UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Next-generation mapping: a novel approach for detection of pathogenic structural variants with a potential utility in clinical diagnosis

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2m24k017>

Journal Genome Medicine, 9(1)

ISSN

1756-994X

Authors

Barseghyan, Hayk Tang, Wilson Wang, Richard T [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2m24k017#author)

Publication Date

2017-12-01

DOI

10.1186/s13073-017-0479-0

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH CHINESE ARCH CHINESE ARCHITECT AND INCLUSIVE ARCHITECT ARC

Next-generation mapping: a novel approach for detection of pathogenic structural variants with a potential utility in clinical diagnosis

Hayk Barseghyan^{1,4}, Wilson Tang¹, Richard T. Wang¹, Miguel Almalvez^{1,4}, Eva Segura¹, Matthew S. Bramble^{1,4}, Allen Lipson¹, Emilie D. Douine¹, Hane Lee³, Emmanuèle C. Délot^{1,2,4}, Stanley F. Nelson^{1,3†} and Eric Vilain^{1,2,4*†}

Abstract

Background: Massively parallel DNA sequencing, such as exome sequencing, has become a routine clinical procedure to identify pathogenic variants responsible for a patient's phenotype. Exome sequencing has the capability of reliably identifying inherited and de novo single-nucleotide variants, small insertions, and deletions. However, due to the use of 100–300-bp fragment reads, this platform is not well powered to sensitively identify moderate to large structural variants (SV), such as insertions, deletions, inversions, and translocations.

Methods: To overcome these limitations, we used next-generation mapping (NGM) to image high molecular weight double-stranded DNA molecules (megabase size) with fluorescent tags in nanochannel arrays for de novo genome assembly. We investigated the capacity of this NGM platform to identify pathogenic SV in a series of patients diagnosed with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), due to large deletions, insertion, and inversion involving the DMD gene.

Results: We identified deletion, duplication, and inversion breakpoints within DMD. The sizes of deletions were in the range of 45–250 Kbp, whereas the one identified insertion was approximately 13 Kbp in size. This method refined the location of the break points within introns for cases with deletions compared to current polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based clinical techniques. Heterozygous SV were detected in the known carrier mothers of the DMD patients, demonstrating the ability of the method to ascertain carrier status for large SV. The method was also able to identify a 5.1-Mbp inversion involving the DMD gene, previously identified by RNA sequencing.

Conclusions: We showed the ability of NGM technology to detect pathogenic structural variants otherwise missed by PCR-based techniques or chromosomal microarrays. NGM is poised to become a new tool in the clinical genetic diagnostic strategy and research due to its ability to sensitively identify large genomic variations.

Keywords: Next-generation mapping, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, Bionano, Structural variants, DMD, Optical mapping, Nanochannel

University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ² Department of Pediatrics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of

California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver [\(http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: evilain@childrensnational.org †

Equal contributors

¹ Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine,

Background

Although Sanger sequencing is still widely used to sequence fragments of > 1 Kbp in length, massively parallel sequencing has emerged and now dominates the global market for sequencing due to its comprehensiveness, supported by increasingly cheap price and fast turnaround times. This is, in part, due to the improvements made in imaging, microengineering, and informatics techniques that enable acquisition of larger amounts of clean data from next-generation sequencing (NGS). This has allowed for an ever-expanding compendium of pathogenic single-nucleotide mutations for rare Mendelian genetic diseases (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man). In the last several years, exome sequencing has successfully entered the clinical realm; however, only about 30% of cases are solved currently, implying that much of the genetic variation remains undetected [\[1, 2\]](#page-11-0).

Unlike exome sequencing, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) is capable of identifying single nucleotide variants (SNVs), insertions/deletions, and copy number variants not only in exons, but also in non-coding regions of the genome. This allows for identification of variants affecting gene regulation, which currently has limited clinical use due to inability to interpret most variants' effect on the open reading frame of potential disease genes. In addition, structural variation detection using WGS data presents challenges in highly repetitive genomic regions.

