

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Lipidomics joins the omics evolution

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/35d1c0v4>

Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(7)

ISSN

0027-8424

Author

Dennis, Edward A

Publication Date

2009-02-17

DOI

10.1073/pnas.0812636106

Peer reviewed

Lipidomics joins the omics evolution

Edward A. Dennis¹

Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0601

The end of the 20th century was marked by the genomics revolution, and one might say that the beginning of the 21st century is marked by efforts to bring our knowledge of a cell's proteins, known as proteomics, to a par with our growing knowledge of a cell's transcripts, known as transcriptomics. Many of us believe that the next evolution of the omics revolution will be to map all of the metabolites of a cell, known as metabolomics. Leading the way in these efforts is the work in many laboratories on one subset of the metabolome, the lipidome, aimed at mapping all of the lipids of a cell, known as lipidomics (1–4). The ultimate goal is to evolve an integrated omics picture (the “interactome”) of the genes, transcripts, proteins, and metabolites to fully describe cellular functioning. One leading effort in the lipidomics evolution is described in this issue of PNAS (5).

Ejsing *et al.* (5) have used advanced mass spectrometry (MS) techniques combined with state-of-the-art data analysis software to identify 342 lipid molecular species in the yeast lipidome and to quantify them; they estimate that their findings constitutes 95% of the lipid molecules present putting its coverage on a par with the early efforts at gene sequencing. This effort allowed these authors to describe the major lipid molecular species comprising the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* membranes. These include glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids, and sterols as well as the neutral glycerolipids. The authors have described the detailed metabolic pathways for the interacting of these diverse lipid species.

The LIPID MAPS Initiative in lipidomics (1, 4) in conjunction with the International Committee for the Classification and Nomenclature of Lipids (ICCNL) have defined 8 categories of lipids and numerous classes and subclasses (6, 7) to allow one to describe lipid molecular species. Fig. 1 illustrates examples of molecular species of lipids in each of 6 of these categories that exist in yeast, although the free fatty acids and prenols were not reported in the present study. (See www.lipidmaps.org.) The goal of lipidomics is to define and quantitate all of the lipid molecular species in a cell, but this is complicated by the extraordinary number of combinations possible with the large number of known fatty acids that can occupy in

various combinations the 3 positions on the glycerol backbone of monoacylglycerols (MAGs), diacylglycerols (DAGs), and triacylglycerols (TAGs). Similarly, in monoacylglycerolphosphates (lysophospholipids) and diacylglycerolphosphates (phospholipids), fatty acyl groups can occupy 1 or 2 positions on the glycerol backbone, respectively, and the phosphate can be esterified to a large variety of polar head groups. Thus, the possible number of molecular species for a given set of fatty acids (and polar head groups) is very large and so far it has not been straightforward to define all of the molecular species and their stereochemistry for a given lipid. Even the sterol esters that sometimes occur with a single fatty acid chain, the sphingolipids with a defined fatty acid-derived hydrocarbon chain, and an amide-linked fatty acid have numerous possibilities.

Genes and transcripts do not always predict the precise levels of active proteins/enzymes.

Ejsing *et al.* (5) in choosing yeast have simplified the challenge because these cells can be grown on a minimal medium lacking lipids and this organism is capable of only synthesizing a limited number of fatty acids, such as palmitic acid (16:0), stearic acid (18:0), etc., and can only desaturate in the $\Delta 9$ position to give a limited number of unsaturated fatty acids, such as palmitoleic acid (16:1 *cis*-9) and oleic acid (18:1 *cis*-9). This has greatly simplified the task involved in studying the lipidome of yeast compared with the challenges of mammalian cells, where there are tens of thousands of distinct molecular species of lipids.

There are 2 fundamentally different approaches to lipidomics analysis. (i) The LIPID MAPS Consortium has chosen to develop extraction protocols optimized for each lipid category, and in some cases specific classes of lipids, and then to use liquid chromatography (LC) to optimally separate the specific molecular species of lipids present (8–13). The LC eluate is then coupled directly to a mass spectrometer for further

online analysis such as molecular fragmentation (MSMS), multiple reaction monitoring (MRM), and multiple precursor ion scanning (MPIS). In short, a kind of divide-and-conquer strategy. (ii) An alternative approach, sometimes referred to as “shotgun lipidomics” as used by Ejsing *et al.* (5), begins with an off-line lipid extraction, but then subjects these extracts directly to MS analysis without LC separation. In the specific study reported herein, Ejsing *et al.* actually subjected their yeast cells to 2 different extraction protocols, one to separate the more hydrophobic lipids and a second extraction with a more polar solvent. In some runs, they first acetylated the sample or included meth-ylamine in the procedure to optimize the analysis. Additionally, their analysis used 2 different types of mass spectrometers, a quadrupole time-of-flight instrument in which they used MRM and MPIS and a linear ion trap-orbitrap instrument that is capable of providing extremely accurate mass determinations. With each instrument, they analyzed samples in both negative and positive ionization modes. The extremely complicated data output was then deciphered by using sophisticated analysis software. Their shotgun lipidomics approach allowed them to identify in yeast some 21 different lipids that were quantitated as 342 unique molecular species.

The use of some specialized MS scan modes (e.g., MRM) allows for high sensitivity; however, a drawback is that one only finds what one is looking for. Combined with the use of internal standards, these techniques allow for the identification and quantification of the lipids known to be present, but do not, by themselves, lead to the finding of novel or unexpected molecular species. Ejsing *et al.* (5) made critical use of multiple lipid standards obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids which had been developed under LIPID MAPS auspices (14), but they also had to develop their own standards for some specific yeast phyto-sphingolipids. The yeast lipidome is characterized by the usual TAG, DAG,

Author contributions: E.A.D. wrote the paper.

The author declares no conflict of interest.

See companion article on page 2136.

¹E-mail: edennis@ucsd.edu.

© 2009 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA

