# **UC Irvine**

# **UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

Psychosocial stress during pregnancy

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2x7008ch

# **Journal**

American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 202(1)

## **ISSN**

0002-9378

#### **Authors**

Woods, Sarah M Melville, Jennifer L Guo, Yuqing et al.

# **Publication Date**

2010

#### DOI

10.1016/j.ajog.2009.07.041

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed

Research

## **OBSTETRICS**

# **Psychosocial stress during pregnancy**

Sarah M. Woods, MD; Jennifer L. Melville, MD, MPH; Yuqing Guo, MSN; Ming-Yu Fan, PhD; Amelia Gavin, PhD, MSW

**OBJECTIVE:** We sought to identify factors associated with high antenatal psychosocial stress and describe the course of psychosocial stress during pregnancy.

**STUDY DESIGN:** We performed a cross-sectional analysis of data from an ongoing registry. Study participants were 1522 women receiving prenatal care at a university obstetric clinic from January 2004 through March 2008. Multiple logistic regression identified factors associated with high stress as measured by the Prenatal Psychosocial Profile stress scale.

**RESULTS:** The majority of participants reported antenatal psychosocial stress (78% low-moderate, 6% high). Depression (odds ratios [OR], 9.6; 95% confidence interval [CI], 5.5–17.0), panic disorder (OR, 6.8; 95% CI, 2.9-16.2), drug use (OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.2-12.5), domestic violence (OR, 3.3; 95% Cl, 1.4–8.3), and having  $\geq$ 2 medical comorbidities (OR, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.8-5.5) were significantly associated with high psychosocial stress. For women who screened twice during pregnancy, mean stress scores declined during pregnancy (14.8  $\pm$  3.9 vs  $14.2 \pm 3.8$ ; P < .001).

**CONCLUSION:** Antenatal psychosocial stress is common, and high levels are associated with maternal factors known to contribute to poor pregnancy outcomes.

**Key words:** antenatal screening, pregnancy, psychosocial stress

Cite this article as: Woods SM, Melville JL, Guo Y, et al. Psychosocial stress during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010;202:61.e1-7.

P sychosocial stress in pregnancy, defined as "the imbalance that a rese fined as "the imbalance that a pregnant woman feels when she cannot cope with demands. . . which is expressed both behaviorally and physiologically," has not routinely been measured in everyday obstetric practice. It has recently come to the forefront of policy, however, with the American College of Obstetricians and

From the Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology (Dr Melville) and Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (Drs Melville and Fan), University of Washington School of Medicine (Dr Woods); the University of Washington School of Nursing (Ms Guo); and the University of Washington School of Social Work (Dr Gavin), Seattle, WA.

Received Jan. 23, 2009; revised May 20, 2009; accepted July 16, 2009.

Reprints not available from the authors.

An abstract of the preliminary study results was presented at the Western Student Medical Research Forum, Carmel, CA, Feb. 2, 2008, and published in the Journal of Investigative Medicine (2008;56:248).

Funding was provided by the University of Washington Multidisciplinary Predoctoral Research Training Program, National Center for Research Resources/National Institutes of Health, Grants 1 TL1 RR025016-01 and 1 KL2 RR025015-01.

0002-9378/\$36.00 © 2010 Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2009.07.041

Gynecologists (ACOG) releasing a 2006 committee opinion stating that psychosocial stress may predict a woman's "attentiveness to personal health matters, her use of prenatal services, and the health status of her offspring."2 In this committee opinion, ACOG advocated screening all women for psychosocial stress and other psychosocial issues during each trimester of pregnancy and the postpartum period.<sup>2</sup>

Despite these recommendations the prevalence of antenatal psychosocial stress is unclear<sup>3</sup> and its influence on maternal health is likely underestimated. Further, little research exists regarding which factors contribute to or coexist with psychosocial stress during pregnancy. In the few studies conducted to date, associations have been noted between antenatal psychosocial stress and domestic violence, 4-8 substance use, 9,10 depressive symptoms, 11-13 psychiatric diagnoses,14 poor weight gain,10 and having a chronic medical disorder.<sup>10</sup> Many of these studies were limited, however, in their sample size, select populations, or assessment of potential covariates (eg, use of nonvalidated measures or medical records only). Some of these identified factors are known to be associated with adverse birth outcomes (eg, preterm delivery, 15-17 low birthweight 16-18), so determining their associations with psychosocial stress is paramount.

