UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Prenatal Phenol and Paraben Exposures and Adverse Birth Outcomes: A Prospective Analysis of U.S. Births

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/37r790xq

Authors

Trasande, Leonardo Nelson, Morgan E Alshawabkeh, Akram et al.

Publication Date

2024

DOI

10.1016/j.envint.2023.108378

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Published in final edited form as:

Environ Int. 2024 January; 183: 108378. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2023.108378.

Prenatal Phenol and Paraben Exposures and Adverse Birth Outcomes: A Prospective Analysis of U.S. Births

Leonardo Trasande^{a,b,c,*}, Morgan E. Nelson^d, Akram Alshawabkeh^e, Emily S. Barrett^f, Jessie P. Buckley^{g,t}, Dana Dabelea^h, Anne L. Dunlopⁱ, Julie B. Herbstman^j, John D. Meeker^k, Mrudula Naidu^a, Craig Newschafferⁱ, Amy M. Padula^m, Megan E. Romanoⁿ, Douglas M. Ruden^o, Sheela Sathyanarayana^{p,q}, Susan L. Schantz^r, Anne P. Starling^{h,s}, Taylor Etzel^t, Ghassan B. Hamra^t, collaborators in the NIH Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes Program

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Environmental Pediatrics, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

^bDepartment of Population Health, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

°NYU Wagner School of Public Service, New York, NY, USA

dRTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

^eNortheastern University, Boston, MA, USA

^fDepartment of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Rutgers School of Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute, Piscataway, NJ, USA

⁹Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

^hLifecourse Epidemiology Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

Leonardo Trasande: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – inital draft, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Morgan E. Nelson: Formal analysis, Visualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. Akram Alshawabkeh: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Jessie P. Buckley: Formal analysis, Visualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. Dana Dabelea: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Anne L. Dunlop: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Julie B. Herbstman: Resources, Writing – review & editing. John D. Meeker: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Mrudula Naidu: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Craig Newschaffer: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Amy M. Padula: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Megan E. Romano: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Douglas M. Ruden: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Sheela Sathyanarayana: Resources, Writing – review & editing. Taylor Etzel: Formal analysis, Visualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. Ghassan B. Hamra: Data curation, Formal analysis, Resources, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108378.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*}Corresponding author at: Department of Pediatrics, New York University School of Medicine, 227 East 30th Street Rm 807, New York, NY 10016, USA. leonardo.trasande@nyulangone.org (L. Trasande).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

ⁱDepartment of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

^jDepartment of Environmental Health Sciences, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA

^kDepartment of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

College of Human Health and Development, Penn State University, Hershey, PA, USA

^mDepartment of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Department of Epidemiology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, NH, USA

^oDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48201

PSeattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA

^qDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

^rBeckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL

^sDepartment of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^tDepartment of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

Abstract

Background: Synthetic chemicals are increasingly being recognized for potential independent contributions to preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW). Bisphenols, parabens, and triclosan are consumer product chemicals that act via similar mechanisms including estrogen, androgen, and thyroid disruption and oxidative stress. Multiple cohort studies have endeavored to examine effects on birth outcomes, and systematic reviews have been limited due to measurement of 1–2 spot samples during pregnancy and limited diversity of populations.

Objective: To study the effects of prenatal phenols and parabens on birth size and gestational age (GA) in 3,619 mother-infant pairs from 11 cohorts in the NIH Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes program.

Results: While many associations were modest and statistically imprecise, a 1-unit increase in \log_{10} pregnancy averaged concentration of benzophenone-3 and methylparaben were associated with decreases in birthweight, birthweight adjusted for gestational age and SGA. Increases in the odds of being SGA were 29% (95% CI: 5%, 58%) and 32% (95% CI: 3%, 70%), respectively. Bisphenol S in third trimester was also associated with SGA (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.08, 2.13). Associations of benzophenone-3 and methylparaben with PTB and LBW were null. In addition, a 1-unit increase in \log_{10} pregnancy averaged concentration of 2,4-dichlorophenol was associated with 43% lower (95% CI: -67%, -2%) odds of low birthweight; the direction of effect was the

same for the highly correlated 2,5-dichlorophenol, but with a smaller magnitude (-29%, 95% CI: -53%, 8%).

Discussion: In a large and diverse sample generally representative of the United States, benzophenone-3 and methylparaben were associated with lower birthweight as well as birthweight adjusted for gestational age and higher odds of SGA, while 2,4-dichlorophenol. These associations with smaller size at birth are concerning in light of the known consequences of intrauterine growth restriction for multiple important health outcomes emerging later in life.

1. Introduction

Barker et al. described the profound and long-term consequences of impaired fetal growth, particularly low birth weight (BW, LBW), and reductions in gestational length, particularly preterm birth (PTB). (Barker et al., 1992; Barker et al., 2005) These include infant and childhood mortality; (McCormick, 1985) psychological, behavioral, and educational outcomes in young adulthood; (Hack et al., 2002; Hack et al., 1995) and cardiovascular disease and diabetes in later life. (Frankel et al., 1996; Vos et al., 2006) In the United States, low birth weight (LBW) and preterm birth (PTB) occurred among 8.2% and 10.1% of live births in 2020, failing to achieve Healthy People 2020 goals (7.8% and 9.4%, respectively). (Martin et al., 2021).

Synthetic chemicals are increasingly being recognized for potential independent contributions to PTB and LBW. (Elobeid and Allison, 2008) Animal studies indicate that Bisphenol A (BPA), used in aluminum can linings, thermal paper receipts and other consumer products, induces oxidative stress, (Atkinson and Roy, 1995; Hasselberget al., 2004) and is a low-grade synthetic estrogen (potentially contributing sexually dimorphic effects on fetal growth). (Alonso-Magdalena et al., 2006; vom Saal et al., 2012) Recent attention to bisphenol-related health concerns has also led to increasing substitution with synthetic alternatives that have been identified in paper products (Liao et al., 2012b) and human urine (Liao et al., 2012a) such as bisphenol S (BPS). The few studies that have studied replacements such as BPS have identified similar genotoxicity and estrogenicity to BPA, (Audebert et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2002; Kuruto-Niwa et al., 2005; Okuda et al., 2011; Vinas and Watson, ~ 2013; Yoshihara et al., 2004) as well as embryonal effects, (Qiu et al., 2015) and oxidative stress. (Zhao et al., 2017).

Other phenolic compounds include parabens, esters of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid used as preservatives in cosmetics, and triclosan, an antimicrobial agent used in cleaning materials and other consumer products. Parabens are known to have estrogenic (Golden et al., 2005) and antiandrogenic properties, (Morisseau and Hammock, 2013) and promote adipocyte differentiation in cell culture. (Hu et al., 2013) Triclosan is known to antagonize thyroid hormone function in algae, invertebrates and certain types of fish (Dann and Hontela, 2011) and has been identified as an oxidant stressor in human studies. (Ferguson et al., 2019) Moreover, these pathways interact; inflammation can influence hormonal regulation in pregnancy. (Challis et al., 2009) Inflammation and oxidative stress can induce endothelial activation common in preeclampsia, and oxidative stress can induce placental insufficiency as well as preeclampsia and premature rupture of membranes. (Perucci et al., 2017).

Multiple cohort studies have examined effects of bisphenols, parabens and triclosan on birth outcomes, and their results have been interrogated further in systematic reviews. One such review emphasized multiple limitations in the studies to date, most prominently the measurement of 1–2 spot samples during pregnancy, which introduces exposure imprecision and limits insight into effects that depend on the stage of fetal development. (Zhong et al., 2020) Another systematic review revealed a positive association of BPA exposure with birth weight (BW), (Zhou et al., 2019) while a third systematic review of thirteen cohort studies examining triclosan exposure and birth outcomes suggested inverse associations with birth weight and gestational age (GA)-adjusted birth weight. (Patti et al., 2021) In particular, these findings have not proven readily generalizable to the US, due to the lack of inclusion of Hispanic/Latino populations.

The NIH Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) Program unites existing pediatric cohorts from across the United States in a common, harmonized, and prospective protocol to identify environmental and preventable origins of LBW, PTB and other effects on child health and development. We leveraged this large, diverse ECHO cohort to study the effects of prenatal phenol exposures on birth size and GA.

2. Methods

2.1. Overview

The ECHO cohort study leverages data from 69 unique cohorts to improve understanding of the impact of environmental insults on children's health. Existing data are harmonized to facilitate pooled analyses, and new data are collected using a common, standardized protocol. (LeWinn et al., 2022) Eligibility for the current analysis included: 1 urinary phenol measurements during the index pregnancy, data on child's GA and BW, and singleton delivery. Cohorts needed to have at least 50 participants meeting these criteria to be included in the analysis. In total, we identified 3,619 mother–child dyads from 11 cohorts with information on up to 11 unique urinary phenol metabolites.

2.2. Measurement of urinary phenols and parabens

Phenol metabolites in urine were measured at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Silva et al., 2007), Human Health Exposure Analysis Resource labs (Philip set al. 2018; Rocha et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2022), and California Department of Public Health. (Gavin et al., 2014) In order to be included in the current analyses, we required that a phenol was a) detectable in > 50% of samples, b) that at least 1,000 participants across cohorts had a detectable sample, and c) > 20 participants within a cohort had measurements performed of an individual chemical or class of chemicals. In addition to examining individual phenol metabolites, we summed bisphenols A, F, and S based on known similarities in chemical structure. If a cohort was missing any of the 3 bisphenols, they were excluded from analyses for summed bisphenols. Summed bisphenols, and all individual phenols and parabens were \log_{10} transformed before analysis.

Before transformations, we first replaced any values that were below the lower limit of detection (LLOD) with LLOD/sqrt(2). We then adjusted for urinary dilution; this step

required use of either creatinine or specific gravity, depending on availability of each from cohorts. We utilized the Boeninger method to standardize phenol and paraben biomarkers by cohort-specific median creatinine or specific gravity value, which has been shown to be valid previously. (Kuiper et al., 2022) Repeated measures within trimester, when available, were averaged and trimester specific measures or averages were later averaged to pregnancy-average values.

2.3. Outcomes

Our continuous outcomes of interest were GA at birth (completed weeks), BW (grams), birth length (cm), and BW for GA z-scores; the latter were standardized using child sex at birth and birth parent's parity. (Aris et al., 2019) We also considered dichotomous outcomes including PTB (birth < 37 weeks vs. 37 weeks), SGA and LGA (based on the lower and upper 10th percentiles of z-score standardized BW for GA estimated from a US reference population (Aris et al., 2019)), LBW (<2,500 g vs 2,500 g), and LBW among preterm and term births.

