UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Responding to the workforce crisis: consensus recommendations from the Second Workforce Summit of the American Society of Pediatric Nephrology.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2jb1k42w

Journal

Pediatric Nephrology, 39(12)

Authors

Soranno, Danielle Amaral, Sandra Ashoor, Isa et al.

Publication Date

2024-12-01

DOI

10.1007/s00467-024-06410-9

Peer reviewed

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS



Responding to the workforce crisis: consensus recommendations from the Second Workforce Summit of the American Society of Pediatric Nephrology

Danielle E. Soranno^{1,2} Sandra Amaral³ · Isa Ashoor⁴ · Meredith A. Atkinson⁵ · Gina-Marie Barletta⁶ · Michael C. Braun⁷ · Joann Carlson⁸ · Caitlin Carter⁹ · Annabelle Chua¹⁰ · Vikas R. Dharnidharka¹¹ · Keri Drake¹² · Elif Erkan¹³ · Dan Feig¹⁴ · Stuart L. Goldstein¹³ · David Hains¹ · Lyndsay A. Harshman¹⁵ · Elizabeth Ingulli⁹ · Alexander J. Kula¹⁶ · Mary Leonard¹⁷ · Sudha Mannemuddhu¹⁸ · Shina Menon¹⁷ · Zubin J. Modi¹⁹ · Marva Moxey-Mims²⁰ · Arwa Nada²¹ · Victoria Norwood²² · Michelle C. Starr¹ · Priya S. Verghese¹⁶ · Darcy Weidemann^{23,24} · Adam Weinstein²⁵ · Jodi Smith²⁶

Received: 4 March 2024 / Revised: 23 April 2024 / Accepted: 11 May 2024 / Published online: 8 July 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Importance Pediatric patients with complex medical problems benefit from pediatric sub-specialty care; however, a significant proportion of children live greater than 80 mi. away from pediatric sub-specialty care.

Objective To identify current knowledge gaps and outline concrete next steps to make progress on issues that have persistently challenged the pediatric nephrology workforce.

Evidence review Workforce Summit 2.0 employed the round table format and methodology for consensus building using adapted Delphi principles. Content domains were identified via input from the ASPN Workforce Committee, the ASPN's 2023 Strategic Plan survey, the ASPN's Pediatric Nephrology Division Directors survey, and ongoing feedback from ASPN members. Working groups met prior to the Summit to conduct an organized literature review and establish key questions to be addressed. The Summit was held in-person in November 2023. During the Summit, work groups presented their preliminary findings, and the at-large group developed the key action statements and future directions.

Findings A holistic appraisal of the effort required to cover inpatient and outpatient sub-specialty care will help define faculty effort and time distribution. Most pediatric nephrologists practice in academic settings, so work beyond clinical care including education, research, advocacy, and administrative/service tasks may form a substantial amount of a faculty member's time and effort. An academic relative value unit (RVU) may assist in creating a more inclusive assessment of their contributions to their academic practice. Pediatric sub-specialties, such as nephrology, contribute to the clinical mission and care of their institutions beyond their direct billable RVUs. Advocacy throughout the field of pediatrics is necessary in order for reimbursement of pediatric sub-specialist care to accurately reflect the time and effort required to address complex care needs. Flexible, individualized training pathways may improve recruitment into sub-specialty fields such as nephrology. **Conclusions and relevance** The workforce crisis facing the pediatric nephrology field is echoed throughout many pediatric sub-

specialties. Efforts to improve recruitment, retention, and reimbursement are necessary to improve the care delivered to pediatric patients.

 $\textbf{Keywords} \ \ Pediatric \ Nephrology \cdot Workforce \ crisis \cdot Pediatric \ sub-specialties \cdot Pay \ equity \cdot Reimbursement \ and \ salary \ benchmarks \cdot Academic \ RVUs$

Introduction

The American Society of Pediatric Nephrology (ASPN) is the leading voice of pediatric nephrology in North America. Its primary goal is to advance the care for children, adolescents, and

young adults with kidney disease through advocacy, education, research, and workforce development. Compelled by a persistent and growing pediatric nephrology workforce crisis, the ASPN convened a second Workforce Summit (Workforce Summit 2.0). The first Workforce Summit, held in 2019, demonstrated the urgent need for equitable reimbursement as well as recruitment and retention strategies to ensure a sustainable, robust,

Extended author information available on the last page of the article



and diverse pediatric nephrology workforce [1]. As a result, the ASPN has made concerted policy and advocacy efforts; however, the workforce crisis not only persists but has worsened in the past 4 years [1]. In the USA, pediatric nephrology fellowships are 3 years in duration, with approximately 1/3 of the time focused on clinical care and the remaining time focused on scholarly projects (e.g., basic science research, clinical research, and quality improvement projects). Upon completion of training, most nephrologists enter the academic workforce, and despite the emphasis on research and scholarly projects during their training, the majority of nephrologists spend the bulk of their time performing clinical care. Despite the length of training, which is equivalent to many other pediatric sub-specialty fellowships (e.g., intensive care, neonatology, and cardiology), the salary benchmarks of pediatric nephrologists, controlling for academic rank and geographic region, are lower than most other pediatric sub-specialties. Nephrologists, however, are not alone in this as several pediatric sub-specialties (e.g., infectious disease) earn lower salaries than general pediatricians [2].

Within this landscape, in 2023 46% of pediatric nephrology fellowship positions went unfilled, and pediatric nephrology positions remain the lowest filled of all pediatric subspecialties from 2014 to 2022 at only 65.7% final fill rate [3, 4]. A detailed projection of future workforce needs by the American Board of Pediatrics anticipates growing demand and widening geographic disparities in the pediatric nephrology workforce from 2020 to 2040 [5]. The objectives of the Summit were to identify current knowledge gaps and outline concrete next steps to make progress on issues that have persistently challenged the pediatric nephrology workforce.

