

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Competencies: a cure for pre-med curriculum.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/38f1s910>

Journal

Science (New York, N.Y.), 334(6057)

ISSN

1095-9203

Authors

Anderson, Winston A
Amasino, Richard M
Ares, Manuel, Jr
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2011-11-11

Peer reviewed



LETTERS

edited by Jennifer Sills

Editorial Expression of Concern

THE REPORT “COPING WITH CHAOS: HOW DISORDERED CONTEXTS PROMOTE STEREOTYPING AND discrimination” by D. A. Stapel and S. Lindenberg (1) reported the effects of the physical environment on human stereotyping and discriminatory behavior. On 31 October 2011, Tilburg University held a press conference to announce interim findings of its investigation into possible data fraud in the body of work published by Stapel. The official report in Dutch (translated into English using Google software) indicates that the extent of the fraud by Stapel is substantial. Pending further details of the Tilburg Committee’s findings, *Science* is publishing this Editorial Expression of Concern to alert our readers that serious concerns have been raised about the validity of the findings in this Report.

BRUCE ALBERTS
Editor-in-Chief

Reference

1. D. A. Stapel, S. Lindenberg, *Science* **332**, 251 (2011).

Published online 1 November 2011; 10.1126/science.1216027

Competencies: A Cure for Pre-Med Curriculum

IN 2009, THE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN Medical Colleges (AAMC), in collaboration with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI), reviewed the educational prerequisites for admission to medical school in the United States. Because a large fraction of undergraduate students enroll in science courses to meet the requirements for admission to medical school, courses satisfying these requirements dominate the undergraduate science curriculum. The prescribed course structure has impeded educational innovation, particularly the development of new, multidisciplinary courses.

To address this situation, the AAMC-HHMI report (1) recommends that scientific competencies replace specific courses as requirements for medical school admissions. They recommend that students “demonstrate both knowledge of and ability to use basic principles of mathematics and statistics, physics, chemistry, biochemistry, and biology needed for the application of the sciences to human health and disease; demon-

strate observational and analytical skills and the ability to apply those skills and principles to biological situations.” The report articulates eight competencies in the areas of scientific knowledge and reasoning and provides learning objectives with examples in each of these areas, independent of the identity of the specific courses taken to achieve these competencies. In March 2011, a committee of the AAMC released preliminary recommendations (2) for changes to the Medical College Admission Test based on this report, with planned implementation in 2015.

We are HHMI professors who share the goal of promoting excellence in science education through the development of novel

approaches to science teaching, curricular design, and mentoring. We strongly endorse the recommendation for transition to a competency-based curriculum for pre-medical education. There is room for discussion about which specific competencies should be included, and there is a need to ensure that curricular changes do not dilute course content, but we foresee that this innovation will have a substantial positive impact toward the invigoration of undergraduate education in science, math, and engineering. Specifically, it will simplify the development and implementation of course offerings within and between traditional disciplines as well as facilitate greater curricular innovation by science departments and multidisciplinary programs. Adoption of these reforms will provide enhanced opportunities to introduce curricular innovations that match the particular strengths of individual institutions and stimulate a widespread discussion of creative advancements in undergraduate education.

Now is the time for science faculty to convene to reconsider what all future scientists (not just medical doctors) should know and how that material should be taught in their institutions. We encourage discussions within and between science departments of curricular revisions that take advantage of this enhanced flexibility in keeping with the competencies recommended by the HHMI-AAMC report.

- WINSTON A. ANDERSON,¹ RICHARD M. AMASINO,² MANUEL ARES JR.,³ UTPAL BANERJEE,⁴ BONNIE BARTEL,⁵ VICTOR G. CORCES,⁶ CATHERINE L. DRENNAN,⁷ SARAH C. R. ELGIN,⁸ IRVING R. EPSTEIN,⁹ ELLEN FANNING,¹⁰ LOUIS J.



CREDIT: ISTOCKPHOTOS

Downloaded from www.sciencemag.org on August 8, 2014



Cycad evolution

766



Mosquito intervention

771

GUILLETTE JR.,¹¹ JO HANDELSMAN,¹² GRAHAM F. HATFULL,¹³ RONALD RAYMOND HOY,¹⁴ DARCY KELLEY,¹⁵ LESLIE A. LEINWAND,¹⁶ RICHARD LOSICK,¹⁷ YI LU,¹⁸ DAVID G. LYNN,^{6,19} CLAUDIA NEUHAUSER,²⁰ DIANE K. O'DOWD,²¹ TOTO OLIVERA,²² PAVEL PEVZNER,²³ REBECCA R. RICHARDS-KORTUM,²⁴ JASPER RINE,²⁵ ROBERT L. SAH,²⁶ SCOTT A. STROBEL,^{27*} GRAHAM C. WALKER,²⁸ DAVID R. WALT,²⁹ ISIAH M. WARNER,³⁰ SUE WESSLER,³¹ HUNTINGTON F. WILLARD,³² RICHARD N. ZARE³³

¹Department of Biology, Howard University, Washington, DC 20059, USA. ²Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI 53706–1544, USA. ³Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA. ⁴Department of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095–1606, USA. ⁵Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. ⁶Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. ⁷Departments of Chemistry and Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. ⁸Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130–4899, USA. ⁹Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454–9110, USA. ¹⁰Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Box 351634, Station B, Nashville, TN 37240, USA. ¹¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, USA. ¹²Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ¹³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA. ¹⁴Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Seeley Mudd, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA. ¹⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA. ¹⁶Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309–0347, USA. ¹⁷Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Biological Laboratories, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. ¹⁸Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. ¹⁹Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. ²⁰Vice Chancellor, University of Minnesota Rochester, Rochester, MN 55904, USA. ²¹Department of Developmental and Cell Biology, University of California, Irvine, School of Medicine, Irvine, CA 92697–1280, USA. ²²Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112–0840, USA. ²³Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093–0404, USA. ²⁴Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. ²⁵Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720–3220, USA. ²⁶Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093–0412, USA. ²⁷Departments of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry and Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520–8114, USA. ²⁸Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. ²⁹Department of Chemistry, Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155, USA. ³⁰Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University and A & M College, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. ³¹Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA.