Research regarding the factors associated with high psychosocial stress during pregnancy has potential to provide targets for interventions, leading to an increase in maternal well-being and a potential decrease in adverse birth outcomes. The primary aims of this study were to identify factors associated with high antenatal psychosocial stress and describe the course of psychosocial stress during pregnancy.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Design, sample, setting, and time frame

We studied pregnant women enrolled in a longitudinal study of antenatal care at a single university obstetric clinic. The clinic serves a group of women with diversity in race, socioeconomic status (SES), and medical risk, with a payer mix of 46.5% private insurance, 51.6% Medicaid, and 1.9% self-pay. 19 Clinic providers include attending physicians, fellows, residents, and midwives. As part of a psychosocial screening program, questionnaires measuring stress and mood were introduced in January 2004. Questionnaires were designed to be distributed by clinical staff as part of routine clinical care to all women at least once during pregnancy with the goal of 2 times: first

Research Obstetrics

during the early second trimester and again in the third trimester. All women receiving ongoing obstetric care and completing at least 1 questionnaire from January 2004 through March 2008 were eligible for inclusion in the study. Exclusion criteria included age <15 years at the time of delivery and inability to complete the clinical questionnaire due to mental incapacitation or language difficulties (ie, no interpreter available). Clinical staff were asked to contact and consent potentially eligible subjects at the time of screen completion. All procedures were approved by the University of Washington's institutional review board.

#### Measures

Data were collected from self-report questionnaires and from automated medical records. The questionnaire included inquiry regarding demographic characteristics, social history, medication use, general health history, past obstetric complications, as well as validated measures assessing psychosocial stress,<sup>20,21</sup> depression and panic disorder,<sup>14,22</sup> tobacco use,<sup>23</sup> alcohol use,<sup>24</sup> drug use,<sup>25</sup> and domestic violence.<sup>26</sup> Maternal age and parity were obtained from the automated medical record.

Psychosocial stress was measured using the Prenatal Psychosocial Profile stress scale, which has been validated for use in pregnant populations. 20,21 It is an 11-question survey using a Likert response scale with possible scores ranging between 11 and 44 (Appendix). The scale's validity and reliability have been supported among ethnically diverse rural and urban pregnant women.<sup>20</sup> Several recent studies have used this instrument to measure psychosocial stress. 4,5,8,9,11,27-29 In these studies, mean stress scores ranged from 17-23. 4,5,9,11,21,29 The recommended cutoff for high stress depends on the population studied and the patient characteristics; there are no recommendations for differentiating low to moderate stress. In the 2 studies that have established cutoffs for high stress, one used scores above the mean plus 2SD (score >26)<sup>5</sup> whereas another chose a set percentile of 25% (score  $\geq$ 23).<sup>28</sup> Both of theses studies had predominantly low SES participants. In our heterogeneous SES popula-

tion, we chose a cutoff of scores above the mean plus 2SD, corresponding to a score of  $\geq$ 23 for our sample.

Depression and panic disorder were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire short form (15 items), which yields diagnoses for major depression, minor depression, and panic disorder. In a study of 3000 obstetric-gynecologic patients, high sensitivity (73%) and specificity (98%) for the depression items were demonstrated for a diagnosis of major depression based on the Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition. 14,22 This was also true for diagnostic items related to panic disorder (sensitivity 81%, specificity 99%).<sup>22</sup> In our study, women meeting Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition criteria for major or minor depression on the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 were classified as experiencing current depression. The criteria for major depression require the subject to have, for at least 2 weeks,  $\geq 5$  depressive symptoms present for more than half the days, with at least 1 of these symptoms being depressed mood or anhedonia.14 The criteria for minor depression require the subject to have, for at least 2 weeks, 2-4 depressive symptoms present for more than half the days, with at least 1 of these symptoms being depressed mood or anhedonia.<sup>14</sup> Women were classified as having current panic disorder if they answered "yes" to 5 diagnostic criteria for panic disorder.

