oxides above 2000 °C are fragmentary [70]. For opaque materials, the sum of emissivity and reflectivity at given wavelength must be equal to one. From careful measurements of reflectivities at 650 nm in a solar furnace, Yamada and Noguchi [71] obtained emissivities for Y₂O₃ and ZrO₂ at melting temperatures as 0.92 ± 0.005, and 0.89 ± 0.005. In an earlier study [72], the same group reported 650 nm emissivity values for HfO₂ and Al₂O₃ at freezing points as 0.91 and 0.96, but without estimation of the uncertainties.

Nordine et al. [48] suggested that reflectivity of opaque melts can be estimated from the refraction index of the solid and emissivity can be calculated using the following relationship:

$$\epsilon(\lambda) = 1 - r(\lambda) = 1 - \frac{(n - 1)^2}{(n + 1)^2} \quad (1)$$

where r is the reflectivity and n is the refractive index at the given wavelength λ . This approach neglects temperature dependence of emissivity and changes in emissivities between solid and liquid phases. However, in the case of Al₂O₃ for which high temperature data are available [73] these changes are small.

The videos indicate that the melt is opaque at visible wavelengths, and therefore the transmittance can be neglected. Emissivity for different materials is calculated in Table 2 based on Equation (1). The differences from the available values reported by Noguchi [71] from direct measurements for liquid oxides do not exceed 3%. Thus, this approach can be used for future measurements.

Table 2. Calculated emissivity based on the reported values for the refractive index (n).

Composition	T , °C	n	λ , nm	ϵ_{calc}	Reference for (n) Value
Al ₂ O ₃	25	1.78	632.8	0.92	Krishnan 1991 [73]
Y ₂ O ₃	25	1.92	650	0.90	Nigara 1968 [74]
HfO ₂	25	2.08	600	0.88	Hu 2003 [75]
Yb ₂ O ₃	25	1.94	643.8	0.90	Medenbach 2001 [76]
ZrO ₂ 12 mol% Y ₂ O ₃	25	2.15	650	0.87	Wood 1982 [77]

When the melting temperatures are known or independently measured, the common approach is to estimate effective emissivity ϵ_λ at melting temperature using Wien's approximation:

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_A} + \frac{\lambda}{C_2} \ln(\epsilon_\lambda) \quad (2)$$

where T is the melting temperature, T_A is the apparent melting temperature (measured by pyrometer), and C_2 is Planck's second radiation constant. The temperature dependence of emissivity is usually neglected, and the obtained value for effective emissivity can be used to correct the apparent temperature of the melt in the range of the measurements.

In dynamic measurements of phase transformations, metastability is common on cooling but not on heating. Thermal arrests on melting cannot be unambiguously distinguished on heating with a continuous wave (CW) laser. On cooling of oxide melts, crystallization onset is usually below equilibrium melting temperature. This undercooling is more pronounced in levitated samples in the absence of any solid–liquid interfaces. The crystallization of undercooled melt results in a peak in temperature-recalescence which can be visually observed as a “flash”. If on recalescence the sample is reheated to the equilibrium melting temperature, the true thermal arrest can be observed. Ideally, its temperature should be used for emissivity calculation at the melting point.

In our experiments, we do not observe thermal arrest on recalescence. It is not surprising for 2–3 mm beads with melting temperatures above 2400 °C. Due to the relatively large surface to volume ratio and high temperatures, heat transfer by radiation does not allow sample reheating to melting temperature by released heat of fusion.

Table 3 lists emissivity values calculated from Equation (2), taking the maximum temperature of recalescence peak as the apparent melting temperature. The values calculated based on this method will always underestimate emissivity when the melting point is not reached; therefore, they should be seen as a lower boundary. Measurements on a curved surface will also underestimate emissivity; thus, these differences are expected. While single-color pyrometry remains the fastest and most sensitive technique, it must be noted that approaches which do not require knowledge of emissivity for temperature measurements are well established, such as direct measurements of reflectivity [78] and spectropyrometry [79–81].

Table 3. Emissivity values calculated from assumption that the recalescence peak reaches the melting temperature (T_m).

