Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

High-throughput platforms for metabolomics

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2dj6t8zf

Authors

de Raad, Markus Fischer, Curt R Northen, Trent R

Publication Date

2016-02-01

DOI 10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.10.012

Peer reviewed



ScienceDirect

High-throughput platforms for metabolomics Markus de Raad, Curt R Fischer and Trent R Northen



Mass spectrometry has become a choice method for broadspectrum metabolite analysis in both fundamental and applied research. This can range from comprehensive analysis achieved through time-consuming chromatography to the rapid analysis of a few target metabolites without chromatography. In this review article, we highlight current high-throughput MS-based platforms and their potential application in metabolomics. Although current MS platforms can reach throughputs up to 0.5 seconds per sample, the metabolite coverage of these platforms are low compared to low-throughput, separation-based MS methods. Highthroughput comes at a cost, as it's a trade-off between sample throughput and metabolite coverage. As we will discuss, promising emerging technologies, including microfluidics and miniaturization of separation techniques, have the potential to achieve both rapid and more comprehensive metabolite analysis.

Address

Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA, United States

Corresponding author: Northen, Trent R (trnorthen@lbl.gov)

Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 2016, 30:7-13

This review comes from a themed issue on Omics

Edited by Daniel Nomura, Alan Saghatelian and Eranthie Weerapana

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.10.012

1367-5931/Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction

Metabolomics is a relatively new and fast-growing research field. Several different definitions of metabolomics exist, such as 'the analysis of set of small molecular mass compounds in a given biological condition' or 'methods to determine metabolite levels' [1]. Metabolomics, whatever its definition, is being broadly applied in fields such as biotechnology, in pharmaceutical and medical research, in synthetic biology, and environmental science. The broad scope of the metabolomics field is comprised of several distinct analytical approaches, including targeted metabolomics, metabolic fingerprinting, metabolic profiling and exometabolomics. Although major improvements of NMR based metabolomics have been achieved, mass spectrometry (MS) remains the most commonly used metabolomic approach [2,3].

Increasing throughput is highly desirable in that it both decreases costs and enables metabolomics to be applied to large-scale studies. Typically in the field of highthroughput screening (HTS), high-throughput is considered 10 000-100 000 samples per day [4]. In general, highthroughput in mass spectrometry based methods in metabolomics does not achieve this rate and hence the term 'high-throughput' in metabolomics is more a relative term to describe systems with an improved throughput compared to a standard of traditional liquid chromatographymass spectrometry (LC-MS) methods. For example, where \sim 750 samples per day is considered high-throughput for LC-MS, desorption/ionization based MS methods can achieve $\sim 10\ 000\ \text{samples/day}\ [5^{\circ},6]$. However, in MS methodology higher throughput comes at the cost of greatly reduced metabolite coverage, typically <10 metabolites.

The aim of this review is to give an overview of all developments to either improve the coverage or throughput of high-throughput mass spectrometry-based methods with a focus on metabolomic analysis. We describe a wide-range of MS techniques including those that we feel have the potential to enable higher coverage and throughput but do not attempt to comprehensively describe mass spectrometry desorption/ionization approaches. The reader is referred to several excellent recent reviews describing recent developments in high resolution MS and mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) approaches [7^{••},8,9].

Separation-based platforms

Several factors, including experimental setup or complex sample composition, could require separation of metabolites and/or sample matrix prior MS detection. For example, interference from the sample matrix can result in the decrease or absence of signals from metabolites present in the sample. Liquid-chromatography and gas chromatography are the most common separation techniques used with mass spectrometry (LC–MS and GC– MS, respectively). Capillary electrophoresis (CE) is another powerful approach, but is not as widely used. Both LC–MS and CE–MS most commonly use electrospray ionization (ESI) to produce ions for mass spectrometry analysis.

Although chromatographic-separation and electrophoretic separations are powerful tools for separating molecules in complex biological samples, they are time consuming. Liquid handling is performed on a timescale of seconds, typically many seconds, and chromatography is an order of magnitude slower, typically requiring many minutes. Developments in both column and instruments technologies, including UHPLC (ultra-high performance liquid chromatography), monolithic columns and coreshell columns, have decreased analysis time and improved throughput [10,11^{••},12]. Reduction of analysis times to 1–5 min and ~1 min have been reported for LC–MS and GC–MS, respectively [13–17]. It should be noted that an alternative for increasing throughput is to use multiple columns in parallel or injecting multiple samples in series [18,19]. However, these methods will not decrease the actual analysis time.

Fast separation (millisecond timescale) of ionized analytes can be achieved using ion mobility separation (IMS) [20]. IMS separates ions based on the difference in mobility in an electric field in the gas phase, caused by their mass, shape/size and charge. Integration of IMS with MS (IMS-MS) can result in rapid analyte separation for MS-based measurements. An increasing number of commercial IMS-MS types from several different vendors are available [21[•]]. IMS-MS has been applied to analysis a wide variety of molecular classes, including secondary metabolites, lipids, drug metabolites and carbohydrates, and for the metabolic profiling of bacteria and blood [22-27]. Also, IMS can also be integrated into LC–MS system to allow faster chromatography and thus increase throughput of LC-based systems [28]. In theory, separation can be obtained on a timescale of ~ 100 ms, which makes IMS 2–3 orders of magnitude faster than LC separations [29]. Although the millisecond timescale of separation, corresponding sample throughputs of <1 second per sample have not yet been reported for IMS-MS. Nevertheless, IMS seems a promising separation technique for highthroughput metabolomics.

Separation-free platforms

Since separation techniques are time consuming, a much higher throughput can be achieved by simply omitting the separation and directly introduce the sample into the ionization source. This is known as direct infusion/injection (DI) or flow injection/infusion (FIE). In DI-MS a static sample is continuously introduced into the mass spectrometer using a syringe pump, or similar device. DI-MS has been applied for e.g. the metabolic profiling of fruit and human plasma, and detection of fatty acids in serum [30–32]. With FIE-MS, the sample is injected into a continuous stream of organic phase flowing to the ionization interface. FIE-MS has been applied in, for example, the detection of B vitamins in nutritional formulations, pesticides in food, and the global metabolic response to osmotic stress in *Escherichia coli* [33[•],34,35]. Sample throughput of up to 2 samples/minute has been reported for both DI-MS and FIE-MS [5,30,36].

Desorption-based platforms

Another group of direct analysis techniques are desorption based. In the last decade, a large number of different ionization techniques have emerged [9,37]. And although these platforms have primarily been used for MSI, they enable the comparison of spatially defined samples, where the throughput is dependent on the sample size and the scan rates.

ESI can be used for imaging of spatially defined samples by, for example, scanning the sample with electrosprayed solvent as in Desorption Electrospray Ionization (DESI) or scanning a droplet of solvent prior to ESI as in nanospray DESI (nanoDESI). Samples can also be desorbed using laser ablation with subsequent ionization using ESI (Laser Ablation ElectroSpray Ionization, LAESI) or simply extracted in situ and then electrosprayed (extractive electrospray ionization, EESI). DESI and nanoDESI have been used for lipid and metabolic profiling with a throughput of 2 seconds per sample [38, 39, 40]. EESI and nanoEESI have been used to analyze small molecules in urine, milk and polluted water and has a throughput of up to 1.2 seconds per sample [38°,41]. LAESI has been applied to analyze metabolites and lipids in body fluids and tissue extracts with a sample throughput of 10 seconds per sample [42,43]. Also, most platforms, except for EESI, have already been commercialized for highthroughput applications [38°,44,45]. Another important technique, which is not based on ESI, is Direct Analysis in Real Time (DART) and uses metastable species for ionization. DART has been applied for metabolic fingerprinting of serum and can reach a throughput of 30 seconds per sample [45-47].

