

# UCLA

## UCLA Previously Published Works

### Title

Parental occupational exposure to diesel engine exhaust in relation to childhood leukaemia and central nervous system cancers: a register-based nested case-control study in Denmark 1968-2016

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2rh4k8kb>

### Authors

Volk, Julie  
Heck, Julia  
Schmiegelow, Kjeld  
et al.

### Publication Date

2019-10-14

### Data Availability

The data associated with this publication are not available for this reason: No data are available

Peer reviewed

# 1Abstract

2**Objectives** Using nationwide register data, we investigated the  
3association between maternal and paternal perinatal employment in  
4industries with exposure to diesel engine exhaust and risk of leukemia and  
5central nervous system (CNS) cancers, including certain subtypes.

6**Methods** Children aged  $\leq 19$  years old and diagnosed with childhood  
7cancer from 1968–2016 were identified in the Danish Cancer Registry and  
825 randomly selected cancer free controls per case were matched by age  
9and sex. Parents were identified in the Danish Civil Registration System  
10and employment histories were retrieved from a nationwide mandatory  
11pension fund. The probability of exposure to diesel engine exhaust was  
12assessed using a validated job exposure matrix. Conditional logistic  
13regression was used for estimation of odds ratios (OR), including their 95%  
14confidence intervals (95% CI).

15**Results** Maternal employment in industries with diesel engine exhaust  
16exposure was associated with an increased risk of CNS cancers (OR 1.31,  
1795% CI 0.99–1.74) and of astrocytoma (OR 1.49, 95% CI 1.04–2.14) in  
18offspring. The highest OR for these cancers were seen for mothers with  
19highest probability of exposure to diesel engine exhaust. For fathers, ORs  
20for cancers under study were close to one. No increased risks of leukemias  
21were found for neither mothers nor fathers employed in diesel industries.

22**Conclusions** Risks were increased for CNS and astrocytoma for maternal  
23employment in industries with diesel engine exhaust.

1Key terms: Childhood cancer, parental occupational exposure, diesel engine  
2exhaust, register-based study, job-exposure matrix

3

#### 4**What is already known about this subject?**

5Studies have been conducted on primarily paternal exposure to diesel engine  
6exhaust and they display discrepant results for childhood leukemia and for central  
7nervous system cancers. However, exposure assessment is complicated by  
8grouping of various exposure sources and job titles making comparisons difficult.

#### 9**What are the new findings?**

10This register-based study found that maternal employment in industries with  
11exposure to diesel engine exhaust is associated with CNS cancers, in particular  
12astrocytoma, and indicates a dose-response relationship for the latter. No  
13increases were seen after paternal exposure.

14

#### 15**How might this impact on policy or clinical practice in the foreseeable 16future?**

17Studies on various effects of exhaust is important and especially a distinction  
18between diesel engine exhausts and petrol exhausts, as policy makers may  
19introduce regulations on these matters.

20

21

22

1

2

### 3Introduction

4Although childhood cancer is rare, it is estimated that more than 300,000 children  
5under the age of 20 were diagnosed with cancer worldwide between 2001-2010.

6(1)

7Little is known about the etiology of childhood cancers, yet, aside from new  
8mutations or genetic syndromes, parental occupational exposures are suggested  
9as contributing factors (2). Effects from maternal exposures can happen either  
10before conception, during pregnancy through direct or indirect exposure of the  
11womb or after birth through breastfeeding (3). For paternal exposures, effects  
12may take place through epigenetic changes transferred by the sperm (4) or new  
13mutations among other mechanisms.

14Diesel engine exhaust consists of a complex mixture of gases and particles, is  
15common in several industries and has been classified as a human carcinogen by  
16the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (IARC group 1). IARC  
17considered whether diesel exhaust may be a cause of childhood cancers, but a  
18conclusion was not reached due to the small number of studies available (5).

19Older studies have primarily investigated exposures arising across industries such  
20as hydrocarbons or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), or industries where  
21workers presumably are exposed to exhausts. Larger studies are needed focusing  
22on exhausts, which includes a broad mixture of chemicals, and differentiating

1

2

3

1between various types of exhaust. Generally, results are based on few exposed  
2cases and display inconsistent results for leukemia and central nervous system  
3cancers for both maternal and paternal exposures and employment in relevant  
4industries (6-11). While there is a growing literature on ambient exposure to  
5traffic pollution and childhood cancer, no studies have reported on diesel  
6specifically. Notably, however, studies of leukemia in relation to ambient traffic  
7exposure have found higher effect estimates in Europe compared to the US (12),  
8and Europe has a much higher proportion of diesel cars registered (in 2008, 58%  
9of cars in the EU vs. <3% in the US) (13).

10Retrospective assessment of parental occupational exposures is methodologically  
11difficult in epidemiological studies. As population-based occupational information  
12is not available in many countries, exposure assessments have most often been  
13based on interviews, questionnaires and sometimes proxy informants e.g.  
14mothers reporting the fathers' exposure or tasks. Though several studies used  
15expert assessment to evaluate exposure, the use of proxy informants may have  
16caused recall bias.

17In this relatively large case-control study for these rare cancers, we explored the  
18association between exposure to diesel engine exhaust in both mothers and  
19fathers and risk of childhood cancer in offspring. We used registry-based data on  
20employment history and a job exposure matrix and validated cancer registry-  
21based diagnoses.

22

# 1 **Methods and material**

## 2 **Cases ascertainment and control selection**

3 Cancer cases aged  $\leq 19$  years and diagnosed with leukemia (n= 2,039) and CNS  
4 cancers (n= 1,141) were identified in the Danish Cancer Registry in the period  
5 1968-2016. Subtypes of childhood cancer (acute lymphoblastic leukemia, acute  
6 myeloid leukemia, astrocytoma, medulloblastoma), as well as prenatal cancers  
7 (n= 2,737), thought to have originated in utero [i.e. acute lymphoblastic  
8 leukemia, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma,  
9 retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma] (14, 15) were also included and grouped  
10 together. The Danish Cancer Registry was established in 1942 and contains  
11 information on morphology, topography, sex, and age at diagnosis, which is  
12 subjected to quality control and is updated regularly (16). Diagnosis was based on  
13 a Danish modification of the International Classification of Diseases, Revision 7  
14 (ICD-7) before 1978 from reporting forms, a converted version of ICD-7 to ICD-10  
15 until 2004 and subsequently ICD-10, while morphology and topography was  
16 recorded according to ICC-1 and ICC-3 (16).

17 A unique 10-digit personal identifier, the CPR-number, is given to all Danish  
18 residents in Denmark and is used in all registries in the country. This secures  
19 correct linkages and avoiding multiple counts of same case. The CPR-numbers are  
20 confined to the Civil Registration System, which was established in 1968; it  
21 contains and continuously updates information on parents, children, spouses, and  
22 vital status. This registry was used to randomly select and match twenty-five

1controls per case based on age, sex and vital status at diagnosis date of the  
2matched case (index date) (17).

3

#### 4**Parental employment histories**

5Through record linkages, parents were identified in the Civil Registration System.  
6Employment histories of the mothers and fathers were obtained through the  
7Supplementary Pension Fund, including mandatory membership for all employees.  
8Records hold information on the employee (CPR-number and name), the start and  
9end of each employment, and a unique 8-digit company number. Companies have  
10been classified into industry code “Danmarks Statistiks  
11Erhvervsgrupperingskode”, DSE-77, corresponding to an extended version of the  
12International Standard Industrial Classification of all Economic Activities from  
131968. All information is kept even if a worker has emigrated or died or the  
14company closed (18). Mothers and fathers lacking employment records, e.g. due  
15to employment less than 9 hours a week, being self-employed or being  
16unemployed, were excluded in order to increase the comparability of included  
17parents, i.e. all employees during relevant period of time. For mothers, exposure  
18time was restricted to employment periods one year before to one year after birth  
19in order to capture both the preconception and lactation periods. For fathers,  
20exposure time was restricted to employment one year before birth to capture the  
21period of spermatogenesis. Only parents with employment history during the  
22period of interest were included in the study.

1

2Parental occupational exposure to diesel engine exhaust was assessed by linkage  
3between the DSE-77 codes and the Nordic Occupational Cancer Study job  
4exposure matrix (NOCCA-JEM) for Denmark (NOCCA-DANJEM). The overall NOCCA-  
5JEM was developed by a group of Nordic occupational exposure experts based on  
6the template of the Finnish job exposure matrix and included the time periods of  
71960–1974, 1975–1984 and 1985–1994 (19). The NOCCA-DANJEM was converted  
8to the population of Denmark on the basis of measurements of diesel exposures  
9obtained in Finland and Denmark and further adapted to Danish conditions.