Composition	T_m °C [Ref.]	ϵ_{calc} (at T_m 650 nm)	Ref.
Y ₂ O ₃	2431 [58]	0.8	This work
Zr _{0.95} Y _{0.05} O _{1.975}	2730 [64]	0.68	This work
Zr _{0.9} Y _{0.1} O _{1.95}	2750 [64]	0.65	This work

4. Conclusions and Future Directions

This work demonstrates that reasonable values for density of liquid oxides can be obtained from high-speed videography measurements with an aero-acoustic levitator. Volume change on melting cannot be directly obtained from these experiments. While videography can be used on solids, samples in this work were prepared by laser melting and contained cavities formed on solidification. However, volume change on melting can be derived by combining density data for liquids with thermal expansion data on solids from X-ray diffraction [51,57,82].

The accuracy of the measurements can be significantly improved by back illumination of the levitated samples, combined with appropriate filters on the camera [27,34], which is known to aid edge detection in image processing. The multi-emitter single-axis acoustic levitator introduced by Marzo et al. [50] allows levitation of non-spherical samples with density up to 6.5 g/cm³. The adaptation of a new multi-emitter design for laser heating and density measurements can simplify levitation, decrease the deformation of the liquid sample by acoustic waves, and eliminate or drastically reduce the need for auxiliary aerodynamic support of the sample.

The measurements of change in density with melt composition can be used to obtain the excess volume of mixing in the liquid state for multicomponent systems and derive realistic thermodynamic mixing models, as demonstrated by Fukuyama et al. [30] for metal

alloy systems. Such measurements for key refractory oxide systems will be the subject of future studies.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/4/822/s1>, Video S1: Crystallization of 58.79 mg Y_2O_3 sample (500 μ s acquisition interval, 200 μ s exposure, exported to AVI format at 50 frames per second), Video S2: Crystallization of 119.50 mg Y_2O_3 sample (1000 μ s acquisition interval, 40 μ s exposure, exported to AVI at 50 frames per second)

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.V.U., A.N. and R.T.; experiments, J.N., R.P., S.V.U., R.T.; video processing, D.G.Q.; emissivity analysis, J.N.; writing—original draft preparation, S.V.U., D.G.Q. and J.N.; writing—review and editing, A.N., R.P. and R.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation under the award NSF-DMR 1835848 (changed to NSF-DMR 2015852 on funding moved from UC Davis to ASU). Operation of aero-acoustic levitator at RWTH Aachen is funded by German Research Foundation Grants No Te146/37-2 and No GZ/Inst 222/779-1 FUGG AOBJ:544260.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding authors.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge Robert W. Hyers for discussion of experiments and initial evaluation of video data quality, Paul C. Nordine and Richard J. K. Weber for discussion of aero-acoustic levitator operation. Part of this work was presented by S.V.U. at the 12th International Workshop on Subsecond Thermophysics (IWSSTP 2019), at DLR Cologne, Germany.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Luo, A.A. Material design and development: From classical thermodynamics to CALPHAD and ICME approaches. *Calphad* **2015**, *50*, 6–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Penilla, E.H.; Devia-Cruz, L.F.; Wieg, A.T.; Martinez-Torres, P.; Cuando-Espitia, N.; Sellappan, P.; Kodera, Y.; Aguilar, G.; Garay, J.E. Ultrafast laser welding of ceramics. *Science* **2019**, *365*, 803–808. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Chen, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, J.; Liu, C.; Lao, C.; Fu, Y.; Liu, C.; Li, Y.; Wang, P.; He, Y. 3D printing of ceramics: A review. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2019**, *39*, 661–687. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Simpson, T.W.; Williams, C.B.; Hripko, M. Preparing industry for additive manufacturing and its applications: Summary & recommendations from a National Science Foundation workshop. *Addit. Manuf.* **2017**, *13*, 166–178.
5. Ferrage, L.; Bertrand, G.; Lenormand, P.; Grossin, D.; Ben-Nissan, B. A review of the additive manufacturing (3DP) of bioceramics: Alumina, zirconia (PSZ) and hydroxyapatite. *J. Aust. Ceram. Soc.* **2017**, *53*, 11–20. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Council, N.R. *3D Printing in Space*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2014; p. 106.
7. Ferrage, L.; Bertrand, G.; Lenormand, P. Dense yttria-stabilized zirconia obtained by direct selective laser sintering. *Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *21*, 472–478. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. McGrath, J.R. *Exploding Wire Research 1774–1963*; NRL Memorandum Report 1698; U.S. Naval Research Laboratory: Washington, DC, USA, 1966.
9. Gallob, R.; Jaeger, H.; Pottlacher, G. A submicrosecond pulse heating system for the investigation of thermophysical properties of metals at high temperatures. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **1986**, *7*, 139–147. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Savvatimskiy, A.I.; Onufriev, S.V.; Valyano, G.E.; Muboyadzhyan, S.A. Thermophysical properties for hafnium carbide (HfC) versus temperature from 2000 to 5000 K (experiment). *J. Mater. Sci.* **2020**, *55*, 13559–13568. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Savvatimskiy, A.I.; Onufriev, S.V.; Muboyajan, S.A.; Tsygankov, P.A. Pulsed Heating of Carbides. *Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys.* **2018**, *82*, 363–368. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Savvatimskiy, A.I.; Onufriev, S.V.; Muboyadzhyan, S.A. Measurement of ZrC properties up to 5000 K by fast electrical pulse heating method. *J. Mater. Res.* **2017**, *32*, 1287–1294. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Knyazkov, A.M.; Kurbakov, S.D.; Savvatimskiy, A.I.; Sheindlin, M.A.; Yanchuk, V.I. Melting of carbides by electrical pulse heating. *High Temp. High Press.* **2011**, *40*, 349–358.
14. Wroughton, D.M.; Okress, C.E. Magnetic Levitation and Heating of Conductive Materials. U.S. Patent 2,686,864, 17 August 1954.
15. Rhim, W.K.; Chung, S.K.; Barber, D.; Man, K.F.; Gutt, G.; Rulison, A.; Spjut, R.E. An Electrostatic Levitator for High-Temperature Containerless Materials Processing in 1-G. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1993**, *64*, 2961–2970. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Szekely, J.; Schwartz, E.; Hyers, R. Electromagnetic levitation-A useful tool in microgravity research. *JOM* **1995**, *47*, 50–53. [[CrossRef](#)]

17. Matson, D.M.; Fair, D.J.; Hyers, R.W.; Rogers, J.R. Contrasting Electrostatic and Electromagnetic Levitation Experimental Results for Transformation Kinetics of Steel Alloys. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2004**, *1027*, 435–446. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Hyers Robert, W.; Rogers Jan, R. A Review of Electrostatic Levitation for Materials Research. *High Temp. Mater. Process.* **2008**, *27*, 461.
19. Assael, M.J.; Armyra, I.J.; Brillo, J.; Stankus, S.V.; Wu, J.T.; Wakeham, W.A. Reference Data for the Density and Viscosity of Liquid Cadmium, Cobalt, Gallium, Indium, Mercury, Silicon, Thallium, and Zinc. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2012**, *41*, 285. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Kuribayashi, K. Containerless Crystallization of Semiconductors. In *Solidification of Containerless Undercooled Melts*; Herlach, D.M., Matson, D.M., Eds.; Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 2012.
21. Brillo, J.; Lohoefer, G.; Schmidt-Hohagen, F.; Schneider, S.; Egry, I. Thermophysical property measurements of liquid metals by electromagnetic levitation. *Int. J. Mater. Prod. Technol.* **2006**, *26*, 247–273. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Brillo, J. *Thermophysical Properties of Multicomponent Liquid Alloys*; De Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2016.
23. Higuchi, K.; Kimura, K.; Mizuno, A.; Watanabe, M.; Katayama, Y.; Kuribayashi, K. Precise measurement of density and structure of undercooled molten silicon by using synchrotron radiation combined with electromagnetic levitation technique. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *16*, 381–385. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Shiraiishi, S.Y.; Ward, R.G. The density of nickel in the superheated and supercooled liquid states. *Can. Metall. Q.* **1964**, *3*, 117–122. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Bradshaw, R.C.; Schmidt, D.P.; Rogers, J.R.; Kelton, K.F.; Hyers, R.W. Machine vision for high-precision volume measurement applied to levitated containerless material processing. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2005**, *76*, 125108. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Adachi, M.; Aoyagi, T.; Mizuno, A.; Watanabe, M.; Kobatake, H.; Fukuyama, H. Precise Density Measurements for Electromagnetically Levitated Liquid Combined with Surface Oscillation Analysis. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2008**, *29*, 2006–2014. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Watanabe, M.; Adachi, M.; Fukuyama, H. Densities of Fe-Ni melts and thermodynamic correlations. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2016**, *51*, 3303–3310. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Egry, I.; Langen, M.; Lohoefer, G.; Earnshaw, J.C. Measurements of thermophysical properties of liquid metals relevant to Marangoni effects. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **1998**, *356*, 845–856. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Fukuyama, H.; Kobatake, H.; Takahashi, K.; Minato, I.; Tsukada, T.; Awaji, S. Development of modulated laser calorimetry using a solid platinum sphere as a reference. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *18*, 2059–2066. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Fukuyama, H.; Watanabe, M.; Adachi, M. Recent studies on thermophysical properties of metallic alloys with PROSPECT: Excess properties to construct a solution model. *High Temp. High Press.* **2020**, *49*, 851. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Ishikawa, T.; Paradis, P.F.; Yoda, S. New sample levitation initiation and imaging techniques for the processing of refractory metals with an electrostatic levitator furnace. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2001**, *72*, 2490–2495. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Paradis, P.F.; Ishikawa, T.; Yu, J.; Yoda, S. Hybrid electrostatic-aerodynamic levitation furnace for the high-temperature processing of oxide materials on the ground. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2001**, *72*, 2811–2815. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Paradis, P.F.; Yu, J.; Ishikawa, T.; Aoyama, T.; Yoda, S.; Weber, J.K.R. Contactless density measurement of superheated and undercooled liquid $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2003**, *249*, 523–530. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Paradis, P.-F.; Yu, J.; Ishikawa, T.; Yoda, S. Contactless Density Measurement of Liquid Nd-Doped 50%CaO–50%Al₂O₃. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2003**, *86*, 2234–2236. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Tamaru, H.; Koyama, C.; Saruwatari, H.; Nakamura, Y.; Ishikawa, T.; Takada, T. Status of the Electrostatic Levitation Furnace (ELF) in the ISS-KIBO. *Microgravity Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *30*, 643–651. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ishikawa, T.; Koyama, C.; Saruwatari, H.; Tamaru, H.; Oda, H.; Ohshio, M.; Nakamura, Y.; Watanabe, Y.; Nakata, Y. Density of molten gadolinium oxide measured with the electrostatic levitation furnace in the International Space Station. *High Temp. High Press.* **2020**, *49*, 5–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Koyama, C.; Tahara, S.; Kohara, S.; Onodera, Y.; Småbråten, D.R.; Selbach, S.M.; Akola, J.; Ishikawa, T.; Masuno, A.; Mizuno, A.; et al. Very sharp diffraction peak in nonglass-forming liquid with the formation of distorted tetraclusters. *NPG Asia Mater.* **2020**, *12*, 43. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Winborne, D.A.; Nordine, P.C.; Rosner, D.E.; Marley, N.F. Aerodynamic Levitation Technique for Containerless High Temperature Studies on Liquid and Solid Samples. *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* **1976**, *7*, 711–713. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Nordine, P.C.; Atkins, R.M. Aerodynamic levitation of laser-heated solids in gas jets. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1982**, *53*, 1456–1464. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Nordine, P.C.; Weber, J.K.R.; Abadie, J.G. Properties of high-temperature melts using levitation. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 2127–2136. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Benmore, C.J.; Weber, J.K.R. Aerodynamic levitation, supercooled liquids and glass formation. *Adv. Phys. X* **2017**, *2*, 717–736. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Rey, C.A.; Merkley, D. Aero-Acoustic Levitation Device and Method. U.S. Patent 5,096,017, 17 March 1992.
43. Nordine, P.C. Personal communication, 2020.
44. Weber, R.J.K.; Hampton, S.; Merkley, D.S.; Rey, C.A.; Zatarski, M.M.; Nordine, P.C. Aero-acoustic levitation: A method for containerless liquid-phase processing at high temperatures. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1994**, *65*, 456–465. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Sato, H.; Tsukamoto, K.; Kuribayashi, K. Growth of Olivine by Aero-Acoustic Levitation: Reproduction of Meteorite Texture. *J. Jap. Assoc. Cryst. Growth* **1998**, *25*, A155.
46. Nagashio, K.; Hofmeister, W.H.; Gustafson, D.E.; Altgilbers, A.; Bayuzick, R.J.; Kuribayashi, K. Formation of NdBa₂Cu₃O_{7-δ} amorphous phase by combining aero-acoustic levitation and splat quenching. *J. Mater. Res.* **2001**, *16*, 138–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Nagashio, K.; Takamura, Y.; Kuribayashi, K.; Shiohara, Y. Microstructural control of NdBa₂Cu₃O_{7-δ} superconducting oxide from highly undercooled melt by containerless processing. *J. Cryst. Growth* **1999**, *200*, 118–125. [[CrossRef](#)]

