

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Androgen and estrogen receptor expression in the developing human penis and clitoris.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2hv2368p>

Authors

Baskin, Laurence

Cao, Mei

Sinclair, Adriane

et al.

Publication Date

2020

DOI

10.1016/j.diff.2019.08.005

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Differentiation. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Differentiation. 2020 ; 111: 41–59. doi:10.1016/j.diff.2019.08.005.

Androgen and Estrogen Receptor Expression in the Developing Human Penis and Clitoris

Laurence Baskin^{*}, Mei Cao, Adriane Sinclair, Yi Li, Maya Overland, Dylan Isaacson, Gerald R. Cunha

Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA Division of Pediatric Urology, University of California San Francisco Benioff Children's Hospital, San Francisco, California

Keywords

Androgen Receptor; Estrogen Receptors Alpha and Beta; Human Fetal Penile and Clitoral Development; Hypospadias

INTRODUCTION

The Jost Hypothesis states that androgens are necessary for male sexual differentiation (1, 2). As a corollary, female sexual differentiation occurs in the absence of androgens and/or the absence of androgen action secondary to defects in, or absence of, the androgen receptor (AR) (3, 4). While human penile development is globally dependent upon androgens, many individual steps in the complex process of human penile development are androgen-independent such as development of the genital tubercle, formation of a urethral plate, canalization of the urethral plate, initial formation of human corporal bodies, formation of the glans and development of the prepuce (5). This interpretation is supported by the fact that all of these individual developmental steps occur in external genitalia of both developing human males and females (5). Androgen-dependent steps in penile development include: specification of penile developmental identity, fusion of the urethral folds to form a penile urethra, penile growth, urethral plate canalization within the glans, preputial growth, corporal body growth, midline mesenchymal confluence ventral to the urethra and orientation of the penis to ~ 90 degrees from the body wall (6–8). It should be noted that mouse penile anatomy and development differ from that of human, and thus mouse is not the ideal model for normal and abnormal human penile development (9). Even though mouse penile development also involves both androgen-dependent and androgen-independent processes, individual developmental steps differ between these two species (5, 10).

^{*}Address Correspondence to: Laurence S. Baskin, MD, Frank Hinman, Jr., MD, Distinguished, Professorship in Pediatric Urology, Chief Pediatric Urology UCSF Benioff Children's Hospitals, University of California, San Francisco, Department of Urology, 550 16th St, 5th Floor, Mission Hall Pediatric Urology, San Francisco, CA 94158, Telephone: 415-353-2083, FAX: 415-353-2480, Laurence.baskin@ucsf.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Thus, androgens have clearly been shown to be necessary for normal male genital development (5, 6). In humans and murine transgenic models, this is best illustrated by complete androgen insensitivity in which the AR is non-functional resulting in XY individuals whose external genitalia are feminized (11). However, close analysis of the external genitalia phenotype of such patients reveals a subtle difference between the phenotypes of human females (XX) without AR mutations compared to human females (XY) with AR mutations (12). Such differences could be due to estrogen action (from testosterone conversion to estrogen by aromatase). The role of estrogens and the estrogen receptor (ER) during normal development of external genitalia remains to be elucidated. Recent evidence, however, has emerged that estrogens may play a role along with androgens during normal and abnormal external genitalia development (13). Indeed, penile abnormalities may be due to alteration in the estrogen/androgen signaling balance leading specifically to induction of urethral abnormalities characterized as hypospadias in animal models treated perinatally with exogenous estrogen (14–19). In this regard, human epidemiologic data suggest that the etiology of hypospadias is based in part upon exposure to estrogenic endocrine disruptors (20). Taken together, this body of scientific evidence supports a role of androgens in normal development of the human external genitalia and suggests that disruption of AR pathways via perturbation of the estrogen/androgen signaling balance may lead to abnormalities such as hypospadias and impaired virilization (21, 22). The estrogen/androgen signaling balance can be perturbed in several ways: (a) acute exposure to exogenous estrogenic agents during development (20), (b) the effect of estrogens leading to reduction in serum testosterone mediated via the pituitary/gonadal axis (23), (c) the developmental effects of exogenous estrogens leading to permanent elevation (24) and/or reduction in AR levels in androgen target tissues (25) or (d) estrogenic up-regulation of the estrogen receptor (13).

To better understand the mechanism of action of androgens and estrogens in human genital development, we explored the ontogeny and precise location of the androgen receptor (AR), estrogen receptors alpha (ER α) and beta (ER β) and the progesterone receptor (PR) in the developing human penis and clitoris from the indifferent stage at 8 weeks gestation to the fully differentiated penis and clitoris at 16–17 weeks of gestation (6, 7, 26, 27).

METHODS

Human fetal lower urogenital tracts were collected without patient identifiers after elective termination of pregnancy with approval from the Committee on Human Research at UCSF (IRB# 16–19909). Fetal age was estimated using heel-toe length (28). Age is reported from the time of fertilization and not from last menstrual period. Chromosomal sex was determined by PCR to detect the presence of a Y-chromosomal gene (7) and, when possible, was confirmed by identification of Wolffian and Müllerian duct morphology using a dissecting microscope. Eight - to 17-week human fetal male and female specimens were processed for scanning electron microscopy, optical projection tomography and immunohistochemical staining for the AR, ER α , ER β and PR as previously described using the antibodies in Table 1 (7, 29, 30). A total of 36 human fetal specimens were analyzed, split equally between male and female with ~ 4 specimens analyzed at each time point.

Transverse or sagittal sections of male and female external genitalia were cut at 6 microns and mounted on glass slides for histologic and immunohistochemical staining.

