# UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

# Title

Antifungal activity of 6-substituted amiloride and hexamethylene amiloride (HMA) analogs

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/32s0s29m

# Authors

Vu, Kiem Buckley, Benjamin J Bujaroski, Richard S <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2023

# DOI

10.3389/fcimb.2023.1101568

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ibrahim Bitar, Charles University, Czechia

REVIEWED BY Nour Fattouh, Saint George University of Beirut, Lebanon Lukasz Kozubowski, Clemson University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Angie Gelli Sacgelli@ucdavis.edu

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Antibiotic Resistance and New Antimicrobial drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 18 November 2022 ACCEPTED 20 January 2023 PUBLISHED 16 February 2023

#### CITATION

Vu K, Buckley BJ, Bujaroski RS, Blumwald E, Kelso MJ and Gelli A (2023) Antifungal activity of 6-substituted amiloride and hexamethylene amiloride (HMA) analogs. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1101568. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1101568

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Vu, Buckley, Bujaroski, Blumwald, Kelso and Gelli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Antifungal activity of 6substituted amiloride and hexamethylene amiloride (HMA) analogs

## Kiem Vu<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin J. Buckley<sup>2,3</sup>, Richard S. Bujaroski<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Eduardo Blumwald<sup>5</sup>, Michael J. Kelso<sup>2,3</sup> and Angie Gelli<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of California, Genome and Biomedical Sciences Facility, Davis, CA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Molecular Horizons and School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, Wollongong, NSW, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Science (ATMCF), Monash University, Parkville, VIC, Australia, <sup>5</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, PRB Building, University of California, Davis, CA, Australia

Fungal infections have become an increasing threat as a result of growing numbers of susceptible hosts and diminishing effectiveness of antifungal drugs due to multidrug resistance. This reality underscores the need to develop novel drugs with unique mechanisms of action. We recently identified 5-(N,N)-hexamethylene) amiloride (HMA), an inhibitor of human Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger isoform 1, as a promising scaffold for antifungal drug development. In this work, we carried out susceptibility testing of 45 6-substituted HMA and amiloride analogs against a panel of pathogenic fungi. A series of 6-(2-benzofuran)amiloride and HMA analogs that showed up to a 16-fold increase in activity against *Cryptococcus neoformans* were identified. Hits from these series showed broad-spectrum activity against both basidiomycete and ascomycete fungal pathogens, including multidrug-resistant clinical isolates.

#### KEYWORDS

amiloride, HMA, analogs, antifungal activity, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, MIC, MFC, *Candida* spp.

## Introduction

Global estimates suggest that diseases caused by fungal pathogens affect over 1 billion people and kill approximately 1.7 million annually (Bongomin et al., 2017; Kainz et al., 2020). The severity of fungal diseases varies from asymptomatic in healthy hosts to disseminated, life-threatening infections in individuals that are immunosuppressed (Bongomin et al., 2017; Colombo et al., 2017). Over 90% of all reported fungal-related deaths are caused by *Cryptococcus, Candida, Aspergillus, Histoplasma* and *Pneumocystis* (Pfaller and Diekema, 2010). For the fungal species that are prevalent in the environment, such as *Cryptococcus, Histoplasma*, and *Coccidioides*, spores/desiccated yeast cells are inhaled and settle in the lungs where the infection can be asymptomatic to mild, but in susceptible hosts dissemination to

other organs can result in death (Ellis and Pfeiffer, 1990; Woods, 2002; Eisenman et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2013).

The *Cryptococcus* spp. complex includes at least seven distinct species that can cause life-threatening disease and in countries where HIV infection is prevalent, cryptococcal meningitis is the most common form of adult meningitis (Zuger et al., 1986; Limper et al., 2017; Rajasingham et al., 2017). *Rhodotorula mucilagenosa*, a common environmental basidiomycete, is considered an emerging pathogen (Pfaller and Diekema, 2004; Wirth and Goldani, 2012). Most cases of *R. mucilagenosa* infections are bloodstream infections linked to central venous catheter use in susceptible hosts (Tuon et al., 2007; De Almeida et al., 2008; Wirth and Goldani, 2012; Falces-Romero et al., 2018; Kitazawa et al., 2018).