The committee recognizes that other pediatric sub-specialties face similar challenges in workforce recruitment, retention, and reimbursement [2, 6, 7]. Children receive optimal care when they have access to providers who have been trained specifically to care for children, yet an estimated 2–53% of children live > 80 mi. away from pediatric subspecialty care [8–12]. Advocacy beyond one sub-speciality is not only warranted but essential in order to optimize the care that pediatric community provides to children [13]. The committee also recognizes that some of the workforce issues discussed herein are specific to the unique practice environment of the USA (e.g., reimbursement/salary); however, many of the issues are more broadly applicable to pediatric nephrologists practicing around the world (e.g., garnering institutional support and recruitment of trainees) [14].

Methods

The Workforce Summit 2.0 employed a round table format and methodology for consensus building using adapted Delphi principles [15, 16]. Content domains were identified via input from the ASPN's Workforce Committee, 2023 Strategic Plan

survey, Pediatric Nephrology Division Directors survey, and ongoing feedback from members. The organizing committee comprised the ASPN President and Workforce Committee Chair. In order to create the content domains and organize the working groups, the organizing committee collated the feedback and identified themes. Five themes were identified, including definition of full-time effort, non-billable work, obtaining institutional support for a robust pediatric nephrology service, salary equity, and recruitment and retention of the workforce. The key controversy was identified for each domain and turned into a question for the working group to answer. The organizers invited 28 faculty comprising diverse career types according to their topic-related expertise. Diverse interpersonal representation was also sought out, including considerations given to gender, age, race, ethnicity, and LGBTQ+status. Once assembled, faculty was separated into the five working groups to focus on the assigned question for their domain: (1) What is the definition of a 1.0 clinical fulltime equivalent (cFTE) in pediatric nephrology?, (2) Would the utilization of academic relative value units (RVUs) for non-billable work improve upon current metrics for pediatric nephrologists' work?, (3) What is the institutional value of a pediatric nephrology program?, (4) What does salary equity look like for pediatric nephrology?, and (5) What are the pathway considerations for growth of the pediatric nephrology workforce? Working groups met prior to the Summit via conference calls to conduct an organized literature review and establish key questions to be addressed. The Summit was held in-person in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania in November 2023. During the Summit, work groups presented their preliminary findings, and the at-large group developed the key action statements and future directions presented herein.

Results

Group 1: What is the definition of a 1.0 cFTE in pediatric nephrology?

Consensus statement 1a

Clinical full time equivalent (cFTE) includes all billable and non-billable activities related to providing high-quality clinical care for children with kidney disease.

Consensus statement 1b

Each pediatric nephrology program determines the appropriate makeup of inpatient and outpatient work that best suits their specific patient population and clinical mission and balances the priorities of providing safe and effective care with workforce equity and well-being.



Rationale The variability of the clinical work of pediatric nephrologists in different hospital systems renders it difficult to quantify and standardize cFTE using typical calculations (i.e., shifts and clinics) [17]. The work performed by a pediatric nephrologist may include procedural and cognitive components, inpatient coverage and outpatient clinics, and overnight call with potential for life-saving emergency procedures. In addition, the high medical complexity of pediatric nephrology patients requires multidisciplinary collaboration, attention to primary and preventive care designed to slow the progression of kidney disease, and frequent detailed patient and family conversations to ensure sufficient understanding of their child's disease. The 24-h call coverage entails significant after-hours physician input, often with provision of emergent dialysis which requires physician presence during treatment and decision-making about organ suitability for pediatric transplant candidates. The relative lack of compensation proportional to the perceived workload has been identified as an important root cause of the pediatric nephrology workforce crisis [1, 18, 19]. We recommend that a comprehensive analysis be performed to describe the time and effort required for a discrete block of clinical work that encompasses both inpatient and outpatient responsibilities. This analysis would holistically evaluate the work required for a standard 4-h half-day outpatient pediatric nephrology clinic. Specific measures for outpatient analysis would consist of time spent during direct, face-toface patient interaction, and the additional workload outside the exam room relevant to patient care, including clinic preparation time, order entry, post-clinic documentation, and laboratory/imaging management. A similar analysis can be performed for inpatient pediatric nephrology service coverage. Specific measures for inpatient analysis would include the time spent during direct, face-to-face patient interaction, documentation with laboratory/imaging management, handoff communication, and after-hours call burden including frequency of transplant organ offer calls for patients awaiting kidney transplantation, and emergent dialysis which requires physician presence during treatment. Data obtained from these analyses could be compared to adult nephrology to better understand the relative workload. A pilot study is also proposed using electronic health record (EHR) data analytics and self-report, as well as time-motion analysis, to collect data in granular detail. Additionally, we recommend collecting work data related to key clinical leadership and/or administrative roles (Dialysis Medical Director, Transplant Medical Director, Acute Care Nephrology Director, etc.) which should be included in the FTE description. The committee recognizes that the practice of pediatric nephrology varies broadly across institutions and regions. Important programmatic variables that can impact workload include the number of practicing nephrologists at the program, presence of fellows, residents, and/or advanced practice providers,

catchment area and population size, hospital volumes and case mix index, presence/availability of pediatric dialysis and kidney transplantation, local resources, and presence of multidisciplinary programs that require pediatric nephrology expertise (i.e., level 1 Trauma designation, solid organ and bone marrow transplant programs, high-risk obstetric delivery services and level 1 NICU, kidney transplant volume, and the size of the outpatient peritoneal and hemodialysis populations). Given the broad variability that can exist between programs, we caution against the use of benchmarking metrics to define clinical work [20]. In addition, an attempt to determine a universal 50th percentile RVU:cFTE benchmark may perpetuate a "race to the bottom" in which clinicians would be incentivized to spend less time per patient than their peers and may ultimately degrade the quality of care provided [20]. Instead, we propose that individual pediatric nephrology programs use available data to perform detailed and transparent internal work analysis specific to their program and clinical needs. A "one-minus" model could be utilized, in which basic principles describe time allocation to clinical, research, teaching, and administrative activities which sum up to 1.0 FTE [21]. This methodology could subsequently be used to create division-specific worksheets to determine cFTE components which are equitable, fair, and transparent. Example templates could be created by professional societies as "starting points" for small, medium, and large-sized programs through the use of the detailed time-work analyses while recognizing that adaptation of worksheets to fit the needs of the local environment is key to creating a sustainable model for all parties involved.

