

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

HISTORY OF THE SYNCHROTRON

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3cq515kw>

Author

McMillan, E.M.

Publication Date

1982-09-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY
NOV 16 1982
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION


Submitted to Physics Today

HISTORY OF THE SYNCHROTRON

Edwin M. McMillan

September 1982

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY
*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.*



LBL-14939
e.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

HISTORY OF THE SYNCHROTRON*

Edwin M. McMillan

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

*Based on the Morris Loeb Lecture given at Harvard University on April 13, 1982.

History of the Synchrotron

This is not a historian's history, but a personal account. In it I would like to tell the story of the origin of the synchrotron primarily as seen from my point of view. The beginning, for me, was in the Spring of 1945, when I was on the staff at Los Alamos, the wartime atomic bomb laboratory. The Trinity test was in preparation, and I was already thinking about what to do on my return to Berkeley from which I was on leave, after the war ended. I had spent a great deal of time and effort before the war on the design and operation of cyclotrons, and had a reasonably good understanding of the limits on the particle energies attainable by cyclotrons, and it seemed like a worthy goal to find ways to exceed these limits. The cyclotron, as you know, is a resonance accelerator; it pushes particles to high energies by the repeated application of a moderate voltage, which must be applied at the proper instant each time the particle comes around in its circular orbit.

In the simple case of a particle of fixed mass in a uniform magnetic field, the frequency of rotation is constant, and is easily matched to a fixed accelerating frequency. But things are always more complicated in the real world. The mass of the accelerated particle is not fixed; it increases by the mass equivalent of the added energy. The magnetic field cannot be uniform or the particle orbits will not be stable. Bethe and Rose had pointed out these things in 1937, but at that time the economic limits on the size of machines were more important than limitations in principle. By 1945 this situation was reversing. One way to avoid the timing problem was to use an induction accelerator or betatron, in which the acceleration is independent of

timing. So it happened that in May of 1945 I started trying to design an air-core betatron. The reason for the air-core was that the absence of an iron core allowed the use of a high magnetic field and reduced the size of the machine for a given energy.

This design never got very far. One night as I was lying in bed thinking about the problem of getting high energy particles, my mind returned to the concept of resonance acceleration. If only some way could be found to keep the motion of the particles in step with the alternating electric field that was pushing them along! I was tracing out in my imagination the motion as it unfolded in time when I suddenly realized that it had a natural tendency to lock into step with the accelerating field, if certain simple conditions were satisfied. I felt like the inventor in a cartoon when a light suddenly flashes on in his head. I did not record the date of that night, but it must have been close to the first of July. The next day I started to tell my colleagues at Los Alamos about my idea. I remember vividly the reaction of Don Kerst, who said: "I am kicking myself that I didn't think of it". Soon I had a name for the locking - in phenomenon, which I called "phase stability" since the word "phase" is used to describe the timing relation, and a name for the accelerator which would use that principle, which I called the "synchrotron".

On July 4 I communicated my thoughts to Ernest Lawrence in Berkeley by a letter which concluded, referring at first to the air core betatron, "In any case, it is pretty much of a 'brute force' machine, and it is not the sort of thing that one would want to build if a neater way could be found to do the job. I believe that I have a much neater way

of accelerating electrons. A brief description of its principle is enclosed. I will send further details". The "neater way" was the synchrotron, already called that in the enclosed brief description, which starts:

"This is a device for the acceleration of particles to high energies. It is essentially a cyclotron in which either the magnetic field or the frequency is varied during the acceleration, and in which the phase of the particles with respect to the high energy electric field automatically adjusts itself to the proper value for acceleration." Today, the possibility of varying both field and frequency together would be specifically mentioned under the name "proton synchrotron", and the version with frequency variation alone would be called a synchrocyclotron. Lawrence and I had further discussion when he came to New Mexico to witness the Trinity test on July 16, and he agreed that the construction of a synchrotron in Berkeley should be seriously considered. There were still some theoretical worries about the loss of energy by radiation (what is now called "synchrotron radiation"), and when the answer to this problem came in the form of a calculation by Julian Schwinger that was brought to me by I.I. Rabi*, I went ahead with the publication of a Letter to the Editor of the Physical Review entitled "The Synchrotron - A Proposed High Energy Particle Accelerator", which was submitted for publication on September 2, 1945.