RESULTS

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the developing human fetal penis and human clitoris reveals a divergence in genital morphology after the indifferent stage (~ 8 weeks gestation) in which males and females are indistinguishable (Figure 1). Canalization of the urethral plate in males and vestibular plate in females occurs in both sexes, but in males (a) the urethral folds subsequently fuse to form a penile urethra, (b) the glanular urethra forms by direct canalization of the urethral plate and (c) a complete circumferential prepuce forms in the glans (8, 27, 31). In females the vestibular groove remains open with the vestibular folds forming the labia minora, while the prepuce of the clitoris only forms dorsally on the clitoral glans (Figure 1). A lateral SEM view of the ontogeny of the developing penis and clitoris illustrates the difference in orientation of the penis which remains ~90 degrees to the body wall in contrast to the clitoris whose orientation remains angled into the body wall (Figure 2). In both the developing penis and clitoris an epithelial tag of unknown significance is transiently present on the glans (blue arrowheads) (~ 9–13 weeks gestation) (Figures 1 and 2). On the ventral surface of the glans penis extensive tissue remodeling is noted (Figures 1 and 2) as aggregates of epidermal cells form and “ball up” (red arrowheads) on the surface of the penis (Figures 1 N & O). These epidermal aggregates appear to be sloughed/jettisoned as development advances as a circumferential prepuce covers the glans (Figures 1 N & O inserts).

Optical projection tomography of the developing penis illustrates several androgen-dependent events in penile development (Figure 3). Note the progressive proximal to distal fusion of the urethral folds to form the penile urethra, thus advancing the urethral meatus distally (orange arrows) (Figure 3). The transient epithelial tag (blue arrows) and sloughed/jettisoned epidermal tissue on the ventral aspect of the glans (red arrows) are also well visualized (Figure 3), both of which disappear by 15 weeks.

At 8 weeks of gestation, the indifferent stage, the human genital tubercle expresses AR in mesenchyme adjacent to the urethral plate with ER β localizing preferentially to the urethral plate and the surface epithelium (Figure 4). ER α was not detected at this stage (data not shown), and PR was not detected at any stage of human penile development.

AR, ER β and ER α Expression in the Developing Penis

At 9 weeks of gestation, AR was expressed globally throughout the fetal penis with particularly high density of the AR staining in the corporal body and in ventral mesenchyme adjacent to the urethral groove and tubular urethra (Figure 5).

At 11.5 weeks of gestation AR, ER α and ER β were all expressed in the human fetal penis (Figure 6). AR was prominently expressed in the corporal body, in the mesenchyme of the glans, in mesenchyme ventral to the penile corporal body (Figure 6A) and in mesenchyme associated the developing prepuce. Epithelium of the preputial lamina was AR-negative (Figure 6B).

ER α expression was relatively sparse at 11.5 weeks but was clearly seen in the basal epithelial cells of the penile preputial lamina and ventral epidermis (Figure 6D, arrowheads). In contrast, ER β expression was prominent in (a) the penile corporal body, (b) epithelium of the urethral groove, (c) peripheral mesenchyme associated with the epidermis of the glans penis, (d) in basal and supra-basal cells of the preputial lamina and (e) and in penile epidermis (Figures 6 E&F).

Similarly, at 12.5 weeks gestation AR, ER α and ER β continued to be expressed in the human fetal penis (Figure 7). AR was prominently expressed in the corporal body and in epithelium and mesenchyme at the site of urethral plate canalization and formation of the glanular urethra and the urethral meatus (Figure 7B). ER α expression was again relatively sparse but was clearly seen in the basal epithelial cells of the epidermis near the urethral meatus (Figure 7D). ER β expression was prominent in the corporal body, in epithelium and mesenchyme of the urethral meatus, and in basal and supra-basal cells of the preputial lamina (Figures 7 F&G).

At 14 weeks of gestation AR, ER α and ER β were also detected in the human fetal penis (Figure 8). AR expression was prominent in the corporal body and in the mesenchyme surrounding the urethra (Figure 8A). AR was also detected in mesenchyme associated with the preputial lamina, while epithelium of the preputial lamina was generally AR-negative (Figure 8B) with the exception of rare AR-positive cells (cite my preputial development paper). ER α was seen only in the basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina (Figure 8 D&E, arrowheads). ER β was prominently expressed in the basal and supra-basal epithelial layers of the preputial lamina (Figure 8 G&H, arrowheads).

At 15 weeks of gestation AR, ER α and ER β continued to be expressed in the human fetal penis (Figure 13). The corporal body was intensely AR-positive (Figure 9A). AR was also expressed in epithelium and mesenchyme at the site of urethral plate canalization within the glans and sites of formation of the glanular urethra and the urethral meatus (Figure 9B). ER α expression was focally expressed in the basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina and in the urethral plate (Figure 9 D&E). ER β expression was prominently expressed in the canalizing urethral plate and in association with the forming urethral meatus (Figure 9 G&H (arrow)). PR was not detected in the developing penis.

Transverse sections of the human fetal penis at 15 weeks gestation reveal AR expression in the glans mesenchyme, in the basal epithelial layer of the urethral plate within the glans (Figure 10 B&E) and in regions of urethral plate canalization and remodeling (Figure 10C). Also note the preferential AR expression in the epithelium lining the ventral aspect of the newly formed glanular urethra and within the forming frenulum (Figure 10E–F).

At 16 weeks of gestation AR, ER α and ER β continued to be prominently expressed in the human fetal penis (Figure 11). AR was detected in the corporal body, in mesenchyme of the glans and in the basal epithelial layer of the preputial lamina (Figure 11 A–C). The preputial lamina has a basal layer facing the glans as well as a basal layer associated with preputial mesenchyme. ER α was expressed in the basal epithelial of the preputial lamina facing the preputial mesenchyme, in the mesenchyme associated with preputial lamina and in the basal

epithelial layer of the epithelium at the penoscrotal junction (Figure 11 E&F). ER β was expressed in the preputial lamina predominantly in the basal layer of the epithelium and sparsely in mesenchyme of the glans (Figure 11 H&I). ER β was also expressed in urethral epithelium and the mesenchyme surrounding the urethra (Figure 11J). ER β was sporadically expressed in epithelial and mesenchymal cells near the future penoscrotal junction (Figure 11K, arrowhead).

AR, ER β and ER α Expression in the Developing Clitoris

In the human clitoris AR was expressed at 9.5 weeks of gestation in the corporal body and the mesenchyme ventral to the corporal body where the vestibular groove will form (Figure 12A & B (black arrowheads)). ER α expression was relatively sparse at 9.5 weeks in the clitoris but was seen in mesenchyme ventral to the corporal body (Figure 12C & D (black arrowheads)). In contrast, ER β expression was particularly prominent in of the epidermis of the glans clitoris and the urethral epithelium (Figure 12F & G (black arrowheads)). PR staining was undetectable (Figure 6H).