Candida albicans is the primary cause of 9.5% of all bloodstream infections in hospitals across the United States (Wisplinghoff et al., 2004). Candida auris was relatively unknown a decade ago but is today regarded as an emerging fungal pathogen that causes significant healthcare-associated outbreaks of bloodstream infections with high rates of mortality (Lockhart and Guarner, 2019). Although Candida albicans tends to be the most prevalent cause of candidiasis in humans, the last two decades has seen increases in infections caused by non-C. albicans Candida (NCAC) species. C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis, C. tropicalis and C. krusei are among the NCAC species that have emerged as important opportunistic fungal pathogens that are evolving to be more virulent and drug-resistant (Pfaller and Diekema, 2004; Wisplinghoff et al., 2004; Silva et al., 2012). Fluconazole-resistance among these Candida spp. is worrisome as fluconazole is the most commonly used antifungal agent for prophylaxis and treatment of Candida infections in resource-poor nations (Africa and Abrantes, 2016). Of particular concern is the high proportion of C. auris isolates that are resistant to three commonly used classes of antifungals: azoles, echinocandins and polyenes (Du et al., 2020; Frias-De-Leon et al., 2020). This multi-drug resistance creates significant challenges in clinical practice requiring the close monitoring of patients for treatment failure (Lockhart and Guarner, 2019; Hata et al., 2020).

Management of fungal diseases has become increasingly challenging due to the growing number of susceptible hosts and diminishing effectiveness of antifungal drugs. Indeed, the most pervasive and drug-resistant infections are now untreatable using first-line antifungals (Smith et al., 2015; Mpoza et al., 2018). This reality underscores the need to develop novel antifungal therapeutics with unique mechanisms of action able to effectively treat emerging resistant strains. While recent attempts at *de novo* antifungal drug discovery have produced only marginal success, drug repurposing (or re-positioning) provides an alternative approach to identify new indications for existing drugs (Kim et al., 2020; Wall and Lopez-Ribot, 2020).

In a recent study we examined whether amiloride, a K<sup>+</sup>-sparing diuretic, could be repurposed for the treatment of fungal infections (Vu et al., 2021). Amiloride, a WHO essential medicine, is a pyrazine acylguanidine originally developed as an inhibitor of renal epithelial Na<sup>+</sup> channels (ENaCs) (Benos, 1982). We found that while amiloride has little antifungal activity, the 5-substituted analog, 5-(N,N-hexamethylene)amiloride, (HMA) shows modest minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) against isolates of *Cryptococcus* spp., and moderate synergy with several azole antifungals (Vu et al.,

2021). Structure activity relationship (SAR) analysis revealed that hydrophobic substitutions on the 5-amino group of amiloride produced improvements in antifungal activity (Vu et al., 2021). HMA possesses nanomolar activity against Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchangers (NHEs) but minimal inhibitory activity toward ENaC, thus decreasing the clinical risk of ENaC-mediated hyperkalemia (Li et al., 1985; Kleyman and Cragoe, 1988). Collectively, our results suggested that HMA could serve as a starting point for antifungal drug development, where further optimization could produce new analogs with higher potency. Here, we investigated a library of 6heteroaryl substituted HMA and amiloride analogs to determine whether further improvement in antifungal activity could be obtained from this scaffold. Compounds with substitutions at other positions around the pyrazine core of amiloride and HMA were also investigated.

## Material and methods

#### Strains and media

KN99 is a common *Cryptococcus neoformans* serotype A laboratory strain derived from H99 (Nielsen et al., 2003). The *Candida* isolates and the *Rhodotorula* isolate were provided by Dr. G.R. Thompson, University of California, Davis. Drug-resistance of isolates was confirmed by the Fungus Testing Laboratory (San Antonia, Texas) and provided to us through Dr. G.M. Thompson. Strains were recovered from -80°C frozen stocks, grown in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% bacto-peptone, and 2% dextrose) at 30°C and maintained on solid media containing 2% bacto-agar.

#### Amiloride and HMA analogs

Amiloride.HCl was sourced from Sigma-Aldrich. Amiloride and HMA analogs were synthesized as previously described (Matthews et al., 2011; Buckley et al., 2018; Buckley et al., 2019).

#### Antifungal activity testing by CLSI criteria

Susceptibility assays were carried out to determine MICs and MFCs according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). In vitro testing was carried out in RPMI 1640 medium containing L-glutamine, without sodium bicarbonate and buffered to pH 7.0 with MOPS in 96-well plates (96-well cell culture cluster, flat-bottom, Costar). Inoculum of C. neoformans (100 µL) was prepared in accordance with the CLSI standard (M27-A3), added to the 96-well plates and incubated for 48 h at 35 °C without shaking. Readings were taken by visual inspection of the opacity of wells. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest drug concentration in a well at which 100% reduction in optical density was observed compared to the no-drug control well. The MIC was determined using concentrations from 2 µg/mL to 64  $\mu$ g/mL. The minimum fungicidal concentrations (MFC) were determined by transferring the contents of the well identified as the MIC above and plated onto an YPD agar plate. The absence of colony forming units (CFUs) confirmed that the MFC was equivalent to the MIC.

## Statistical analysis

The MIC and MFC values reported in Tables 1, 2, S1, S2 are the result of at least 3 replicates.

#### The antifungal activity of amiloride and HMA analogs carrying heteroaryl substitutions at the 5 and/or 6 position of the pyrazine ring were evaluated. Detailed physiochemical properties of HMA and 6substituted match pairs have been reported in our recent work (Buckley et al., 2021a). A total of 64 analogs were examined by susceptibility assays against a strain of *Cryptococcus neoformans*

**Results and discussion** 

TABLE 1 Antifungal activity of amiloride analogs against Cryptococcus neoformans.

$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $									
		Cryptococcus	neoformans			Cryptococcus neoformans			
R <sup>1</sup>	Compound-R <sup>2</sup>	MIC	MFC	R <sup>1</sup>	Compound-R2	MIC	MFC		
CI	1 -NH <sub>2</sub> 64 <sup>2</sup>		64*	_=N	27 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	>64	>64		
	2 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64	64	- south	28 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	>64	>64		
	3 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> >64 >64								
	4 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O	>64	>64						
1	5 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64	N=	29 -NH <sub>2</sub>	64	64		
	6 -NH <sub>2</sub>	64	64	O Jul	30 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64	64		
O Jord	7 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	32	32	O store	31 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	32	32		
	8 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>	16	16		32 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
	9 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16	16	S	33 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64	64		
	10 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	>64	>64	O J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	34 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	32	32		
	11 -NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ph	8	8	Me O stat	35 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	>64	>64		
0	12 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64	<sup>t</sup> Bu	36 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	>64	>64		
	13 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16	16		37 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	>64	>64		
$\int o$	14 -NH <sub>2</sub>	8	8	O st	38 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O	>64	>64		
	15 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	>64	>64		39 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	>64	>64		
F O o	16 -NH <sub>2</sub>	4	4	<sup>N</sup> J	40 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
	17 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	4	8	N Sol	41 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	>64	>64		
CI	18 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64	MeO N N	42 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
0 sur	19 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16	16		43 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $									
		Cryptococcus	neoformans			Cryptococcus neoformans			
R <sup>1</sup>	Compound-R <sup>2</sup>	MIC	MFC	R <sup>1</sup>	Compound-R2	MIC	MFC		
Meo N OMe	20 -NH <sub>2</sub>	32	32	Br O S <sup>2</sup>	44 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16	16		
CN O sol	21 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	8	8	F <sub>3</sub> C	45 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
MeO	22 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16	32	MeS	46 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
F	23 -NH <sub>2</sub>	8	8	N-N-N	47 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
F	24 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64	64	Ser Ser	48 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64	64		
- And	25 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64	S	49 -NH <sub>2</sub>	>64	>64		
	26 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16	16	O	50-N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64	>64		

MIC<sub>100</sub>, inhibitory concentration; MFC, minimum fungicidal concentration. All values represent µg/mL; \*reported values for amiloride (Vu et al., 2021).