48. Nordine, P.C.; Merkle, D.; Sickel, J.; Finkelman, S.; Telle, R.; Kaiser, A.; Prieler, R. A levitation instrument for containerless study of molten materials. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2012**, *83*, 125107/1–125107/14. [CrossRef]
49. Telle, R.; Greffrath, F.; Prieler, R. Direct observation of the liquid miscibility gap in the zirconia-silica system. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2015**, *35*, 3995–4004. [CrossRef]
50. Marzo, A.; Barnes, A.; Drinkwater, B. TinyLev: A multi-emitter single-axis acoustic levitator. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2017**, *88*, 085105. [CrossRef]
51. Ushakov, S.V.; Navrotsky, A.; Weber, R.J.K.; Neuefeind, J.C. Structure and Thermal Expansion of YSZ and $\text{La}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$ Above 1500 °C from Neutron Diffraction on Levitated Samples. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2015**, *98*, 3381–3388. [CrossRef]
52. Bendert, J.C.; Gangopadhyay, A.K.; Mauro, N.A.; Kelton, K.F. Volume Expansion Measurements in Metallic Liquids and Their Relation to Fragility and Glass Forming Ability: An Energy Landscape Interpretation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 185901. [CrossRef]
53. Community, B.O. Blender-a 3D Modelling and Rendering Package. Available online: <http://www.blender.org> (accessed on 30 December 2020).
54. Foex, M.; Traverse, P.J. Polymorphism of rare earth sesquioxides at high temperatures. *Bull. Soc. Fr. Mineral. Cristallogr.* **1966**, *89*, 184–205.
55. Foex, M.; Traverse, J.P. Investigations about crystalline transformation in rare earths sesquioxides at high temperatures. *Rev. Int. Hautes Temp. Refract.* **1966**, *3*, 429–453.
56. Zinkevich, M. Thermodynamics of rare earth sesquioxides. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **2007**, *52*, 597–647. [CrossRef]
57. Ushakov, S.V.; Navrotsky, A. Experimental approaches to the thermodynamics of ceramics above 1500 °C. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2012**, *95*, 1463–1482. [CrossRef]
58. Cabannes, F.; Vu, T.L.; Coutures, J.P.; Foex, M. Melting point of yttria as a secondary temperature standard. *High Temp. High Press.* **1976**, *8*, 391–396.
59. Granier, B.; Heurtault, S. Density of liquid rare earth sesquioxides. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1988**, *71*, C466–C468. [CrossRef]
60. Kapush, D.; Ushakov, S.; Navrotsky, A.; Hong, Q.-J.; Liu, H.; van de Walle, A. A combined experimental and theoretical study of enthalpy of phase transition and fusion of yttria above 2000 °C using “drop-n-catch” calorimetry and first-principles calculation. *Acta Mater.* **2017**, *124*, 204–209. [CrossRef]
61. Wang, C.; Zinkevich, M.; Aldinger, F. The zirconia-hafnia system: DTA measurements and thermodynamic calculations. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2006**, *89*, 3751–3758. [CrossRef]
62. Gallington, L.; Ghadar, Y.; Skinner, L.; Weber, J.; Ushakov, S.; Navrotsky, A.; Vazquez-Mayagoitia, A.; Neuefeind, J.; Stan, M.; Low, J.; et al. The Structure of Liquid and Amorphous Hafnia. *Materials* **2017**, *10*, 1290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
63. Hong, Q.-J.; Ushakov, S.V.; Kapush, D.; Benmore, C.J.; Weber, R.J.K.; van de Walle, A.; Navrotsky, A. Combined computational and experimental investigation of high temperature thermodynamics and structure of cubic ZrO_2 and HfO_2 . *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 14962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
64. Sibieude, F.; Rouanet, A. Effect of cationic substitutions of the type $\text{A}^{4+}\text{-Ln}^{3+}$ on the polymorphism of lanthanide sesquioxides. Application to the interpretation of the equilibrium diagrams of the zirconium dioxide-lanthanide sesquioxide and thorium dioxide-lanthanide sesquioxide systems. *Colloq. Int. Cent. Nat. Rech. Sci.* **1972**, *205*, 459–468.