Group 2: Would the utilization of academic relative value units (RVUs) for non-billable work improve upon current metrics for pediatric nephrologists' work?

Consensus statement 2a

The effort pediatric nephrologists spend on academic nonrevenue generating pursuits including educational, research, and administrative activities can be quantified and factored into determining their available capacity for providing clinical care.

Consensus statement 2b

A standardized rubric to track achievements in non-clinical academic activities in the areas of education, research, quality improvement, administrative leadership, and division citizenship provides a fair and consistent approach to incentive compensation, if applicable.



Rationale Academic physicians routinely dedicate effort to non-revenue generating activities beyond direct patient care. For pediatric nephrologists who practice in academic medical centers, this is an implied expectation to advance core institutional missions including clinical, research, educational, and advocacy goals [22]. While patient care clinical activities are quantified by well-established Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) codes [23], compensation for academic pursuits varies [24]. Certain aspects of the pediatric nephrologist's academic endeavors may be linked to financial compensation and/or protected time. Those include governmental or foundational grant-supported research activities, Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)-accredited fellowship program director role [25] and dialysis medical director roles [26]. However, most academic activities are completed at the physician's own discretion including clinical research activities, mentoring, resident and medical student education, participation in quality improvement projects, and other division, hospital level, or organizational committee leadership roles. Failure to recognize the effort physicians invest into these nonclinical efforts risks physician burnout, job dissatisfaction, and subsequent attrition from the field [27]. While physician compensation models vary and are institution-specific, many may include an end of year incentive payment model that rewards physician clinical productivity [28, 29]. Failure to adapt incentive payment models to quantify and reward academic efforts and move away from purely clinical RVUbased metrics risks stifling academic innovation by shifting physician behavior to focus on clinical revenue-generating patient activities over academic endeavors [30].

Group 3: What is the institutional value of a pediatric nephrology program?

Consensus statement 3a

Pediatric nephrologists contribute to institutional financial margins in ways that are separate from work RVUs (wRVUs), thus the wRVU system undervalues the effort and indirect income generated by pediatric nephrologists.

Consensus statement 3b

Availability of a pediatric nephrologist is a prerequisite to many of the high-value medical services offered by institutions.

Consensus statement 3c

As we move towards quality- and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will play a critical role in the financial well-being of medical institutions.



Rationale Current wRVU metrics used to estimate the financial value of pediatric nephrologists to their institutions are flawed. The work of pediatric nephrologists, like many less procedurally oriented specialties, is undervalued by the wRVU system [18, 31]. While caring for their primary and consult patients, pediatric nephrologists generate orders and referrals for laboratory testing, medical imaging, surgical procedures, and sub-specialty consultation — none of which are captured by wRVU [18]. Pediatric nephrologists enable institutions to offer a diverse array of medical services. This is especially true for high-margin service lines such as neonatal intensive care, cardiac surgery, solid organ transplant, and oncology/bone marrow transplantation. Furthermore, the care of critically ill children has been incentivized for institutions due to these high-margin service lines. While pediatric nephrologists perform critical roles in the care of these patients (e.g., dialysis procedures or care after organ transplantation), the downstream revenue supports the primary services (critical care) much more than consulting services. Regulatory bodies, accreditation entities, society guidelines, and quality metrics such as the US News World Report rankings track the availability of pediatric nephrology services and kidney replacement therapy programs to determine designations for clinical services at the highest level of care. As more payers move to value-based reimbursement, the increase in cost-savings and efficiency provided by pediatric nephrologists should be recognized [32, 33]. Furthermore, institutions invested in pediatric care would benefit from a heightened awareness of the financial repercussions that may result if the shortage of pediatric nephrologists continues to worsen [1]. In the outpatient setting, the shortage of pediatric nephrologists has resulted in long travel distances for many patients to obtain pediatric nephrology care. This has led to an increase in outreach clinics to better serve the community; however, such clinics place a burden on the workforce in the form of significant travel time, time away from family, and working in clinic environments that may not be able to provide the same level of service as the main practice clinic (e.g., urine microscopy).

Group 4: What does salary equity look like for pediatric nephrology?

Consensus statement 4a

Compensation for pediatric nephrologists will represent the value of kidney care to their organization, including complexity of caring for patients across the spectrum of pediatric care. Further, compensation will reflect the value added by pediatric nephrologists in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrology expertise.

Consensus statement 4b

Reimbursement for provision of sub-specialty care to children with kidney disease will accurately reflect the time and effort required to address their complex, multi-system disease manifestations, such as growth, development, and nutritional needs. In such a system, RVU would be adjusted to reflect the complexity and demands of care. This alignment is critical to prevent physician burnout and sustain the workforce.