2.4. Covariates

We adjusted all models for a priori theorized confounders. These confounders included maternal age at delivery (continuous years), maternal race and ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic/Latino, other/unknown), maternal education (High School Degree or GED or less, and Some college and above), parity (0, 1, and 2), and child sex at birth (male vs female). In models considering BW for GA z-scores as the outcome, we excluded child sex and parity. Racial and ethnic disparities in endocrine disrupting chemicals have been widely described, (Attina et al., 2019, Chan et al., 2021, Goin et al., 2022, Ruiz et al., 2018) with substantially greater effects described for phthalates in non-Hispanic Blacks at least one study. (Trasande et al., 2013) We therefore included race and ethnicity as a proxy for structural racism (Perry et al., 2021) in main models and evaluated effect modification by race and ethnicity.

2.5. Statistical analysis

We first assessed univariate associations of phenol and paraben concentrations and outcomes to major covariates. Our main analyses then considered 2,4-dichlorophenol, 2,5-dichlorophenol, benzophenone-3, triclosan, butylparaben, ethylparaben, methylparaben, propylparaben, bisphenol A, bisphenol F, bisphenol S, and summed bisphenols as primary phenols and parabens of interest. All analyses utilized linear or logistic mixed effects models and included cohort as a random effect term to account for baseline differences across cohorts. For continuous outcomes, we treated the outcome as normally distributed, while for dichotomous outcomes we applied a logistic regression framework; because outcomes are all < 10% of the total sample, we interpret odds ratios as risk ratios (RR). All models were adjusted for the covariates listed above. Because phenols and parabens were available at different time points in pregnancy and, for some mothers, at multiple time points during pregnancy, using the previously described linear or logistic mixed effects models but stratified by trimester, we explored trimester-specific effects of phenols and parabens in addition to estimating effects of pregnancy-averaged phenol and paraben metabolites.

In addition to primary analyses, we conducted sensitivity analyses to explore modifying effects of covariates. Specifically, we estimated associations of phenols and parabens on birth outcomes within strata of child biological sex at birth (male vs female), maternal education (high school vs some college or greater), parity (0, 1, 2 previous children), and maternal race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic/Latino). We considered whether tobacco use during pregnancy (yes/no) additionally confounded the relationship of phenol metabolites to birth outcomes. We also considered the relationship of phenols and parabens with a more granular categorization of GA: preterm (<37.0 weeks), early term (37.0 x <39.0 weeks), and late term (41.0 weeks) vs term (39.0 x <41.0 weeks) as the reference group. Finally, we conducted leave-one-out analyses to determine if the main findings were driven by results from a single cohort.

All analyses represent complete cases with all available outcomes, exposures and covariates, without imputation. Tables indicate final N. All statistical analyses were conducted in SAS statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Statistical code to reproduce results are maintained by and available from the ECHO Data Analysis Center.

3. Results

Table 1 summarizes characteristics of study participants, and Fig. 1 presents a flow chart of study participants. The majority of mothers were between 25 and 34 years old at the time of participation (58.8%). A notable number of mothers were Hispanic (34.5%), though a large proportion of mothers were white (41.3%); 13.4% of mothers were non-Hispanic Black. Mothers were generally well educated, with most having some college (21.4%), a Bachelor's degree (25.6%), or a post graduate degree (25%). Finally, many mothers had one child prior to the index child (31.1%).

The highest exposures measured were for benzophenone-3 (median 49.4 ng/mL pregnancy averaged) and methylparaben (median 76.7 ng/mL pregnancy averaged) (Tables 2; Fig. 1). Generally, phenols and parabens were not correlated with one another, with the exceptions of 2,4-dichlorophenol with 2,5-dichlorophenol and methylparaben with propylparaben (Supplemental Figure 1).

Tables 2a and Tables 2b summarize associations of phenol and paraben concentrations to major covariates considered in our work. All exposures demonstrated associations with covariates of interest, with varying magnitude and precision. Notably, Hispanic and non-Hispanic Black mothers had higher concentrations of many phenols and parabens compared to non-Hispanic White mothers. Those with higher education generally experienced lower exposures compared to those with a high school degree. Tables 2c summarizes associations between covariates and birth outcomes of interest. Many covariates were associated with all outcomes of interest and the remaining covariates were associated with at least a subset of outcomes of interest.

Most associations between phenols or parabens with -gestational age, birth length, birth weight, and birthweight for gestational age z-score) were modest and statistically imprecise (Tables 3a). There were two exceptions: benzophenone-3 and methylparaben. A 1-unit

increase in \log_{10} pregnancy-averaged benzophenone-3 was associated with a 29.2 g decrease in birthweight (95% CI: -58.00, -0.40 g), while an identical increase in methylparaben was associated with a 34.0 g decrease in birthweight (95% CI: -68.90, 0.94 g). Generally, the strongest associations were with third trimester exposures. Third trimester ethylparaben was associated with decreased birthweight (-73.70 g, 95% CI: -129.00, -18.70 g). Pregnancy averaged benzophenone-3 and methylparaben were both associated with lower birthweight for gestational age Z-score (-0.08 SD units 95% CI: -0.15, -0.02 and -0.01, 95% CI: -0.18, -0.18, respectively), as was third trimester ethylparaben (-0.16 SD units, 95% CI: -0.28, -0.03). Bisphenol S was associated with higher birthweight for gestational age Z-score (0.11 SD units, 95% CI 0.00, 0.21).

Associations of phenols and parabens with dichotomous outcomes mirrored those of continuous outcomes (Tables 3b). A 1-unit increase in \log_{10} pregnancy averaged concentration of benzophenone-3 and methylparaben were associated with 29% (95% CI: 5%, 58%) and 32% (95% CI: 3%, 70%) increase in the odds of being SGA, respectively. Bisphenol S in third trimester was also associated with SGA (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.08, 2.13). Associations of benzophenone-3 and methylparaben with PTB and LBW were null. In addition, a 1-unit increase in \log_{10} pregnancy averaged concentration of 2,4-dichlorophenol was associated with a 43% decrease (95% CI: -67%, -2%) in the odds of low birthweight; the direction of effect was the same for the highly correlated 2,5-dichlorophenol, but the magnitude of the effect was smaller (-29%, 95% CI: -53%, 8%). Bisphenol F in first trimester was significantly associated with LBW, as was pregnancy wide exposure, as well as bisphenol S and ethylparaben in third trimester.

Sensitivity analyses suggested some variability in effects by a subset of effect modifiers (Supplemental Tables 1-4). For example, the relationship of benzophenone-3 with birth length among boys was of a larger magnitude (-0.33 cm, 95% CI: -0.52, -0.11 cm) compared to girls (-0.28 cm, 95% CI: -0.52, -0.04 cm) (Supplemental Table 1a1). Additionally, the relationship of methylparaben with low birthweight among term births in boys (OR 3.80, 95% CI: 1.37, 10.58) was of a larger magnitude compared to girls (OR 0.88, 95% CI: 0.47, 1.63); Supplemental Table 1B1). The relationship of benzophenone-3 and methylparaben with birth length among non-Hispanic white participants was stronger than in the main analyses with effect estimates of -0.41 cm (95% CI: -0.77, -0.15 cm) and -0.44 cm (95% CI: -0.77, -0.11 cm), respectively (Supplemental Table 2a1) Additionally for this group, the association of methylparaben to birthweight was stronger than in the main analyses (-82.55 g, 95% CI: -131.65, -33.44 g; Supplemental Table 2a1). The association of benzophenone-3 with birth length among non-Hispanic Black participants was stronger than in the main analyses (-0.77 cm, 95% CI: -1.22, -0.10 cm; Supplemental Table 2a2). The association of methylparaben to gestational age among Hispanic participants was notably stronger than in the main analyses (0.23 weeks, 95% CI: 0.03, 0.42 weeks; Supplemental Table 2a3). Methylparaben was more strongly associated with birth weight for gestational age z-scores in mothers with some college education (-0.20 SD units, 95% CI: -0.33, -0.11 SD units; Supplemental Table 3a2). No sensitivity analyses supported differences in directions of association by effect measure modifiers of interest (Supplemental Table 5). Finally, leave one out analyses showed that the effects estimated for the pooled

group of cohorts were not notably influenced by any specific cohorts (Supplemental Figure 2).

4. Discussion

In a large and diverse sample generally representative of the United States, benzophenone-3 and methylparaben concentrations in maternal urine during pregnancy were associated with decreases in birthweight as well as birthweight adjusted for gestational age and small for gestational age. These are concerning findings in light of the known consequences of intrauterine growth restriction for multiple later and important health outcomes emerging later in youth and even through adulthood. (Barker et al., 1992; Barker et al., 2005; Frankel et al., 1996; Hack et al., 2002; Hack et al., 1995; McCormick, 1985; Vos et al., 2006).

The results do largely align with those of previous *meta*-analyses of environmental phenol exposures with birth outcomes. (Zhong et al., 2020) While we do not identify a positive association of BPA exposure with birth weight, we note lower BPA levels than the previous systematic review, (Zhou et al., 2019) in part due to the emergence of replacement bisphenols. When we examined bisphenol S, we observed increases in birth weight supported by the similar toxicological profile to BPA identified in previous studies. (Audebert et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2002; Kuruto-Niwa et al., 2005; Okuda et al., 2011; Qiu et al., 2015; Vinas and Watson, 2013~; Yoshihara et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2017) Notably, concentrations of BPA replacements (BPF, BPS) are generally lower than those of BPA in this sample. We do find inverse associations of triclosan with birth weight and gestational age-adjusted birth weight, as suggested in the previous *meta*-analysis, but these are also nonsignificant. (Patti et al., 2021) The very large sample size allowed us to stratify by the trimester of measurement improving exposure precision and insight into effects that depend on the stage of fetal development. (Zhong et al., 2020).

We acknowledge that our study does not interrogate the many mechanisms by which bisphenols can impair fetal growth. BPA induces oxidative stress, (Atkinson and Roy, 1995; Hasselberg et al., 2004) is directly cardiotoxic, (Khodayar et al., 2020; Quagliariello et al., 2019) and reduces the function of adiponectin, a cardioprotective adipokine. (Hugo et al., 2008) BPA is also a low-grade synthetic estrogen, (Alonso-Magdalena et al., 2006; vom Saal et al., 2012) disrupts pancreatic β-cell function in vivo, (Alonso-Magdalena et al., 2005) and affects glucose transport in adipocytes. (Hugo et al., 2008; Masuno et al., 2002; Sakurai et al., 2004) The few studies that have studied replacements such as BPS have identified similar genotoxicity and estrogenicity to BPA, (Audebert et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2002; Kuruto-Niwa et al., 2005; Okuda et al., 2011; Vinas and Watson, 2013~; Yoshihara et al., 2004) embryonal effects, (Qiu et al., 2015) oxidative stress, (Zhao et al., 2017) cardiotoxicity, (Gu et al., 2020) disruption of osteoblast function, (Chin et al., 2018) and greater resistance to environmental degradation. (Danzl et al., 2009; Ike et al., 2006) Parabens are known estrogens (Golden et al., 2005) and antiandrogens, (Morisseau and Hammock, 2013) and promote adipocyte differentiation. (Hu et al., 2013) Triclosan is known to antagonize thyroid hormone function (Dann and Hontela, 2011) and is an oxidant stressor. (Ferguson et al., 2019) We were unable to examine these many interacting

mechanisms, (Challis et al., 2009) which may explain some heterogeneity and even modesty in the statistically significant associations.

