# **UC Merced**

# **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

The Role of Motion and Category Label in Preschoolers' Categorization of Animals

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2mt0f5sk

# **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 21(0)

#### **Authors**

Mak, Benise S.K. Vera, Alonso H.

## **Publication Date**

1999

Peer reviewed

#### The Role of Motion and Category Label in Preschoolers' Categorization of Animals

#### Benise S.K. Mak (h9313988@hkusua.hku.hk) Alonso H. Vera (vera@hkucc.hku.hk)

Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

children to determine category membership (Mak & Vera, in animal applied to the test animal (the donkey). press). Four-year-olds tended to categorize animals and geometric figures based on motion similarity rather than on appearance, motion, and category label to indicate category appearance similarity. For instance, they were more likely membership, we adopted a 2 (similar & different to judge a donkey and an antelope, rather than a donkey and appearance) x 2 (same & different motion) x 2 (same & a horse, as sharing a given property when they were shown different label) x 3 (3-, 4- & 5-year-olds) between-subject to jump in the same way. Recent studies by Gelman and her factorial design. colleagues have found that 2-year-old children are able to make inferences about natural kinds (e.g., Gelman & Coley, membership. features, motion or category label, is more important.

although preschool children are able to group objects by category name, their knowledge about categories is rather shallow and, at times, may simply be limited to perceptual group a plate with a teapot, instead of a clock, because they dynamic perceptual cues, such as motion.

Motion information, among other perceptual cues, has relatively irrelevant (Mak & Vera, in press). vervets, may also be initially guided by motion to categorize the general literature on children's categorization.

This study, therefore, was an attempt to determine preschool children's development in the use of motion and category label as well as static appearance to draw inferences about the category membership of animals. We expected that preschool children, particularly 3- and 4-yearolds who have been shown to have limited knowledge about categories, would be more likely to use motion cues over category labels to draw inferences about the category membership of animals.

An inductive methodology was used to test four hundred and eighty children, between 3 and 5 years old. In the experiment, children were required to consider two pairs of animals, each of which consisted of a target and a test (e.g., a horse and a donkey). We showed children one pair of animals at a time. They were first taught a new property

Dynamic perceptual cues have recently been found to be (e.g., having good vision) about the target animal (the horse) more important than static perceptual cues for young and were then asked to infer if the property of the target

To determine children's development in the use of

Results showed that 3-year-old children tended to ignore override perceptual similarity and use category label to category label and use motion to indicate category The data also showed a motion-label 1990). Since motion and category label have individually developmental shift in children's use of these features. been demonstrated to have an overriding role in children's Three-year-olds used motion to make judgments more often categorization, the question then becomes which type of than 4- and 5-year-olds, whereas 4- and 5-year-olds used label more often than 3-year-olds. All of these effects were Research by Barbara Tversky (1985) has shown that statistically significant at the .01 level. This clearly provides support for our main hypothesis: Children are initially guided by motion in object categorization.

Although Gelman et al.'s and our studies have information. For instance, 3- and 4-year-olds tended to consistently shown that static perceptual appearance may very well be the least important feature for children to were white or they shared similar round shapes, rather than indicate category membership, it is important to note that because they were tableware. This seems to suggest that appearance is not at all irrelevant. There are some instances category name is less effective than perceptual information in which it does play a part. For example, appearance can as a cue for children to make categorical choice. Although help 2-year-old children to determine category membership category labels have been shown to be more important than when no label is given (Gelman & Coley, 1990). It can also static perceptual cues, it may not be able to override help 7-year-olds and adults to make categorical judgments about geometric figures when motion cues become been demonstrated to be important not only in children's significance of appearance is also found in adult vervets' object categorization (Mak & Vera, in press) but also in martial eagle (a dangerous predator) alarms. Adult monkeys some animals' categorization of potential predators (Evans sometimes make martial eagle alarms mistakenly in & Marler, 1995). Infant vervets (a species of small gray response to other species that share not only the general African monkey) were found to use motion exclusively to silhouette but also aspects of the ventral marking with make alarm calls, for example, giving eagle alarms not only martial eagles (Evans & Marler, 1995). These results are to eagles but also to various other birds in motion, and even not intended to minimize the role of appearance but rather to to leaves falling from trees. Young children, like infant stress the role of motion that has been given short shrift in

#### References

Evans, C. S., & Marler, P. (1995). Language and animal communication: Parallels and constrasts. In H. L. Roitblat & J. A. Meyer (Eds.), Comparative Approaches to Cognitive Science, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gelman, S. A., & Coley, J. D. (1990). The importance of knowing a dodo is a bird: Categories and inferences in 2year-old children. Developmental Psychology, 26 (5), 796-

Mak, B. S. K., & Vera, A. H. (in press). The role of motion in children's categorization of objects. Cognition.

Tversky, B. (1985). Development of taxonomic organization of named and pictured categories. Developmental Psychology, 21(6), 1111-1119.