 TABLE 2
 Antifungal activity of amiloride and HMA analogs against Candida and Rhodotorula isolates.

$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $									
		Rm*	Ca*	Cg	C. auris	Ch*	Ck	Ср	Ct
R <sup>1</sup>	Compound-R <sup>2</sup>	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ R^1 \\ R^2 \\ R^2 \\ N \\ $	2 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64/>64	>64/>64	64/>64	>64/>64	>64/>64	64/>64	64/64	>64/>64
	8 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>	16/32	32/32	16/32	16/32	32/32	16/16	16/16	64/64
CI	9 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16/32	32/32	16/32	32/32	16/16	16/16	16/32	64/64
	11 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ph	8/>64	16/16	>64/>64	8/>64	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/8
	13 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16/32	16/32	16/32	32/32	16/16	16/16	16/16	32/>64
S	14 -NH <sub>2</sub>	16/16	>64/>64	>64/>64	>64/>64	32/32	>64/>64	>64/>64	>64/>64
Me	16 -NH <sub>2</sub>	4/4	8/8	8/8	8/16	8/8	4/4	4/4	8/8
and the second s	17 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	<2/8

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 Continued

$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $									
		Rm*	Ca*	Cg	C. auris	Ch*	Ck	Ср	Ct
R <sup>1</sup>	Compound-R <sup>2</sup>	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC	MIC/MFC
<sup>r</sup> Bu O y <sup>2</sup>	<b>19</b> -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	16/16	16/32	16/16	32/32	16/16	16/16	16/16	32/32
	21 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	8/16	8/16	8/8	8/16	8/8	8/8	8/8	8/16
Br O s <sup>s<sup>3</sup></sup>	22 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	32/32	64/>64	64/64	64/>64	64/64	32/32	64/64	64/>64
CN O O S	<b>23</b> -NH <sub>2</sub>	64/64	64/>64	64/>64	>64/>64	64/>64	32/32	16/16	>64/>64
MeO	26 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	8/16	16/16	8/8	16/16	8/8	8/8	8/16	16/16
F F	44 -N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub>	64/64	64/64	64/64	64/64	64/64	32/64	64/64	64/>64

Candida and Rhodotorula isolates. Rm, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa; Ca, Candida albicans; Cg, Candida glabrata; C. auris, Candida auris; Ch, Candida haemulonii; Ck, Candida krusei; Cp, Candida parapsilosis; Ct, Candida tropicalis. \*denotes multidrug resistant clinical isolate. MIC<sub>100</sub>, minimum inhibitory concentration; MFC, minimum fungicidal concentration. All values represent µg/mL.

(KN99) using the microbroth dilution method (Tables 1, S1). Screening of 45 6-(hetero)aryl substituted amiloride and HMA analogs reported previously revealed that antifungal activity was generally restricted to compounds bearing bicyclic heterocycles at the pyrazine 6-position (Buckley et al., 2018; Buckley et al., 2019; Buckley et al., 2021b; Hards et al., 2022). Consistent antifungal effects were seen for a series of 6-(2-benzofuran) analogs, with the HMA analog 9 and 5-piperidine 8 both showing MIC and MFC values of 16  $\mu$ g/mL. Removal of the 5-azepane ring as in the matching amiloride analog 6 decreased activity, as did truncation of the amine at the 5-position, pyrrolidine 7, or incorporation of a polar O atom as in (1,4-oxazapane 10).

Substitution at the 5-azepane with a phenylethylamine 11 was favorable, producing a 2-fold increase in activity (MIC and MFC 8  $\mu$ g/mL). Replacement of the ring O with S (2-benzothiophenes 12 and 13) did not improve activity. Introduction of a methyl substituent at the 5-position of the benzofuran ring increased activity by 8-fold (14 MIC and MFC 8  $\mu$ g/mL) relative to the unsubstituted 2-benzofuran parent. Remarkably, this improvement was specific to the amiloride series, with no activity seen for the matching HMA analog 15 (MIC

and MFC >64  $\mu$ g/mL). 5-<sup>*t*</sup>Bu substitution produced the largest increase in activity in both series (16 and 17), lowering MIC and MFC by up to 16-fold (4  $\mu$ g/mL). A drop in activity was seen for the 5fluorinated amiloride analog 18, while no change was seen for the matching HMA analog 19. Larger halogens slightly increased activity, with 5-Cl 20 producing 2-fold lower MIC and MFC values for the amiloride analog (32  $\mu$ g/mL) and 8-fold higher activity for 5-Br HMA analog 21 (8  $\mu$ g/mL). This trend did not extend to 5-CN substitution, where no improvement in activity was seen with HMA analog 22. An 8-fold improvement was seen for 5-MeO amiloride 23 (MIC and MFC 8  $\mu$ g/mL) while an 8-fold drop in activity was observed for the matching HMA analog 24 (MIC 64  $\mu$ g/mL and MFC 64  $\mu$ g/mL).

5,7-Difluorination as in amiloride 25 and HMA 26 did not improve activity for either series. Similarly, improvements were not seen for a series of 4-furopyridine 27 and 28 or 5-furopyridine analogs 29 and 30, indicating sensitivity to a polar N atom at these positions. Altering the connectivity of the benzofuran 31 and 34 or equivalent 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans 32, 33 and 35 did not improve activity. Furthermore, activity was poor or absent for a diverse selection of analogs bearing 5- and 6-membered (hetero)aryl groups at the pyrazine 6-position (36-43, 45-50), underscoring the necessity of the 6-(2-benzofuran) motif for antifungal activity.

One exception to this trend was seen for the 4-CF<sub>3</sub>phenyl HMA analog 44 (MIC and MFC 16  $\mu$ g/mL), which showed equivalent activity to the 2-benzofuran HMA analog 9. In addition, no antifungal activity was seen for a separate series of amiloride analogs bearing a variety of secondary alkyl amines at the pyrazine 5-position (Table S1), in keeping with our earlier observations with 5-glycinyl analogs of amiloride (Matthews et al., 2011).

Further testing of 13 active analogs against the *Cn* isolate confirmed their antifungal and fungicidal activity (Table S2). 5-<sup>*t*</sup>Bu analogs 16 and 17 showed the highest activity against *Cn* (MIC and MFC 4 µg/mL. Phenylethylamine 11 and 5-Br benzofuran HMA analog 21 had average MICs of 7 µg/mL against *Cn* while the remaining 10 compounds displayed MICs  $\geq$  8 µg/mL.

Analogs with MICs and MFCs  $\leq$  16 µg/mL against *Cn* were examined against a panel of 7 *Candida* isolates, including multi-drug resistant *Candida auris* and *Candida haemulonii* strains, along with the drug-resistant basidiomycete isolate, *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*. Susceptibility assays revealed that the 5-<sup>t</sup>Bu compounds 16 and 17, 5-Br benzofuran HMA 21 and 5,7-difluoro benzofuran HMA analog 26 were active against all fungal isolates, with MICs ranging from < 2 µg/ mL to 16 µg/mL (Table 2). Phenylethylamine 11 inhibited growth of all isolates with the exception of *C. glabrata* (MICs  $\leq$  16 µg/mL), suggesting broad antifungal activity against both basidiomycetes and ascomycetes (Table 2). Broad spectrum activity was not seen for 4-CF<sub>3</sub> phenyl analog 44, demonstrating the superiority of the 2benzofuran group at the 6-position.