65. Kohara, S.; Akola, J.; Patrikeev, L.; Ropo, M.; Ohara, K.; Itou, M.; Fujiwara, A.; Yahiro, J.; Okada, J.T.; Ishikawa, T.; et al. Atomic and electronic structures of an extremely fragile liquid. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
66. Kondo, T.; Muta, H.; Kurosaki, K.; Kargl, F.; Yamaji, A.; Furuya, M.; Ohishi, Y. Density and viscosity of liquid ZrO_2 measured by aerodynamic levitation technique. *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, e02049. [CrossRef]
67. Konings, R.J.M.; Beneš, O.; Kovács, A.; Manara, D.; Sedmidubský, D.; Gorokhov, L.; Iorish, V.S.; Yungman, V.; Shenyavskaya, E.; Osina, E. The Thermodynamic Properties of the f-Elements and their Compounds. Part 2. The Lanthanide and Actinide Oxides. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2014**, *43*, 013101. [CrossRef]
68. Fyhrie, M.; Hong, Q.-J.; Kapush, D.; Ushakov, S.V.; Liu, H.; van de Walle, A.; Navrotsky, A. Energetics of melting of Yb_2O_3 and Lu_2O_3 from drop and catch calorimetry and first principles computations. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2019**, *132*, 405–410. [CrossRef]
69. Granier, B.; Heurtault, S. Method for measurement of the density of liquid refractories. Application to alumina and yttrium oxide. *Rev. Int. Hautes Temp. Refract.* **1983**, *20*, 61–67.
70. Watanabe, H.; Ishii, J.; Wakabayashi, H.; Kumano, T.; Hanssen, L. Spectral Emissivity Measurements. In *Experimental Methods in the Physical Sciences*; Germer, T.A., Zwinkels, J.C., Tsai, B.K., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014; Volume 46, pp. 333–366.
71. Yamada, T.; Noguchi, T. Digital pyrometry in a solar furnace. *Sol. Energy* **1976**, *18*, 533–539. [CrossRef]
72. Noguchi, T.; Kozuka, T. Temperature and emissivity measurement at 0.65 μ with a solar furnace. *Sol. Energy* **1966**, *10*, 125–131. [CrossRef]
73. Krishnan, S.; Weber, J.K.R.; Schiffman, R.A.; Nordine, P.C.; Reed, R.A. Refractive index of liquid aluminum oxide at 0.6328 μm . *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1991**, *74*, 881–883. [CrossRef]
74. Niagara, Y. Measurement of the Optical Constants of Yttrium Oxide. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1968**, *7*, 404–408. [CrossRef]
75. Hu, H.; Zhu, C.; Lu, Y.F.; Wu, Y.H.; Liew, T.; Li, M.F.; Cho, B.J.; Choi, W.K.; Yakovlev, N. Physical and electrical characterization of HfO_2 metal-insulator-metal capacitors for Si analog circuit applications. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2003**, *94*, 551–557. [CrossRef]
76. Medenbach, O.; Dettmar, D.; Shannon, R.D.; Fischer, R.X.; Yen, W.M. Refractive index and optical dispersion of rare earth oxides using a small-prism technique. *J. Opt. A Pure Appl. Opt.* **2001**, *3*, 174–177. [CrossRef]
77. Wood, D.L.; Nassau, K. Refractive index of cubic zirconia stabilized with yttria. *Appl. Opt.* **1982**, *21*, 2978–2981. [CrossRef]

78. Stein, A.; Rabinowitz, P.; Kaldor, A. Laser Radiometer. U.S. Patent 4,417,822, 29 January 1983.
79. Felice, R.A. Temperature Determining Device and Process. U.S. Patent 5,772,323, 30 June 2002.
80. Felice, R.A. The spectropyrometer—a practical multi-wavelength pyrometer. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2003**, *684*, 711–716.
81. Earl, D.D.; Kisner, R.A. Emissivity Independent Optical Pyrometer. U.S. Patent 2,015,124,244, 15 March 2017.
82. Pavlik, A.; Ushakov, S.V.; Navrotsky, A.; Benmore, C.J.; Weber, R.J.K. Structure and thermal expansion of Lu₂O₃ and Yb₂O₃ up to the melting points. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **2017**, *495*, 385–391. [[CrossRef](#)]