The major platform for NGS utilizes flowcells covered with millions of surface-bound oligonucleotides that allow parallel sequencing of hundreds of millions of independent short reads (100–300 bp) randomly selected from the human genome. The resulting reads oversample the diploid genome and are typically aligned to a reference genome for variant discovery. As the average library fragment size is 300–400 bp in length, structural variants (SV) can be challenging to observe. This is evident from the large number of SV calling programs. There are more than 40 programs designed to call SV using different approaches such as read-depth, read-pair, split-read methods, or combined [[3](#page-11-0)] with each method having limitation and thus resulting in not one tool being able to survey all SVs. Number of SVs detected, false discovery rate, and sensitivity rate are estimated to range widely with low concordance rate even for the most commonly used programs [\[4, 5\]](#page-11-0). While short-read WGS can identify SV when the quality of read mapping is high, there are regions of the genome where SV breakpoints reside within repetitive sequences that are difficult to uniquely map. In clinical practice, it remains that the most common method for detecting large insertions or deletions is currently chromosomal microarrays (CMA). However, CMAs cannot detect balanced translocations or inversions and miss many genomic events < 30 Kbp.

A method that is useful in conjunction with WGS is genome mapping, which utilizes high molecular weight DNA labeled at specific sequence sites that accurately represent much larger fragments of the genome. These very long Mb-size fragments allow for the construction of scaffolds for sequence assembly into the two haploid genomes of an individual, facilitating direct examination of larger structural variants that would be difficult to observe with shortread sequencing methods [\[6\]](#page-11-0). As it creates a more complete map of an individual's diploid genome, next-generation mapping (NGM) allows detection of translocation and inversion breakpoints, large insertions and deletions in the genome, and more complex SV.

However, NGM is an emerging tool that still needs to prove its value within the clinical genetic diagnostic practice. Bionano Genomics has developed a platform for NGM that images very long double-stranded fragments of DNA (dsDNA) nicked at specific sites to enable fluorescent tagging. The fluorescent tags are read efficiently by molecular combing within nanochannels. NGM has already been used for de novo assemblies of newly sequenced genomes and demonstrated that it can facilitate accurate construction of the whole genomes of individual species and for diploid human individuals [\[7](#page-11-0)]. The potential of this technology to sensitively identify SV may offer substantial advantages over current clinical diagnostic practice. However, due to its novelty and unproven track record in clinic, we sought to validate the ability of NGM to observe large SV in a cohort of patients diagnosed with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). DMD is an X-linked recessive muscular dystrophy that affects about one in 5000 male newborns. It is characterized by progressive loss of skeletal muscle function, heart failure, and pulmonary failure. The disease is caused by mutations in DMD, which encodes the dystrophin protein at Xp21. The 2.5-Mbp DMD gene, the largest gene in humans, is transcribed to a 14-Kbp mRNA with 79 exons. The *DMD* gene is one of the most common targets of de novo and consequential mutation in the genome. A study of over 7000 mutations in DMD showed that 86% of all mutations were large deletions of ≥1 exon [[8\]](#page-11-0). Here, we selected DMD patients referred to the UCLA Center for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. All of the probands in our cohort were known to carry multiexonic deletion or insertion mutations in DMD or, in one case, a large inversion that disrupted the DMD open reading frame (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). We sought to determine if NGM was capable of identifying these large structural variants present in DMD probands as well as identify the carrier status in the mothers.

Methods

We used the nanochannel-based NGM technology developed by Bionano Genomics to assemble a physical map of the human genome for identification of large insertions, deletions, translocations, and inversions.

Sample ID	Identifier	Detection method	SV identified	SV size (bp) by NGM	Coverage by NGM
CDMD1003	Proband	PCR	Hemizygous deletion (exons 46-51)	$-182,665$	72x
CDMD1155	Proband	MLPA	Hemizygous deletion (exons 48-54)	$-224,364$	104x
CDMD1156	Proband	MLPA	Hemizygous deletion (exons 49-50)	$-59,771$	74x
CDMD1159	Proband	MLPA	Hemizygous deletion (exon 52)	$-45,839$	90x
CDMD1131	Proband	PCR, MLPA	Hemizygous deletion (exons 45-partial 51)	$-250,092$	118x
CDMD1132	Mother	aCGH	Heterozygous deletion (exons 45-51 [carrier])	$-249,994$	96x
CDMD1157	Proband	MLPA	Hemizygous deletion (exons 46-51)	$-184,882$	85x
CDMD1158	Mother	N/A	Unknown before NGM; Not a carrier of exons 46-51 deletion	N/A	80x
CDMD1163	Proband	aCGH	Hemizygous duplication (exons 3-4)	$+12,968$	87x
CDMD1164	Mother	N/A	Unknown before NGM; carrier of exons 3–4 duplication	$+12,857$	158x
CDMD1187	Proband	PCR, MLPA, RNA-seg, WGS	Hemizygous inversion (exons 38-end)	5.1 Mb	90x

Table 1 Cohort of patients diagnosed with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD)

Cases with SV in DMD are shown. The "detection method" column describes methods used to identify affected exons of DMD. The "+" and "-" signs in the "SV size (bp) by NGM" column represent gain or loss of DNA material, respectively. The last column describes the effective genome coverage (defined as the total amount of the data produced in base pairs divided by the genome size [3.2 Gbp in the case of humans] and multiplied by molecule-to-reference map rate (typical range 55–85%).