³²Duke Institute for Genome Sciences and Policy, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA. ³³Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: scott.strobel@yale.edu

References

1. Scientific Foundations for Future Physicians, "Report of the AAMC-HHMI Committee" (AAMC, Washington, DC, 2009); www.hhmi.org/grants/pdf/08-209_AAMC-HHMI_report.pdf.
2. AAMC, "5th Comprehensive Review of the Medical College Admission Test® (MCAT)" (www.aamc.org/download/182662/data/mr5_preliminary_recommendations.pdf).

Drawing Attention to Diagram Use

THE EDUCATION FORUM "DRAWING TO LEARN in science" (S. Ainsworth *et al.*, 26 August, p. 1096) makes a convincing case for placing greater emphasis on the cultivation of student skills in drawing diagrams and other forms of external representations. The authors, however, do not mention the crucial problems that students typically manifest in using diagrams, or the fact that very little research has been devoted to addressing those problems (1).

Students have a strong tendency to use the wrong diagrams for the task at hand and, when they do construct appropriate diagrams, they frequently fail to derive correct solutions or inferences (2–5). Even more troubling, most students do not use diagrams unless explicitly told to do so (1, 6, 7). Student knowledge about diagrams is often insufficient to instigate their use (8).

Our own research in this area suggests that, for students to more readily use diagrams, they need to appreciate the actual benefits of their use. Students also need to overcome hurdles associated with thinking that drawing diagrams is too difficult or too costly in terms of mental effort (1, 8, 9). There are some projects aimed at addressing these problems (10, 11). However, if drawing diagrams is to genuinely take a more central part in science education, we believe that more researchers and educators need to focus on the issues we describe.

EMMANUEL MANALO¹ AND YURI UESAKA²

¹Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan. ²Graduate School of Education, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: emmanuel.manalo@gmail.com

References

1. Y. Uesaka, E. Manalo, S. Ichikawa, *Learn. Instr.* **17**, 322 (2007).
2. G. M. Bowen, W. M. Roth, M. K. McGinn, *J. Res. Sci. Teach.* **36**, 1020 (1999).
3. R. Cox, *Learn. Instr.* **9**, 343 (1999).
4. M. Kozhevnikov, M. A. Motes, M. Hegarty, *M. Cognit. Sci.* **31**, 549 (2007).
5. J. R. Mokros, R. F. Tinker, *J. Res. Sci. Teach.* **24**, 369 (1987).
6. B. Dufour-Janvier, N. Bednarz, M. Belanger, in *Problems of Representation in the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics*, C. Janvier, Ed. (Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 1987), pp. 110–120.
7. S. Ichikawa, *Benkyou-hou ga kawaru hon [A Book About Changing the Approach to Learning]* (Iwanami Press, Tokyo, 2000).
8. Y. Uesaka, E. Manalo, S. Ichikawa, *Lect. Notes Artif. Int.* **6170**, 197 (2010).
9. Y. Uesaka, E. Manalo, *Appl. Cognit. Psychol.*, **10.1002/acp.1816** (2011); published online 15 July 2011.
10. Learning Strategies Use Research Project (www.learning-strategies-project.org/).
11. Visual Learning (www.brighton.ac.uk/visuallearning/).

CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

News Focus: "Particle physicists' new extreme teams" by A. Cho (16 September, p. 1564). The article misstates Maria Spiropulu's institutional affiliation. She has appointments at CERN and the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena.

News Focus: "Drug developers finally take aim at a neglected disease" by M. Leslie (19 August, p. 933). The story incorrectly stated that Julio Urbina was the director emeritus of the Venezuelan Institute for Scientific Research in Caracas. He is an emeritus investigator at the institute.

Reports: "Three periods of regulatory innovation during vertebrate evolution" by C. B. Lowe *et al.* (19 August, p. 1019). Affiliation 3 was incorrect. It should read "Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815, USA."

Review: "Dyscalculia: From brain to education" by B. Butterworth *et al.* (27 May, p. 1049). In Figure 2, A, B, and C, the references should be (49), (50), and (51), respectively.

Reports: "p53 controls radiation-induced gastrointestinal syndrome in mice independent of apoptosis" by D. G. Kirsch *et al.* (29 January 2010, p. 593). The study used "super p53" mice (Figure 4D) that were described as p53-tg^b with two additional copies of wild-type p53. Further characterization of these mice demonstrates that they are instead p53-tg with one additional copy of wild-type p53. The conclusions of the Report are not affected.

Letters to the Editor

Letters (~300 words) discuss material published in *Science* in the past 3 months or matters of general interest. Letters are not acknowledged upon receipt. Whether published in full or in part, Letters are subject to editing for clarity and space. Letters submitted, published, or posted elsewhere, in print or online, will be disqualified. To submit a Letter, go to www.submit2science.org.