

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title

HYDROGEN CHEMISORPTION ON Pt SINGLE CRYSTAL SURFACES IN ACIDIC SOLUTIONS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2z44k8fx>

Author

Ross, Jr., Philip N.

Publication Date

1980-04-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

Submitted to Surface Science

HYDROGEN CHEMISORPTION ON Pt SINGLE
CRYSTAL SURFACES IN ACIDIC SOLUTIONS

Philip N. Ross, Jr.

April 1980

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAY 30 1980

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECT

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.*

LBL-10444 0.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

HYDROGEN CHEMISORPTION ON Pt SINGLE CRYSTAL SURFACES
IN ACIDIC SOLUTIONS

Philip N. Ross, Jr.
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720 (U.S.A.)

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen chemisorption from dilute acidic solution onto Pt single crystal surfaces was examined using an electrochemical cell directly coupled to LEED/Auger analytical system. No pre-anodization was used prior to observing hydrogen adsorption by cyclic voltammetry so that clean surfaces having the ordered structures indicated by LEED were studied. The problem of contributions from non-ordered parts of the electrode like support wires and edges was solved by using a gold evaporation masking technique. The specific contribution of atomic imperfections to the voltammetry curve was deduced from the ordered and countable imperfections occurring on high Miller index single crystal surfaces that have a stepped structure. The H-Pt bond energy was found to be structure sensitive, and sensitive both to local site geometry and long range order in the surface. The bond strength was found to vary systematically: $n(111) \times (100) > (100) > n(111) \times (111) > (110) > (111)$. Distinct states for hydrogen at steps versus hydrogen on terraces could be distinguished. The (110) surface is shown to be a (111) vicinal, probably the $[3(111) \times 2(111)]$ microfaceted surface. The zero coverage heat of adsorption on the well-ordered (111) surface (48 kJ/mol) in solutions is the same as the value reported by Ertl and co-workers for adsorption on a (111) surface in vacuum. Adsorption isotherms for hydrogen on the (111) and (100) surfaces is adequately fit by the classical model for immobile adsorption at single sites with nearest neighbor repulsive interaction.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years a number of research groups have attempted to combine the contemporary methods of surface analysis with the traditional methods of electrochemistry, such as cyclic voltammetry, to obtain a definitive relation between electrode structure and the adsorption energy of intermediates. Three different research groups⁽¹⁻³⁾ have recently studied hydrogen adsorption on low index single crystal surfaces of Pt using Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) to monitor surface purity and low energy electron diffraction (LEED) to observe surface structure. The experimental procedures used in these studies differed, however, particularly in the manner in which the sample was transferred to the solution from the ultra-high vacuum system, and in the degree of pre-polarization applied prior to observation of hydrogen adsorption. Both the Yeager group⁽¹⁾ and the Hubbard group⁽²⁾ incorporated the electrochemical cell into an ultra-high vacuum envelope which was back-filled with inert gas and electrolyte added from outside the vacuum system. In previous work by the author⁽³⁾, the crystals were transferred via a vacuum line to a purged glove box containing a conventional electrochemical cell. The advantage of the glove box method is that the crystal could be mounted in a special holder that ensured metal-electrolyte contact only in the center of the crystal. In

the former systems, there was less control of the metal-liquid contact area, with support wires⁽²⁾ and crystal edges⁽¹⁾ probably contributing to the total contacted area. The disadvantage of the glove box method was that contamination of the surface occurred upon transfer to the electrolyte, necessitating pre-polarization of the single crystal electrode to produce a clean surface. Post-test LEED analysis of the single crystal surfaces showed definitively that the pre-polarization above 1.0V* necessary to clean the electrode surface resulted in some restructuring. The voltammograms reported in⁽²⁾ and⁽³⁾ were, however, in reasonably good agreement, as were the adsorption energies for hydrogen on (111) and (100) surfaces. The results of the Yeager group⁽¹⁾ were somewhat different, particularly for the (111) surface where they reported very low coverage by hydrogen ($\sim 7 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$), and they suggested a true difference may exist between adsorption at an annealed (111) surface that had never been pre-polarized above 1.0V and one which had, since their electrodes were not pre-polarized above 0.4V. The Yeager group also reported problems with wetting of the single crystal electrodes, which may account in part for the very low hydrogen charge per unit geometric area. However, the problem of pre-polarization must be regarded as unresolved, particularly with regard to the (111) surface.

The experimental problem of ex situ preparation of Pt electrodes for hydrogen electrosorption without anodic-cathodic pre-polarization has received attention in work with polycrystalline electrodes^(4,5).

*All potentials refer to that against a reversible hydrogen electrode (10^2 kPa H_2) in the same electrolyte.

Clavilier and Chauvineau⁽⁴⁾ have shown that argon sputter cleaning, even with intermediate air exposure, produced a voltammogram without pre-polarization close to that suggested for "clean" polycrystalline Pt⁽⁶⁾. Thermal oxidation in the gas-phase with thermal annealing in ultra-high vacuum also produced high coverages by hydrogen without pre-polarization⁽⁵⁾. Multiple peaks observed for hydrogen adsorption-desorption on Pt electrodes are, therefore, associated with adsorption on clean, annealed Pt surfaces, and are not restricted to non-equilibrium, defect surfaces produced by repeated anodic-cathodic pre-treatment.

