

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Engineering a Single-Agent Cytokine/Antibody Fusion That Selectively Expands Regulatory T Cells for Autoimmune Disease Therapy

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/30160235>

Journal

The Journal of Immunology, 201(7)

ISSN

0022-1767

Authors

Spangler, Jamie B
Trotta, Eleonora
Tomala, Jakub
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2018-10-01

DOI

10.4049/jimmunol.1800578

Peer reviewed

1**TITLE**

2**Engineering a single-agent cytokine-antibody fusion that selectively expands regulatory T**
3**cells for autoimmune disease therapy.**

4

5**AUTHORS**

6Jamie B. Spangler^{1-3,†}, Eleonora Trotta⁴, Jakub Tomala⁵, Ariana Peck⁶, Tracy A. Young⁷, Christina
7S. Savvides⁸, Stephanie Silveria⁴, Petra Votavova⁵, Joshua Salafsky⁷, Vijay S. Pande⁹, Marek
8Kovar⁵, Jeffrey A. Bluestone⁴, & K. Christopher Garcia^{1-3,*}

9¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford,
10California, USA.

11²Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University School of Medicine,
12Stanford, California, USA.

13³Department of Structural Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California,
14USA.

15⁴Diabetes Center, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, 94143

16⁵Laboratory of Tumor Immunology, Institute of Microbiology of the Academy of Sciences of the
17Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic.

18⁶Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.

19⁷Biodesy, Inc. South San Francisco, California, USA.

20⁸Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.

21⁹Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.

22[†]Current address: Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Chemical & Biomolecular
23Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

24*E-mail: kcgarcia@stanford.edu

25

26**ABSTRACT**

27Interleukin-2 (IL-2) has been used to treat diseases ranging from cancer to autoimmune
28disorders, but its concurrent immunostimulatory and immunosuppressive effects hinder efficacy.
29IL-2 orchestrates immune cell function through activation of a high-affinity heterotrimeric
30receptor (comprised of IL-2 receptor- α [IL-2R α], IL-2R β , and common γ [γ_c]). IL-2R α , which is
31highly expressed on regulatory T (T_{Reg}) cells regulates IL-2 sensitivity. Previous studies have
32shown that complexation of IL-2 with the JES6-1 antibody preferentially biases cytokine activity
33toward T_{Reg} cells through a unique mechanism whereby IL-2 is exchanged from the antibody to
34IL-2R α . However, clinical adoption of a mixed antibody-cytokine complex regimen is limited by
35stoichiometry and stability concerns. Here, through structure-guided design, we engineered a
36single agent fusion of the IL-2 cytokine and JES6-1 antibody that, despite being covalently
37linked, preserves IL-2 exchange, selectively stimulating T_{Reg} expansion, and exhibiting superior
38disease control to the mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex in a mouse colitis model. These studies
39provide an engineering blueprint for resolving a major barrier to the implementation of
40functionally similar IL-2/antibody complexes for treatment of human disease.

41

42 Interleukin-2 (IL-2) is a pleiotropic cytokine that orchestrates the proliferation, survival, and
43 function of both immune effector cells and regulatory T (T_{Reg}) cells to maintain immune
44 homeostasis. IL-2 signals through activation of either a high-affinity (~ 100 pM) heterotrimeric
45 receptor (composed of IL-2 receptor- α [IL-2R α], IL-2R β , and the shared common gamma [γ_c])
46 or an intermediate-affinity (~ 1 nM) heterodimeric receptor (composed of only the IL-2R β and γ_c
47 chains) (1–3). Consequently, IL-2 sensitivity is dictated by the non-signaling IL-2R α chain,
48 which is abundantly expressed on the surface of T_{Reg} cells, but virtually absent from naïve
49 immune effector cells (*i.e.* natural killer [NK] cells and memory phenotype [MP] CD8 $^+$ T cells)
50 (1, 2, 4). Formation of the IL-2 cytokine-receptor complex leads to activation of intracellular
51 Janus kinase (JAK) proteins, which are constitutively associated with IL-2R β and γ_c . JAK
52 proteins phosphorylate key tyrosine residues in the receptor intracellular domains, leading to
53 recruitment and activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-5 to effect
54 immune-related gene expression and regulate functional outcomes (1, 5, 6).

55

56 Due to its essential role in the differentiation and growth of T_{Reg} cells, the IL-2 cytokine has been
57 extensively characterized in pre-clinical models to treat a range of autoimmune diseases,
58 including diabetes and multiple sclerosis. These models have underlined the need to administer
59 low doses of the cytokine to take advantage of the enhanced IL-2 sensitivity of T_{Reg} over effector
60 cells (7, 8). More recently, proof-of-concept clinical trials backed by mechanistic studies have
61 demonstrated that low-dose IL-2 therapy specifically activates and expands T_{Reg} cells to
62 ameliorate autoimmune pathologies (9–11). However, careful dose titration is required for these
63 studies and the off-target activation of effector cells (particularly activated cells with upregulated
64 IL-2R α expression) remains of concern.

65

66 Boyman and colleagues demonstrated that treating mice with complexes of IL-2 with the anti-IL-
67 antibody JES6-1 biases cytokine activity toward T_{Reg} cells to orchestrate an
68 immunosuppressive response (12), offering an exciting opportunity for targeted autoimmune
69 disease therapy (13). Subsequent work has demonstrated that IL-2/JES6-1 complexes prevent
70 development of autoimmune diseases (14–17) and promote graft tolerance (18, 19) in mice. We
71 recently determined the molecular structure of the IL-2/JES6-1 complex to elucidate the

72mechanistic basis for its selective stimulation of T_{Reg} over effector cells. JES6-1 sterically
73obstructs IL-2 interaction with the IL-2R β and γ_c subunits to block signaling on IL-2R α^{Low}
74effector cells, but also undergoes a unique allosteric exchange mechanism with the IL-2R α
75subunit, wherein surface-expressed IL-2R α displaces the JES6-1 antibody and liberates the
76cytokine to signal through the high-affinity heterotrimeric receptor on IL-2R α^{High} T_{Reg} cells (**Fig.**
77**1a**). This phenomenon occurs because key residues in the IL-2 AB interhelical loop engage the
78JES6-1 antibody and the IL-2R α subunit in distinct orientations; thus, IL-2-antibody and IL-2-
79receptor binding are mutually exclusive, leading to bidirectional exchange. Activation of the IL-2
80signaling pathway on IL-2R α^{High} cells further upregulates IL-2R α expression to create a positive
81feedback loop that exquisitely favors T_{Reg} expansion (17).

82

83The immunosuppressive effects of IL-2/JES6-1 complexes make them enticing candidates for
84autoimmune disease treatment in humans, but clinical administration of mixed IL-2/antibody
85complexes is complicated by logistical challenges in drug formulation including optimization of
86the dosing ratio and instability of the cytokine/antibody complex. Previously, IL-2 has been
87covalently linked to an anti-IL-2 antibody to enhance its *in vivo* half-life and stability (20).
88However, this approach is incompatible with the allosteric exchange mechanism enacted by the
89IL-2/JES6-1 complex as tethering IL-2 to the JES6-1 antibody greatly enhances the apparent
90antibody-cytokine affinity, obstructing the triggered release that is essential for T_{Reg} bias. To
91overcome this obstacle to therapeutic development, we utilized a structure-based engineering
92strategy to design a single-agent IL-2/JES6-1 fusion that preserves antibody-receptor exchange.
93Through modulation of the cytokine-antibody affinity, we successfully recapitulated the selective
94 T_{Reg} potentiation elicited by mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex treatment and we demonstrated that our
95engineered cytokine-antibody fusion controlled autoimmune disease better than the mixed
96complex in an induced mouse model of colitis. Collectively, our biophysical and functional
97studies present a mechanism-driven biomolecular engineering approach that enables the
98therapeutic translation of a cytokine-antibody complex, and that can readily be adapted to other
99systems for a range of immune disease applications.

100

101**RESULTS**

102IL-2 undergoes bidirectional exchange between the JES6-1 antibody and the IL-2R α
103receptor subunit. The aforementioned allosteric exchange mechanism allows for displacement
104of JES6-1 in the cytokine/antibody complex by the surface-bound IL-2R α receptor subunit (**Fig.**
105**1a**). This mechanism was supported by structural and surface plasmon resonance (SPR)-based
106studies (17). To demonstrate the bidirectionality of the antibody-receptor exchange mechanism,
107we interrogated the capacity of both antibody and receptor to engage bound mouse IL-2
108complexes. To this end, we used a second-harmonic generation (SHG) detection platform, which
109was previously used to detect conformational changes in proteins in time and space (21–23). IL-
1102 was labeled with a second-harmonic-active dye and immobilized to a surface. The tethered
111cytokine was then saturated with either mouse IL-2R α (**Fig. 1b, top**) or JES6-1 (**Fig. 1b,**
112**bottom**). Subsequently, various concentrations of soluble JES6-1 (**Fig. 1b, top**) or IL-2R α (**Fig.**
113**1b, bottom**) were added and changes in SHG signal, indicative of modulations in average tilt
114angles of the dye particles conjugated to IL-2, were quantified. In both topologies, dose-
115dependent conformational changes were observed in IL-2 upon adding soluble protein to the
116immobilized complex, demonstrating the bidirectional exchange between antibody and receptor
117engagement of the cytokine.

118

119To further corroborate the allosteric exchange mechanism, we performed molecular dynamics
120simulations to study the distinct conformational states of IL-2 when bound to the JES6-1
121antibody versus the IL-2R α receptor, as well as the transition between these states. IL-2, and in
122particular the IL-2R α -binding epitope of the cytokine, is known to exhibit extensive
123conformational flexibility (24–26). We constructed an atomically-detailed Markov State model
124(MSM) of the conformational landscape of free IL-2. The equilibrium dynamics captured by the
125MSM predicted that IL-2 stably adopts a JES6-1-bound conformation even in the absence of
126antibody but occasionally relaxes to a distinct metastable state that resembles the IL-2R α -bound
127conformation (**Fig. 1c, Supplemental Video 1**). The antibody-bound and receptor-bound states
128of the cytokine diverge significantly with respect to root mean square deviation (RMSD), inter-
129residue distances, and residue-specific dihedral angles in all three interhelical loops (**Fig. 1c**).
130The transition from the JES6-1-bound to the IL-2R α -bound states involves significant
131conformational rearrangements and, in particular, destabilization of a salt bridge and a hydrogen

132bond in the AB and BC loops, respectively, that appear to rigidify these regions (**Fig. 1c, red and**
133**orange**). These changes coincide with the loss of a cation-pi interaction between the B helix and
134the beta strand of the CD region, accompanied by increased flexibility of the latter (**Fig. 1c,**
135**green**). Inspection of the primary transition path with higher temporal resolution suggests that
136loss of loop rigidity occurs in sequential fashion. Deformation of the BC loop, which interacts
137with IL-2R α , is predicted to precede destabilization of the AB loop, which engages IL-2R α at its
138C-terminal end and JES6-1 at its N-terminal end (**Fig. 1d**). Such a stepwise transition may
139facilitate allosteric exchange between the JES6-1 antibody and IL-2R α subunit (17). Taken
140together, our biophysical and computational studies offer mechanistic insight into the antibody-
141receptor exchange that drives the T_{Reg} cell bias induced by stimulation with the mixed IL-2/JES6-
1421 complex.

