Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title

EVIDENCE FOR ANOMALOUS NUCLEI AMONG RELATIVISTIC PROJECTILE FRAGMENTS AT BEVALAC ENERGIES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3421265t>

Author

Heckman, H.H.

Publication Date

1981

Peer reviewed

;
...

•<u>toF-igoio.^t|—-3^f

IR Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MASTER

l e.

Presented at the Workshop on Future Relativistic Heapy Ion Experiments, Gesellsehaft fur Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, *V,* Germany, October 7-10, 1'980

EVIDENCE FOR ANOMALOUS NUCLEI AMONG HELATIVISTIC PROJECTILE FRAGMENTS AT BEVALAC ENERGIES

Harry H. Heckman

January 1981

Prepared for the U S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7'405-ENG-48

EVIDENCE FOR ANOMALOUS NUCLEI AMONG RELATIVISTIC PROJECTILE FRAGMENTS AT BEVALAC ENERGIES

Harry H. Heckman Nuclear Science Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California!94720

When I arrived this afternoon to register for the Workshop, I was immediately approached by Reinhard Stock who asked me to give a resume of the LBL-NRC Bevalac experiment on the "short-mean-free path" phenomenon.

"I'd be glad to ... which day and how much time?"

"Today, in a few hours from now, in fact, at the end of Bondorf's session... We can allow about 15-20 minutes, including discussion," Reinhard informed me.

After the appropriate pause for silence, followed by a rapid selection of a few transparencies (even the making of one), I find myself inescapably behind the lecturn.

First, let me introduce you to members of the LBL-NRC collaboration. In Fig. 1 I have reproduced the list of authors for our recent Physical Review Letter. [A reprint of this letter is given in the appendix which supplies the reader with the finer details of the experiment.]

> E. M. Friedlander, R. W. Gimpel, H. H. Heckman, and Y. J. Karant *Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720*

> > and

B. Judek *Division of Physics, National Research Council, Ottawa K1A ORG. Canada*

and

E. Ganssauge *Fachbereich Physik, Philipps Vniversitat,* D-35.50 *Marburg, Federal Republic of Germany*

OSCLAMER -

Fig. 1

Disnimni OF IHIS OOCUMEBT IS UHIMED */\$)*

¹⁰0 projectiles at 2.1 GeV/nucleon, whereas at LBL, Erwin Friedlander, **Barbara Judek, NRC, carried out her part of the experiment using 56,.** Eberhard Ganssauge, Roy Gimpel, Kasha Karant and I used Fe at 1.88 GeV/nucleon. The analysis of the data from each of the independent experiments was carried out at Berkeley. The results of both experiments were compatible v.ich each other, hence combined to improve the statistical accuracy of the overall result.

Figure 2 shows a schematic diagram of the configuration of the emulsion stacks used in the experiment. The stacks were fabricated with ILFORD G.5, 600-vim-thick pellicles. Stack I (NRC) contained 50 pellicles, 15 x 30 cm^c; stack II (LBL) 41 pellicles, 7.5 x 12 cm^c. The stacks were exposed to the Bevalac beams normal to the face of entry to the stack, parallel to the emulsion surfaces. Scanning of the pellicles involved picking up the entering beam nuclei within a few mm from the entrance edge,

vidual track until it either interacted or left the stack. All relativistic tracks *Z* ≥ 3 emitted from the primary, secondary, tertiary, ... generations within a 100-mrad forward cone were followed until the topology of each event was completely determined.

following along each indi-

ILFORD G.5 EMULSION

600um pailicles

F ! g. 2

- 2-

Figure 3 is a microprojection drawing of an event of the type we observe in the experiment. Irrespective of what Howell Pugh told you this afternoon when he showed this same event, this is not our most impressive example of a linear chain of successive interactions—although admittedly it is not all that common either. In this event, an ⁵⁶Fe initiates a sequence of projectile fragmentations for which the charge of the leading fragment for each generation, second through fourth, is $Z = 24$, 20 and 11; the fourth generation fragment gives rise to a collision where *no* projectile fragment $Z \geqslant 3$ is produced, thus terminating the event for this experiment. Actually, the longest chain of this type was observed by Barbara Judek, where the sequence of fragmentations was '~O $+$ N $+$ C $+$ B $+$ $B \rightarrow B \rightarrow Be \rightarrow He$ (out stack), i.e., a 7-generation event.