PCR polymerase chain reaction, RNA-seq RNA sequencing, WGS whole-genome sequencing, MLPA multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification, aCGH microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization

High molecular weight DNA isolation

High molecular weight DNA was extracted both from fresh (5 days old) and frozen (-80 °C) whole blood. DNA extraction was performed following the manufacturer's guidelines (PlugLysis, Bionano Genomics, USA). RBC lysis solution (Qiagen) was used to lyse red blood cells and pellet white blood cells. The white blood cells were re-suspended in cell suspension buffer (Bio-Rad) and embedded into agarose plugs (CHEF Genomic DNA Plug Kit, Bio-Rad) to lessen fragmentation of long DNA molecules during the overnight lysis at 50 °C using a 16:1 ratio of lysis buffer (Bionano Genomics, USA) and Puregene Proteinase K (Qiagen). The plugs were washed with Tris-EDTA buffer and digested at 43 °C with GELase (Epicentre). Extracted high molecular weight DNA was purified from digested materials/enzymes via drop dialysis using Millipore membrane filters (EMD Millipore, USA) placed on Tris-EDTA buffer. DNA quantifications were carried out using Qubit dsDNA assay kits with a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific).

DNA labeling/chip loading

DNA labeling consists of four sequential steps (Fig. 1) and was performed using the IrysPrep Reagent Kit (Bionano Genomics). Depending on the amount of coverage needed and the type of chip used, 300/600/900 ng of purified high molecular weight DNA was nicked with nicking endonucleases Nt.BspQI or Nb.BssSI (New England BioLabs/Bionano Genomics) in 10X Buffer 3 (Bionano Genomics) at 37 °C for 2 h. The nicked DNA was then labeled with 10X Labeling Mix containing fluorophore-labeled nucleotides using Taq polymerase (NEB) at 72 °C for 1 h before being

repaired with Taq ligase (NEB) and IrysPrep Repair Mix, NAD+, and 10X Thermopol buffer at 37 °C for 30 min. DNA backbone was stained for visualization and size identification with IrysPrep DNA stain, 5X DTT, and 4X flow buffer overnight at 4 °C (Bionano Genomics). Labeled DNA was loaded on Irys chip and run for 24 h (Fig. 2). In the chip, the sample is run through a low-voltage electric field. DNA is first concentrated in a gradient region (lip) before being pushed through a pillar region, needed for DNA linearization before entering the nanochannel arrays. The fluorescently labeled DNA molecules are imaged sequentially across nanochannels by the Irys/Saphyr instrument producing thousands of high-resolution images of individual DNA molecules that are then used for genome assembly and variant calling. To achieve the necessary effective coverage of 70x (determined sufficient by Bionano internal validations) for accurate identification of structural variants, 4–6 Irys chips were run per endonuclease, each at 30 cycles. One Saphyr chip was sufficient to generate needed coverage for two enzymes (achieved in 30 cycles).

De novo assembly

Genome assembly was performed using IrysView/Irys-Solve software solutions provided by Bionano Genomics. The raw TIFF images of labeled long DNA molecules were converted to BNX files containing DNA backbone, nicked sites, and quality score information for each molecule/label. The conversion was accomplished via Auto-Detect software (Bionano Genomics). Due to the large size of the raw data that is acquired in the form of TIFF images, we opted to store only BNX files. Assembly of the genome using BNX files and further structural variation detection was performed using pipelines generated by Bionano Genomics [\[9](#page-11-0)]. De novo assembly was performed using Bionano's custom assembler software program based on the Overlap-Layout-Consensus paradigm (binary tools version 6119 and assembly pipeline version 6005). Pairwise comparison of all DNA molecules was done to create a layout overlap graph, which was then used to create the initial

consensus genome maps. By realigning molecules to the genome maps (Refine-B P value 10^{-11}) and by using only the best match molecules, label positions were refined and chimeric joins were removed. Next, during an extension step, the software aligned molecules to genome maps (Extension P value 10^{-11}), and extended the maps based on the molecules aligning past the map ends. Overlapping genome maps were then merged using a Merge P value cutoff of 10–15. These extension-and-merge steps were repeated five times before a final refinement was applied to all genome maps (Refine Final P value 10^{-11}).