143

144**Design of a single-agent cytokine-antibody fusion.** To stabilize the IL-2/JES6-1 complex with
145an eye toward translation, we fused the IL-2 cytokine to the full-length JES6-1 antibody,
146tethering IL-2 to the N-terminal end of the light chain via a flexible (Gly₄Ser)₂ linker (**Fig. 2a**).
147Based on the IL-2/JES6-1 complex structure (17), the C-terminus of IL-2 is predicted to be 19.9
148Å from the N-terminus of the JES6-1 light chain (**Supplemental Fig. 1a**). Our cytokine/antibody
149construction (hereafter denoted the JES6-1 immunocytokine [IC]) was designed to allow for
150intramolecular cytokine engagement. **Interaction between IL-2 and JES6-1 within the**
151**immunocytokine was confirmed by SPR-based titrations of the IL-2R α subunit.** Whereas
152untethered IL-2 binds the IL-2R α subunit with an equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of 9.8
153nM, JES6-1 IC has a 30-fold weaker IL-2R α affinity ($K_D=290$ nM) (**Fig. 2b**), **reflective of**
154**cytokine sequestering by the tethered antibody.**

155

156**The IL-2-JES6-1 affinity ($K_D=5.6$ nM) is similar to the IL-2-IL-2R α affinity ($K_D=9.8$ nM) and**
157**significantly stronger than the IL-2-IL-2R β affinity ($K_D=7.4$ μ M) (**Supplemental Fig. 1b**). Thus,**
158**effective exchange of the IL-2 cytokine between the JES6-1 antibody and the IL-2R α subunit is**
159**observed when the affinities are closely matched.** We hypothesized that tethering IL-2 to the
160JES6-1 antibody would enhance the apparent cytokine-antibody affinity due to avidity effects,
161and this **increased cytokine-antibody affinity would in turn weaken IL-2-IL-2R α interaction in**

162the context of the JES6-1 IC. We speculated that changes in the antibody-cytokine affinity and,
163by consequence, the JES6-1 IC-IL-2R α affinity, would impact on the exchange mechanism in a
164biphasic manner. If the affinity of the IL-2-JES6-1 complex was greatly reduced, the antibody
165would fall off constitutively, leading to the cytokine to behave the same as the naked IL-2 and
166activate both IL-2R α^{High} T_{Reg} and IL-2R α^{Low} effector cells, thus erasing the robust T_{Reg} cell IL-2
167signaling bias conferred by JES6-1 (**Supplemental Fig. 1c**). Conversely, if the affinity of the IL-
1682-JES6-1 complex was significantly increased, to the limit of an irreversible interaction, the
169antibody would never be displaced by IL-2R α , ablating the exchange mechanism and precluding
170cytokine activity on both T_{Reg} and effector cells (**Supplemental Fig. 1c**). Consequently, there
171exists an optimal IL-2-antibody affinity to maximize T_{Reg} over effector cell expansion, and
172substantial enhancement of the IL-2-antibody affinity through immunocytokine construction
173could push this affinity outside of the optimal range.

174

175**Parent IL-2-JES6-1 immunocytokine exhibits reduced activation of IL-2R α^{High} cells and**
176**does not promote T_{Reg} expansion *in vivo*.** To examine the functional consequences of antibody-
177cytokine tethering on cell subset-selective activity, we tested activation of two genotypically
178matched cell lines based on the YT-1 human NK lineage that differ only in their expression of the
179IL-2R α subunit (27), as a surrogate for stimulation of IL-2R α^{High} T_{Reg} cells compared to IL-
1802R α^{Low} effector cells. IL-2 exhibited over 30-fold more potent activation (as measured by STAT5
181phosphorylation) on IL-2R α^+ cells compared to IL-2R α^- cells, as was expected due to the higher
182affinity of the heterotrimeric versus the heterodimeric IL-2 receptor complex. The mixed IL-
1832/JES6-1 complex induced weaker activation of both cell lines but, importantly, showed more
184pronounced obstruction of signaling on IL-2R α^- compared to IL-2R α^+ cells, rationalizing the
185complex's IL-2R α^{High} T_{Reg} bias. JES6-1 IC did not activate IL-2R α^- cells and induced much
186weaker activation of IL-2R α^+ cells compared to the mixed complex, consistent with its impaired
187interaction with the IL-2R α subunit (**Fig. 2c**). We explored how this differential *in vitro* signaling
188would translate into *in vivo* immune cell subset bias. Administration of IL-2 alone to non-obese
189diabetic (NOD) mice did not induce an increase in T_{Reg} relative to CD8⁺ effector T cell
190abundance, but treatment with IL-2/JES6-1 complex doubled the T_{Reg}:CD8⁺ T cell ratio.
191However, this increase was completely absent for JES6-1 IC, indicating that stabilization of the

192IL-2-antibody affinity had disrupted the exchange mechanism, ablating cytokine activity on both
193T_{Reg} and effector cells (**Fig. 2d, Supplemental Fig. 1c**). Accordingly, enrichment of T_{Reg} in the
194total CD4⁺ T cell population was observed following treatment with IL-2/JES6-1 complex
195treatment but not JES6-1 IC (**Supplemental Fig. 1d**).

196

197**Affinity mutant immunocytokines demonstrate improved exchange and elicit biased IL-**
198**2R α ⁺ cell activation.** To rescue the T_{Reg}-biased activity of the immunocytokine, we used
199crystallographic insights to rationally design a panel of eight single-point alanine mutants of the
200JES6-1 antibody. We selected four variable heavy (V_H) and four variable light (V_L) chain
201residues at the cytokine/antibody interface, intentionally avoiding residues proximal to the IL-
202R α chain to circumvent disruption of the allosteric exchange mechanism (**Fig. 3a**). We
203formatted each alanine mutant as a full-length antibody and characterized binding to the IL-2
204cytokine via SPR titrations. All mutants with the exception of R62A decreased the antibody-
205cytokine affinity, with a maximum affinity impairment of 89-fold relative to the parent JES6-1
206antibody (**Fig. 3b and Table 1**).

207

208To probe the effects of reduced cytokine/antibody affinity on IL-2R α exchange, we reformatted
209each of the alanine mutants as IC fusions and measured the binding of these soluble IC variants
210to immobilized IL-2R α using SPR. Each of the eight IC mutants tested exhibited increased
211receptor affinity compared to the parent JES6-1 IC, indicative of improved exchange due to
212enhanced antibody displacement. The most pronounced affinity improvement was observed for
213the Y41A mutant IC, which had a 2.2-fold tighter affinity for IL-2R α than JES6-1 IC (**Fig. 3c,**
214**top and Table 1**). Notably, neither the parent JES6-1 IC nor any of the mutant IC constructs
215bound the immobilized IL-2R β subunit, indicating that JES6-1-mediated blockade of the IL-2R β
216remained intact for our engineered IC mutants (**Fig. 3c, bottom**).

217

218We predicted that improved antibody displacement by the IL-2R α subunit would potentiate
219mutant JES6-1 IC activity on IL-2R α ⁺ cells and, indeed, we observed that many of our
220immunocytokine mutants enhanced STAT5 signaling on IL-2R α ⁺ YT-1 cells relative to the parent
221JES6-1 IC. Three IC mutants (S34A, Y41A, and Y101A) recovered the extent of STAT5

222signaling induced by the mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex (**Fig. 3d, top**). None of the engineered IC
223mutants activated IL-2R α ⁺ YT-1 cells, consistent with the behavior of the mixed complex (**Fig.**
224**3d, bottom**). Our JES6-1 mutant-IC mutant cellular activation assays also offered insight into the
225relationships between affinity, exchange, and functional response. We hypothesized that activity
226would correlate with affinity in a biphasic manner (**Supplemental Fig. 1c**), and our data support
227this postulate (**Fig. 3e**). However, additional structural factors appear to contribute to signaling
228output, as is evidenced by the much greater potency of activation induced by JES6-1 IC mutants
229with alanine substitutions in the V_L versus the V_H domain. Since the cytokine was linked to the
230JES6-1 light chain, heavy chain mutants disrupt the cytokine/antibody interface further from the
231tether and we would thus expect function to be more dramatically affected for V_H versus V_L
232mutants. Accordingly, the V_H mutants generally impair affinity to a greater extent than do V_L
233mutants (**Fig. 3b, Table 1**). The discrepancy between V_L and V_H mutant JES6-1 IC constructs is
234even more apparent when comparing IL-2R α ⁺ cell activity to exchange (as determined by IL-
2352R α affinity). Although activity correlates with exchange within the JES6-1 V_L IC mutants, the
236JES6-1 V_H IC mutants all elicit weak stimulation of IL-2R α ⁺ cells, independent of their IL-2R α
237exchange propensities (**Fig. 3f**).

238

239**Multi-site immunocytokine mutants exhibit enhanced IL-2R α exchange, cellular**
240**activation, and *in vivo* T_{Reg}-biased expansion.** Based on our three most active single-point
241mutant JES6-1 IC constructs (the V_L domain mutants S34A, Y41A, and Y101A) (**Fig. 3d**), we
242designed three double-alanine mutant IC constructs and one triple-alanine JES6-1 mutant IC
243construct to enhance IL-2R α exchanging capacity and IL-2R α ⁺ cell-selective signaling. All
244multi-residue mutant IC constructs potentiated IL-2R α exchange compared to the parent JES6-1
245IC, with the most actively-exchanging mutants (Y41A+Y101A and S34A+Y101A) exhibiting a
2462.6-fold IL-2R α affinity enhancement relative to the parent JES6-1 IC (**Fig. 4a, top, Table 2**).
247Several of the multi-residue mutants (including Y41A+Y101A) also bound to immobilized IL-
2482R β due to the weakened cytokine-antibody interaction, but all IC mutants bound weaker to IL-
2492R β than to IL-2R α and had lower IL-2R β affinities than the free IL-2 cytokine (**Fig. 4a,**
250**bottom**). Signaling activity of the multi-residue IC mutants on IL-2R α ⁺ YT-1 cells correlated
251directly with IL-2R α exchange; all mutants activated IL-2R α ⁺ cells more potently than the parent

252JES6-1 IC and three of the four constructs had greater activity than the mixed IL-2/JES6-1
253complex (**Fig. 4b, top**). The Y41A+Y101A and S34+Y101A mutants were again the most active
254and none of the mutants triggered appreciable activation of IL-2R α YT-1 cells (**Fig. 4b, bottom**).