## Conclusion

We previously questioned whether HMA could elicit its antifungal effects *via* inhibition of the fungal homolog, the endosomal Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger Nhx1 (Vu et al., 2021). We found HMA to be similarly potent in *S. cerevisiae nhx1* $\Delta$  and *C. neoformans nhx1* $\Delta$  strains relative to wild type controls, suggesting Nhx1 inhibition is likely not responsible for antifungal activity (Vu et al., 2021). This conclusion was supported in this work by the absence of antifungal activity for 6-pyrimidine HMA analog 37, a compound reported as a nM inhibitor of human NHE1 (Buckley et al., 2021a). However, we cannot rule out potential inherent differences in activity/ sensitivity of fungal and human Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchangers that could lead to differential effects of analog #37. The modest antifungal activity of HMA coupled with its poor stability *in vivo* preclude its advancement as a viable candidate for animal studies (Buckley et al., 2021a).

In summary, the 6-(2-benzofuran) class of amiloride and HMA analogs described here represent progress toward lead compounds suitable for further investigation. For example, HMA analog 9 showed 2 to 3-fold higher activity against a range of drug-resistant pathogenic fungi (MIC and MFCs 16-32  $\mu$ g/mL). This analog does not show K<sup>+</sup>-sparing or diuretic activity and features a more favorable pharmacokinetic profile relative to HMA in mice and rat, supporting its future evaluation in animal models of fungal infection (Buckley et al., 2021a). Future studies will investigate synergy of these compounds with standard-of-care antifungals.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### Author contributions

KV performed the susceptibility testing. KV & BB performed data analysis. BB & RB & MK provided library of compounds. AG supervised study. KV, EB, BB and AG wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

The study was supported by the Will W. Lester Endowment of the University of California awarded to EB. MK was supported by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Project Grant (APP1100432). BB acknowledges salary support from the Illawarra Cancer Careers.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. J. Heitman and Dr. G.R. Thompson for providing fungal strains.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1101568/ full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Antifungal activity of 5-substituted amiloride analogs against *Cryptococcus* neoformans isolates. MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MFC, minimum fungicidal concentration. All values represent  $\mu$ g/mL.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

Susceptibility of *Cryptococcus neoformans* to 14 amiloride and HMA analogs. MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MFC, minimum fungicidal concentration. All values represent µg/mL.

## References

Africa, C. W., and Abrantes, P. M. (2016). Candida antifungal drug resistance in sub-Saharan African populations: A systematic review. *F1000Res* 5 2832. doi: 10.12688/ f1000research.10327.2

Benos, D. J. (1982). Amiloride: A molecular probe of sodium transport in tissues and cells. Am. J. Physiol. 242 (3), C131-C145. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.1982.242.3.C131

Bongomin, F., Gago, S., Oladele, R. O., and Denning, D. W. (2017). Global and multinational prevalence of fungal diseases-estimate precision. *J. Fungi (Basel)* 3 (4). doi: 10.3390/jof3040057

Brown, J., Benedict, K., Park, B. J., and Thompson, G. R.3rd (2013). Coccidioidomycosis: epidemiology. *Clin. Epidemiol.* 5, 185–197. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S34434

Buckley, B. J., Aboelela, A., Majed, H., Bujaroski, R. S., White, K. L., Powell, A. K., et al. (2021a). Systematic evaluation of structure-property relationships and pharmacokinetics in 6-(hetero)aryl-substituted matched pair analogs of amiloride and 5-(N,N-hexamethylene) amiloride. *Bioorg Med. Chem.* 37, 116116. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2021.116116

Buckley, B. J., Aboelela, A., Minaei, E., Jiang, L. X., Xu, Z., Ali, U., et al. (2018). 6substituted hexamethylene amiloride (HMA) derivatives as potent and selective inhibitors of the human urokinase plasminogen activator for use in cancer. *J. Med. Chem.* 61 (18), 8299–8320. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00838

Buckley, B. J., Kumar, A., Aboelela, A., Bujaroski, R. S., Li, X., Majed, H., et al. (2021b). Screening of 5- and 6-substituted amiloride libraries identifies dual-uPA/NHE1 active and single target-selective inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (6). doi: 10.3390/ijms22062999