Event 33/1

794-9277

Fig. 3

The results of our experiment are based on a total of 1460 interactions over all generations. For each projectile fragment (PF) we measured its charge Z, its potential path T available for interaction in the detector, and the distance x to the interaction point if it interacted.

The principal aspects of our experiment I wish to present are concerned with the distributions of the interaction distances \underline{x} and the mean-free-path (mfp) given by:

$$
\lambda_{\bar{Z}}^* = \Sigma S_{\gamma}/N_{\bar{Z}} \quad . \tag{1}
$$

The quantity $\sum S_i$ is the total path length followed for both interacting on noninteracting PFs of charge Z that leads to $N₇$ interactions. I remind you that the estimate λ^* by this method is independent of the size of the detector.

Because our title uses the word "anomalous", we imply we know what "normal" is . Normal behavior, by definition, is that exhibited by primary beam nuclei; incidentally, this behavior is well approximated by known nuclear physics. An important result of our measurements of λ of beam nuclei, $2 \le l \le 26$ is that they can be parameterized as

$$
\lambda_7 = \Lambda Z^{-D} \quad , \tag{2}
$$

where the *!* for beam nuclei $A_{\text{beam}} = 30.4 \pm 1.6$ cm and $b = 0.44 \pm 0.02$. beam $B_{\rm c}$ are able to combine all $B_{\rm c}$

$$
\lambda^* = \sum_{Z} \lambda_Z^* N_Z Z^0 / \sum_{Z} N_Z
$$
 (3)

Equations (1-3) completely describe the basic arithmetic of the experiment.

Now, let's look at some results.

Figure 4 shows two distributions for the interaction distances *^* for projectile fragments (all generations) with potential paths $T \geq T_1 = 3$ and 9 cm. The solid histogram presents the data of the experiment, the dashed histogram is the prediction from A_{beam} . An excess of events over that predicted is evident at small x , particularly for the case $T_1 = 3$ cm. For T_1 = 9 cm, the number of events also shows an excess at small \underline{x} , but, **For T, = 9 cm, the number of events also shows an excess at small £, but,**

within the errors of the data, becomes "normal" at distances \times $\frac{3}{2}$ 4-5 cm. Similar behavior is also seen in Fig. 5, where the mean-free-path * parameter A is plotted as a function of the distance D from the origin of emission of the projectile fragment. For the first few centimeters * *!,* is low, becoming compatible with Λ_{beam}

Fig. *4*

XBL 808-11485

$-5-$

Fig. 5

fur 0 £ 5 cm. The differences between the observations and the expectations based on beam calibrations illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5 exceed 3-3.1 standard deviations.

To gain some insight as to the nature of this excess in the number of interactions that PFs undergo at small distances we make the following assumption: In addition to normal nuclei, there is an "anomalous" component of PFs produced with probability a, having a constant "short" mfp, λ_2 . Estimates of a and λ_a by x^2 -minimization from the data give $a^* \cong 6\%$, and $\lambda_a^* \cong 2.5$ cm. (For comparison: $\lambda_{56}Fe^{\infty/7.5}$ cm, $\lambda_{4}He^{\infty/22}$ cm.) Calculations based on this admixture of projectile fragments with the above **tions based on this admixture of projectile fragments with the above parameters are shown as solid curves in Figs. 4 and 5; they are clearly compatible with the experimental data.**

Let's take the characteristic distance $\lambda_a^* = 2.5$ cm as physically significant and ask the following question, first posed by Erwin Friedlander: Does the mfp of a tertiary PF depend on the interaction distance x of the **Does the mfp of a tertiary PF depend on the interaction distance x^ of the secondary PF that produces it? Specifically, do the A s for tertiary PFs** that originate from secondary interactions at distances i) $\frac{x}{2}$ _{cec} ≤ 2.5 cm **end ii)** *x >* **2.5 cm differ? From Fig. 6 we learn that, with good probability, the answer is, amazingly, yes.**

Plotted in Fig. 6 are the likelihood curves of A for tertiary PFs when $\frac{x}{2.5}$ ².5 cm. If we examine first the case where the production **sites of the tertiary fragments** *are x.* **> 2.5 cm from the primary beam interaction we see that the likelihood curve is broad, with a maximum at** $\mathcal{L}^*(2.5) \approx 30$ cm, consistent with Λ_{beam}^* . The likelihood curve for $x_{\text{sec}} \leq 2.5$ cm, on the other hand, is significantly narrower and has a maximum of Λ^* (\leq 2.5) \approx 12 cm. Note that at 30 cm the likelihood function for $A^{\star}(\leqslant 2.5)$ is $\approx 10^{-2}$ of that for $A^{\star}(\geqslant 2.5)$. This result demonstrates