255

256Guided by our cellular activation results, we chose to further characterize the Y41A+Y101A
257mutant, which has a 5.6-fold weaker cytokine-antibody affinity than the unmodified JES6-1 (**Fig.**
258**4c**), and the S34A+Y101A mutant, which had a 1.9-fold weaker cytokine-antibody affinity than
259the parent JES6-1 (**Supplemental Fig. 2a**). We assessed the ability of the Y41A+Y101A mutant
260IC to expand immune cell subset populations in C57BL/6 mice and found that, in contrast with
261JES6-1 IC, **JES6-1 Y41A+Y101A IC** induced preferential T_{Reg} versus CD8⁺ effector T cell
262expansion to the same extent as the mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex (**Fig. 4d** and **Supplemental Fig.**
263**3a**), indicating that reducing cytokine-antibody affinity had restored biased activity on IL-2R α ^{High}
264cells. **Consistent results were observed for the T_{Reg} versus CD4⁺ T cell ratio (Supplemental Fig.**
265**3b), confirming specific expansion of T_{Reg} by the JES6-1 Y41A+Y101A IC variant.** IC behavior
266*in vivo* was found to be highly sensitive to affinity and structural modifications, as the
267S34A+Y101A IC mutant did not elicit biased T_{Reg} expansion (**Supplemental Figs. 2b** and **3**),
268despite its similar exchange (**Fig. 4a**) and signaling (**Fig 4b**) properties to the Y41A+Y101A IC
269mutant *in vitro*. Further studies demonstrated that the Y41A+Y101A IC mutant (hereafter
270denoted JY3 IC) effected preferential T_{Reg} over CD8⁺ effector T cell growth in a dose-dependent
271manner in C57BL/6 (**Supplemental Fig. 2c**) and NOD mice (**Supplemental Fig. 2e**). Moreover,
272JY3 treatment upregulated IL-2R α expression on T_{Reg} cells to a much greater extent than the
273mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex (**Supplemental Figs. 2d** and **2f**). IC formulation also had benefits
274in enhancing maximum tolerated dose of the IL-2 cytokine compared to the mixed complex, as
275administration of a 7.4 μ g dose of IL-2 in mixed complex format was lethal to NOD mice (3/4
276mice died), but an equivalent dose of the JY3 IC (30 μ g) was well tolerated (0/4 mice died). This
277suggests that tethering the cytokine to the antibody may mitigate toxicity relative to the mixed
278complex, presumably through increased complex stability, which reduces off-target effects
279elicited by free IL-2.

280

281**Engineered immunocytokine induces cell subset-specific expansion in an adoptive T cell**
282**transfer model.** Given that JY3 IC selectively expanded IL-2R α ^{High} cells in a mixed immune
283population, we wondered whether our construct would be able to precisely control immune cell
284subset populations in an adoptive T cell transfer model. We purified and CFSE-labeled CD8⁺ T
285cells from OT-I mice, transferred the cells into congenic B6 mice, and treated recipient mice with
286a low dose of the SIINFEKL peptide plus various IL-2-antibody regimens for analysis of
287recipient immune cell expansion (**Fig. 5a**). Peptide stimulation with or without poly-L-lysine and
288IL-2 co-administration with an isotype control antibody failed to expand adoptively transferred
289activated CD8⁺ T cells, whereas the mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex induced robust proliferation of
290transferred cells, and JY3 IC further enhanced this expansion at equivalent doses (**Fig. 5b**). An
291identical trend was observed for IL-2R α expression on transferred CD8⁺ T cells, with higher
292surface levels of IL-2R α elicited by JY3 IC versus IL-2/JES6-1 complex treatment
293(**Supplemental Fig. 4a**).

294

295Characterization of recipient mouse T cell subsets supported our hypothesis that JY3 IC biases
296IL-2 activity to IL-2R α ^{High} T_{Reg} cells over IL-2R α ^{Low} naïve effector cells. No T_{Reg} cell expansion
297was induced by IL-2/isotype control antibody treatment, but we observed an increase in T_{Reg} cell
298number following IL-2/JES6-1 complex treatment and an even more profound increase
299following JY3 IC treatment (**Fig. 5c**). In contrast, IL-2/isotype control antibody treatment
300expanded both MP CD8⁺ T cells and NK cells, whereas neither IL-2/JES6-1 complex nor JY3 IC
301promoted proliferation of these effector subsets (**Fig. 5d, e**). IL-2/JES6-1 complex also
302upregulated IL-2R α expression on T_{Reg} and MP CD8⁺ T cells, although not on NK cells, and JY3
303IC increased surface IL-2R α levels on T_{Reg} and MP CD8⁺ T cells to a greater extent than the
304complex and also robustly upregulated IL-2R α on NK cells (**Supplemental Fig. 4b-d**). Overall,
305our adoptive transfer studies establish that JY3 IC specifically targets IL-2 activity to IL-2R α ^{High}
306immune cell subsets, and that it promotes more robust expansion and receptor upregulation on
307these subsets compared to the mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex.

308

309**Engineered immunocytokine prevents the development of autoimmune disease in mice.** To
310explore the therapeutic potential of our engineered IC mutant, we compared the efficacy of JY3

311IC to the mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex in a dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis model.
312Mice were pre-treated with PBS, IL-2 with an isotype control antibody, IL-2/JES6-1 complex, or
313JY3 IC for seven consecutive days and disease was induced beginning on day 8 (**Fig. 6a**). One
314week post-colitis induction, as compared to IL-2/isotype control antibody-treated mice, IL-
3152/JES6-1 complex-treated mice exhibited significant reductions in disease severity, including
316attenuated weight loss, increased colon length, and lower disease activity index (**Fig. 6b-d**),
317consistent with previous findings (17). JY3 IC further enhanced autoimmune disease prevention,
318with more pronounced improvements in weight loss, colon length, and disease activity score
319compared to the IL-2/JES6-1 complex (**Fig. 6b-d**). These results suggest that the JY3 IC could
320have therapeutic advantages to the mixed cytokine-antibody complex beyond the logistical
321considerations of stability and ease of formulation.

322

323DISCUSSION

324There is a growing interest in the development of antibody-cytokine fusions (immunocytokines)
325to empower cytokines as drugs (28). Whereas cytokines have short *in vivo* half-lives (often less
326than five minutes) and thus require frequent dosing (29–31), antibodies benefit from prolonged
327serum persistence due to neonatal Fc receptor-mediated recycling (32, 33). In addition, fusion to
328surface antigen-binding antibodies can allow for targeted cytokine delivery tailored to particular
329disease indications (28, 34–39). However, clinical development of targeted immunocytokines is
330hampered by the high potency of cytokines, which nullifies the effect of the targeting antibody
331and leads to toxicity through indiscriminate activation of all cytokine-response immune cell
332subsets (40–42). To circumvent the issue of potency, recent efforts have focused on reducing
333cytokine-receptor affinity through directed mutagenesis of the cytokine (43, 44), but cytokine
334modification may alter functional activity and also raises concerns about immunogenicity.

335

336Here, we describe a novel use of immunocytokines to ‘shield’ a cytokine from non-specifically
337engaging immune cells and instead target it preferentially to T_{Reg} cells based on surface receptor
338expression levels. Our approach relies entirely on antibody engineering, thus obviating the need
339for cytokine manipulation and keeping both cytokine-receptor affinity and cytokine activity
340intact. Furthermore, our strategy completely eliminates off-target effects by fully sequestering
341the cytokine rather than simply lowering its receptor interaction affinity. Although the allosteric

342receptor-antibody exchange mechanism we describe is specific to the IL-2/JES6-1 system, the
343structure-based design principles we used to engineer an effective single-agent cytokine-antibody
344fusion can be extended to other ligand-antibody interactions for exclusive targeting of soluble
345factors to specific cell subsets of interest.

346

347Our engineering workflow offered new insight into the relationship between antibody-cytokine
348affinity and signaling activity in the context of allosteric exchange. Previous studies have
349elucidated correlations between cytokine-receptor affinity and signaling activity (45–50). A
350systematic study of the interplay between cytokine-receptor complex stability and membrane-
351proximal signaling for the IL-13/IL-13R α 1/IL-4R α complex revealed that cytokine activity
352correlated directly with cytokine-receptor affinity only outside of a ‘buffering region,’ an affinity
353regime within 100-fold (in either direction) of the wild-type interaction affinity wherein cytokine
354activity was insensitive to affinity changes (50). The complexities of activity-affinity
355relationships in other systems led us to speculate that the antibody-cytokine affinity in the IL-
3562/JES6-1 single-agent fusion could also exhibit non-linear behavior. Furthermore, since the
357exchange mechanism depends on the relative strengths of cytokine interaction between the IL-
3582R α receptor subunit and the JES6-1 antibody, we would expect the activity of the
359immunocytokine on various cell subsets to depend strongly on binding parameters.

360

361We predicted biphasic behavior of the IL-2R α ^{High} cell (*i.e.* T_{Reg} cell) activity bias of our
362engineered JES6-1 mutants with respect to their IL-2 affinities: at very low affinities the antibody
363would constitutively dissociate, resulting in unbiased activation of all IL-2-responsive cells, and
364at very high affinities, the antibody would never dissociate, obstructing activity on all cell
365subsets (**Supplemental Fig. 1c**). By modulating the affinity of the IL-2/antibody interaction over
366nearly two orders of magnitude (**Fig. 1b, Table 1**), we aimed to probe the window of antibody-
367cytokine affinity ‘tunability’ for optimization of preferential T_{Reg} cell expansion. As illustrated in
368**Fig. 3e**, we indeed observed a biphasic activity curve as IL-2 affinity was varied, although the
369tuning range was found to be surprisingly narrow. JES6-1 mutants with up to a 2.2-fold decrease
370in IL-2 affinity compared to the parent antibody exhibited improved T_{Reg} selectivity, but no
371improvements were observed for mutants with IL-2 affinities that were reduced by 10-fold or
372more (**Fig. 3e, Table 2**).

373

374 Other factors such as structural considerations also apparently contribute to the activity of IC
375 mutants. For instance, the E60A and H100A mutants have similar affinities for IL-2, but diverge
376 significantly in their activation potencies (**Fig. 3e**). The E60A mutation is in the V_H domain
377 whereas the H100A mutation is in the V_L domain, suggesting that the location of the interface
378 disruption with respect to chain affects IC activity. Consistent with this observation, V_L mutants
379 exhibit uniformly stronger signaling activity on IL-2R α^+ cells than do V_H mutants. This
380 phenomenon could also be impacted by the topology of the fusion itself, as the greater proximity
381 to tethered IL-2 for V_L compared to V_H may render the V_L interface more robust against affinity
382 disruption. The dramatic (>10-fold) affinity losses observed with 3/4 V_H mutants compared with
383 only 1/4 V_L mutants support the influence of topological factors on IC mutant activity (**Table 2**).
384 Further complicating the affinity-activity relationship is the lack of correlation between biased
385 signal activation *in vitro* and selective cell subset expansion *in vivo*. Although the S34A+Y101A
386 and Y41A+Y101A (JY3) IC mutants behaved similarly with respect to STAT5 signaling on IL-
387 2R α^+ and IL-2R α^- cells, there was a clear discrepancy in their *in vivo* promotion of IL-2R α^{High}
388 versus IL-2R α^{Low} cell growth (**Fig. 3d** and **Supplemental Fig. 2b**).