Buckley, B. J., Majed, H., Aboelela, A., Minaei, E., Jiang, L., Fildes, K., et al. (2019). 6substituted amiloride derivatives as inhibitors of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator for use in metastatic disease. *Bioorg Med. Chem. Lett.* 29 (24), 126753. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.126753

Colombo, A. L., de Almeida Junior, J. N., Slavin, M. A., Chen, S. C., and Sorrell, T. C. (2017). Candida and invasive mould diseases in non-neutropenic critically ill patients and patients with haematological cancer. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 17 (11), e344–e356. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30304-3

De Almeida, G. M., Costa, S. F., Melhem, M., Motta, A. L., Szeszs, M. W., Miyashita, F., et al. (2008). Rhodotorula spp. isolated from blood cultures: clinical and microbiological aspects. *Med. Mycol* 46 (6), 547–556. doi: 10.1080/13693780801972490

Du, H., Bing, J., Hu, T., Ennis, C. L., Nobile, C. J., and Huang, G. (2020). Candida auris: Epidemiology, biology, antifungal resistance, and virulence. *PloS Pathog.* 16 (10), e1008921. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008921

Eisenman, H. C., Casadevall, A., and McClelland, E. E. (2007). New insights on the pathogenesis of invasive cryptococcus neoformans infection. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 9 (6), 457–464. doi: 10.1007/s11908-007-0070-8

Ellis, D. H., and Pfeiffer, T. J. (1990). Ecology, life cycle, and infectious propagule of cryptococcus neoformans. *Lancet* 336 (8720), 923–925.

Falces-Romero, I., Cendejas-Bueno, E., Romero-Gomez, M. P., and Garcia-Rodriguez, J. (2018). Isolation of rhodotorula mucilaginosa from blood cultures in a tertiary care hospital. *Mycoses* 61 (1), 35–39. doi: 10.1111/myc.12703

Frias-De-Leon, M. G., Hernandez-Castro, R., Vite-Garin, T., Arenas, R., Bonifaz, A., Castanon-Olivares, L., et al. (2020). Antifungal resistance in candida auris: Molecular determinants. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 9 (9). doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9090568

Hards, K., Cheung, C. Y., Waller, N., Adolph, C., Keighley, L., Tee, Z. S., et al. (2022). An amiloride derivative is active against the F1Fo-ATP synthase and cytochrome bd oxidase of mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Commun. Biol.* 5 (1). doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-03110-8

Hata, D. J., Humphries, R., Lockhart, S. R., and College of American Pathologists Microbiology, C. (2020). Candida auris: An emerging yeast pathogen posing distinct challenges for laboratory diagnostics, treatment, and infection prevention. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* 144 (1), 107–114. doi: 10.5858/arpa.2018-0508-RA

Kainz, K., Bauer, M. A., Madeo, F., and Carmona-Gutierrez, D. (2020). Fungal infections in humans: the silent crisis. *Microbial Cell* 7 (6), 143-145. doi: 10.15698/mic2020.06.718

Kim, J. H., Cheng, L. W., Chan, K. L., Tam, C. C., Mahoney, N., Friedman, M., et al. (2020). Antifungal drug repurposing. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 9 (11). doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9110812

Kitazawa, T., Ishigaki, S., Seo, K., Yoshino, Y., and Ota, Y. (2018). Catheter-related bloodstream infection due to rhodotorula mucilaginosa with normal serum (1->3)-beta-D-glucan level. *J. Mycol Med.* 28 (2), 393–395. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2018.04.001

Kleyman, T. R., and Cragoe, E. J.Jr (1988). Amiloride and its analogs as tools in the study of ion transport. J. Membr Biol. 105 (1), 1–21. doi: 10.1007/BF01871102

Li, J. H., Cragoe, E. J.Jr., and Lindemann, B. (1985). Structure-activity relationship of amiloride analogs as blockers of epithelial Na channels: I. pyrazine-ring modifications. *J. Membr Biol.* 83 (1-2), 45–56. doi: 10.1007/BF01868737