The sensitivity analyses reveal suggestive, although not always consistent, associations that vary, particularly by race/ethnicity, supportive of the need to evaluate potential modification by factors associated with structural racism. We were not able to access specific data on racism in the study population to evaluate this further. Later work should leverage ongoing data collection using validated instruments. There were also differences by sex, which are important given the sex steroid pathway disruption known to be induced by phenols and parabens. The leave one out analyses also support the rigor of the results obtained.

Strengths of the analysis include the large sample size, high quality of laboratory analyses, harmonization approach, multiple robustness checks, and the specificity of the effect to birth weight. The ECHO consortium combines data from many cohorts representing diverse populations and exposures over time, which allowed for evaluation of the impact of replacement phenols and parabens on birth outcomes. Most phenols and parabens were highly detected, which minimized the need for imputing values below the analytic limit of detection.

There are limitations to interpretation, which include the potential for unmeasured confounding. The pattern of results for benzophenone-3 is not completely inconsistent. Benzophenone-3 was significantly associated with lower birthweight, lower birthweight-forgestational-age z-score, and higher odds of SGA, but not with higher odds of LBW or even LBW stratified by term and preterm. Regarding the nonsignificant associations we do note that even in ECHO stratified analyses have limited power. We also note that decreases in BW can be differential across the spectrum of BW, perhaps shifting in the normal range more than from normal to LBW.

As phenols and parabens are known dietary contaminants, the lack of harmonized diet data across the cohorts is important to emphasize. Although each individual cohort by itself has limited power to observe small effects when considered alone, associations were consistently in the same direction. Another limitation of the trimester-specific analyses is that different cohorts/participants contribute to each analysis, making the results difficult to directly compare across trimesters. We emphasize that other risks such as smoking are comparably small in this multifactorial condition, and also are not as readily modifiable. Pharmacokinetic studies in adults suggest that bisphenols have 12–48 h half-lives, (Mahalingaiah et al., 2008; Stahlhut et al., 2009) raising the potential for exposure imprecision introduced by relying on spot urine samples. We do note that weak indices of exposure could bias associations toward the null, (Carroll, 1998; Fleiss and Shrout, 1977; Fuller, 1987) though this post-hoc justification has limits. We also acknowledge potential residual confounding by unmeasured or unknown co-exposures.

Further studies are needed to interrogate the longer-term consequences of the observed decreases in birth weight. Additional measurements of metabolomic, epigenetic, oxidant stress, thyroid hormone function and other multiomic data would allow us to disaggregate

the multiple mechanisms which may explain the complex pattern of associations identified in this manuscript.

5. Conclusion

In a large, diverse American sample, benzophenone-3 and methylparaben exposures were associated with decreases in BW and increases in SGA, suggesting opportunities for prevention. We also identify suggestive increases in birth weight due to bisphenol S, an emerging replacement of BPA. The findings here support further examination of later-life consequences of phenol exposure in pregnancy, as well as intermediate mechanisms that may explain the complex pattern of findings.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ECHO Collaborators Acknowledgment:

The authors wish to thank our ECHO colleagues; the medical, nursing, and program staff; and the children and families participating in the ECHO cohorts. We also acknowledge the contribution of the following ECHO program collaborators:

ECHO Components—Coordinating Center: Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina: Smith PB, Newby KL; Data Analysis Center: Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland: Jacobson LP; Research Triangle Institute, Durham, North Carolina: Catellier DJ; Person-Reported Outcomes Core: Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois: Gershon R, Cella D.

ECHO Awardees and Cohorts—University of Georgia, Athens, GA: Cordero J; University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN: Tylavsky F, Mason A, Zhao Q; University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA: Bush N, LeWinn KZ; AJ Drexel Autism Institute, Philadelphia, PA: Lyall K; John Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD: Volk H; University of California Davis Health, MIND Institute, Davis, CA: Schmidt R; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI: Kerver JM; Henry Ford Health, Detroit, MI: Barone C; Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Lansing, MI: Fussman C; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI: Paneth N; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI: Elliott M; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN: Nguyen R; Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY: Swan S, Columbia University, NY: Herbstman J.

NIH Funding Acknowledgment and Disclaimer:

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences under award P2CES033423 and the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, under Award Numbers U2COD023375 (Coordinating Center), U24OD023382 (Data Analysis Center), U24OD023319 with co-funding from the Office of Behavioral and Social Science Research (PRO Core), UH3OD023285 (Kerver), UH3OD023305 (Trasande), UH3OD023251 (Alshawabkeh), UH3OD023248 (Dabelea), UH3OD023318 (Dunlop), UH3OD023271 (Karr), UH3OD023342 (Lyall), UH3OD023272 (Schantz), UH3OD023290 (Herbstman).

Data availability

The ECHO Program has data sharing policies at echoprogram.org

Abbreviations:

BW

Birth weight

BPA bisphenol A

CI confidence interval

ECHO Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes

GA gestational age

LBW low birth weight

PTB preterm birth

RR risk ratio

SA sensitivity analysis

References

Alonso-Magdalena P, Laribi O, Ropero AB, Fuentes E, Ripoll C, Soria B, Nadal A, 2005. Low doses of bisphenol A and diethylstilbestrol impair Ca2+ signals in pancreatic alpha-cells through a nonclassical membrane estrogen receptor within intact islets of Langerhans. Environ Health Perspect. 113, 969–977. [PubMed: 16079065]

Alonso-Magdalena P, Morimoto S, Ripoll C, Fuentes E, Nadal A, 2006. The estrogenic effect of bisphenol A disrupts pancreatic β-cell function *In Vivo* and induces insulin resistance. Environ Health Perspect. 114, 106–112.

Aris IM, Kleinman KP, Belfort MB, Kaimal A, Oken EA, 2019. US reference for singleton birth weight percentiles using obstetric estimates of gestation. Pediatrics. 144 (1), e20190076.

Atkinson A, Roy D, 1995. In vivo DNA adduct formation by bisphenol A. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 26 (1), 60–66. [PubMed: 7641708]

Attina TM, Malits J, Naidu M, Trasande L, 2019. Racial/ethnic disparities in disease burden and costs related to exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the United States: An exploratory analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 108, 34–43. [PubMed: 30529005]

Audebert M, Dolo L, Perdu E, Cravedi J-P, Zalko D, 2011. Use of the γ H2AX assay for assessing the genotoxicity of bisphenol A and bisphenol F in human cell lines. Arch. Toxicol. 85 (11), 1463–1473. [PubMed: 21656223]

Barker DJP, Godfrey KM, Osmond C, Bull A, 1992. The relation of fetal length, ponderal index and head circumference to blood pressure and the risk of hypertension in adult life. Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiol. 6 (1), 35–44.

Barker DJP, Osmond C, Forsén TJ, Kajantie E, Eriksson JG, 2005. Trajectories of growth among children who have coronary events as adults. N Engl J Med. 353 (17), 1802–1809. [PubMed: 16251536]

Carroll RJ, 1998. Measurement error in epidemiologic studies. In: Armitage P, Colton T (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Biostatistics. John Wiley &Sons, New York (NY).

Challis JR, Lockwood CJ, Myatt L, Norman JE, Strauss JF, Petraglia F, 2009. Inflammation and pregnancy inflammation and pregnancy. Reproductive Sci. 16 (2), 206–215.

Chan M, Mita C, Bellavia A, Parker M, James-Todd T, 2021. Racial/Ethnic disparities in pregnancy and prenatal exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals commonly used in personal care products. Current Environ. Health Reports. 8 (2), 98–112.

Chen M-Y, Ike M, Fujita M, 2002. Acute toxicity, mutagenicity, and estrogenicity of bisphenol-A and other bisphenols. Environ. Toxicol. 17 (1), 80–86. [PubMed: 11847978]

Chin K-Y, Pang K-L, Mark-Lee WF, 2018. A review on the effects of bisphenol A and its derivatives on skeletal health. Int J Med Sci. 15 (10), 1043–1050. [PubMed: 30013446]

Dann AB, Hontela A, 2011. Triclosan: Environmental exposure, toxicity and mechanisms of action. J Appl Toxicol. 31 (4), 285–311. [PubMed: 21462230]

Danzl E, Sei K, Soda S, Ike M, Fujita M, 2009. Biodegradation of bisphenol A, bisphenol F and bisphenol S in seawater. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 6, 1472–1484. [PubMed: 19440529]