389

390 From a therapeutic development standpoint, the IC format has clear advantages over mixed
391 complex administration as it eliminates dosing ratio considerations and concerns about the free
392 cytokine inducing off-target effects and toxicities or undergoing rapid clearance from the
393 bloodstream (28, 31). However, we unexpectedly found that our engineered IC elicited greater
394 IL-2R α^{High} cell expansion in an adoptive T cell transfer model (**Fig. 4**) and prevented DSS-
395 induced colitis more effectively than the mixed complex (**Fig. 5**), even though the two formats
396 induced similar T_{Reg} to effector cell expansion ratios (**Figs. 4d** and **Supplemental Figs. 2c, 2e,**
397 **and 3**). One possibility for the superior phenotypic behavior of the engineered IC could be that it
398 is positioned more optimally on the biphasic T_{Reg} to effector cell activity curve based on its
399 altered antibody-cytokine affinity (**Supplemental Fig. 1c**). Alternatively, the more extensive IL-
400 2R α upregulation induced by JY3 IC versus the mixed complex (**Supplemental Figs. 2d** and **2f**)
401 may present an advantage for the immunocytokine by fueling the transcriptional feedback loop
402 that perpetuates IL-2 signaling (17). Regardless of rationale, the enhanced behavior of JY3 IC

403over the mixed complex provides an immediately useful reagent for expanding T_{Reg} cells to
404combat autoimmune disease, and the structure-guided engineering strategy we used to develop
405this construct will inform the design of other mechanism-driven therapeutic immunocytokines.
406With a growing number of anti-cytokine antibodies in development, including those against IL-2,
407-4, -6, -7, and -15 (51), our novel approach can be readily extended to a broad range of cytokine-
408receptor systems for disease-relevant applications.

409

410METHODS

411**Protein expression and purification.** The sequence encoding hexahistidine-tagged mouse IL-2
412(mIL-2, amino acids 1-149) was cloned into the pMal vector with an N-terminal maltose-binding
413protein (MBP) followed by a 3C protease site. mIL-2 was expressed in the periplasm of
414BL21(DE3) *Escherichia coli* cells by 20 h induction at 22°C with 1 mM isopropyl β-d-
415thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Protein in the periplasmic compartment was isolated by osmotic
416shock and purified by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) (Qiagen) affinity chromatography.
417Purity was improved via size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex-75 column (GE
418Healthcare) in HEPES-buffered saline (HBS, 150 mM NaCl in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.3).

419

420mIL-2Rα (amino acids 1-213) ectodomain, mIL-2Rβ ectodomain (amino acids 1-215), and mγ_c
421ectodomain (amino acids 34-233) were secreted and purified using a baculovirus expression
422system, as previously described (3). All proteins were purified to >98% homogeneity with a
423Superdex 200 sizing column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in HBS. Purity was verified by SDS-
424PAGE analysis.

425

426Recombinant JES6-1 antibody and immunocytokine and mutants thereof were co-expressed
427using the previously described BacMam technique (52) adapted for 293F human embryonic
428kidney cells (Thermo Life Technologies). For JES6-1 antibody and variants thereof, the JES6-1
429variable heavy (V_H) chain followed by the rat immunoglobulin (IgG) 2a constant domains was
430cloned into the pVLAD6 vector with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag. The JES6-1 variable light
431(V_L) chain followed by the rat kappa light chain constant domain was separately cloned into the
432pVLAD6 vector with with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag. For immunocytokine constructs, mIL-
4332 was cloned N-terminal to the V_L domain of the light chain construct, spaced by a (Gly₄Ser)₂

434linker. Heavy and light chain constructs were separately transfected into *Spodoptera frugiperda*
435insect (SF9) cells as previously described (52) and the resulting viral supernatants were used to
436infect 293F cells (Thermo Life Technologies) in the presence of 10 mM sodium butyrate
437(Sigma). Heavy and light chain viruses were co-titrated to determine optimal infection ratios for
438equivalent expression of the two chains. Infected 293F cells were harvested after 72 hours and
439secreted protein was captured from the supernatant via Ni-NTA (Qiagen) affinity
440chromatography. Proteins were further purified to >98% homogeneity with a Superdex 200
441sizing column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in HBS, and purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE
442analysis. Rat IgG2a isotype control antibody (Clone eBR2a) was purchased commercially
443(eBioscience).

444

445For expression of biotinylated mouse IL-2 and mouse IL-2 receptor subunits, proteins containing
446a C-terminal biotin acceptor peptide (BAP)-LNDIFEAQKIEWHE were expressed and purified
447via Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and then biotinylated with the soluble BirA ligase enzyme
448in 0.5 mM Bicine pH 8.3, 100 mM ATP, 100 mM magnesium acetate, and 500 mM biotin
449(Sigma). Excess biotin was removed by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200
450column equilibrated in HBS. Antibodies and immunocytokines were biotinylated using the EZ-
451Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotinylation kit (Pierce) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

452

453**Cell Lines.** Unmodified YT-1 (53) and IL-2R α^+ YT-1 human natural killer cells (27) were
454cultured in RPMI complete medium (RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine
455serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, minimum non-essential amino acids, sodium pyruvate, 25 mM
456HEPES, and penicillin-streptomycin [Gibco]) and maintained at 37°C in a humidified
457atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

458

459The subpopulation of YT-1 cells expressing IL-2R α was purified via magnetic selection as
460described previously (54). Ten million unsorted IL-2R α^+ YT-1 cells were washed with FACS
461buffer (phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] pH 7.2 containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin) and
462incubated in FACS buffer with PE-conjugated anti-human IL-2R α antibody (Biolegend, clone
463BC96) for 2 hr at 4°C. PE-labeled IL-2R α^+ cells were then incubated with paramagnetic
464microbeads coated with an anti-PE IgG for 20 min at 4° C, washed once with cold FACS buffer,

465and sorted on an LS MACS separation column (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer's
466protocol. Purified eluted cells were re-suspended and grown in RPMI complete medium.
467Enrichment of IL-2R α ⁺ cells was evaluated using an Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences)
468and persistence of IL-2R α expression was monitored by PE-conjugated anti-human IL-2R α
469antibody labeling and flow cytometric analysis of sorted IL-2R α ⁺ YT-1 cells.

470

471**Second harmonic generation antibody-receptor exchange studies.** For cytokine labeling,
472hexahistidine-tagged mIL-2 was exchanged into labeling buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.2, 150
473mM NaCl) with a 0.5 mL, 7000 molecular weight cut-off ZebaTM Spin Desalting Column
474(Thermo). A five-fold molar excess of lysine-reactive (succinimidyl ester chemistry) second
475harmonic-active dye SHG1-SE (21) (Biosdesy, Inc.) was added to the protein. The reaction
476proceeded on ice for 5 min and was then stopped by removal of unreacted SHG1-SE by buffer
477exchange into HBS with a 0.5 mL, 7000 molecular weight cut-off ZebaTM Spin Desalting
478Column (Thermo). The reacted protein was centrifuged at 16,000 \times g at 4 °C for 20 minutes to
479pellet any precipitate and the supernatant was analyzed by UV-Vis spectroscopy. The degree of
480labeling was determined to be 1 (dye:protein stoichiometry).

481For target immobilization, Ni-NTA lipid-containing small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) were
482generated in tris-buffered saline (TBS, pH 7.6) from the Ni-NTA Bilayer Surface reagent
483(Biosdesy, Inc) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Supported lipid bilayers were formed by
484fusion of the Ni-NTA SUVs on the glass surface of a 384-well Biosdesy Delta plate. The formed
485bilayer was washed into HBS. SHG1-SE labeled hexahistidine-tagged mIL-2 was added to each
486well at 500 nM final concentration (20 μ L well volume). The protein was allowed to attach to the
487surface through the Ni-NTA:Hexahistidine-tag interaction overnight at 4°C. The plate was then
488equilibrated to room temperature for 30 min and unbound protein was washed out. Following 20
489min incubation at room temperature, the plate was transferred to the Biosdesy Delta for data
490collection.

491

492To assess JES6-1 exchange, 500 nM IL-2R α was injected at time $t=0$ and SHG signal was
493monitored for 10 minutes. Two-fold serial dilutions of JES6-1 antibody ranging from 2 μ M to 31
494nM in assay buffer containing 500 nM IL-2R α (to keep the receptor concentration constant) were

495 injected and SHG signal change was tracked for 10 min. To assess IL-2R α exchange, 500 nM
496 JES6-1 was injected at time $t=0$ and SHG signal was monitored for 10 minutes. Two-fold serial
497 dilutions of IL-2R α ranging from 32 μM to 0.5 μM in assay buffer containing 500 nM JES6-1
498 (to keep the antibody concentration constant) were injected and SHG signal change (counts per
499 second) was tracked for 10 min. The percent change in second harmonic generation (SHG)
500 intensity was calculated as $(\text{SHG}_F - \text{SHG}_B) / \text{SHG}_B \times 100$, where SHG_B is the SHG signal at $t=0$ and
501 SHG_F is the SHG signal at each time point post-injection.

502

503 **Molecular dynamics simulations.** Atomistic molecular dynamics simulations of mIL-2 were
504 performed using the Gromacs 5.0.4 package (55) with the Amber99SB-ILDN force field (56)
505 and the TIP3P water model (57). Initial conformations were obtained from the crystal structures
506 of the mIL-2:JES6-1 scFv (PDB ID 4YQX), mIL-2:S4B6 Fab (PDB ID 4YUE), and hIL-2:hIL-
507 2R α (PDB ID: 1Z92) complexes, with the binding partner omitted. The Modeller 9.14 package
508 (58) was used to mutate the hIL-2 conformation to the mouse sequence and to build
509 crystallographically-unresolved loops, with five predicted configurations for each crystal
510 structure. Starting conformations of mIL-2 were positioned in a dodecahedron box with a
511 minimum of 10 \AA between the protein's surface and the edge of the box. The protein was
512 solvated with approximately 8400 water molecules; five sodium ions were added to neutralize
513 the charge. Energy minimization was performed using a steepest descent algorithm, and solvent
514 was equilibrated for 500 ps at 300 K and 1 bar with the positions of the protein atoms held fixed.
515 Production simulations were performed using a 2 fs timestep, with trajectory lengths of 150 ns
516 and an aggregate simulation time of 19.4 μs . Constant temperature and pressure were maintained
517 by applying a velocity-rescaling thermostat (59) and a Parrinello-Rahman barostat (60). Bonds
518 were constrained using the LINCS algorithm (61), and electrostatic interactions were treated
519 with the particle mesh Ewald method (62). Adaptive sampling was performed by reseeding
520 simulations from poorly sampled regions of the mIL-2 conformational landscape; conformations
521 in these regions were identified by time-structure independent component analysis (63), an
522 unbiased method to determine the slowest degrees of conformational freedom.

523

524 A Markov State model (MSM) with a lag-time of 5 ns was constructed from the simulation data
525 using the MSMBuilder 2.8.2 package (64). Similar to prior analysis of IL-2 simulations (54),

526 conformations were clustered into 50 states using a hybrid k-centers k-medoids algorithm, with
527 distances between all pairs of conformations determined from the root mean square deviation
528 (RMSD) of the backbone atom positions. A representative trajectory of the predicted equilibrium
529 dynamics was constructed using kinetic Monte Carlo sampling of the MSM transition probability
530 matrix. Inter-residue distances, dihedral angles, and RMSD were computed using MDTraj 1.7.2
531 (65).