10The NOCCA-DANJEM was used to examine ever/never employment in industries  
11with diesel engine exhaust exposure and probability of exposure, which was  
12categorized into two groups: parents having a 5 to 50% probability of exposure in  
13jobs with diesel exposure and parents with  $\geq 50\%$  probability of exposure. Further,  
14we examined relative risks during the available time periods adjusted to our study  
15period while assuming no change in exposure after 1994.

16

## 17**Covariates**

18Selection of confounders was based on the availability of covariates based on  
19which factors were investigated by other papers (20-24). Potential confounders  
20included information on socioeconomic status (SES) at the family level,  
21reproductive factors (parental age at birth, birth order, and parity), and,  
22geography (place of residence at the time of birth of index child), with covariates



1obtained from the Civil Registration System (17). Maternal smoking status, as  
2collected at the first midwife consultation, was considered as a confounding  
3variable and was obtained from the Medical Birth Registry. This registry was  
4established and computerized since 1973 and information was gathered by  
5midwives until 1996 and from then on by hospitals. The registry has undergone  
6revisions, and therefore maternal smoking status is available from 1991 to 2016  
7(25).

8SES was based on the last known job title, primarily available from income tax  
9forms and was categorized into six groups corresponding to the definition made  
10by the Danish Institute of Social Sciences, including academics or executive  
11managers reflecting the highest status group, higher education of intermediate  
12duration, higher education of shorter duration, skilled work, unskilled work and  
13unknown (26). Categories for place of residence at time of birth of the index child  
14included rural, small town, or urban areas in Denmark, while reproductive and  
15geographical factors were categorized as follows: maternal and paternal age at  
16birth ( $\leq 25$ , 26-28, 29-33, and  $\geq 34$ ), birth order (first, second, and third or later)  
17and parity (1, 2, and 3 or more). Lastly, maternal smoking status was categorized  
18as no/yes.

19

## 20 **Statistical analyses**

21 Conditional logistic regression for matched case-control sets was used to estimate  
22 the relative risk of childhood cancers in offspring of parents exposed to diesel

1exhaust, using odds ratios (OR) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals  
2(95% CIs). Analyses were conducted separately for mothers and fathers, and we  
3additionally examined whether diesel exposure in both parents was related to  
4higher risk of selected childhood cancers compared to children who had no  
5exposed parents. Analyses were not carried out if the number of exposed cases  
6was less than five.

7The impact of potential confounders for examined childhood cancers, including  
8SES, reproductive factors and geography, was tested. Various models were  
9investigated including models where we removed each factor one by one. Since  
10the exposure-outcome association measure changed less than 10% when these  
11factors were included, they were not considered confounders and based on this  
12we chose to only display the unadjusted model.

13Since smoking was suggested as a risk factor for some childhood cancers (23, 27),  
14we conducted a sensitivity analysis exploring the effect of maternal smoking for  
15diagnoses with sufficient numbers. Stata 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX,  
16USA) was used for all statistical analyses. All p-values were two-sided.

17The Danish Data Protection agency approved this study, ref. No. 2008-41-2639,  
182014-41-3174. As only register-based information was used, no personal consent  
19was needed.

20

## 21**Results**

1Regarding population characteristics, cases and controls differed only marginally  
2(Table 1).

3For maternal employment in industries with exposure to diesel engine exhaust, a  
4modestly increased risk of CNS cancers (OR 1.31, 95% CI 0.99–1.74), particularly  
5astrocytoma (OR 1.49, 95% CI 1.04–2.14) was observed in offspring. For other  
6subtypes of CNS only marginally increased ORs were observed based on relatively  
7few exposed cases. No increases were observed for leukemia or prenatal cancers  
8(Table 2). The effects of maternal diesel engine exhaust exposure generally  
9seemed to be larger during the first study period of 1968-1974 compared to the  
10other study periods in which no effect was found except for astrocytoma  
11(Supplementary table 1).

12For fathers, no deviations from unity were observed (Table 3). No clear pattern  
13was found when investigating paternal exposure to diesel engine exhaust in the  
14three available time periods based on information from the NOCCA-DANJEM  
15(Supplementary table 2). When both parents were exposed estimates of selected  
16cancers did not differ compared to non-exposed parents (Table 4).

17Results from our sensitivity analysis including maternal smoking did not differ  
18significantly compared to risk estimates in our main analysis (results not shown).

19

## 20Discussion

21This nationwide register-based study of childhood cancers diagnosed over almost  
2250 years in Denmark showed that maternal occupational exposure to diesel

1exhaust was associated with an increased relative risk of CNS tumors and  
2astrocytomas in offspring overall and the highest OR was observed in the group  
3with the highest probability of exposure. Also, an indication of a stronger effect of  
4maternal employment in our selected industries in the early study period on the  
5cancers investigated was found. For fathers, no significant associations were  
6observed. Thus, our results are in contrast to the literature on ambient exposure  
7to traffic pollution and childhood cancer, which largely has reported increases in  
8leukemia with less clear increases in CNS cancers and especially astrocytoma  
9though some studies report positive associations (28-31). The ambient traffic  
10pollution studies include emissions from all on-road sources which can include  
11substantial emissions from non-diesel cars. Hence, our findings suggest that the  
12unique constituents of diesel may appear relevant for astrocytomas specifically.  
13Although biological mechanisms for transmission of parental exposure to children  
14are still poorly understood, perhaps maternal exposure may be of greater  
15importance in relation to childhood cancer than paternal exposure since we only  
16observed increased risks for maternal exposure rather than when both parents  
17were exposed.  
18Occupational maternal exposure to diesel exhaust has been linked to specific  
19genetic mutations found in cord blood (32, 33). Timing of parental exposure in  
20relation to conception and pregnancy has been investigated by several studies (9,  
2111, 34, 35). Further, postnatal environmental exposures are also proposed as a  
22contributing factor for childhood cancers and in some industries substances

1parents may inadvertently be transferred to the child on clothes, hair etc. These  
2different mechanisms may explain the observed cancer susceptibility in children  
3of mothers exposed to diesel exhaust in our study. Due to the relatively small  
4number of exposed mothers, along with that mothers were unlikely to change jobs  
5in the perinatal period, we were not able to distinguish between effects of  
6maternal exposure before conception, during gestation, and after birth. However,  
7we were able to investigate different study periods defined by the NOCCA-  
8DANJEM, which indicated that effects were larger for maternal employment in the  
9selected industries during the early study period compared to the two later  
10periods. Perhaps this can be attributed to protection devices used in the later time  
11periods.

12The proportion of Danish women participating in the workforce has remained  
13stably high during the study period (36). The ten most common industries for  
14female employment with exposure to diesel engine exhaust (Supplementary table  
155) were often characterized as being in the lower exposed category (probability of  
16exposure under 50%). This distribution of industries remained stable throughout  
17the three available JEM-periods. We found the same pattern for paternal  
18employment. Since we found increased risks of cancer in off for maternal  
19employment not neither for paternal employment nor combined maternal and  
20paternal employment, this suggests that perhaps different underlying biological  
21mechanisms are at play. Only few studies of epigenetic effect on cancer in  
22humans have been conducted, yet it is a hypothesis that remains to be fully

1examined. As only few cases had both maternal and paternal exposure, results  
2must be interpreted carefully.

3Several changes may have occurred during the study period. Information on  
4benzene contents, which has been linked with childhood AML (37) in diesel during  
5the early time period investigated was not available for Denmark. However,  
6gasoline contained around 1 % weight/weight benzene during the late 1960's and  
7early 1970's in Denmark (38) and may have contained various potentially  
8carcinogenic substances such as sulphur or lead. Since exposure to diesel engine  
9exhaust and gasoline exhaust may have overlapped, we cannot rule out that  
10mothers have also been exposed to benzene and that this contributed to the  
11observed results, at least until 1974, after which benzene was largely phased out  
12of Danish workplaces. Analyses of maternal employment in relation to JEM periods  
13showed increased risks for CNS cancer and astrocytoma before 1975 and and  
14lower point estimates observed after 1975, indicating that certain substances in  
15the former period may contribute to these findings. However, we would not have  
16expected this to lead to an increased risk of CNS cancers as seen, but rather  
17leukemia cases.

18  
19To our knowledge, no other studies have investigated various time periods in  
20relation to maternal employment.