- Elobeid MA, Allison DB, 2008. Putative environmental-endocrine disruptors and obesity: A review. Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes. 15, 403–408. [PubMed: 18769210]
- Ferguson KK, Lan Z, Yu Y, Mukherjee B, McElrath TF, Meeker JD, 2019. Urinary concentrations of phenols in association with biomarkers of oxidative stress in pregnancy: Assessment of effects independent of phthalates. Environ Int. 131, 104903.
- Fleiss JL, Shrout PE, 1977. The effects of measurement errors on some multivariate procedures. Am. J. Public Health. 67 (12), 1188–1191. [PubMed: 596503]
- Frankel S, Elwood P, Smith GD, Sweetnam P, Yarnell J, 1996. Birthweight, body-mass index in middle age, and incident coronary heart disease. Lancet. 348 (9040), 1478–1480. [PubMed: 8942776]
- Fuller WA, 1987. Measurement Error Models. Wiley, New York.
- Gavin QW, Ramage RT, Waldman JM, She J, 2014. Development of HPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous determination of environmental phenols in human urine. Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 94 (2), 168–182.
- Goin DE, Abrahamsson D, Wang M, Jiang T, Park J-S, Sirota M, Morello-Frosch R, DeMicco E, Zlatnik MG, Woodruff TJ, 2022. Disparities in chemical exposures among pregnant women and neonates by socioeconomic and demographic characteristics: A nontargeted approach. Environ Res. 215, 114158.
- Golden R, Gandy J, Vollmer G, 2005. A review of the endocrine activity of parabens and implications for potential risks to human health. Crit Rev Toxicol. 35 (5), 435–458. [PubMed: 16097138]
- Gu J, Wang H, Zhou L, Fan D, Shi L, Ji G, Gu A, 2020. Oxidative stress in bisphenol AF-induced cardiotoxicity in zebrafish and the protective role of N-acetyl N-cysteine. Sci. Total Environ. 731, 139190.
- Hack M, Klein NK, Taylor HG, 1995. Long-term developmental outcomes of low birth weight infants. Future Child. 5, 176–196. [PubMed: 7543353]
- Hack M, Flannery DJ, Schluchter M, Cartar L, Borawski E, Klein N, 2002. Outcomes in young adulthood for very-low-birth-weight infants. N Engl J Med. 346 (3), 149–157. [PubMed: 11796848]
- Hasselberg L, Meier S, Svardal A, 2004. Effects of alkylphenols on redox status in first spawning Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). Aquat. Toxicol. 69 (1), 95–105. [PubMed: 15210300]
- Hu P, Chen X, Whitener RJ, Boder ET, Jones JO, Porollo A, Chen J, Zhao L, 2013. Effects of parabens on adipocyte differentiation. Toxicol Sci. 131, 56–70. [PubMed: 22956630]
- Hugo ER, Brandebourg TD, Woo JG, Loftus J, Alexander JW, Ben-Jonathan N, 2008. Bisphenol A at environmentally relevant doses inhibits adiponectin release from human adipose tissue explants and adipocytes. Environ Health Perspect. 116 (12), 1642–1647. [PubMed: 19079714]
- Ike M, Chen MY, Danzl E, Sei K, Fujita M, 2006. Biodegradation of a variety of bisphenols under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Water Sci Technol. 53, 153–159.
- Khodayar MJ, Kalantari H, Mahdavinia M, Khorsandi L, Alboghobeish S, Samimi A, Alizadeh S, Zeidooni L, 2020. Protective effect of naringin against BPA-induced cardiotoxicity through prevention of oxidative stress in male Wistar rats. Drug Chem Toxicol. 43 (1), 85–95. [PubMed: 30264589]
- Kuiper JR, O'Brien KM, Welch BM, Barrett ES, Nguyen RHN, Sathyanarayana S, Milne GL, Swan SH, Ferguson KK, Buckley JP, 2022. Combining urinary biomarker data from studies with different measures of urinary dilution. Epidemiology (cambridge, Mass). 33, 533–540. [PubMed: 35473917]
- Kuruto-Niwa R, Nozawa R, Miyakoshi T, Shiozawa T, Terao Y, 2005. Estrogenic activity of alkylphenols, bisphenol S, and their chlorinated derivatives using a GFP expression system. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 19 (1), 121–130. [PubMed: 21783468]
- LeWinn KZ, Caretta E, Davis A, Anderson AL, Oken E, 2022. SPR perspectives: Environmental influences on child health outcomes (ECHO) Program: Overcoming challenges to generate engaged, multidisciplinary science. Pediatr Res. 92 (5), 1262–1269. [PubMed: 34131290]

Liao C, Liu F, Alomirah H, Loi VD, Mohd MA, Moon H-B, Nakata H, Kannan K, 2012a. Bisphenol S in urine from the united states and seven asian countries: Occurrence and human exposures. Environ. Sci. Tech. 46 (12), 6860–6866.

- Liao C, Liu F, Kannan K, 2012b. Bisphenol S, a new bisphenol analogue, in paper products and currency bills and its association with bisphenol A residues. Environ. Sci. Tech. 46 (12), 6515– 6522.
- Mahalingaiah S, Meeker JD, Pearson KR, Calafat AM, Ye X, Petrozza J, Hauser R, 2008. Temporal variability and predictors of urinary bisphenol A concentrations in men and women. Environ Health Perspect. 116 (2), 173–178. [PubMed: 18288314]
- Martin JA, Osterman HB, Michelle JK, Driscoll AK, 2021. Births: Final Data for 2019. National Vital Statistics Reports, vol. 70. National Center for Health Statistics 2019, Hyattsville, MD. 10.15620/cdc:100472.
- Masuno H, Kidani T, Sekiya K, Sakayama K, Shiosaka T, Yamamoto H, Honda K, 2002. Bisphenol A in combination with insulin can accelerate the conversion of 3T3-L1 fibroblasts to adipocytes. J. Lipid Res. 43 (5), 676–684. [PubMed: 11971937]
- McCormick MC, 1985. The contribution of low birth weight to infant mortality and childhood morbidity. N. Engl. J. Med. 312 (2), 82–90. [PubMed: 3880598]
- Morisseau C, Hammock BD, 2013. Impact of soluble epoxide hydrolase and epoxyeicosanoids on human health. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 53 (1), 37–58. [PubMed: 23020295]
- Okuda K, Fukuuchi T, Takiguchi M, Yoshihara S, i., 2011. Novel pathway of metabolic activation of bisphenol A-related compounds for estrogenic activity. Drug Metab. Dispos. 39, 1696–1703. [PubMed: 21636669]
- Patti MA, Henderson NB, Gajjar P, Eliot M, Jackson-Browne M, Braun JM, 2021. Gestational triclosan exposure and infant birth weight: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Int. 157, 106854.
- Perry MJ, Arrington S, Freisthler MS, Ibe IN, McCray NL, Neumann LM, Tajanlangit P, Trejo Rosas BM, 2021. Pervasive structural racism in environmental epidemiology. Environ. Health. 20, 119. [PubMed: 34784917]
- Perucci LO, Corrêa MD, Dusse LM, Gomes KB, Sousa LP, 2017. Resolution of inflammation pathways in preeclampsia-a narrative review. Immunol Res. 65 (4), 774–789. [PubMed: 28391374]
- Qiu W, Zhao Y, Yang M, Farajzadeh M, Pan C, Wayne NL, 2015. Actions of bisphenol A and bisphenol S on the reproductive neuroendocrine system during early development in zebrafish. Endocrinol. 157, 636–647.
- Quagliariello V, Coppola C, Mita DG, Piscopo G, Iaffaioli RV, Botti G, Maurea N, 2019. Low doses of Bisphenol A have pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidant effects, stimulate lipid peroxidation and increase the cardiotoxicity of Doxorubicin in cardiomyoblasts. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 69, 1–8. [PubMed: 30903913]
- Rocha BA, Asimakopoulos AG, Barbosa F, Jr, Kannan, K., 2017. Urinary concentrations of 25 phthalate metabolites in Brazilian children and their association with oxidative DNA damage. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 152–162. [PubMed: 28174045]
- Ruiz D, Becerra M, Jagai JS, Ard K, Sargis RM, 2018. Disparities in environmental exposures to endocrine-disrupting chemicals and diabetes risk in vulnerable populations. Diabetes Care. 41, 193–205. [PubMed: 29142003]
- Sakurai K, Kawazuma M, Adachi T, Harigaya T, Saito Y, Hashimoto N, Mori C, 2004. Bisphenol A affects glucose transport in mouse 3T3-F442A adipocytes. Br. J. Pharmacol. 141 (2), 209–214. [PubMed: 14707028]
- Silva M, Samandar E, Preaujr J, Reidy J, Needham L, Calafat A, 2007. Quantification of 22 phthalate metabolites in human urine. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 860 (1), 106–112
- Stahlhut RW, Welshons WV, Swan SH, 2009. Bisphenol A data in NHANES suggest longer than expected half-life, substantial nonfood exposure, or both. Environ. Health Perspect. 117 (5), 784–789. [PubMed: 19479022]

Trasande L, Attina TM, Sathyanarayana S, Spanier AJ, Blustein J, 2013. Race/ethnicity-specific associations of urinary phthalates with childhood body mass in a nationally representative sample. Environ Health Perspect. 121 (4), 501–506. [PubMed: 23428635]

- Viñas R, Watson CS, 2013. Bisphenol S disrupts estradiol-induced nongenomic signaling in a rat pituitary cell line: effects on cell functions. Environ. Health Perspect. 121 (3), 352–358. [PubMed: 23458715]
- vom Saal FS, Nagel SC, Coe BL, Angle BM, Taylor JA, 2012. The estrogenic endocrine disrupting chemical bisphenol A (BPA) and obesity. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 354 (1–2), 74–84. [PubMed: 22249005]
- Vos LE, Oren A, Bots ML, Gorissen WHM, Grobbee DE, Uiterwaal CSPM, 2006. Birth size and coronary heart disease risk score in young adulthood. The atherosclerosis risk in young adults (ARYA) Study. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 21 (1), 33–38. [PubMed: 16450204]
- Yoshihara S.i., Mizutare T, Makishima M, Suzuki N, Fujimoto N, Igarashi K, Ohta S, 2004. Potent estrogenic metabolites of bisphenol A and bisphenol B formed by rat liver S9 fraction: Their structures and estrogenic potency. Toxicol. Sci. 78, 50–59. [PubMed: 14691209]
- Zhang X, Barr DB, Dunlop AL, Panuwet P, Sarnat JA, Lee GE, Tan Y, Corwin EJ, Jones DP, Ryan PB, Liang D, 2022. Assessment of metabolic perturbations associated with exposure to phthalates among pregnant African American women. Sci. Total Environ. 818, 151689.
- Zhao C, Tang Z, Yan J, Fang J, Wang H, Cai Z, 2017. Bisphenol S exposure modulate macrophage phenotype as defined by cytokines profiling, global metabolomics and lipidomics analysis. Sci Total Environ. 592, 357–365. [PubMed: 28319722]
- Zhong Q.i., Peng M, He J, Yang W, Huang F, 2020. Association of prenatal exposure to phenols and parabens with birth size: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Total Environ. 703, 134720.
- Zhou Z, Lei Y, Wei W, Zhao Y, Jiang Y, Wang N, Li X, Chen X, 2019. Association between prenatal exposure to bisphenol a and birth outcomes: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Medicine (baltimore). 98, e17672.

Participants with prenatal urine phenol and/or paraben results (N=4553) Urinary controls Specimens have associated urinary dilution data (N=4532) Pregnancy requirement Only singleton pregnancies (N=4521) Child outcomes Participants with non-missing birthweight and gestational age data (N=3727) Cohort N requirements Remove cohorts/analytes within cohort where N participants ≤ 20 (N=3619)

Fig. 1. Flow chart of study participants.

Table 1

Author Manuscript

Outcomes.
and
Exposures, and Our
Demographics,
\Box
jo
statistics of
Descriptive

Age category (N [%])	
< 25	828 [22.9 %]
25-34	2128 [58.8 %]
35+	663 [18.3 %]
Race/Ethnicity (N [%])	
Non-Hispanic White	1493 [41.3 %]
Non-Hispanic Black	485 [13.4 %]
Hispanic	1250 [34.5 %]
Other/Unknown	391 [10.8 %]
Education (N [%])	
Less than high school	396 [10.9 %]
High school degree, GED or equivalent	563 [15.6 %]
Some college, no degree; Associate's degree; Trade school	776 [21.4 %]
Bachelor's degree	925 [25.6 %]
Post graduate degree	904 [25.0 %]
Missing	55 [1.5 %]
Parity (N [%])	
0	1377 [38.0 %]
1	1125 [31.1 %]
2	349 [9.6 %]
3	114 [3.2 %]
4+	66 [1.8 %]
Missing	588 [16.2 %]
Tobacco Use during Pregnancy (N [%])	
Yes	129 [3.6 %]
No	2759 [76.2 %]
Mining	170 0 000 100

Child variables

Trasande et al.