532

533 **Surface plasmon resonance studies.** For IL-2 affinity titration studies, biotinylated mouse IL-
534 2R α and IL-2R β receptors, biotinylated JES6-1 antibody variants, or biotinylated JES6-1
535 immunocytokine variants were immobilized to streptavidin-coated chips for analysis on a
536 Biacore T100 instrument (GE Healthcare). An irrelevant biotinylated protein was immobilized in
537 the reference channel to subtract non-specific binding. Less than 100 response units (RU) of each
538 ligand was immobilized to minimize mass transfer effects. Three-fold serial dilutions of mIL-2
539 were flowed over the immobilized ligands for 60 s and dissociation was measured for 240 s.
540 Surface regeneration for all interactions was conducted using 15 s exposure to 1 M MgCl₂ in 10
541 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5.

542

543 For immunocytokine receptor exchange studies, biotinylated mIL-2R α or mIL-2R β receptors
544 were immobilized to streptavidin-coated chips for analysis on a Biacore T100 instrument (GE
545 Healthcare). An irrelevant biotinylated protein was immobilized in the reference channel to
546 subtract non-specific binding. Less than 100 response units (RU) of each ligand was immobilized
547 to minimize mass transfer effects. Three-fold serial dilutions of mIL-2 or JES6-1
548 immunocytokine variants were flowed over the immobilized ligands for 60 s and dissociation
549 was measured for 240 s. Surface regeneration for all interactions was conducted using 15 s
550 exposure to 1 M MgCl₂ in 10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5.

551

552 SPR experiments were carried out in HBS-P+ buffer (GE Healthcare) supplemented with 0.2%
553 bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 25°C and all binding studies were performed at a flow rate of 50
554 μ L/min to prevent analyte rebinding. Data was visualized and processed using the Biacore T100
555 evaluation software version 2.0 (GE Healthcare). Equilibrium titration curve fitting and

556equilibrium binding dissociation (K_D) value determination was implemented using GraphPad
557Prism assuming all binding interactions to be first order.

558

559**YT-1 cell STAT5 phosphorylation studies.** Approximately 2×10^5 YT-1 or IL-2R α^+ YT-1 cells
560were plated in each well of a 96-well plate and re-suspended in RPMI complete medium
561containing serial dilutions of mIL-2, mIL-2/antibody complexes, or immunocytokine variants.
562Complexes were formed by incubating a 1:1 molar ratio of antibody or antibody fragment to
563mIL-2 for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were stimulated for 15 min at 37°C and
564immediately fixed by addition of formaldehyde to 1.5% and 10 min incubation at room
565temperature. Permeabilization of cells was achieved by resuspension in ice-cold 100% methanol
566for 30 min at 4°C. Fixed and permeabilized cells were washed twice with FACS buffer
567(phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] pH 7.2 containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin) and incubated
568with Alexa Fluor® 647-conjugated anti-STAT5 pY694 (BD Biosciences) diluted in FACS buffer
569for 2 hr at room temperature. Cells were then washed twice in FACS buffer and MFI was
570determined on a CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman-Coulter). Dose-response curves were
571fitted to a logistic model and half-maximal effective concentrations (EC_{50} s) were calculated using
572GraphPad Prism data analysis software after subtraction of the mean fluorescence intensity
573(MFI) of unstimulated cells and normalization to the maximum signal intensity. Experiments
574were conducted in triplicate and performed three times with similar results.

575

576**Immune cell subset proliferation studies.** For relative T_{Reg} :Effector cell proliferation studies,
57712 weeks old C57BL/6 mice (3 per cohort) or NOD mice (4 per cohort) were injected *i.p.* with
578PBS, mixed IL-2/JES6-1 complex (prepared by pre-incubating 1.5 μ g mIL-2 [eBioscience] with
5796 μ g JES6-1 [2:1 cytokine:antibody molar ratio] in PBS for 30 mins), or 6 μ g of the indicated
580JES6-1 immunocytokine variants on days 1, 2, 3 and 4. Mice were sacrificed on day 5 by
581cervical dislocation and spleens were harvested. Single-cell suspensions were prepared by
582mechanical homogenization and absolute count of splenocytes was assessed for each spleen by
583automated cell counter (Vicell, Beckman Coulter). Cells were resuspended in PBS and
584subsequently stained for 30 min on ice with fluorophore-conjugated anti-mouse monoclonal
585antibodies (mAbs) for phenotyping of T_{Reg} (CD4 $^+$ IL-2R α^+ Foxp3 $^+$) or CD8 $^+$ effector T cells
586(CD8 $^+$) using BV605-conjugated anti-CD4 (Biolegend, clone RM4-5), PeCy7-conjugated anti-

587IL-2R α (eBioscience, clone PC61.5), PerCP-Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD8 (eBioscience, clone 53-5886.72), and mAbs. Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit (Life Technologies) was used to assess live 589cells. Cells were then washed twice with FACS buffer (1% BSA, 1% Sodium Azide) and fixed in 590Foxp3 Transcription Factor Fixation/Permeabilization Buffer (eBioscience) for 30 mins on ice. 591After two washes in Permeabilization Buffer (eBioscience), T_{Reg} cells were stained with FITC-592conjugated anti-mouse/rat Foxp3 mAb (eBioscience, clone FJK-16s) for 1 hour on ice. Two final 593washes were conducted in Permeabilization Buffer and cells were resuspended in FACS buffer 594for flow cytometric analysis on an LSRII (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo X 595software (Tree Star). Ratios of the absolute numbers of T_{Reg} cells to CD8⁺ effector T cells are 596presented. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. 597Experiments were performed three times with similar results.

598

599**Adoptive T cell transfer studies.** OT-I Ly 5.1⁺ mice (3 per cohort) were sacrificed by cervical 600dislocation and lymph nodes (head, axillary, inguinal and mesenteric) were harvested. Single-601cell suspensions were prepared by homogenization (GentleMACS Dissociator, Miltenyi Biotec) 602and CD8⁺ effector T cells were negatively sorted on AutoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec). Isolated 603CD8⁺ T cells were labeled with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) and injected *i.v.* 604into C57BL/6 mice (Ly 5.2) (1 \times 10⁶ cells/mouse). Mice were then treated *i.p.* on day 1 with PBS 605or with 2 nmol SIINFEKL peptide alone or in combination with 75 μ g polyI:C7, 7.5 μ g mIL-2 606plus 30 μ g Rat IgG2a isotype control antibody (BioXcell, Clone 2A3), mixed mIL-2/JES6-1 607complex (formed by pre-incubating 7.5 μ g mIL-2 [Peprotech] with 30 μ g JES6-1 [2:1 608cytokine:antibody molar ratio] in PBS for 15 min), or 30 μ g JY3 immunocytokine. On day 2, 3, 609and 4, mice were treated with the same doses of mIL-2 plus Rat IgG2a isotype control antibody, 610mixed mIL-2/JES6-1 complex, or JY3 immunocytokine. Mice were sacrificed on day 5 by 611cervical dislocation and spleens were harvested, homogenized, and analyzed by flow cytometry 612as described for Immune cell subset proliferation studies. Four immune populations were 613distinguished and profiled for IL-2R α expression using the following fluorophore-conjugated 614anti-mouse mAbs: adoptively transferred CD8⁺ T cells - V500 Horizon[®]-conjugated anti-CD3 615(BD Biosciences, clone 500A2), PerCP-Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD8 (eBioscience, clone 53-6166.72), APC-conjugated anti-CD45.1 (eBioscience, clone A20), and eFluor 450[®]-conjugated anti-

617IL-2R α , T_{Reg}S (CD3⁺CD4⁺Foxp3⁺) - V500 Horizon[®]-conjugated anti-CD3 (BD Biosciences,
618clone 500A2), PerCP-conjugated anti-CD4 (BD Biosciences, clone RM4-5), PE-conjugated anti-
619mouse/rat Foxp3 (eBioscience, clone FJK-16s), and APC-conjugated anti-IL-2R α mAbs
620(eBioscience, clone PC61.5); MP CD8⁺ T cells (CD3⁺CD8⁺CD44⁺IL-2R β) - V500 Horizon[®]-
621conjugated anti-CD3, PerCP-Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD8 (eBioscience, clone 53-6.72), APC-
622conjugated anti-CD44 (eBioscience, clone IM7), PE-conjugated anti-IL-2R β (eBioscience, clone
6235H4), and eFluor 450[®]-conjugated anti-IL-2R α (eBioscience, clone PC61.5) mAbs; and NK
624cells (CD3⁻CD49b⁺CD161) - V500 Horizon[®]-conjugated anti-CD3, PE-conjugated anti-CD49b
625(eBioscience, clone DX5), APC-conjugated anti-CD161 (eBioscience, clone PK136), and eFluor
626450[®]-conjugated anti-IL-2R α mAbs. Fixable Viability Dye eFluor[™] 780 (eBioscience) was
627used to assess live cells. Data were analyzed using FlowJo X software (Tree Star). Relative
628number of cells and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IL-2R α are presented for each cohort
629in all four immune cell subsets. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired
630Student's *t*-test. The experiment was performed three times with similar results.

631

632**Mouse dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis model.** BALB/c mice (6 per cohort) were
633injected *i.p.* daily for seven days with PBS, 7.5 μ g mIL-2 plus 30 μ g isotype control antibody
634(BioXcell, clone 2A3), mixed mIL-2/JES6-1 complex (formed by pre-incubating 7.5 μ g mIL-2
635[Peprotech] with 30 μ g JES6-1 [2:1 cytokine:antibody molar ratio] in PBS for 15 min), or 30 μ g
636JY3 immunocytokine. Beginning on day 8, mice were administered 3% DSS (molecular
637weight=40000, MP Biomedicals Inc.) in their drinking water to induce colitis. On day 15, body
638weight was recorded and disease severity was assessed using clinical disease activity index, as
639described previously (4, 66). On day 16, mice were sacrificed and entire colons were removed
640(from cecum to anus). Colon length was measured and shortening was used as an indirect marker
641of pathological inflammation. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA +
642Dunnett's multiple comparison post-test. The experiment was performed two times with similar
643results.

644

645REFERENCES

6461. Malek, T. R. 2008. The Biology of Interleukin-2. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 26: 453–479.

6472. Boyman, O., and J. Sprent. 2012. The role of interleukin-2 during homeostasis and activation
648of the immune system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 12: 180–190.

6493. Wang, X., M. Rickert, and K. C. Garcia. 2005. Structure of the quaternary complex of
650interleukin-2 with its alpha, beta, and gammac receptors. *Science* 310: 1159–1163.

6514. Spangler, J. B., I. Moraga, J. L. Mendoza, and K. C. Garcia. 2015. Insights into Cytokine–
652Receptor Interactions from Cytokine Engineering. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 33: 139–167.

6535. Stroud, R. M., and J. A. Wells. 2004. Mechanistic Diversity of Cytokine Receptor Signaling
654Across Cell Membranes. *Sci STKE* 2004: re7–re7.

6556. Murray, P. J. 2007. The JAK-STAT signaling pathway: input and output integration. *J.*
656*Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* 178: 2623–2629.

6577. Shevach, E. M. 2012. Application of IL-2 therapy to target T regulatory cell function. *Trends*
658*Immunol.* 33: 626–632.

6598. Cheng, G., A. Yu, and T. R. Malek. 2011. T-cell tolerance and the multi-functional role of IL-
6602R signaling in T-regulatory cells. *Immunol. Rev.* 241: 63–76.