21Previous studies on maternal perinatal exposure to diesel engine exhaust are few  
22and display inconsistent results and they have been conducted on a relatively

1small number of cancers and exposed mothers (6, 8, 10, 11). More studies have  
2examined fathers employed in occupations and industries with exposure to diesel  
3engine exhausts in relation to both leukemia and CNS cancer risk in offspring but  
4they also show inconsistent results (7, 8, 35, 39-41), yet some larger studies had  
5increased ORs (6, 11, 34, 42). The largest studies were performed in England from  
61962–2006 using exposure assessment from job titles in birth registration forms  
7(43, 44) and did not find a positive association for fathers with leukemia or overall  
8CNS cancers, which is consistent with our findings. Several other previous studies  
9typically used interviews and self-administered questionnaires when assessing  
10exposure to diesel exhaust in parents, which might be prone to recall or  
11participation biases, with recall bias potentially causing exposure misclassification  
12leading to an overestimation of the true association (6, 9, 10, 34, 41) yet some  
13studies evaluated exposure using expert assessment or JEMs. In addition, studies  
14covered various grouping of job titles, industries or exposures and also  
15investigated different time periods further complicating comparisons.

16

17However, there are limitations in our study. As laws on maternity leave from 1960  
18gave all women the right to leave work up to eight weeks prior to births, this may  
19mean that we, at least for a subgroup of mothers, only investigated the potential  
20effects of employment in diesel engine exhaust industries in the first two-thirds of  
21pregnancy. The period of leave postnatally changed during the study period.

1 Since paternity leave is granted after birth, this would not have affected results in  
2 our study (36).

3 Further, NOCCA-DANJEM assumes uniform exposure across industries and cannot  
4 determine individual exposures, which might have induced exposure  
5 misclassification leading to an attenuation of the OR towards the null. Further, we  
6 assumed that exposure was the same throughout the study period, which might  
7 have led to exposure misclassification.

8 The composition of exhaust depends, among other parameters, on the types of  
9 engines, the types of vehicles and the technologies controlling the composition of  
10 the exhaust, which has all changed during the study period (5). Yet it was not  
11 possible to pinpoint exact times for implementation of changes possibly affecting  
12 exposures in order to conduct further sub analyses, as turnover of on-road  
13 vehicles may take many years. We did, however, use the time periods specified in  
14 the NOCCA-DANJEM as a proxy for changes in exposures during the study period.  
15 In addition, a distinction between diesel and gasoline exhaust exposure was not  
16 possible and exposures likely overlap. Lastly, many different occupations are  
17 exposed to diesel engine exhaust at different levels, and protective factors such  
18 as air filters and wind direction also influence the level of exposure, further  
19 complicating exposure assessment and potentially introducing misclassification  
20 (5). Further, individual domestic and environmental exposure to diesel exhaust  
21 could not be accounted for, which may have induced bias if cases live in locations  
22 with higher or lower ambient exposures than controls. In addition, ambient air



1 pollution may affect exposure but home air pollution exposure information was  
2 not available for us to investigate further. Nevertheless, these effects may have  
3 been limited; when controlling for our geography variable as a proxy for domestic  
4 exposure at birth, risks were not affected. Also, a Danish study investigating air  
5 pollution found increased risks of Hodgkin lymphoma but no other types of  
6 childhood cancers, thus supporting our results(29). It is also possible that  
7 unknown factors have impacted results why it would have been interesting to  
8 perform a neighbourhood cluster analysis, but data was not available for this.

9 Still, there are several strengths to our study. We were able to identify a large  
10 number of childhood cancer cases from the Danish Cancer Registry. In addition,  
11 we could also identify a relatively large percentage of both mothers (100%) and  
12 fathers (99.4%) and their full job history using updated nationwide registries with  
13 high coverage and validity (16-18). Further, using a validated JEM this is one of  
14 the first studies to have specifically targeted diesel exposure in both parents  
15 combined when examining associated childhood cancers. By prospective  
16 collection of occupational data linked to the JEM, recall bias was avoided. Lastly,  
17 the proportion of women employed in the Danish workforce has remained stably  
18 high since the 1960's and 1970's with more than 70% employed outside the  
19 home.

20

## 21 **Conclusion**

1 Policymakers concerned about the health and environmental effects of  
2 occupational exposures can shape policies encouraging or discouraging the use of  
3 diesel vehicles. Hence it remains important in research studies to differentiate the  
4 risks incurred from diesel exposures from the risks from gasoline. Our study does  
5 lend some support to the hypothesis that maternal exposure to diesel engine  
6 exhaust may increase the risk of CNS cancers and the specific subtype  
7 astrocytoma in offspring. However, large epidemiological studies exploring the  
8 association between paternal and especially maternal exposure to diesel exhaust  
9 and childhood cancers are warranted, including studies of environmental models  
10 that can differentiate the two exposures.

11

## 12 **Conflicts of interest**

13 None declared.

14

## 15 **Contributors**

16 JV performed programming of data, analyses, participated in interpretation of  
17 results and wrote the manuscript. KS participated in the interpretation of results  
18 and critically reviewed the manuscript. JEH was a key participant in the design of  
19 the study, interpretation of results and critical review of the manuscript. JH was a  
20 key participant in designing the study, collection of data, programming of data,  
21 interpretation of results, and supervised the writing of the manuscript.

22

## **1Acknowledgements**

2This work was partly supported by the Danish Cancer Society (74150007-FU) and  
3US National Institutes of Health (R03ES021643). The authors would like to thank  
4Julie Elbæk Pedersen for her useful comments to the manuscript.

5

## 1References

2

31. Steliarova-Foucher E, Colombet M, Ries LAG, Moreno F, Dolya A, Bray F, et al. International incidence of childhood cancer, 2001-10: a population-based 5registry study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(6):719-31.
62. Anderson LM, Diwan BA, Fear NT, Roman E. Critical windows of exposure for 7children's health: cancer in human epidemiological studies and neoplasms in 8experimental animal models. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2000;108 Suppl 3:573-94.
93. Lightfoot TJ, Roman E. Causes of childhood leukaemia and lymphoma. 10*Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2004;199(2):104-17.
114. Braun JM, Messerlian C, Hauser R. Fathers Matter: Why It's Time to Consider 12the Impact of Paternal Environmental Exposures on Children's Health. *Curr 13Epidemiol Rep.* 2017;4(1):46-55.
145. Humans I. Diesel and Gasoline Engine Exhausts and Some 15Nitroarenes. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. 16IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans / World 17Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2014;105:9-18699.
196. McKinney PA, Fear NT, Stockton D. Parental occupation at periconception: 20findings from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study. *Occup Environ Med.* 212003;60(12):901-9.
227. Feychting M, Plato N, Nise G, Ahlbom A. Paternal occupational exposures 23and childhood cancer. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2001;109(2):193-6.
248. Hemminki K, Saloniemi I, Salonen T, Partanen T, Vainio H. Childhood cancer 25and parental occupation in Finland. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1981;35(1):11-265.
279. Reid A, Glass DC, Bailey HD, Milne E, Armstrong BK, Alvaro F, et al. Parental 28occupational exposure to exhausts, solvents, glues and paints, and risk of 29childhood leukemia. *Cancer causes & control : CCC.* 2011;22(11):1575-85.
3010. Huoi C, Olsson A, Lightfoot T, Roman E, Clavel J, Lacour B, et al. Parental 31occupational exposure and risk of childhood central nervous system tumors: a 32pooled analysis of case-control studies from Germany, France, and the UK. *Cancer 33causes & control : CCC.* 2014;25(12):1603-13.
3411. Peters S, Glass DC, Reid A, de Klerk N, Armstrong BK, Kellie S, et al. Parental 35occupational exposure to engine exhausts and childhood brain tumors. *Int J 36Cancer.* 2013;132(12):2975-9.
3712. Filippini T, Heck JE, Malagoli C, Del Giovane C, Vinceti M. A review and meta- 38analysis of outdoor air pollution and risk of childhood leukemia. *J Environ Sci 39Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev.* 2015;33(1):36-66.
4013. Cames M, Helmers E. Critical evaluation of the European diesel car boom - 41global comparison, environmental effects and various national strategies. 42*Environmental Sciences Europe.* 2013;25(1):15.
4314. von Schweinitz D. Neonatal liver tumours. *Semin Neonatol.* 2003;8(5):403-4410.