During the extension step, the software identified clusters of molecules that aligned to genome maps with end alignment gaps of size > 30 Kbp (i.e. > 30 Kbp of one side of the molecules did not align), selected out these molecules and re-assembled them. In addition, the final refinement step searched for clusters of molecules aligned to genome maps with internal alignment gap of size < 50 Kbp, in which case the genome maps were converted into two haplotype maps. The extendand-split function is essential to identify large allelic differences and to assemble across loci with segmental duplications, whereas the refinement haplotype function can find smaller differences.

Structural variant calling

SV were called based on the alignment profiles between the de novo assembled genome maps against the public Genome Reference Consortium GRCh37 human assembly. If the assembled map did not align contiguously to the reference, but instead was broken into two alignments, then a putative structural variation was identified. We required an alignment cutoff of P value $< 10^{-12}$ to identify the best-aligned locations. Significant discrepancies in the distance between adjacent labels or the number of unaligned labels between adjacent aligned labels (outlier P value 3×10^{-3}) indicated the presence of an insertion (defined as a gain of genetic material in a form of duplications, triplications, amplifications, etc.) or a

deletion (defined as a loss of genetic material). For small gain-of-material events, there may not be enough nick sites to identify the genomic origin of the abnormal material. Hence, almost all smaller events with gain of genetic material are called insertions. Genome maps whose alignments were in opposite orientations on the same chromosome indicated the presence of inversion breakpoints. Maps aligning to different chromosomes or aligning over 5 Mbp apart on the same chromosome suggested inter-chromosomal and intra-chromosomal translocations, respectively.

Validation of SV via quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)

Validation of a newly identified insertion was performed using qPCR. The primer sequences used are detailed in (Additional file [1:](#page-10-0) Table S1). Primers were designed using primer design software Primer3 [\[10](#page-11-0)]. DNA was quantified using QuBit HS (Invitrogen) for dsDNA and a total of 2 ng of DNA was used per sample for qPCR reaction. qPCR was carried out in quadruplicates and duplicates using Syber Green-based SensiFAST™ SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline, UK) by DNA Engine Opticon® 2 real-time PCR detection system from Bio-Rad Laboratories (BioRad, USA). Reaction conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 10 min, then 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 15 s.

Results

We performed NGM on a cohort of eight affected DMD individuals (six with deletions, one with an insertion, and one with an inversion) and three biological mothers, one of whom was a known carrier of a pathogenic deletion in DMD (Table [1](#page-3-0)). Long DNA molecule representation throughout the genome was present at all known regions except at centromeres, acrocentric chromosomes, and long arm of the Y chromosome due to lack of presence of unique sequences (Fig. 3). Genetic diagnosis of DMD is most often achieved by PCR and multiplex ligationdependent probe amplification (MLPA) of all 79 exons of DMD. Exonic sequence mutation analysis utilizes Sanger sequencing of PCR amplicons generated from each of the 79 exons, whereas MLPA uses probe hybridization and amplification to assay for deletions or duplications in the gene. In our DMD cohort, five singleton cases received a clinical diagnosis based on either PCR or MLPA. This type of commonly used deletion/duplication analysis of DMD does not provide an accurate positioning of the intronic breakpoints or the size of DNA that is deleted or inserted, only indicating the exons that are affected. Unlike MLPA, NGM technology is potentially capable of more accurately identifying the location of intronic breakpoints in the gene, which may become important as gene editing strategies emerge for DMD [[11](#page-11-0)]. Using NGM we identified all previously known structural variants in the DMD cohort (Table [1](#page-3-0)). In addition, the method is capable of identifying both single (CDMD1159) and multiple exon deletions (e.g. CDMD1003; Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). The resolution of the breakpoints is limited to endonuclease nicking site density in a given region; higher density provides more accurate estimates. With a single enzyme the resolution of DNA breakpoints is in the range of 5–10 Kbp in size; however, it is possible to gain higher accuracy with the use of a second endonuclease, decreasing the uncertainty of breakpoint location from 5–10 Kbp to 1.5–3 Kbp [\[9](#page-11-0)].