Child Sex (N [%]) 1828 [50.5 %] Male 1789 [49.4 %] Female 1789 [49.4 %] Missing 2 [0.1 %] Sum Bisphenols N ng/mL/Median [IQ] Across Pregnancy 2258 2.6 [2.5] Trimester 1 1164 2.0 [2.6] Trimester 3 N ng/mL/Median [IQ] Across Pregnancy 3406 1.1 [1.3] Trimester 1 1391 0.8 [1.3] Trimester 3 N ng/mL/Median [IQ] Across Pregnancy 1255 0.9 [1.4] Trimester 3 N ng/mL/Median [IQ] Across Pregnancy 232 0.5 [1.0] Trimester 1 N ng/mL/Median [IQ] Across Pregnancy 2.2 [3.1] 0.4 [0.7] Bisphenol S N ng/mL/Median [IQ] Across Pregnancy 2.2 [3.1] 0.4 [0.7] Trimester 1 1.2 [3.0] 0.4 [0.7] Trimester 2 2.2 [3.1] 0.4 [0.7] Trimester 3 1.2 [3.1] 0.4 [3.0] <t< th=""><th>Demographic Do</th><th>Demographic Descriptives Maternal variables</th><th>sa</th></t<>	Demographic Do	Demographic Descriptives Maternal variables	sa
Exposure Distributions N 2258 1164 2073 1196 N 3406 11391 2555 1885 N 2342 1203 N 1202 2269 1469 1689 1104	Child Sex (N [%])		
Exposure Distributions N 2258 1164 2073 1196 1391 1391 1235 1236 1203 N N N N N N N N 1469 1469 1689 1689 1649 16	Male		1828 [50.5 %]
Exposure Distributions N 2258 1164 2073 1196 N 3406 1391 2255 1885 N N N 2749 1202 2269 1203 N N N N N N 1689 11689 1164 944	Female		1789 [49.4 %]
Exposure Distributions N 2258 1164 2073 1196 N 3406 1391 2555 1885 N 2342 1203 N 2749 1202 2269 1469 1689 1689 104 944 812 8	Missing		2 [0.1 %].
N 1164 2073 1166 11164 1196 N 3406 1391 2555 1885 N 2342 1203 N 2749 1202 2269 1469 1689 104	Expo	sure Distributions	
2258 1164 2073 1196 N 3406 11391 22555 1885 N N N N N N 1202 2269 1469 104	Sum Bisphenols	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
1164 2073 1196 N 3406 1391 1236 2342 N N N N 1202 2269 1469 1689 104	Across Pregnancy	2258	2.6 [2.5]
2073 1196 N 3406 11815 2555 N N 2342 1236 2099 1203 N N 1202 1202 1208 N N 1469 1469 104	Trimester 1	1164	2.0 [2.6]
1196 N 3406 11391 2555 1885 N N 1209 1203 N N N 1469 1469 104	Trimester 2	2073	2.2 [2.8]
N 3406 1391 1391 1885 N 2342 1236 2099 1202 2269 1469 N N N N 1689 104	Trimester 3	1196	2.2 [3.1]
3406 1391 2555 1885 N N 2342 1236 2099 1203 N N N 1202 2269 1469 N N N 1689 1689	Bisphenol A	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
1391 2555 1885 N 2342 1236 2099 1203 N 1469 1689 104	Across Pregnancy	3406	1.1 [1.3]
2555 1885 N N 2342 1236 2099 11203 N N 2749 11469 N N N N 1689 11689 11689	Trimester 1	1391	0.8 [1.3]
1885 N 2342 1236 1209 1203 N N 1469 1469 N 1689 1689 1689	Trimester 2	2555	0.9 [1.4]
N 2342 1236 2099 1203 N 2749 1202 2269 1469 N 1689 104	Trimester 3	1885	1.1 [1.7]
2342 1236 2099 1203 N 2749 1202 2269 1469 N 812	Bisphenol F	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
1236 2099 1203 N Z749 1202 2269 1469 N R 104 944	Across Pregnancy	2342	0.5 [1.0]
2099 1203 N 2749 1202 2269 1469 N 1689 104	Trimester 1	1236	0.5 [0.8]
1203 N 2749 1202 2269 1469 N 1689 104	Trimester 2	2099	0.4 [0.7]
N 2749 1202 2269 1469 N N 108 104 944	Trimester 3	1203	0.4 [0.7]
2749 1202 2269 1469 N 1689 1689 104	Bisphenol S	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
1202 2269 1469 N N 1689 0 104 0 812	Across Pregnancy	2749	0.4 [0.6]
2269	Trimester 1	1202	0.3 [0.6]
1469 N 1689 104 0 944 6 812	Trimester 2	2269	0.4 [0.6]
N 1689 104 944	Trimester 3	1469	0.3 [0.6]
mancy 1689 104 944 812	2,4-Dichlorophenol	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
104 944 812	Across Pregnancy	1689	0.8 [1.7]
944 812	Trimester 1	104	0.2 [0.3]
812	Trimester 2	944	0.8 [1.0]
	Trimester 3	812	1.4 [3.0]

Trasande et al.

Across Pregnancy Trimester 1 Trimester 2 Trimester 3 Benzophenone-3 Across Pregnancy		ng/mr meman [1414]
1 2 3 3 nne-3 egnancy	1686	4.1 [34.2]
2 3 ne-3 egnancy	101	0.4 [1.0]
3 one-3 egnancy	946	2.4 [7.8]
one-3 regnancy	811	22 [94]
egnancy	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
	2091	49.4 [195.3]
Trimester 1	318	50.9 [210.4]
Trimester 2	1335	62.2 [232.6]
Trimester 3	837	24.0 [103.3]
	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
Across Pregnancy	2200	10.6 [53.6]
Trimester 1	379	7.2 [38]
Trimester 2	1351	10.5 [62.9]
Trimester 3	850	9.4 [53.2]
Butyl paraben	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
Across Pregnancy	1816	0.2 [0.9]
Trimester 1	153	0.6 [2.0]
Trimester 2	1014	0.2 [0.8]
Trimester 3	831	0.2 [0.9]
Ethyl paraben	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
Across Pregnancy	1562	1.7 [6.5]
Trimester 1	228	1.3 [5.0]
Trimester 2	1031	1.5 [6.4]
Trimester 3	494	1.4 [4.4]
Methyl paraben	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]
Across Pregnancy	1938	76.7 [203.8]
Trimester 1	234	70.5 [196.5]
Trimester 2	1038	55.2 [163.5]
Trimester 3	863	98 [244.4]
Propyl paraben	Z	ng/mL Median [IQR]

Trasande et al.

Demographic Descriptives Maternal variables	al variable	S
Across Pregnancy	1925	15.3 [54.2]
Trimester 1	230	16.2 [75.8]
Trimester 2	1032	9.5 [44.3]
Trimester 3	859	17.7 [61.5]
Outcome Distributions	_	
Birth GA (weeks Mean [STD])	N=3 619	38.9 [1.7]
Preterm (N [%])	N=3 619	273 [7.5]
Birth Length (cm Mean [STD])	N=3 118	50.5 [2.9]
Birth Weight (gm Mean [STD])	N=3 619	3322.6 [523.2]
Small for GA (N [%])	N=3 609	427 [11.8]
Large for GA (N [%])	N=3 609	368 [10.2]
Birthweight for GA (z-score Mean [STD])	N=3 022	0 [1.08]
Low Birthweight (N [%])	N=3 619	199 [5.5]
LBW (Preterna) (N [%])	N=2 73	108 [39.6]
LBW (Term) (N [%])	N=3	91 [2.7]

Author Manuscript

Table 2a

Bivariate Associations of Phenol Exposures with Covariates.

Covariate	Sum Bisphenols Beta (95 % CI)	Bisphenol A Beta (95 % CI)	Bisphenol F Beta (95 % CI)	Bisphenol S Beta (95 % CI)	2,4-Dichlorophenol Beta (95 % CI)	2,5-Dichlorophenol Beta (95 % CI)	Beta (95 % CI)	Triclosan Beta (95 % CI)
Maternal Age	0.00 (-0.00, 0.00)	-0.001 (-0.01, -0.01)	0.01 (0.00, 0.01)	0.00 (-0.01, 0.00)	$0.00 \; (-0.01, 0.00) -0.02 \; (-0.02, -0.02) -0.06 \; (-0.06, -0.05) 0.04 \; (0.03, 0.04)$	-0.06 (-0.06, -0.05)	0.04 (0.03, 0.04)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)
Race: B vs W	- 0.02 (-0.08, 0.04)	0.17 (0.12, 0.21)	- 0.06 (-0.15, 0.02)	0.02 (-0.05, 0.09)	0.53 (0.45, 0.61)	1.39 (1.27, 1.51)	$\begin{array}{lll} -0.86 \; (-0.10, -0.75) & -0.16 \; (-0.28, \\ & -0.05) \end{array}$	-0.16 (-0.28, -0.05)
Race: His vs W	- 0.01 (-0.04, 0.02) 0.12 (0.09, 0.15)	0.12 (0.09, 0.15)	-0.24 (-0.29, -0.19)	0.15 (0.11, 0.19)	0.48 (0.43, 0.54)	1.24 (1.16, 1.32)	-0.48 (-0.55 , -0.40) -0.01 (-0.08, 0.07)	- 0.01 (-0.08, 0.07)
Race: Other vs W	- 0.04 (-0.09, 0.01) 0.03 (-0.02, 0.08)	0.03 (-0.02, 0.08)	-0.16 (-0.23, -0.09)	0.09 (0.04, 0.15)	0.24 (0.12, 0.35)	0.48 (0.31, 0.65)	-0.24 (-0.35, -0.12)	0.08 (-0.04, 0.20)
Ed: Higher Ed vs HS	0.03 (-0.01, 0.06)	$-0.08 \; (-0.11, -0.05)$	0.09 (0.03, 0.14)	-0.07 (-0.11, -0.03)	-0.37 (-0.43, -0.31)	$-0.37 \; (-0.43, -0.31) -0.88 \; (-0.10, -0.78) 0.58 \; (0.50, 0.66)$	$0.58\ (0.50,0.66)$	0.17 (0.09, 0.25)
Parity: 1 vs 0	- 0.03 (-0.06, 0.01)	-0.06 (-0.10, -0.03)	-0.06 (-0.12, -0.01)	0.03 (-0.01, 0.07)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.07)	0.05 (-0.06, 0.16)	0.01 (-0.07, 0.09)	- 0.02 (-0.01, 0.06)
Parity: $2 + vs 0$	0.00 (-0.05, 0.06)	0.04 (-0.01, 0.08)	0.01 (-0.07, 0.09)	-0.06 (-0.12, -0.01)	- 0.01 (-0.09, 0.06)	0.03 (-0.10, 0.15)	-0.16 (-0.25 , -0.06) -0.08 (-0.18, 0.01)	- 0.08 (-0.18, 0.01)
Tobacco use vs none	0.06 (-0.06, 0.17)	0.07 (-0.01, 0.15)	0.16 (0.01, 0.32)	0.04 (-0.07, 0.14)	- 0.03 (-0.16, 0.11)	- 0.01 (-0.24, 0.23)	$-0.23 \; (-0.42, -0.05)$	- 0.00 (-0.19, 0.18)
Child Sex: F vs M	0.00 (-0.03, 0.03)	- 0.02 (-0.04, 0.01)	0.00 (-0.04, 0.05)	0.00 (-0.04, 0.05) 0.01 (-0.02, 0.05) 0.05 (-0.01, 0.10)	0.05 (-0.01, 0.10)	0.11 (0.02, 0.21)	- 0.05 (-0.12, 0.02)	- 0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)

(CI = Confidence Interval, B = Non-Hispanic Black, W = Non-Hispanic White, His = Hispanic, Ed = Education, F = Female, M = Male).