1  
2

115. Marshall GM, Carter DR, Cheung BB, Liu T, Mateos MK, Meyerowitz JG, et al. The prenatal origins of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2014;14(4):277-89.
316. Gjerstorff ML. The Danish cancer registry. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;39(7 4suppl):42-5.
517. Pedersen CB, Gøtzsche H, Møller JØ, Mortensen PB. The Danish civil 6 registration system. *Dan Med Bull*. 2006;53(4):441-9.
718. Hansen J, Lassen CF. The supplementary pension fund register. *Scand J 8 Public Health*. 2011;39(7 suppl):99-102.
919. Kauppinen T, Heikkila P, Plato N, Woldbaek T, Lenvik K, Hansen J, et al. 10 Construction of job-exposure matrices for the Nordic Occupational Cancer Study 11 (NOCCA). *Acta Oncol*. 2009;48(5):791-800.
1220. Dockerty JD, Draper G, Vincent T, Rowan SD, Bunch KJ. Case-control study of 13 parental age, parity and socioeconomic level in relation to childhood cancers. 14 *International journal of epidemiology*. 2001;30(6):1428-37.
1521. Contreras ZA, Hansen J, Ritz B, Olsen J, Yu F, Heck JE. Parental age and 16 childhood cancer risk: A Danish population-based registry study. *Cancer 17 Epidemiol*. 2017;49:202-15.
1822. Huang Y, Huang J, Lan H, Zhao G, Huang C. A meta-analysis of parental 19 smoking and the risk of childhood brain tumors. *PloS one*. 2014;9(7):e102910.
2023. Momen NC, Olsen J, Gissler M, Li J. Exposure to maternal smoking during 21 pregnancy and risk of childhood cancer: a study using the Danish national 22 registers. *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 2015.
2324. Schuz J, Luta G, Erdmann F, Ferro G, Bautz A, Simony SB, et al. Birth order 24 and risk of childhood cancer in the Danish birth cohort of 1973-2010. *Cancer 25 causes & control : CCC*. 2015;26(11):1575-82.
2625. Knudsen LB, Olsen J. The Danish Medical Birth Registry. *Dan Med Bull*. 27 1998;45(3):320-3.
2826. Hansen EJ. Socialgrupper i Danmark Socialforskningsinstituttet The Danish 29 National Institute of Social Research 1984.
3027. Heck JE, Contreras ZA, Park AS, Davidson TB, Cockburn M, Ritz B. Smoking 31 in pregnancy and risk of cancer among young children: A population-based study. 32 *Int J Cancer*. 2016;139(3):613-6.
3328. Heck JE, Wu J, Lombardi C, Qiu J, Meyers TJ, Wilhelm M, et al. Childhood 34 cancer and traffic-related air pollution exposure in pregnancy and early life. 35 *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121(11-12):1385-91.
3629. Raaschou-Nielsen O, Hertel O, Thomsen BL, Olsen JH. Air pollution from 37 traffic at the residence of children with cancer. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2001;153(5):433- 38 43.
3930. Danysh HE, Mitchell LE, Zhang K, Scheurer ME, Lupo PJ. Traffic-related air 40 pollution and the incidence of childhood central nervous system tumors: Texas, 41 2001-2009. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2015;62(9):1572-8.
4231. Lavigne E, Belair MA, Do MT, Stieb DM, Hystad P, van Donkelaar A, et al. 43 Maternal exposure to ambient air pollution and risk of early childhood cancers: A 44 population-based study in Ontario, Canada. *Environ Int*. 2017;100:139-47.

132. Shu XO, Perentesis JP, Wen W, Buckley JD, Boyle E, Ross JA, et al. Parental 2exposure to medications and hydrocarbons and ras mutations in children with 3acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. 4Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13(7):1230-5.
533. Ho SM, Johnson A, Tarapore P, Janakiram V, Zhang X, Leung YK. 6Environmental epigenetics and its implication on disease risk and health 7outcomes. ILAR J. 2012;53(3-4):289-305.
834. Miligi L, Benvenuti A, Mattioli S, Salvan A, Tozzi GA, Ranucci A, et al. Risk of 9childhood leukaemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma after parental occupational 10exposure to solvents and other agents: the SETIL Study. Occup Environ Med. 112013;70(9):648-55.
1235. van Steensel-Moll HA, Valkenburg HA, van Zanen GE. Childhood leukemia 13and parental occupation. A register-based case-control study. Am J Epidemiol. 141985;121(2):216-24.
1536. Kvinder og mænd i 100 år - fra ligeret mod ligestilling. Danmarks Statistik: 162015.
1737. Loomis D, Guyton KZ, Grosse Y, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Benbrahim-Tallaa 18L, et al. Carcinogenicity of benzene. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(12):1574-5.
1938. Lizzi Andersen KB, Christian Grøn. Sammensætning af olie og benzin 20Kemiske profiler til brug for risikovurdering. DHI - Institut for Vand og Miljø for 21Miljøstyrelsen, 2008.
2239. Hakulinen T, Salonen T, Teppo L. Cancer in the offspring of fathers in 23hydrocarbon-related occupations. Br J Prev Soc Med. 1976;30(2):138-40.
2440. Peters S, Glass DC, Greenop KR, Armstrong BK, Kirby M, Milne E, et al. 25Childhood brain tumours: associations with parental occupational exposure to 26solvents. Br J Cancer. 2014;111(5):998-1003.
2741. Metayer C, Scelo G, Kang AY, Gunier RB, Reinier K, Lea S, et al. A task-based 28assessment of parental occupational exposure to organic solvents and other 29compounds and the risk of childhood leukemia in California. Environ Res. 302016;151:174-83.
3142. Perez-Saldivar ML, Ortega-Alvarez MC, Fajardo-Gutierrez A, Bernaldez-Rios 32R, Del Campo-Martinez Mde L, Medina-Sanson A, et al. Father's occupational 33exposure to carcinogenic agents and childhood acute leukemia: a new method to 34assess exposure (a case-control study). BMC Cancer. 2008;8:7.
3543. Keegan TJ, Bunch KJ, Vincent TJ, King JC, O'Neill KA, Kendall GM, et al. Case- 36control study of paternal occupation and childhood leukaemia in Great Britain, 371962-2006. Br J Cancer. 2012;107(9):1652-9.
3844. Keegan TJ, Bunch KJ, Vincent TJ, King JC, O'Neill KA, Kendall GM, et al. Case- 39control study of paternal occupation and social class with risk of childhood central 40nervous system tumours in Great Britain, 1962-2006. Br J Cancer. 412013;108(9):1907-14.

# 1 Tables

2

3

**Table 1** Characteristics of the population

	Leukemia								Acute lymphoblastic leukemia							
	Mothers				Fathers				Mothers				Fathers			
	Cases n= 1,673	Controls n= 39,107	Cases n= 1,811	Controls n= 44,255	Cases n= 1,304	Controls n= 30,185	Cases n= 1,397	Controls n= 34,022	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
<b>Child's sex</b>																
<i>Boy</i>	922	55.1	21,930	56.1	1,004	55.	24,627	55.	74	57.	17,456	57.	79	57.	19,545	57.
<i>Girl</i>	751	44.9	17,177	43.9	807	44.	19,628	44.	55	42.	12,729	42.	59	42.	14,477	42.
<b>Child's age at diagnosis</b>																
<i>0-4</i>	728	52.7	16,778	51.9	774	51.	18,997	52.	44	34.	10,119	34.	59	51.	14,430	51.
<i>5-9</i>	321	23.2	7,679	23.8	358	23.	8,595	23.	52	41.	12,314	41.	31	27.		26.
<i>10-14</i>	186	13.5	4,233	13.1	199	13.	4,792	13.	18	14.		14.	14	12.	7,482	12.
<i>15-19</i>	146	10.6	3,622	11.2	164	11.	4,160	11.	12	9.6	4,242	10.	7	8.5	3,497	8.8
<b>Birth order</b>																
<i>First</i>	780	46.6	17,919	45.8	796	44.	19,178	43.	28	22.		20.	62	44.	14,735	43.
<i>Second</i>	621	37.1	14,590	37.3	689	38.	16,876	38.	66	50.	6,055	51.	52	37.	12,957	38.
<i>Third or later</i>	272	16.3	6,598	16.9	326	18.	8,201	18.	35	27.	9	28.	25	18.	7	18.
<b>Family</b>																

1

2

**socioeconomic status**

<i>Academics</i>	167	10.0	3,900	10.0	172	9.5	4,412	10.0	13	10.		10.	13		10.
<i>Middle education</i>	234	14.0	5,554	14.2	244	13.	5,748	13.	18	14.		14.	19	13.	12.
<i>Shorter education</i>	226	13.5	5,909	15.1	231	12.	6,145	13.	17	13.		15.	18	13.	13.
<i>Skilled</i>	430	25.7	9,667	24.7	469	25.	11,206	25.	33	25.		24.	36	25.	25.
<i>Unskilled</i>	234	14.0	5,384	13.8	274	15.	6,583	14.	18	14.		13.	21	15.	14.
<i>Unknown</i>	382	22.8	8,693	22.2	421	23.	10,161	23.	28	22.		22.	31	22.	23.

