UCLA

Issues in Applied Linguistics

Title

An Introduction to Second Language Acquisition

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3165s95t

Journal

Issues in Applied Linguistics, 3(2)

ISSN

1050-4273

Author

Polio. Charlene

Publication Date

1992-12-30

DOI

10.5070/L432005166

Peer reviewed

An Introduction to Second Language Acquisition Research by Diane Larsen-Freeman and Michael H. Long. London and New York: Longman, 1991. xvii + 398 pp.

Reviewed by Charlene G. Polio Michigan State University

Over the past few years, applied linguistics has been trying to answer the question: what is applied linguistics? (See discussions on this question in *Issues in Applied Linguistics*, 1990, 1992.) Second language acquisition (SLA) has avoided the potentially polemic question: what is SLA? While there is little doubt that SLA is a field in its own right (see Gass, in press; Larsen-Freeman, 1991), what constitutes mainstream SLA, or the core of the field, may not be agreed upon. As the field grows and fragments, this issue needs to be addressed. Nowhere is the issue of defining the field of SLA as pertinent as in the writing of an introductory SLA textbook. Ten years ago, such a task would not have been as formidable. Today, one must first ask what should be included and in what depth should it be covered?

The most recent effort to introduce newcomers to the field of SLA is Larsen-Freeman and Long's Introduction to Second Language Acquisition Research. In evaluating such an effort, one must consider what the authors chose to include and what to exclude. Were any essential research or concepts omitted and/or was any research on the fringes made to seem part of the field? Will students who use this book have a perspective, consistent with others in the field, on what SLA is? Have the authors fulfilled their responsibility to those using the book to present a balanced view of a field that is fast finding researchers disagreeing on basic issues and theoretical frameworks? I believe that Larsen-Freeman and Long's book can be evaluated quite positively with regard to these questions. A summary of the book, with attention to these issues, follows

The book consists of eight chapters. The first is a lucid introduction, explaining, very briefly, what the field is and that, while teachers' expectations from SLA must, at this point, be "modest" (p. 3), there is some relation to language teaching. They take an appropriate middle ground, saying neither that SLA research must serve only to benefit language teaching, nor that those ties should be severed (see Newmeyer and Weinberger, 1988 for this latter view).

The second chapter discusses research methodology, including characteristics of both qualitative and quantitative research, in a manner accessible to new students of SLA. The authors are fair to both sides, showing which paradigms can be used for which purposes. Of the qualitative and quantitative paradigms, they say, "Rather than seeing them as competing paradigms, we see them as complementary, implying that it is unnecessary to choose between the two" (p. 24). They also discuss different types of data collection

without advocating one over the other.

The third chapter provides a historical view of methods of analysis in the field of SLA. In a field that is only 20 years old, it is appropriate to provide a comprehensive history, particularly for students to see how the field evolved and to keep them from repeating past errors. In keeping with trends in the field, they appropriately criticize contrastive analysis, error analysis, and morpheme acquisition studies. They say that discourse analysis (very broadly defined) has subsumed previous methods of analysis. While this is true in relation to the other methods of analysis discussed, those working in a Universal Grammar (UG) framework might take issue with this characterization.

Chapters four, five, and six deal comprehensively with various findings about interlanguage, the linguistic environment (input and interaction), and explanations for differential success

among SLA learners.

Chapter seven is a good introduction to theories and theory construction. The authors begin by comparing the set-of-laws form and the causal-process form, clearly showing their preference for the latter. At the end of the chapter, they say, without reference to any work, that not all in the field share their views. (For opposition to their view see Klein, 1990 and Markee, 1991.) They present and critique several theories of SLA, classifying them as nativist, environmentalist, or interactionist. Any book claiming to be an introduction to the field cannot ignore the fact there is no consensus on SLA theory and thus Larsen-Freeman and Long state at the end of the chapter:

> The rise of a single dominant theory which discourages competing points of view, given our present limited state of understanding, would be counter-productive. We must guard against overzealousness on the part of theorists or their devotees who feel that they have a monopoly on the truth. While SLA research and language teaching will benefit from the advantages of theoretically motivated research which

we have spelled out in this chapter, it would be dangerous at this stage for one theory to become omnipotent. (p. 290)

Even Beretta (1991), who argues that multiple theories are problematic for SLA, states that it is not necessary "for theory choice to be made *now* "[emphasis in original] (p. 507). And as the choice has not yet been made, it is essential to provide students of

SLA with all possible theories.

The book ends with a chapter on instructed SLA, showing that the authors are truly concerned with the relationship between instruction and SLA. Research on, for example, how instruction does or does not affect developmental sequences should be of interest to any student or researcher of SLA, not only to language teachers.

Despite the fact that the authors' biases can often be seen throughout the book, they clearly try to present all sides of issues and at times explicitly state their biases. Furthermore, they include work which has become part of SLA that they themselves have not been active in (e.g., UG, connectionism). Larsen-Freeman and Long admit that they have omitted work on lexical acquisition and pragmatics. Also missing is much reference to cognitive theory including issues such as restructuring and automaticity. Furthermore, Larsen-Freeman and Long, with one notable exception, do not overemphasize issues in SLA that are not The exception is the 18 pages devoted to the Multidimensional Model of SLA. Although worth including, particularly because of its potential application to cross-linguistic SLA research, I doubt it is as widely-cited as Larsen-Freeman and Long's book might suggest, at least now. (Other SLA textbooks (Ellis, 1986; McLaughlin, 1987; Gass and Selinker, in press) give it little or no attention at all.) Nevertheless, this book is, without a doubt, the most comprehensive review of SLA research to date. It is extremely dense, but in a classroom setting beginning students of SLA with a background in linguistics should find it accessible.

With regard to the book's format, at the end of each chapter there are excellent comprehension and application activities. The lack of an author index is, however, extremely frustrating. Upon finding an interesting reference in the bibliography, one has no way to find out where in the book an author's work is cited, thus

hindering its use as a reference.

Earlier I mentioned the authors' responsibilities to present a balanced view of the field. While one may not agree that such a responsibility exists, one cannot argue with the fact that an

introductory text with two such notable authors will be widely used. I believe that instructors using the book can feel confident that their students will have a balanced mainstream view of the core issues in SLA.

REFERENCES

- Beretta, A. (1991). Theory construction in SLA: Complementarity and opposition. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 13, 493-511.
- Ellis, R. (1986) Understanding Second Language Acquisition. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Gass, S. & L. Selinker. (in press). Introduction to Second Language Acquisition.. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Issues in Applied Linguistics. (1990). Defining our field: Unity in diversity. 1, 149-166.
- Issues in Applied Linguistics. (1992). Preparing applied linguists for the future. 3, 131-172.
- Klein, W. (1990). A theory of language acquisition is not so easy. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 12, 219-231.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (1991). Second language acquisition research: Staking out the territory. TESOL Quarterly, 25, 315-350.
- Markee, N. (1991). Toward an ethnomethodological respecification of second language acquisition studies. Paper presented at Theory Construction and Research Methodology in Second Language Research. Michigan State University, East Lansing.
- McLaughlin, B. (1987). Theories of Second-Language Learning. London: Edward Arnold.
- Newmeyer, F. and S. Weinberger. (1988). The ontogenesis of the field of second language learning research. In S. Flynn and W. O'Neill (ed.), Linguistic Theory in Second Language Acquisition (pp. 34-45). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Charlene Polio recently completed her dissertation on the acquisition of Chinese as a second language at UCLA. She is now an assistant professor in the Department of English at Michigan State University.

© Regents of the University of California