UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Life Chaos is Associated with Reduced HIV Testing, Engagement in Care, and ART Adherence Among Cisgender Men and Transgender Women upon Entry into Jail.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3c4950fx

Journal

AIDS and behavior, 24(2)

ISSN

1090-7165

Authors

Takada, Sae Ettner, Susan L Harawa, Nina T et al.

Publication Date

2020-02-01

DOI

10.1007/s10461-019-02570-0

Peer reviewed

Abstract:

Life chaos, the perceived inability to plan for and anticipate the future, may be a barrier to the HIV care continuum for people living with HIV who experience incarceration. Between December 2012 and June 2015, we interviewed 356 adult cis-men and transgender women living with HIV in Los Angeles County Jail. We assessed life chaos using the Confusion, Hubbub, and Order Scale (CHAOS). We conducted regression analyses to estimate the association between life chaos and care continuum. Forty-eight percent were diagnosed with HIV while incarcerated, 14% were engaged in care 12 months prior to incarceration, mean antiretroviral adherence was 65%, and 68% were virologically suppressed. Adjusting for sociodemographics, HIV stigma, and social support, higher life chaos was associated with greater likelihood of diagnosis while incarcerated, lower likelihood of engagement in care, and lower adherence. There was no statistically significant association between life chaos and virologic suppression. Identifying life chaos in criminal-justice involved populations and intervening on it may improve continuum outcomes.

Key Words:

HIV/AIDS Life Chaos Social support HIV-related stigma Incarceration

Introduction

The HIV epidemic disproportionately affects the incarcerated population in the US, with a 1.3% seroprevalence that is approximately three times that of the general population [1]. Furthermore, compared to people living with HIV (PLH) in the US general population, incarcerated PLH are often less likely to have achieved HIV care continuum milestones [2, 3] – engaged in care, received and adhered to antiretroviral therapy (ART) and achieved virologic suppression - at the time of incarceration [4-7]. The disproportionate burden as well as the profound challenges in achieving the care continuum reflect how the incarcerated population represents one of the most socially and economically marginalized individuals in the US [8-10]. Understanding the lived experience of navigating social and structural barriers to care, such as the sense of unpredictability of daily life or insecurity in housing and income, may help us improve the HIV care continuum among incarcerated PLH.

The idea that life chaos – perceived inability to plan for and anticipate the future - leads to adverse outcomes was first proposed by Matheny and colleagues in the field of child development [11]. Their tool, the Confusion, Hubbub, and Order Scale (CHAOS), has since been adapted to adults to measure various aspects of stability and predictability in daily life, ranging from a person's perceived ability to organize a routine and keep a schedule, to their sense of certainty regarding their future housing or source of income [12]. While life chaos remains an underexplored concept in the health literature, a few previous studies have demonstrated that it predicts important health outcomes. Life chaos predicted missed appointments and poor medication adherence among PLH [12, 13], and patients with chronic illness, [14, 15] as well as risky sexual behavior among men who have sex with men (MSM) [16]. In addition, life chaos was associated with

structural barriers to HIV care – poverty, homelessness, and having unmet needs for financial, employment, and food support services – supporting its validity [12, 13].

The literature on criminal justice-involved people living with HIV describes high levels of chaos in their lives. They frequently experience poverty, comorbid substance use disorder [17, 18] housing instability [19], and social isolation from HIV stigma, all of which may lead to life chaos [13, 16, 20]. Many come from communities where households and relationships are disrupted from high rates of incarceration [21]. Finally, social and economic marginalization due to overlapping stigmatized identities likely compound the life chaos; for example, transgender women experience discrimination in employment, healthcare and family settings and victimization while incarcerated [22, 23].

Guided by the ecosocial theory that posits the importance of examining health inequities in the context of individual, interpersonal, and structural factors [24], we highlight two interpersonal factors that are known to shape the HIV epidemic among incarcerated PLH: social support and HIV-related stigma. Supportive social relationships have been shown to be positively associated with protective HIV-related behaviors, fewer HIV infections, and better HIV care continuum outcomes in general [25-29], including for incarcerated PLH [18, 30, 31]. Social support provides material resources or emotional support to buffer the effects of stressors [32, 33], and can potentially counter the effects of life chaos [16]. On the other hand, social relationships are a source of HIV-related stigma, the devaluation and discrimination of PLH, which can be a potent barrier to continuum of care outcomes. [34, 35] For PLH with criminal-justice involvement, HIV-related stigma is associated with hiding one's HIV serostatus, refusing to take ART, and relapsing into substance use [18, 30, 31, 36].

Using baseline data collected from a sample of PLH entering the Los Angeles County jail who participated in a randomized controlled trial of a peer navigation intervention after release from jail, we examined whether life chaos, social support, and HIV-related stigma prior to incarceration were associated with HIV care continuum engagement upon entry into jail. We hypothesized that life chaos was associated with each of the HIV care continuum steps.

Methods

Study setting and population

This study is based on the baseline data collected for the LINK LA study, a two-group, randomized trial of a peer navigation intervention for PLH released from jail, as previously published [37]. To summarize briefly, we recruited participants from Los Angeles County Jail, where inmates were routinely screened for HIV at intake. They were eligible for study participation if they were: (1) HIV seropositive; (2) age 18 or older (3) cis-men or transgender women; (4) English- or bilingual Spanish-speaking; (5) planning to reside in LA County upon release; and (6) eligible for antiretroviral therapy or incarcerated on antiretroviral therapy [37]. Exclusion criteria were: (1) inability to give informed consent; (2) planned transfer to prison; and (3) stay in jail <5 days. Of a total of 465 potentially eligible persons, we enrolled 356 in the study (105 were screened, but not eligible and 4 declined). Data collection

From December 2012 through June 2015, research staff conducted face-to-face baseline interviews approximately one week prior to release from jail. The team also obtained electronic medical record data on HIV viral load, which was routinely drawn several days after the participants diagnosed with or known to have HIV arrived at jail. Participants were compensated \$25 for the interview.