Later in September I returned to Berkeley. The war was over, but the Manhattan Engineer District was still providing funds for the Radia-

*Rabi tells me that he persuaded Schwinger to make the calculation because of his concern over my problem.

tion Laboratory. General Groves was supportive of Lawrence's plans for conversion back to peacetime research activities, including the construction of a synchrotron, and design work was started at once, along with searches for surplus materials that might be usable. The actual directive authorizing construction was issued by the Manhattan District Office in Oak Ridge, Tennessee on 29 August, 1946. This authorized a total cost of \$500,000, of which \$225,000 was in the form of actual expenditures, while the rest represented the value of capacitors that existed as surplus at other installations, and that would be needed for storing energy to power the magnet. It did not include the building, for which \$61,052 had already been authorized under another directive. All of this went on before the formation of the Atomic Energy Commission; the synchrotron was authorized and its basic funding was arranged while the Army was still in charge.

Some time late in October of 1945 I got a telephone call from Charlotte Serber, who was then the librarian at Los Alamos. She reported that a Russian journal that had come into the library had in it an article, in English, describing an idea for an accelerator that was much like the synchrotron. I wrote to her on October 30 and requested a copy of that article, and thus did I learn of the work of Vladimir I. Veksler of the Soviet Union, who had developed the idea of phase stability in much the same way as I had. A few months later there appeared in the Physical Review a letter by Veksler complaining of my failure to give reference to his previous publications. In reply to this I sent a personal letter to Veksler and a letter to the editor of the Physical Review, in which I said: "It seems to be another case of the indepen-

dent occurrence of an idea in several parts of the world, when the time is ripe for the idea". Veksler sent me a very friendly reply, dated 27 June 1946, in which he said: "I fear that the English translation of my letter was somewhat more gruff than the Russian original. You are quite justified in saying that the history of science affords many examples of the simultaneous appearance of similar ideas in several parts of the world, as in our own case". When Veksler used the word "simultaneous" he was being generous, as he had made three publications on the subject, his first being over a year ahead of mine, but when communications are almost non-existent the concept of simultaneity is modified. I must admit that communications did not get much better for some time, and that although it seemed likely to me that Veksler was building a synchrotron in Moscow, I had very few details about it.

I had even less information about the proposal that Mark Oliphant made in 1945 for the construction of a machine at Birmingham, England. There were some rumors among the British contingent at Los Alamos about such a proposal, but no one seemed to know much about it. Oliphant had talked about it with Lawrence during visits to Berkeley, but apparently in very general terms, so that Lawrence's knowledge of what Oliphant was planning was neither clear nor specific. During the design period of the Berkeley synchrotron there was no interaction with the Birmingham group, and it was only later that I found out that the original unpublished proposal, which contained little in the way of design detail or theoretical analysis, was for what would now be called an air core proton synchrotron. This was modified to an iron core design before construction was started at Birmingham.

The first electron synchrotron to operate was that of Goward and Barnes, who modified an existing 4 MeV betatron to give 8 MeV as a synchrotron at the Woolwich Arsenal in England in 1946. Incidentally, Goward told me later that they got the idea from my publication, which they saw before they saw Veksler's. The second synchrotron was that of Pollack et al. at the General Electric Laboratory at Schenectady, which was made from parts originally intended for a betatron, and which gave 70 MeV electrons. It was with this machine that the phenomenon now known as "synchrotron radiation" was first observed in 1947. Even before these two pioneer synchrotrons, however, the principle of phase stability was shown to be valid by experiments conducted by J.R. Richardson et al. at Berkeley, using the old 37 inch cyclotron with the addition of a rotating variable condenser to modulate the frequency. The success of these experiments led to the redesign of the 184 inch cyclotron, whose construction had been halted by the war, as a synchrocyclotron, using the synchrotron principle with frequency modulation, and it was brought into operation late in 1946.

Now I would like to return to the construction of the synchrotron at Berkeley. The design energy had been set at 300 MeV in the published letter, but no design details had been established, so much had to be done, and many people became involved, far too many to list here. For the magnet core a rather conventional rectangular design was used. It was to be excited by the energy stored in a large capacitor bank and discharged through the magnet by a set of ignitrons, giving pulsed operation, with a batch of electrons accelerated at each pulse. The original vacuum chamber design, however, was far from conventional. It

depended on the magnet pole tips and the plastic walls supporting the pole tips being made vacuum tight, but this proved to be impossible, as the plastic used was too porous, and this design had to be abandoned. We went to a more conventional design with a fused quartz donut type of vacuum chamber, which worked fine.