Laser DI is another common direct analysis technique. Since laser DI and ion extraction is very rapid (nanosecond timescale) these techniques can be extremely highthroughput. Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization (MALDI) is the most widely used laser DI technique. Here, analytes are co-crystallized with a matrix that absorbs laser light and transfers the lasers energy to the analyte [48^{••}]. MALDI has primarily been used to analyze peptides, proteins and nucleic acids as abundant matrix ions <1000 Da can obscure or interfere with small molecule analysis. Due to advances in, for example, laser beams and the application of novel matrices, matrix interference can be minimized. MALDI has been applied for e.g. the metabolic profiling of cancer cells, identification of secondary metabolites in plant extracts, and dereplication of bacterial isolates [49–51]. Throughputs of about 3 seconds per sample have been reported for MALDI [52].

A wide-range of matrix-free, Surface Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization (SALDI) approaches have been developed and have been reviewed in detail [53]. Many of these can be performed using commercial MALDI instruments. Major SALDI approaches include Desorption/Ionization on Silicon (DIOS), Nanostructure-Initiator Mass Spectrometry (NIMS), and NanoPost Arrays (NAPA). The majority of these approaches are based on the direct laser desorption/ionization of analytes directly from nanostructured substrates. DIOS has been used for the analysis of metabolites in body fluids and enzyme activity screenings [48^{••},54^{••}]. NIMS is a unique approach based on using liquid initiators, which are coated onto a nanostructured silicon surface [55]. An ionizing laser heats the surface, which results in the explosive vaporization of the trapped liquid coat as well as the adsorbed analyte molecules from surface applied samples [48^{••}]. NIMS has been used to analyze lipids and xenobiotics in complex biological fluids, including saliva, urine and blood, and for high-throughput enzyme activity screenings in cellular extracts [54^{••},55,56].

Another matrix-free, desorption method is levitated droplet-MS [57]. This technique uses a laser for the ionization and desorption of acoustically levitated droplets. Although levitated droplet-MS has only been used to analyze small molecules in water, it seems a promising technique for high-throughput metabolomics.

Since desorption/ionization is surface based and loading/ ejecting of plates takes several minutes, sample throughput can be significantly increased by increasing the number of samples spotted onto the planar surface and on the resolution and speed of the MALDI platform. By using acoustic deposition, which is a contact-free liquid transfer approach, we are able to spot 10 000 samples onto a NIMS surface. Analysis using a standard MALDI platform at a rate of ~10 000 samples/day takes ~13 h, and yields a throughput of ~5 seconds per sample [58^{••}] (Figure 1).



Two examples of desorption based high-throughput MS approaches: DESI (**a** and **b**) and NIMS (**c**). (a) Schematic of the DESI source and (b) DESI source equipped with a 96-sample plate (reprinted from [38*]). (c) Schematic of high-throughput NIMS screening (reprinted from [59]). Samples are acoustically printed and analyzed by NIMS.

Sample throughput vs metabolite coverage

High-throughput approaches usually improve sample throughput at the expense of metabolite coverage. A common drawback of high-throughput MS approaches, for example, fast chromatography runs, direct infusion/ flow injection-based and SALDI-based methods is a decrease in metabolite coverage (Figure 2). The coverage of detected compounds in complex samples is decreased due to sample matrix effects and incomplete resolution of analytes with similar mass-to-charge ratios. As the metabolites are co-ionized with the sample matrix, they suffer from ion suppression due to competitive ionization with the matrix components.

Ion suppression can be reduced by dilution of the samples, but this technique obviously lowers analyte concentrations and thus sensitivities [1]. Despite lower analyte concentrations, Fuhrer *et al.* were able to detect hundreds of metabolites in *E. coli* extracts using a high-throughput FIE-MS method [36].