Primary variables of interest

Primary dependent variables were achievement of steps in the HIV care continuum prior to the jail stay [38]: routine HIV testing in the community (vs. only while incarcerated), engagement in care, ART adherence, and viral suppression. To identify those who did not participate in routine HIV testing, we asked whether the participant first tested positive for HIV during the current or a previous incarceration in jail or prison: participants who tested positive while incarcerated were deemed not to have achieved that milestone. To measure engagement in care, we asked whether the participant had received at least one HIV primary care visit in the community 12 months prior to entering jail. ART adherence over the 30 days prior to incarceration was measured on a scale of 0-100% rating scale, generally called a Visual Analog Scale [39-41]. Finally, we defined virologic suppression as viral load <400 copies/ml on first viral load after jail entry. This cutoff was selected to account for viral blips that do not result in any clinically significant viral replication [5, 42, 43].

The primary independent variables included measures of life chaos, social support, and HIV-related stigma (see appendix). To measure life chaos, we administered the 12-item Confusion, Hubbub, and Order Scale (CHAOS) adapted for adults, which measures predictability of life circumstances, ability to plan and anticipate the future, and reliability of income, employment, housing [12]. In this analysis, we excluded 2 items about certainty of employment in 6 months, given that the participants were incarcerated and unemployed at the time of interview. Each item was scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from "definitely true" to "definitely false." The items were averaged for the total score (from 1-5), with higher numbers representing more chaos. The final 10-item chaos scale in this analysis showed acceptable internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.76).

We measured social support using a 5-item scale that was derived from a previous tool designed to measure perceived availability of emotional and practical support [44-46]. Each item was scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from "none of the time" to "all of the time." The scale showed excellent internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.90). The items were averaged to create a scale score (from 1-5), with higher values represent greater social support.

We measured HIV-related stigma using a 12-item version of an established measure that taps four dimensions of stigma: negative stereotypes associated with HIV, disclosure concerns, treatment by others, and internalization of shame [47, 48]. Each item was scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from "none of the time" to "all of the time." The items were averaged to create a scale score (from 1-5), with higher values representing greater stigma. The scale showed acceptable internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.80). Other explanatory variables

Sociodemographic variables included age, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, annual household income, health insurance status, risk/gender group, and HIV transmission risk category. Educational attainment was dichotomized into participants who had less than high school education, and those who had completed high school or equivalent. Individual annual income was dichotomized at \$10,000 or less and greater than \$10,000, with the cutoff based on the median income of the sample. Health insurance was dichotomized at any insurance (private, Medicare, Medicaid, other) vs none. Participants were assigned mutually-exclusive categories based on their gender and HIV transmission risk factor: male-to-female transgender, men who have sex with men, men who have sex with women, or people who used injection drugs. We also included SF-12 mental health composite scores [49].

Statistical Analysis

The scales for life chaos, social support, and HIV-related stigma, were centered by subtracting the sample mean from scores.

We used logistic regression analyses to estimate the dichotomous continuum of care outcomes: HIV diagnosis while incarcerated, engagement in care prior to incarceration, and viral suppression. We used linear regression analysis for level of ART adherence. We examined factors associated with HIV diagnosis while incarcerated among all participants (n = 356) and examined correlates of the three remaining outcomes only among participants who were diagnosed with HIV prior to the current incarceration (n = 321). We first conducted bivariate regression analyses to estimate the association between HIV continuum outcomes and each of the variables described above. We then conducted multivariable analyses in which each HIV care continuum outcome was specified as a function of the explanatory variables described above. As an alternative way to interpret the logistic regression models[50], we estimated marginal effects, defined as the effect of a small change in life chaos on the probability of achieving HIV care continuum outcomes [51]. The regression models were fitted to complete-case data.

We also conducted sensitivity analysis by adding several variables to the regression models for each dependent variable and examined its effect on the previously observed associations. First, we added the interaction variables: life chaos * social support and life chaos * stigma, into separate multivariable models. We used these interaction variables to examine whether the associations between life chaos and HIV care continuum outcomes changed by the level of social support and the level of stigma, respectively. In separate multivariable models, we added CD4 count as sensitivity analyses. Because the estimates for the main independent variables were robust to this change, we

present the original models with the primary independent variables and covariates as described above. Finally, we conducted sensitivity analysis using multiple imputation for the 90 missing (nonresponse) ART adherence values among those who were prescribed ART prior to incarceration, by creating 10 imputed data sets using the multivariate normal (MVN) distribution command in STATA v.9.4.

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS v9.4; marginal effect estimates for logistic regression models and multiple imputation procedures were conducted using STATA v15.0 [52].

Results

Participant Characteristics

We interviewed 356 participants. One hundred and fifty-one (42%) participants were Black/African American and 110 (31%) were Hispanic/Latino (Table I). The median age of the respondents was 40. Most respondents were MSM (56%), 37% did not graduate from high school, 42% earned \$10,000 or less annually, 55% had no health insurance, and 11% had CD4 count less than 500. Regarding HIV care continuum outcomes (Table II), 172 (48%) participants were diagnosed while incarcerated, of which 35 (20%) were diagnosed during this incarceration. Of the participants who were diagnosed prior to this incarceration, 46 (14%) had engaged in care in the past 12 months, and 218 (68%) of participants were virologically suppressed at the time of incarceration. The mean self-reported percentage of ART adherence was 65%. The mean (standard deviation) for the scales (scored from 1-5) were as follows: life chaos 3.25 (1.29), social support 2.65 (0.86), and HIV-related stigma 2.59 (0.79).