Rationale In the current fee-for-service system, care for children with kidney disease is neither sufficiently valued nor appropriately compensated [1]. The lower compensation for high workload contributes to decreasing trainee interest in pediatric nephrology [34, 35] and affects recruitment and retention of under-represented minorities and non-financially advantaged individuals. Compensation for pediatric nephrologists should be both representative of the value of pediatric kidney care to their organization and reflective of their sub-speciality training. The recent National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) Committee Report on the Pediatric Subspecialty Workforce and Its Impact on Child Health and Well-Being focused in part on the accurate reflection of the time and effort required to care for pediatric sub-specialty children [3]. Future iterations of payment systems and reimbursement should reduce financial disincentives to sub-specialty training and consider the unique value added of pediatric nephrologists both to individual patient care and health systems. For example, high revenue generating programs such as critical care, stem cell transplantation, and cardiothoracic surgery all rely on the expertise of pediatric nephrologists and dialytic therapies [36, 37]. Proposed solutions include increased pediatric representation on agencies that determine current procedural terminology coding and reimbursement. Payment structure should move away from targeting set national benchmarking metrics (often creating a self-perpetuating cycle) and instead focus on value added. This will require the deliberate action by pediatric department chairs, children's hospitals/health system chief executive officers, and medical college deans to meet the needed investment in increased compensation benchmarks for pediatric sub-specialties [2, 5]. Children with kidney disease present added complexity given their age and the importance of growth and development along with other complex care needs. In the USA, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services has recently recognized this complexity by providing enhanced reimbursement for pediatric chronic dialysis care through the use of a 30% add-on payment per treatment for pediatric dialysis patients [38]. Broadening this approach for other high-intensity pediatric kidney disease services (such as advanced pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease and acute dialysis in the inpatient setting) should be considered.

Group 5: What are the pathway considerations for growth of the pediatric nephrology workforce?

Consensus statement 5a

Stronger engagement of pediatric nephrologists with trainees throughout undergraduate medical education and during early pediatric residency may increase interest in a career in pediatric nephrology.

Consensus statement 5b

Flexibility in fellowship length and design with individualized pathways will encourage more residents to pursue pediatric nephrology, improve training experience, and potentially reduce the debt burden associated with the mandatory 3-year training.

Consensus statement 5c

Retention in the existing workforce may be improved by efforts of the ASPN towards incentivizing clinical and research work, improving work-life integration, and increasing remuneration.

Rationale Multiple factors influence a medical student's decision to choose pediatrics and a pediatric resident to choose pediatric nephrology, including exposure to the subject early on, perceived difficulty of the subject, having role models and mentors in pediatric nephrology, and consideration of lifestyle and earning potential [22, 39–41]. Pediatric nephrology divisions will benefit from dedicated faculty in the division who can intentionally work with trainees across all levels to improve exposure to the subject and provide positive role models for careers in the field. Pediatric sub-specialization is financially disincentivized for trainees, as pediatric sub-specialization both delays completion of training and decreases lifetime earning potential [42]. Notably, this is not the case with adult subspecialization [2, 43]. Additionally, the length of fellowship training and the rigid template requiring mandatory research and scholarly activity may be a deterrent for some trainees. The three-year training pathway also increases the debt burden of education and training, which combined with the relatively lower salaries leads to significant loss of earning potential [40, 44]. In a survey of almost 800



physicians in their second or third year of pediatric subspecialty fellowship in the USA in 2007, 52% (n = 390) would have chosen a 2-year fellowship with less research or scholarly activity [45]. More recently, in another survey by the American Association of Pediatrics, almost 1500 fellows responded in favor of reducing the training duration to less than 3 years, or having a shorter duration track for those who planned to pursue a clinical path, and a longer one for those pursuing research [46]. The NASEM Report recommended that the ACGME and American Board of Pediatrics develop and evaluate alternative fellowship training requirements and pathways, including a 2-year option for those who wish to pursue a clinically-focused career. ASPN, with its in-depth understanding of the challenges facing the pediatric nephrology workforce, needs to be a part of this restructuring [3]. Longitudinal data to understand the impact of such a change on the composition of the workforce merits collection. Finally, a concerted effort at multiple levels is needed to understand the reasons for attrition from the pediatric nephrology workforce and to implement strategies to improve retention [22, 47]. This includes incentivizing fellows to complete pediatric nephrology training utilizing loan repayment plans and visa sponsorships for international medical graduates. This also includes other interventions to optimize work-life integration, like flexible work schedules, utilization of telehealth for urgent after-hours dialysis initiation, increased engagement of advanced practice providers, and working effectively with general pediatric practitioners to improve referral guidelines to pediatric nephrology, and thus share the workload [48]. Efforts to streamline maintenance of certification may also reduce attrition for nephrologists who may otherwise consider staying in the workforce longer. Finally, the pediatric neprhrology community may benefit from collecting data from nephrologists who decide to leave the workforce earlier than anticipated.

Limitations

While the faculty who participated in the Summit represent a diverse group of pediatric nephrologists, we note that a key limitation was that some of the issues addressed are specific to providers practicing in the USA, with associated unique reimbursement issues. However, most topic areas have broader implications and many of these topics also apply to other pediatric sub-specialties [49, 50]. We therefore anticipate that our consensus recommendations may prove useful to the larger international pediatric community.



The Workforce Summit 2.0 consensus statements summarize the key issues facing the pediatric nephrology workforce and serve to guide next steps the community may take to strengthen the ability of our community to care for children with kidney disease. Table 1 summarizes the consensus statements from each working group and associated action items. The working group focused on defining a 1.0 cFTE proposes to undertake an observational study in which pediatric nephrologists report the billable and nonbillable work that goes into a half-day outpatient nephrology clinic and a week of inpatient nephrology service. The group focused on academic RVU's proposes to create a rubric that will credit providers with the non-clinical work they perform in support of the academic mission. The working group focused on describing the institutional value of a pediatric nephrology program has proposed a White paper summarizing the critical role that a robust nephrology program provides to other, more incentivized, service lines. This group also intends to demonstrate the potential cost to institutions if they were unable to maintain a pediatric nephrology service. The working group focused on salary equity proposes increased transparency on salary within the ASPN community and intends to post salary metrics on the members' webpage. And building on the recent reimbursement victory for children with kidney failure, the group will work with other sub-specialties to demonstrate that children who require sub-specialty care are more complex than their adult counterparts, and warrant increased reimbursement based upon the higher level of complexity. And lastly, the working group focused on recruitment and retention of the workforce plans to establish an exit interview system for pediatric nephrologists who leave the workforce early and will continue to advocate for flexibility in the duration of fellowship, depending on the career goals of the trainee. This group will also work to clarify standard operating procedures and referral plans between the primary care providers on the front lines and the pediatric nephrology community in order to streamline referrals.