Another serious problem was caused by irregularities in the shape of the magnetic field, due to remanence in the laminated iron pole tips. This was particularly bad at the instant when electrons were injected into their orbits, when the field was weak and the errors due to remanence were relatively large. Other groups who had started to build 300 MeV synchrotrons at about the same time, at Cornell under R.R. Wilson, M.I.T. under Ivan Getting, and Purdue under R.C. Haxby, had the same problem, and a great deal of gloomy correspondence went on between the groups. At Berkeley Wilson M. Powell, our expert on magnet design, set out to correct these field errors in detail with hundreds of little wires cemented onto the pole tips. This massive effort turned out to be unnecessary, however, and all of Powell's wires were finally removed. The shape of the orbit is determined primarily by the low harmonics of the azimuthal field distribution, and the system finally used corrected the field by octants, with individual controls brought into the control room so that field shape adjustments could be made during operation.

With these adjustments it would be possible to optimize a beam of electrons once it was found; the problem was to find the beam the first time, when we did not know where to set the adjustments. We were trying various things when, on November 20, 1948, a telephone call came in from R.R. Wilson at Cornell; he told me that he had found a beam by operating

the magnet at very low voltage. Three days later we found a beam at Berkeley, using the same procedure. Then the magnet voltage was raised bit by bit, optimizing the adjustments at each stage, and the full design energy was reached on January 17, 1949.

Now I would like to show some slides illustrating the Berkeley electron synchrotron. One of the first things that one does in designing a machine is to build a model. The first slide (Fig. 1) shows a wooden model, made in 1946. There was also an iron model, for checking the performance as a magnet. Figure 2 shows the building for the synchrotron. The windowless extension on the left housed the condenser bank. Figure 3, taken in September 1947, shows the lower yoke of the magnet and the coils that carry pulses of current from the condenser bank to excite the magnet. Figure 4 shows the top yoke of the magnet, with the fixture used for lifting it into place, and 5 shows the magnet assembled, with Marvin Martin, who was the chief engineer for the project, and myself, standing in front, taken in 1948. The boxy structures to the right are parts of the original vacuum system, which was soon to be replaced with a fused quartz donut, shown in Figure 6 ready to be installed.

Some other features were the capacitor bank (Fig. 7), the oscillator that supplied the accelerating potential (Figs. 8 and 9), and the target which the electron beam was supposed to strike to make x-rays (Fig. 10). In this view the actual target is the platinum strip at the left, which is inside the bore of the donut when this assembly is in place. Next to the target is a scintillating crystal that makes a flash of light when the beam hits it. This light traveled down a transparent

lucite rod to the photocell light detector in the box at the right, making an electrical signal that was displayed in the control room. We called this device the "divining rod" because it was used to detect and measure the presence of a beam in the machine. I believe that this represents the first use of what is now called a "light pipe" in connection with particle detection; it was proposed by Emilio Segrè and built by Clyde Wiegand, and without it I don't know how we would have gotten the synchrotron into operation.

Figure 11 shows a scene in the control room, with the operator watching the signal from the "divining rod" while making adjustments with his two hands. At the extreme right of the picture are the sixteen knobs (eight for the top pole and eight for the bottom pole) that controlled the magnetic field corrections I mentioned earlier. As soon as a high energy beam was found and allowed to strike the target, we could look for the x-rays produced by the impact. The x-rays would be expected to emerge in a narrow cone and to make a dark spot when they strike a photographic film. So, on December 16, 1948 when a sufficiently high energy was reached, a film was put in the path of the x-rays and exposed for 80 minutes, with the result shown on Fig. 12. This film was signed by all present at the occasion.

My next slide is one taken ten years later (Fig. 13) showing the "business end" of the synchrotron as it appeared during most of its life as a research instrument. The x-ray beam from the platinum target, which was inside the donut, emerged toward the viewer through a hole in a lead collimator, a little to the right of center. Two years later, in

1960, the Berkeley electron synchrotron was retired, and I have a view of it being moved out (Fig. 14). It is now in the Smithsonian Institution, as part of a very fine exhibit of nuclear research equipment. My last slide (Fig. 15) shows me with Vladimir Veksler, taken at a meeting in Berkeley in 1959, and illustrates the fact that we did not allow our initial lack of communication to persist forever.