Correlates of HIV diagnosis while incarcerated

In bivariate analyses, life chaos (OR = 1.35, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.73 was associated with higher odds of having been diagnosed with HIV while incarcerated, while having completed high school (OR = 0.62, 95% CI

0.41 to 0.96), and having public health insurance compared to having private insurance or no insurance (OR = 0.60, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.92) were associated with lower odds (Table III). In the multivariable model, life chaos (aOR=1.52, 95% CI 1.09 to 2.11) was associated with higher odds of having been diagnosed with HIV while incarcerated, while having completed high school was associated with lower odds (aOR = 0.54, 95% CI 0.32 to 0.80). Average marginal effects estimates showed that an increase in 1 point on the chaos scale increases the probability of diagnosis while incarcerated by about 10 percentage points; for example, increasing chaos from 3 to 4 on the 5-point scale would change this probability from 51% to 61%.

Correlates of engagement in care prior to incarceration

In bivariate analyses, life chaos (OR = 0.56, 95% CI 0.39 to 0.81) and Hispanic/Latino ethnicity (OR = 0.38, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.93) were associated with lower odds of engagement in care prior to entering jail, while older age (OR = 1.05, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.09), annual income over \$10,000 (OR = 3.15, 95% CI 1.50 to 6.61), and having public (OR = 2.57, 95% CI 1.21 to 5.57) or private health insurance (OR = 7.09, 95%CI 2.87 to 17.51) were associated with higher odds (Table III). In the multivariable model, life chaos remained associated with lower odds of engagement in care prior to incarceration (aOR=0.53, 95% CI 0.32 to 0.87), as did older age (aOR = 1.06, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.10), annual income over \$10,000 (aOR = 2.30, 95% Cl 1.01 to 5.23), and private health insurance (aOR = 5.59, 95% CI 2.04 to 15.29) were associated with higher odds. Average marginal effects estimates showed that an increase in 1 point on the chaos scale decreases the probability of diagnosis while incarcerated by about 6 percentage points; for example, increasing chaos from 3 to 4 on the 5-point scale would change this likelihood from 9.5% to 5.6%.

Correlates of antiretroviral therapy adherence

In bivariate analyses, life chaos (b = -12.39, 95% CI -18.90 to -5.89), HIV-related stigma (b = -8.10, 95% CI -14.97 to -1.23), being a man who has sex with men (b = -16.21, 95% CI -30.81 to -1.61) and having public health insurance (b = -12.53, 95% CI -24.37 to -0.69) were associated with lower ART adherence. The SF12 mental component score (b = 0.54, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.99) was associated with higher ART adherence (Table IV). In the multivariable model, life chaos (b = -8.68, 95% CI -16.90 to -0.46) associated with lower ART adherence, representing an almost 9% decrease in self-reported adherence per one-point increase in the life chaos scale. Other covariates associated with lower ART adherence were being a MSM (b = -17.68, 95% CI -34.65 to -0.70), and having public health insurance (b = -14.28, 95% CI -26.09 to -2.47).

Sensitivity analysis using multiple imputation of ART adherence is shown in Appendix Table I. In bivariate analyses, life chaos, HIV-related stigma, being male to female transgender, and being an MSM were all associated with lower ART adherence, while SF12 mental component score was associated with higher adherence. In the multivariable model, life chaos and being an MSM was associated with lower ART adherence.

Correlates of virologic suppression at incarceration

In bivariate analyses, HIV-related stigma (OR = 0.69, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.93) was associated with lower odds, and SF12 mental component score (OR = 1.03, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.05) was associated with higher odds of virologic suppression (Table III). In the multivariable model, Black/African American race remained associated with lower odds of virologic suppression (aOR = 0.47, 95% CI 0.24 to 0.93). Life chaos was not significantly associated with virological suppression.

Additional sensitivity analysis containing life chaos * social support and life chaos * HIV-related stigma interaction variables for

multivariable logistic models, and multivariable linear regression models with complete case and multiple imputation for ART adherence are shown in Appendix Tables 2 and 3. The main effects of life chaos on HIV diagnosis while incarcerated, engagement in care, and ART adherence remained robust to the inclusion of these variables.

Discussion

While correctional facilities represent an important opportunity to address the HIV care continuum among vulnerable populations [53, 54], our study among cis-men and transgender women PLH in LA county jail showed significant gaps in the HIV care continuum prior to and upon entry into incarceration. Life chaos – the perception of having an unstable, unpredictable, disorganized life – was associated with elevated odds of HIV diagnosis while incarcerated among all participants, and with reduced odds of engagement in care and lower ART adherence among participants who were already diagnosed with HIV. However, our study did not support the hypothesized association of life chaos with viral suppression. The substantial associations of life chaos with several HIV care continuum variables remained significant and robust to the inclusion of multiple covariates that reflected the social environment of this vulnerable group of PLH.

Consistent with our hypotheses, life chaos was associated with greater odds of being first diagnosed with HIV while incarcerated. Almost half of our participants were diagnosed with HIV during the current or prior incarceration rather than during routine testing in the community, reflecting the concentration of medically-underserved individuals in the criminal justice system [54]. Routine HIV screening is critical not only for timely linkage to care and treatment for PLH, but also for reducing unrecognized transmission of HIV to others in the community [38]. HIV screening for those who are seronegative can also

be opportunities for risk assessment, counseling and intervention such as pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent acquisition of HIV [55].

Further consistent with our hypotheses, life chaos was associated with poor engagement in care, which was common in this vulnerable population. Eighty-five percent of the participants who had known HIV infection had not engaged in care in the community during the 12 months prior to incarceration, similar to findings from a recent systematic review on incarcerated PLH [7].

Finally, each one-point increase in the life chaos scale was associated with a 9% decrease in adherence, a finding that was reproducible in the multiple imputation sensitivity analysis. The self-reported mean ART adherence was 65% among those with known HIV infection and prescribed ARTs, comparable to levels reported in other studies [7].