At 11 weeks of gestation AR was expressed in mesenchyme of the ventral-lateral region of the distal aspect of the human clitoris (Figure 13 A & C, arrowheads). In the vestibular groove AR expression was prominently expressed in mesenchyme associated with the vestibular groove (Figure 13D (black arrowheads)). Note the paucity of AR expression in the human clitoris compared to homologous regions of the human fetal penis (Figures 4 & 5).

At 12.5 weeks of gestation the human clitoris expressed the AR, ER α and ER β (Figure 14). AR was prominently expressed in (a) the corporal body and glans (Figure 14A & B), (b) sparsely but well-defined in epithelium of the preputial lamina and associated mesenchyme (Figure 14B) and (c) in epidermal aggregates apparently destined to be sloughed/jettisoned (Figure 14A). ER α expression was relatively sparse but was clearly seen in the basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina (Figure 14D). ER β expression was prominent in the corporal body, basal and supra-basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina, epidermal surface aggregates apparently destined to be sloughed/jettisoned and in the epithelial tag (Figures 14 E&F).

At 13 weeks of gestation the human clitoris expressed AR (Figure 15) in the corporal body, in the peri-urethral mesenchymal tissue extending up to the bladder neck, in the mesenchyme of the glans clitoris (Fig. 15B), in mesenchyme adjacent to the preputial lamina (Figure 15B arrow) and in the mesenchyme adjacent to the vestibular groove (Figure 15B arrowheads).

At 15 weeks gestation AR was expressed in the human fetal clitoris (a) within the corporal body, (b) in the crura of the clitoris, (c) in mesenchyme of the glans clitoris, (d) in the mesenchyme associated with the preputial lamina, (e) in mesenchyme and the superficial epithelial layer of the vestibular groove and (f) in the superficial epithelial layer of the fully formed urethra (Figure 16).

AR, ER α and ER β were detected in the human fetal clitoris at 16 weeks (Figure 17). The corporal body was intensely AR-positive (Figure 17A). AR was also detected in the glans

and in mesenchyme surrounding the prepuce (Figure 17B), while the preputial lamina was AR-negative. Sporadic ER α expression was seen in mesenchyme adjacent to the urethra (Figure 17D). Extensive ER β expression was seen in corporal body, glans and in the preputial lamina (Figure 17 E&F).

DISCUSSION

The human penis and clitoris develop from the ambisexual genital tubercle starting at 8–9 weeks of gestation (Figure 1). In males the urethral plate and in females the analogous vestibular plate undergo canalization to form the urethral groove and vestibular groove, respectively (7). In males the urethral folds fuse to form the penile urethra, while urethral development within the penile glans results from direct canalization of the urethral plate and extensive epithelial remodeling (7, 27). In females vestibular fold fusion does not take place resulting in an open vestibular groove (26).

In the course of both penile and clitoral development aggregates of epidermal cells are seen on the surface of the skin of these organs (Figures 1–3). The most highly developed of these epidermal aggregates is the epithelial tag seen near the tip of the developing penis and clitoris, but smaller aggregates are also seen transiently on the ventral penile and clitoral surface usually on the glans or near the glans/shaft interface. With development, all of these epidermal aggregates including the epithelial tag disappear, presumably being sloughed/jettisoned. The significance of the sloughing epidermal tissue and the transient epithelial tag is unknown.

The developing human penis and clitoris expresses not only AR but also ER α and ER β (Figures 4–17) (Table 2 & 3). These observations are compatible with the hypothesis that androgens play a role in normal development, and estrogens play a role in abnormal development of the penis and/or clitoris (13). Clearly, in humans, androgens have been shown to be necessary for development of the penile urethra by mediating fusion of the urethral folds to form the urethra within the penile shaft (6, 27) (Figures 1–3). The strategic localization of the AR in epithelium and mesenchyme of the fusing urethral folds and in the epithelium and associated mesenchyme of the urethral plate provide the underpinning for androgen action in penile urethral development (Figures 4–11).

Five processes act in synchrony for successful formation of the urethra within the glans penis: (a) Extension of the urethral plate to near the tip of the glans to meet surface ectodermal epithelium (epidermis) (8), (b) Canalization of the glanular urethral plate (to form the urethral lumen (6, 8), (c) midline mesenchymal confluence to separate the glanular urethra from the epidermis (6, 8), (d) Reabsorption or remodeling of endodermal epithelial cells ventral to the mesenchymal confluence (8, 27) and (e) Remodeling of epithelial channels in the distal glans and formation of the distal glanular urethra (8). AR is expressed in epithelial and mesenchymal cells precisely in these regions of profound epithelial and mesenchymal remodeling.

The presence of AR in comparable sites within the developing human clitoris (Figures 12–17) (albeit less intense staining compared to males) provide the molecular mechanism for

masculinization of female external genitalia under abnormal conditions of high levels of in utero androgen exposure. Androgens are necessary for penile growth and elongation (5) as well as for development of the prostate, seminal vesicle, vas deferens and epididymis (32). As noted, penile development is an exceptionally complex many-step process in which approximately half of the individual steps are androgen-dependent, while almost half of the individual steps in penile development are androgen-independent (5). These androgen-independent events in human penile and clitoral development include: formation of the (a) genital tubercle, (b) urethral/vestibular plate, (c) the corporal body, (d) glans, (e) prepuce, (f) urethral/vestibular groove and (g) neuronal development (5, 33, 34).

In humans, genotypic XX fetuses exposed prenatally to high levels of androgens undergo varying degrees of virilization of the clitoris (35). The most common cause of virilization of the clitoris is congenital adrenal hyperplasia (36). The most extreme cases of congenital adrenal hyperplasia results in normal penile development in XX patients (36). Maternal exposure to androgenic drugs may also cause prenatal virilization (37). The degree of masculinization of such prenatally androgenized human females is thought to be determined by the timing and level of androgens (37). The expression of AR in the developing clitoris is surely the mechanistic basis for such masculinization.