Removing sample matrix, using techniques such as solidphase extraction (SPE), liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) and protein precipitation, can also reduce ion suppression. Commercial platforms exist which couple DI/FIE-MS to an online automated extraction. The Agilent RapidFire platform couples SPE sample purification method with FIE-MS in a single automated system, and is capable of throughputs up to 5 seconds per sample [52]. The RapidFire platform has mostly been used to analyze drug and drug-like molecules in body fluids and in high-throughput enzyme screenings [60,61]. Raterink *et al.* coupled an automated LLE method with DI-MS and applied it to

Figure 2



Schematic representation of sample throughput plotted against the total number of metabolites detectable by each platform. Solid line represents current throughputs of MS based platforms. Dashed line represents future direction of ideal throughput vs. coverage. Bracketed numbers indicate the respective references.

detect drugs and lipids in human plasma [62]. However, selectivity of the extraction method depends strongly on the analyte. The wide range of polarities and chemical diversity of metabolites poses a challenge for extracting all metabolites or even all metabolites in a subset and failure will provide a biased outcome and an incomplete view of the present metabolites. As a consequence, extraction methods are mainly applied when there is priori knowledge of metabolite composition or when a specific set of metabolites are targeted.

Microfluidics and MS

Microfluidics platforms provide a number of unique advantages relative to conventional bench-top systems, including reduced sample volumes, improved analysis speed and better multiplexing [63,64^{••}]. The coupling of microfluidic devices to mass spectrometers is becoming more common and will likely play an important role in the development of high-throughput analysis systems. ESI has widely been exploited as an ionization method for on-line microfluidic-MS analysis, since it is compatible with low flow rates. MALDI has also frequently been coupled to microfluidics, as it allows for automated and high-throughput sample preparation and deposition [63,64^{••}]. Furthermore, separation techniques can be miniaturized, enabling parallelization to increase analysis speed. Microchip-LC, microchip-CE, microchip-IMS and micro-SPE have all been coupled to MS and a commercial chip chromatography-MS instrument is available [21°,65°,66°]. ESI based approaches have been used for example, metabolomic studies of E. coli and the analysis drug metabolites in urine and MALDI based approaches have been used for example, profiling hormone release from Islets of Langerhans with sampling rates of 30 seconds (including deposition onto MALDI plate) [67-70].

Besides the previously mentioned examples, most microfluidic separation-technique and MS techniques have not yet been applied to high-throughput metabolomics. With the advantages of microfluidics, we anticipate that microfluidic-based MS platforms will be able to analysis thousands of metabolites at (ultra) high sample throughputs.





Schematic drawing of a possible microfluidic devices for (exo)metabolomics. Based on reference [72*].

One could envision devices capable of massive parallel sample separation using on-chip electrophoresis and/or chromatography or devices with microscale cell culture chambers and on-chip SPE for ultra high-throughput (exo)metabolomics (Figure 3) [71,72[•]].

Conclusion

The growth of metabolomics as a field means that the number of large-scale, multiplex and systems-wide studies will increase. This makes improving the throughput of MS-based analytical methods highly desirable. Currently, multiple MS-based platforms exist which are truly highthroughput, capable of analyzing 1000–10 000 samples a day, and which have been applied in a diverse range of metabolomic studies. Due to the trade-off between metabolite coverage and sample throughput, high-throughput MS-based platforms are complementary to existing high resolution, low-throughput separation-based MS methods. Both methods will be needed to cover both the metabolomic sample space and depth. With rapid developments in microfluidic-MS, these platforms will be able to bridge the gap between throughput and metabolite coverage.

Acknowledgements

This work was part of the DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute (http://www.jbei. org) and ENIGMA- Ecosystems and Networks Integrated with Genes and Molecular Assemblies (http://enigma.lbl.gov), a Scientific Focus Area Program at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, both supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, through contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 between Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Wolfender J-L, Marti G, Thomas A, Bertrand S: Current approaches and challenges for the metabolite profiling of complex natural extracts. J Chromatogr A 2015, 1382:136-164.
- Larive CK, Barding GA, Dinges MM: NMR Spectroscopy for Metabolomics and Metabolic Profiling. 2015:. [no volume].
- Glaves JP, Li MX, Mercier P, Fahlman RP, Sykes BD: Highthroughput, multi-platform metabolomics on very small volumes: ¹H NMR metabolite identification in an unadulterated tube-in-tube system. *Metabolomics* 2014 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s11306-014-0678-2.
- 4. Mayr LM, Bojanic D: Novel trends in high-throughput screening. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2009, **9**:580-588.
- 5. Fuhrer T, Zamboni N: High-throughput discovery

metabolomics. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2015, 31:73-78.
 A recent review comparing DI-MS and FIE-MS to traditional MS methods and their application in metabolomics.