6619. Klatzmann, D., and A. K. Abbas. 2015. The promise of low-dose interleukin-2 therapy for
662autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 15: 283–294.

66310. Saadoun, D., M. Rosenzweig, F. Joly, A. Six, F. Carrat, V. Thibault, D. Sene, P. Cacoub, and
664D. Klatzmann. 2011. Regulatory T-Cell Responses to Low-Dose Interleukin-2 in HCV-Induced
665Vasculitis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 365: 2067–2077.

66611. Koreth, J., K. Matsuoka, H. T. Kim, S. M. McDonough, B. Bindra, E. P. I. Alyea, P. Armand,
667C. Cutler, V. T. Ho, N. S. Treister, D. C. Bienfang, S. Prasad, D. Tzachanis, R. M. Joyce, D. E.
668Avigan, J. H. Antin, J. Ritz, and R. J. Soiffer. 2011. Interleukin-2 and Regulatory T Cells in
669Graft-versus-Host Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 365: 2055–2066.

67012. Boyman, O., M. Kovar, M. P. Rubinstein, C. D. Surh, and J. Sprent. 2006. Selective
671stimulation of T cell subsets with antibody-cytokine immune complexes. *Science* 311: 1924–
6721927.

67313. Boyman, O., C. D. Surh, and J. Sprent. 2006. Potential use of IL-2/anti-IL-2 antibody
674immune complexes for the treatment of cancer and autoimmune disease. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.*
6756: 1323–1331.

67614. Tang, Q., J. Y. Adams, C. Penaranda, K. Melli, E. Piaggio, E. Sgouroudis, C. A. Piccirillo, B.
677L. Salomon, and J. A. Bluestone. 2008. Central role of defective interleukin-2 production in the
678triggering of islet autoimmune destruction. *Immunity* 28: 687–697.

67915. Liu, R., Q. Zhou, A. La Cava, D. I. Campagnolo, L. Van Kaer, and F.-D. Shi. 2010.
680Expansion of regulatory T cells via IL-2/anti-IL-2 mAb complexes suppresses experimental
681myasthenia. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 40: 1577–1589.

68216. Grinberg-Bleyer, Y., A. Baeyens, S. You, R. Elhage, G. Fourcade, S. Gregoire, N. Cagnard,
683W. Carpentier, Q. Tang, J. Bluestone, L. Chatenoud, D. Klatzmann, B. L. Salomon, and E.
684Piaggio. 2010. IL-2 reverses established type 1 diabetes in NOD mice by a local effect on
685pancreatic regulatory T cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 207: 1871–1878.

68617. Spangler, J. B., J. Tomala, V. C. Luca, K. M. Jude, S. Dong, A. M. Ring, P. Votavova, M.
687Pepper, M. Kovar, and K. C. Garcia. 2015. Antibodies to Interleukin-2 Elicit Selective T Cell
688Subset Potentiation through Distinct Conformational Mechanisms. *Immunity* 42: 815–825.

68918. Webster, K. E., S. Walters, R. E. Kohler, T. Mrkvan, O. Boyman, C. D. Surh, S. T. Grey, and
690J. Sprent. 2009. In vivo expansion of T reg cells with IL-2–mAb complexes: induction of
691resistance to EAE and long-term acceptance of islet allografts without immunosuppression. *J.*
692*Exp. Med.* 206: 751–760.

69319. Park, Y.-H., S.-K. Koo, Y. Kim, H.-M. Kim, I.-Y. Joe, C.-S. Park, S.-C. Kim, D.-J. Han, and
694D.-G. Lim. 2010. Effect of in vitroexpanded CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cell therapy
695combined with lymphodepletion in murine skin allotransplantation. *Clin. Immunol.* 135: 43–54.

69620. Tomala, J., J. Kovarova, M. Kabesova, P. Votavova, H. Chmelova, B. Dvorakova, B. Rihova,
697and M. Kovar. 2013. Chimera of IL-2 Linked to Light Chain of anti-IL-2 mAb Mimics IL-2/anti-
698IL-2 mAb Complexes Both Structurally and Functionally. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 8: 871–876.

69921. Salafsky, J. S. 2006. Detection of protein conformational change by optical second-harmonic
700generation. *J. Chem. Phys.* 125: 074701.

70122. Salafsky, J. S. 2007. Second-harmonic generation for studying structural motion of biological
702molecules in real time and space. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. PCCP* 9: 5704–5711.

70323. Moree, B., K. Connell, R. B. Mortensen, C. T. Liu, S. J. Benkovic, and J. Salafsky. 2015.
704Protein Conformational Changes Are Detected and Resolved Site Specifically by Second-
705Harmonic Generation. *Biophys. J.* 109: 806–815.

70624. Thanos, C. D., M. Randal, and J. A. Wells. 2003. Potent Small-Molecule Binding to a
707Dynamic Hot Spot on IL-2. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125: 15280–15281.

70825. Thanos, C. D., W. L. DeLano, and J. A. Wells. 2006. Hot-spot mimicry of a cytokine receptor
709by a small molecule. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103: 15422–15427.

71026. Levin, A. M., D. L. Bates, A. M. Ring, C. Krieg, J. T. Lin, L. Su, I. Moraga, M. E. Raeber, G.
711R. Bowman, P. Novick, V. S. Pande, C. G. Fathman, O. Boyman, and K. C. Garcia. 2012.
712Exploiting a natural conformational switch to engineer an interleukin-2 “superkine.” *Nature* 484:
713529–533.

71427. Kuziel, W. A., G. Ju, T. A. Grdina, and W. C. Greene. 1993. Unexpected effects of the IL-2
715receptor alpha subunit on high affinity IL-2 receptor assembly and function detected with a
716mutant IL-2 analog. *J. Immunol.* 150: 3357–3365.

71728. Pasche, N., and D. Neri. 2012. Immunocytokines: a novel class of potent armed antibodies.
718*Drug Discov. Today* 17: 583–590.

71929. Lotze, M. T., Y. L. Matory, S. E. Ettinghausen, A. A. Rayner, S. O. Sharrow, C. A. Seipp, M.
720C. Custer, and S. A. Rosenberg. 1985. In vivo administration of purified human interleukin 2. II.
721Half life, immunologic effects, and expansion of peripheral lymphoid cells in vivo with
722recombinant IL 2. *J. Immunol.* 135: 2865–2875.

72330. Kontermann, R. E. 2011. Strategies for extended serum half-life of protein therapeutics.
724*Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 22: 868–876.

72531. Vazquez-Lombardi, R., B. Roome, and D. Christ. 2013. Molecular Engineering of
726Therapeutic Cytokines. *Antibodies* 2: 426–451.

72732. Finkelman, F. D., K. B. Madden, S. C. Morris, J. M. Holmes, N. Boiani, I. M. Katona, and C.
728R. Maliszewski. 1993. Anti-cytokine antibodies as carrier proteins. Prolongation of in vivo
729effects of exogenous cytokines by injection of cytokine-anti-cytokine antibody complexes. *J.*
730*Immunol.* 151: 1235–1244.

73133. Roopenian, D. C., and S. Akilesh. 2007. FcRn: the neonatal Fc receptor comes of age. *Nat.*
732*Rev. Immunol.* 7: 715–725.

73334. Becker, J. C., J. D. Pancook, S. D. Gillies, K. Furukawa, and R. A. Reisfeld. 1996. T cell-
734mediated eradication of murine metastatic melanoma induced by targeted interleukin 2 therapy.
735*J. Exp. Med.* 183: 2361–2366.

73635. Halin, C., S. Rondini, F. Nilsson, A. Berndt, H. Kosmehl, L. Zardi, and D. Neri. 2002.
737Enhancement of the antitumor activity of interleukin-12 by targeted delivery to neovasculature.
738*Nat. Biotechnol.* 20: 264.

73936. Gillies, S. D., Y. Lan, S. Williams, F. Carr, S. Forman, A. Raubitschek, and K.-M. Lo. 2005.
740An anti-CD20–IL-2 immunocytokine is highly efficacious in a SCID mouse model of established
741human B lymphoma. *Blood* 105: 3972–3978.

74237. Schrama, D., R. A. Reisfeld, and J. C. Becker. 2006. Antibody targeted drugs as cancer
743therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 5: 147.

74438. Schliemann, C., A. Palumbo, K. Zuberbühler, A. Villa, M. Kaspar, E. Trachsel, W. Klapper,
745H. D. Menssen, and D. Neri. 2009. Complete eradication of human B-cell lymphoma xenografts
746using rituximab in combination with the immunocytokine L19-IL2. *Blood* 113: 2275–2283.

74739. Schilbach, K., M. Alkhaled, C. Welker, F. Eckert, G. Blank, H. Ziegler, M. Sterk, F. Müller,
748K. Sonntag, T. Wieder, H. Braumüller, J. Schmitt, M. Eyrich, S. Schleicher, C. Seitz, A.
749Erbacher, B. J. Pichler, H. Müller, R. Tighe, A. Lim, S. D. Gillies, W. Strittmatter, M. Röcken,
750and R. Handgretinger. 2015. Cancer-targeted IL-12 controls human rhabdomyosarcoma by
751senescence induction and myogenic differentiation. *OncoImmunology* 4: e1014760.

75240. Shusterman, S., W. B. London, S. D. Gillies, J. A. Hank, S. D. Voss, R. C. Seeger, C. P.
753Reynolds, J. Kimball, M. R. Albertini, B. Wagner, J. Gan, J. Eickhoff, K. B. DeSantes, S. L.
754Cohn, T. Hecht, B. Gadabaw, R. A. Reisfeld, J. M. Maris, and P. M. Sondel. 2010. Antitumor
755Activity of Hu14.18-IL2 in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory Neuroblastoma: A Children’s
756Oncology Group (COG) Phase II Study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 28: 4969–4975.

75741. Yu, A. L., A. L. Gilman, M. F. Ozkaynak, W. B. London, S. G. Kreissman, H. X. Chen, M.
758Smith, B. Anderson, J. G. Villablanca, K. K. Matthay, H. Shimada, S. A. Grupp, R. Seeger, C. P.
759Reynolds, A. Buxton, R. A. Reisfeld, S. D. Gillies, S. L. Cohn, J. M. Maris, and P. M. Sondel.
7602010. Anti-GD2 Antibody with GM-CSF, Interleukin-2, and Isotretinoin for Neuroblastoma. *N.*
761*Engl. J. Med.* 363: 1324–1334.

76242. Tzeng, A., B. H. Kwan, C. F. Opel, T. Navaratna, and K. D. Wittrup. 2015. Antigen
763specificity can be irrelevant to immunocytokine efficacy and biodistribution. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
764*Sci.* 112: 3320–3325.

76543. Gillies, S. D., Y. Lan, T. Hettmann, B. Brunkhorst, Y. Sun, S. O. Mueller, and K.-M. Lo.
7662011. A Low-Toxicity IL-2–Based Immunocytokine Retains Antitumor Activity Despite Its High
767Degree of IL-2 Receptor Selectivity. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17: 3673–3685.