In humans, disruption of androgen metabolism can lead to impaired virilization and specifically disruption in fusion of the urethral folds resulting in hypospadias. For example, a deficiency in the enzyme 5 α -reductase-type-2 in human males inhibits the conversion of testosterone to the more active androgen, dihydrotestosterone, and results in atypical external genitalia with severe hypospadias (21). Another form of impaired androgen action involves the genetics of testicular development. SF-1 and NR5A1 regulate testicular development, and mutations in these genes are associated with under virilization of the genitalia from decreased production of androgens resulting in hypospadias and micropenis (38, 39). Finally, defects in the AR can lead to partial or complete feminization (complete androgen insensitivity syndrome) of the external genitalia in humans with a XY karyotype (3). As noted, the phenotype of an XY genotype with non-functional AR has subtle, but detectable anatomic differences compared to normal XX females (11, 12). Such anatomic differences may imply a possible role of estrogens in abnormal development of the external genitalia as proposed (1, 13).

AR is expressed during the indifferent stage as early as 8 weeks of gestation (perhaps earlier) specifically in the mesenchyme surrounding the urethral plate and in penile and clitoral corporal bodies (Figure 4) (5). Testosterone formation by the human fetal testes is initiated at 8–10 of gestation (40), and the first evidence of androgen action in human male fetuses is the formation of prostatic buds at 10 weeks of gestation (32). Prior to 10 weeks the genital tubercle of human males and females is identical in size and morphology (Figure 1). A corporal body rudiment (condensed mesenchyme) is present in the developing penis and clitoris during the ambisexual stage (8–9 weeks) (Figure 4) before morphological evidence of androgen action and before testosterone production by the testes (41, 42), even though AR is expressed in the corporal body rudiment in both male and female genital tubercles. AR is also expressed in the mesenchyme surrounding the urethral plate, the urethral groove and the tubular urethra at 9 weeks of gestation (Figure 5). Thus, it appears that AR either

precedes the formation of testosterone by the testes or is present at the earliest stage of androgen production, perhaps before levels of testosterone are sufficient to initiate masculine development. Notably, this timing of AR versus testosterone production is seen in developmental events shown to be androgen-independent (5) such as formation of the corporal body, genital tubercle and urethral plate.

Later in human penile development (10 weeks) when androgen-dependent events are under way, AR was detected in strategic anatomic sites, namely: in mesenchyme and epithelium of the fusing urethral folds (Figures 6–8). AR expression was continuously maintained in the penile corporal body to at least 16 weeks (and most likely thereafter) (Figure 11). An important developmental event from 10 to 16 weeks is penile and corporal body growth, which is surely androgen-dependent. AR is also seen during this time frame in mesenchyme associated with the penile urethra, glans and prepuce suggesting that androgen action continues to be important in these regions/structures (Figure 6–11).

Urethral formation within the glans involves direct canalization of the urethral plate and considerable epithelial remodeling without formation of a urethral groove (8). This process is clearly androgen-dependent and only occurs in males. Accordingly, AR expression is prominent within the glans both in the mesenchyme and in the canalizing and remodeling glanular urethra (Figures 6–10). This finding is consistent with our previous work on formation of the glans and prepuce in the developing penis (8). Taking together, AR is expressed in the developing penis in strategic sites such as: (a) in the mesenchyme associated with the urethral groove, (b) the mesenchyme associated with the fusing urethral folds, (c) the mesenchyme associated with the newly formed tubular urethra, (d) the mesenchyme associated with canalizing urethral plate, and (e) remodeling and formation of the glanular urethra (Table 2 & 3). These observations support the role of androgens as necessary for several aspects of penile development and especially penile urethral development. Finally, we note the presence of AR in mesenchyme associated with a variety of epithelia within the developing penis (urethral plate, urethral epithelium, preputial lamina) (Figures 4–11). This juxtaposition of AR-positive mesenchyme with epithelia that may or may not express AR is compatible with the idea that certain developmental steps in penile development may be mediated via paracrine influences. In this regard, mesenchyme may be the critical and fundamental androgen target subsequently regulating epithelial development as has been shown to be the case in prostatic development (43).

One aspect of clitoral development is that AR expression is consistently less prominent when compared to homologous structures in the developing penis, specifically in the areas of the vestibular groove and the corporal body. An impressive example of the difference in AR expression between male and female is seen in the intense AR staining of the mesenchyme around the urethral groove of the developing penis in comparison to the sparse AR staining vestibular groove of the clitoris (Compare Figure 5 & 13). Such differences in the level of AR expression is most apparent between 11 and 15 weeks of gestation when production of testosterone by the fetal testes is particularly high (40), suggesting the possibility that AR within the developing human penis is up-regulated by androgens as has been reported previously (44) (45). This is not the case for the corporal body of females in which AR expression is prominent and comparable to that in the developing penis at 8–9

weeks, prior to androgen production by the testes (40). It is notable that genital tubercle size and penile/clitoral size is similar/identical during the time period studied (Figure 2) (11–16 weeks) (5), while later in development growth of the penis out strips that of the clitoris. For example, compare size of the newborn penis to clitoris (46).

Both ER α and ER β are expressed in the developing penis and clitoris. ER α expression was consistently less prominent than ER β expression in both the male and female specimens. ER α expression was present, albeit sparse in the corporal body of the penis and clitoris at all development stages studied (best seen in Figure 11D). Interestingly, ER α was strategically localized to the epithelial cells of the preputial lamina and in areas of canalization and remodeling of the glanular urethra plate (Figure 14D). These strategic sites of ER α expression are consistent with the idea that estrogenic endocrine disruptors may play a role in human hypospadias, an idea that has gained traction recently (20, 47). In the 16-week male specimen, ER α expression localized to the basal cells of the now distinguishable future penoscrotal junction (Figure 11F). The expression of ER α at the penoscrotal junction may play a role in the etiology of penile webbing (48).

The progesterone receptor (PR) was not detected at any stages in the developing human penis or clitoris. This is perhaps not surprising as human PR is induced by estrogen via ER α , a concept verified in both cell lines and in many tissues (49, 50). The absence of PR immunostaining could be due to two factors: (a) the paucity of ER α expression and (b) insufficient estrogen levels. It is notable that PR is not expressed in the developing human female reproductive tract from 8–15 weeks apparently due to insufficient serum estrogen (51). Estrogen treatment of athymic mice bearing grafts of human fetal female reproductive tracts elicits PR expression throughout all developing organs of the human female reproductive tract (52).

