

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ONE-MESON CONTRIBUTION TO THE DEUTERON QUADRUPOLE MOMENT

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3090r03n>

Author

Wong, David Y.

Publication Date

1959-03-23

UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA

*Radiation
Laboratory*

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ONE-MESON CONTRIBUTION
TO THE DEUTERON QUADRUPOLE MOMENT

David Y. Wong

March 23, 1959

ONE-MESON CONTRIBUTION
TO THE DEUTERON QUADRUPOLE MOMENT*

David Y. Wong

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

March 23, 1959

According to the Mandelstam's representation, partial-wave amplitudes for the nucleon-nucleon scattering have singularities only on the real axis of the momentum-square variable.¹ These singularities correspond to physical processes such as the deuteron bound state, one or more meson exchange, etc. The low-energy triplet s-wave amplitude is quite accurately given by the deuteron pole alone. More precisely, the position and residue of the deuteron pole determines the scattering length and the effective range and vice versa. If one believes that the small mixture of d-state in the deuteron is mainly due to the long range part of the nuclear force, then the residue of the deuteron pole for the mixing amplitude (mixing of s and d waves in the $J = 1$ scattering state) should be largely determined by the one-meson exchange contribution. When both the deuteron pole and the one-meson branch cut are included in the calculation of the mixing amplitude, the requirement that this amplitude vanishes at zero momentum then gives the residue of the deuteron pole in terms of the meson-nucleon coupling constant. This residue is simply related to the ratio of the asymptotic s and d wave functions of the deuteron. The result is in good agreement with those of the phenomenological deuteron wave

* This work was done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

functions which have been adjusted to the observed quadrupole moment as well as other low-energy data. A conventional direct estimation of the quadrupole moment in terms of the asymptotic s-d wave ratio gives a value within 40% of the experimental result.

In the notation of Stapp et al.,² the s-wave amplitude $\alpha_{0,1}$ and the mixing amplitude α^1 are given in terms of the eigenphases $\delta_{0,1}$, $\delta_{2,1}$ and the mixing parameter ϵ_1 by

$$\alpha_{0,1} = 2i(\cos^2 \epsilon_1 e^{i\delta_{0,1}} \sin \delta_{0,1} + \sin^2 \epsilon_1 e^{i\delta_{2,1}} \sin \delta_{2,1}) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\alpha^1 = i \sin 2\epsilon_1 (e^{i\delta_{0,1}} \sin \delta_{0,1} - e^{i\delta_{2,1}} \sin \delta_{2,1}) ,$$

and a corresponding expression holds for the d-wave amplitude $\alpha_{2,1}$. For small ϵ_1 and $\delta_{2,1}$, Eq. (1) can be replaced by

$$\alpha_{0,1} = 2ie^{i\delta_{0,1}} \sin \delta_{0,1}$$

and

$$\alpha^1 = i \sin 2\epsilon_1 e^{i\delta_{0,1}} \sin \delta_{0,1} . \quad (2)$$

In the effective range approximation, we have

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_{0,1}}{2iq} \right) = \frac{1}{q} e^{i\delta_{0,1}} \sin \delta_{0,1} = \frac{1}{q \cot \delta_{0,1} - iq} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{r}{2} q^2 - iq} \quad (3)$$

where q is the center-of-mass momentum of either one of the nucleons, a is the scattering length and r is the effective range. Experimental values

-3-

for a and r in meson units are³

$$a = -3.82 \qquad r = 1.20 \quad . \qquad (4)$$

It is clear from Eq. (3) that $(\alpha_{0,1}/2iq)$ has poles at

$$q^2 = - \left[\frac{1}{r} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{2}{ar}} \right]^2 = \begin{array}{l} -1.79 \\ -0.106 \end{array} \quad . \qquad (5)$$

As anticipated, the value $q_0^2 = 0.106$ is almost exactly the deuteron binding energy times the nucleon mass m (q^2/m is the kinetic energy in the center-of-mass system). The pole at -1.79 can be interpreted as an interaction that gives rise to the bound state. The residue of the deuteron pole at $-q_0^2$ is

$$\Gamma = \frac{-2q_0}{1 - rq_0} = -1.07 \quad . \qquad (6)$$

In the effective-range theory, $-\Gamma$ is just the square of the normalization constant of the deuteron radial s -wave function. To summarize, any two of the four parameters $\{ a, r, q_0^2, \Gamma \}$ determines the remaining two inasmuch as the deuteron pole dominates the low-energy behavior of the $\alpha_{0,1}$ amplitude.

Since the deuteron is known to have a small mixture of d -state, the mixing amplitude (α^1/iq) will also have a pole at $-q_0^2$. From the nonrelativistic scattering theory,⁴ the residue is $(-2\Gamma\rho)$ where ρ is the ratio of the asymptotic s - and d -wave functions of the deuteron. When the one-meson exchange process is included, a branch point will occur at $q^2 = -1/4$. If the branch cut is taken from $-1/4$ to $-\infty$, the

discontinuity of (α^1/iq) across the cut can be shown to be⁵

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha^1}{iq} \right)_{q^2+i\epsilon} - \left(\frac{\alpha^1}{iq} \right)_{q^2-i\epsilon} \right] = \frac{2i\pi r^2 m}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{3}{4q^4} \right)$$

$$\text{for } q^2 \leq -1/4.$$

(7)

On the positive real axis of the q^2 complex plane, the phase of (α^1/iq) from Eq. (2) is given by $\delta_{0,1}$ which can be calculated from Eq. (3) using the effective-range formula. Together with the prescribed singularities on the negative real axis, the solution of (α^1/iq) can be found by the general method of Omnes.⁶ However, for the present problem, it is simple enough to write down a solution that possesses all the required analytic properties. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^1/iq) = & \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{r}{2} q^2 + iq \right) \left[\frac{-2\Gamma\rho}{\left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{r}{2} q_0^2 - q_0 \right) (q^2 + q_0^2)} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{r^2 m}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-1/4} d(q'^2) \frac{\frac{1}{q'^2} + \frac{3}{4q'^4}}{(q'^2 - q^2) \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{r}{2} q'^2 + iq' \right)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(8)

This should be a reasonable approximation at low energy since it reproduces exactly the deuteron pole, the one-meson cut, and the low-energy phase

-5-

shift. The requirement that (α^1/iq) vanishes at zero momentum then gives

$$\rho = 0.029 \quad (9)$$

for $f^2 = 0.08$. This value of ρ is consistent with those of the phenomenological deuteron wave functions which range between 0.025 and 0.032.⁷

A direct estimation of the quadrupole moment in terms of ρ can also be made in the conventional way.³ This gives a quadrupole moment of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2$ compared with the experimental value of $2.7 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2$.

A more detailed consideration of the one-meson contribution to nucleon-nucleon scattering will be published in a separate report ~~by~~ **WITH** H. P. Noyes.

The author is indebted to Professor G. F. Chew for discussions which lead to the present calculation.

FOOTNOTES

1. S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 112, 1344 (1958).
2. Stapp, Ypsilantis and Metropolis, Phys. Rev. 105, 302 (1957).
3. For example, see H. A. Bethe and P. Morrison, Elementary Nuclear Theory, (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., London, 1956).
4. For example, see Goldberger, Nambu, and Oehme, Annals of Physics 2, 226 (1957).
5. Cziffra, MacGregor, Moravcsik, and Stapp, UCRL-8510 (1958).
6. R. Omnés, Nuovo Cimento [10], 8, 316 (1958).
7. L. Hulthén and M. Sugawara in Handbuch der Physik, Vol. 39 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957), p. 92.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.