We then tested whether NGM was capable of identifying heterozygous deletion/insertion status in the carrier mothers of DMD patients. We performed NGM on three DMD duos (proband and mother) to determine if the SV identified in the child was observed in the mother. CDMD1131, a proband, had a large pathogenic deletion in DMD spanning exons 45–51 (exon 51 partially present) that had been identified clinically by MLPA. The mother (CDMD1132) of this patient is heterozygous for this deletion as determined by chromosomal microarray. NGM testing of this duo confirmed

the previous diagnosis of the proband (Fig. [5a\)](#page-7-0) and the carrier status of the mother (Fig. [5b](#page-7-0)). In Fig. [5 a](#page-7-0) and [b](#page-7-0) we can see that there are no adjacent flanking nicking sites close to exon 51 making it difficult to identify that part of the exon 51 is present as reported by MLPA. This is a major limitation of the method where the actual breakpoint could be between the two adjacent nicking sites to either side of exon 51.

Proband CDMD1157 was also diagnosed clinically with a DMD deletion spanning exons 46–51; however, the carrier status of the mother (CDMD1158) was unknown. NGM identified a 185-Kbp deletion containing exons 46–51 in the proband (Fig. [6a\)](#page-8-0) confirming the clinical diagnosis. NGM also showed that the mother was not a carrier of the same deletion or other SV in the DMD gene (Fig. [6b](#page-8-0)) indicating that this mutation occurred de novo in CDMD1157.

Proband CDMD1163 was diagnosed clinically with a duplication of exons 3–4 by chromosomal microarray and the status of the duplication in the mother (CDMD1164) was unknown. NGM identified a 12.9-Kbp insertion in the proband (CDMD1163) that included exons 3–4 of the DMD gene, that was also present in a heterozygous state in the mother (CDMD1164) (Fig. [7 a, b](#page-9-0)). Since the carrier status of the mother had not been determined clinically, we validated the NGM findings using qPCR (Additional file [2](#page-10-0): Figure S1).

One of the defining features of Bionano's NGM system is its capability to identify inversions, which cannot be detected with chromosomal microarrays. One of the patients in our DMD cohort (CDMD1187) had been clinically diagnosed with DMD by muscle biopsy, but neither MLPA, PCR sequencing of all 79 exons, nor exome sequencing revealed pathogenic mutations. In a parallel effort to the

containing the deletion shown below the reference (blue) map. Maps were generated using Nt.BspQI nicking endonuclease

NGM work reported here, WGS revealed a large 5.1-Mbp inversion in intron 38 of DMD that disrupted the RNA splicing starting from exon 38, confirmed by transcriptome sequencing (data not shown). Because WGS was performed as part of a research study, appropriate PCR primers for this unique mutation were developed within the UCLA Orphan Disease Testing Center to allow a simple PCR/sequencing diagnostic useful for detecting carrier status for patient's first degree female relatives. This sample provided an opportunity to assess the ability of NGM to robustly identify inversions. We performed NGM using the Saphyr instrument with two nicking endonucleases (Nt.BspQI and Nb.BssSI) generating 114x and 66x effective coverage, respectively. NGM identified the 5.1-Mbp inversion with breakpoints mapped at high confidence within 3– 7 Kbp of the exact breakpoint determined by WGS (Fig. [8](#page-9-0)).

Here, we demonstrate that NGM can identify SVs in the DMD gene in both hemizygous and heterozygous states in size ranges of 13 Kbp to 5.1 Mbp. However, in considering how the method may be applied to the diagnosis of rare genetic diseases, it is important to recognize that each genome studied here had many other SV outside of the DMD locus (data not shown). Some of the other SVs are likely due to errors in the common reference of

the genome, false positives, or are too common to be classified as causal for rare Mendelian diseases. We suggest that future studies utilizing NGM for identification of novel structural variants involved in disease filter variants using the Database of Genomic Variants (DGV) or other type of repository of SV for maximal removal of common, likely benign structural variants.