In contrast, life chaos was not statistically significantly associated with virologic suppression. While we do not know why this occurred in our study, one explanation may be that virologic suppression, especially when measured using a single measurement [59], is a downstream outcome that is not sensitive to the effects of upstream processes of engagement in care and adherence. Hence, life chaos may correlate with poor engagement in care and adherence to ART, but these processes may not be ultimately reflected in the viral load. First, virologic suppression is seen among patients with significant care gaps. In our study, 15% were engaged in care, while 32% were virologically suppressed. A recent study demonstrated a similar apparent discrepancy: a care gap of less than 9 months had no association on viral load, and a gap of 12 months or more resulted in a quarter of previously suppressed patients becoming unsuppressed [56]. One explanation for this observation is that patients continue to take ART despite not engaging in care: a recent study based on a

billings claim database showed that 40% of addition, virologic suppression is seen among patients with moderate levels of ART adherence. Further, studies have shown that moderate levels of adherence as low as 75% can lead to virologic suppression [60-62]. Finally, reincarceration is common [58]. It is possible that participants received care and took ART during a previous jail stay within the 12 months prior to the current incarceration, and this may not have been reflected in the survey results. While life chaos is an underexplored concept, the literatures on some related concepts may shed light to potential mechanisms through which life chaos may be linked to care continuum outcomes. The perception that the future is uncertain is a central component of life chaos; similarly, time preference theory proposes that those who perceive that the future is uncertain are less likely to engage in healthy behaviors, because they do not value the potential health benefit in the future [63]. If chaos in effect measures future uncertainty, the association we found between chaos and HIV testing, engagement in care, and ART adherence may reflect our participant's lack of perceived benefit of these health-promoting activities. Another conceptually similar measure, stressful life circumstances (such as employment difficulties and major financial problems) predicted poor ART adherence [64]. Finally, life chaos has been closely linked to underlying poverty[13] as well as with unmet needs in housing, finances, employment, and food security [12, 54]. Prior studies showed that incarcerated PLH who are homeless [2, 65] or otherwise have difficulty meeting basic needs [53, 66] are less likely to receive routine HIV care. Homelessness [58, 65] and food insecurity have been associated with poor ART adherence [3], while employment has been associated with increased adherence [2] for incarcerated PLH.

After adjusting for covariates, we did not find meaningful relationships of our measured gender categories with any of our HIV care continuum variables between transgender women and cis-men. A recent multi-site study among criminal-justice involved PLH similarly found no significant difference in ART adherence or viral suppression between cis-men and transgender women [67]. The same study found that transgender women were more likely to engage in HIV transmission risk behaviors compare to cis-men. Transgender women experience disproportionate burden of HIV [68], and risk behaviors may drive gender disparities among criminal justice-involved PLH.

Our findings have important implications for researchers, health care providers and policy makers. At the individual level, our findings demonstrate the potential benefit of novel approaches to understand and address life chaos for PLH who experience incarceration in order to achieve HIV care continuum goals. Health care providers may identify patients who show signs of life chaos, such as having difficulty keeping their appointments or reluctance to schedule their next appointment, and offer supportive services, especially if they have experienced incarceration. Providers could partner with peer navigators or community health workers who may assist PLH in managing their life chaos, such as by scheduling or reminding them of their appointments or organizing transportation [37], thereby making health care a source of stability. It would be critical to identify and address life chaos among PLH prior to their arriving in jail. It will be important to examine whether interventions that target the underlying structural barriers associated with life chaos, such as housing, food, and income, will change the perceptions of insecurity and uncertainty among vulnerable people, and also lead to better continuum of care outcomes in well-designed prospective studies. At the community level, this may include addressing incarceration stigma - shame and discrimination of

people who experience incarceration [53, 69, 70] – as this has been found be to a barrier for formerly incarcerated PLH to accessing care and other resources, such as housing, employment, and educational opportunities.

Interpretation of our findings is subject to limitations. First, our data are cross-sectional, which limits our ability to make causal inferences. Second, the interview was done prior to release from jail, so some participants may incorrectly recall their history prior to incarceration. While the restrictive environment of incarceration [18] may color their recollection of life chaos prior to incarceration, our mean chaos score was similar to that found among under-resourced PLH in Los Angeles [12]. The data on HIV testing, engagement in care, and ART adherence are self-reported, and therefore subject to the challenges inherent to all studies using self-reported data. We did not collect data on reincarceration. While interviews were conducted in a confidential manner, data may be biased with respect to the participants' willingness to report poor adherence and engagement with care. Finally, our results may not be generalizable to populations outside of Los Angeles County.

Despite these limitations, we conclude that, in this study of cisgendered men and transgendered women incarcerated in a large municipal Jail, we found that life chaos was associated with gaps in the HIV care continuum prior to entering jail. Prospective studies, including intervention studies, will be needed to establish life chaos as a predictor of continuum outcomes. If life chaos is found to predict HIV care continuum outcomes, then policy makers and clinicians should provide supportive services to help PLH manage life chaos as well as address underlying structural issues such as housing and income.