**Parental age at birth of the index child**

<i>&lt;=25</i>	388	23.2	10,086	25.8	229	12.	6,250	14.	29	22.		25.	16	11.	13.
<i>26-28</i>	397	23.7	8,985	23.0	343	18.	8,392	19.	30	23.		22.	26	18.	19.
<i>29-33</i>	560	33.5	12,933	33.1	636	35.	15,314	34.	43	33.	10,071	33.	50	36.	34.
<i>&gt;=34</i>	328	19.6	7,103	18.2	603	33.	14,299	32.	26	19.		18.	46	33.	32.

**Childs birthplace**

<i>Urban</i>	525	31.4	12,765	32.6	584	32.	14,617	33.	41	32.		32.	46	33.	32.
<i>Small town</i>	523	31.3	12,328	31.5	568	31.	14,312	32.	40	30.		31.	43	30.	32.
<i>Rural</i>	625	37.4	14,014	35.8	659	36.	15,326	34.	48	37.	10,920	36.	50	35.	35.

**Number of children 1**

	365	21.8	8,079	20.7					28	22.		20.			
									7	0	6,055	1			



2			19,69		66	50.	15,42	51.	
	849	50.7	9	50.4	0	6	9	1	
3 or more			11,32		35	27.		28.	
	459	27.4	9	29.0	7	4	8,701	8	
<b>Maternal smoking during pregnancy 1991-2015</b>									
No			13,69		47	74.	10,68	75.	
	601	75.1	1	75.3	5	9	3	2	
Yes					13	20.		20.	
	164	20.5	3,683	20.2	0	5	2,869	2	
Unknown			818	4.5	29	4.6	652	4.6	

---

1

**Table 1** Characteristics of the population

	Acute myeloid leukemia								CNS							
	Mothers				Fathers				Mothers				Fathers			
	Cases n= 264	Controls n= 6,171	Cases n= 287	Controls n= 6,957	Cases n= 926	Controls n= 22,064	Cases n= 1,003	Controls n= 24,780	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
<b>Child's sex</b>																
<i>Boy</i>	254	96.2	5,876	95.2	135	47.	0	46.	49	53.	11,70	53.	53	53.	13,14	53.
<i>Girl</i>	10	3.8	295	4.8	152	53.	0	53.	5	5	3	0	4	2	9	1
<b>Child's age at diagnosis</b>																
<i>0-4</i>	117	46.2	2,610	44.0	34	14.	0	49.	27	36.		34.	28	34.		34.
<i>5-9</i>	34	13.4	920	15.5	41	14.	813	13.	7	4	6,222	2	7	8	7,009	3
<i>10-14</i>	43	17.0	1,019	17.2	41	16.	9	16.	22	29.	5,559	5	25	30.	6,201	30.
<i>15-19</i>	59	23.3	1,388	23.4	50	16.	949	16.	14	18.	3,571	6	15	19.	3,994	19.
<b>Birth order</b>																
<i>First</i>	116	43.9	2,851	46.2	118	20.	6	20.	11	14.	2,851	7	12	15.	3,235	15.
<i>Second</i>	106	40.2	2,330	37.8	52	40.	8	43.	3	9		7	7	4		8
<i>Third or later</i>	42	15.9	990	16.0	117	41.	3,049	43.	44	47.	10,19	46.	45	45.	10,87	43.
<b>Family socioeconomic status</b>																
<i>Academics</i>	24	9.1	609	9.9	26	41.	1	38.	0	5	8	2	2	1	0	9
<i>Middle</i>	40	15.2	881	14.3	118	18.	2,664	38.	34	37.	8,257	4	38	38.	9,474	38.
					52	18.	1,244	17.	13	14.	3,609	4	16	16.	4,436	17.
									8	9		4	6	6		9
									10	11.		10.	11	11.		
									5	3	2,226	1	1	1	2,421	9.8
									12	13.	3,268	14.	12	12.		

<i>education</i>						3		4	7	7		8	8	8	3,374	13. 6
<i>Shorter education</i>						10.		14.	15	16.		16.	15	15.		15.
<i>Skilled</i>	34	12.9	949	15.4	30	5	977	0	3	5	3,626	4	9	9	3,731	1
<i>Unskilled</i>	58	22.0	1,562	25.3	68	7	1,778	6	5	4	5,973	1	2	1	6,851	6
<i>Unknown</i>	37	14.0	858	13.9	46	0	1,071	4	0	0	3,038	8	3	3	3,803	3
	71	26.9	1,312	21.3	76	5	1,528	0	6	1	3,933	8	0	9	4,600	6
<b>Parental age at birth of the index child</b>																
<i>&lt;=25</i>						15.		14.	26	28.		28.	15	15.		15.
<i>26-28</i>	62	23.5	1,690	27.4	44	3	1,023	7	5	6	6,187	0	2	2	3,740	1
<i>29-33</i>	60	22.7	1,460	23.7	53	5	1,355	5	2	1	5,254	8	0	9	5,052	4
<i>&gt;=34</i>	86	32.6	1,953	31.6	92	1	2,436	0	6	8	7,062	0	5	4	8,703	1
	56	21.2	1,068	17.3	98	1	2,143	8	3	4	3,561	1	6	5	7,285	4
<b>Childs birthplace</b>																
<i>Urban</i>						28.		33.	31	34.		31.	33	33.		32.
<i>Small town</i>	75	28.4	2,045	33.1	82	6	2,340	6	7	2	6,997	7	8	7	7,968	2
<i>Rural</i>	87	33.0	1,973	32.0	94	8	2,260	5	5	8	7,234	8	8	7	8,239	2
	102	38.6	2,153	34.9	111	7	2,357	9	4	0	7,833	5	7	6	8,573	6
<b>Number of children</b>																
<i>1</i>									18	20.		17.				
<i>2</i>	55	20.8	1,348	21.8					6	1	3,921	8				
<i>3 or more</i>	135	51.1	2,998	48.6					46	49.	11,33	51.				
	74	28.0	1,825	29.6					1	8	0	4				
									27	30.	6,813	30.				

**Maternal smoking during pregnancy 1991-2015**

*No*

86 74.8 1,968 75.5

*Yes*

23 20.0 521 20.0

*Unknown*

6 5.2 116 4.5

9 1 9

26 70. 72.

8 3 6,300 8

23. 22.

89 4 1,956 6

24 6.3 401 4.6

1

**Table 1** Characteristics of the population

	Astrocytoma								Ependymoma							
	Mothers				Fathers				Mothers				Fathers			
	Cases n=503	Controls n= 12,210	Cases n=548	Controls n= 13,644	Cases n= 136	Controls n= 3,112	Cases n=142	Controls n= 3,490	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
<b>Child's sex</b>																
<i>Boy</i>						48.		48.		58.		56.		58.		56.
	244	48.5	5,903	48.3	263	0	6,578	2	79	1	1,766	7	83	5	1,986	9
<i>Girl</i>						52.		51.		41.		43.		41.		43.
	259	51.5	6,307	51.7	285	0	7,066	8	57	9	1,346	3	59	5	1,504	1
<b>Child's age at diagnosis</b>																
<i>0-4</i>						29.		29.		46.		46.		54.		53.
	131	28.2	2,965	26.1	136	6	3,302	1	59	5	1,339	6	64	7	1,538	2
<i>5-9</i>						32.		31.		22.		21.		17.		17.
	150	32.3	3,647	32.1	147	0	3,593	7	29	8	628	8	21	9	494	1
<i>10-14</i>						21.		21.		16.		16.		12.		12.
	102	21.9	2,675	23.5	97	1	2,474	8	21	5	459	0	14	0	370	8
<i>15-19</i>						17.		17.		14.		15.		15.		16.
	82	17.6	2,082	18.3	79	2	1,965	3	18	2	450	6	18	4	487	9
<b>Birth order</b>																
<i>First</i>						45.		43.		50.		46.		46.		45.
	237	47.1	5,639	46.2	247	1	5,980	8	68	0	1,454	7	66	5	1,571	0
<i>Second</i>						37.		38.		33.		37.		35.		38.
	188	37.4	4,548	37.2	204	2	5,196	1	46	8	1,166	5	50	2	1,326	0
<i>Third or later</i>						17.		18.		16.		15.		18.		17.
	78	15.5	2,023	16.6	97	7	2,468	1	22	2	492	8	26	3	593	0
<b>Family socioeconomic status</b>																
<i>Academics</i>										11.		10.		12.		10.
	52	10.3	1,225	10.0	51	9.3	1,333	9.8	16	8	330	6	18	7	358	3
<i>Middle</i>										15.		16.		13.		14.
	67	13.3	1,788	14.6	69	12.	1,835	13.	21	15.	502	16.	19	13.	496	14.