Tobacco, alcohol, and drug use were assessed using the Smoke-Free Families Prenatal Screen,<sup>23</sup> the Alcohol T-ACE ('Tolerance': How many drinks does it take to make you feel high? Have people 'annoyed' you by criticizing your drinking? Have you ever felt you ought to 'cut down' on your drinking? 'Eye-opener': Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or get rid of a hangover?),<sup>24</sup> and the Drug CAGE (Have you ever felt you should 'cut down' on your drinking? Have people 'annoyed' you by criticizing your drinking? Have you ever felt bad or 'guilty' about your drinking? 'Eye opener': Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?).25 The Smoke-Free Families Prenatal Screen was specifically developed to maximize disclosure of smoking status during pregnancy and any current smoking is classified as tobacco use.23 Both the T-ACE and the Drug CAGE assess substance use during the current pregnancy as well as in the 12 months prior to pregnancy to identify all women at risk for use. The T-ACE was developed to identify at-risk drinkers, has been validated in a pregnant population, and has increased sensitivity compared to the Alcohol CAGE.<sup>24</sup> Sensitivity and specificity of identifying at-risk drinkers are 69% and 89% when a score of  $\geq 2$  on the T-ACE is used. <sup>24</sup> The Drug CAGE, developed from the original CAGE to identify problem illicit drug use, has been validated in pregnant women with a cutoff score of  $\geq 3$  identifying problem drug use.<sup>25</sup> In this study, women were considered as at-risk drinkers or problem drug users if they met criteria for risk drinking or problem drug use during pregnancy and/or in the 12 months prior to pregnancy.

The 3-question Abuse Assessment Screen<sup>26</sup> assesses physical and sexual violence during the past year and during pregnancy. This screen has been used both as a clinical screening tool with established validity and test-retest reliability, and for research purposes as a dichotomous measure of abuse. 4,5,8,18,30 Consistent with previous research studies, we classified women as positive for domestic violence if they answered "yes" to any of the 3 abuse questions.

Women were considered as having high medical comorbidity if they self-reported ≥2 chronic medical problems outside of pregnancy (eg, asthma, hypertension, diabetes, or cardiovascular problems). A history of pregnancy complications was recorded for patients who self-reported ≥1 significant pregnancy complications (eg, gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, eclampsia, preterm delivery, or placental abruption) in a prior pregnancy. Other demographics including employment, education, and marital status were dichotomized as shown in Table 1.

Characteristic	Total (n = 1522)	High stress			
		Yes (n = 91)	No (n = 1421)	Test statistic $(t \text{ or } \chi^2)$	<i>P</i> value
Age, y	30.4 (±6.3)	28.0 (±6.6)	30.6 (±6.3)	3.676	< .001
Gestational age, wk <sup>a</sup>	23.5 (±7.3)	25.0 (±7.5)	23.4 (±7.3)	1.917	.058
Education				36.433	< .001
≤High school	19.3% (n = 293)	42.9% (n = 39)	17.9% (n = 254)		
>High school	73.5% (n = 1118)	48.4% (n = 44)	75.1% (n = 1067)		
Employment				39.171	< .001
Unemployed	11.1% (n = 169)	30.8% (n = 28)	9.9% (n = 141)		
Other <sup>b</sup>	81.5% (n = 1241)	60.4% (n = 55)	83.0% (n = 1179)		
Marital status				43.522	< .001
Married/partnered	81.1% (n = 1234)	58.2% (n = 53)	82.6% (n = 1174)		
Other <sup>c</sup>	11.8% (n = 179)	33.0% (n = 30)	10.5% (n = 149)		
Race				26.075	< .001
White	66.9% (n = 1018)	56.0% (n = 51)	67.6% (n = 961)		
Black	7.6% (n = 116)	14.3% (n = 13)	7.2% (n = 102)		
American Indian or Native Alaskan	2.2% (n = 34)	4.4% (n = 4)	2.1% (n = 30)		
Asian	10.9% (n = 166)	6.6% (n = 6)	11.2% (n = 159)		
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	1.2% (n = 18)	1.1% (n = 1)	1.1% (n = 16)		
Mixed	5.5% (n = 83)	14.3% (n = 13)	4.9% (n = 69)		
Undeclared	5.7% (n = 87)	3.3% (n = 3)	5.9% (n = 84)		
Ethnicity			······································	2.302	.316
Hispanic	9.0% (n = 137)	8.8% (n = 8)	9.1% (n = 129)		
Non-Hispanic	81.1% (n = 1234)	76.9% (n = 70)	81.5% (n = 1158)		
Undeclared	9.9% (n = 151)	14.3% (n = 13)	9.4% (n = 134)		
Parity	······································		······································	0.756	.385
Primiparous	53.7% (n = 818)	58.2% (n = 53)	53.6% (n = 761)		
Multiparous	46.3% (n = 704)	41.8% (n = 38)	46.4% (n = 660)		
Current cigarette smoking	······································		······································	75.808	< .001
No	88.8% (n = 1352)	67.0% (n = 61)	90.6% (n = 1288)		
Yes	7.4% (n = 112)	30.8% (n = 28)	5.9% (n = 84)		
Alcohol use	······································		······	1.147	.284
No	80.7% (n = 1228)	80.2% (n = 73)	85.0% (n = 1208)		
Yes	14.9% (n = 212)	17.6% (n = 16)	13.7% (n = 195)		
Drug use	······································		······································	45.139	< .001
No	95.9% (n = 1460)	87.9% (n = 80)	96.6% (n = 1372)		
Yes	1.6% (n = 23)	9.9% (n = 9)	1.0% (n = 14)		
Domestic violence	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	73.017	< .001
No	95.4% (n = 1452)	80.2% (n = 73)	96.6% (n = 1372)		
Yes	3.5% (n = 54)	19.8% (n = 18)	2.5% (n = 36)		