 Lee JH, Choi HS, Nasr Ka, Ha M, Kim Y, Frangioni JV: Highthroughput small molecule identification using MALDI-TOF and a nanolayered substrate. *Anal Chem* 2011, 83:5283-5289. Junot C, Fenaille F, Colsch B, Bécher F: High resolution mass
 spectrometry based techniques at the crossroads of

metabolic pathways. Mass Spectrom Rev 2014, 33:471-500. An excellent recent review on high resolution mass spectrometry in metabolomics

- 8. Hsu C-C, Dorrestein PC: Visualizing life with ambient mass spectrometry. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2015, **31**:24-34.
- Silva LP, Northen TR: Exometabolomics and MSI: deconstructing how cells interact to transform their small molecule environment. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2015, 34:209-216.
- Wang X, Sun H, Zhang A, Wang P, Han Y: Ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry as a sensitive and powerful technology for metabolomic studies. J Sep Sci 2011, 34:3451-3459.
- 11. Kuehnbaum NL, Britz-Mckibbin P: New advances in separation
- science for metabolomics: resolving chemical diversity in a post-genomic era. Chem Rev 2013, **113**:2437-2468.

A nice review on the different separation techniques used in metabolomics.

- Nováková L: Challenges in the development of bioanalytical liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry method with emphasis on fast analysis. J Chromatogr A 2013, 1292:25-37.
- Gray N, Lewis MR, Plumb RS, Wilson ID, Nicholson JK: Highthroughput microbore UPLC-MS metabolic phenotyping of urine for large-scale epidemiology studies. J Proteome Res 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00203.
- Baran R, Bowen BP, Price MN, Arkin AP, Deutschbauer AM, Northen TR: Metabolic footprinting of mutant libraries to map metabolite utilization to genotype. ACS Chem Biol 2013, 8:189-199.
- Bertrand S, Schumpp O, Bohni N, Bujard A, Azzollini A, Monod M, Gindro K, Wolfender JL: Detection of metabolite induction in fungal co-cultures on solid media by high-throughput differential ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography-timeof-flight mass spectrometry fingerprinting. J Chromatogr A 2013, 1292:219-228.
- Allwood JW, Erban A, de Koning S, Dunn WB, Luedemann A, Lommen A, Kay L, Löscher R, Kopka J, Goodacre R: Interlaboratory reproducibility of fast gas chromatographyelectron impact-time of flight mass spectrometry (GC-EI-TOF/ MS) based plant metabolomics. *Metabolomics* 2009, 5:479-496.
- Boeker P, Leppert J: Flow field thermal gradient gas chromatography. Anal Chem 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ acs.analchem.5b02227.
- Youdim Ka, Saunders KC: A review of LC–MS techniques and high-throughput approaches used to investigate drug metabolism by cytochrome P450s. J Chromatogr B: Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci 2010, 878:1326-1336.
- Kuehnbaum NL, Kormendi A, Britz-Mckibbin P: Multisegment injection-capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry: a high-throughput platform for metabolomics with high data fidelity. Anal Chem 2013, 85:10664-10669.
- Baker ES, Livesay Ea, Orton DJ, Moore RJ, Danielson WF, Prior DC, Ibrahim YM, LaMarche BL, Mayampurath AM, Schepmoes Aa et al.: An LC–IMS–MS platform providing increased dynamic range for high-throughput proteomic studies. J Proteome Res 2010, 9:997-1006.
- Lapthorn C, Pullen F, Chowdhry BZ: Ion mobility spectrometrymass spectrometry (IMS-MS) of small molecules: separating and assigning structures to ions. *Mass Spectrom Rev* 2013, 26:451-466.
- An in-depth review on ion mobility spectrometry and IM-MS.
- Goodwin CR, Fenn LS, Derewacz DK, Bachmann BO, McLean Ja: Structural mass spectrometry: rapid methods for separation and analysis of peptide natural products. J Nat Prod 2012, 75:48-53.
- May JC, Goodwin CR, McLean JA: Ion mobility-mass spectrometry strategies for untargeted systems, synthetic, and chemical biology. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2015, 31:117-121.

- Bylda C, Thiele R, Kobold U, Bujotzek A, Volmer Da: Rapid quantification of digitoxin and its metabolites using differential ion mobility spectrometry-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ ac503187z.
- Dwivedi P, Schultz AJ Jr: Metabolic profiling of human blood by high-resolution ion mobility mass spectrometry (IM–MS). Int J Mass Spectrom 2010, 298:78-90.
- Dwivedi P, Wu P, Klopsch SJ, Puzon GJ, Xun L, Hill HH: Metabolic profiling by ion mobility mass spectrometry (IMMS). *Metabolomics* 2008, 4:63-80.
- Both P, Green a P, Gray CJ, Sardzík R, Voglmeir J, Fontana C, Austeri M, Rejzek M, Richardson D, Field Ra *et al.*: Discrimination of epimeric glycans and glycopeptides using IM–MS and its potential for carbohydrate sequencing. Nat Chem 2014, 6:65-74.
- Shammel E, Burnum-Johnson KE, Jacobs JM, Diamond DL, Brown RN, Ibrahim YM, Orton DJ, Piehowski PD, Purdy DE, Moore RJ et al.: Advancing the high throughput identification of liver fibrosis protein signatures using multiplexed ion mobility spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 2014, 13:1119-1127.
- Belov ME, Buschbach Ma, Prior DC, Tang K, Smith RD: Multiplexed ion mobility spectrometry-orthogonal time-offlight mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 2007, 79:2451-2462.
- 30. Zhang Y, Qiu L, Wang Y, Qin X, Li Z: High-throughput and highsensitivity quantitative analysis of serum unsaturated fatty acids by chip-based nanoelectrospray ionization-Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry: early stage diagnostic biomarkers of pancreatic cancer. Analyst 2014, 139:1697-1706.
- Han J, Danell RM, Patel JR, Gumerov DR, Scarlett CO, Speir JP, Parker CE, Rusyn I, Zeisel S, Borchers CH: Towards highthroughput metabolomics using ultrahigh-field Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *Metabolomics* 2008, 4:128-140.
- 32. McDougall G, Martinussen I, Stewart D: Towards fruitful metabolomics: high throughput analyses of polyphenol composition in berries using direct infusion mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B: Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci 2008, 871:362-369.
- 33. Sévin DC, Sauer U: Ubiquinone accumulation improves
- osmotic-stress tolerance in Escherichia coli. Nat Chem Biol 2014, 10:266-272.

Application of FIE-MS to detect global metabolic response, where they first measured more than 1000 metabolites and then looked more closer into ubiquinone-8 biosynthesis.

- **34.** Bhandari D, Van Berkel GJ: **Evaluation of flow-injection tandem mass spectrometry for rapid and high-throughput quantitative determination of B vitamins in nutritional supplements**. *J Agric Food Chem* 2012, **60**:8356-8362.
- **35.** Nanita SC, Pentz AM, Bramble FQ: **High-throughput pesticide** residue quantitative analysis achieved by tandem mass spectrometry with automated flow injection. *Anal Chem* 2009, **81**:3134-3142.
- Fuhrer T, Heer D, Begemann B, Zamboni N: High-throughput, accurate mass metabolome profiling of cellular extracts by flow injection-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 2011, 83:7074-7080.
- 37. Watrous JD, Dorrestein PC: Imaging mass spectrometry in microbiology. Nat Rev Microbiol 2011, 9:683-694.
- 38. Li L-P, Feng B-S, Yang J-W, Chang C-L, Bai Y, Liu H-W:
 Applications of ambient mass spectrometry in highthroughput screening. *Analyst* 2013, 138:3097-3103.

Review on the application of ambient MS in high-throughput screening.