Discussion

For many years in genetic diagnostics, the primary focus has been on SNVs using Sanger sequencing. With the advent and clinical implementation of exome sequencing, the rate of diagnosis has significantly increased. However, only about 30% of all cases referred for clinical exome sequencing result in a clear molecular diagnosis [[1](#page-11-0), [2\]](#page-11-0). It is likely that a substantial portion of these missed diagnoses is due to the fact that the vast majority of the human genome is not observed when performing exome sequencing. While point mutations in intergenic and intronic regions can result in disruption of expression or reading frame of a given mRNA, SV are an important category of variation insufficiently observed from current clinical testing. WGS of short

fragments in the range of 300–400 bp can sensitively reveal intergenic and intronic SNVs and small INDELs, but in regions of the genome that are duplicated or with higher order repeats, the mapping of reads prevents discovery of SV. These limitations can be overcome by NGM, which identifies large structural variants in human genomes with high confidence.

The sizes of the insertions and deletions that can be identified using NGM are dependent upon the length of the labeled DNA molecules and the frequency of nicking endonuclease recognition sites on the + and – strands of the genome. If nick sites on complementary strands are too close, both strands of DNA are nicked, which could result in a dsDNA break and compromised mapping at that location. If long DNA molecules are broken during purification or nicking, the effective haplotyping is also compromised. Both of these issues may be improved by slower DNA mixing techniques that preserve DNA integrity and reduce the likelihood of dsDNA breakage during nick extension and dsDNA labeling. The DNA purification protocol currently implemented requires sample preparation in agarose, allowing for less physical shearing to

preserve the length of DNA molecules. As shown in the mapping of CDMD1187, a second endonuclease can improve breakpoint resolution and provide a more uniform genome coverage due to the presence of additional restriction sites. Here, we used two endonucleases (Nt.BspQI and Nb.BssSI) for the CDMD1187 sample, which allowed better genome coverage and more specific breakpoint resolution. Improvements in the sizing of the linearized DNA fragments in nanochannels may also improve resolution.

NGM has the capacity to replace both MLPA and chromosomal microarrays in the clinical setting. It provides a number of key advantages. Compared to MLPA, it is genome-wide and provides both the order and orientation of structural variants. Compared to chromosomal microarray, in addition to duplications, deletions, and translocations that result in DNA material loss or addition, NGM detects balanced events, such as inversions and balanced translocations as well as much smaller kb-size SV. With regard to NGS with base-pair resolution, NGM provides higher sensitivity for large structural variants with better false-positive and false-negative rates [\[4, 5, 9](#page-11-0)]. The current turnaround time for a single sample with dual endonuclease genome assembly is approximately 1–2 weeks, which is well within the time frame of most other clinical genetic tests. Associated costs are comparable to the current costs of WGS.

Long-read technologies, such as the one demonstrated here, offer a more complete representation of a given human diploid genome that complements and augments data from short-read technology. NGM technology has the promise to observe transposon-mediated pathogenic mutations, even though transposons are highly repetitive in the human genome. Further, much of the known SVs can be mediated through local sequence homology between repetitive portions of the genome. Thus, observing these SV by long reads should provide greater resolution of SV throughout the genome. The interpretation of pathogenicity of non-coding variants will present challenges; however, with larger databases of SV and the concomitant effect on gene expression, the scientific community will be able to solve a larger fraction of undiagnosed genetic diseases. While we could sensitively detect the DMD mutations here, more broad usage may require better tools to determine variant pathogenicity in unknown genes. Next steps are to use this technology in cases where the location of the pathogenic variants is not known and attempt to identify them in a variety of disease types.

Conclusions

We used a cohort of patients diagnosed with DMD with known structural variants in the DMD gene to validate the capability of the NGM platform to accurately identify large deletions, insertions, and inversions in the hemizygous and heterozygous states. We have had a 100% concordance rate with clinical tests in this small cohort using NGM, indicating the clinical utility of the method. NGM promises to help further our understanding of gene regulatory elements in the genome and of how SNV and SV in these regions may affect gene regulation.

Additional files

[Additional file 1: Table S1.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-017-0479-0) Primers used for qPCR validations of structural variants. List of primer sequences and corresponding annealing temperatures. Upper case letters indicate that the primer sequence is within the exon. (PDF 40 kb)

[Additional file 2: Figure S1.](dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-017-0479-0) DMD exons 3-4 duplication validation in the CDMD1163 case. Exons 2 and 5 are present in single copies on the X chromosome in the proband (light green). The mother (CDMD1164), who has 2 X chromosomes, has 2x more DNA (dark green) indicated by fold change (FC). Exons 3 and 4 are duplicated in the proband and the mother is a carrier - DNA amount in proband (2 copies) is approximately 1.5x lower than in mother (3 copies) consistent with a duplication being present in both. (PDF 153 kb)

Abbreviations

DMD: Duchenne muscular dystrophy; dsDNA: Double-stranded DNA; INDEL: Small insertions and deletions; MLPA: Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; NGM: Next-generation mapping; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; SNV: Single nucleotide variant; SV: Structural variants; WGS: Wholegenome sequencing

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the patients and families who contributed samples to this project and consented to have their data shared. We would also like to thank Sven Bocklandt, Alex Hastie, Andy Pang, Joyce Lee, and the Bionano Genomics technical support team.

Funding

This research was partially supported by NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) UCLA CTSI Grant Number UL1TR001881 and the Pilot and Feasibility award from the Center for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy P30AR057230.

Availability of data and materials

Data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article. The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are also available from the corresponding author on a reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

HB designed the study, acquired, analyzed and interpreted the data, and prepared the manuscript. WT, RTW, MA, ES, MSB, AL, HL, and ED acquired, analyzed, and interpreted data. ECD contributed to manuscript preparation. AL aided in visualizations of structural variants, figures, and tables. EDD recruited study participants. SFN and EV provided senior oversight for design, analysis, interpretation, and manuscript preparation. All authors indicated the approval for publication.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Research involving human participants, human material, and human data have been performed in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki declaration and approved protocols by the UCLA Institutional Review Board: Genetic Modifiers of Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophy (IRB#12-000769); Molecular Genetics of Degenerative Disease (IRB#11-001087). Informed consents were obtained during patients' visits to the Pediatric Neuromuscular Clinic.

Consent for publication

Participants have consented by approved IRB protocols to share their de-identified information.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ²Department of Pediatrics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ³Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ⁴Center for Genetic Medicine Research, Children's National Health System, Children's Research Institute, Washington, DC 20010, USA.

Received: 21 March 2017 Accepted: 10 October 2017 Published online: 25 October 2017

References

- 1. Lee H, Deignan JL, Dorrani N, Strom SP, Kantarci S, Quintero-Rivera F, et al. Clinical exome sequencing for genetic identification of rare Mendelian disorders. JAMA. 2014;312(18):1880–7.
- 2. Yang Y, Muzny DM, Reid JG, Bainbridge MN, Willis A, Ward PA, et al. Clinical whole-exome sequencing for the diagnosis of mendelian disorders. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(16):1502–11.
- 3. Tattini L, D'Aurizio R, Magi A. Detection of genomic structural variants from next-generation sequencing data. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2015;3:92.
- 4. Mills RE, Walter K, Stewart C, Handsaker RE, Chen K, Alkan C, et al. Mapping copy number variation by population-scale genome sequencing. Nature. 2011;470(7332):59–65.
- 5. English AC, Salerno WJ, Hampton OA, Gonzaga-Jauregui C, Ambreth S, Ritter DI, et al. Assessing structural variation in a personal genome-towards a human reference diploid genome. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:286.
- 6. Lam ET, Hastie A, Lin C, Ehrlich D, Das SK, Austin MD, et al. Genome mapping on nanochannel arrays for structural variation analysis and sequence assembly. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30(8):771–6.
- 7. Seo JS, Rhie A, Kim J, Lee S, Sohn MH, Kim CU, et al. De novo assembly and phasing of a Korean human genome. Nature. 2016;538(7624):243–7.
- Bladen CL, Salgado D, Monges S, Foncuberta ME, Kekou K, Kosma K, et al. The TREAT-NMD DMD Global Database: analysis of more than 7,000 Duchenne muscular dystrophy mutations. Hum Mutat. 2015;36(4):395–402.
- 9. Hastie AR, Lam ET, Pang AWC, Zhang LX, Andrews W, Lee J, et al. Rapid automated large structural variation detection in a diploid genome by nanochannel based next-generation mapping. bioRxiv 2017. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1101/102764) [10.1101/102764](https://doi.org/10.1101/102764).
- 10. Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, et al. Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(15):e115.
- 11. Young CS, Hicks MR, Ermolova NV, Nakano H, Jan M, Younesi S, et al. A single CRISPR-Cas9 deletion strategy that targets the majority of DMD patients restores dystrophin function in hiPSC-derived muscle cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2016;18(4):533–40.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- **•** We accept pre-submission inquiries
- **•** Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- **•** Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- **•** Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