References

- 1. Maruschak, L.M., and Bronson, J., *HIV in Prisons, 2015 Statistical Tables*, B.o.J.S. U.S. Department of Justice, Editor. 2017.
- 2. Chitsaz, E., et al., Contribution of substance use disorders on HIV treatment outcomes and antiretroviral medication adherence among HIV-infected persons entering jail. AIDS Behav, 2013. 17 Suppl 2: p. S118-27.
- 3. Chen, N.E., et al., Adherence to HIV treatment and care among previously homeless jail detainees. AIDS Behav, 2013. **17**(8): p. 2654-66.
- 4. Eastment, M.C., et al., Jail Booking as an Occasion for HIV Care Reengagement: A Surveillance-Based Study. Am J Public Health, 2017. **107**(5): p. 717-723.
- 5. Meyer, J.P., et al., *Optimization of human immunodeficiency virus treatment during incarceration: viral suppression at the prison gate.* JAMA Intern Med, 2014. **174**(5): p. 721-9.
- 6. Vagenas, P., et al., HIV-infected men who have sex with men, before and after release from jail: the impact of age and race, results from a multi-site study. AIDS Care, 2016. **28**(1): p. 22-31.
- 7. Iroh, P.A., H. Mayo, and A.E. Nijhawan, *The HIV Care Cascade Before, During, and After Incarceration: A Systematic Review and Data Synthesis.* American Journal of Public Health, 2015. **105**(7): p. e5-e16.
- 8. Pettit, B. and B. Western, *Mass Imprisonment and the Life Course:*Race and Class Inequality in U.S. Incarceration. American
 Sociological Review, 2004. **69**(2): p. 151-169.
- 9. R. Blau, J. and P. M. Blau, *The Cost of Inequality: Metropolitan Structure and Violent Crime*. Vol. 47. 1982.
- 10. Wildeman, C. and B. Western, *Incarceration in Fragile Families*. Future of Children, 2010. **20**(2): p. 157-177.

- 11. Matheny, A.P., et al., *Bringing order out of chaos: Psychometric characteristics of the confusion, hubbub, and order scale.* Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 1995. **16**(3): p. 429-444.
- 12. Wong, M.D., et al., *The association between life chaos, health care use, and health status among HIV-infected persons.* J Gen Intern Med, 2007. **22**(9): p. 1286-91.
- 13. Kalichman, S.C. and M.O. Kalichman, HIV-Related Stress and Life Chaos Mediate the Association Between Poverty and Medication Adherence Among People Living with HIV/AIDS. J Clin Psychol Med Settings, 2016. **23**(4): p. 420-430.
- 14. Crowley, M.J., et al., *Medication non-adherence after myocardial infarction: an exploration of modifying factors.* J Gen Intern Med, 2015. **30**(1): p. 83-90.
- 15. Zullig, L.L., et al., Association between perceived life chaos and medication adherence in a postmyocardial infarction population. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes, 2013. **6**(6): p. 619-25.
- 16. Viswanath, H., et al., Life Chaos and Perceived Social Support Among Methamphetamine-Using Men Who Have Sex With Men Engaging in Transactional Sexual Encounters. Substance Use & Misuse, 2017. **52**(1): p. 100-107.
- 17. Shrage, L., *African Americans, HIV, and mass incarceration.* The Lancet, 2016. **388**(10049): p. e2-e3.
- 18. Harawa, N.T., et al., *Understanding interactions of formerly incarcerated HIV-positive men and transgender women with substance use treatment, medical, and criminal justice systems.* Int J Drug Policy, 2017. **48**: p. 63-71.
- 19. Kushel, M.B., et al., Revolving Doors: Imprisonment Among the Homeless and Marginally Housed Population. American Journal of Public Health, 2005. **95**(10): p. 1747-1752.
- 20. Chatterjee, A., M.W. Gillman, and M.D. Wong, *Chaos, Hubbub, and Order Scale and Health Risk Behaviors in Adolescents in Los Angeles.* The Journal of Pediatrics, 2015. **167**(6): p. 1415-1421.

- 21. Wohl, D.A., *HIV and Mass Incarceration: Where Infectious Diseases and Social Justice Meet.* N C Med J, 2016. **77**(5): p. 359-64.
- 22. White Hughto, J.M., S.L. Reisner, and J.E. Pachankis, *Transgender stigma and health: A critical review of stigma determinants, mechanisms, and interventions.* Social Science & Medicine, 2015. **147**: p. 222-231.
- 23. Reisner, S.L., Z. Bailey, and J. Sevelius, *Racial/Ethnic Disparities in History of Incarceration, Experiences of Victimization, and Associated Health Indicators Among Transgender Women in the U.S.* Women & Health, 2014. **54**(8): p. 750-767.
- 24. Krieger, N., *Epidemiology and the web of causation: has anyone seen the spider?* Soc Sci Med, 1994. **39**(7): p. 887-903.
- 25. Takada, S., et al., *The dynamic relationship between social support and HIV-related stigma in rural Uganda.* Ann Behav Med, 2014. **48**(1): p. 26-37.
- 26. Ransome, Y., I. Kawachi, and L.T. Dean, *Neighborhood Social Capital in Relation to Late HIV Diagnosis, Linkage to HIV Care, and HIV Care Engagement.* AIDS Behav, 2017. **21**(3): p. 891-904.
- 27. Ransome, Y., et al., The relationship between higher social trust and lower late HIV diagnosis and mortality differs by race/ethnicity: results from a state-level analysis. J Int AIDS Soc, 2017. **20**(1): p. 1-9.
- 28. Hermanstyne, K.A.G., H. D. Jr.; Cook, R.; Tieu H.-V.; Dyer, T. V.; Hucks-Ortiz, C.; Wilton, L.; Latkin, C.; Shoptaw, S., Is social network support associated with risk of seroconversion among Black Men who have Sex with Men in the U.S.? A study using data from thels Social Network Support Associated with Risk of Seroconversion for Black Men Who Have Sex With Men (MSM)? The HIV Prevention Trials Network BROTHERS Study HPTN -061. AIDS, 2018(In Press).
- 29. Scott, H.M., et al., *Peer social support is associated with recent HIV testing among young black men who have sex with men.* AIDS Behav, 2014. **18**(5): p. 913-20.