Conclusion

The purpose of this Summit was to create concrete steps for improvement in areas crucial to workforce recruitment, retention, and resiliency. These consensus statements outline key areas of focus to improve the sustainability of the pediatric nephrology workforce and many align with issues facing other pediatric sub-specialties. Concerted



Ę.
d action
s and
statement
consensus
jo.
Summary
Table 1

	Table 1 Summary of consensus statements and action items		
 a) Clinical FTE (cFTE) includes all billable (patient-facing) and non-billable activities related to providing high-quality clinical care for children with kidney disease b) Each pediatric nephrology program determines the appropriate makeup of inpatient and outpatient work that best suits their unique patient population and clinical mission a) The effort pediatric nephrologists spend on academic non-revenue generating pursuits including educational, research, and administrative activities can be quantified and factored into determining their available capacity for providing clinical care b) A standardized rubric to track achievements in non-clinical academic activities in the areas of education, research, quality improvement, administrative leadership, and division citizenship provides a fair and consistent approach to incentive (bonus) compensation, if applicable a) Pediatric nephrologists contribute to institutional financial margins in ways that are separate from work RVUs (wRVUs), thus the wRVU system undervalues the effort and indirect income generated by pediatric nephrologists (wRVUs) wailability of a pediatric nephrologist is a prerequisite to many of the high-value medical services offered by institutions c) As we move towards quality and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will play a critical role in the financial well-being of medical institutions a) Compensation for Pediatric Nephrologists will reflect the value added by pediatric nephrologysts in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrologysts in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrologysts in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services of children with kidney disease will accurately redect the time and effort required to address their complex, multi-system disease manifestations, such as growth	Working group	Consensus statements	Action items
a) The effort pediatric nephrologists spend on academic nonrevenue generating pursuits including educational, research, and administrative activities can be quantified and factored into determining their available capacity for providing clinical cal care b) A standardized rubric to track achievements in non-clinical academic activities in the areas of education, research, quality improvement, administrative leadership, and division citizenship provides a fair and consistent approach to incentive (bonus) compensation, if applicable a) Pediatric nephrologists contribute to institutional financial margins in ways that are separate from work RVUs (wRVUs), thus the wRVU system undervalues the effort and indirect income generated by pediatric nephrologists b) Availability of a pediatric nephrologist is a prerequisite to many of the high-value medical services offered by institutions c) As we move towards quality and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will play a critical role in the financial well-being of medical institutions c) As we move towards quality and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will play a critical role in the financial well-being of medical institutions c) As we move towards quality and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will reflect the value added by pediatric kidney care to their organization, including programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrology expertise b) Reimbursement for provision of sub-specialty care to children with kidney disease will accurately reflect the time and effort required to address their complex, multi-system disease manifestations, such as growth and development and nutritional needs. In such a system, RVU would be adjusted to reflect the complexity and demands of care. This align-	1. What is the definition of a 1.0 clinical full-time equivalent (cFTE) in pediatric nephrology?	a) Clinical FTE (cFTE) includes all billable (patient-facing) and non-billable activities related to providing high-quality clinical care for children with kidney disease b) Each pediatric nephrology program determines the appropriate makeup of inpatient and outpatient work that best suits their unique patient population and clinical mission	Working group to perform survey and outline consensus definition of the cFTE equivalent of one half-day outpatient nephrology clinic and week of inpatient pediatric nephrology service
 a) Pediatric nephrologists contribute to institutional financial margins in ways that are separate from work RVUs (wRVUs), thus the wRVU system undervalues the effort and indirect income generated by pediatric nephrologists b) Availability of a pediatric nephrologist is a prerequisite to many of the high-value medical services offered by institutions c) As we move towards quality and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will play a critical role in the financial well-being of medical institutions a) Compensation for Pediatric Nephrologists will represent the value of pediatric kidney care to their organization, including complexity of caring for patients across the spectrum of pediatric care. Further, compensation will reflect the value added by pediatric nephrologists in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrology expertise b) Reimbursement for provision of sub-specialty care to children with kidney disease will accurately reflect the time and effort required to address their complex, multi-system disease manifestations, such as growth and development and nutritional needs. In such a system, RVU would be adjusted to reflect the complexity and demands of care. This align- 	2. Would the utilization of academic relative value units (RVUs) for non-billable work improve upon current metrics for pediatric nephrologists' work?	a) The effort pediatric nephrologists spend on academic non- revenue generating pursuits including educational, research, and administrative activities can be quantified and factored into determining their available capacity for providing clini- cal cal cal b) A standardized rubric to track achievements in non-clinical academic activities in the areas of education, research, qual- ity improvement, administrative leadership, and division citizenship provides a fair and consistent approach to incen- tive (bonus) compensation, if applicable	Working group, in partnership with representatives from each of the ASPN committees, to establish a comprehensive rubric for determining bonus/incentive payments
a) Compensation for Pediatric Nephrologists will represent the value of pediatric kidney care to their organization, including complexity of caring for patients across the spectrum of pediatric care. Further, compensation will reflect the value added by pediatric nephrologists in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrology expertise b) Reimbursement for provision of sub-specialty care to children with kidney disease will accurately reflect the time and effort required to address their complex, multi-system disease manifestations, such as growth and development and nutritional needs. In such a system, RVU would be adjusted to reflect the complexity and demands of care. This align-	3. What is the institutional value of a pediatric nephrology program?	a) Pediatric nephrologists contribute to institutional financial margins in ways that are separate from work RVUs (wRVUs), thus the wRVU system undervalues the effort and indirect income generated by pediatric nephrologists b) Availability of a pediatric nephrologist is a prerequisite to many of the high-value medical services offered by institutions c) As we move towards quality and value-based care models, pediatric nephrologists will play a critical role in the financial well-being of medical institutions	Working group to draft and submit a White paper compiling the various service lines dependent upon a functioning pediatric nephrology program Working group to investigate the opportunity cost to institutions without a robust pediatric nephrology program
ment is critical to prevent physician burnout and sustain the workforce	4. What does salary equity look like for pediatric nephrology?	a) Compensation for Pediatric Nephrologists will represent the value of pediatric kidney care to their organization, including complexity of caring for patients across the spectrum of pediatric care. Further, compensation will reflect the value added by pediatric nephrologists in support of hospital and programmatic missions including margin positive services that require pediatric nephrology expertise b) Reimbursement for provision of sub-specialty care to children with kidney disease will accurately reflect the time and effort required to address their complex, multi-system disease manifestations, such as growth and development and nutritional needs. In such a system, RVU would be adjusted to reflect the complexity and demands of care. This alignment is critical to prevent physician burnout and sustain the workforce	• The ASPN will post salary metrics on the members website • The working group will work to establish the proof of principle that pediatric sub-specialty patients are more complex than their adult counterparts. This work will be used to advocate for a reassessment in reimbursement