If the multiple peaks in hydrogen electrosorption arise due principally to structure sensitivity, as the results of all the recent single-crystal studies have suggested, then each peak for the annealed polycrystalline surface should be related directly to a peak observed on one of the low index faces. Several research groups^(1-3,7) have assigned the major "strong" hydrogen peaks to adsorption at surface regions of (100) symmetry, and at least two groups^(1,3) assign the major "weak" hydrogen peak to adsorption at regions of (111) symmetry. Kolb and co-workers⁽⁷⁾ disagree with the latter assignment and suggest the "weak" peak on polycrystalline Pt is associated with adsorption at (110) regions. They suggest that reflection high energy electron diffraction (RHEED) analysis of the (111) single crystal surface revealed that twenty cycles to 1.3V restructured the (111) surface into principally (110) facets. The peak maximum for hydrogen on their (111) crystal was reported to be at about the same potential as for the (110) crystal, but the peak shape was much broader and the

coverage lower. Upon potential cycling to 1.3V, they found the peak shape narrowed and the coverage increased and with fifteen cycles the voltammogram looked similar to that for the (110) crystal, consistent with the reconstruction indicated by their RHEED analysis of the cycled surface. These workers have therefore assigned the "weak" hydrogen peak on polycrystalline Pt to surface regions of (110) symmetry.

Fundamental differences in interpretation of the voltammetry curves for hydrogen on the low index single crystal surfaces of Pt have recently arisen. Conway⁽⁸⁾ has emphasized that in all of the previous single-crystal studies, multiple peaks were observed for hydrogen electrosorption on each of the low index faces, and that these multiplicities may be evidence of "induced" heterogeneity in the adsorption-desorption process at a structurally homogeneous electrode surface. Even in the absence of anion specific adsorption^(9,10), we have argued that the minor peaks can arise from intrinsic heterogeneity in the single crystal electrode, in the form of edges of the crystal, supporting wires, or imperfections on the crystal face itself. Since imperfections are introduced into the surface by potential cycling through the oxide formation region⁽³⁾, it is imperative that hydrogen adsorption be observed on a clean surface with nearly perfect long range order without pre-anodization of the electrode in order to determine whether multiple adsorption states exist even on homogeneous surfaces.

In the present work we reexamined hydrogen electrosorption on the three low index faces of Pt, (111), (100) and (110), using an improved sample transfer system that preserved ultra-high vacuum conditions prior to contact of the electrode surface with the electrolyte. No pre-anodization was used prior to observing hydrogen adsorption by cyclic voltammetry, so that clean, nearly perfectly ordered surfaces were studied. The problem of contributions from non-ordered portions of the electrode like support wires and edges was solved using an evaporated gold film masking technique. In addition, the specific contribution of imperfections to the hydrogen electrosorption peaks was deduced by introducing ordered and countable imperfections by the use of high Miller index single crystal surfaces that have a stepped structure. Cyclic voltammetry of the stepped surface denoted $[3(111) \times (100)]$ in the notation of Lang et.al.⁽¹¹⁾ was reported in previous work, and we report here results for $[4(111) \times (111)]$, $[4(111) \times (100)]$ and $[6(111) \times (111)]$, $[6(111) \times (100)]$. We also show that the (110) surface is actually a (111) vicinal surface, and that the (2 x 1) reconstructed surface, which is a relatively stable surface configuration, and appears to be the low energy surface for the solid/liquid interface, is probably the $[3(111) \times 2(111)]$ micro-faceted surface. The objective of this reexamination was to eliminate to the greatest possible extent the ambiguities arising in the interpretation of hydrogen electrosorption due to the use of less than perfect surface structures and to resolve the problem of hydrogen on the (111) Pt surface.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The LEED-AES electrochemistry system was similar to that used in the previous work⁽³⁾, but the electrochemical cell and transfer system were modified to provide a clean ultra-high vacuum environment up to the instant of contact of the single crystal with the electrolyte. The new electrochemical cell is shown schematically in Figure 1. The single crystals were spot-welded to gold wires and suspended from a stainless steel block. The block was transferred from the manipulator in the LEED-AES bell-jar to the electrochemical cell by means of two polished stainless steel rods; vacuum sealing of the rods was accomplished using Viton O-rings radially compressed. The working electrode compartment, and the high-vacuum parts of the system, were isolated from the electrolyte reservoir and reference electrode via a glass-break. The transfer system and working electrode compartment were differentially pumped to about 1.3×10^{-6} Pa (1×10^{-8} torr) after a bakeout at 423K. In the typical experiment, a new single-crystal was mounted on the transfer block and attached to the vertical motion transfer rod with the electrochemical cell detached. The transfer block was transferred to the horizontal motion rod, the electrochemical cell attached to the system, and the entire transfer system pumped out to 2×10^{-6} Pa. The single crystal was then transferred to the ultra-high vacuum system, where it was cleaned by simultaneous argon ion bombardment and electron beam heating to 973K. Depending on the crystal, this treatment sometimes required several hours before analysis by Auger electron spectroscopy indicated no sign of any detectable surface impurities. Typical impurities removed by hot ion bombardment were Ca, P, C and Si. The Auger spectrometer

system also had sample imaging capability (Varian Model 981-2757 Scanning Sample Positioner) so that the entire surface of the crystal was analyzed for impurities. The structure of the surface was then determined using LEED. After structure analysis was performed, the crystal was transferred into the working electrode compartment and the electrochemical system was isolated from the LEED-AES system by closure of the straight-thru valve. The working electrode compartment was then backfilled with Research Grade argon (Airco, 5 N purity) to near ambient pressure, and the electrolyte introduced by breaking the glass seal.

Triangular sweep cyclic voltammetry was carried out using a Princeton Applied Research (Model 173) Potentiostat, an x-y recorder (Hewlett-Packard Model 7044A), and a sweep generator (Wavetek). The reference electrode was a reversible hydrogen electrode, consisting of a Pt wire mesh from which hydrogen was evolved periodically to maintain ca. 10^2 kPa hydrogen pressure in the reference. The electrolytes were 0.5M H_2SO_4 and 0.05 $HClO_4$ prepared by dilution of concentrated acid (Baker, Ultrex grade) with water purified by the method of Conway et.al.⁽¹²⁾. The electrolyte was pretreated in the reservoir by anodic-cathodic potential cycling using Pt foil electrodes (detail 7 in Figure 1) until the voltammogram was representative of polycrystalline Pt in clean dilute $HClO_4$ ⁽¹⁰⁾. To conduct post-test LEED analysis of the single-crystal electrode, the crystal was lifted from the electrolyte, the gate valve (detail 4 in Figure 1) closed, and the transfer chamber ion pumped to about 3×10^{-5} Pa prior to transfer to the LEED-AES chamber.