ER β , in contrast to ER α , was consistently more prominent in the developing penis and clitoris. ER β expression was first seen at the indifferent stage of 8 weeks of gestation in the urethral plate and epidermis as well as sparsely in the genital tubercle mesenchyme (Figure 4). ER β expression was clearly seen in the corporal body and glans at 11 and 12 weeks of gestation of both the developing penis and clitoris (Figures 6, 7, 13 and 14). Also, ER β was prominently expressed in the urethra/vestibular epithelium, the preputial lamina and the area of urethral/vestibular plate canalization as well as in remodeling in the penile glanular urethra. The functional role of ER β in human penile and clitoral development needs further examination as penile and clitoral morphology is normal in ER β knockout mice (53). It is reasonable to hypothesize that ER β (in contrast to ER α) plays the more prominent role during normal development of male and female external genitalia based upon its more extensive expression compared to ER α .

In summary, AR, ER α and ER β are all expressed to varying degrees and in strategic locations in the developing penis and clitoris. AR is expressed in close proximity to the urethral groove, in the fusing urethral folds and in the forming penile and glanular urethras which supports a critical requisite role of androgens in normal human penile urethral development. The expression of ER α and ER β in epithelial cells of the preputial lamina and in areas of canalization and remodeling of the glanular urethra plate is consistent with the

possible role of estrogens in normal penile urethral and preputial development and in the etiology of hypospadias.

Acknowledgments

Supported by NIH grant K12DK083021

References

1. Jost A Problems of fetal endocrinology: the gonadal and hypophyseal hormones. *Recent Progress in Hormone Research* 1953;8:379–418.
2. Jost A A new look at the mechanisms controlling sex differentiation in mammals. *Johns Hopkins Med J* 1972;130(1):38–53. [PubMed: 4481103]
3. Batista RL, Costa EMF, Rodrigues AS, Gomes NL, Faria JA Jr., Nishi MY, et al. Androgen insensitivity syndrome: a review. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* 2018;62(2):227–35. [PubMed: 29768628]
4. Holmes NM, Miller WL, Baskin LS. Lack of defects in androgen production in children with hypospadias. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89(6):2811–6. [PubMed: 15181062]
5. Cunha GR, Liu G, Sinclair A, Cao M, Glickman S, Cooke PS, et al. Androgen-independent events in penile development in humans and animals. *Differentiation* 2019;this issue.
6. Baskin L, Shen J, Sinclair A, Cao M, Liu X, Liu G, et al. Development of the human penis and clitoris. *Differentiation* 2018;103:74–85. [PubMed: 30249413]
7. Li Y, Sinclair A, Cao M, Shen J, Choudhry S, Botta S, et al. Canalization of the urethral plate precedes fusion of the urethral folds during male penile urethral development: the double zipper hypothesis. *J Urol* 2015;193(4):1353–59. [PubMed: 25286011]
8. Liu X, Liu G, Shen J, Yue A, Isaacson D, Sinclair A, et al. Human glans and preputial development. *Differentiation* 2018;103:86–99. [PubMed: 30245194]
9. Cunha GR, Sinclair A, Risbridger G, Hutson J, Baskin LS. Current understanding of hypospadias: relevance of animal models. *Nat Rev Urol* 2015;12(5):271–80. [PubMed: 25850792]
10. Hutson JM, Baskin LS, Risbridger G, Cunha GR. The power and perils of animal models with urogenital anomalies: handle with care. *J Pediatr Urol* 2014;10(4):699–705. [PubMed: 24768568]
11. Yucel S, Liu W, Cordero D, Donjacour A, Cunha G, Baskin LS. Anatomical studies of the fibroblast growth factor-10 mutant, Sonic Hedge Hog mutant and androgen receptor mutant mouse genital tubercle. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2004;545:123–48. [PubMed: 15086024]
12. Wilson JM, Arnhym A, Champeau A, Ebbers M, Coakley F, Baskin L. Complete androgen insensitivity syndrome: an anatomic evaluation and sexual function questionnaire pilot study. *J Pediatr Urol* 2011;7(4):416–21. [PubMed: 20719566]
13. Zheng Z, Armfield BA, Cohn MJ. Timing of androgen receptor disruption and estrogen exposure underlies a spectrum of congenital penile anomalies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112(52):E7194–203. [PubMed: 26598695]
14. Blaschko SD, Mahawong P, Ferretti M, Cunha TJ, Sinclair A, Wang H, et al. Analysis of the effect of estrogen/androgen perturbation on penile development in transgenic and diethylstilbestrol-treated mice. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2013;296(7):1127–41. [PubMed: 23653160]
15. Mahawong P, Sinclair A, Li Y, Schlomer B, Rodriguez E Jr., Ferretti MM, et al. Comparative effects of neonatal diethylstilbestrol on external genitalia development in adult males of two mouse strains with differential estrogen sensitivity. *Differentiation* 2014;88(2–3):70–83. [PubMed: 25449353]
16. Mahawong P, Sinclair A, Li Y, Schlomer B, Rodriguez E Jr., Ferretti MM, et al. Prenatal diethylstilbestrol induces malformation of the external genitalia of male and female mice and persistent second-generation developmental abnormalities of the external genitalia in two mouse strains. *Differentiation* 2014;88(2–3):51–69. [PubMed: 25449352]
17. Kim KS, Torres CR Jr., Yucel S, Raimondo K, Cunha GR, Baskin LS. Induction of hypospadias in a murine model by maternal exposure to synthetic estrogens. *Environ Res* 2004;94(3):267–75. [PubMed: 15016594]