- **39.** Nemes P, Vertes A: **Ambient mass spectrometry for in vivo local analysis and in situ molecular tissue imaging**. *TrAC – Trends Anal Chem* 2012, **34**:22-33.
- 40. Manicke NE, Kistler T, Ifa DR, Cooks RG, Ouyang Z: Highthroughput quantitative analysis by desorption electrospray

ionization mass spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2009, 20:321-325.

- 41. Chen H, Venter A, Cooks RG: Extractive electrospray ionization for direct analysis of undiluted urine, milk and other complex mixtures without sample preparation. *Chem Commun (Camb)* 2006 http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b602614a.
- Beach DG, Walsh CM, McCarron P: High-throughput quantitative analysis of domoic acid directly from mussel tissue using Laser Ablation Electrospray Ionization – tandem mass spectrometry. *Toxicon* 2014, 92:75-80.
- Nemes P, Vertes A: Laser ablation electrospray ionization for atmospheric pressure, in vivo, and imaging mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 2007, 79:8098-8106.
- Bartels B, Svatoš A: Spatially resolved in vivo plant metabolomics by laser ablation-based mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) techniques: LDI-MSI and LAESI. Front Plant Sci 2015, 6:1-7.
- 45. Vaclavik L, Cajka T, Hrbek V, Hajslova J: Ambient mass spectrometry employing direct analysis in real time (DART) ion source for olive oil quality and authenticity assessment. Anal Chim Acta 2009, 645:56-63.
- 46. Jones CM, Fernández FM: Transmission mode direct analysis in real time mass spectrometry for fast untargeted metabolic fingerprinting. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 2013, 27:1311-1318.
- Zhou M, McDonald JF, Fernández FM: Optimization of a direct analysis in real time/time-of-flight mass spectrometry method for rapid serum metabolomic fingerprinting. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2010, 21:68-75.
- 48. De Rond T, Danielewicz M, Northen T: High throughput
 screening of enzyme activity with mass spectrometry imaging. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2014, 31C:1-9.

Great review on the use of desorption based MS for high-throughput enzyme screening.

- Ghyselinck J, Van Hoorde K, Hoste B, Heylen K, De Vos P: Evaluation of MALDI-TOF MS as a tool for high-throughput dereplication. J Microbiol Methods 2011, 86:327-336.
- 50. Miura D, Fujimura Y, Tachibana H, Wariishi H: Highly sensitive matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-mass spectrometry for high-throughput metabolic profiling. *Anal Chem* 2010, 82:498-504.
- Fraser PD, Enfissi EMa, Goodfellow M, Eguchi T, Bramley PM: Metabolite profiling of plant carotenoids using the matrixassisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Plant J* 2007, 49:552-564.
- 52. Jonas M, LaMarr Wa, Ozbal C: Mass spectrometry in highthroughput screening: a case study on acetyl-coenzyme a carboxylase using RapidFire—mass spectrometry (RF-MS). Comb Chem High Throughput Screen 2009, 12:752-759.
- Stolee Ja, Walker BN, Zorba V, Russo RE, Vertes A: Laser nanostructure interactions for ion production. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2012, 14:8453.
- 54. Greving MP, Patti GJ, Siuzdak G: Nanostructure-initiator mass
 spectrometry metabolite analysis and imaging. Anal Chem 2012, 29:997-1003.

Acoustic sample deposition with NIMS, demonstrating that accurate small scale sample deposition can greatly improve throughput of surface desorption/ionization based MS techniques.

- Northen TR, Yanes O, Northen MT, Marrinucci D, Uritboonthai W, Apon J, Golledge SL, Nordström A, Siuzdak G: Clathrate nanostructures for mass spectrometry. *Nature* 2007, 449:1033-1036.
- Northen TR, Lee J, Hoang L, Raymond J, Hwang D, Yannone SM, Wong C, Siuzdak G: A Nanostructure-initiator Mass Spectrometrybased Enzyme Activity Assay. 2008. [no volume].
- Desorption I, Warschat C, Stindt A, Panne U, Riedel J: Mass spectrometry of levitated droplets by thermally unconfined infrared-laser desorption. 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ acs.analchem.5b01495.