High purity single crystal rods of orientation (111), (100) and (110) were obtained from MRC. The (111) and (100) rods were 0.95 cm diameter and the (110) rod was 0.64 cm. The low index rods were spark cut into 1 mm slices after mounting and alignment using the von Laue x-ray technique. The high Miller index crystals were prepared by cutting the (111) and (100) rods at appropriate angles to the $[111]$ and $[100]$ crystallographic axes after orientation on the x-ray goniometer. The orientations of crystals used in this work are shown in the stereographic projection in Figure 2. The crystals were polished using standard metallographic techniques with the final step a polish with 0.05 μm alumina powder. Before use, the crystals were heat treated in a vacuum induction furnace for 12 hours at 1573K and dipped briefly in hot aqua regia to remove impurities that accumulated at the surface during heat treatment.

Since the cell configuration employed here required complete submersion of the crystal, regions of the crystal that are not well-ordered are contacted by electrolyte and thus contribute to the voltammogram, e.g. the edge. Typically the geometric area of edges on these crystals was 20% of the area of the polished faces, and the effective area even greater because of roughness. The contribution of the crystal edges to the voltammogram was measured directly by a gold masking technique. After obtaining the voltammogram for the complete crystal, gold was evaporated onto the polished faces so that just the edges and near-edge region of the Pt crystal were exposed to electrolyte. The voltammogram for these disordered regions was obtained following the same procedure as used for the crystal without the gold mask. To facilitate data

manipulation the voltammograms were digitized and recorded in a multi-channel analyzer (Tracor-Northern NS 575A).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Voltammetry of the Well-ordered Low Index Surfaces

Since the majority of Pt cyclic voltammetry has been done in H_2SO_4 , we considered it appropriate to include some results on both polycrystalline Pt foils and low index single crystals in this electrolyte for comparison with other work. Figure 3 shows the voltammogram for a polycrystalline foil cleaned by ion bombardment and thermally annealed (1073K) and contacted with the electrolyte potentiostated at 0.4V. The first sweep was cathodic after about a 30s hold. Even on the first anodic sweep from 0.05V, where the electrode has never been to oxide formation potentials, four hydrogen peaks are resolved and only one sweep to 1.5V to remove impurities adsorbed primarily during the hold at 0.4V is needed to establish a voltammogram characteristic of a Pt foil in 0.5M H_2SO_4 ⁽⁶⁾. That the impurities came from the electrolyte was determined by repeating the potential hold at 0.4V after the 4th 0.05-1.5V cycle and observing essentially the first voltammogram. The glass walls of the working electrode compartment were probably the source of these impurities. This is clearly a minor problem as at least qualitative comparison can be made from the first sweep and quantitative data can be obtained after a single sweep through oxide formation. As we will show by post-test LEED analysis of the surface, this single sweep produced an immeasurably small perturbation to the surface structure. The results for the (111) and (100) crystal surfaces are

are shown in Figures 4 and 5. Contrary to the claims made by the Yeager group⁽¹⁾, hydrogen is underpotentially deposited on a clean, well-ordered Pt (111) surface without any anodic pre-polarization. The coverage was at least 0.5 based on the geometric area of the electrode using $240 \mu\text{c}/\text{cm}^2$ as the total charge for unit coverage. For (111) Pt, hydrogen adsorption-desorption produced primarily one peak at 0.10V in 0.5M H_2SO_4 with some structure at higher potentials that, as we will show subsequently, can be attributed to processes at disordered regions of the single-crystal electrode.

Figure 5 gives the voltammogram for the (100) Pt crystal recorded as the second sweep from the 0.4V start, and compares the result with the second sweep for the (111) Pt crystal.

For (100) Pt, hydrogen adsorption-desorption produced primarily one peak at 0.26V with some additional structure at both higher and lower potentials that can be attributed to processes at disordered regions. It is interesting to note in Figure 5 the dramatic difference in the oxide formation-reduction waves on these two differently ordered surfaces, the process being much more reversible on (100) Pt than on (111) Pt.

It is now well known^(9,10) that a quantitative study of the hydrogen electrosorption process cannot be made in an electrolyte like 0.5M H_2SO_4 because of close coupling of specific anion adsorption with hydrogen electrosorption. Thus, all quantitative studies should be done in either very dilute HClO_4 or dilute HF. We choose 0.05M HClO_4 in order to use a glass cell and to simplify the engineering of the apparatus.

Figures 6 and 7 show the hydrogen region of the voltammogram for (111) and (100) Pt, respectively, for the second sweep from the 0.4V start. After gold was vacuum evaporated onto the faces of the crystals, the experiment was repeated with the results shown as the dotted lines. These curves represent underpotential hydrogen on the unmasked portion of the electrodes, e.g. the crystal edges and support wires, and of course the equilibrium hydrogen evolution-dissolution process on the total surface. The difference curves (dashed) therefore represent underpotential hydrogen on those parts of the electrodes covered by the gold evaporant, which LEED analysis has shown to be the well-ordered surface. For (111) Pt, the result was a nearly symmetric single peak, whose integrated area indicates a coverage by hydrogen of at least 0.5 of a monolayer (Figure 8) based on the geometric area under the gold mask. For (100) Pt, the result was an asymmetric single peak with an integral area indicating virtual saturation of the surface by hydrogen at the equilibrium potential (Figure 9).

3.2 Isotherms of Hydrogen on (111) and (100) Surfaces

For the sweep rates employed here the hydrogen adsorption-desorption processes are at quasi-equilibrium, and adsorption isotherms may be obtained directly by integration of the voltammetry curve. Then

$$i = \frac{dq}{dt} = vC_{dl} + vQ\frac{d\theta}{dU} \quad (1)$$

where v is sweep rate, Q the charge for forming a monolayer, C_{dl} the double-layer capacity, $Qd\theta/dU$ the adsorption pseudo-

capacity, and U the electrode potential. Then

$$\theta = \int \frac{(i - vC_{dl})}{vQ} dU \quad (2)$$

where i is the cathodic (adsorption) current. Since the voltammetry curves were digitized and stored in the NS-575 signal averages, the double layer corrections and integrations were carried out electronically and the resulting θ - U curve plotted. The voltammetry curves corrected for adsorption at the edges were used to generate the isotherms. The charge for forming a monolayer was calculated from the geometric area of the two faces of the crystals. Finally, the θ - $\log P_{H_2}$ relation is obtained from the Nernst equation relating the electrode (under) potential to the thermodynamic activity of gaseous hydrogen

$$U = -2.3 \frac{RT}{2F} \log a_{H_2} = -2.3 \frac{RT}{2F} \log P_{H_2} \quad (3)$$

To help in the interpretation of the asymmetry in the adsorption peaks for the (111) and (100) surfaces, isotherms were obtained at variable temperature (278-323K) and the isosteric heats of adsorption calculated using the procedure of Boeld and Breiter⁽¹³⁾. The results are given in Figure 10 and the variation in the heat of adsorption with coverage shows that the asymmetry does not arise due to unresolved peaks but is caused by interaction between adsorbed hydrogens on the surface. This point will be discussed in detail later in the paper.

3.3 Voltammetry of Stepped Surfaces

The results for the (110) single crystal have been grouped with

the results for the (111) vicinal stepped surfaces for the purposes of presentation and discussion. LEED analysis of the clean annealed (110) crystal in vacuo indicated the surface was reconstructed, as evidenced by the presence of half-order spots in the [001] direction that produced a (2 x 1) diffraction pattern. This (2 x 1) reconstructed (110) surface has been observed by numerous groups⁽¹⁴⁾, including Yeager et.al.⁽¹⁾, and Ducros and Merrill have proposed a "sawtooth" structure (see Figure 11) consisting of tilted (111) microfacets for the (110)-(2 x 1) surface. In the stepped surface notation, this surface structure would be [3(111)x2(111)]. The same structure has been proposed for the (110)-(2 x 1) surfaces of Ir and Au, and is also supported by the ion backscattering results of Davies et.al.⁽¹⁵⁾ that indicated the number of scattering centers at normal incidence was twice that expected for a (110)-(1 x 1) surface. The structure along the step of an [n(111)x(111)] surface is exactly the same as the structure in the "trough" of the (110)-(2 x 1), as a comparison of Figures 10a. and 10b. makes clear. The LEED patterns for the Miller index (221) and (775) crystals in vacuo were exactly those expected for the stepped surface geometries, [4(111)x(111)] and [7(111)x(111)], respectively, using the kinematic model of Ellis and Schwoebel^(3,16). It was expected, therefore, that a comparison of crystals (111), (775), (221) and (110) would reveal the effect of adsorption at the four-fold sites (designated C_{110}^4) that exist at the steps in the [n(111)x(111)] surfaces and at the "trough" of the

(110)-(2 x 1) surface. For this series of experiments, the edges of the crystals were masked by vacuum evaporation of a gold film. The results shown in Figure 12 bore out these expectations to a remarkable degree. The ratio of four-fold step sites (C_{110}^4) to the three-fold hollow sites (C_{111}^3) on the terraces varied in this series from 0, to 1:6, to 1:3 and to 1:1, respectively. The (221) and (110) crystals showed a clearly delineated second peak occurring at 0.225V and the ratio of peak areas (after computer deconvolution) was about the 1:3 and 1:1 expected if we assign the second peak to adsorption at the C_{110}^4 sites. The voltammogram for the (775) crystal was essentially indistinguishable from the result for the (111) crystal which had additional structure on the high potential side of the main peak. This additional structure can reasonably be attributed to adsorption on parts of the crystal edge not masked by the evaporated gold (this surface was very rough) and on the regions of the crystal faces near the edge, which were clearly imperfect when examined by scanning electron microscopy. In repeating the experiment with three different (111) crystals, the structure on the side of the main peak varied noticeably, illustrating how difficult it is experimentally to eliminate reproducibly the contributions due to imperfect regions of the crystal. It was concluded that we could not make a quantitative distinction between hydrogen adsorption at the (111) surface and at the $[7(111)x(111)]$ surface in this series of experiments. However, with the $[4(111)x(111)]$ and the (111)-(2 x 1) surfaces, the distinctions were clear owing to the high concentration of the C_{110}^4 sites.

Just as the crystals produced by cutting a (111) rod at sequentially increasing angles toward the $[\bar{1}10]$ pole revealed adsorption at sites having the (110) geometry, cutting the (111) rod at sequentially increasing angles towards the $[\bar{1}00]$ pole revealed adsorption at sites characteristic of (100) geometries. The LEED patterns for the Miller index (755) and (533) crystals in vacuo had the split spot pattern expected for the stepped surface geometries $[\bar{6}(111)\times(100)]$ and $[\bar{4}(111)\times(100)]$. The (211) crystal had the stepped structure $[\bar{3}(111)\times(100)]$ and the cyclic voltammetry of this surface was discussed in detail in previous work⁽³⁾ so we present it here only for comparison with the other vicinal surfaces. If we assume that adsorption at the steps occurs at the four-fold sites of (100) geometry (C_{100}^4) and at the terraces in the three-fold sites of (111) geometry (C_{111}^3), then the ratio of step sites to terrace sites on the crystals (111), (755), (533) and (211) would be 0, 1:6, 1:3, and 1:2 respectively. States associated with the steps are clearly delineated in the voltammograms of Figure 13, and the total charge associated with the steps was about that expected if only half the (111) sites are filled, as was the case for the ordered (111) crystal. However, unlike the (111) steps, two states of hydrogen are associated with adsorption at (100) steps, one state being more strongly bound at the (100) step than at the ordered surface of the same symmetry.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Comparison With Previous Work

The voltammetry curves for the polycrystalline foil (Fig. 3), and the (111) and (100) crystals (Figs. 4, 5) in 0.5M H₂SO₄, without anodic pre-treatment, are very similar to those reported by Hubbard et.al.⁽²⁾. The single peak for adsorption at the (111) surface is much sharper, and the coverage significantly higher (1.0 vs. 0.5) in the former work. Hubbard et.al.⁽²⁾ apparently used some anodic pre-treatment and we postulate that the differences in the results arise from the anodic pre-treatment, since it has been observed^(3,7) that even a few cycles of a (111) crystal through oxide formation-reduction causes both a narrowing of the voltammetry peak and an increase in charge under the peak. The voltammetry curve for the (100) crystal in 0.5M H₂SO₄ is nearly identical to that reported by both Hubbard et.al.⁽²⁾ and Yamamoto et.al.⁽⁷⁾ and there seems to be no controversy with regard to that surface. Yamamoto et.al. report a voltammetry curve for the (111) surface that is significantly broader (0.2V vs. 0.12V FWHM) and with slightly lower coverage (0.4 vs. 0.5) than we found here. This difference can be attributed directly to adsorption of impurities onto the single crystal surface during the atmospheric exposure in their experiments. We have repeated our experiment with the (111) crystal by back-filling the transfer chamber with air instead of ultra-pure argon; this produced a first sweep with a much broader

peak and lower coverage. Atmospheric contamination was observed to have a more dramatic effect on the (111) single crystal than any other single crystal surface. This should not be too surprising, as this surface has the lowest heat of adsorption and the largest adsorbate-adsorbate interaction probability of any of the ordered surfaces.

It is difficult to make a quantitative comparison of our result for the (110) crystal with that of Yamamoto et.al. because of the reconstruction of this surface. RHEED, the structure analysis used there, is not sensitive to reconstruction of only the outermost layer of atoms (which is the only atomic layer affected in the (2x1) reconstruction) so that the structure of the surface of their (110) crystal was not known. A comparison of the present result for the (110)-(2x1) surface in 0.05M HClO₄ with their result for a (110) crystal in 0.5M H₂SO₄ revealed significant differences. However, even with possible differences in surface structure, the principal difference between our result in 0.05M HClO₄ and their result in 0.5M H₂SO₄ can be attributed to the adsorption of anions in sulfate solution. Figure 14 shows the remarkable effect of HSO₄⁻ anions on the width of the voltammetry curve and the splitting of the two peaks for the (110)-(2x1) surface. Addition of H₂SO₄ up to the 10⁻²M level further narrowed the curve to the point where the high energy peak, attributable to adsorption in the (110)

trough, is barely distinguishable. The resulting curve in 0.05M HClO₄ + 0.01M H₂SO₄ is very similar to that reported by Yamamoto et.al.⁽⁷⁾.

The isosteric heats of adsorption reported here for the (111) and (100) surfaces in acidic solution are not very different from those found by Will⁽²⁹⁾ if allowance is made for the fact that Will's single crystals were structurally heterogeneous. For (100), the initial heat of adsorption was 80 kJ/mol, which compares favorably with the present work. For (111), Will reported a heat of adsorption that was 59 kJ/mol at zero coverage and that dropped sharply at $\theta \approx 0.6$ to 40 kJ/mol. Will's voltammetry curves, however, indicate that $1.0 < \theta < 0.6$ corresponds to adsorption at (100) vicinals, so that the transition at $\theta \approx 0.6$ in the heat of adsorption curve probably corresponds to adsorption at (111) vicinals with Pt-H bond energy comparable to that found in the present work.

4.2 Structurally Homogeneous Surfaces

We consider now the problem of a possible multiplicity of states for hydrogen on a structurally homogeneous surface. The voltammetry curves shown in Figures 6 and 7 represent the nearest one can come in the present state of the art to observation of adsorption on a structurally homogeneous surface. Specific contributions from structurally imperfect regions of the crystals were accounted for quantitatively, and no prepolarization was used that might disturb the surface from the degree of perfection attained in vacuo. Quantitative analysis of the LEED patterns, after Park et.al.⁽³⁰⁾, indicated that the number of dislocations in the (111) and (100) crystal surfaces could not exceed one atom in every thirty atoms and produce the patterns observed. Thus, the marked asymmetry of the voltammetry curve for hydrogen on the structurally homogeneous (100) surface is due either to adsorption at sites on the surface of different symmetry, e.g. A-top, bridged or four-fold hollow sites, or due to a lateral interaction between adsorbed hydrogens. If there were adsorption at sites of distinctly different binding energy (corresponding to buried peaks), one would expect to see dips in the heat of adsorption vs. coverage curve corresponding to the progressive filling of sites of different bond energy. As Figure 10 shows, this was clearly not the case, although a multiplicity of states of nearly identical binding energy cannot be ruled out on the basis of this

argument. The essentially monotonic decrease in heat of adsorption with coverage and the asymmetry of the pseudocapacitance curve are consistent with adsorption on an energetically homogeneous surface.

Consider a non-ideal isotherm having the general form

$$\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} = P_{H_2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp [\Delta G_{ads}(\theta)/2RT] \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta G_{ads}(\theta)$ is the free energy change in the adsorption reaction



which is a function of the extent of reaction, and θ is the coverage by adsorbed hydrogen. Non-ideal isotherms present a problem in defining a standard state. This problem has been carefully considered in the work of Conway et.al.⁽¹⁷⁾ and we shall not pursue it further here. The free energy function $\Delta G_{ads}(\theta)$ can be calculated in a rigorous way from a number of models for dissociative adsorption. For the differential heats of adsorption we use the classic models presented by Roberts⁽¹⁸⁾ for adsorption on identical sites with nearest neighbor interaction. For a system of uniform sites, the adsorption entropy for a diatomic molecule varies slowly with coverage for $\theta < 0.9$ and for the purposes of this analysis we will treat ΔS_{ads} as invariant. Then

$$\Delta G_{ads}(\theta) = -W(\theta) - T \Delta S_{ads} \quad (6)$$

where $W(\theta)$ is the differential heat of adsorption. If the hydrogen adatoms are mobile at the temperature of adsorption, then

$$W(\theta) = W_0 - zV \left[1 - \frac{1-2\theta}{\{1-4(1-\rho)\theta(1-\theta)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \quad (7)$$

where ρ is the Boltzman factor $\exp(-V/RT)$, W_0 is the initial (zero coverage) heat of adsorption, and V is the pair-wise interaction energy. For repulsive interaction, $V > 0$ and the heat of adsorption decreases with coverage; for $|V| < RT$, Taylor series expansion of (7) yields a linear change in the heat of adsorption

$$W(\theta) = W_0 - zV\theta \quad (8)$$

Adsorption isotherms with a linear variation in adsorption free energy have been used extensively for the modeling of underpotential deposition (e.g. 19) and it is well known that the linear function does not produce an asymmetric pseudocapacity curve. However, if the hydrogen adatoms are immobile, the resulting isotherm produces an asymmetric pseudocapacity curve and a heat of adsorption function which fits the observed variation extremely well. For immobile adsorption,

$$W(\theta) = W_0 - zV \left[\frac{(z-1)^2}{z} \theta - \frac{(2z-\theta)}{(z-\theta)} \right] \quad (9)$$

The best values of ΔG_{ads}^0 , the free energy of adsorption at zero coverage, and V were derived by curve fitting eq.(9) to the isotherms for the (111), $z = 6$, and the (100), $z = 4$, surfaces simultaneously, then checking the resulting $W(\theta)$ function against the experimentally observed variation. The best-fit

values of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$, ΔS_{ads} , and V are given in Table 1, the calculated isotherms and the calculated $W(\theta)$ functions appear as the solid curves in Figures 6, 7 and 10, respectively. It is important to note that the derived values of ΔS_{ads} are very close $\left[+12 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}\right]$ to the values expected for immobile adsorption of a diatomic molecule⁽²⁰⁾. While the theoretical isotherms are not a perfect fit to the experimental curves, the asymmetry of the voltammetry curve for the (100) surface is predicted as well as the general form of the functional relation between isosteric heat of adsorption and coverage.

A comparison of the bond energies of adsorbed hydrogen on Pt single crystal surfaces immersed in an aqueous acidic medium with bond energies for single crystal surfaces in vacuum reveals some interesting trends. The initial (zero coverage) heats of adsorption for single crystals in vacuum are reported by McCabe and Schmidt⁽²¹⁾ to be 73.2 kJ mol^{-1} for (111) and $102.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for (100) with a pair-wise interaction energy of $13.2 \text{ kJ mol-pair}^{-1}$. Ertl and co-workers⁽²²⁾ reported much smaller values for hydrogen on (111), 41.2 kJ mol^{-1} and have shown that poorly annealed imperfect (111) crystals produced a high energy state with a heat of adsorption of 67 kJ mol^{-1} . Since McCabe and Schmidt did not have LEED optics in their system, it is possible they worked with imperfect surfaces, since their results for (111) are similar to the imperfect (111) surfaces of Ertl et.al. The bond energies found in this work for Pt (111) single crystals in aqueous solution are remarkably

close to those reported by the Ertl group for Pt (111) in vacuum. If we assume the McCabe and Schmidt results for (100) are on an imperfect crystal, with defects like the atomic steps on n(111)x(100) surfaces, then the zero coverage heat of adsorption we find here for hydrogen on n(111)x(100) surfaces in aqueous solution (98 kJ mol^{-1}) is nearly identical to that in vacuum ($102.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). That the heat of adsorption of hydrogen on Pt in acid solution is close to that for Pt in vacuum is not a new observation, as it was made perhaps first by Breiter⁽²³⁾ and later by others⁽²⁴⁾. Apparently the Pt - H₂O specific interaction is very weak and H₂O molecules are easily displaced from the surface with virtually no effect on the Pt - H bond energy. Recent studies of water layers on Pt (111) by thermal desorption, photoemission and vibration spectroscopy⁽²⁵⁾ have confirmed the weak nature of the chemical interaction of H₂O molecules with the Pt surface.

4.2 Adsorption at Periodic Imperfections

It was hoped that the problem of the minor peaks in the voltammetry of polycrystalline Pt electrodes in dilute HClO₄ or HF would be resolved in a definitive way by the use of surfaces with periodic atomic dislocations of precise number and structure. Unfortunately, this does not appear to be possible at this time principally because the reconstruction of the (110) single crystal surface makes direct relation to polycrystalline surfaces a difficult matter. Certain assignments for adsorption at "defects" (high coordination sites) does appear possible,

however. Adsorption at $n(111) \times (100)$ steps results in the most strongly bound (98 kJ mol^{-1}) state of hydrogen on Pt in acidic solution but this state is not usually seen on polycrystalline electrodes, and, apparently, sites of this geometry do not occur in significant concentrations on most electrode surfaces. Adsorption at the $(110)-(2 \times 1)$ surface and its vicinals, $n(111) \times (111)$, also indicated that hydrogen is more strongly bound at the high coordination sites (C_{110}^4) found at the step than at sites on the low index terrace (C_{111}^3). However, the structure sensitivity is much smaller in these surfaces, as the heat of adsorption differs by only 15 kJ mol^{-1} between the high and low coordination sites. In voltammograms of polycrystalline Pt in non-adsorbing electrolytes (dilute HClO_4 or HF), both from this laboratory⁽³⁾ and from other groups^(10,26), there is a peak (denoted H_2 in Figure 15) located 60-80 mV anodic of the principal "weak" hydrogen peak (H_1), and we could reasonably associate this peak with adsorption at the four-fold sites of the $n(111) \times (111)$ step geometry (C_{110}^4). On none of the surfaces examined here was there the observation of the much discussed⁽²⁷⁾ "third anodic peak" (H_3), usually observed just to the cathodic side of the principal "strong" hydrogen peak. Loo and Furtak⁽²⁸⁾ have shown this peak is not an equilibrium state of hydrogen on Pt, but occurs only under potentiodynamic conditions with cycling through oxide formation and reduction. The experimental conditions employed here were designed to study only equilibrium states of hydrogen on Pt and we would not, therefore, expect to see this state in this study.