18. Sinclair AW, Cao M, Pask A, Baskin L, Cunha GR. Flutamide-induced hypospadias in rats: a critical assessment. *Differentiation* 2017;94:37–57. [PubMed: 28043016]
19. Sinclair AW, Cao M, Shen J, Cooke P, Risbridger G, Baskin L, et al. Mouse hypospadias: A critical examination and definition. *Differentiation* 2016;92(5):306–17. [PubMed: 27068029]
20. Baskin LS, Himes K, Colborn T. Hypospadias and endocrine disruption: is there a connection? *Environ Health Perspect* 2001;109(11):1175–83. [PubMed: 11713004]
21. Wilson JD. The role of 5 α -reduction in steroid hormone physiology. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 2001;13(7–8):673–8. [PubMed: 11999320]
22. Baskin L What Is Hypospadias? *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2017;56(5):409–18. [PubMed: 28081624]
23. Cook JC, Johnson L, O'Connor JC, Biegel LB, Krams CH, Frame SR, et al. Effects of dietary 17 beta-estradiol exposure on serum hormone concentrations and testicular parameters in male Crl:CD BR rats. *Toxicol Sci* 1998;44(2):155–68. [PubMed: 9742654]
24. vom Saal FS, Timms BG, Montano MM, Palanza P, Thayer KA, Nagel SC, et al. Prostate enlargement in mice due to fetal exposure to low doses of estradiol or diethylstilbestrol and opposite effects at high doses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997;94(5):2056–61. [PubMed: 9050904]
25. Prins GS. Neonatal estrogen exposure induces lobe-specific alterations in adult rat prostate androgen receptor expression. *Endocrinology* 1992;130(6):3703–14. [PubMed: 1597166]
26. Overland M, Li Y, Cao M, Shen J, Yue X, Botta S, et al. Canalization of the Vestibular Plate in the Absence of Urethral Fusion Characterizes Development of the Human Clitoris: The Single Zipper Hypothesis. *J Urol* 2016;195(4 Pt 2):1275–83. [PubMed: 26926534]
27. Shen J, Overland M, Sinclair A, Cao M, Yue X, Cunha G, et al. Complex epithelial remodeling underlie the fusion event in early fetal development of the human penile urethra. *Differentiation* 2016;92(4):169–82. [PubMed: 27397682]
28. Drey EA, Kang MS, McFarland W, Darney PD. Improving the accuracy of fetal foot length to confirm gestational duration. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005;105(4):773–8. [PubMed: 15802404]
29. Shen J, Isaacson D, Cao M, Sinclair A, Cunha GR, Baskin L. Immunohistochemical expression analysis of the human fetal lower urogenital tract. *Differentiation* 2018;103:100–19. [PubMed: 30287094]
30. Isaacson D, Shen J, Overland M, Li Y, Sinclair A, Cao M, et al. Three-dimensional imaging of the developing human fetal urogenital-genital tract: Indifferent stage to male and female differentiation. *Differentiation* 2018;103:14–23. [PubMed: 30262218]
31. Cunha GR, Sinclair A, Cao M and Baskin L. Development of the human prepuce. *Differentiation* 2019;this issue.
32. Cunha GR, Vezina CM, Isaacson D, Ricke WA, Timms BG, Cao M, et al. Development of the human prostate. *Differentiation* 2018;103:24–45. [PubMed: 30224091]
33. Baskin LS, Erol A, Li YW, Cunha GR. Anatomical studies of hypospadias. *J Urol* 1998;160(3 Pt 2):1108–15; discussion 37. [PubMed: 9719287]
34. Baskin LS, Erol A, Li YW, Liu WH, Kurzrock E, Cunha GR. Anatomical studies of the human clitoris. *J Urol* 1999;162(3 Pt 2):1015–20. [PubMed: 10458423]
35. Baskin LS. Fetal genital anatomy reconstructive implications. *J Urol* 1999;162(2):527–9. [PubMed: 10411082]
36. Speiser PW, Arlt W, Auchus RJ, Baskin LS, Conway GS, Merke DP, et al. Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia Due to Steroid 21-Hydroxylase Deficiency: An Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2018;103(11):4043–88. [PubMed: 30272171]
37. Clark SJ, Van Dop C, Conte FA, Grumbach MM, Berger MS, Edwards MS. Reversible true precocious puberty secondary to a congenital arachnoid cyst. *American journal of diseases of children* 1988;142(3):255–6. [PubMed: 3344710]
38. Peycelon M, Mansour-Hendili L, Hyon C, Collot N, Houang M, Legendre M, et al. Recurrent Intragenic Duplication within the NR5A1 Gene and Severe Proximal Hypospadias. *Sex Dev* 2017;11(5–6):293–7. [PubMed: 29332064]
39. Kohler B, Achermann JC. Update--steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1, NR5A1). *Minerva Endocrinol* 2010;35(2):73–86. [PubMed: 20595937]

40. Siiteri PK, Wilson JD. Testosterone formation and metabolism during male sexual differentiation in the human embryo. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1974;38(j):113–25. [PubMed: 4809636]
41. Tapanainen J, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen P, Pelliniemi L, Huhtaniemi I. Age-related changes in endogenous steroids of human fetal testis during early and midpregnancy. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 1981;52(1):98–102. [PubMed: 6450220]
42. Siiteri PK, Wilson JD. Testosterone formation and metabolism during male sexual differentiation in the human embryo. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 1974;38(1):113–25. [PubMed: 4809636]
43. Cunha GR, Donjacour AA, Cooke PS, Mee S, Bigsby RM, Higgins SJ, et al. The endocrinology and developmental biology of the prostate. *Endocrine Reviews* 1987;8:338–62. [PubMed: 3308446]
44. Grino PB, Griffin JE, Wilson JD. Testosterone at high concentrations interacts with the human androgen receptor similarly to dihydrotestosterone. *Endocrinology* 1990;126(2):1165–72. [PubMed: 2298157]
45. Block LJ, Bartlett JM, Bolt-de Vries J, Themmen AP, Brinkmann AO, Weinbauer GF, et al. Regulation of androgen receptor mRNA and protein in the rat testis by testosterone. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 1991;40(1–3):343–7. [PubMed: 1659875]
46. Feldman KW, Smith DW. Fetal phallic growth and penile standards for newborn male infants. *J Pediatr* 1975;86(3):395–8. [PubMed: 1113226]
47. Yiee JH, Baskin LS. Environmental factors in genitourinary development. *J Urol* 2010;184(1):34–41. [PubMed: 20478588]
48. Bonitz RP, Hanna MK. Correction of congenital penoscrotal webbing in children: A retrospective review of three surgical techniques. *J Pediatr Urol* 2016;12(3):161 e1–5. [PubMed: 27020468]
49. Janne O, Kontula K, Luukkainen T, Vihko R. Oestrogen-induced progesterone receptor in human uterus. *J Steroid Biochem* 1975;6(3–4):501–9. [PubMed: 171501]
50. Horwitz KB, McGuire WL. Estrogen control of progesterone receptor induction in human breast cancer: role of nuclear estrogen receptor. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1979;117:95–110. [PubMed: 474293]
51. Cunha GR, T. K, Cao M, Shen J, Robboy S, L. B. Molecular mechanisms of development of the human fetal female reproductive tract. *Differentiation* 2017;97:54–72.
52. Cunha GR, T. K, Cao M, Shen J, Robboy S, L. B. Response of xenografts of developing human female reproductive tracts to the synthetic estrogen, diethylstilbestrol. *Differentiation* 2017;(In Press).
53. Antal MC, Krust A, Chambon P, Mark M. Sterility and absence of histopathological defects in nonreproductive organs of a mouse ERbeta-null mutant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105(7):2433–8. [PubMed: 18268329]