 $\textbf{Bold} \ indicates \ significance \ at \ p < 0.05.$

Trasande et al. Page 21

 Table 2b

 Bivariate Associations of Paraben Exposures with Covariates.

Covariate	Butyl paraben Beta (95 % CI)	Ethyl paraben Beta (95 % CI)	Methyl paraben Beta (95 % CI)	Propyl paraben Beta (95 % CI)
Maternal Age	0.00 (-0.00, 0.001)	0.01 (0.00, 0.02)	-0.01 (-0.01, 0.00)	- 0.00 (-0.01, 0.00)
Race: B vs W	0.04 (-0.07, 0.15)	0.14 (0.00, 0.28)	0.43 (0.34, 0.52)	0.43 (0.32, 0.54)
Race: His vs W	0.24 (0.16, 0.32)	0.10 (0.01, 0.18)	0.18 (0.12, 0.25)	0.12 (0.04, 0.20)
Race: Other vs W	0.21 (0.05, 0.37)	0.15 (0.00, 0.31)	0.28 (0.15, 0.41)	0.22 (0.06, 0.38)
Ed: Higher Ed vs HS	-0.11 (-0.19, -0.03)	0.16 (0.06, 0.25)	-0.11 (-0.18, -0.05)	-0.11 (-0.19, -0.03)
Parity: 1 vs 0	0.03 (-0.05, 0.11)	0.02 (-0.07, 0.11)	- 0.01 (-0.08, 0.06)	0.04 (-0.05, 0.12)
Parity: 2 + vs 0	0.03 (-0.07, 0.12)	-0.10 (-0.21, 0.00)	- 0.03 (-0.11, 0.05)	0.00 (-0.10, 0.10)
Tobacco use vs none	- 0.08 (-0.25, 0.09)	0.03 (-0.15, 0.21)	0.03 (-0.11, 0.18)	0.11 (-0.07, 0.28)
Child Sex: F vs M	0.00 (-0.07, 0.08)	- 0.07 (-0.14, 0.01)	- 0.04 (-0.09, 0.02)	- 0.05 (-0.13, 0.02)

 $(CI = Confidence\ Interval,\ B = Non-Hispanic\ Black,\ W = Non-Hispanic\ White,\ His = Hispanic,\ Ed = Education,\ F = Female,\ M = Male).$

Bold indicates significance at p < 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2c

Bivariate Associations of Outcomes with Covariates.

Covariate	Gestational Age Beta (95 % CI)	Preterm OR (95 % CI)	Birth Length Beta (95 % CI)	Birth Weight Beta (95 % CI)	Small for GA OR (95 % CI)	Large for GA OR (95 % CI)	BW for GA Beta (95 % CI)	Low Birth Weight OR (95 % CI)
Maternal Age	-0.00 (-0.01, 0.01) 1 (0.98, 1.02)	1 (0.98, 1.02)	0.04 (0.02, 0.05)	7.18 (4.27, 10.01)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	$0.98\ (0.96, 1.00)$ $1.03\ (1.01, 1.05)$ $0.01\ (0.01, 0.018)$	0.01 (0.01, 0.018)	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)
Race: B vs W	$-0.54 \; (-0.71, -0.36)$	2 (1.42, 2.82)	$^{-1.08}_{-0.74}$,	$-263.00 \; (-315.82, -210.15)$	2.07 (1.55, 2.75)	$ 2.07 \ (1.55, 2.75) 0.60 \ (0.41, 0.86) \begin{array}{ll} -0.40 \ (-0.52, \\ -0.28) \end{array} $	$egin{array}{l} -0.40 & (-0.52, \ -0.28) \end{array}$	2.58 (1.74, 3.82)
Race: His vs W	-0.28 (-0.41 , -0.15) 1.18 (1.18 (0.88, 1.59)	$^{-0.54}_{-0.31}$,	-116.06 (-154.81, -77.30)	1.16 (0.91, 1.48)	1.16 (0.91, 1.48) 0.74 (0.58, 0.94)	$^{-0.18}_{-0.09}(-0.26,$	1.31 (0.92, 1.87)
Race: Other vs W	- 0.14 (-0.33, 0.06)	1.09 (0.70, 1.70)	(0.70, 1.70) - 0.30 (-0.64, 0.03)	-111.48 (-168.91, -54.05)	1.48 (1.06, 2.07)	$1.48\ (1.06, 2.07) 0.64\ (0.43, 0.95) \begin{array}{c} -0.26\ (-0.40,\\ -0.13) \end{array}$	-0.26 (-0.40, -0.13)	1.54 (0.94, 2.5)
Ed: Higher Ed vs HS	0.03 (-0.10, 0.16)	0.89 (0.67, 1.17) 0.52 (0.29, 0.75)	0.52 (0.29, 0.75)	61.45 (22.91, 100.00)	0.78 (0.63, 0.98)	0.78 (0.63 , 0.98) 1.14 (0.89, 1.47) 0.14 (0.05 , 0.22)	0.14 (0.05, 0.22)	0.87 (0.63, 1.20)
Parity: 1 vs 0	$-0.26 \; (-0.39, -0.12)$		1.11 (0.81, 1.51) 0.07 (-0.16, 0.31)	81.80 (41.87, 121.74)	0.64 (0.49, 0.82)	0.64 (0.49, 0.82) 1.44 (1.11, 1.89) 0.06 (-0.02, 0.15)	0.06 (-0.02, 0.15)	0.81 (0.57, 1.15)
Parity: $2 + vs 0$	-0.20 (-0.37 , -0.03) 1.3 (0.89, 1.89)	1.3 (0.89, 1.89)	0.19 (-0.12, 0.50)	99.61 (48.78, 150.43)	0.42 (0.29, 0.62)	1.47 (1.06, 2.05)	0.03 (-0.08, 0.14)	0.60 (0.36, 0.99)
Tobacco use vs none	- 0.078 (-0.38, 0.23) 0.93 (0.47, 1.86)	0.93 (0.47, 1.86)	-0.707 (-1.27, -0.14)	$^{-152.08}_{-61.60}_{(-242.57,}$	1.97 (1.25, 3.1)	0.58 (0.28, 1.19)	-0.41 (-0.61, -0.22)	1.53 (0.78, 2.97)
Child Sex: F vs M	0.06 (-0.05, 0.17)	0.87 (0.68, 1.11)	-0.91 (-1.10, -0.71)	-139.56 (-173.36, -105.76)	1.21 (0.99, 1.48)	1.01 (0.82, 1.26)	1.21 (0.99, 1.48) 1.01 (0.82, 1.26) -0.04 (-0.12, 0.04) 1.46 (1.09, 1.95)	1.46 (1.09, 1.95)

(CI = Confidence Interval, B = Non-Hispanic Black, W = Non-Hispanic White, His = Hispanic, Ed = Education, F = Female, M = Male).

 $\textbf{Bold} \ indicates \ significance \ at \ p < 0.05.$

 Table 3a

 Adjusted associations of phenol exposures with birth outcomes (continuously measured).

Outcome: Gestation	al Age							
	A	cross Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	0.04 (-0.19, 0.28)	701	- 0.13 (-0.44, 0.19)	1557	- 0.06 (-0.27, 0.16)	700	0.09 (-0.18, 0.35)
Bisphenol A	2807	0.07 (-0.08, 0.23)	927	0.03 (-0.21, 0.27)	2031	- 0.01 (-0.16, 0.15)	1385	0.02 (-0.15, 0.19)
Bisphenol F	1724	- 0.02 (-0.18, 0.13)	743	- 0.21 (-0.48, 0.05)	1576	0.10 (-0.07, 0.26)	705	0.02 (-0.19, 0.23)
Bisphenol S	2130	- 0.07 (-0.24, 0.09)	714	- 0.16 (-0.38, 0.07)	1742	- 0.07 (-0.23, 0.10)	969	- 0.03 (-0.19, 0.14
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1573	0.07 (-0.09, 0.23)	48	0.11 (-0.88, 1.11)	877	0.07 (-0.16, 0.29)	784	0.03 (-0.17, 0.24)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1572	0.08 (-0.03, 0.20)	47	0.27 (-0.66, 1.19)	879	0.04 (-0.11, 0.19)	783	0.06 (-0.10, 0.21)
Benzophenone-3	1965	0.01 (-0.09, 0.10)	259	0.22 (-0.10, 0.53)	1259	0.04 (-0.08, 0.15)	806	0.01 (-0.13, 0.16)
Triclosan	2096	- 0.03 (-0.11, 0.06)	338	- 0.04 (-0.27, 0.19)	1289	- 0.06 (-0.16, 0.04)	822	- 0.03 (-0.16, 0.10
Butyl paraben	1723	0.09 (-0.01, 0.19)	126	0.05 (-0.25, 0.36)	945	0.13 (0.01, 0.25)	803	- 0.01 (-0.15, 0.14
Ethyl paraben	1439	- 0.02 (-0.12, 0.08)	171	0.05 (-0.23, 0.33)	957	- 0.02 (-0.14, 0.11)	465	- 0.04 (-0.20, 0.12
Methyl Paraben	1811	0.00 (-0.11, 0.12)	173	0.20 (-0.10, 0.49)	962	- 0.01 (-0.15, 0.13)	832	- 0.08 (-0.24, 0.09
Propyl paraben	1799	0.04 (-0.05, 0.13)	170	0.25 (0.01, 0.50)	957	- 0.03 (-0.14, 0.08)	828	0.01 (-0.12, 0.19)
Outcome: Birth Ler	ngth							
		cross Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1610	- 0.001 (-0.39, 0.38)	672	- 0.13 (-0.61, 0.345)	1504	- 0.10 (-0.46, 0.26)	667	0.03 (-0.40, 0.47)
Bisphenol A	2630	- 0.01 (-0.27, 0.24)	822	- 0.01 (-0.37, 0.35)	1914	- 0.07 (-0.33, 0.19)	1296	0.10 (-0.19, 0.39)
Bisphenol F	1662	- 0.18 (-0.43, 0.08)	713	-0.50 (-0.90, -0.10)	1522	- 0.04 (-0.32, 0.24)	669	0.13 (-0.22, 0.48)
Bisphenol S	2068	0.02 (-0.25, 0.30)	685	- 0.19 (-0.53, 0.15)	1687	0.14 (-0.14, 0.41)	933	- 0.21 (-0.49, 0.08
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1525	0.02 (-0.26, 0.30)	48	2.02 (0.26, 3.78)	858	0.13 (-0.27, 0.53)	753	- 0.03 (-0.39, 0.34
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1524	0.06 (-0.14, 0.26)	47	0.33 (-1.40, 2.06)	860	0.12 (-0.14, 0.38)	752	0.03 (-0.25, 0.31)
Benzophenone-3	1914	- 0.14 (-0.31, 0.03)	259	0.12 (-0.36, 0.60)	1237	- 0.08 (-0.28, 0.12)	775	- 0.12 (-0.38, 0.13
Triclosan	1876	- 0.02 (-0.17, 0.13)	240	- 0.13 (-0.52, 0.27)	1219	- 0.12 (-0.29, 0.05)	768	0.18 (-0.05, 0.41)
Butyl paraben	1557	0.04 (-0.14, 0.22)	55	- 0.29 (-1.00, 0.42)	891	0.06 (-0.16, 0.28)	760	- 0.02 (-0.28, 0.25
Ethyl paraben	1243	0.16 (-0.07, 0.36)	72	0.24 (-0.74, 1.21)	889	0.27 (0.03, 0.50)	434	- 0.09 (-0.43, 0.24
Methyl Paraben	1589	- 0.02 (-0.24, 0.20)	74	- 0.12 (-1.03, 0.78)	894	0.05 (-0.21, 0.30)	775	- 0.14 (-0.44, 0.17)

Page 24 Trasande et al.

Outcome: Gestation	al Age							
	A	cross Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)
Propyl paraben	1588	- 0.03 (-0.20, 0.14)	73	0.33 (-0.45, 1.10)	894	0.02 (-0.18, 0.22)	775	- 0.09 (-0.33, 0.15)
Outcome: Birth We	ight							
	A	cross Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	7.73 (-62.53, 78.00)	701	13.06 (-76.3, 102.42)	1557	11.799 (-53.16, 76.76)	700	- 1.93 (-86.96, 83.09)
Bisphenol A	2807	11.04 (-34.42, 56.50)	927	43.26 (-22.61, 109.14)	2031	3.644 (-42.78, 50.07)	1385	1.30 (-50.08, 52.68)
Bisphenol F	1724	-22.82 (-69.32, 23.68)	743	- 61.89 (-135.54, 11.76)	1576	14.991 (-35.23, 65.22)	705	2.08 (-66.75, 70.91)
Bisphenol S	2130	- 0.90 (-49.34, 47.55)	714	- 17.11 (-81.17, 46.96)	1742	32.376 (-16.85, 81.60)	969	- 44.59 (-97.21, 8.03)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1573	30.80 (-17.72, 79.33)	48	90.32 (-214.07, 394.70)	877	68.872 (-4.54, 142.29)	784	20.89 (-41.57, 83.351)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1572	11.88 (-22.69, 46.45)	47	111.32 (-168.03, 390.68)	879	4.628 (-43.13, 52.39)	783	15.20 (-31.95, 62.34)
Benzophenone-3	1965	-29.21 (-58.03, -0.40)	259	- 10.65 (-98.74, 77.44)	1259	- 16.492 (-52.07, 19.09)	806	- 24.37 (-67.28, 18.55)
Triclosan	2096	- 8.18 (-33.57, 17.21)	338	- 43.19 (-109.29, 22.91)	1289	- 7.764 (-39.00, 23.47)	822	4.034 (-34.67, 42.74)
Butyl paraben	1723	3.13 (-26.68, 32.93)	126	- 13.21 (-116.27, 89.79)	945	16.321 (-22.45, 55.09)	803	- 17.80 (-61.34, 25.74)
Ethyl paraben	1439	- 17.51 (-50.34, 15.38)	171	- 6.28 (-97.82, 85.26)	957	3.765 (-37.78, 45.31)	465	-73.74 (-128.80, -18.678)
Methyl Paraben	1811	- 34.00 (-68.93, 0.94)	173	32.41 (-65.72, 130.55)	962	- 31.995 (-76.95, 12.96)	832	- 49.04 (-98.95, 0.87)
Propyl paraben	1799	- 4.36 (-32.15, 23.43)	170	57.20 (–23.30, 137.71)	957	- 18.066 (-54.26, 18.12)	828	- 5.52 (-45.14, 34.10)
Outcome: Birthweig	ght for (Gestational Age Z-Sco	ore					
	A	cross Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	0.01 (-0.14, 0.16)	701	0.07 (-0.12, 0.25)	1557	0.06 (-0.079, 0.194)	700	- 0.01 (-0.19, 0.17)
Bisphenol A	2800	- 0.01 (-0.11, 0.09)	926	0.08 (-0.06, 0.21)	2030	0.01 (-0.089, 0.106)	1380	- 0.01 (-0.13, 0.11)
Bisphenol F	1724	- 0.04 (-0.14, 0.06)	743	- 0.08 (-0.23, 0.07)	1576	- 0.01 (-0.11, 0.101)	705	0.00 (-0.14, 0.15)
Bisphenol S	2130	0.01 (-0.10, 0.11)	714	0.02 (-0.11, 0.15)	1742	0.11 (0.004, 0.212)	969	- 0.10 (-0.22, 0.01)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1567	0.05 (-0.07, 0.16)	48	0.26 (-0.39, 0.91)	877	0.14 (-0.023, 0.307)	779	0.07 (-0.08, 0.21)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1566	- 0.00 (-0.08, 0.08)	47	0.03 (-0.55, 0.60)	879	0.01 (-0.096, 0.12)	778	0.04 (-0.07, 0.15)

Outcome: Gestatio	nal Age							
	A	cross Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)	N	Beta (95 % CI)
Benzophenone-3	1959	-0.08 (-0.15, -0.02)	259	- 0.13 (-0.30, 0.04)	1259	- 0.06 (-0.132, 0.023)	801	- 0.07 (-0.17, 0.04)
Triclosan	2090	- 0.01 (-0.06, 0.05)	338	- 0.10 (-0.23, 0.02)	1289	0.01 (-0.06, 0.076)	817	0.03 (-0.07, 0.12)
Butyl paraben	1717	- 0.04 (-0.11, 0.03)	126	- 0.08 (-0.32, 0.15)	945	- 0.02 (-0.109, 0.065)	798	- 0.04 (-0.14, 0.07)
Ethyl paraben	1439	- 0.03 (-0.11, 0.04)	171	- 0.04 (-0.240, 0.16)	957	0.03 (-0.061, 0.124)	465	-0.16 (-0.28, -0.03)
Methyl Paraben	1805	-0.10 (-0.18, -0.02)	173	- 0.02 (-0.24, 0.19)	962	- 0.06 (-0.162, 0.04)	827	- 0.10 (-0.21, 0.02)
Propyl paraben	1793	- 0.03 (-0.09, 0.03)	170	0.02 (-0.16, 0.19)	957	- 0.03 (-0.106, 0.055)	823	- 0.02 (-0.11, 0.07)

Models adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, parity, and education, and child sex; cohort as random effect. BW for GA model removes parity and child sex from covariates. (CI = Confidence Interval).

Bold indicates significance at p < 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Table 3b

Adjusted Associations of Phenol Exposures with Categorical Birth Outcomes.

		Across Fregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	1.24 (0.72, 2.12)	701	0.94 (0.43, 2.07)	1557	1.26 (0.77, 2.07)	700	0.86 (0.40, 1.88)
Bisphenol A	2807	0.89 (0.61, 1.29)	927	0.69 (0.40, 1.17)	2031	1.09 (0.76, 1.57)	1385	0.97 (0.61, 1.55)
Bisphenol F	1724	1.36 (0.98, 1.88)	743	1.41 (0.83, 2.41)	1576	1.06 (0.72, 1.55)	705	1.07 (0.58, 1.96)
Bisphenol S	2130	1.01 (0.66, 1.53)	714	1.06 (0.62, 1.83)	1742	1.08 (0.72, 1.61)	696	0.90 (0.53, 1.52)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1573	0.81 (0.51, 1.27)			877	0.74 (0.38, 1.45)	784	1.17 (0.70, 1.95)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1572	0.96 (0.70, 1.32)			879	1.05 (0.69, 1.62)	783	1.19 (0.83, 1.71)
Benzophenone-3	1965	1.02 (0.79, 1.31)	259	0.85 (0.44, 1.65)	1259	0.97 (0.72, 1.3)	908	0.96 (0.64, 1.43)
Triclosan	2096	1.08 (0.87, 1.35)	338	0.96 (0.60, 1.52)	1289	1.05 (0.81, 1.36)	822	1.36 (0.95, 1.96)
Butyl paraben	1723	0.96 (0.73, 1.25)	126	0.62 (0.21, 1.86)	945	0.90 (0.63, 1.29)	803	1.28 (0.87, 1.87)
Ethyl paraben	1439	1.09 (0.82, 1.45)	171	0.73 (0.31, 1.69)	957	1.14 (0.81, 1.61)	465	1.27 (0.72, 2.26)
Methyl Paraben	1811	0.96 (0.71, 1.31)	173	0.88 (0.40, 1.96)	396	1.05 (0.71, 1.54)	832	1.04 (0.64, 1.68)
Propyl paraben	1799	0.99 (0.77, 1.26)	170	0.71 (0.38, 1.35)	957	1.12 (0.83, 1.53)	828	0.99 (0.67, 1.44)
Outcome: Small for Gestational Age Across Preg	Gestati Acı	iational Age Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1	•	Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	1.05 (0.65, 1.69)	701	0.92 (0.52, 1.62)	1557	0.90 (0.57, 1.40)	700	1.5 (0.89, 2.54)
Bisphenol A	2800	1.06 (0.78, 1.43)	926	0.82 (0.55, 1.21)	2030	0.95 (0.71, 1.29)	1380	1.33 (0.94, 1.88)
Bisphenol F	1724	1.12 (0.81, 1.53)	743	1.27 (0.81, 1.99)	1576	1.05 (0.74, 1.49)	705	1.06 (0.69, 1.64)
Bisphenol S	2130	1.10 (0.80, 1.53)	714	1.11 (0.75, 1.63)	1742	0.76 (0.55, 1.06)	696	1.52 (1.08, 2.13)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1567	0.95 (0.67, 1.34)	48	0.11 (0.00, 6.04)	877	0.86 (0.49, 1.50)	622	0.97 (0.63, 1.51)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1566	1.00 (0.78, 1.27)	47	0.30 (0.02, 4.90)	879	1.05 (0.74, 1.50)	778	0.96 (0.69, 1.34)
Benzophenone-3	1959	1.29 (1.05, 1.58)	259	1.17 (0.70, 1.95)	1259	1.19 (0.92, 1.54)	801	1.46 (1.09, 1.96)
Triclosan	2090	1.15 (0.96, 1.37)	338	1.02 (0.69, 1.51)	1289	1.18 (0.95, 1.47)	817	1.16 (0.89, 1.52)