76844. Garcin, G., F. Paul, M. Staufenbiel, Y. Bordat, J. V. der Heyden, S. Wilmes, G. Cartron, F.
769Apparailly, S. D. Koker, J. Piehler, J. Tavernier, and G. Uzé. 2014. High efficiency cell-specific
770targeting of cytokine activity. *Nat. Commun.* 5: 3016.

77145. Pearce, Kenneth H., B. C. Cunningham, G. Fuh, T. Teeri, and J. A. Wells. 1999. Growth
772Hormone Binding Affinity for Its Receptor Surpasses the Requirements for Cellular Activity.
773*Biochemistry (Mosc.)* 38: 81–89.

77446. Junttila, I. S., R. J. Creusot, I. Moraga, D. L. Bates, M. T. Wong, M. N. Alonso, M. M.
775Suhoski, P. Lupardus, M. Meier-Schellersheim, E. G. Engleman, P. J. Utz, C. G. Fathman, W. E.
776Paul, and K. C. Garcia. 2012. Redirecting cell-type specific cytokine responses with engineered
777interleukin-4 superkines. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 8: 990.

77847. Piehler, J., C. Thomas, K. C. Garcia, and G. Schreiber. 2012. Structural and dynamic
779determinants of type I interferon receptor assembly and their functional interpretation. *Immunol.*
780*Rev.* 250: 317–334.

78148. Walter, M. R. 2014. The molecular basis of IL-10 function: from receptor structure to the
782onset of signaling. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 380: 191–212.

78349. Moraga, I., J. Spangler, J. L. Mendoza, and K. C. Garcia. 2014. Multifarious determinants of
784cytokine receptor signaling specificity. *Adv. Immunol.* 121: 1–39.

78550. Moraga, I., D. Richter, S. Wilmes, H. Winkelmann, K. Jude, C. Thomas, M. M. Suhoski, E.
786G. Engleman, J. Piehler, and K. C. Garcia. 2015. Instructive roles for cytokine-receptor binding
787parameters in determining signaling and functional potency. *Sci. Signal.* 8: ra114.

78851. Mostböck, S. 2009. Cytokine/Antibody complexes: an emerging class of immunostimulants.
789*Curr. Pharm. Des.* 15: 809–825.

79052. Dukkupati, A., H. H. Park, D. Waghray, S. Fischer, and K. C. Garcia. 2008. BacMam system
791for high-level expression of recombinant soluble and membrane glycoproteins for structural
792studies. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 62: 160–170.

79353. Yodoi, J., K. Teshigawara, T. Nikaido, K. Fukui, T. Noma, T. Honjo, M. Takigawa, M.
794Sasaki, N. Minato, and M. Tsudo. 1985. TCGF (IL 2)-receptor inducing factor(s). I. Regulation

795of IL 2 receptor on a natural killer-like cell line (YT cells). *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* 134:
7961623–1630.

79754. Ring, A. M., J.-X. Lin, D. Feng, S. Mitra, M. Rickert, G. R. Bowman, V. S. Pande, P. Li, I.
798Moraga, R. Spolski, E. Ozkan, W. J. Leonard, and K. C. Garcia. 2012. Mechanistic and structural
799insight into the functional dichotomy between IL-2 and IL-15. *Nat. Immunol.* 13: 1187–1195.

80055. Abraham, M. J., T. Murtola, R. Schulz, S. Páll, J. C. Smith, B. Hess, and E. Lindahl. 2015.
801GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from
802laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* 1–2: 19–25.

80356. Lindorff-Larsen, K., S. Piana, K. Palmo, P. Maragakis, J. L. Klepeis, R. O. Dror, and D. E.
804Shaw. 2010. Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein force field.
805*Proteins* 78: 1950–1958.

80657. Jorgensen, W. L., J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey, and M. L. Klein. 1983.
807Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* 79: 926–
808935.

80958. Šali, A., and T. L. Blundell. 1993. Comparative Protein Modelling by Satisfaction of Spatial
810Restrains. *J. Mol. Biol.* 234: 779–815.

81159. Bussi, G., D. Donadio, and M. Parrinello. 2007. Canonical sampling through velocity
812rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.* 126: 014101.

81360. Parrinello, M., and A. Rahman. 1981. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new
814molecular dynamics method. *J. Appl. Phys.* 52: 7182–7190.

81561. Hess, B., H. Bekker, H. J. C. Berendsen, and J. G. E. M. Fraaije. 1997. LINCS: A linear
816constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 18: 1463–1472.

81762. Essmann, U., L. Perera, M. L. Berkowitz, T. Darden, H. Lee, and L. G. Pedersen. 1995. A
818smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *J. Chem. Phys.* 103: 8577–8593.

81963. Schwantes, C. R., and V. S. Pande. 2013. Improvements in Markov State Model Construction
820Reveal Many Non-Native Interactions in the Folding of NTL9. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 9:
8212000–2009.

82264. Beauchamp, K. A., G. R. Bowman, T. J. Lane, L. Maibaum, I. S. Haque, and V. S. Pande.
8232011. MSMBuilder2: Modeling Conformational Dynamics on the Picosecond to Millisecond
824Scale. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 7: 3412–3419.

82565. McGibbon, R. T., K. A. Beauchamp, M. P. Harrigan, C. Klein, J. M. Swails, C. X.
826Hernández, C. R. Schwantes, L.-P. Wang, T. J. Lane, and V. S. Pande. 2015. MDTraj: A Modern
827Open Library for the Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectories. *Biophys. J.* 109: 1528–1532.
82866. Cooper, H. S., S. N. Murthy, R. S. Shah, and D. J. Sedergran. 1993. Clinicopathologic study
829of dextran sulfate sodium experimental murine colitis. *Lab. Investig. J. Tech. Methods Pathol.*
83069: 238–249.

831

832

833

834 **TABLES**

Construct	K_D of IL-2/Antibody Complex (nM)	K_D of IL-2Rα/IC Complex (nM)
JES6-1	5.6	290
D55A (V _H)	500	230
D58A (V _H)	200	260
E60A (V _H)	56	210
R62A (V _H)	4.3	190
S34A (V _L)	6.5	170
Y41A (V _L)	6.4	130
H100A (V _L)	62	240
Y101A (V _L)	12	140

835

836 **Table 1.** Cytokine affinity and receptor exchange properties of engineered JES6-1 antibody and
837 immunocytokine point mutants. The JES6-1 antibody chains on which the mutations are located
838 are indicated in parentheses.

839

Construct	K_D of IL-2Rα/IC Complex (nM)
JES6-1	220
S34A+Y41A	210
S34A+Y101A	82
Y41A+Y101A	82
S34A+Y41A+Y101A	130

840

841 **Table 2.** Receptor exchange properties of engineered JES6-1 antibody and immunocytokine
842 multi-site mutants. All mutations are located on the JES6-1 variable light chain.

843

844

845 **Figure 1.** *Unique antibody-receptor exchange mechanism underlies T_{Reg} bias of mixed IL-*
846 *2/JES6-1 complex. (a)* Schematic of the mechanistic rationale for IL-2/JES6-1 complex-mediated
847 selective potentiation of T_{Reg} cells. The JES6-1 antibody (shown in single-chain format) sterically
848 obstructs IL-2 engagement of the IL-2R β and γ_c subunits, preventing activation of IL-2R α^{Low}
849 effector cells (*left*). However, allosteric exchange between JES6-1 and the IL-2R α subunit allows
850 for exclusive signaling on IL-2R α^{High} T_{Reg} s, biasing toward an immunosuppressive response
851 (*right*). **(b)** IL-2 was immobilized and 500 nM IL-2R α (*top*) or 500 nM JES6-1 antibody
852 (*bottom*) was injected at time 0 min. After 10 minutes, various concentrations of JES6-1 antibody
853 ranging from 31 nM to 2 μ M (*top*) or various concentrations of IL-2R α ranging from 0.5 μ M to
854 32 μ M (*bottom*) were added and second-harmonic generation signal change was monitored.
855 Exchange schemes are shown at *left*. **(c)** Molecular structure of the IL-2 cytokine bound to JES6-
856 1 (PDB ID 4YQX) (17) overlaid with the IL-2R α subunit from the IL-2 cytokine-receptor
857 quaternary complex structure (PDB ID 2B5I) (3), highlighting the AB (*red*), BC (*orange*), and
858 CD (*green*) interhelical loops of the cytokine (*top*). Molecular dynamics simulations of free IL-2
859 were conducted starting from the cytokine's conformations in the crystallographic structures of
860 IL-2 bound to the JES6-1 and S4B6 antibodies and the IL-2R α subunit. Root mean square
861 deviation (RMSD), dihedral angles, and inter-residue distances for the interhelical loops and
862 flanking residues are plotted for a representative transition between the JES6-1-bound and IL-
863 2R α -bound states (*bottom*). **(d)** Overlay of three representative simulated conformations each
864 from the JES6-1-bound state, the intermediate states, and the IL-2R α -bound state of IL-2 that
865 form the primary transition path, with interhelical regions colored as in (c).

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874**Figure 2.** *IL-2/JES6-1 immunocytokine fusion fails to recapitulate T_{Reg} -promoting activity of the*
875*mixed antibody-cytokine complex. (a)* Schematic of the IL-2/JES6-1 single-chain
876immunocytokine (IC) fusion with the C-terminus of the cytokine tethered to the N-terminus of
877the antibody light chain via a $(Gly_4Ser)_2$ flexible linker. **(b)** Equilibrium surface plasmon
878resonance titrations of soluble IL-2 (gray) or JES6-1 IC (blue) binding to immobilized IL-2R α .
879Fitted equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) are indicated. **(c)** STAT5 phosphorylation response
880(mean \pm S.D.) of IL-2R α^+ (*top*) or IL-2R α^- (*bottom*) YT-1 human NK cells stimulated with IL-2,
881IL-2/JES6-1 complex, or JES6-1 IC. **(d)** Ratio of T_{Reg} to CD8 $^+$ effector T cell abundance in
882spleens harvested from non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice (*n=4 per cohort*) treated with PBS, IL-2,
883IL-2/JES6-1 complex, or JES6-1 IC for four consecutive days. Data represents mean \pm s.d.
884Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **The experiment**
885**was performed three times with similar results.**

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905 **Figure 3.** *Disruption of antibody-cytokine affinity enhances immunocytokine activity on IL-2R α ⁺*
906 *cells. (a)* Crystallographic structure of the IL-2/JES6-1 interface (PDB ID 4YQX) (17) with
907 *interfacial antibody residues that were mutated to alanine highlighted in yellow (heavy chain) or*
908 *green (light chain). Human IL-2R α is overlaid from the IL-2 cytokine-receptor quaternary*
909 *complex structure for reference (PDB ID 2B5I) (3). (b)* Equilibrium surface plasmon resonance
910 *titrations of soluble IL-2 binding to immobilized JES6-1 or the indicated antibody variants. (c)*
911 *Equilibrium surface plasmon resonance titrations of soluble IL-2, JES6-1 IC, or JES6-1 IC*
912 *variants binding to immobilized IL-2R α (top) or IL-2R β (bottom). (d)* STAT5 phosphorylation
913 *response of IL-2R α ⁺ (top) or IL-2R α (bottom) YT-1 human NK cells treated with IL-2, JES6-1*
914 *IC, or JES6-1 IC variants. Data represent mean \pm s.d. (e)* Comparison of the STAT5
915 *phosphorylation activity of the indicated IC variants (% IL-2-induced signal at 1.2 μ M*
916 *concentration) versus IL-2 affinity of their corresponding antibodies. Activity of the IL-2/JES6-1*
917 *complex is indicated by the dashed blue line. Data represent mean \pm s.d. (f)* Comparison of the
918 *STAT5 phosphorylation activity of the indicated IC variants (% IL-2-induced signal at 1.2 μ M*
919 *concentration) to their IL-2R α affinities (representative of their exchanging propensities).*
920 *Activity of the IL-2/JES6-1 complex is indicated by the dashed blue line. Data represent mean \pm*
921 *s.d. Heavy chain mutations are colored yellow and light chain mutations are colored green*
922 *throughout the figure.*

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935 **Figure 4.** Engineered double mutant immunocytokine recovers T_{Reg} -biased activity of the IL-
9362/JES6-1 complex. **(a)** Equilibrium surface plasmon resonance titrations of soluble IL-2, JES6-1
937IC, or double/triple mutant JES6-1 IC variants binding to immobilized IL-2R α (top) or IL-2R β
938(bottom). **(b)** STAT5 phosphorylation response of IL-2R α^+ (top) or IL-2R α^- (bottom) YT-1
939human NK cells treated with IL-2, JES6-1 IC, or double/triple mutant JES6-1 IC variants. Data
940represent mean \pm s.d. **(c)** Equilibrium surface plasmon resonance titrations of soluble IL-2
941binding immobilized JES6-1 antibody (light blue) or the JY3 antibody variant (red). **(d)** Ratio of
942 T_{Reg} to CD8 $^+$ effector T cell abundance in spleens harvested from C57BL/6 mice ($n=3$ per cohort)
943treated with PBS, IL-2/JES6-1 complex, JES6-1 IC, or the Y41A+Y101A mutant IC for four
944consecutive days, as determined by flow cytometry analysis. Data represent mean \pm s.d.
945Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. The experiment
946was performed three times with similar results.

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966**Figure 5.** *Engineered immunocytokine selectively potentiates the growth of activated adoptively*
967*transferred CD8⁺ T cells while boosting immunosuppression in the recipient. (a)* Schematic of
968the adoptive transfer procedure. CD8⁺ T cells were purified from OT-I/Ly 5.1 mice and
969adoptively transferred into C57BL/6 mice (Ly 5.2) (*n=4 per cohort*), which were then stimulated
970by SIINFEKL peptide and subjected to the indicated treatments for four consecutive days. Mice
971were sacrificed 48 hours after the final injection and relative expansion was quantified via flow
972cytometry for the adoptively transferred (AT) CD8⁺ T cells **(b)** and the recipient T_{Reg} cells **(c)**, MP
973CD8⁺ T cells **(d)**, and NK cells **(e)**. Data represent mean ± s.d. Statistical significance was
974determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. *The experiment was performed three times*
975*with similar results.*

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989**Figure 6.** *Engineered immunocytokine reduces disease severity in a mouse model of colitis.* **(a)**
990Schematic of the mouse colitis study. BALB/c mice (**n=6 per cohort**) were treated once daily for
9917 days with PBS, IL-2 plus a control antibody, IL-2/JES6-1 complex, or JY3 IC. Beginning on
992day 8, mice were subjected to 3% DSS in their drinking water to induce colitis. Weight loss **(b)**
993and disease activity index **(c)** were assessed on day 15. Mice were sacrificed on day 16 and colon
994length **(d)** was measured. Data represent mean \pm s.d. Statistical significance by one-way ANOVA
995+ Dunnett's multiple comparison post-test is indicated. **The experiment was performed twice**
996**with similar results.**

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

1020We thank members of the Garcia, Bluestone, Kovar, and Pande laboratories for helpful advice
1021and discussions and A. Velasco and D. Waghray for technical assistance. This work was
1022supported by the US National Institutes of Health (R01 AI51321 to K.C.G.), the Mathers Fund,
1023the Ludwig Foundation, and the Czech Science Foundation (Grants 13-12885S and 18-12973S to
1024M.K.) The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the
1025NIHR, or the Department of Health. K.C.G. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical
1026Institute and J.B.S. is the recipient of a Leukemia & Lymphoma Society Career Development
1027Program fellowship.

1028

1029**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

1030J.B.S., E.T., J.A.B., and K.C.G. conceived of the ideas and designed the experiments for this
1031work. supervised the research. J.B.S., E.T., J.T., S.S., P.V., C.S.S., A.P., and T.Y. designed and
1032conducted experiments. J.B.S, E.T., J.T., A.P., T.Y., J.S, V.S.P, M.K., J.A.B, and K.C.G. analyzed
1033and interpreted the data. J.B.S. and K.C.G. wrote the manuscript.

1034

1035**COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS**

1036Provisional patents concerning the technology described in this work have been filed. V.S.P. is a
1037consultant and SAB member of Schrodinger, LLC and Globavir, sits on the Board of Directors of
1038Apeel Inc, Freenome Inc, Omada Health, Patient Ping, and Rigetti Computing.

1039

1040**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

1041Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.C.G. Supplemental
1042information includes four supplemental figures and one supplemental video.

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047 **Supplemental Figure 1.** *Design of a single-chain cytokine-antibody fusion linking IL-2 and*
1048 *JES6-1. (a)* Crystallographic structure of the IL-2/JES6-1 complex (PDB ID 4YQX) (17) with
1049 the distance annotated between the C-terminal residue of IL-2 (red) and the N-terminal residue of
1050 the JES6-1 V_L domain (green). The JES6-1 antibody is shown as a single-chain variable
1051 construct (scFv). **(b)** Equilibrium surface plasmon resonance titrations of soluble IL-2 binding to
1052 immobilized IL-2R α (cyan), IL-2R β (navy), or JES6-1 (light blue). Fitted equilibrium
1053 dissociation constants (K_D) are indicated. **(c)** Hypothetical plot of the T_{Reg} to effector cell
1054 expansion ratio versus IL-2-antibody affinity in the framework of the JES6-1 allosteric exchange
1055 mechanism. If the cytokine-antibody affinity is very low, the cytokine will constitutively
1056 dissociate from the antibody, resulting in non-specific activation of both T_{Reg} and effector
1057 immune cells. However, if the cytokine-antibody affinity is very high, the antibody cannot be
1058 displaced by IL-2R α , blocking IL-2 activity on both T_{Reg} and effector cells. The affinity of the
1059 JES6-1 antibody allows for receptor-antibody exchange to induce biased T_{Reg} expansion, whereas
1060 the increased affinity of JES6-1 IC precludes its stimulation of T_{Reg} proliferation. **(d)** Ratio of
1061 T_{Reg} to total CD4⁺ T cell abundance in spleens harvested from non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice
1062 (*n*=4 per cohort) treated with PBS, IL-2, IL-2/JES6-1 complex, or JES6-1 IC for four
1063 consecutive days, as determined by flow cytometry analysis. Data represents mean \pm s.d.
1064 Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. The experiment
1065 was performed three times with similar results.

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078 **Supplemental Figure 2.** *Engineered immunocytokines stimulate biased T_{Reg} potentiation and*
1079 *upregulate $IL-2R\alpha$ expression in mice.* **(a)** Equilibrium surface plasmon resonance titrations of
1080 the soluble IL-2 interaction with immobilized JES6-1 antibody (light blue) or the S34A+Y101A
1081 antibody variant (purple). **(b)** Ratio of T_{Reg} to $CD8^+$ effector T cell abundance in spleens
1082 harvested from C57BL/6 mice (**$n=3$ per cohort**) treated with PBS, IL-2/JES6-1 complex, JES6-1
1083 IC, or the S34A+Y101A mutant IC, as determined by flow cytometry analysis. Data represent
1084 mean \pm s.d. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **The**
1085 **experiment was performed three times with similar results.** **(c) - (f)** The ratio of T_{Reg} cells to
1086 $CD8^+$ effector T cells **(c)** and **(e)** and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of $IL-2R\alpha$ in T_{Reg}
1087 cells **(d)** and **(f)** harvested from the spleens of C57BL/6 **(c) - (d)** (**$n=3$ per cohort**) or non-obese
1088 diabetic (NOD) **(e) - (f)** (**$n=4$ per cohort**) mice administered PBS or the indicated concentrations
1089 of IL-2/JES6-1 complex or JY3 IC for four consecutive days. Data represent mean \pm s.d. Note
1090 that the IL-2/JES6-1 complex dose was restricted to 5 μ g for NOD mice because 3/4 animals that
1091 were administered 30 μ g of the IL-2/JES6-1 complex died during the course of the experiment.
1092 **Experiments were performed three times with similar results.**

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103 **Supplemental Figure 3.** *Immunocytokine selectively potentiates T_{Reg} cell over $CD8^+$ T cell*
1104 *proliferation. (a)* Flow cytometry plots of IL-2R α expression versus Foxp3 (*left*) on $CD4^+$ cells
1105 and CD8 expression (*right*) in spleen cells harvested from C57BL/6 mice treated with PBS, IL-
1106 2/JES6-1 complex, JES6-1 IC, Y34A+Y101A IC, or S41A+Y101A IC for four consecutive days.
1107 One representative plot from three replicate mice per condition is shown. The experiment was
1108 performed three times with similar results. **(b)** Ratio of T_{Reg} to total $CD4^+$ T cell abundance in
1109 spleens harvested from C57BL/6 mice ($n=3$ per cohort) treated with PBS, IL-2/JES6-1 complex,
1110 JES6-1 IC, or the Y41A+Y101A mutant IC for four consecutive days, as determined by flow
1111 cytometry analysis. Data represents mean \pm s.d. Statistical significance was determined by two-
1112 tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. The experiment was performed three times with similar results.

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126 **Supplemental Figure 4.** *JY3 IC increases expression of IL-2R α on immune cells in a model of*
1127 *adoptive CD8⁺ T cell transfer.* CD8⁺ T cells were purified from OT-I/Ly 5.1 mice and adoptively
1128 transferred into B6 mice (Ly 5.2) (n=3 per cohort), which were then stimulated by SIINFEKL
1129 peptide and subjected to the indicated treatments for four consecutive days. Mice were sacrificed
1130 48 hours after the final injection and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of surface-expressed IL-
1131 2R α was quantified via flow cytometry for the adoptively transferred (AT) CD8⁺ T cells **(a)** and
1132 the recipient T_{Reg} cells **(b)**, MP CD8⁺ T cells **(c)**, and NK cells **(d)**. Data represent mean \pm s.d.
1133 Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. The experiment
1134 was performed three times with similar results.

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157**SUPPLEMENTAL VIDEO LEGEND**

1158**Supplemental Video 1.** *Mechanism of the IL-2 conformational transition between its antibody-*
1159*bound and receptor-bound states.* Molecular dynamics simulations revealed multiple transition
1160events in which free IL-2 adopting a JES6-1-bound state relaxed to a state resembling the IL-
11612R α -bound conformation (*top*). Time courses for selected root mean square deviation (RMSD),
1162inter-residue distances, and residue-specific dihedral angles of the interhelical loops and flanking
1163residues of IL-2 are presented (*bottom*).

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187