-6-

Fig. 6

that there is a correlation between the interaction distance x of the **secondary PF and the mfp of the resultant tertiary PF. This property** *can* **only arise if anomalous PFs possess "memory"; that is, the "anomalous" rhararter of projectile fragments tends to persist in subsequent fragmentation reactions. The consequence of this observation is the prediction that the value of the mfp estimated from tertiary and later generations of PFs should be less than that estimated from secondary PFs. This, in fact, is observed, with** *\^* **for the tertiary and higher generation PFs showing an mfp shorter by M5 % than for secondary PFs.**

The salient results of the experiment are as follows:

j) Over the first several centimeters after emerging from an interaction, PFs are observed to have shorter mfps than those obtained from (normal) beam nuclei of the same charge Z; b) at distances greater than = 5 cm, the mfps are compatible with being "normal"; c) under the assumption that there are two populations of PFs, normal and anomalous, a best fit to the data is obtained when *%6%* **of the PFs have an anomalously** short mfp λ _a \approx 2.5 cm (\sim 94% of the PFs behave normally); and d) the **anomalous property of PFs persists (shows "memory") in subsequent fragmentation reactions.**

-8-

- 9 - VOLUME45 , NUMBER **I.*** **PHYSICA L REVIEW " LETTER S 29 SEPTEMBER I98U**

 \mathbf{R}

AppenJix.

Evidence for Anomalous Nuclei among Relativistic Projectile Fragments from Heavy-Ion Collisions at 2 GeV/Nucleon

E. M. Friedlander, R. W. Gimpel, H. H. Heckman, and Y. J. Karant *Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley. California 94720*

and

B. Judek *Division of Physics. National Research Council, Ottawa K1A ORG, Canada*

and

E. Ganssauge Fachbereich Physik, Philipps Universität, D-3550 Marburg, Federal Republic of Germany (Received 27 June 1980)

Two independent emulsion experiments using Bevalac beams of "O and $\rm{^{56}Fe}$ at $\rm{\sim}$ 2 GeV nucleon find with >99.7% confidence that the reaction mean-free paths of projectile fragments, $3 \leq 2 \leq 26$, are shorter for a few centimeters after their emission than at larger distances, or than predicted from experiments on beam nuclei. This effect, which is enhanced in later generations of fragments, can be interpreted by the relatively rare occurrence of fragments that interact with an unexpectedly large cross section.

PACS numbers: 25.70.Hi, 25.70.Bc

Evidence for anomalously short reaction mean free paths (mfp) of projectile fragments (PF) 10^{-11} s proper time) the PF's exhibit signifi
from high-energy heavy-ion collisions has been cantly shorter mfp's than those derived from from high-energy heavy-ion collisions has been persistently reported in cosmic-ray studies¹⁻⁷ since 1954; however, because of limited statis- larger distances from the emission point, the tics, these results have not gained recognition. In mfp's revert to "normality" in the above sense;
To overcome this limitation, we have performed (c) the data are incompatible with a homogeneous To overcome this limitation, we have performed two independent similar experiments with beams lowering of the mfp and require the presence

Our results, based upon 1460 events, can be expectedly high reaction cross section.
ummarized as follows: (a) Over the first few Two stacks of Ilford G5 nuclear research emulsummarized as follows: (a) Over the first few centimeters after emerging from a nuclear in- \sim sion pellicles, 600 μ m thick, were exposed to

teraction $\left(\sim 10 \text{ cm} / \text{cm}^2 \right)$ of matter traversed or -10^{-11} s proper time) the PF's exhibit signifi- "normal" beams of the same charge *Z* (b) at from the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Bevalac. among PF's of at least one component with an un-
Our results, based upon 1460 events, can be expectedly high reaction cross section.

1084 **6 C 1980 The American' Physical Society**

-10-

VOLUME 45. NUMBER 13.

