

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

### **Title**

DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF PEP DC POWER SYSTEMS

### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3c27b8k9>

### **Author**

Jackson, T.

### **Publication Date**

1981-03-01

Peer reviewed

MASTER

LBL-12476



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA


## Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

Presented at the IEEE 1981 Particle Accelerator  
Conference, Washington, D.C., March 1981

DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF PEP DC-POWER SYSTEMS

Terry Jackson

March 1981



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

## DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF PEP DC POWER SYSTEMS

Terry Jackson

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
1 Cyclotron Road  
Berkeley, California 94720

### Introduction

The PEP Magnet Power Supply System represents a significant departure from previous technology with the goal of improved performance at lower cost. In nineteen of the magnet families around the ring, "Chopper" power supplies are used. The many choppers are powered from two 2 MW DC supplies, and control the average power to the various magnet loads by pulse-width modulation at a 2 kilohertz repetition rate. Each chopper utilizes SCR's for switching, and stores sufficient capacitive energy for turn-off on command. Most of the energy is recirculated, resulting in high-efficiency. The two kilohertz chopping rate allows a one kilohertz unity-gain bandwidth in the current-regulator loop, and this wide bandwidth, coupled with low drift components in the error-detection system, provides a high-performance system. The PEP system has also shown that the chopper system is economical compared to standard multi-pulse controlled-rectifier.

Before going into the Chopper system in more detail, it is appropriate to briefly describe the overall PEP Power Supply system. Additional detail on various aspects of the systems is available in previous conference papers,<sup>1</sup> so only the barest introduction will be given here. There are 240 power supplies in the system, each current regulated to a set-point provided by the digital control system. The supplies fall into three major categories: the Ring, Injection-transport, and Trim and Steering Systems.

### Ring System

The Ring system is made up of the Choppers and the DC supplies that feed them. A simplified schematic is shown in figure 1, the SCR Choppers represented by switches. There are twenty-four of the 500V, 500A choppers, and two of the 500V, 1400A choppers. All of these supplies are located in standard racks at the Region 8 surface-building as shown in figure 2. These supplies provide separately-controlled, current-regulated power to the following families of magnets: one Bend circuit, nine Quadrupole circuits, eight Sextapole circuits, and one Wiggler circuit. These magnet families are all series connected strings of magnets around the 6,575 foot long ring. Those families with more than 12 magnets in series require two choppers in series to achieve the required voltage. There are additionally boost supplies located at regions 12 and 4, one set for the 1400 amp Bend circuit, and the other set for one of the Quadrupole circuits.

Three of the rows of racks in Fig. 2 each contain eight choppers (all the 500A choppers). The row in the middle houses the two 2MW DC power supplies which feed all the choppers. The soft ground (100Ω) for the whole system is located electrically between the two supplies, so that the maximum voltage away from the ground on any magnet circuit is 4600 volts in

normal operation. The two 1400 amp Bend choppers are located next to their respective + and - DC supplies, and fed from the output busses (located at the top of the DC supplies) through low inductance (0.0μH), parallel bus feeds. The rest of the 500A choppers are fed from the output busses through 4/0 quadraflex cables run through cable trays above the racks.

At the aisle-end of the row of racks containing the DC supplies and 1400A choppers, there are two racks housing all control equipment for the chopper system (Fig. 3). This equipment includes the following: CAMAC crates for input/output communication with the PEP Central Control Computer, CAMAC crates containing all the Chopper Controller, CAMAC multiplexer and Digital Voltmeter for sampling current levels in all the monitoring transducers (also the levels of the Loop transducers and references if necessary), cross-connect relay modules, and appropriate power supplies. There are up to eight Chopper Controller Cards per CAMAC crate. Each card contains a complete chopper control system from the input 16 bit reference word, clock signal, and feedback transducer signal, to the output firing pulses for the chopper and commutator SCR's (turn-on and turn-off pulses) of the associated chopper unit. Each of the pulses is conveyed from the short (upper) edge-connector of the CAMAC-card through a 50 Ω coaxial cable-connector system to a pulse transformer (insulated for 1600V) mounted in a shielded-box on the chopper unit.

There is a Chopper Crate-Controller card in each of the four CAMAC crates which distributes both digital command words and appropriately phased clock signals to each of the chopper cards. The clock signals are phased so as to minimize the rms current flowing in the large capacitor bands on the output of each DC feeder supply. The clock card outputs signals on four plugs which can be cabled to any of the Crate-Controller cards. The clock (which is in a separate card) can be either free-run with the frequency adjustable via a front-panel potentiometer, or line-frequency-locked through a phase-locked loop. The VCO generates 26 kHz which is then counted-down to give the various phased-clock signals.

### Injection-Transport System

Power supplies for the transport magnets are located in three locations: at Sector 30 of the Linac building, where the North and South Injection Transport tunnels veer off from the linear accelerator for the PEP ring, and at the surface buildings at Regions 8 and 10, where the tunnels join the ring. The bulk of the power supplies are located in Sector 30, feeding the separately controlled but identical achromatic Bend families and Quadrupole magnet families in both tunnels. The remaining Bend, Quadrupole, and Bump magnets are fed from supplies in Regions 8 and 10. All of these supplies are 6 pulse, AC-line commutated SCR circuits purchased commercially. The requirements of these circuits are currents regulated to  $\pm 0.1\%$ , compared to  $\pm 0.01\%$  for the main-ring chopper systems.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48.

This system is by far the most numerous of these employed at PEP, with 170 units installed in all the surface buildings and Sector 30. Most of the units are used for bipolar trim-and-steering applications with a rating of 437V at 60A but some are used as unipolar 120A units and others as 30A shunts around Bend magnets in the injection-magnet families. These units are used as power sources in conjunction with 42V, 1000A power supplies. The power supply is located in the bottom of a double rack, and up to 24 actuators are mounted above it and plug into feeder busses extending up the center of the double rack. There are nine of these double racks used at PEP. The reference signals for both these units and the injection comes from a CAMAC crate located nearby in an adjacent rack. The analog signals are developed in 12 bit D/A's in the CAMAC crate and processed through a Distribution chassis. With this brief description of the PEP system, the rest of the paper will concentrate on the chopper system.

#### The Chopper as a System Component

As stated in the introduction, the dual promises of high performance and economy fueled interest in using choppers as the controlling element in the PEP magnet-power-supply system. Both of these goals have been possible because of the particular nature of the load placed on the supplies and the favorable logistical nature of the PEP magnet system. Neither of these aspects are unique to the PEP system, and therefore choppers should see application beyond those now in use at PEP.

Early measurements on the first prototype PEP Bend and Quadrupole magnets in 1976 confirmed that the magnets were effective filters between applied AC voltages and their corresponding currents. Subsequent measurement have shown that with the additional shielding of the aluminum vacuum pipe, the attenuation of all frequency terms from a chopper running at 2.16 kHz is sufficient to reduce the magnetic field variation to less than  $\pm 0.01$  in the bend magnets. The field measurements were made with a vacuum pipe and B coil that extended well beyond the end of the magnet coil, so as to measure any contribution of the stray field at the ends of the magnet. The magnets are laminated because of the construction technique used in their fabrication, but individual laminations were not coated, so there was no guarantee they would be wideband units. With this type of magnet as a load the high-frequency operation of the chopper either eliminates or minimizes the need for filtering the output voltage of the power supply.

With a chopper there is no firing circuit unbalance to introduce subharmonics as in a 6 or 12 pulse controlled rectifier. Running at 2.16 kHz the chopper current-loop unity-gain bandwidth can be as high as 1 kHz. The chopper therefore has substantial gain for any low-frequency voltages coming from the rectifiers. The one kHz unity-gain bandwidth of the chopper also provides relatively fast transient response to changes in the reference and reduction of line perturbations. Closing the loop at a frequency within a factor of two of the repetition frequency is generally not possible with line-commutated, multi-phase firing-circuits because oscillations develop at the sub-harmonic frequencies. The wide bandwidth of the chopper coupled with currently available operational amplifiers of very low drift and noise, provide the means for  $\pm 0.01\%$  current regulation with 1 kHz bandwidth in the megawatt power range with better than 95% efficiency.

The economics of the PEP system are based on the fact that the beam cannot be stored in the ring unless all the families of magnets are operating and performing satisfactorily. Therefore, if any of the power supplies are not operating correctly or there is a trip-off in any one of them, it is permissible that all the power supplies be off. This commonality of demand on the supplies allows a similar commonality to exist on the feeders coming to the chopper power supplies: all the choppers are fed in common from either the positive or negative DC supplies, with no switchgear interposed between the DC supplies and the chopper controllers. The 2.6 MW DC supplies in turn are each fed from 2.5 MVA, 13.7480V transformers, located outside the building, and with secondary breakers at the transformer pad and at the 2500A feeder entrance to the building.

These two standard distribution transformers and their related breakers at the pad and the building entrance are the only transformers and switchgear in the system, and they would have been provided as part of the normal AC utility-distribution system to the power-supply area independent of what kind of power supplies were used. So the cost of transformers and switchgear is eliminated from the power-supply expense. The circuit breakers employed operate as ON-OFF contactors as well as circuit breakers. There is a fast-acting current-limiting fuse on the incoming lead that is not at common on each of the choppers, which acts if the chopper internal fast-turn-off does not commutate off a fault current.

The cost of the choppers under the condition described above is as follows (with DC busses):

(1) Cost of 500 V, 500 A chopper	\$10,600 (\$42/KW)
(2) Cost of 500 V, 1400 A chopper	\$12,700 (\$18/KW)
(3) Cost of 2MW DC feeder supplies	\$54,000 (\$27/KW)

These figures are not estimated figures, but represent the totals accumulated in the three accounts during the construction of PEP. The grand total of \$441,500 in the Chopper and DC supply accounts represents the costs for twentyfour small and two large choppers, the two DC feeder supplies at Region 8, and additionally the two 840 kW Bend Booster supplies at Regions 12 and 4. The chopper costs include the cost of the separate monitoring and loop transducers used in each chopper, and all the chopper controller cards, clock cards, crate controller cards, and modified CAMAC crates that make-up the controlling system. Installation costs are not available because they are part of a larger Electrical Construction contract performed by a private contractor.

The maximum power capacity of all the choppers just described plus the two bend boost supplies at regions 12 and 4 is 9MW, whereas the maximum power required by all the ring magnet families at 18 GeV beam energy level is only 5MW. The sum of all the DC supplies at Regions 8, 12, and 4 is 5.7 MW; this number more closely matches the maximum power required. The discrepancy occurs because many of the sextupole and quadrupole circuits require less than the maximum voltage and current available from the choppers. The cost of the chopper units is optimized at the given current and voltage values based on the ratings of the fast turn-off SCR used (the Westcode R220). Therefore unless a future application could be matched closely to the chopper ratings the cost per KW figures are not directly applicable. A more accurate comparison for the specific PEP case is to

take the total construction cost of \$442K against the \$5M, for a figure of 88B/KV.

The 1400A chopper is much more economical than the 600A unit because to raise the current rating of the chopper unit from 600A to 1400A basically requires only the addition of a second SCR of equivalent size to that already in operation, increasing number of 5µF commutating capacitors from 3 to 10, and increasing the current capability of the bussing as required. Additional cooling air on the capacitors and snubbing were also required. But the great bulk of the cost in the rack fabrication, transistor and chopper controller remained essentially the same.

#### Performance and Operation

The choppers have performed their function as ±0.01% current regulators up to highest expectations. The current-monitoring multiplexed Digital Voltmeter, a Hewlett Packard 3455, shows performance better than the ±0.01% required. At higher performance levels than ±0.01%, both the monitoring and loop transducers have to be called into question, particularly with respect to line voltage variations.

The choppers have also operated reliably; most of down-time during the first year of operation has been from other parts of the power supply system. Two types of chopper hardware failures have occurred, and both of these only two or three times. The snubber-capacitor across the free-wheeling diode has failed, which then causes the diode to fail and possibly the chopper SCR to fail also. A different capacitor will soon be installed to correct this problem. The water-cooled resistor has also failed due to localized heating of the carborundum resistor near the ends. A new means of connection is now being tested.

In the larger chopper-power-supply system there have been two sources of operational difficulty: erratic operation of the 2MW DC power supplies, and erroneous digital-word reception at some of the chopper DACs. The most recent difficulty (in February) was the failure of one of the 2.5MVA distribution transformers feeding a DC supply; one of the 13KV leads was not securely connected internally to a stud on the transformer, and arced in the oil until a phase-to-phase short was initiated. This transformer arcing intermittently may account for some of the power supply difficulties over the past year, but it seems clear that the firing circuit is unreasonably sensitive both to line voltage noise and the characteristics of a flip-flop used in the zero-crossing part of the circuit. The SCR-bridge and firing circuit, both mounted on a large panel, were purchased from Research, Inc. (RI), as a package, and subsequently mounted in a double-rack along with the LC filter, bussing, shorting-bars, etc., to become one of the four DC supplies used. Because the supplies are not used as current regulators, but as low-performance bus-supplies for the choppers and slave-supplies in the Bend circuit, using only one zero-crossing per cycle was not a problem. The fast turn-off under fault (sensed by current-transformer), and advertised insensitivity to line-voltage noise were desirable features. The firing circuits turned out to be very sensitive to the line voltage when operating above 1MW, and during the very-short P.S. check-out period (two weeks before PEP operation), were a source of grave concern. After various filtering schemes were unsuccessful, phasing the units from a separate feeder was finally adopted and is still in use.

During the initial P.S. checkout period, and

given the substantial difficulties with the DC supplies, it was very encouraging that the choppers were well-behaved and exhibited no problems of interaction due to running in parallel and series, or false triggering due to noise from other choppers. Two choppers had been run in parallel during the development program at LBL, but the series operation had never been attempted. A situation where noise of some kind is involved has arisen at 14.5 GeV operation during the "filling" operation of the ring where the Injection Bump magnets are ramped. In performing this operation on a non-chopper supply, the computer also sends "refresh" words to the choppers. In one group of choppers whose controllers are located in a particular CAMAC crate one chopper would randomly receive an incorrect reference word for a short period and cause the beam to "dump", and the "glitch" detector to indicate on the responsible chopper. The problem proved to be insensitive to the components involved, and more careful grounding of the two control racks seems to have solved the problem at the 14.5 GeV operation level, but why just one crate was involved is still a mystery.

Electromagnetic noise also appeared on the output of sensitive spark-chamber amplifiers in the experimental-hall at Interaction Region 8. The addition of air core chokes to both sides of the chopper outputs reduced the rise-time of the voltage output and reduced the noise below the detector threshold level. Similar noise problems for the experimenters at regions 4 and 12 from the Bend Booster supplies in those regions were solved by activating the LC filters already existing in the supplies.

#### Chopper and System Design

There is one aspect of the Chopper System design that is very closely tied to both operations and Chopper design: the output voltage range of the chopper. There are a variety of possible chopper circuit configurations to perform the basic function of turning-off the output voltage ( $V_0$ ) on command. Of these various circuits only two will be discussed here: the current-commutated (Fig. 4 and 5) and the voltage-commutated circuits<sup>2</sup>. The current-commutated-circuit (CCC) turns off the ON series SCR (labeled CRI) by circulating current through the reverse diode DI across CRI during the second-half-cycle of the ringing period of the high-Q resonant circuit (the first-half-cycle is through initiating CR3). The forward-voltage of DI reverses biases CRI during the time when the ringing current is greater than the load current ( $I_L$ ): this time must be greater than the turn-off time ( $t_{off}$ ) of the SCR at maximum  $I_L$ . The CCC has the advantage of being very efficient (because of the total cycle of ringing voltage), and generating relatively low reverse-recovery voltages; coupled with the disadvantage of having a minimum output voltage determined by the ratio of the ringing period of the LC circuit to the total period of the cycle of operation (the input and output are connected during the ringing period).

$$V_{min} = (T_{LC}/T_{per}) V_B = (\text{rep rate}/f_0) V_B \quad (1)$$

The voltage-commutated circuit (VCC) has the advantage of providing full-range voltage control, counterbalanced by a propensity for large voltage spikes appearing across the commutating SCR during reverse-recovery. The voltage spike, even though below the rating of the SCR, occurring immediately following high-current conduction in the SCR, causes

high failure rate. Independent of which commutating circuit is used, there is a minimum allowable inductance that must effectively appear in series with the chopper SCR. This inductance is necessary to control the rate-of-change of current with time (1) upon turn-on of the chopper SCR.

$$L_{min} = V_B / I \quad (2)$$

The VCC was the initial choice to satisfy the early PEP requirements of 300V at 1200A for the Bend magnet circuit. The prototype chopper worked well at this supply voltage level, because the sum of  $V_B$  and the transient voltage was no more than 800V on 900V rated SCR's with moderate snubbers. When the magnet design changed and 600V operation was more appropriate, the reduction of transient voltages to acceptable levels became more difficult and costly and put far more uncertainty on long-term reliability. A quick circuit reconfiguration to current commutation was done, and immediate successful operation of the circuit, simplicity of operation, and easing of the transient problems resulted. It was then determined that the range requirements of the circuits could be satisfied with the chopper minimum of  $V_B = 0.15 V_B$ , where  $V_B$  varies above 100V proportional to beam energy. The SCR Bus supplies were originally chosen over diode-bridges primarily because of the quick turn-off feature and low cost (\$8/KW) of the Research, Inc. packaged controller. But now the controlled-output capabilities would also be used to follow a programmed input.

On the basis of the variable-voltage DC bus operation, the non-minimum output, current-commutated chopper was adopted for PEP. Since achieving operating status the minimum output of selected sextupole choppers has been lowered from 14% to 2% by running them from a 360 hertz clock rather than the 2.16 khz clock used on the rest of the choppers. The decision to adopt the CCC has been justified by the excellent reliability the choppers have shown in service, but unfortunately leaves unanswered what additional development and cost the wider-range VCC would incur to achieve reliability.

Designing the current-commutated-chopper does not involve changing any of the basic circuit elements shown in Fig. 5, and therefore only the L, C, R, and  $L_B$  values are available as variables. The R is the least useful of the variables, serving to reduce the "ring-up" value of  $V_C$  above  $V_B$  during the period between commutation. If a satisfactory design can be achieved without R, it can be eliminated, resulting in a more efficient design. An additional variable not shown in Fig. 5 is the effective Q of the LC circuit. Selection of component values involves more than a simple LC circuit because of the final part of each commutation cycle. After D1 has carried the ringing current  $I_C$  that is greater than  $I_L$  for a long enough period to allow CR1 to recover, D3 stops conducting and  $I_L$  flows through C and L. Shortly after, when  $V_C$  becomes greater than  $V_B$ , the free-wheeling diode D3 starts conducting, and the capacitor voltage  $V_C$  increase in magnitude by:

$$\Delta V_C = I_C / \omega C \quad (3)$$

during the  $\pi/2$  period that  $I_L$  commutates to D3. In the pictures shown in Fig. 5, conditions are such that D1 ceases conducting and D3 starts conducting at the same instant. The inductance of the bus,  $L_B$ , enters into the circuit during this last part of commutation, and enters Eq. 3 through  $\omega = [(L_1 + L_C)]^{-1/2}$ .

Depending on the parameters involved in each application, the ringup voltage  $\Delta V_C$  can be a help

or a hindrance. The limiting parameter for the PEP choppers turns out to be a maximum allowable capacitor voltage of 750V at the start of the commutation. Although specified for 1000V operation in this circuit, long-term failures occur due to thermal effects when the value of  $V_C$  exceeds 750V. With the capacitor voltage limitation, the DC bus voltage is not allowed to exceed 600V, where all the 18 GeV current requirements can be met. An opposite example, where the ringup voltage is desired, is in a group of choppers used for PEP experimenter magnets, where the  $V_B = 120V$ , and the  $\Delta V_C = 339V$ . The Bend, Quadrupole, and Experimenter choppers are listed in Table 1 with the appropriate parameters as three design examples.

Previous to discovering the long-term failure-mode in the commutating capacitors at approx.  $E_{pk} = 700V$ , the circuit was run to  $E_{pk} = 900V$ , with the R220 used for CR3 rated at 1200V. The R220 has a  $T_{off} = 15 \mu sec$  for voltage commutation, and a  $T_{off} = 17 \mu sec$  rating for current commutation (only 1 volt reverse voltage applied). Used also for CR1, the R220 with a 1000A<sub>rms</sub> rating is used singly for the lower current choppers, and doubly with alternate pulse firing for the 1400A choppers. The 250KW and 700KW ratings on the choppers are because of the capacitor voltage limitation (otherwise 360KW and 840KW are appropriate at  $V_B = 600V$ ).

Because the capacitor ringup voltage,  $\Delta V_C$ , affects the peak ringing current and therefore the  $T_{off}$  applied to CR1, the load current  $I_L$  has to rise with a  $\tau \gg T$  period, to allow  $V_{Cpk}$  to build-up in step with  $I_L$ . This condition is satisfied with inductive loads. With series choppers, both choppers have to be enabled simultaneously and the same buildup occurs in both units. If one of two series units is turned on first, the current from the first will flow through the free-wheeling diode D3 of the second unit until it is turned on. At the time the second unit is fired, if the  $I_L$  has reached a current higher than that which can be successfully commutated with just  $V_B$  stored on the commutating capacitor, the second unit will "latch-up" at full output.

To determine the chopper system efficiency, both the DC supplies, the bus and the chopper losses must be included. Without transformer losses to consider as part of the power supply, the rectifier itself loses less than 1 percent of rated power. The combination of bus and chopper losses are also less than 1 percent, so the overall efficiency should be 98 percent.

### Conclusion

The chopper system provides a new approach to high-performance magnet-power-supply systems. Its performance and efficiency are superior and cost less than comparable size transformer-controlled-rectifier supplies. The problem of radiated EMI noise to the surrounding environment has to be reduced with choppers just as with 6 pulse supplies. Ripple in the magnetic field is lower if the magnet is laminated through high chopping rates. Minimum output-voltage requirements must be considered in the choice of the chopper circuit used. Two areas are particularly appropriate for chopper application: (1) large power supply systems with a common DC supply where the system using the power is disabled whenever any individual chopper must be shut-down, and (2) retrofitted on existing supplies where higher performance is required.

Table 1: Parameters of the Three Chopper Designs now in use at PEP

Chopper	$I_{Lm}$ (A)	$V_B$ (V)	$L$ ( $\mu$ H)	$L_B$ ( $\mu$ H)	$C$ ( $\mu$ fd)	$\omega$ ( $\times 10^{-3}$ )	$\omega_1$ ( $\times 10^{-3}$ )	$Z_0$ ( $\Omega$ )	$\Delta E_C$ (V)	$V_{cpk1}$ (V)	$V_{c2}$ (V)	$I_{pk}$ (A)	$t_{off}$ ( $\mu$ s)
Bend	1400	500	2	0.8	50	100	85	0.2	328	828	680	3059	21.9
Quad	500	500	7	3	15	97	82	0.68	250	813	601	795	18
Exptl.	600	120	5	3	25	89	71	0.45	339	459	459	920	19.2

Note:  $\omega_1 = [(L + L_B)C]^{-1/2}$ ,  $\Delta E_C = I_C / \omega_1 C$ ,  $\omega_0 = (LC)^{-1/2}$ ,  $Z_0 = (L/C)^{1/2}$ ,  
 $V_{cpk1} = V_B + \Delta E_C = \text{peak } V_C \text{ at end of ringup}$ ,  
 $V_{c2} = V_{cpk1} e^{-t/RC} = V_C \text{ at beginning of commutation (with } R_B = 50\Omega, R_Q = 100\Omega)$ ,  
 $I_{pk2} = 0.9 V_{c2} / Z_0 = \text{peak ringing current of 2nd half-cycle with } Q = 25$ ,  
 $t_{off} = (90 - \sin^{-1} I_L / I_{pk2}) (90)^{-1} (\pi / \omega_0) = \text{time of ringing current above } I_L$ .

Acknowledgements

There are two separate groups that deserve praise for their efforts on this project. First the PEP power-supply group at LBL, for their long-term effort in bringing the various systems through the development, prototype, production, and finally checkout phase of the project. And then at SLAC the Experimental-Facilities Power-Supply Group under the able leadership of Slim Harris, and the Linac Operations Group, for all their efforts in bringing the equipment "on the air" and maintaining it since in the Injection-Transport and the Ring Systems.

Bibliography

1. Jackson, L.T., and Flood, W.S., "Hardware Implementation of PEP Chopper Magnet Power Supply System", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS 26 (3), pp. 4072-4074, 1979.
2. Dewan, S.B., and Straughan, A., "Power Semiconductor Circuits", Wiley, N.Y., 1975, pp. 298-334.



Fig. 2. Region B Chopper Racks Fig. 3. Control Racks




Fig. 1 PEP Chopper System Functional Schematic




Fig. 4 500A Chopper Module (hoses removed for clarity)





Fig. 5. Current-commutated Chopper Circuit