<i>education</i>						6		4		4		1		4		2
<i>Shorter</i>						17.		15.		16.		16.		16.		15.
<i>education</i>	89	17.7	2,027	16.6	95	3	2,065	1	23	9	509	4	24	9	527	1
<i>Skilled</i>						25.		27.		26.		26.		28.		27.
	120	23.9	3,274	26.8	137	0	3,683	0	36	5	812	1	40	2	970	8
<i>Unskilled</i>						14.		15.		14.		14.		15.		15.
	65	12.9	1,687	13.8	79	4	2,109	5	12	8.8	439	1	14	9.9	535	3
<i>Unknown</i>						21.		19.		20.		16.		19.		17.
	110	21.9	2,209	18.1	117	4	2,619	2	28	6	520	7	27	0	604	3
<b>Parental age at birth of the index child</b>																
<i>&lt;=25</i>						14.		14.		31.		29.		15.		15.
	138	27.4	3,374	27.6	78	2	2,020	8	43	6	928	8	22	5	527	1
<i>26-28</i>						19.		20.		26.		23.		23.		20.
	134	26.6	2,917	23.9	104	0	2,765	3	36	5	719	1	33	2	731	9
<i>29-33</i>						39.		35.		27.		32.		39.		35.
	155	30.8	3,927	32.2	215	2	4,822	3	37	2	1,007	4	56	4	1,236	4
<i>&gt;=34</i>						27.		29.		14.		14.		21.		28.
	76	15.1	1,992	16.3	151	6	4,037	6	20	7	458	7	31	8	996	5
<b>Childs birthplace</b>																
<i>Urban</i>						33.		32.		30.		32.		30.		33.
	174	34.6	3,873	31.7	186	9	4,374	1	42	9	1,017	7	43	3	1,165	4
<i>Small town</i>						31.		32.		32.		33.		33.		34.
	153	30.4	3,918	32.1	173	6	4,459	7	44	4	1,049	7	47	1	1,188	0
<i>Rural</i>						34.		35.		36.		33.		36.		32.
	176	35.0	4,419	36.2	189	5	4,811	3	50	8	1,046	6	52	6	1,137	6
<b>Number of children</b>																
<i>1</i>										26.		22.				
	97	19.3	1,981	16.2					36	5	702	6				
<i>2</i>										47.		48.				
	245	48.7	6,351	52.0					64	1	1,520	8				
<i>3 or more</i>										26.		28.				
	161	32.0	3,878	31.8					36	5	890	6				
<b>Maternal</b>																

**smoking  
during  
pregnancy**

<i>No</i>						70.		73.
	151	69.9	3,639	73.5	36	6	783	1
<i>Yes</i>						23.		22.
	51	23.6	1,071	21.6	12	5	236	0
<i>Unknown</i>	14	6.5	239	4.8	3	5.9	52	4.9

---

1

**Table 1** Characteristics of the population

	Medulloblastoma								Prenatal cancers							
	Mothers				Fathers				Mothers				Fathers			
	Cases n=222	Controls n= 5,184	Cases n=242	Controls n= 5,864	Cases n=2,242	Controls n= 52,357	Cases n=2,448	Controls n= 59,320	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
<b>Child's sex</b>																
<i>Boy</i>	141	63.5	3,228	62.3	152	62.8	3,657	62.4	1,279	57.0	29,858	57.0	1,393	56.9	33,607	56.7
<i>Girl</i>	81	36.5	1,956	37.7	90	37.2	2,207	37.6	963	43.0	22,499	43.0	1,055	43.1	25,713	43.3
<b>Child's age at diagnosis</b>																
<i>0-4</i>	75	36.8	1,633	34.2	75	39.1	1,857	38.9	1,195	59.0	27,602	58.0	1,289	61.8	31,328	61.8
<i>5-9</i>	80	39.2	1,916	40.2	70	36.5	1,760	36.9	486	24.0	11,524	24.0	476	22.8	11,496	22.7
<i>10-14</i>	32	15.7	740	15.5	30	15.6	702	14.7	218	10.0	4,993	10.0	199	9.5	4,719	9.3
<i>15-19</i>	17	8.3	481	10.1	17	8.9	451	9.5	125	6.2	3,133	6.6	122	5.8	3,117	6.2
<b>Birth order</b>																
<i>First</i>	110	49.5	2,389	45.9	111	45.9	2,537	43.3	1,051	46.9	24,015	45.9	1,079	44.6	25,671	43.3
<i>Second</i>	89	40.1	1,947	37.6	103	42.6	2,259	38.5	834	37.2	19,497	37.2	936	38.4	22,673	38.2
<i>Third or later</i>	23	10.4	855	16.3	29	11.6	1,068	18.2	357	15.8	8,845	16.0	433	17.7	10,977	18.0
<b>Family socioeconomic status</b>																
<i>Academics</i>	32	14.4	516	10.0	35	14.5	553	9.4	238	10.6	5,254	10.0	247	10.0	5,879	9.9
<i>Middle</i>	30	13.5	732	14.0	29	12.0	785	13.4	323	14.4	7,405	14.0	335	13.0	7,669	12.0



<i>education</i>		5		1						4		1		7		9
<i>Shorter education</i>	33	14.	828	16.	32	13.2	865	14.8	299	13.	8,019	15.	317	12.	8,346	14.
<i>Skilled</i>		24.	1,43	27.			1,66			25.	13,04	24.		25.	15,10	25.
<i>Unskilled</i>	55	8	2	6	60	24.8	3	28.4	562	1	5	9	628	7	9	5
<i>Unknown</i>	31	14.	694	13.	38	15.7	876	14.9	307	13.	7,065	13.	366	15.	8,784	14.
	41	18.	982	18.	48	19.8	1,12		513	22.	11,56	22.	555	22.	13,53	22.
		5		9			2	19.1		9	9	1		7	3	8
<b>Parental age at birth of the index child</b>																
<i>&lt;=25</i>	62	27.	1,42	27.	1	0.4	46	0.8	543	24.	13,53	25.	304	12.	8,415	14.
<i>26-28</i>	59	9	2	4	12		2,44			2	9	9	473	4	11,29	2
<i>29-33</i>	65	26.	1,25	24.	2	50.4	3	41.7	519	23.	11,97	22.	473	19.	11,29	19.
<i>&gt;=34</i>	36	6	3	2	2		2,20			1	0	9	473	3	4	0
	65	29.	1,63	31.	78	32.2	2	37.6	749	33.	17,19	32.	879	35.	20,43	34.
	36	3	2	5	41	16.9	1,17		431	4	5	8	792	9	9	5
		16.	877	16.			3	20.0		19.	9,653	18.		32.	19,17	32.
		2		9						2		4		4	2	3
<b>Childs birthplace</b>																
<i>Urban</i>	73	32.	1,60	30.			1,85			32.	17,02	32.		33.	19,45	32.
<i>Small town</i>	70	9	4	9	77	31.8	4	31.6	732	6	8	5	826	7	8	8
<i>Rural</i>	79	31.	1,73	33.	78	32.2	1,98			31.	16,66	31.	775	31.	19,41	32.
	79	5	1	4	87	36.0	2,02		809	3	9	8	847	7	2	7
		35.	1,84	35.						36.	18,66	35.		34.	20,45	34.
		6	9	7			9	34.6		1	0	6		6	0	5
<b>Number of children</b>																
<i>1</i>	46	20.	1,00	19.						25.	12,81	24.				
<i>2</i>	121	7	3	3					577	7	2	5				
<i>3 or more</i>	55	54.	2,63	50.					1,10	49.	25,74	49.				
		5	4	8					1	1	9	2				
		24.	1,54	29.						25.	13,79	26.				
		8	7	8					564	2	6	3				
<b>Maternal</b>																

**smoking  
during  
pregnancy  
1991-2015**

<i>No</i>		67.	1,52	70.		73.	18,10	75.
	62	4	2	8	788	1	4	4
<i>Yes</i>		25.		24.		22.		20.
	23	0	536	9	240	3	4,876	3
	7	7.6	91	4.2	50	4.6	1,042	4.3

---

1

**Table 2** Unadjusted Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for offspring of mothers exposed to diesel engine exhaust in the year before birth to the year after birth of index child