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 29 SEPTEMBER 1980

relativistic heavy-ion beams parallel to the emulsion surfaces (I, 2.1-GeV/nucleon ¹⁶O; II, 1.88-GeV/nucleon *⁶ Fe). Stack I, pellicle size 15 * 30 cm², was scanned and measured⁸ at Natio**na**l Research Council of Canada; stack II, 7.5×12 cm², at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.

Interactions, defined as events showing emission of at least one target- or projectile-related track, were collected by scanning along the tracks of beam nuclei. Relativistic,tracks of charge *Z -.•* 3 emitted from all generations of the extra-nuclear cascade within a 100-mrad forward cone were followed until they either interacted or left the stack. By oxtra-nuclear cascade we mean the sequence of nuclear collisions induced by the beam nucleus and the products of successive fragmentations. Events have been observed up to the seventh generation in stack I, and up to the fifth in stack H. For each PF we measured its charge *Z* to a precision of one charge unit, the distance 7 available for interaction in the detector (the potential path) and, if it interacted, the distance *to the interaction point. The high spa*tial resolution of emulsion enabled us to discriminate between centers of successive interactions and/or adjacent tracks to distances of the order of 1 μ m. For v \leq 200 μ m this allowed unambiguous assignment *of* interactions to individual PF's and makes nuclear emulsion an ideal detector for this investigation.

For each PF the energy loss up to the point of its interaction was computed assuming it was produced at the rapidity of its parent projectile. ⁹ We calculate that the energy loss due to nuclear interactions and ionization results in a mean energy \sim 1.5 GeV nucleon and would not have de-

 $HG, 1$. Estimates Δ^* for the parameter Δ^* [E_4 , (2)] at different distances *<i>U* from the origins of PF's: full erreles, experiment; dashed line, prediction from A heari solid line, prediction assuming a *ti%* admixture of PF's with $\lambda_a = 2.5$ cm.

graded any PF below about 1 GeV /nucleon. Multiple-scattering measurements in stack I, as well as the topologies of our events, were fully consistent with the above conclusions.

In an inhomogeneous target-detector-like emulsion one measures reaction mfp's rather than cross sections. For a homogeneous beam of nuclei *of charge Z* the mfp, denoted by $\lambda = \lambda_2$, is defined via the distribution of interaction distances *x*

$$
f(x)dx = \exp(-x/\lambda)dx/\lambda.
$$
 (1)

A maximum-likelihood estimate A* is obtained for λ^* from the quotient $\lambda^* = S/N$, where *S* is the total length of both interacting and noninteracting tracks followed until *N* interactions have been observed. This estimate is therefore independent of stack size or of the location of the track segment in which λ is measured. The relative rms deviation of A* is rigorously *N'l/2* but, unless *N* is very large (which is not the case for our samples *at fixed Z),* the estimate distributions are highly skewed and Gaussian confidence limits do not apply.

To pool information from many samples, each at fixed *Z,* we use the fact that in the range C.2- 2.1 GeV/nucleon, the λ of beam nuclei, $2 \times Z \le 26$, can be parametrized as:

$$
\lambda_2 = \Lambda Z^{-b},\tag{2}
$$

where $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{beam}} = 30.4 \pm 1.6$ cm and $b = 0.44$ \pm 0.02.^{10, 11} This parametrization is consistent with the trend of mfp's computed from cross sec tions based on geometrical-overlap models.¹² Given Eq. (1), one is able to show that the quan- $\mathbf{div}\ 2N\lambda_z^*/\lambda_z$ is distributed like χ^2 with $2N$ degrees of freedom. From the additivity of x^2 variables it follows that a maximum-likelihood estimate for A* for A, *al fixed b,* is provided by the

TABLE 1. Mean estimates for the mean free path A and the paramete r A (Eq. (2)) at different distances *D* from the origins of PF's for grouped charges. Expected values assuming Eq. (2) are given in the last column. For $Z = 3-26$, we have $\lambda^*(D \le 2.5$ cm) = 25.0 \pm 1.1 cm, $A^*(D \geq 2.5$ cm) = 30.0 \pm 1.0 cm, and $\langle A \rangle$ = 30.4 cm.

z	$\bar{\lambda}$ \cdot (D \leq 2.5 cm) (c _m)	\bar{A} *(D > 2.5 cm) (c _m)	w (cm)
$3 - 8$	12.4 ± 0.7	14.0 ± 0.5	14.G
$9 - 16$	$8.3 + 0.7$	$11.6 - 1.0$	10.6
$27 - 26$	$6.0 * 0.6$	$0.0 + 0.8$	8.4