able I (continued)		
Vorking group	Consensus statements	Action items
. What are the pathway considerations for growth of the pediatric nephrology workforce?	a) Stronger engagement of pediatric nephrologists with trainees throughout undergraduate medical education and during early pediatric residency may increase interest in a career in pediatric nephrology b) Flexibility in fellowship length and design with individualized pathways will encourage more residents to pursue pediatric nephrology, improve training experience, and potentially reduce the debt burden associated with the mandatory 3-year training c) Retention in the existing workforce may be improved by efforts of the American Society of Pediatric Nephrology towards incentivizing clinical and research work, improving work life integration, and increasing remuneration	 The ASPN will establish an exit interview opportunity for faculty leaving the workforce early The ASPN will advocate for increased flexibility in fellowship training duration The working group will utilize the NASEM report to establish comprehensive referral guidelines for generalists seeking pediatric nephrology consultation

efforts along these lines may therefore help address workforce challenges not just within pediatric nephrology but also among other pediatric sub-specialties. Improving the strength and resiliency of the pediatric nephrology workforce — and pediatric sub-specialties in general improves the value and care provided to children.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-024-06410-9.

Acknowledgements The Workforce Summit 2.0 organizers would like to acknowledge the support from the ASPN Foundation which made the Summit possible. The faculty also acknowledges Dr. Patrick D. Brophy for his enduring legacy and contribution to building and sustaining the pediatric nephrology community. In Pat's honor, we strive always to "Be better, Do better."

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors report no relevant conflicts of interest that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. Sandra Amaral reports a relationship with Bristol Myers Squibb Co that includes consulting or advisory. Isa Ashoor reports a relationship with The American Board of Pediatrics that includes board membership. Meredith Atkinson reports a relationship with GlaxoSmithKline Inc. that includes consulting or advisory. Michael Braun reports a relationship with The American Board of Pediatrics that includes board membership. Annabelle Chua reports a relationship with Akebia Therapeutics Inc. that includes board membership and consulting or advisory. Annabelle Chua reports a relationship with The American Board of Pediatrics that includes board membership. Shina Menon reports a relationship with Medtronic Inc. that includes consulting or advisory. Shina Menon reports a relationship with Nuwellis Inc. that includes consulting or advisory. Victoria Norwood reports a relationship with The American Board of Pediatrics that includes board membership. Victoria Norwood reports a relationship with National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine that includes board membership. Stuart Goldstein reports a relationship with BioPorto Diagnostics Inc. that includes consulting or advisory.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Ashoor I, Weidemann D, Elenberg E, Halbach S, Harshman L, Kula A, Mahan JD, Nada A, Quiroga A, Mahon AR, Smith J, Somers M, Brophy PD, ASPN Workforce Summit Action Groups (2021) The Pediatric Nephrology Workforce crisis: a call to action. J Pediatr 239(5–10):e14
- Lakshminrusimha S, Cheng TL, Leonard MB, Devaskar SU, Vinci RJ, Degnon L, St Geme JW 3rd (2023) Raising the bar: the need