	Overall exposure to diesel engine exhaust			Probability of exposure to diesel engine exhaust under 50 %		Probability of exposure to diesel engine exhaust over 50 %	
	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)
Leukemia	1,643/38,915	80/1,775	1.06 (0.84-1.34)	68/1,503	1.76 (0.83-1.37)	12/272	1.01 (0.56-1.81)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	1,280/30,035	62/1,362	1.07 (0.82-1.39)	55/1,157	1.12 (0.85-1.48)	7/205	0.79 (0.37-1.68)
Acute myeloid Leukemia	260/6,141	10/295	0.76 (0.40-1.44)	8/246	0.74 (0.36-1.51)	*	-
Central nervous system cancer	913/21,944	55/1,027	1.32 (0.99-1.75)	44/863	1.24 (0.91-1.70)	11/164	1.75 (0.94-3.26)
Astrocytoma	491/12,142	3/19	<b>1.52 (1.06-2.15)</b>	2/194	1.44 (0.97-2.15)	6/85	1.97 (0.84-4.58)
Medulloblastoma	222/5,150	11/229	1.09 (0.59-2.03)	9/190	1.09 (0.59-2.13)	*	*
Ependymoma	135/3,098	8/153	1.20 (0.57-2.51)	6/126	1.06 (0.46-2.48)	*	*
Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>	2,205/52,110	102/2,304	1.05 (0.85-1.28)	92/1,948	1.12 (0.90-1.39)	10/356	0.66 (0.35-1.24)
1 Leukemia	1,806/44,178	480/11,775	0.99 (0.89-1.11)	342/8,488	0.98 (0.87-1.11)	138/3,287	1.03 (0.86-1.23)
2 Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	1,393/33,962	373/9,025	1.01 (0.89-1.14)	267/6,518	1.00 (0.87-1.14)	106/2,507	1.04 (0.84-1.27)
3 Acute myeloid Leukemia	287/6,941	75/1,883	0.95 (0.73-1.25)	54/1,376	0.94 (0.69-1.27)	21/507	0.99 (0.62-1.56)
4 Central nervous system cancer	1,000/24,741	263/6,658	0.97 (0.84-1.12)	189/4,836	0.95 (0.81-1.12)	74/1,822	1.00 (0.78-1.27)
5 Astrocytoma	546/13,624	142/3,672	0.95 (0.78-1.16)	97/2,702	0.88 (0.70-1.10)	45/970	1.15 (0.84-1.58)
1 Medulloblastoma	242/5,853	72/1,579	1.14 (0.86-1.51)	55/1,145	1.20 (0.87-1.63)	17/434	0.98 (0.59-1.63)
2 Ependymoma	142/3,487	29/940	0.70 (0.46-1.06)	20/664	0.69 (0.43-1.12)	9/276	0.72 (0.36-1.43)
Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>	2,438/59,217	652/15,777	1.00 (0.92-1.10)	472/11,484	1.00 (0.90-1.10)	180/4,293	1.03 (0.88-1.20)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**Table 4** Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for offspring of both mothers and fathers exposed to diesel engine exhaust

	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)
Leukemia	1,104/25,410	30/711	0.96 (0.66-1.40)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	868/19,623	26/535	1.09 (0.72-1.63)
Central nervous system cancer	587/14,243	19/414	1.14 (0.71-1.84)
Astrocytoma	316/7,866	12/231	1.38 (0.75-2.53)
Medulloblastoma	144/3,366	5/96	1.20 (0.47-3.05)
Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>	1,497/33,997	45/942	1.06 (0.78-1.44)

<sup>a</sup> Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma  
Referent group is non exposed parents

**Supplementary table 1** Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for offspring of mothers exposed to diesel engine exhaust in the year before birth to the year after birth of index child for various time periods defined by the job-exposure matrix NOCCA-DANJEM

	1968-1974			1975-1984			1985-2015		
	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)
Leukemia	252/6,038	17/311	1.27 (0.76-2.13)	347/8,325	10/316	0.78 (0.41-1.47)	1,044/24,552	53/1,148	1.08 (0.81-1.44)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	185/4,439	12/223	1.29 (0.70-2.37)	278/6,515	8/238	0.80 (0.39-1.65)	817/19,081	42/901	1.09 (0.79-1.50)
Acute myeloid Leukemia	42/974	*	-	56/1,415	*	-	162/3,752	6/172	0.78 (0.34-1.80)
Central nervous system cancer	137/3,290	14/173	<b>2.14 (1.19-3.84)</b>	247/6,017	11/235	1.13 (0.61-2.10)	529/12,637	30/619	1.18 (0.81-1.73)
Astrocytoma	64/1,609	7/82	<b>2.59 (1.12-5.99)</b>	137/3,337	9/138	1.51 (0.75-3.06)	290/7,196	18/359	1.31 (0.80-2.14)
Medulloblastoma	39/808	*	-	55/1,362	*	-	128/2,980	5/139	0.80 (0.32-1.98)
Ependymoma	22/510	*	-	34/841	*	-	79/1,747	6/88	1.61 (0.68-3.85)
Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>	316/7,843	20/371	1.35 (0.84-2.17)	494/11,994	20/468	1.07 (0.67-1.69)	1,395/32,273	62/1,465	0.97 (0.75-1.26)

\*n<5

<sup>a</sup> Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
1  
2

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

**Supplementary table 2** Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for offspring of fathers exposed to diesel engine exhaust the year before birth to birth of index child for various time periods defined by the job-exposure matrix NOCCA-DANJEM

	1968-1974			1975-1984			1985-2015		
	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)	Total cases and controls n	Exposed cases and controls n	OR (95% CI)
Leukemia	337/8,160	102/2,267	1.11 (0.88-1.41)	380/9,180	99/2,638	0.87 (0.69-1.10)	1,089/26,838	279/6,870	1.00 (0.8-1.15)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	246/5,964	80/1,660	1.23 (0.94-1.62)	297/7,148	82/2,060	0.94 (0.73-1.23)	850/20,850	211/5,305	0.97 (0.8-1.13)
Acute myeloid leukemia	56/1,303	14/377	0.82 (0.44-1.52)	64/1,567	13/444	0.65 (0.35-1.21)	167/4,071	48/1,062	1.14 (0.8-1.61)
Central nervous system cancer	176/4,348	39/1,234	0.72 (0.50-1.03)	261/6,628	73/1,846	1.01 (0.76-1.33)	563/13,765	151/3,578	1.03 (0.8-1.25)
Astrocytoma	85/2,097	19/584	0.76 (0.45-1.28)	147/3,658	39/1,032	0.92 (0.63-1.33)	314/7,869	84/2,056	1.02 (0.7-1.32)
Medulloblastoma	45/1,082	14/321	1.07 (0.56-2.05)	58/1,532	21/438	1.41 (0.82-2.46)	139/3,239	37/820	1.05 (0.7-1.54)
Ependymoma	29/690	*	-	35/903	7/231	0.74 (0.32-1.71)	78/1,894	19/514	0.88 (0.5-1.48)
Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>	440/10,559	136/2,975	1.13 (0.92-1.39)	548/13,327	158/3,814	1.01 (0.84-1.22)	1,450/35,331	358/8,988	0.95 (0.8-1.08)

\*n<5

<sup>a</sup> Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma

11  
12

1  
2

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

1  
2

1  
2  
3

**Supplementary table 3** Adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for offspring of mothers exposed to diesel engine exhaust in the year before to the year after the birth of index child

	Leukemia	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	Acute myeloid leukemia	Central nervous system	Astrocytoma	Medulloblastoma	Ependymoma	Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>
<b>Exposure to diesel engine exhaust</b>	1.04 (0.83-1.31)	1.05 (0.81-1.37)	0.78 (0.41-1.49)	1.32 (0.99-1.75)	<b>1.49 (1.04-2.15)</b>	1.13 (0.60-2.11)	1.15 (0.55-2.42)	1.03 (0.84-1.27)
<b>Socioeconomic status at the family level</b>								
Academics and high self employed	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)
Middle long education"	1.00 (0.81-1.22)	0.98 (0.78-1.23)	1.18 (0.70-1.99)	0.82 (0.62-1.07)	0.86 (0.59-1.25)	0.66 (0.39-1.11)	0.85 (0.43-1.67)	0.98 (0.82-1.16)
Shorter education	0.91 (0.74-1.12)	0.86 (0.68-1.09)	1.00 (0.58-1.71)	0.86 (0.67-1.12)	0.99 (0.70-1.42)	0.63 (0.38-1.05)	0.85 (0.44-1.65)	0.84 (0.70-1.00)
Skilled worker	1.08 (0.89-1.30)	1.02 (0.83-1.26)	1.06 (0.64-1.74)	0.81 (0.63-1.03)	0.83 (0.59-1.18)	0.62 (0.39-0.99)	0.82 (0.44-1.53)	0.98 (0.83-1.15)
Unskilled worker"	1.07 (0.87-1.32)	1.01 (0.80-1.28)	1.28 (0.74-2.19)	0.79 (0.60-1.04)	0.88 (0.59-1.29)	0.73 (0.43-1.24)	0.47 (0.21-1.03)	0.99 (0.83-1.18)
Unknown"	1.06 (0.86-1.31)	0.94 (0.75-1.19)	<b>1.90 (1.11-3.23)</b>	0.93 (0.70-1.22)	1.14 (0.78-1.67)	0.63 (0.37-1.10)	0.88 (0.43-1.81)	0.95 (0.80-1.14)
<b>Parental age</b>	<b>1.02 (1.01-1.03)</b>	1.02 (1.00-1.03)	<b>1.05 (1.02-1.09)</b>	0.98 (0.97-1.00)	0.99 (0.97-1.01)	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	0.96 (0.92-1.01)	<b>1.01 (1.00-1.02)</b>
<b>Number of children by mother prior to diagnosis</b>								
1 child	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)
2 children	0.96 (0.82-1.12)	0.90 (0.75-1.07)	1.16 (0.75-1.79)	0.86 (0.69-1.06)	0.78 (0.58-1.05)	1.04 (0.67-1.62)	0.79 (0.44-1.39)	0.94 (0.82-1.08)