1085

VOLUME 45. NUMBER 13 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 29 SEPTEMBER 1980

expression

$$
\Lambda^* = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} \lambda_{\mathbf{z}}^* N_{\mathbf{z}} Z^k / \sum_{\mathbf{z}} N_{\mathbf{z}}.
$$
 (3)

This estimate is *also* independent of detector size. We computed Λ^* for a number of independent segments after the point of emission of a PF and obtained the dependence of Λ^* on the distance *D* after emission, presented in Fig. 1. Note the low values of A* in the first few centimeters; beyond $D \simeq 5$ cm, Λ^* is compatible with Λ_{beam} ; for $D \leq 2.5$ and > 2.5 cm, the values of Λ^* (displayed at the bottom of Table *X)* differ by 3.4 standard deviations.

In order to substantiate this conclusion in a way independent of the validity of Eq. (2) we perform the following test. For each charge Z of the PF's and for each primary berm we cotain a pair of λ^* values, say λ_1^* and λ_2^* for $I/\sqrt{2.5}$ cm. *A priori,* we expect only small deviations of *** from *\^am* to arise from the different cross sections of isotopes off the line of stability and long-lived nuclear excited states because of the dominant contribution of the AgBr component in emulsion to the (geometric) reaction crosn section. To each such pair we assign a number $P_p \langle \leq F_b \rangle$ which is the integral probability of the ratio $F_{D} = \lambda_1^*$ / λ ^{*}. (This ratio propitiously obeys the *F*, or variance-ratio, distribution provided that A,* and λ^* represent samplings from a population with

FIG. 2. Experimental frequency distribution of (a) P_p (< F_p) and (b) P_{gen} (< F_{gen}); see text; the dashed line is the expected uniform distribution; the poi.its with error bars aie the experimental means *P.* to be compared to their expectation $\langle P \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$; the shaded area refers to the results from stack 1 (¹⁶O primaries).

 $\mathcal{A}_1=\mathcal{A}_2$

a practically constant λ . As such, the distribution of *P^b* values should be uniform between 0 and 1, and the simplest test is to check whether the m_{b} and P_{b} differs or not from its expected value $\langle P_p \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$. The distribution of P_p values from our thirty λ , λ ^{*}/ λ ^{*} ratios (5 charges from stack I, 24 from stack II) is shown in Fig. 2(a). We find $P = 0.323 \pm 0.053$, which is 3.4 standard deviations away from $\frac{1}{2}$, a difference exceeded with a probability of 3xl0"'. *This result is independent of any assumption about the functional dependence* o/A *upon 2, and indicates Dial within the first* few centimeters after PF emission, \therefore is signifi*cantly less titan at larger distances.* We display in iTable I charge-grouped estimates for A vhich illustrate that this effect is present in all charges of PF's.

We present in Fig. 3 two distributions of interaction distances x for events with potential paths $T \geq T$, = 3 and 9 cm, respectively; an excess of events over the number predicted from Eq. (2) is evident at small *x,* particularly for the case *T^t* = 3 cm where it amounts to 3 standard deviations. Let us assume as a first approximation that, in addition to normal nuclei, there is a fraction *a* of "anomalous" PF's with a constant "short" mfp $\lambda_n \ll \lambda$, leaving a fraction $1 - a$ that obeys Eq. (2), as confirmed by our observations at large distances after emission. This assumption inherently predicts an excess of PF interactions at small x. We have made estimates of a and λ_n by y^2 minimization from these data and obtain $a^* \approx 6\%$, $\lambda_a^* \approx 2.5$ cm.¹³ Predictions based on the assumption of an admixture with the above parameters are drawn as solid curves in Figs, 1 and 3; they

FIG. 3. Distributions of interaction d'.jtances *x* for events with potential paths $T \geq T_{1}$; dasied and : lid lines have the same meanings as in Fig. 1.

VOLUME 45, NUMBER 15

obviously account well for the observations.;

Comparison of the mfp's estimated from the *secondary* **PF's and those; of** *later generations* **in** the extranuclear cascade shows an mfp shorter by ~15% in the third and later generations. The distribution of $P_{gen}(F_{ren}) (defined in analogy with P_L and F_D , λ_1^* referring to the third and later$ generations, and λ_2 ^{*} to the second generation) is shown in Fig. 2(b). The probability for this distribution to be uniform between 0 and 1 is \sim 8 $\times 10^{-3}$.