If a species is adsorbed at only one type of site on a structurally homogeneous surface, then the initial (zero-coverage) differential heat of adsorption represents the bond energy for sites of that geometry. The differential heat of adsorption at finite coverage will be less than the zero-coverage value due to repulsive interactions between adsorbates. The shape of the adsorption pseudocapacitance curve (and the isotherm) therefore depends on the symmetry at the adsorption site and the structural relation of sites of a given symmetry to one another. For example, adsorption at an ordered microdomain of sites will not produce the same isotherm as adsorption on the same sites in perfect long range order with one another, since the sites along the domain edge experience a different local environment. This exact effect is shown in a very precise way by the stepped surfaces. The terraces of stepped surfaces are micro-domains of the same symmetry separated by atomic dislocations of controlled structure. The comparison of $n(111) \times (111)$ and $n(111) \times (100)$ with (111) clearly demonstrated that the dislocations have two effects: (a) introduction of sites of different local symmetry and, thus, of different binding energy; (b) reduction of the interaction between hydrogen adsorbed within the ordered domain (the terrace). The change in adsorbate interaction causes a narrowing of the voltammetry curves and an increase in saturation coverage relative to the structurally perfect (111) surface. Because of these effects, only the voltammetry curves for stepped surfaces are likely to approximate adsorption at a

polycrystalline surface and be useful in relating various features in the voltammetry to specific structure.

A comparison of the voltammetry curves for the $n(111)x(111)$ and $n(111)x(100)$ surfaces in Figures 12 & 13 with that characteristic of an annealed polycrystalline foil in Figure 15 (refer to ref. 3 for pre-treatment conditions) provide at least qualitative identification of the structure sensitive features in the curves. Assignment of each feature to a type of adsorption site can reasonably be made: H_1 with sites of (111) symmetry (C_{111}^3), H_2 with sites of the (110) "trough" symmetry (C_{110}^4), and H_4 with sites of the (100) symmetry (C_{100}^4). It is not possible, however, to further ascribe particular features in the curves to adsorption on micro-domains of certain short range order because of the complications of surface reconstruction, particularly in the case of the (110) surface. In this work we have studied the (2x1) reconstructed surface of a (110) single crystal which is easily formed in a vacuum and is stable in aqueous solution. Whether micro-domains of (110) macroscopic orientation reconstruct to form this same surface is not known. Yamamoto et.al.⁽⁷⁾ ascribe the "weak" hydrogen peak in 0.5M H_2SO_4 to adsorption on (110) domains. This assignment is certainly possible and consistent with the observations in the present work. However, other structures are possible, e.g. (111) domains connected by atomic dislocations of both (100) and (111) geometry, and a definitive analysis of voltammetry curves for polycrystalline electrodes

requires an independent determination of the structure, i.e. one cannot determine structure of a specific Pt surface from the shape of its voltammetry curve.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Division of Fossil Fuel Utilization, Office of Energy Technology, U. S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-48. The author gratefully acknowledges valuable discussions with Professor Gabor Somorjai on the stepped surfaces of Pt and with Professor Brian Conway, University of Ottawa, on non-ideal isotherms.

REFERENCES

1. E. Yeager, W. O'Grady, M. Woo and P. Hagans, J. Electrochem. Soc., 125, 348 (1978).
2. A. Hubbard, R. Ishikawa and J. Kutakaru, J. Electroanal. Chem., 86, 271 (1978).
3. P. Ross, Jr., J. Electrochem. Soc., 126, 67 (1979).
4. J. Clavilier and J. Chauvineau, J. Electroanal. Chem., 100, 461 (1979).
5. V. Bagotzky, V. Lukyanychev, L. Frokina and N. Shumilova, J. Electroanal. Chem., 98, 159 (1979).
6. H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, W. Sharp and B. Conway, in "Proceedings of the Symposium on Electrocatalysis", M. Breiter ed., The Electrochemical Society, Princeton, N.J. (1974), pp 94-114.
7. K. Yamamoto, D. Kolb, R. Koetz and G. Lempfuhr, J. Electroanal. Chem., 96, 233 (1979).
8. B. Conway and H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, Extended Abstracts, 155th Meeting of the Electrochemical Society, Boston, Mass., May 6-11, 1979, The Electrochemical Society Inc., pp 859-861.
9. P. Ross, Jr., J. Electroanal. Chem., 76, 139 (1977).
10. J. C. Huang, Ph.D. Thesis, Case Western Reserve University, 1976;
J. Huang, W. O'Grady, and E. Yeager, J. Electrochem. Soc., 124, 1932 (1977).
11. B. Lang, R. Joyner, and G. Somorjai, Surf. Sci., 30, 440 (1972).
12. B. Conway, H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, W. Sharp and E. Criddle, Anal. Chem., 45, 1331 (1973).
13. W. Boeld and M. Breiter, Zeit. Elektrochem., 65, 897 (1960).