	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Ethyl paraben	1439	1.07 (0.85, 1.34)	171	1.19 (0.66, 2.12)	957	0.75 (0.54, 1.04)	465	1.72 (1.21, 2.45)
Methyl Paraben	1805	1.32 (1.03, 1.70)	173	1.87 (0.92, 3.80)	962	1.30 (0.92, 1.83)	827	1.11 (0.78, 1.57)
Propyl paraben	1793	1.10 (0.90, 1.34)	170	1.27 (0.76, 2.12)	957	1.14 (0.87, 1.50)	823	0.98 (0.74, 1.29)
Outcome: Large for Gestational Age	Gestati	onal Age						
	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	1.22 (0.78, 1.91)	701	1.26 (0.65, 2.44)	1557	1.03 (0.68, 1.56)	700	1.47 (0.78, 2.78)
Bisphenol A	2800	1.08 (0.78, 1.49)	926	1.13 (0.71, 1.79)	2030	0.93 (0.67, 1.28)	1380	1.41 (0.94, 2.13)
Bisphenol F	1724	1.04 (0.78, 1.40)	743	1.38 (0.85, 2.24)	1576	1.02 (0.74, 1.40)	705	1.25 (0.76, 2.07)
Bisphenol S	2130	1.10 (0.77, 1.56)	714	0.80 (0.50, 1.28)	1742	1.18 (0.84, 1.65)	696	0.80 (0.51, 1.27)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1567	1.11 (0.78, 1.58)	48	0.60 (0.06, 6.26)	877	1.49 (0.92, 2.41)	622	1.15 (0.71, 1.87)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1566	1.03 (0.79, 1.34)	47	0.69 (0.08, 5.86)	879	1.25 (0.91, 1.71)	778	1.13 (0.78, 1.64)
Benzophenone-3	1959	0.81 (0.66, 1.00)	259	0.85 (0.46, 1.54)	1259	0.92 (0.73, 1.16)	801	0.85 (0.60, 1.22)
Triclosan	2090	1.13 (0.95, 1.35)	338	0.61 (0.39, 0.96)	1289	1.17 (0.96, 1.42)	817	1.59 (1.18, 2.13)
Butyl paraben	1717	0.95 (0.76, 1.19)	126	1.75 (0.87, 3.54)	945	0.90 (0.68, 1.18)	862	1.04 (0.73, 1.47)
Ethyl paraben	1439	1.05 (0.82, 1.34)	171	1.11 (0.61, 2.04)	957	1.06 (0.80, 1.40)	465	1.36 (0.81, 2.28)
Methyl Paraben	1805	0.89 (0.70, 1.15)	173	2.01 (0.93, 4.33)	962	0.79 (0.59, 1.06)	827	0.84 (0.57, 1.25)
Propyl paraben	1793	0.97 (0.79, 1.18)	170	1.63 (0.91, 2.93)	957	0.84 (0.67, 1.06)	823	0.97 (0.71, 1.33)
Outcome: Low Birthweight	nweight							
	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	119	0.76 (0.24, 2.40)	40	1.36 (0.17, 10.60)	1111	0.63 (0.21, 1.91)	39	2.82 (0.34, 23.46)
Bisphenol A	195	0.87 (0.38, 2.02)	99	0.88 (0.25, 3.05)	144	0.77 (0.35, 1.70)	83	5.96 (1.07, 33.20)
Bisphenol F	131	1.24 (0.58, 2.65)	48	1.80 (0.42, 7.65)	115	0.63 (0.24, 1.66)	39	2.53 (0.42, 15.05)
Bisphenol S	135	0.79 (0.32, 1.92)	41	1.03 (0.24, 4.47)	116	0.73 (0.32, 1.68)	49	1.99 (0.42, 9.51)

	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1	-	Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	100	0.62 (0.15, 2.57)			58	0.21 (0.02, 1.78)	47	0.44 (0.04, 5.25)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	100	0.55 (0.18, 1.71)			58	0.39 (0.08, 1.91)	47	0.24 (0.02, 2.39)
Outcome: Low Birthweight (Preterms only)	hweight	(Preterms only)						
	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1667	1.16 (0.62, 2.19)	701	1.33 (0.64, 2.79)	1557	0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	700	1.30 (0.63, 2.69)
Bisphenol A	2807	0.97 (0.64, 1.48)	927	0.84 (0.5, 1.4)	2031	1.01 (0.67, 1.50)	1385	1.51 (0.91, 2.53)
Bisphenol F	1724	1.56 (1.07, 2.30)	743	2.11 (1.27, 3.53)	1576	1.06 (0.67, 1.67)	705	0.86 (0.47, 1.59)
Bisphenol S	2130	0.86 (0.54, 1.38)	714	1.26 (0.75, 2.12)	1742	0.75 (0.48, 1.17)	696	1.77 (1.07, 2.93)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1573	0.57 (0.33, 0.98)	48	0.20 (0, 71.72)	877	0.67 (0.28, 1.59)	784	1.06 (0.54, 2.08)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1572	0.71 (0.47, 1.08)	47	0.19 (0.01, 6.81)	879	0.96 (0.58, 1.60)	783	0.91 (0.54, 1.54)
Benzophenone-3	1965	0.87 (0.63, 1.18)	259	0.80 (0.42, 1.52)	1259	0.89 (0.62, 1.29)	908	0.88 (0.54, 1.43)
Triclosan	2096	1.16 (0.89, 1.52)	338	1.24 (0.78, 1.97)	1289	1.14 (0.84, 1.56)		
Butyl paraben	1723	1.17 (0.86, 1.59)	126	1.90 (0.68, 5.37)	945	1.04 (0.70, 1.55)	803	1.25 (0.80, 1.94)
Ethyl paraben	1439	1.13 (0.80, 1.59)	171	1.02 (0.49, 2.11)	957	0.76 (0.46, 1.24)	465	2.23 (1.32, 3.76)
Methyl Paraben	1811	1.13 (0.77, 1.66)	173	1.19 (0.53, 2.65)	962	1.00 (0.61, 1.64)	832	1.49 (0.85, 2.64)
Propyl paraben	1799	0.97 (0.72, 1.31)	170	0.88 (0.48, 1.61)	957	1.03 (0.69, 1.52)	828	1.09 (0.70, 1.72)
Outcome: Low Birthweight (Preterms only)	hweight	(Preterms only)						
	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	Z	Beta (95 % CI)	Z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Benzophenone-3	125	0.61 (0.26, 1.44)	19	0.00 (0.00, 6.93)	83	0.94 (0.38, 2.29)	47	0.40 (0.03, 4.52)
Triclosan	134	0.86 (0.47, 1.56)	25	0.30 (0.02, 4.39)			48	1.44 (0.33, 6.22)
Butyl paraben	113	1.45 (0.62, 3.39)			2	2.35 (0.63, 8.82)	47	1.35 (0.40, 4.63)
Ethyl paraben	92	1.11 (0.54, 2.28)			65	0.89 (0.36, 2.23)		
Methyl Paraben	120	0.97 (0.41, 2.32)			99	1.15 (0.36, 3.71)	84	3.39 (0.43, 26.85)

	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Propyl paraben	120	0.64 (0.32, 1.28)			99	0.72 (0.28, 1.84)	48	1.31 (0.34, 4.97)
Outcome: Low Birthweight (Terms only)	nweight	(Terms only)						
	Acı	Across Pregnancy		Trimester 1		Trimester 2		Trimester 3
Phenol Name	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)	z	Beta (95 % CI)
Sum Bisphenols	1548	1.56 (0.61, 4.00)	661	1.93 (0.70, 5.32)	1446	1.00 (0.40, 2.49)	661	1.17 (0.42, 3.25)
Bisphenol A	2612	1.27 (0.69, 2.35)	861	1.17 (0.57, 2.43)	1887	1.12 (0.60, 2.08)	1302	1.27 (0.65, 2.48)
Bisphenol F	1593	1.94 (1.09, 3.44)	969	2.36 (1.11, 5.02)	1461	1.39 (0.71, 2.72)	999	0.78 (0.33, 1.85)
Bisphenol S	1995	0.96 (0.49, 1.89)	673	1.62 (0.77, 3.38)	1626	0.69 (0.36, 1.35)	920	2.16 (1.13, 4.14)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	1473	0.49 (0.24, 1.03)			819	0.48 (0.12, 1.94)	737	0.62 (0.28, 1.37)
2,5-Dichlorophenol	1472	0.69 (0.44, 1.10)	45	0.09 (0.00, 33.95)	821	1.01 (0.50, 2.08)	736	0.63 (0.37, 1.09)
Benzophenone-3	1840	0.94 (0.61, 1.43)	240	1.03 (0.40, 2.64)	1176	1.02 (0.59, 1.76)	759	1.11 (0.63, 1.94)
Triclosan	1962	1.21 (0.83, 1.76)	313	1.64 (0.78, 3.45)	1204	1.14 (0.71, 1.84)	774	1.27 (0.75, 2.15)
Butyl paraben	1610	1.36 (0.91, 2.03)	1117	7.49 (0.98, 57.08)	881	1.17 (0.67, 2.06)		
Ethyl paraben	1347	1.10 (0.68, 1.78)	158	1.19 (0.41, 3.48)	892	0.45 (0.20, 1.04)	445	2.34 (1.20, 4.53)
Methyl Paraben	1691	1.34 (0.80, 2.25)	160	1.16 (0.35, 3.85)	968	1.34 (0.62, 2.89)	784	1.56 (0.77, 3.15)
Propyl paraben	1679	1.22 (0.81, 1.84)	157	1.20 (0.48, 3.01)	891	1.42 (0.77, 2.62)	780	1.11 (0.64, 1.93)

Models adjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, parity, and education, and child sex; cohort as random effect. Blank cells reflect model non-convergence. (CI = Confidence Interval, OR = Odds Ratio).

Bold indicates significance at p<0.05.