The anomalous (short-mfp) component needed to explain the foregoing results would naturally lower the expected value of F_{gen} (hence of \overline{P}_{gen}), because of the shorter average potential paths available in the third generation. However, if we , correct for this effect, *assuming the different generations to be uncorretated* **(i.e., assume the** same value of *a* at emission in all generations), we find that it would lower F_{gen} by only about 2%; nonetheless, the corrected P_{gen} distribution remains nonuniform with better than 99% confidence. This result suggests at least partial persistence of the high cross section in the fragmentation process of the anomalous PF's.

We are not aware of explanations within the framework of conventional nuclear physics for the results of this experiment. The direct and standard methods of observation, measurement, and data reduction employed, virtually eliminate all conceivable scanning biases. The diminution in the measured mfp of PF's at distances a few centimeters from their emission points strongly excludes explanations related to isotopic effects, whereas the normal pattern of target fragmentation does so for mesonic atoms, hypernuclear decay in flight, etc.¹⁴

Under preparation is a more comprehensive report,¹⁵ including a detailed discussion of the systematics, $PF's$ of $Z = 2$, additional details of the interrelationship between the second and third generations of PF's in the extranuclear cascade, and the dependences of the topologies of PF interactions on the distance *x.* Experiments are in progress to elucidate possible reaction mechanisms characteristic of the short-mfp component.

The authors wish to acknowledge the contribution to the experiment by the Bevalac operations

staff. The technical assistance given by H. Dykman, J. Hodges, R. Smith, M. E. Stott, G. Williams, and H. Yee is much appreciated. We have benefited much from the many discussions with our colleagues within, and beyond, our laboratories.

This research was supported in part by the Nuclear Physics Division of U. S. Department of the Energy under Contract No, W-7405-ENG-78 and by the Deutsch Forschungsgemeinschaft, Federal Republic of Germany,

¹A. Milone, Nuovo Cimento Suppl. 12, 353 (1954).

2 S . Tokunaga. T. [shit, and K. Nishikawa, Nuovo Cimento 5, 517 (1957).

³H. Yagoda, Nuovo Cimento *G,* 559 (1957).

⁴E. M. Friedlander and M. Spirchez, Nuc¹. Sci. Abstr. 15, 3457 (1961).

B. Judek, Can. J. Phys. 46. 343 (1968).

⁶T. F. Cleghorn, P. S. Freier, and C. J. Waddington. Can. J. Phys. Suppl. 46, 572(1968).

⁷B. Judek, Can. J. Phys. 50, 2082 (1972).

B. Judek, *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Cosmic Rays*, *Munich, West Germany,* 1975 (Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Garching, West Germany, 1975).

⁹D. E. Greiner, P. J. Lindstrom, H. H. Heckman, Bruce Cork, and F. S. Bieser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 152 (1975). !

¹⁰H. H. Heckman, D. E. Greiner, P. J. Linstrom, and H. Shwe, Phys. Rev. C 17 , 1735 (1978), and present work (${}^{4}He$, ${}^{12}C$, ${}^{14}N$, ${}^{15}O$, ${}^{40}Ar$, and ${}^{56}Fe$).

 $¹¹$ To account for possible differences in scanning ef-</sup> ficiencies, in keeping with the independence of the experiments, we actually use two, fits to primary beams. For National Research Council of Canada, $\Lambda_{\text{beam}} = 28.9$ ± 2.5 cm, $b = 0.43 \pm 0.04$; for Lawrence Berkeley Laboratori, $A_{\text{beam}} = 32.2 \pm 2.1 \text{ cm}$, $b = 0.44 \pm 0.03$.

 ^{12}P . J. Karol, Phys. Rev. C 11, 1203 (1975).

¹³If we *assume* that Eq. (2) can be extrapolated to λ_d $*2.5$ cm, this corresponds to a preposterous $2 * 300$.

 14 E.g., to eliminate hypernuclear decay, we note that (a) we observe no relative excess of events characteristic of hypernuclear decay in flight, namely, events consisting of relativistic tracks only and, moreover, (b) the measured hypernucleus production cross sec tion is orders of magnitude too |small (K. J. Nield *et al.,* Phys. Rev. C 13, 1263 (1976)]. **

¹⁵See Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. 10573 (unpublished), for a more comprehensive version of this paper.

1087