Figure 1: Scanning electron microscopy (ventral views) of the developing human fetal penis (A–H) from 8–17 weeks of gestation and of the developing human clitoris (I–M) 8–13 weeks of gestation. Note the indifferent stage at 8 weeks of gestation (A). The green arrowhead highlights the urethral plate, blue arrowheads indicate the epithelial tag, red arrowheads denote sloughing epithelial tissue and orange arrowheads the urethral and vestibular groove, respectively. Note the progressive fusion of the urethral folds in the penile specimens to form the male urethra (B–H) in contrast to the wide open vestibular groove. Note the aggregates of epidermal cells that appear to “ball up” and slough during development and remodeling of glanular urethra (higher power inserts, N & O).




Figure 2: Scanning electron microscopy (lateral views) of the ontogeny of the developing human fetal penis (A–D) from 9.5–13 weeks of gestation and developing human clitoris (E–H) 10–13 weeks of gestation. The blue arrowheads highlight the epithelial tag and red arrowheads the soughed epithelial tissue.

Penis: 16 Weeks Gestation




Figure 3. Optical Projection Tomography of the developing human fetal penis from 8–16 weeks of gestation. The epithelial tag is seen from 9–12 weeks of gestation (blue arrows). Note the progression of the urethral meatus from the penoscrotal junction to the tip of the penis (orange arrows). Sloughing epidermal tissue from the glans can be seen in the 11–14-week specimens (red arrows). Note the urethral plate in the 8-week specimen extending almost to the tip of glans (green arrow).

Clitoris: 9.5 Weeks Gestation




Figure 4.

Transverse sections of human genital tubercle at the indifferent stage (8 weeks of gestation) immunostained for: AR (A–D) and ER β (E–H). Representative scanning electron microscopic image (I) showing relative location of each histologic section. Note the relative high density of the AR staining in the mesenchyme associated with the urethral plate (arrowheads, A–D). ER β preferentially localizes to the urethral plate (arrowheads, E–H) and the surface epithelium.

AR Expression Human Clitoris: 11 Weeks Gestation




Figure 5. Transverse sections of 9-week human penis immunostained for AR (A–E). Representative scanning electron microscopic image (F) showing relative location of each histologic section. AR is expressed in penile mesenchyme from the distal to proximal in association with the urethral plate (A), the canalizing urethral plate (B), the open urethral groove (C, D) and the tubular urethra (E). Note the high density of the AR staining in the corporal body and in the mesenchyme adjacent to the urethral groove and tubular urethra (arrowheads, D–E).

Clitoris: 12.5 weeks Gestation




Figure 6. Sagittal sections of a 12.5 week human penis immunostained for AR (A&B), ER α (C&D), ER β (E&F), and PR (G&H). Note prominent AR expression in the corporal body (A) and in mesenchyme of the glans and mesenchyme associated with the preputial lamina (B, black arrowheads). ER α expression is relatively sparse but can be seen in the basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina (D, black arrowheads) and in basal cells of the epidermis. In contrast, ER β expression is more prominent localizing to the corporal body, epithelium of the urethral groove, to the epidermis of the glans penis and basal and supra-basal cells of the preputial lamina (E&F, black arrowheads). PR staining was undetectable (H).

AR Expression Human Clitoris: 13 Week Gestation




Figure 7. Sagittal sections of human penis at 12.5 weeks of gestation immunostained for: AR (A&B), ER α (C&D) and ER β (E–G). Note prominent AR expression in the corporal body (A–B) and in epithelium and mesenchyme at the site of canalization and formation of the future urethral meatus (B, black arrows). ER α expression is relatively sparse but is seen in basal cells of the epidermis near the urethral meatus (D, black arrowheads). In contrast, ER β expression is more prominent localizing to the corporal body (E), epithelium and mesenchyme of the urethral meatus (G), and basal and supra-basal cells of the preputial lamina (F, black arrowheads).

AR Expression Human Fetal Clitoris: 15 Weeks Gestation




Figure 8.

Sagittal sections of human penis at 14 weeks of gestation human immunostained for: AR (A&B), ER α (C–E) and ER β (F–H). Note the prominent AR expression in the corporal body (A) and in mesenchyme surrounding the urethra. Also note AR expression in mesenchyme adjacent to the preputial lamina (black arrowheads) and in the glans (B). ER α expression is relatively sparse but is seen in the basal epithelial cells of the epidermis and preputial lamina (black arrowheads) (D&E). Also note the epithelial pearls within the preputial lamina (black arrows)(D) and blood vessels in the ventral prepuce (E). ER β expression is prominent in the basal and supra-basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina (black arrowheads) (G&H).

Clitoris: 16 Weeks Gestation





Figure 9. Sagittal sections of human penis at 15 weeks of gestation immunostained for: AR (A&B), ER α (C–E) and ER β (F,H). Note prominent AR expression in the corporal body (A) and in the epithelium and mesenchyme associated with the canalizing urethral plate (black arrowheads) and mesenchyme of the newly formed urethral meatus (B). ER α expression is relatively sparse but is seen in basal epithelial cells of the epidermis and preputial lamina and in urethral plate (black arrowheads) (D&E). ER β expression is more prominent and seen in the canalizing urethral plate and the forming urethral meatus (black arrowheads) and epidermis (G&H).