- 30. Rozanova, J., et al., Effect of social relationships on antiretroviral medication adherence for people living with HIV and substance use disorders and transitioning from prison. Health Justice, 2015. **3**: p. 18.
- 31. Kemnitz, R., et al., Manifestations of HIV stigma and their impact on retention in care for people transitioning from prisons to communities. Health Justice, 2017. **5**(1): p. 7.
- 32. Berkman, L.F., et al., From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. Soc Sci Med, 2000. **51**(6): p. 843-57.
- 33. Umberson, D., R. Crosnoe, and C. Reczek, *Social Relationships and Health Behavior Across Life Course.* Annu Rev Sociol, 2010. **36**: p. 139-157.
- 34. Sayles, J.N., et al., Experiences of social stigma and implications for healthcare among a diverse population of HIV positive adults. J Urban Health, 2007. **84**(6): p. 814-28.
- 35. Sayles, J.N., et al., The association of stigma with self-reported access to medical care and antiretroviral therapy adherence in persons living with HIV/AIDS. J Gen Intern Med, 2009. **24**(10): p. 1101-8.
- 36. Sayles, J.N., M.D. Wong, and W.E. Cunningham, *The inability to take medications openly at home: does it help explain gender disparities in HAART use?* J Womens Health (Larchmt), 2006. **15**(2): p. 173-81.
- 37. Cunningham, W.E., et al., Effectiveness of a Peer Navigation Intervention to Sustain Viral Suppression Among HIV-Positive Men and Transgender Women Released From Jail: The LINK LA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med, 2018. **178**(4): p. 542-553.
- 38. HIV.gov. *HIV Care Continuum*. 2016 [cited 2018 June 20]; Available from: https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/policies-issues/hiv-aids-care-continuum.

- 39. Buscher, A., et al., Validity of self-report measures in assessing antiretroviral adherence of newly diagnosed, HAART-naive, HIV patients. HIV Clin Trials, 2011. **12**(5): p. 244-54.
- 40. Simoni, J.M., et al., The Validity of Self-Reported Medication Adherence as an Outcome in Clinical Trials of Adherence-Promotion Interventions: Findings from the MACH14 Study. AIDS Behav, 2014. **18**(12): p. 2285-90.
- 41. Simoni, J.M., et al., Self-Report Measures of Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence: A Review with Recommendations for HIV Research and Clinical Management. AIDS Behav, 2006. **10**(3): p. 227-45.
- 42. Loeliger, K.B., et al., *Predictors of linkage to HIV care and viral suppression after release from jails and prisons: a retrospective cohort study.* Lancet HIV, 2018. **5**(2): p. e96-e106.
- 43. Adolescents, D.P.o.A.G.f.A.a., Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV, D.o.H.a.H. Services, Editor. 2018.
- 44. Fleishman, J.A., et al., *Coping, conflictual social interactions, social support, and mood among HIV-infected persons. HCSUS Consortium.* Am J Community Psychol, 2000. **28**(4): p. 421-53.
- 45. Matsuzaki, M., et al., Perceived access and barriers to care among illicit drug users and hazardous drinkers: findings from the Seek, Test, Treat, and Retain data harmonization initiative (STTR). BMC Public Health, 2018. **18**(1): p. 366.
- 46. Chandler, R., et al., Cohort profile: seek, test, treat and retain United States criminal justice cohort. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy, 2017. **12**(1): p. 24.
- 47. Sayles, J.N., et al., Development and psychometric assessment of a multidimensional measure of internalized HIV stigma in a sample of HIV-positive adults. AIDS Behav, 2008. **12**(5): p. 748-58.
- 48. Cunningham, W., Seiden D, Nakazono T, Malek M, Kulkarni S, Sayles J, Ettner S, Shoptaw S, Harawa N. . *Project LINK LA:*Potential Mediators of Peer Navigation to Link Released HIV+ Jail Inmates to HIV Care; Early Results of an RCT Study. . in 11th

- International Conference on HIV Treatment and Prevention Adherence. . 2016. Fort Lauderdale, FL.
- 49. Ware, J., Jr., M. Kosinski, and S.D. Keller, A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care, 1996. **34**(3): p. 220-33.
- 50. Ai, C. and E.C. Norton, *Interaction terms in logit and probit models*. Economics Letters, 2003. **80**(1): p. 123-129.
- 51. Norton, E.C. and B.E. Dowd, *Log Odds and the Interpretation of Logit Models.* Health Serv Res, 2018. **53**(2): p. 859-878.
- 52. Williams, R., Using the margins command to estimate and interpret adjusted predictions and marginal effects. Stata Journal, 2012. **12**(2): p. 308 331.
- 53. Sidibe, T., et al., *Provider perspectives regarding the health care needs of a key population: HIV-infected prisoners after incarceration.* J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care, 2015. **26**(5): p. 556-69.
- 54. Rich, J.D., Correctional Facilities as Partners in Reducing HIV Disparities. 2013. **63**(0 1): p. S49-53.
- 55. Baeten, J.M., et al., *Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women.* N Engl J Med, 2012. **367**(5): p. 399-410.
- 56. Gardner, L.I., et al., *Gaps Up To 9 Months Between HIV Primary Care Visits Do Not Worsen Viral Load.* AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2018. **32**(4): p. 157-164.
- 57. Byrd, K.K., T. Bush, and L.I. Gardner, *Do Persons Living with HIV Continue to Fill Prescriptions for Antiretroviral Drugs during a Gap in Care? Analysis of a Large Commercial Claims Database.* Journal of the International Association of Providers of AIDS Care, 2017. **16**(6): p. 632-638.
- 58. Clements-Nolle, K., et al., Highly active antiretroviral therapy use and HIV transmission risk behaviors among individuals who are HIV infected and were recently released from jail. Am J Public Health, 2008. **98**(4): p. 661-6.