1  
2



3 or more children	0.91 (0.73-1.14)	0.86 (0.67-1.10)	1.04 (0.59-1.83)	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.89 (0.62-1.29)	1.08 (0.61-1.91)	0.59 (0.27-1.30)	0.93 (0.76-1.14)
<b>Birth order</b>								
First born	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)
Second born	0.94 (0.82-1.07)	0.94 (0.81-1.10)	0.91 (0.63-1.30)	1.10 (0.92-1.31)	1.11 (0.87-1.41)	0.98 (0.68-1.40)	1.11 (0.66-1.86)	0.98 (0.86-1.11)
Third born or later	0.89 (0.70-1.12)	0.91 (0.70-1.19)	0.79 (0.44-1.43)	1.02 (0.76-1.38)	1.00 (0.67-1.49)	0.57 (0.30-1.10)	1.80 (0.77-4.24)	0.90 (0.73-1.12)
<b>Childs birth place</b>								
Urban	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)
Small town	1.06 (0.94-1.20)	1.02 (0.88-1.18)	1.25 (0.91-1.72)	0.88 (0.74-1.04)	0.89 (0.71-1.11)	0.95 (0.67-1.34)	0.99 (0.63-1.54)	1.00 (0.90-1.12)
Rural	1.11 (0.98-1.26)	1.07 (0.93-1.23)	1.29 (0.94-1.77)	0.92 (0.78-1.09)	0.89 (0.71-1.11)	1.03 (0.74-1.45)	1.19 (0.76-1.84)	1.03 (0.93-1.14)

a Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

1  
2

**Supplementary table 4** Adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for offspring of fathers exposed to diesel engine exhaust in the year before birth to the birth of index child

	Leukemia	Acute lymphoid leukemia	Acute myeloid leukemia	Central nervous system	Astrocytoma	Medulloblastoma	Ependymoma	Prenatal cancers <sup>a</sup>
<b>Exposure to diesel engine exhaust</b>	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	1.01 (0.89-1.14)	0.95 (0.72-1.25)	0.98 (0.84-1.13)	0.96 (0.78-1.17)	1.18 (0.88-1.57)	0.71 (0.47-1.08)	1.00 (0.92-1.10)
<b>Socioeconomic status at the family level</b>								
Academics and high self employed	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)
Middle long education"	1.10 (0.90-1.34)	1.12 (0.89-1.40)	1.11 (0.67-1.84)	0.82 (0.63-1.07)	1.00 (0.69-1.45)	<b>0.56 (0.34-0.94)</b>	0.70 (0.36-1.36)	1.06 (0.89-1.25)
Shorter education	0.98 (0.80-1.20)	0.98 (0.78-1.23)	0.78 (0.45-1.33)	0.92 (0.72-1.19)	1.23 (0.87-1.75)	<b>0.55 (0.33-0.90)</b>	0.81 (0.43-1.53)	0.92 (0.77-1.09)
Skilled worker	1.10 (0.92-1.32)	1.08 (0.88-1.33)	0.97 (0.60-1.55)	0.83 (0.66-1.05)	1.01 (0.72-1.41)	<b>0.52 (0.33-0.81)</b>	0.76 (0.42-1.36)	1.02 (0.87-1.19)
Unskilled worker"	1.11 (0.91-1.35)	1.09 (0.87-1.37)	1.13 (0.68-1.86)	0.81 (0.63-1.06)	1.00 (0.69-1.44)	0.68 (0.41-1.10)	0.47 (0.23-0.98)	1.04 (0.88-1.23)
Unknown"	1.16 (0.95-1.42)	1.07 (0.85-1.34)	1.66 (1.00-2.77)	0.90 (0.69-1.17)	1.21 (0.83-1.76)	<b>0.57 (0.34-0.96)</b>	0.76 (0.38-1.51)	1.02 (0.86-1.21)
<b>Parental age</b>	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	<b>1.02 (1.01-1.03)</b>	1.02 (1.00-1.05)	0.99 (0.98-1.00)	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	0.98 (0.95-1.01)	0.97 (0.94-1.01)	<b>1.01 (1.00-1.02)</b>
<b>Number of children by mother</b>								
1 child	1.00 1.00-1.00	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)
2 children	0.99 (0.85-1.16)	0.97 (0.82-1.16)	0.98 (0.64-1.49)	0.88 (0.71-1.09)	0.82 (0.61-1.09)	0.99 (0.65-1.52)	0.96 (0.54-1.69)	0.97 (0.85-1.11)
3 or more children	0.93 (0.76-1.15)	0.90 (0.71-1.15)	0.91 (0.53-1.55)	0.93 (0.71-1.22)	0.95 (0.67-1.36)	0.83 (0.48-1.46)	0.85 (0.40-1.82)	0.91 (0.75-1.11)
<b>Birth order</b>								
First born	1.00 1.00	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)

	1.00	1.00)	1.00)	1.00)	1.00)	1.00)	1.00)	1.00)	1.00)
Second born	0.95 (0.84-1.08)	0.93 (0.80-1.07)	1.12 (0.80-1.57)	1.05 (0.89-1.24)	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	1.10 (0.78-1.54)	0.97 (0.59-1.59)	0.98 (0.87-1.10)	
Third born or later	0.92 (0.75-1.14)	0.92 (0.72-1.18)	1.07 (0.63-1.80)	0.96 (0.73-1.25)	0.91 (0.64-1.30)	0.75 (0.41-1.37)	1.41 (0.64-3.10)	0.96 (0.79-1.17)	
<b>Childs birth place</b>									
Urban	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	
Small town	1.00 (0.89-1.12)	0.95 (0.83-1.08)	1.18 (0.86-1.60)	0.94 (0.80-1.10)	0.92 (0.75-1.14)	1.03 (0.74-1.43)	1.12 (0.73-1.72)	0.95 (0.86-1.05)	
Rural	1.10 (0.97-1.23)	1.04 (0.91-1.18)	1.33 (0.98-1.79)	0.97 (0.83-1.1)	0.93 (0.75-1.15)	1.11 (0.80-1.54)	1.30 (0.84-1.99)	0.99 (0.90-1.10)	

a Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

1  
2

**Supplementary table 5** The ten most common industries with exposure to diesel engine exhaust for mothers and fathers of children diagnosed with leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, acute myeloid leukemia, central nervous system cancer, astrocytoma, medulloblastoma, ependymoma, Wilms tumor (nephroblastoma), neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma, and hepatoblastoma

	Mothers				Fathers		
	Exposed controls n	Exposed cases n	Exposed total n		Exposed controls n	Exposed cases n	Exposed total n
29011. Clay and gravel pits, also stone quarries	417	14	431	71142. Moving businesses	5,099	222	5,321
29013. Limestone and chalk quarries	404	14	418	71163. #Fire brigade	2,748	128	2,876
29030. Extraction of salt	326	16	342	71211. Shipping companies	2,564	89	2,653
29090. Other nonmetallic raw materials extraction in general	288	10	298	71212. Ferry operations	1,715	56	1,771
35401. Asphalt factories	271	9	280	71232. Harbours	1,174	37	1,211
38210. Manufacture of engines (except for electric motors and marin	220	19	239	71233. Loading and unloading contractors (stevedores), etc.	1,05	50	1,1
38220. Manufacture of agricultural machinery and accessories	208	13	221	83241. Consulting engineers	1,022	30	1,052
38231. Manufacture of machinery for wood-working	196	11	207	91020. Police	1,007	40	1,047
38232. Manufacture of machinery for metal-working	151	7	158	29011. Clay and gravel pits, also stone quarries	947	39	986
38233. Manufacture of foundry machinery and machines for rolling me	137	8	145	29012. Stone fishers (who fish up stones from sea bottom)	952	33	985

1

1

2