Lateral View: Ontogeny of the Developing Penis and Clitoris

**Figure 10.**

Transverse sections of human penis at 15 weeks of gestation immunostained for AR. Note prominent AR expression in glans mesenchyme and basal epithelial cells of the urethral plate (black arrowheads) (B&E) and in the canalizing and remodeling urethral plate (C). Also note the preferential AR expression in epithelial cells of the ventral aspect of the newly formed urethra (black arrowheads) (E, E–F) and within the frenulum (D–F).

Human Fetal Penis Ontogeny: Optical Projection Tomography
Showing Urethral Plate, Epithelial Tag, Urethral Groove and Extruded Tissue




Figure 11.

Sagittal sections of human penis at 16 weeks of gestation. Human penis immunostained for: AR (A–C), ER α (D–F) and ER β (G–K). Note AR expression in the corporal body (A) and in mesenchyme of the glans and the basal epithelial layer of the preputial lamina (B&C). ER α is seen in the outer basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina (E) and in basal epidermal cells of the penoscrotal junction (black arrowheads) (E&F). ER β is seen in the preputial lamina and in the glans (black arrowheads) (H&I), in the urethral epithelium and surrounding mesenchyme (black arrowheads) (J) and in sporadic epithelial and mesenchymal cells near the penoscrotal junction (black arrowheads) (K).




Figure 12. Sagittal sections of human clitoris at 9.5 weeks of gestation immunostained for AR (A&B), ER α (C&D), ER β (E–G), and PR (H). Note prominent AR expression just ventral to the future vestibular groove as well as in the corporal body (A&B, black arrowheads). ER α expression is relatively sparse but can be seen in mesenchyme ventral to the corporal body (D, black arrowheads). In contrast, ER β is more prominent localized to epidermis of the glans clitoris and urethral epithelium (F&G, black arrowheads). PR staining was undetectable (H).

AR Expression Human Penis: 9 Weeks Gestation





Figure 13.

Transverse sections of the human clitoris at 11 week gestation immunostained for AR (A–D). Representative scanning electron microscopic image (E) showing relative location of each histologic section. Note clear localization of the AR in the ventral-lateral mesenchyme (B, black arrowheads) and the mesenchyme just under the urethral groove (D, black arrowheads). Note the paucity of AR expression compared to the male specimens (see Figures 5 & 8).

Human Fetal Penis: 11.5 Weeks Gestation

**Figure 14.**

Sagittal sections of human clitoris at 12.5 weeks of gestation immunostained for: AR (A&B), ER α (C&D) and ER β (E&F). Note the prominent AR expression in the corporal body (A) and sparse but well-defined expression in epithelium and associated mesenchyme of the preputial lamina (B). ER α expression is relatively sparse but is seen in basal cells of the epidermis and preputial lamina (D, black arrowheads). In contrast, ER β expression is more prominent localizing to the corporal body, basal and supra-basal epithelial cells of the preputial lamina, sloughing epidermal tissue and epithelial tag. (E&F, black arrowheads).

Penis: 12.5 Weeks Gestation





Figure 15.

Sagittal sections of human clitoris at 13 weeks of gestation immunostained for AR. Note the prominent AR expression in the corporal body (A) and in the peri-urethral mesenchyme extending up to the bladder neck, in mesenchyme of the glans clitoris, in mesenchyme associated with the preputial lamina and in mesenchyme ventral to the corporal body in the region of the vestibular groove (B).

Penis: 14 Weeks Gestation

**Figure 16.**

Transverse sections of human clitoris at 15 weeks of gestation immunostained for AR. Note the prominent AR expression in the corporal body (B), crus of the clitoris (D), in mesenchyme of the glans clitoris (E), in mesenchyme adjacent to the urethral plate (E) and in the preputial lamina (black arrowheads) (F), in superficial layers of epithelium of the vestibular groove and associated mesenchyme (black arrowheads) (G) and in superficial layers of urethral epithelium and associated mesenchyme (black arrowheads) (H).

Penis: 15 Weeks Gestation




Figure 17.

Sagittal sections of human clitoris at 16 week gestation human immunostained for: AR (A&B), ER α (C&D) and ER β (E&F). Note, AR expression in the corporal body (A), in mesenchyme of the glans and in mesenchyme surrounding prepuce (B). The preputial lamina was AR-negative. Sporadic ER α expression in the (black arrowheads) mesenchyme (D). Extensive ER β expression in the corporal body, glans and preputial lamina (black arrowheads) (E&F).

Table 1.

Antibodies used in this study

Antibody	Source	Catalogue #	Concentration	Reacts with:
Androgen receptor	Genetex	GTX62599	1/100	Androgen receptor
Estrogen receptor alpha (ER α)	Abcam	Ab16660	1/100	Estrogen receptor alpha
Estrogen receptor beta (ER β)	Leica	NA	1/50	Estrogen receptor beta
Progesterone receptor	Abcam	Ab16661	1/100	Progesterone receptor

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Androgen Receptor, Estrogen Receptor α & β Expression in the Developing Penis

Hormone Receptor	Urethral Plate			Epidermis			Corporal Body			Glans			Preputia Lamina			Urethral Groove Epithelium			Urethra Epithelium			Glans Canalization			Prepuce			Peno-Scrotal Junction		
	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β
8 weeks	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+																					
9 "	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+						+			+												
11 "	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+			
12 "				-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+			
14 "				-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+			
15 "				-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+				+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
16 "				-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+				+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Androgen Receptor, Estrogen Receptor α & β Expression in the Developing Clitoris

Hormone Receptor	Vestibular Plate			Epidermis			Corporal Body			Glans			Preputia Lamina			Vestibular Groove Epithelium			Vestibular Groove Mesenchyme			Urethra Epithelium			Prepuce		
	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β	A R	E R α	E R β
9 "	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+							-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-				
11 "	-	-	+	-			+			+			-			-			+			+					
12 "	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+			+	+	+
13 "	+	-	+	-			+				+		-			+			+			+			+		
15 "	+			-			+				+		-			+			+			+			+		
16 "				-			+				+		-	-	+				+			+			+		

Note += expressed. -= not expressed. Blank = the anatomical structure is not present at this stage

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript