UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Averting a North American biodiversity crisis

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3bn651f5

Journal

Science, 349(6247)

ISSN

0036-8075

Authors

Yap, Tiffany A Koo, Michelle S Ambrose, Richard F et al.

Publication Date

2015-07-31

DOI

10.1126/science.aab1052

Peer reviewed

Averting a North American biodiversity crisis

A newly described pathogen poses a major threat to salamanders via trade

By Tiffany A. Yap¹²³, Michelle S. Koo², Richard F. Ambrose¹⁴, David B. Wake²⁵, Vance T. Vredenburg^{23*}

n the midst of an ongoing sixth mass extinction (1), more than 40% of all amphibians are threatened (2). Chytridiomycosis, an emerging infectious disease (EID) caused by the fungal pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), has been more devastating than any infectious wildlife disease recorded, with >200

POLICY

amphibian species collapsing to or near extinction (3). Recently, a new infectious chytrid fungal

pathogen from Asia and specific to salamanders (4), Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans (Bsal), has been described (5). With no effective means to control spread of Bsal once it is established in wild host populations, Bsal invasion of North America could lead to rapid epizootic (wildlife epidemic) declines and extinctions in the world's richest and most diverse salamander fauna. We demonstrate the likelihood of Bsal introduction to North America via international trade, the likelihood of species being exposed to Bsal, and the potential impact of species exposure to Bsal. This presents a unique opportunity for wildlife management officials and the international amphibian trade community to prevent the spread of this deadly pathogen and to develop and implement rapid risk assessments and international responses to EIDs in wildlife.

This highly virulent *Bsal* pathogen was discovered in the Netherlands during a mass die-off in European fire salamanders (4, 5). Martel et al. (4) proposed that Bsal originated in Asia and spread to wild European salamanders via the international salamander pet trade. They warned that

¹Institute of the Environment and Sustainability, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 2Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. ³Department of Biology, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁴Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. *E-mail: vancev@sfsu.edu


Bsal represents a substantial threat to salamanders in other regions (e.g., North America) that may also be naïve to the pathogen. Furthermore, they identified three actively traded Asian salamander species as reservoirs for Bsal: Cynops cyanurus, Cynops pyrrhogaster, and Paramesotriton deloustali.

Bsal has already spread from the Netherlands to Belgium (4), and there is evidence of its spread in international trade (6). The introduction of Bsal to naïve areas could lead to major salamander declines, which would

have severe ecosystem impacts. In woodland communities, salamanders represent a substantial portion of vertebrate biomass and play key roles in trophic dynamics and the carbon cycle (7).

NORTH AMERICAN THREAT. North America is the world's salamander biodiversity hotspot with 48% of 676 recognized salamander species representing 9 of the 10 known families within the order Caudata (190 species in the United States, 137 in Mexico, and 21 in Canada) (8). Bsal has not been reported in North America, although few studies have been published in the short time since Bsal was described (9, 10).

We combined projections from a Bsal habitat suitability model (HSM) (see the map, top left) (Fig. 1A) with a host speciesrichness map (see the map, top right) (Fig. 1B) to create a predictive model of host vulnerability (see the map, bottom) (Fig. 1C). We assumed host risk to be higher in areas of greater salamander species richness. Because the true niche of Bsal is unknown, we



Mapping the threat of Bsal to North American salamanders. (A) Bsal habitat suitability model based on 133 carrier occurrences and six bioclimatic variables. (B) Salamander species-richness map. (C) Salamander Bsal vulnerability model. Major ports (black squares) for salamander imports follow the table (22). Port 6 represents Mexico City.

estimated occurrences on the basis of native ranges of the three putative *Bsal* reservoir species in Asia (fig. S1). We identified three zones of high risk in North America (see the map, bottom): southeastern United States (the southern extent of the Appalachian Mountains and neighboring southeast region), western United States (the Pacific Northwest and the Sierra Nevada), and the highlands of central Mexico (portions of the Sierra Madre Oriental and the Trans-Mexican volcanic belt).

The U.S. vulnerability zones contain numerous species from the two most *Bsal*-susceptible families, Plethodontidae and Salamandridae (4). North American newts (Family Salamandridae), which exhibited high levels of infection and 100% mortality (5), have large, vagile populations important in both terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, and they may act as superspreaders of infectious disease by greatly increasing *Bsal* transmission in these regions (11). The Mexican vulnerability zone, located in an area of high beta diversity and endemism, has already been experiencing severe salamander declines (12, 13).

RISK IN TRADE. Risk of *Bsal* invasion is compounded by the magnitude of international salamander trade. We examined the most recent 5 years (2010–2014) of live salamander trade entering the United States and identified the most active ports of entry using total gross imports (779,002 salamanders). About 99% originated from Asia, and 98% were species native to Asia (see the table). The major sources were Hong Kong, mainland China, Singapore, and Japan. Alarmingly, species in the genera *Cynops* and *Paramesotriton*, which included all three putative *Bsal* reservoirs (4), made up 91% of all salamander imports.

The five most-active U.S. ports were located within or near the predicted salamander vulnerability zones (see the map, bottom) and accounted for more than 98% of all U.S. salamander imports. Trade data from Canada and Mexico were not analyzed; however, Mexico City is a likely port of entry and is located within a predicted salamander high-vulnerability area.

Bsal is highly transmissible by direct contact (4); therefore, shipments containing cohoused animals and shared water increase the potential for pathogen spread. Given the magnitude of international salamander

Major U.S. ports for salamander imports

PORT	Bsal THREAT	NON-Bsal THREAT	ALL SHIPMENTS
1 Los Angeles, CA	418,692	1,198	419,890
2 Tampa, FL	272,338	1,140	273,478
3 New York, NY	55,441	70	55,511
4 Atlanta, GA	13,272	40	13,312
5 San Francisco, CA	3,164	6,459	9,623
Total (top 5 U.S. ports)	762,907	8,907	771,814
All U.S. ports combined	768,572	10,430	779,002

Imported salamanders were considered a *Bsal* threat if they have native ranges in Asia or were in shipments that passed through Asian ports before entering the United States. Those not considered a *Bsal* threat are not native to Asia and never passed through an Asian port before entering the United States. All values are number of salamanders (*22*).

trade originating from Asia and containing potential *Bsal* reservoirs, the risk of *Bsal* introduction to North America is high.

MITIGATION AND RESPONSE. Species declines and extinctions due to wildlife EIDs and the lack of rapid responses (14, 15) warrant immediate action to mitigate the spread of Bsal. Preventive action is far more cost-effective than emergency responses to disease outbreaks due to pathogen spread (16), and potentially irreversible environmental consequences could be avoided (17). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service can play a pivotal role in mitigating the threat of Bsal spread by placing an immediate ban on live salamander imports until effective EID prevention and management protocols are in place.

Immediate action in the United States, although critically important, is not sufficient. Because of globalization and human-mediated movements, an international infrastructure that facilitates rapid responses—similar to that of the World Health Organization (WHO) for human disease—is required to mitigate the spread of EIDs in wildlife (14).

Although the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) provide guidelines for animal disease and the trade of endangered species, respectively, membership is voluntary, systematic record-keeping is limited, and wildlife EIDs are not prioritized. These institutions should work with government agencies and experts in disease ecology and animal trade to implement an international effort for surveillance, research, and management actions in an adaptive management framework for effective wildlife EID intervention (16). To enhance global biosecurity and to help prevent the spread of Bsal and other wildlife EIDs, a mandatory international system that will track all traded species, identify potential wildlife EID threats, and develop and facilitate emergency protocols is needed (18).

Immediate efforts are required to monitor zones of salamander *Bsal* high vulnerability (see the map, bottom). New studies on the basic biology of *Bsal* and on host-pathogen dynamics should also be a priority. Future studies should incorporate new data on transmission, susceptibility, and other potentially influential variables (e.g., species life-history

traits, host microbiome, or co-occurring pathogens) to better understand the complex disease system. In the interim, the trade industry should take preventive measures from protocols that have been developed for the detection of Bsal (19) and the treatment of infected individuals (20, 21).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- D. B. Wake, V. T. Vredenburg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105 (suppl. 1), 11466 (2008).
- S. N. Stuart et al., Science 306, 1783 (2004).
- 3. L.F. Skerratt *et al.*, *EcoHealth* **4**,125 (2007).
- 4. A. Martel et al., Science **346**, 630 (2014).
- A. Martel et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 15325 (2013).
- 6. A. A. Cunningham et al., Vet. Rec. 176, 468 (2015).
- 7. M. L. Best, H. H. Welsh Jr., Ecosphere **5**, art16 (2014).
- AmphibiaWeb, Information on amphibian biology and conservation (2015); http://amphibiaweb.org/.
- C. Muletz, N. M. Caruso, R. C. Fleischer, R. W. McDiarmid, K. R. Lips, *PLOS ONE* 9, e103728 (2014).
- 10. E. K. Bales et al., PLOS ONE 10, e0116405 (2015)
- J. O. Lloyd-Smith, S. J. Schreiber, P. E. Kopp, W. M. Getz, Nature 438, 355 (2005).
- 12. K.R. Lips et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **103**, 3165 (2006).
- T. L. Cheng, S. M. Rovito, D. B. Wake, V. T. Vredenburg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. A. 108, 9502 (2011).
- 14. J. Voyles et al., EcoHealth 11, 2008 (2014).
- D. M. Tompkins, S. Carver, M. E. Jones, M. Krkošek, L. F. Skerratt, Trends Parasitol. 31, 149 (2015).
- 16. K. E. Langwig et al., Front. Ecol. Environ 13, 195 (2015)
- 17. K. A. Oye et al., Science **345**, 6 (2014).
- H.-K. Chan, H. Zhang, F. Yang, G. Fischer, Science 348, 291 (2015).
- 19. M. Blooi et al., J. Clin. Microbiol. 51, 4173 (2013).
- 20. M. Blooi et al., Sci. Rep 5, 1 (2015).
- 21. M. Blooi et al., Sci. Rep. 5, 11788 (2015).
- Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on Science Online.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by UCLA-Charles F. Scott Fellowship (T.A.Y.) and NSF IOS-1258133 (V.T.Y.). We thank S. M. Rovito for Mexican species occurrence data and the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology at University of California, Berkeley, for technical support. Original data are archived at AmphibaWeb, VertNet, the International Union for Conservation of Nature, and WorldClim, and we thank the participating organizations and collections for sharing their data. We also thank the anonymous reviewers.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencemag.org/content/349/6247/481/suppl/DC1

10.1126/science.aab1052