- 58. Greving M, Cheng X, Reindl W, Bowen B, Deng K, Louie K,
- Nyman M, Cohen J, Singh A, Simmons B et al.: Acoustic deposition with NIMS as a high-throughput enzyme activity assay. Anal Bioanal Chem 2012, 403:707-711.

Acoustic sample deposition with NIMS, demonstrating that accurate small scale sample deposition can greatly improve throughput of surface desorption/ionization based MS techniques.

- 59. Cheng X, Hiras J, Deng K, Bowen B, Simmons Ba, Adams PD, Singer SW, Northen TR: High throughput nanostructureinitiator mass spectrometry screening of microbial growth conditions for maximal β-glucosidase production. Front Microbiol 2013, 4:1-7.
- Rye PT, LaMarr Wa: Measurement of glycolysis reactants by high-throughput solid phase extraction with tandem mass spectrometry: characterization of pyrophosphate-dependent phosphofructokinase as a case study. Anal Biochem 2015, 482:40-47.
- Jian W, Romm MV, Edom RW, Miller VP, Lamarr Wa, Weng N: Evaluation of a high-throughput online solid phase extractiontandem mass spectrometry system for in vivo bioanalytical studies. Anal Chem 2011, 83:8259-8266.
- **62.** Raterink R, Witkam Y, Vreeken RJ, Ramautar R, Hankemeier T: Gas Pressure Assisted Microliquid – Liquid Extraction Coupled Online to Direct Infusion Mass Spectrometry: A New Automated Screening Platform for Bioanalysis. 2014:. [no volume].
- 63. Lee J, Soper Sa, Murray KK: Microfluidics with MALDI analysis for proteomics—a review. Anal Chim Acta 2009, 649:180-190.
- Wang X, Yi L, Mukhitov N, Schrell AM, Dhumpa R, Roper MG:
 Microfluidics-to-mass spectrometry: a review of coupling

methods and applications. *J Chromatogr A* 2015, **1382**:98-116. Nice recent review of different microfluidic devices coupled to ESI and MALDI MS and their applications. 65. Gao D, Liu H, Jiang Y, Lin J-M: Recent advances in microfluidics
 combined with mass spectrometry: technologies and applications. Lab Chip 2013, 13:3309-3322.

An example of a microfluidic device with inline cell culture, sample cleanup (SPE) and ESI-MS.

- 66. Ohla S, Belder D: Chip-based separation devices coupled to
 mass spectrometry. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2012, 16:453-459.
 Beview on microfluidic separation techniques.
- Bllaci L, Eliasson L, Friend JR, Yeo LY: Fast surface acoustic wave-matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry of cell response from islets of langerhans. Anal Chem 2013, 85:2623-2629.
- Nordman N, Sikanen T, Moilanen ME, Aura S, Kotiaho T, Franssila S, Kostiainen R: Rapid and sensitive drug metabolism studies by SU-8 microchip capillary electrophoresiselectrospray ionization mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 2011, 1218:739-745.
- Marasco CC, Enders JR, Seale KT, McLean Ja, Wikswo JP: Realtime cellular exometabolome analysis with a microfluidicmass spectrometry platform. *PLoS One* 2015, 10:e0117685.
- Heinemann J, Noon B, Mohigmi MJ, Mazurie A, Dickensheets DL, Bothner B: Real-time digitization of metabolomics patterns from a living system using mass spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13361-014-0922-z.
- Huft J, Haynes CA, Hansen CL: Microfluidic Integration of Parallel Solid-Phase Liquid Chromatography. 2013:. [no volume].
- 72. Gao D, Li H, Wang N, Lin J: Evaluation of the absorption of
 methotrexate on cells and its cytotoxicity assay by using an integrated micro fl uidic device coupled to a mass spectrometer. Anal Chem 2012, 84:9230-9237.

An example of a microfluidic device with inline cell culture, sample cleanup (SPE) and ESI-MS.