Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Parasitic Crossing at an Asymmetric B Factory, APIARY

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2sh17892

Author

Chin, Y H

Publication Date

1991-05-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Accelerator & Fusion **Research Division**

Presented at the IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, San Francisco, CA, May 6-9, 1991, and to be published in the Proceedings

Parasitic Crossing at an Asymmetric **B** Factory, APIARY

Y.H. Chin

May 1991



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-30701 ESG-135

PARASITIC CROSSING AT AN ASYMMETRIC B FACTORY, APIARY*

Y.H. Chin

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

* This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Y.H. Chin Accelerator & Fusion Research Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Berkeley, CA 94720

Abstract

Effects of parasitic crossings ("near miss" collisions of two counter-rotating beams at unwanted positions near the IP) are studied in terms of computer simulations for an asymmetric B Factory, APIARY-6.3d. Beams are separated horizontally at the first parasitic crossing points by about 7.6 times the horizontal rms size of the low energy beam (the larger in size of the two beams), $\sigma_{0x,+}$. Simulations, including both the beam collision at the IP and parasitic crossings, have been performed for different separation distances, d. It is found that the ratio $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ is a good scaling parameter of beam blowup behavior. The results show that beam blowup due to the parasitic crossings is diminished for $d \ge 7\sigma_{0x,+}$, in agreement with the bunch separation experiment at CESR. Thus, the nominal separation 7.6 $\sigma_{0x,+}$ turns out to be acceptable, but with only a small margin. Some methods to mitigate the effects of the parasitic crossings are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The attainable luminosity in an asymmetric storagering collider for a B Factory will be determined to a large extent by the physics of the beam-beam interaction. Extensive studies of the beam-beam dynamics under asymmetric energy conditions have been done, and the idea of the so-called "energy transparency symmetry" was suggested to put the two beams on an equal footing as far as transverse dynamics is concerned [1]. Most of those studies consider only primary head-on collision of two beams at the IP. For the APIARY-6.3d, the bunch spacing is only 1.26 m, so that the bunches experience long-range collisions on the way into and out of the IP region (where both beams travel in a common vacuum pipe). These collisions are called "parasitic crossings." There are six parasitic crossings symmetrically located on either side of the IP. Of these, the first parasitic crossing (the one closest to the IP) on either side has the dominant effect on beam dynamics due to the small beam separation and the large vertical beta function. The nominal parameters at the IP and the first parasitic crossing point for the two rings of APIARY-6.3d are listed in Table 1. In this table, Δs is the distance between the IP and the first parasitic crossing, d is the separation

distance between the two orbits at the parasitic crossing, Δv is the tune advance between the IP and the first parasitic crossing, β is the beta function, and σ_0 is the rms nominal beam size. Horizontal and vertical quantities are denoted by the subscripts x and y, respectively.

Table 1
APIARY-6.3d nominal parameters at the IF
and the first parasitic crossing

	Low Energy Ring (LER, e ⁺)		High Er (HE	High Energy Ring (HER, e ⁻)	
<i>∆s</i> (m)		0.0	53		
<i>d</i> (mm)	2.82				
	IP	1st PC	IP	1st PC	
Δv_{x}	0	0.1643	0	0.1111	
$\Delta v_{\rm y}$	0 ·	0.2462	0	0.2424	
$\beta_x(m)$	0.375	1.51	0.75	1.30	
β_{v} (m)	0.015	25.23	0.03	13.01	
$\sigma_{0x}(\mu m)$	186	373	186	245	
$\sigma_{0y}(\mu m)$	7.4	302	7.4	153	
dlo _{x0}	_	7.6		11.5	

Parasitic crossings have the potential to induce significant blowup in the vertical beam size of the low energy ring (LER), because they excite odd-order resonances and because the vertical long-range tune shift of the LER is as large as the head-on tune shift at the IP. Obviously, if the separation is large enough, effects of the parasitic crossings diminish. We carry out simulations to see if the present nominal separation $d = 7.6 \sigma_{0x,+}$ gives acceptable performance. A more detailed description of the present study can be found in Ref. 2.

II. SIMULATION TECHNIQUE

Once the two rings are filled with bunches, pairs of collisions at the IP are fixed; that is, each bunch of one ring collides only with the same partner in the other ring. Therefore, the beam-beam dynamics can be simulated with one bunch per ring. However, when parasitic crossings are included, all the bunches can "talk" to each other directly or indirectly. A completely faithful simulation for APIARY-6.3d would require 1658 bunches per ring, pushing the CPU time beyond practical limits. If the coherent beam-beam oscillation does not play an important role in beam blowup, the "talk" between bunches may not need to be simulated exactly. At the same time, the particle distributions do not

^{*} Supported by Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

differ much from bunch to bunch. Under these assumptions, we may adopt the following technique to allow us to use only one bunch per ring. Two bunches are counter-rotating in the two rings (see Fig. 1). When the e^- bunch is at the parasitic crossing PC+, the e^+ bunch is at the other parasitic crossing, PC-. To calculate the beam-beam force on the e^- bunch from the e^+ bunch at PC+, we use the particle distribution of the e^+ bunch at PC-. The same technique is applied to the e^+ bunch.



Figure 1. Schematic layout of the interaction region.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The main parameters of APIARY-6.3d used in the simulations are listed in Table 2.

	LER (e^+)	HER (e^{-})
Energy, E (GeV)	3.1	9
Circumference, C (m)	2200	2200
Nominal emittance,		
ε_{0x} (nm-rad)	92	46
ε_{0y} (nm-rad)	3.6	1.8
Bunch length, σ_s (cm)	1.0	1.0
Damping time,		
$\tau_x = \tau_y$ (turns)	4400	5014
Bunch current, I_b (mA)	1.23	0.848
Synchrotron tune, Q_s	0.0403	0.0520
Nominal beam-beam tune shift, $\xi_{0x} = \xi_{0y}$	0.03	0.03

Table 2Main parameters of APIARY-6.3d

We have selected the fractional tunes of the working point to be $v_x = 0.09$ and $v_y = 0.05$ for both beams at the present time; a thorough tune scan remains to be carried out for actual operation. For these parameters, the primary simulation result without parasitic crossings shows 23% beam blowup in the vertical size in the LER. The other three beam sizes remain practically unchanged from their nominal values. Figure 2 shows the beam blowup factor as a function of the separation $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ where all other parameters are kept fixed. The corresponding luminosity as a function of $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ is shown in Fig. 3. From Fig. 2, it can be seen that the separation d = $7 \sigma_{0x,+}$ should be enough to consider that the effects of the parasitic crossings are negligible. Accordingly, the luminosity is only reduced by about 10% from its design value. This result agrees with the separation experiment at CESR [3] that concludes that at least 6 "effective" σ_{0x} (practically, 6 + 1 σ_{0x}) separation is required to maintain a one-hour beam lifetime.



Figure 2. RMS beam sizes as a function of the relative separation $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ for the nominal APIARY-6.3d parameters. The subscripts label HER (-) and LER (+). The nominal beam separation at the parasitic crossing is indicated by the arrow.



Figure 3. Luminosity as a function of $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$, for the nominal APIARY-6.3d parameters.

Although the nominal separation $d = 7.6 \sigma_{0x,+}$ turns out to be large enough, the safety margin for closed-orbit

distortion and so forth is not that great. A simple solution for this would be to increase the separation distance, and that approach is now under study. Here, however, we intend to explore other possibilities in order to improve luminosity, particularly for a large value of ξ_0 . The first such possibility is to increase the beta function at the IP, β^* , of the LER. The idea is to make the tune modulation due to the synchrotron motion at the IP closer to the energy transparency condition, and simultaneously to reduce the beta function of the LER at the parasitic crossing. Therefore, the beam size at the parasitic crossing is also reduced and the relative separation $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ increases. The penalty is a large low-energy beam current. A preliminary simulation result without parasitic crossings shows that the two beams blow up more symmetrically and the luminosity gets closer to its nominal value.

Another possibility is to increase the bunch spacing from $2\lambda_{rf} = 1.26$ m to $3\lambda_{rf} = 1.89$ m by filling the rings with bunches every third rf bucket, instead of every second bucket, while other lattice parameters are kept fixed. Here, λ_{rf} is the rf wave length. This pushes the parasitic crossing farther away from the IP and the separation distance becomes larger. Now, the separation d at the first parasitic crossing increases from 2.82 mm to a considerably large value of 7.41 mm. The beta function at the parasitic crossing increases also, so that the relative separation $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ increases from 7.6 to 9.2. In order to maintain the nominal ξ_0 and keep the luminosity constant, the bunch current and the emittance also must increase by 50%. These changes are still acceptable in terms of beam instability thresholds and dynamic aperture considerations. The simulation results are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. We can see practically no beam blowup at the nominal separation, and the luminosity is close to the nominal value. The safety margin of d is now sufficiently greater than the previous case (note that the beam size at the parasitic crossing increases by a factor of about 2). Good luminosity performance remains even for a larger $\xi_0 = 0.05$.



Figure 4. RMS beam sizes as a function of $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ for APIARY-6.3d, in the case where bunches are filled every third bucket instead of every second bucket.



Figure 5. Luminosity as a function of $d/\sigma_{0x,+}$ for APIARY-6.3d, corresponding to Fig. 4.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Simulation results including the effect of parasitic crossings for APIARY-6.3d show that the nominal separation is large enough that beam blowup due to the parasitic crossings disappears and the luminosity reduction is only 10% from its nominal value for $\xi_0 = 0.03$. However, the safety margin in terms of separation tolerance is low. To mitigate the effects of the parasitic crossings, one such possibility is to change the lattice parameters, such as the beta functions at the IP and at the parasitic crossing, so that the relative separation $d\sigma_{0x}$ increases. Another possibility is to increase the bunch spacing from $2\lambda_{rf} = 1.26$ m to $3\lambda_{rf} = 1.89$ m by filling the rings with bunches every third bucket rather than every second bucket. In this way, the optics parameters can be kept fixed. Preliminary simulation results for the case of filling every third bucket show improvement in the beam sizes and the luminosity.

The author would like to thank M. Zisman for helpful discussions and proofreading of the manuscript.

V. REFERENCES

- Y.H. Chin in Beam Dynamics Issues of High Luminosity Asymmetric Collider Rings, AIP Conf. Proc. 214, edited by A.M. Sessler, Berkeley, CA (1990), pp. 424-433.
- [2] An Asymmetric B Factory Based on PEP, Conceptual Design Report, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report LBL PUB-5303, February, 1991.
- [3] D.H. Rice in *Beam Dynamics Issues of High Luminosity* Asymmetric Collider Rings, AIP Conf. Proc. 214, edited by A.M. Sessler, Berkeley, CA (1990), pp. 219-234.

- __ ¹5 __P

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA INFORMATION RESOURCES DEPARTMENT BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720