Limper, A. H., Adenis, A., Le, T., and Harrison, T. S. (2017). Fungal infections in HIV/ AIDS. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 17 (11), e334–e343. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30303-1

Lockhart, S. R., and Guarner, J. (2019). Emerging and reemerging fungal infections. Semin. Diagn. Pathol. 36 (3), 177-181. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2019.04.010

Matthews, H., Ranson, M., Tyndall, J. D., and Kelso, M. J. (2011). Synthesis and preliminary evaluation of amiloride analogs as inhibitors of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA). *Bioorg Med. Chem. Lett.* 21 (22), 6760–6766. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.044

Mpoza, E., Rhein, J., and Abassi, M. (2018). Emerging fluconazole resistance: Implications for the management of cryptococcal meningitis. *Med. Mycol Case Rep.* 19, 30–32. doi: 10.1016/j.mmcr.2017.11.004

Nielsen, K., Cox, G. M., Wang, P., Toffaletti, D. L., Perfect, J. R., and Heitman, J. (2003). Sexual cycle of cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii and virulence of congenic a and alpha isolates. *Infect. Immun.* 71 (9), 4831–4841. doi: 10.1128/IAI.71.9.4831-4841.2003

Pfaller, M. A., and Diekema, D. J. (2004). Rare and emerging opportunistic fungal pathogens: Concern for resistance beyond candida albicans and aspergillus fumigatus. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42 (10), 4419–4431. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.10.4419-4431.2004

Pfaller, M. A., and Diekema, D. J. (2010). Epidemiology of invasive mycoses in north America. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 36 (1), 1–53. doi: 10.3109/10408410903241444

Rajasingham, R., Smith, R. M., Park, B. J., Jarvis, J. N., Govender, N. P., Chiller, T. M., et al. (2017). Global burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 17 (8), 873–881. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30243-8

Silva, S., Negri, M., Henriques, M., Oliveira, R., Williams, D. W., and Azeredo, J. (2012). Candida glabrata, candida parapsilosis and candida tropicalis: Biology, epidemiology, pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 36 (2), 288–305. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00278.x

Smith, K. D., Achan, B., Hullsiek, K. H., McDonald, T. R., Okagaki, L. H., Alhadab, A. A., et al. (2015). Increased antifungal drug resistance in clinical isolates of cryptococcus neoformans in Uganda. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59 (12), 7197–7204. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01299-15

Tuon, F. F., de Almeida, G. M., and Costa, S. F. (2007). Central venous catheterassociated fungemia due to rhodotorula spp. –a systematic review. *Med. Mycol* 45 (5), 441–447. doi: 10.1080/13693780701381289

Vu, K., Blumwald, E., and Gelli, A. (2021). The antifungal activity of HMA, an amiloride analog and inhibitor of Na(+)/H(+) exchangers. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.673035

Wall, G., and Lopez-Ribot, J. L. (2020). Screening repurposing libraries for identification of drugs with novel antifungal activity. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 64 (9). doi: 10.1128/AAC.00924-20

Wirth, F., and Goldani, L. Z. (2012). Epidemiology of rhodotorula: an emerging pathogen. Interdiscip Perspect. Infect. Dis. 2012, 465717. doi: 10.1155/2012/465717

Wisplinghoff, H., Bischoff, T., Tallent, S. M., Seifert, H., Wenzel, R. P., and Edmond, M. B. (2004). Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 39 (3), 309–317. doi: 10.1086/421946

Woods, J. P. (2002). Histoplasma capsulatum molecular genetics, pathogenesis, and responsiveness to its environment. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 35 (2), 81–97. doi: 10.1006/ fgbi.2001.1311

Zuger, A., Louie, E., Holzman, R. S., Simberkoff, M. S., and Rahal, J. J. (1986). Cryptococcal disease in patients with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. diagnostic features and outcome of treatment. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 104 (2), 234–240. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-104-2-234