- 59. Marks, G., et al., Single Viral Load Measurements Overestimate Stable Viral Suppression Among HIV Patients in Care: Clinical and Public Health Implications. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999), 2016. **73**(2): p. 205-212.
- 60. Cheng, Y., et al., Adherence and virologic outcomes among treatment-naïve veteran patients with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. Medicine, 2018. **97**(2): p. e9430-e9430.
- 61. Bangsberg, D.R., Less Than 95% Adherence to Nonnucleoside Reverse-Transcriptase Inhibitor Therapy Can Lead to Viral Suppression. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2006. **43**(7): p. 939-941.
- 62. Nachega, J.B., et al., Adherence to Nonnucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor-Based HIV Therapy and Virologic OutcomesEffect of NNRTI-Based HIV Therapy Adherence on HIV-1 RNA. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2007. **146**(8): p. 564-573.
- 63. Adams, J., The role of time preference and perspective and socioeconomic inequalities in health-related behaviours, in Social Inequality and Public Health, S.J. Babones, Editor. 2009, Policy Press.
- 64. Mugavero, M.J., et al., Overload: impact of incident stressful events on antiretroviral medication adherence and virologic failure in a longitudinal, multisite human immunodeficiency virus cohort study. Psychosom Med, 2009. **71**(9): p. 920-6.
- 65. Zelenev, A., et al., *Patterns of homelessness and implications for HIV health after release from jail.* AIDS Behav, 2013. **17 Suppl 2**: p. S181-94.
- 66. Palar, K., M.D. Wong, and W.E. Cunningham, *Competing* subsistence needs are associated with retention in care and detectable viral load among people living with HIV. Journal of HIV/AIDS & Social Services, 2018. **17**(3): p. 163-179.
- 67. Beckwith, C.G., et al., Risk behaviors and HIV care continuum outcomes among criminal justice-involved HIV-infected transgender women and cisgender men: Data from the Seek, Test,

- Treat, and Retain Harmonization Initiative. PLOS ONE, 2018. **13**(5): p. e0197730.
- 68. Baral, S.D., et al., Worldwide burden of HIV in transgender women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 2013. **13**(3): p. 214-222.
- 69. Schnittker, J. and A. John, *Enduring stigma: the long-term effects of incarceration on health.* J Health Soc Behav, 2007. **48**(2): p. 115-30.
- 70. Swan, H., A Qualitative Examination of Stigma Among Formerly Incarcerated Adults Living With HIV. Sage Open, 2016. **6**(1).

Table I Sociodemographic characteristics of HIV-positive cis-men and transgender women prior to incarceration in LA County Jail $(N = 356)^a$

Variable	n (percent) or mean (SD)				
Demographic variables					
Age					
Age 30 or younger	86 (24%)				
31 - 40	95 (27%)				
41 - 50	118 (33%)				
51 and older	57 (16%)				
Race/ethnicity					
Black/African American	151 (42%)				
Hispanic/Latino	110 (31%)				
Other	95 (27%)				
HIV-transmission Risk group					
Men who have sex with men	201 (56%)				
Male-to-female transgender	53 (15%)				
Injection drug use	43 (12%)				
Men who have sex with women	59 (17%)				
Educational attainment					
Less than high school graduation	131 (37%)				
High school graduation or equivalent	96 (27%)				
Some college or more	128 (36%)				
Annual income					
\$10,000 or less	150 (42%)				
\$10,001 - \$ 20,000	96 (27%)				
\$20,001 - \$30,000	38 (11%)				
\$30,001 - \$50,000	23 (6%)				
More than \$50,000	48 (14%)				
Health insurance					
No health insurance	191 (55%)				
Low Income Health Program (Healthy Way LA)	43 (12%)				

Medicaid/Medi-Cal	77 (22%)
Private	17 (5%)
Other	18 (5%)
CD4 count	
<200	38 (11%)
200-349	54 (15%)
350 - 499	88 (25%)
Greater than or equal to 500	175 (49%)
SF 12	
Mental Component Scale	38.2 (12.3)

Table II HIV care continuum variables and social environment characteristics by gender of HIV-positive cis-men and transgender women prior to incarceration in LA County Jail (N = 356)^a

Variable	n (percent) or mean (SD)		
HIV Care Continuum Variables	Overall	Cis-men	MTF
Engagement in care in the past 12			
months, among those diagnosed			
prior to this incarceration			
Yes	46 (14%)	39 (14%)	7 (14%)
HIV diagnosis while incarcerated			
Yes	172 (48%)	146	26 (49%)
		(48%)	
Diagnosis during this incarceration	35 (10%)	32 (11%)	3 (6%)
Virologic suppression (viral load <			
400cpm) among those diagnosed			
prior to this incarceration			
Yes	218 (68%)	189	29 (58%)
		(70%)	
Percentage ART adherence	65.0	66.2	56.8
	(39.3)	(39.4)	(38.6)
Independent variables			
Life chaos score *	3.25	3.21	3.51
	(1.29)	(88.0)	(0.65)
Social support score	2.65	2.69	2.42
	(0.86)	(1.31)	(1.18)
HIV-related stigma score	2.59	2.57	2.71
	(0.79)	(0.81)	(0.66)

MTF: male to female transgender

 a. The following number of observations were missing these variables: engagement in care (3 observations), ART adherence (90 observations, 24 observations not prescribed ART), HIV-related stigma (31 observations), and social support (3 observations) * p<0.05 by independent group t-test comparing means between cis-men and transgender women or Pearson chi-square test for independence between gender identity and frequency of variable

Table III: Multivariable logistic regression models of associations of life chaos, social support, and HIV-related stigma with HIV diagnosis while incarcerated, engagement in care, and virologic suppression among HIV-positive cis-men and transgender women prior to incarceration in LA County Jail ^a

			Jan			
	HIV diagnosis	while	Engagement in ca	re (N = 304)	virologic suppre	ession (N = 307)
	incarcerated (I	N = 311)				
Variables	Bivariate	Multivariable	Bivariate	Multivariable	Bivariate	Multivariable
	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)
Life chaos	1.35 (1.05,	1.52 (1.09,	0.56 (0.39,	0.53 (0.32,	0.77 (0.58,	1.06 (0.75, 1.52)
	1.73)*	1011)*	0.81)***	0.87)*	1.02)	
Social support	0.93 (0.79,	0.89 (0.73,	1.20 (0.94, 1.53)	1.23 (0.91,	1.18 (0.98,	1.13 (0.91, 1.41)
	1.10)	1.09)		1.65)	1.43)	
HIV stigma	0.99 (0.75,	0.91 (0.65,	0.79 (0.53, 1.19)	1.18 (0.67,	0.69 (0.51,	0.82 (0.57, 1.17)
	1.31)	1.28)		2.06)	0.93)*	
Age	0.99 (0.97,	1.01 (0.98,	1.05 (1.02,	1.06 (1.02,	1.02 (0.999,	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)
	1.01)	1.04)	1.09)***	1.10)**	1.04)	
Race/ethnicity						
Black	1.46 (0.87,	1.53 (0.82,	0.75 (0.37, 1.52)	0.75 (0.31,	0.55 (0.31,	0.47 (0.24,
	2.44)	2.88)		1.83)	1.00)	0.93)*
Hispanic	1.54 (0.89,	1.56 (0.80,	0.38 (0.15,	0.41 (0.14,	0.79 (0.41,	0.96 (0.46, 1.99)
	2.69)	3.05)	0.93)*	1.23)	1.52)	
Other (ref)						

Risk/gender						
group						
MTF	0.76 (0.36,	0.53 (0.22,	1.25 (0.39, 4.01)	3.62 (0.81,	0.53 (0.23,	0.54 (0.21, 1.36)
	1.60)	1.26)		16.19)	1.20)	
MSM	0.67 (0.37,	0.60 (0.29,	1.33 (0.52, 3.43)	2.29 (0.66,	0.81 (0.41,	0.61 (0.28, 1.34)
	1.19)	1.23)		7.95)	1.59)	
Injection drug	0.75 (0.34,	0.84 (0.33,	1.63 (0.50, 5.28)	2.16 (0.49,	1.15 (0.46,	0.71 (0.25, 2.00)
use	1.66)	2.10)		9.47)	2.93)	
MSF (ref)						
Educational						
attainment						
Did not						
complete high						
school (ref)						
Completed	0.62 (0.41,	0.54 (0.32,	1.52 (0.77, 3.03)	1.33 (0.60,	1.24 (0.76,	1.23 (0.71, 2.12)
high school	0.96)*	0.89)*		2.96)	2.00)	
Annual income						
\$10,000 or						
less (ref)						
Greater than	1.23 (0.81,	1.35 (0.83,	3.15 (1.50,	2.30 (1.01,	1.35 (0.87,	1.44 (0.84, 2.48)
\$10,000	1.88)	2.28)	6.61)**	5.23)*	2.11)	

Health

insurance

No health						
insurance (ref)						
Public health	0.51 (0.32,	0.78 (0.46,	2.57 (1.21,	2.12 (0.91,	1.05 (0.63,	1.06 (0.60, 1.85)
insurance	0.82) **	1.31)	5.57)*	4.92)	1.75)	
Private health	1.02 (0.49,	1.65 (0.74,	7.09 (2.87,	5.59 (2.04,	0.91 (0.42,	0.83 (0.36, 1.91)
insurance	2.09)	3.67)	17.51)***	15.29)**	1.96)	
SF12 MCS	1.01 (0.995,	1.02 (1.00,	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)	0.97 (0.94,	1.03 (1.01,	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)
	1.03)	1.04)		1.00)	1.05)**	

^{* =} p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001

MTF: male to female transgender; MSM: men who have sex with men; MSF: men who have sex with women; MCS: Mental Component Scale

a. The following number of observations were missing these variables: engagement in care (3 observations), HIV-related stigma (31 observations), and social support (3 observations)

Table IV: Multivariable linear regression models describing the relationship between life chaos, social support, and HIV-related stigma with ART adherence among HIV-positive cis-men and transgender women prior to incarceration in LA County Jail $(N = 198)^a$

Variables	Bivariate analyses	Multivariable model	
	b (95% CI)	b (95% CI)	
Intercept		126.91 (72.22, 181.61)***	
Life Chaos	-12.61 (-19.43, -	-8.68 (-16.90, -0.46)*	
	5.78)***		
Social support	2.46 (-1.85, 6.78)	0.11 (-4.46, 4.67)	
HIV Stigma	-8.10(-14.97, -1.23)*	-5.35 (-13.11, 2.41)	
Age	0.26 (-0.29, 0.81)	-0.13 (-0.72, 0.46)	
Race/ethnicity			
Black	-8.48 (-19.15, 2.18)	-11.64 (-26.24, 2.96)	
Hispanic	-9.83 (-24.65, 4.98)	-7.98 (-23.47, 7.51)	
Other (ref)			
Risk/gender group			
MTF	-19.33 (-39.27, 0.60)	-13.35 (-34.43, 7.73)	
MSM	-16.21 (-30.81, -	-17.68 (-34.65,-0.70)*	
	1.61)*		
Injection drug use	-4.28 (-23.76, 15.20)	-6.83 (-27.70, 14.05)	
MSF (ref)			

Educational

attainment

Did not complete
high school (ref)
Completed high 2.22 (-9.31, 13.76) 5.42 (-6.46, 17.30)
school

Income
\$10,000 or less
(ref)
Greater than 1.91 (-9.38, 13.21) -0.97 (-12.59, 10.65)

\$10,000 Health insurance

No health

insurance (ref)

Public insurance -12.53 (-24.37, - -14.28 (-26.09, -2.47)*

0.69)*

Private insurance -6.79 (-24.92, 11.34) -7.53 (-25.76, 10.69)

SF12 MCS 0.54 (0.10, 0.99)* 0.20 (-0.30, 0.69)

MTF: male to female transgender; MSM: men who have sex with men; MSF: men who have sex with women; MCS: Mental Component Scale

a. The following number of observations were missing these variables: ART adherence (90 observations, 24 observations not prescribed ART), HIV-related stigma (31 observations), and social support (3 observations)

^{* =} p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001