RESEARCH Obstetrics

TABLE 1

Maternal demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics by psychosocial stress category (continued)

Characteristic	Total (n = 1522)	High stress			
		Yes (n = 91)	No (n = 1421)	Test statistic $(t \text{ or } \chi^2)$	<i>P</i> value
Current depression (major or minor)				221.765	< .001
No	90.7% (n = 1381)	47.3% (n = 43)	93.5% (n = 1329)		
Yes	9.1% (n = 138)	52.7% (n = 48)	6.3% (n = 90)		
Panic disorder				101.189	< .001
No	96.6% (n = 1470)	79.1% (n = 72)	97.7% (n = 1389)		
Yes	3.1% (n = 47)	20.9% (n = 19)	2.0% (n = 28)		
≥2 chronic health problems				51.307	< .001
No	74.8% (n = 1139)	45.1% (n = 41)	76.8% (n = 1091)		
Yes	18.9% (n = 287)	46.2% (n = 42)	17.0% (n = 242)		
History of pregnancy complications				2.727	.099
No	64.7% (n = 984)	56.0% (n = 51)	65.2% (n = 927)		
Yes	32.3% (n = 492)	39.6% (n = 36)	31.8% (n = 452)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Gestational age at first screening, <sup>b</sup> Other includes employed, homemaker, retired, or student; <sup>c</sup> Other includes single and not living with partner, separated or divorced, widowed. Woods. Psychosocial stress during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010.

#### **Analysis**

Univariate analysis was performed for the sample characteristics by stress level (high stress vs other,  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables and t test for continuous variables, significance at P < .05). Significant variables from the univariate analysis and variables established a priori were entered into a multiple logistic regression model to determine associations with high psychosocial stress. Variables were added to the model one by one and were excluded from the final model if they did not improve the overall model fit. For women who completed screening at 2 time points, their mean stress scores were compared using a paired *t* test.

Questionnaire data for each subject were entered and stored using Filemaker Pro (Version 9 for Windows; FileMaker Inc, Santa Clara, CA). Data were analyzed using software (SPSS for Windows, Rel. 15.0.1; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

#### **RESULTS**

During the study period 2046 women completed at least 1 psychosocial screen as part of their routine antenatal care. All women completing a screen were eligible for the study. Staff were present in clinic

to contact around 80% (n=1639). Of 1639 women who staff were able to contact for involvement in the study, 92.9% (n=1522) consented for participation whereas 7.1% (n=117) declined.

Among the 1522 study participants, mean age was  $30.4 \pm 6.3$  years, with a range of 15-51 years. Racial identification was 66.9% white, 10.9% Asian, 7.6% black, 2.2% American Indian or Alaska Native, 1.2% Pacific Islander, 5.5% mixed race, and 5.7% undeclared. Ethnicity was 9% Hispanic. The index pregnancy was the first pregnancy for 53.7% (n = 818). The majority of women reported living with a spouse or partner (87.3%, n = 1234) and had achieved education beyond high school (79.2%, n = 1118). In all, 12% (n = 169) reported that they were unemployed. All other maternal demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics are reported in Table 1.