14. R. Ducros and R. Merrill, Surf. Sci., 55, 227 (1977); M. Salmeron and G. Somorjai, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report, LBL-8464, 1979, to be published in Surface Science, 1980.
15. J. Davies, D. Jackson and P. Norten, Proc. 7th Int. Congr. and 3rd Int. Congr. Solid Surfaces (Vienna, 1977), p 2527.
16. W. Ellis and R. Schwoebel, Surf. Sci., 11, 82, (1968).
17. B. Conway, H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, and H. Dhar, Electrochim. Acta, 19, 455 (1974).
18. J. K. Roberts, "Some Problems in Adsorption", Cambridge University Press (1939), pp 21-41.
19. H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, J. Klinger and B. Conway, J. Electroanal. Chem., 75, 45 (1977).
20. A. Clark, "The Theory of Adsorption and Catalysis", Academic Press, (1970), pp 35-46.
21. R. McCabe and L. Schmidt, Proc. 7th Intern. Vac. Congr. and 3rd Intern. Conf. Solid Surfaces (Vienna, 1977), p 1201.
22. K. Christmann and G. Ertl, Surf. Sci., 60, 365 (1976); K. Christmann, G. Ertl and T. Pignet, Surf. Sci., 54, 365 (1976).
23. M. Breiter, "Electrochemical Processes in Fuel Cells", Springer-Verlag (New York), 1969, p 55.
24. P. Stonehart and P. N. Ross, Jr., Catal. Rev. - Sci. Eng., 12, 1 (1975); D. Ferrier, K. Kinoshita, J. McHardy and P. Stonehart, J. Electroanal. Chem., 61, 233 (1975).
25. G. Fisher and B. Sexton, General Motors Research Publication GMR-3108R, November 1979, submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters.

26. B. Conway, H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, and F. Ho, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, 14, 351 (1977).
27. K. Kinoshita, J. Lundquist and P. Stonehart, *J. Catal.*, 31, 325 (1973) and references therein.
28. B. Loo and T. Furtak, to be published in *Electrochim. Acta*, 1980.
29. F. Will, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 112, 451 (1965).
30. R. Park, J. Houston and D. Schreiner, *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, 42, 60 (1971).

Table 1. Thermodynamic Functions[†] for the Adsorption of Hydrogen on Pt Single Crystal Surfaces.

Surface	$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta H_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta S_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ (J/mol-K)	V (kJ/mol ^{-pair})
(111)	-30.1	-46.8	55.8	5.0
(100)	-65.2	-81.1	53.1	5.0

[†] zero coverage at 300K.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1 - Schematic of the electrochemical-surface analysis apparatus:
(1) O-ring feedthrus; (2) transfer rods; (3a and b) transfer block with crystal suspended from wires; (4) gate valve; (5) straight-thru valve; (6) reference electrode; (7) counter electrodes; (8) glass-break and Luggin capillary.
- Figure 2 - Stereographic triangle showing orientations of single crystal surfaces studied in this work.
- Figure 3 - Cyclic voltammetry of a clean, annealed polycrystalline Pt foil in 0.5M H_2SO_4 following transfer from the LEED/Auger chamber. 50 mV/sec.
- Figure 4 - Cyclic voltammetry of a (111) Pt single crystal in 0.5M H_2SO_4 . Potentiostated on immersion at 0.4V. 50 mV/sec.
- Figure 5 - Cyclic voltammetry of a (100) Pt single crystal in 0.5M H_2SO_4 (—) compared to the (111) Pt crystal (----). 50 mV/sec.
- Figure 6 - Voltammetry curve for hydrogen adsorption-desorption on Pt (111) single crystal (—); specific contribution due to crystal edge (...); curve for adsorption on the well-ordered (111) face after correction for the edge effect. 0.05M $HClO_4$. 50 mV/sec.
- Figure 7 - Voltammetry curves for hydrogen adsorption-desorption on Pt (100) single crystal (—); specific contribution due to crystal edge (...); curve for adsorption on the well-ordered (100) face after correction for the edge effect. 0.05M $HClO_4$. 50 mV/sec.
- Figure 8 - Isotherm for hydrogen on the well-ordered (111) Pt surface (0); isotherms calculated from Langmuir model (---) and Roberts' model for immobile adsorption with repulsive interaction (—). 300 K.

Figure 9 - Isotherm for hydrogen on the well-ordered (100) Pt surface (O); isotherms calculated from Langmuir model (---) and Roberts' model for immobile adsorption with repulsive interaction (-----). 300 K.

Figure 10 - Isosteric heats of adsorption of hydrogen on (111) and (100) surfaces of Pt in dilute HClO_4 solution. Curves shown were calculated from Roberts' model for immobile adsorption with repulsive interaction.


Figure 11 - Ball models of (a) the (100)-(1x1) unreconstructed surface (right) and the reconstructed (110)-(2x1) surface (left); (b) the $[4(111)x(111)]$ stepped surface. Small clear balls represent the four-fold adsorption sites denoted C_{110}^4 in the text.

Figure 12 - Voltammetry curves for hydrogen adsorption-desorption on $n(111)x(111)$ stepped surfaces. 0.05M HClO_4 . 50 mV/sec.

Figure 13 - Voltammetry curves for hydrogen adsorption-desorption on $n(111)x(100)$ stepped surfaces. 0.05M HClO_4 . 50 mV/sec.


Figure 14 - Effect of specific adsorption of HSO_4^- anion on the pseudocapacity curve for hydrogen on the (110)-(2x1) surface. 50 mV/sec.

Figure 15 - Voltammetry curves for hydrogen adsorption-desorption on a polycrystalline Pt electrode (see ref. 3 for conditions). Multiple states of the adsorbed hydrogen are designated H_1 to H_4 . 0.05M HClO_4 . 50 mV/sec.




XBL 798-2475

Fig. 1




XBL 801 - 6

Fig. 2




XBL 804-666

Fig. 3




XBL 804-667

Fig. 4




XBL 804-665

Fig. 5




XBL 801 - 9

Fig. 6




XBL 801 - 7

Fig. 7




XBL 802-195

Fig. 8




XBL802-196

Fig. 9




XBL802-199

Fig. 10




XBB 804-4104

Fig. 11




XBL 802-194

Fig. 1C




XBL 801 - 8

Fig. 13



XBL 802-197

Fig. 14



XBL 802-198

Fig. 15