- for increased financial support to sustain and expand the community of pediatric subspecialists. J Pediatr 267:113758
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2023) The future Pediatric Subspecialty Physician Workforce: meeting the needs of infants, children, and adolescents. In: Press TNA (ed), Washington, DC. https://nap.nationalacademies.org/ catalog/27207/the-future-pediatric-subspecialty-physician-workf orce-meeting-the-needs-of
- Weidemann DKOC, Norwood V, Brophy P, Leonard MB, Ashoor I (2024) Child health needs and the pediatric nephrology subspecialty workforce: 2020–2040. Pediatrics 153(Suppl 2):e2023063678P
- National Residenty Matching Program (2023) The National Residenty Matching Program Annual Report 2022–2023. https://annualreport.nrmp.org/
- Vinci RJ, Clapp DW, Reed A, Degnon L (2023) A flattening of the curve: the challenge of disparate growth rates in the pediatric subspecialties. J Pediatr 261:113509
- Rivara FP, Gonzalez-Del-Rey J, Forrest CB (2024) The Pediatric Subspecialty Physician Workforce. JAMA Pediatr 178:107–108
- Turner A, Ricketts T, Leslie LK (2020) Comparison of number and geographic distribution of pediatric subspecialists and patient proximity to specialized care in the US between 2003 and 2019. JAMA Pediatr 174:852–860
- Kula AJ, Somers MJG, American Society of Pediatric Nephrology (2021) Children with CKD are not little adults with CKD: pediatric considerations for the advancing American Kidney Health Initiative. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 16:470–472
- Amaral S, McCulloch CE, Lin F, Grimes BA, Furth S, Warady B, Brunson C, Siyahian S, Ku E (2022) Association between dialysis facility ownership and access to the waiting list and transplant in pediatric patients with end-stage kidney disease in the US. JAMA 328:451–459
- Ku E, McCulloch CE, Bicki A, Lin F, Lopez I, Furth SL, Warady BA, Grimes BA, Amaral S (2023) Association between dialysis facility ownership and mortality risk in children with kidney failure. JAMA Pediatr 177:1065–1072
- Leonard MB, Grimm PC (2022) Improving quality of care and outcomes for pediatric patients with end-stage kidney disease: the importance of pediatric nephrology expertise. JAMA 328:427–429
- 13. Boudreau A, Hamling A, Pont E, Pendergrass TW, Richerson J, Simon HK, Byerley JS, Dodson NA, Horowitz EN, Ray KN, Leslie LK, Barone LF, Hackell JM, Abularrage JJ, Almendarez YM, Berhane AM, Cantrell PE, Kafer LM, Schafer KS, Warner R, Skatrud A (2022) Pediatric Primary Health Care: the central role of pediatricians in maintaining children's health in evolving health care models. Pediatrics 149:e2021055553
- Bacchetta J, Boyer O, Hogan J, Nobili F, Faudeux C, Lapeyraque AL, Fort E (2024) French pediatric nephrologists are in crisis: the consequences of paradoxical injunctions and a plea for action. Pediatr Nephrol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-024-06337-1
- Kellum JA, Palevsky P, Mehta R, Bellomo R, Ronco C (2002) Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative: methodology. Curr Opin Crit Care 8:500–501
- Boulkedid R, Abdoul H, Loustau M, Sibony O, Alberti C (2011)
 Using and reporting the Delphi method for selecting healthcare quality indicators: a systematic review. PLoS One 6:e20476
- Kline S, Reed AM, McCarty L, Brown D, Fuste A, Kirstein K, Pak G, Jain L, Gessouroun M (2023) Two steps forward, one step back: the complexity of accurately defining and measuring clinical activity in academic pediatrics. J Pediatr 259:113446
- Weidemann DK, Ashoor IA, Soranno DE, Sheth R, Carter C, Brophy PD (2022) Moving the needle toward fair compensation in pediatric nephrology. Front Pediatr 10:849826
- Weidemann D MS, Smith J, Ruch-Ross H, Barone L, Weinstein A (2023) Results from the 2023 AAP-ASPN Workforce Survey
- Mercurio MR (2021) Neonatology's race to the bottom: RVUs, cFTEs, and physician time. J Perinatol 41:2561–2563

- Olsen S, Gautham K, Kilbride H, Artman M, Lakshminrusimha S (2022) Defining clinical effort for hospital-based pediatricians. J Pediatr 246(4–7):e3
- Primack WA, Meyers KE, Kirkwood SJ, Ruch-Ross HS, Radabaugh CL, Greenbaum LA (2015) The US pediatric nephrology workforce: a report commissioned by the American Academy of Pediatrics. Am J Kidney Dis 66:33–39
- Jacobs JP, Lahey SJ, Nichols FC, Levett JM, Johnston GG, Freeman RK, St Louis JD, Painter J, Yohe C, Wright CD, Kanter KR, Mayer JE Jr, Naunheim KS, Rich JB, Bavaria JE, Society of Thoracic Surgeons Workforce on Coding and Reimbursement (2017) How is physician work valued? Ann Thorac Surg 103:373–380
- Schnapp LM, Steiner MJ, Davis SD (2020) Basic primer for finances in academic adult and pediatric pulmonary divisions. Chest 157:363–368
- 25. ACGME (2022) ACGME Common Program requirements (Fellowship)
- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (2008) ESRD Surveyor Training Interpretive Guidance. pp 277. https://www.hhs.gov/guidance/document/esrd-surveyor-training-interpretive-guidance-version-11
- Webber S, Babal JC, Shadman KA, Coller RJ, Moreno MA (2020) Exploring academic pediatrician perspectives of factors impacting physician well-being. Acad Pediatr 20:833–839
- Kairouz VF, Raad D, Fudyma J, Curtis AB, Schunemann HJ, Akl EA (2014) Assessment of faculty productivity in academic departments of medicine in the United States: a national survey. BMC Med Educ 14:205
- Burns KH, Borowitz MJ, Carroll KC, Gocke CD, Hooper JE, Amukele T, Tobian AAR, Valentine A, Kahl R, Rodas-Eral V, Boitnott JK, Jackson JB, Sanfilippo F, Hruban RH (2018) The evolution of earned, transparent, and quantifiable faculty salary compensation: the Johns Hopkins pathology experience. Acad Pathol 5:2374289518777463
- Brigstock NM, Besner GE (2022) Development of an academic RVU (aRVU) system to promote pediatric surgical academic productivity. J Pediatr Surg 57:93–99
- Rosner MH, Falk RJ (2020) Understanding work: moving beyond the RVU. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 15:1053–1055
- 32. Chávez-Íñiguez JS, Maggiani-Aguilera P, Pérez-Flores C, Claure-Del Granado R, De la Torre-Quiroga AE, Martínez-Gallardo González A, Navarro-Blackaller G, Medina-González R, Raimann JG, Yanowsky-Escatell FG, García-García G (2021) Nephrologist interventions to avoid kidney replacement therapy in acute kidney injury. Kidney Blood Press Res 46:629–638
- Costa e Silva VT, Liaño F, Muriel A, Díez R, de Castro I, Yu L
 (2013) Nephrology referral and outcomes in critically ill acute kidney injury patients. PLoS One 8:e70482
- Hilgenberg SL, Frintner MP, Blankenburg RL, Haftel HM, Gellin CE (2021) Categorical Pediatric Residency Program curriculum needs: a study of graduating residents and residency program leadership. Acad Pediatr 21:589–593
- Pivert AK, Burgner AM, Chowdhury R, Cobb J, Halbach S, Jain H, Ko B (2023) 2023 ASN Nephrology Fellow Survey. https:// data.asn-online.org/posts/2023_fellow_survey/
- Rosenberg DI, Moss MM (2004) Guidelines and levels of care for pediatric intensive care units. Pediatrics 114:1114–1125
- Ashoor I, Weidemann D, Elenberg E, Halbach S, Harshman L, Kula A, Mahan JD, Nada A, Quiroga A, Mahon AR, Smith J, Somers M, Brophy PD (2021) The Pediatric Nephrology Workforce crisis: a call to action. J Pediatr 239:5-10.e14
- 38. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (2023) Medicare program; end-stage renal disease prospective payment system, payment for renal dialysis services furnished to individuals with acute kidney injury, end-stage renal disease quality incentive program, and end-stage renal disease treatment choices model.