In all, 6% (n = 91) of women reported high stress, 78% (n = 1190) reported low/moderate stress, and 16% (n = 241) reported no stress. The mean gestational age at first screening was 23.5  $\pm$  7.3 weeks and mean stress score was 15.0  $\pm$  4.0. In all, 43% (n = 658) of the enrolled women completed screening at 2 time

points during pregnancy. For this subset, mean gestational age at first screening was  $22.1 \pm 6.0$  weeks with mean stress score of  $14.8 \pm 3.9$ ; and mean gestational age at second screening was  $36.3 \pm 1.8$  weeks with mean stress score  $14.2 \pm 3.8$ . A statistically significant difference in mean stress scores from first to second screening was found (P < .001).

Adjusted odds ratios from the logistic regression examining the relationship between maternal characteristics and high psychosocial stress are shown in Table 2. Five maternal characteristics were significantly associated with high psychosocial stress. Domestic violence, drug use, and having ≥2 medical problems increased the odds of high psychosocial stress during pregnancy by 3- to 4-fold, whereas current depression and panic disorder increased the odds by 7- to 10fold. Conversely, marital status, employment, education, race, age, and history of pregnancy complication were not significantly associated with high psychosocial stress in the final model.

#### **COMMENT**

In a population of ethnically and economically diverse pregnant women attending a university-based prenatal clinic, antenatal psychosocial stress was common, with slightly higher mean levels earlier in pregnancy. High levels of antenatal psychosocial stress were significantly associated with depression, panic disorder, drug use, domestic violence, and having  $\geq 2$  medical comorbidities. Our study adds significantly to a small body of literature regarding factors associated with antenatal stress.4-14 It firmly establishes an independent association between current psychiatric mood disorders (major/minor depression, panic disorder) and high antenatal psychosocial stress. It improves on prior studies showing a relationship between depressive symptoms or psychiatric disorders and increased stress during pregnancy,11-14 by using diagnostic criteria and assessing for multiple potential confounders. For substance use, we found psychosocial stress to be associated with risky drug use, but not alcohol use. Two previous studies have linked substance use with high psychosocial stress, 9,10 but these studies were limited in that one combined alcohol and drug use in a single variable and the other used medical records to determine substance use during pregnancy. Our results are distinctive in that we measured alcohol use and drug use individually with separate, validated measures. The strong independent association between domestic violence and antenatal stress found in our study strengthens the conclusion of prior studies.4-8 We further found that chronic medical problems are independently associated with high antenatal psychosocial stress. Our findings did not show a significant independent association between antenatal psychosocial stress and several maternal characteristics seen in prior studies (ie, race, 7,31,32 marital status,31 age,7 education,7 poverty,<sup>7,32</sup> and cigarette smoking<sup>33-35</sup>).

Levels of psychosocial stress likely change throughout the course of pregnancy, although few studies have measured psychosocial stress at different antenatal time points. 3,21,36-38 Our study found a significant decrease in mean stress scores from first to second screening, consistent with the findings of several prior studies. 20,21,36,37 Although statistically significant, the decrease in the

Maternal characteristic	Adjusted OR	ncy 95% CI	
Current depression <sup>a</sup>	9.6	5.5–17.0	
Panic disorder	6.8	2.9–16.2	
Drug use	3.8	1.2–12.5	
Chronic health problems (≥2)	3.1	1.8–5.5	
Domestic violence	3.3	1.4–8.3	
Not married/partnered	1.6	0.8–3.2	
Unemployed	1.7	0.9–3.3	
≤High school	1.1	0.6–2.2	
Race			
White	1.0	Reference	
Black	1.3	0.5–3.1	
Asian	1.1	0.4–2.9	
Other <sup>b</sup>	1.1	0.6–2.3	
History of pregnancy complications	1.2	0.7-2.1	
Maternal age	1.0	1.0–1.0	

actual score was small and whether this is clinically significant merits further investigation. In contrast to this observed decline in antenatal stress shown in ours and other studies, higher rates of low birthweight and preterm delivery have been noted in studies where levels of antenatal stress increased during pregnancy.3,36,37 Thus, not only the level of stress but the time point in pregnancy during which high maternal stress is experienced may be influential in regard to risk of adverse outcomes.

Woods. Psychosocial stress during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010.

Our study has a number of strengths, including use of a routine screening protocol with high level of subject participation, large sample size, use of accurate measurement of multiple covariates, and adjustment for biomedical, demographic, psychosocial, and behavioral factors in our models. Among prior studies, our study is unique in accurately assessing a large number of potential confounders to establish a more complete model for antenatal psychosocial stress. We are limited, however, by the use of cross-sectional data, allowing assessment of associations but not causality or temporal sequence between specific factors and high psychosocial stress. In addition, only a subset of the sample completed 2 screens during pregnancy, limiting the amount of information obtained regarding the change in stress during pregnancy. Last, the majority of the data were self-reported, which may lead to underreporting of sensitive behaviors.

Depression, <sup>17</sup> panic disorder, <sup>17</sup> domestic violence, <sup>15,18</sup> drug use, <sup>16</sup> and having medical comorbidities<sup>39,40</sup> are all known to be individually associated with poor obstetric outcomes. Antenatal psychosocial stress contributes to maternal distress and may also be associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (eg, low birthweight, 3,10,41-44 preterm deliv $ery^{3,28,37,41,45,46}$ ). The relationship of the above maternal factors with psychosocial stress and the way in which they lead to adverse outcomes is unknown, but may occur via indirect behavioral and direct physiologic pathways. 47,48 Behavioral responses to stress may include alterations in nutrition, sleep, exercise, substance use, tobacco use, and/or use of prenatal services. 10,47 Physiologic responses to psychosocial stress may inResearch Obstetrics www.AJOG.org

clude both neuroendocrine and immune responses.47,49

Identification of pregnant women experiencing significant psychosocial stress presents health care providers an opportunity to further assess the nature of the stress and alerts them to assess for associated risk factors. Decreasing high antenatal psychosocial stress in itself will improve maternal well-being. Although many of the factors associated with stress are difficult to overcome (eg, poverty, racism, lifetime exposure to violence),<sup>27</sup> success may be found in specific health behavior interventions designed to reduce stress (eg, nutritional counseling, physical and mental relaxation, education, and social support). 50 Poor health behaviors and stress often coexist and predate pregnancy, so it can be argued that interventions should be introduced across a woman's reproductive lifespan (preconception, perinatal, and internatal). 47,50,51 Decreasing high stress and/or addressing associated risk factors may also decrease the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. The screening protocol applied in this study is a model for screening in a prenatal clinic, 19 identifying not only women experiencing stress, but also those with depression, panic disorder, substance use, and domestic violence. With identification of these other factors, health care providers are provided additional specific foci for intervention.

In conclusion, antenatal psychosocial stress during pregnancy is common, and high stress is associated with multiple maternal factors that are known to contribute to poor pregnancy outcomes. Our findings lend support to recent ACOG recommendations to screen for psychosocial stress during pregnancy.<sup>2</sup> Future investigations are planned to further investigate relationships between antenatal psychosocial stress and pregnancy outcomes.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ruiz RJ, Fullerton JT. The measurement of stress in pregnancy. Nurs Health Sci 1999; 1:19-25.
- 2. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 343: psychosocial risk factors; perinatal screening

- and intervention. Obstet Gynecol 2006;108: 469-77.
- 3. Rondo PH, Ferreira RF, Nogueira F, Ribeiro MC, Lobert H, Artes R. Maternal psychological stress and distress as predictors of low birth weight, prematurity and intrauterine growth retardation. Eur J Clin Nutr 2003;57:266-72.
- 4. Curry MA. The interrelationships between abuse, substance use, and psychosocial stress during pregnancy. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 1998;27:692-9.
- 5. Heaman MI. Relationships between physical abuse during pregnancy and risk factors for preterm birth among women in Manitoba. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2005;34:721-31.
- 6. Dunn LL, Oths KS. Prenatal predictors of intimate partner abuse. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2004;33:54-63.
- 7. Martin SL, Griffin JM, Kupper LL, Petersen R, Beck-Warden M, Buescher PA. Stressful life events and physical abuse among pregnant women in North Carolina. Matern Child Health J 2001:5:145-52.
- 8. Bullock L, Bloom T, Davis J, Kilburn E, Curry MA. Abuse disclosure in privately and Medicaid-funded pregnant women. J Midwifery Womens Health 2006;51:361-9.
- 9. Jesse DE, Reed PG. Effects of spirituality and psychosocial well-being on health risk behaviors in Appalachian pregnant women. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2004;33:739-47.
- 10. Orr ST, James SA, Miller CA, et al. Psychosocial stressors and low birthweight in an urban population. Am J Prev Med 1996;12:459-66.
- 11. Jesse DE, Walcott-McQuigg J, Mariella A, Swanson MS. Risks and protective factors associated with symptoms of depression in lowincome African American and Caucasian women during pregnancy. J Midwifery Womens Health 2005;50:405-10.
- 12. Jesse DE, Swanson MS. Risks and resources associated with antepartum risk for depression among rural southern women. Nurs Res 2007;56:378-86.
- 13. Zuckerman B, Amaro H, Bauchner H, Cabral H. Depressive symptoms during pregnancy: relationship to poor health behaviors. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;160:1107-11.
- 14. Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Kroenke K, Hornyak R, McMurray J. Validity and utility of the PRIME-MD patient health questionnaire in assessment of 3000 obstetric-gynecologic patients: the PRIME-MD patient health questionnaire obstetrics-gynecology study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000;183:759-69.
- 15. Rodrigues T, Rocha L, Barros H. Physical abuse during pregnancy and preterm delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008;198:171.e1-6.
- 16. Kelly RH, Russo J, Holt VL, et al. Psychiatric and substance use disorders as risk factors for low birth weight and preterm delivery. Obstet Gynecol 2002;100:297-304.
- 17. Alder J, Fink N, Bitzer J, Hosli I, Holzgreve W. Depression and anxiety during pregnancy: a risk factor for obstetric, fetal and neonatal outcome? A critical review of the literature. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2007;20:189-209.

- 18. McFarlane J, Parker B, Soeken K. Abuse during pregnancy: associations with maternal health and infant birth weight. Nurs Res 1996;45:37-42.
- 19. Bentley SM, Melville JL, Berry BD, Katon WJ. Implementing a clinical and research registry in obstetrics: overcoming the barriers. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2007;29:192-8.
- 20. Curry MA, Burton D, Fields J. The prenatal psychosocial profile: a research and clinical tool. Res Nurs Health 1998;21:211-9.
- 21. Curry MA, Campbell RA, Christian M. Validity and reliability testing of the prenatal psychosocial profile. Res Nurs Health 1994;17:127-35.
- 22. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study; primary care evaluation of mental disorders, patient health questionnaire. JAMA 1999;282:1737-44.
- 23. Melvin CL, Tucker P. Measurement and definition for smoking cessation intervention research: the smoke-free families experience; smoke-free families common evaluation measures for pregnancy and smoking cessation projects working group. Tob Control 2000;9 (Suppl III):87-90.
- 24. Sokol RJ, Martier SS, Ager JW. The T-ACE questions: practical prenatal detection of riskdrinking. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;160: 863-70.
- 25. Midanik LT, Zahnd EG, Klein D. Alcohol and drug CAGE screeners for pregnant, low-income women: the California perinatal needs assessment. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1998;22:121-5.
- 26. McFarlane J, Parker B, Soeken K, Bullock L. Assessing for abuse during pregnancy: severity and frequency of injuries and associated entry into prenatal care. JAMA 1992;267: 3176-8.
- 27. Curry MA, Durham L, Bullock L, Bloom T, Davis J. Nurse case management for pregnant women experiencing or at risk for abuse. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2006;35:181-92.
- 28. Misra DP, O'Campo P, Strobino D. Testing a sociomedical model for preterm delivery. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2001;15:110-22.
- 29. Altarac M, Strobino D. Abuse during pregnancy and stress because of abuse during pregnancy and birthweight. J Am Med Womens Assoc 2002;57:208-14.
- 30. Martin SL, English KT, Clark KA, Cilenti D, Kupper LL. Violence and substance use among North Carolina pregnant women. Am J Public Health 1996;86:991-8.
- 31. Lu MC, Chen B. Racial and ethnic disparities in preterm birth: the role of stressful life events. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:691-9.
- 32. Paul K, Boutain D, Agnew K, Thomas J, Hitti J. The relationship between racial identity, income, stress and C-reactive protein among parous women: implications for preterm birth disparity research. J Natl Med Assoc 2008; 100:540-6.
- 33. Jesse DE, Graham M, Swanson M. Psychosocial and spiritual factors associated with smoking and substance use during pregnancy in African American and white low-income

- women. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2006:35:68-77.
- 34. Ludman EJ. McBride CM. Nelson JC. et al. Stress, depressive symptoms, and smoking cessation among pregnant women. Health Psychol 2000;19:21-7.
- 35. Weaver K, Campbell R, Mermelstein R, Wakschlag L. Pregnancy smoking in context: the influence of multiple levels of stress. Nicotine Tob Res 2008;10:1065-73.
- 36. Williamson HA Jr, LeFevre M, Hector M Jr. Association between life stress and serious perinatal complications. J Fam Pract 1989;29: 489-96
- 37. Hedegaard M, Henriksen TB, Sabroe S, Secher NJ. Psychological distress in pregnancy and preterm delivery. BMJ 1993;307:234-9.
- 38. Da Costa D, Larouche J, Dritsa M, Brender W. Variations in stress levels over the course of pregnancy: factors associated with elevated hassles, state anxiety and pregnancy-specific stress. J Psychosom Res 1999;47:609-21.
- 39. Shand AW, Bell JC, McElduff A, Morris J, Roberts CL. Outcomes of pregnancies in women with pre-gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational diabetes mellitus; a population-based study in New South Wales, Australia, 1998-2002. Diabet Med 2008;25:708-15.

- 40. Stella CL, O'Brien JM, Forrester KJ, et al. The coexistence of gestational hypertension and diabetes: influence on pregnancy outcome. Am J Perinatol 2008;25:325-9.
- 41. Copper RL, Goldenberg RL, Das A, et al. The preterm prediction study: maternal stress is associated with spontaneous preterm birth at less than thirty-five weeks' gestation; National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;175:1286-92.
- 42. Neggers Y, Goldenberg R, Cliver S, Hauth J. The relationship between psychosocial profile, health practices, and pregnancy outcomes. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2006;85:277-85.
- 43. Pagel MD, Smilkstein G, Regen H, Montano D. Psychosocial influences on newborn outcomes: a controlled prospective study. Soc Sci Med 1990:30:597-604.
- 44. Wadhwa PD. Sandman CA. Porto M. Dunkel-Schetter C, Garite TJ. The association between prenatal stress and infant birth weight and gestational age at birth: a prospective investigation. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;169: 858-65.
- 45. Dole N, Savitz DA, Siega-Riz AM, Hertz-Picciotto I, McMahon MJ, Buekens P. Psychosocial factors and preterm birth among African

- American and white women in central North Carolina. Am J Public Health 2004;94:1358-65.
- 46. Rini CK, Dunkel-Schetter C, Wadhwa PD, Sandman CA. Psychological adaptation and birth outcomes: the role of personal resources, stress, and sociocultural context in pregnancy. Health Psychol 1999;18:333-45.
- 47. Hobel C, Culhane J. Role of psychosocial and nutritional stress on poor pregnancy outcome. J Nutr 2003;133:1709-17S.
- 48. Gennaro S, Hennessy MD. Psychological and physiological stress: impact on preterm birth. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2003;
- 49. Paarlberg KM, Vingerhoets AJ, Passchier J, Dekker GA, Van Geijn HP. Psychosocial factors and pregnancy outcome: a review with emphasis on methodological issues. J Psychosom Res 1995;39:563-95.
- 50. Hobel CJ, Goldstein A, Barrett ES. Psychosocial stress and pregnancy outcome. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2008;51:333-48.
- 51. Lu MC, Kotelchuck M, Culhane JF, Hobel CJ, Klerman LV, Thorp JM Jr. Preconception care between pregnancies: the content of internatal care. Matern Child Health J 2006;10: S107-22.

#### **APPENDIX**

Prenatal Psychosocial Profile: Stress Scale

To what extent are the following currently a stress or hassle for you?

- Financial worries like food, shelter, healthcare, transportation
- b. Other money worries like bills
- C. Problems related to family
- Having to move, either recently or in the future
- Recent loss of a loved one
- **Current Pregnancy** f.
- Current abuse, sexual, emotional, or physical g.
- Problems with alcohol and/or drugs h.
- Work problems such as being laid off
- Problems related to friends
- Feeling generally "overloaded"

Control of the last

No Strace Some Strace Moderate Strace Severe Stra

Curry, M.A. et al. The Prenatal Psychosocial Profile: a research and clinical tool. Res Nurs Health, 1998. 21(3): p. 211-9.