In the remaining time I would like to add a few words about the proton synchrotron, again as seen from Berkeley. As I noted earlier, a machine of this type was proposed by Oliphant in 1945 but in Berkeley we had no clear notion at that time what was going on in Birmingham. William M. Brobeck, the chief engineer at the Radiation Laboratory, quite independently had the idea of designing a proton accelerator of the synchrotron type with a time-varying magnet field, but with the addition of a time-varying frequency to keep the orbit radius constant or nearly constant. This was some time in 1946 but Brobeck apparently kept no records of the inception of the idea so that the exact date cannot be fixed. I recall that Robert Serber and I were both consulting with Brobeck on the design, but we did not keep records either.

The earliest tangible record is a drawing by Brobeck dated November 12, 1946, labeled "10 Billion Volt Proton Accelerator". This drawing shows many features which were embodied in the Bevatron, such as the use of four straight sections in the orbit, allowing space for injection, acceleration, and ejection of the beam. There were also features that were changed, including the energy. Professor Lawrence thought that the cost would be too high, and insisted that the size, and therefore the energy, of the machine should be reduced. I recall that sometime during


this stage of the design both Panofsky and I independently insisted to Lawrence that the energy should not be reduced below the threshold for making anti-protons, which is at about 6 BeV. A drawing made in October, 1947 and labeled "Study No. 2 of 50 Foot Bevatron" shows the next stage of development. 50 feet refers to the orbit radius, which was 80 feet in the original design. The energy was to be 3 or 6.5 BeV, depending on the magnet gap and aperture used. 50 feet is the radius used in the final design for the Bevatron.

The design work that I am describing was well known in other laboratories. I remember one occasion when Professor Rabi from Columbia University was visiting Berkeley and was shown Brobeck's first drawing with which he was greatly impressed, and was given a copy to take home. Thus it came about that when the time came to make serious proposals for construction to the Atomic Energy Commission, now in charge of funding for the laboratories, both Berkeley and Brookhaven were in contention. In November 1947 and February 1948 the General Advisory Committee discussed the matter at length, debating how many machines should be built, what size, and where. The final decision of the Commission was to build two machines, one at Brookhaven to give 3 BeV and one at Berkeley to give a little more than 6 BeV. The formal authorization was sent to Berkeley on May 20, 1948. Note that by this date the electron synchrotron at Berkeley was still not yet operating, but the 184 inch synchrocyclotron had been running with great success for over a year, so there was no doubt that the principle was sound. So it proved to be also with the Cosmotron and Bevatron, as the machines at Brookhaven and Berkeley were called because of lack of agreement at this time on a generic name,

and with the still more powerful accelerators made possible by the later invention of strong focusing.


Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. Wooden model of the synchrotron
- Fig. 2. Synchrotron building
- Fig. 3. Lower magnet slab with coils
- Fig. 4. Top yoke of magnet, with lifting fixture
- Fig. 5. The author and Marvin Martin, in front of the assembled magnet
- Fig. 6. Quartz donut ready for installation
- Fig. 7. Capacitor bank
- Fig. 8. High frequency oscillator
- Fig. 9. Oscillator installed
- Fig. 10. Target and "divining rod"
- Fig. 11. At the controls
- Fig. 12. First picture of x-ray beam, Dec. 16, 1948
- Fig. 13. Synchrotron in operating condition
- Fig. 14. Moving out, May 17, 1960
- Fig. 15. Veksler and McMillan at Berkeley, Nov. 10, 1959




Sync 150

Fig. 1



Sync 220

Fig. 2



Sync 265

Fig. 3






Fig. 4



Sync 352

Fig. 5




Sync 421

Fig. 6



Sync 485

Fig. 7



Sync 228

Fig. 8






Fig. 9




Sync 535

Fig. 10



Sync 478

Fig. 11



Samuel McKay
Alab E. Nielsen
Philip A. Mack

Willyo O. Brunt
Carroll Kinning
A. H. Christian
H. A. Rice

First picture of neutron beam. Dec. 16, 1948.
120 MeV, 6 feet from target, 80 minutes exposure.

Edwin M. McMillan
Walter D. Hibbing
George C. Mofarland
Raymond D. Robertson
Lavinia J. Cooke
Garry Lee Farley


Garrett Lane
Jack T. Grange
Jack M. Peterson
Massey A. Ratz
Ernest Lawrence

Walter K. Powell
Walter F. Pappas
Craig S. Runyan
Ray Kamentz
Carl H. H. H. H.

Mitchell H. Pappas
W. W. Sullivan
Pat Kipatrick
Bob Wernick
Walt Basinger
Maurice Martin


Sync 458

Fig. 12



Sync 691

Fig. 13



Sync 718

Fig. 14



M 1959-23 (P-16)

Fig. 15

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720