- In: Department of Health and Human Services (ed) [CMS–1782–F]. Federal Register, pp 76344–76507
- Azok JG, O'Donnell KA, Long ME, Wang HC, Crook T, Pogemiller MI, Momotaz H, Knight AB, Burns RA, Harrison VA, Schmidt SM, Van Opstal ER, Weinstein AR (2023) Factors influencing medical students' career choice to pursue pediatrics. J Pediatr 265:113525
- Ferris M, Iglesia E, Ko Z, Amamoo A, Mahan J, Desai T, Gibson K, Jhaveri K, Primack W (2014) Wanted: pediatric nephrologists! why trainees are not choosing pediatric nephrology. Ren Fail 36:1340–1344
- Catenaccio E, Rochlin JM, Simon HK (2021) Association of lifetime earning potential and workforce distribution among pediatric subspecialists. JAMA Pediatr 175:1053–1059
- Catenaccio E, Rochlin JM, Simon HK (2021) Differences in Lifetime Earning Potential for Pediatric Subspecialists. Pediatrics 147:e2020027771
- Catenaccio E, Rochlin JM, Simon HK (2021) Differences in lifetime earning potential between pediatric and adult physicians. Pediatrics 148:e2021051194
- American Board of Pediatrics (2020) American Board of Pediatric survey of 2019–2020 Pediatric Nephrology Fellows. https://www. abp.org/content/pediatric-nephrology-survey-results
- 45. Freed GL, Dunham KM, Switalski KE, Jones MD Jr, McGuinness GA, Research Advisory Committee of the American Board of Pediatrics (2009) Pediatric fellows: perspectives on training and future scope of practice. Pediatrics 123(Suppl 1):S31-37

- Freed GL, Dunham KM, Moran LM, Spera L, McGuinness GA, Stevenson DK (2014) Pediatric Subspecialty Fellowship Clinical Training Project: Current Fellows. Pediatrics 133:S58–S63
- 47. Soranno DE, Simon TD, Bora S, Lohr JL, Bagga B, Carroll K, Daniels SR, Davis SD, Fernandez YGE, Orange JS, Overholser B, Sedano S, Tarini BA, White MJ, Spector ND, Justice Equity Diversity and Inclusion Committee for the Society for Pediatric Research (2023) Justice, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion in the Pediatric Faculty Research Workforce: call to action. Pediatrics 152:e2022060841
- Starr MC, Altemose K, Parsley J, Cater DT, Hains DS, Soranno DE (2024) Safety and timeliness of telemedicine initiation of continuous kidney replacement therapy. Pediatr Nephrol 39:325–329
- Glenn D, Ocegueda S, Nazareth M, Zhong Y, Weinstein A, Primack W, Cochat P, Ferris M (2016) The global pediatric nephrology workforce: a survey of the International Pediatric Nephrology Association. BMC Nephrol 17:83
- Grunberg J (1998) Pediatric nephrology workforce in Latin America. Pediatr Nephrol 12:796–798

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Authors and Affiliations

Danielle E. Soranno^{1,2} Sandra Amaral³ · Isa Ashoor⁴ · Meredith A. Atkinson⁵ · Gina-Marie Barletta⁶ · Michael C. Braun⁷ · Joann Carlson⁸ · Caitlin Carter⁹ · Annabelle Chua¹⁰ · Vikas R. Dharnidharka¹¹ · Keri Drake¹² · Elif Erkan¹³ · Dan Feig¹⁴ · Stuart L. Goldstein¹³ · David Hains¹ · Lyndsay A. Harshman¹⁵ · Elizabeth Ingulli⁹ · Alexander J. Kula¹⁶ · Mary Leonard¹⁷ · Sudha Mannemuddhu¹⁸ · Shina Menon¹⁷ · Zubin J. Modi¹⁹ · Marva Moxey-Mims²⁰ · Arwa Nada²¹ · Victoria Norwood²² · Michelle C. Starr¹ · Priya S. Verghese¹⁶ · Darcy Weidemann^{23,24} · Adam Weinstein²⁵ · Jodi Smith²⁶

- ☐ Danielle E. Soranno dsoranno@iu.edu
- Department of Pediatrics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA
- Department of Bioengineering, Purdue University Weldon School of Engineering, 1044 W. Walnut Street, West Lafayette, IN R4-42146202, USA
- Departments of Pediatrics and Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
- Doernbecher Children's Hospital, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ, USA
- ⁹ Rady Children's Hospital, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA
- Duke Children's Hospital and Health Center, Durham, NC, USA
- Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA
- University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, St. Louis, MO, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

- Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama, Heersink School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, University of Iowa Stead Family Children's Hospital, Iowa City, IA, USA
- Ann & Robert H Lurie Children's Hospital, Chicago, IL, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
- East Tennessee Children's Hospital, Knoxville, TN, USA
- Department of Pediatrics and Susan B. Meister Child Health Evaluation and Research (CHEAR) Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Children's National Hospital/George Washington University SOM, Washington, D.C. USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Le Bonheur Children's Hospital, UTHSC, Memphis, TN, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, Division of Nephrology, Children's Mercy Kansas City, Kansas City, MO, USA
- ²⁴ University of Missouri–Kansas City School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO, USA
- Department of Medical Sciences and Pediatrics, Frank H. Netter MD School of Medicine at Quinnipiac University, North Haven, CT, USA
- Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

