Title

2-local triple derivations on von Neumann algebras

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2b32b7kh

Journal

Illinois Journal of Mathematics, 58(4)

ISSN

0019-2082

Authors

Kudaybergenov, Karimbergen
Oikhberg, Timur
Peralta, Antonio M
et al.

Publication Date

2014-12-01

DOI

10.1215/ijm/1446819301

Copyright Information

Peer reviewed

2-LOCAL TRIPLE DERIVATIONS ON VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

KARIMBERGEN KUDAYBERGENOV, TIMUR OIKHBERG,
ANTONIO M. PERALTA AND BERNARD RUSSO

ABSTRACT. We prove that every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra M is a triple derivation, equivalently, the set $\mathrm{Der}_t(M)$, of all triple derivations on M, is algebraically 2-reflexive in the set $\mathcal{M}(M) = M^M$ of all mappings from M into M.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. According to the terminology employed in the literature (see, for example, [4]), a subset $\mathcal{D}$ of the Banach space $B(X,Y)$, of all bounded linear operators from X into Y, is called *algebraically reflexive* in $B(X,Y)$ when it satisfies the property:

$$(1.1) \qquad T \in B(X,Y) \quad \text{with } T(x) \in \mathcal{D}(x), \forall x \in X \Rightarrow T \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Algebraic reflexivity of $\mathcal{D}$ in the space $L(X,Y)$, of all linear mappings from X into Y, a stronger version of the above property not requiring continuity of T, is defined by:

$$(1.2) \qquad T \in L(X,Y) \quad \text{with } T(x) \in \mathcal{D}(x), \forall x \in X \Rightarrow T \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In 1990, Kadison proved that (1.1) holds if $\mathcal{D}$ is the set $\mathrm{Der}(M,X)$ of all (associative) derivations on a von Neumann algebra M into a dual M-bimodule X [18]. Johnson extended Kadison's result by establishing that the set $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Der}(A,X)$, of all (associative) derivations from a C*-algebra A into a Banach A-bimodule X satisfies (1.2) [17].

Algebraic reflexivity of the set of local triple derivations on a C*-algebra and on a JB*-triple have been studied in [24], [9], [12] and [14]. More precisely, Mackey proves in [24] that the set $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Der}_t(M)$, of all triple derivations on a JBW*-triple M satisfies (1.1). The result has been supplemented in [12], where Burgos, Fernández-Polo and the third author of this note prove that for each JB*-triple E, the set $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Der}_t(E)$ of all triple derivations on E satisfies (1.2).

Hereafter, *algebraic reflexivity* will refer to the stronger version (1.2) which does not assume the continuity of T.

In [6], Brešar and Šemrl proved that the set of all (algebra) automorphisms of $B(H)$ is algebraically reflexive whenever H is a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Given a Banach space X, a linear mapping $T : X \to X$ satisfying the hypothesis at (1.2) for $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, the set of automorphisms on X, is called a *local automorphism*. Larson and Sourour showed in [22] that for every infinite dimensional Banach space X, every surjective local automorphism T on the Banach algebra $B(X)$, of all bounded linear operators on X, is an automorphism.

Motivated by the results of Šemrl in [31], references witness a growing interest in a subtle version of algebraic reflexivity called *algebraic 2-reflexivity* (cf. [1], [2], [10], [11], [21], [23], [25], [26] and [29]). A subset $\mathcal{D}$ of the set $\mathcal{M}(X,Y) = Y^X$, of all mappings from X into Y, is called *algebraically 2-reflexive* when the following property holds: for each mapping T in $\mathcal{M}(X,Y)$ such that for each $a, b \in X$, there exists $S = S_{a,b} \in \mathcal{D}$ (depending on a and b), with $T(a) = S_{a,b}(a)$ and $T(b) = S_{a,b}(b)$, then T lies in $\mathcal{D}$. A mapping $T : X \to Y$ satisfying the property that for each $a, b \in X$, there exists $S = S_{a,b} \in \mathcal{D}$ (depending on a and b), with $T(a) = S_{a,b}(a)$ and $T(b) = S_{a,b}(b)$ will be called a 2-local $\mathcal{D}$-mapping. If we assume that every mapping $S \in \mathcal{D}$ is r-homogeneous (that is, $S(ta) = t^r S(a)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) with $0 < r$, then every 2-local $\mathcal{D}$-mapping $T : X \to Y$ is r-homogeneous. Indeed, for each $a \in X$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$ take $S_{a,ta} \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying $T(ta) = S_{a,ta}(ta) = t^r S_{a,ta}(a) = t^r T(a)$.

Šemrl establishes in [31] that for every infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H, the sets $\mathrm{Aut}(B(H))$ and $\mathrm{Der}(B(H))$, of all (algebra) automorphisms and associative derivations on $B(H)$, respectively, are algebraically 2-reflexive in $\mathcal{M}(B(H)) = \mathcal{M}(B(H), B(H))$. Ayupov and the first author of this note proved in [1] that the same statement remains true for general Hilbert spaces (see [20] for the finite dimensional case). Actually, the set $\mathrm{Hom}(A)$, of all homomorphisms on a general C*-algebra A, is algebraically 2-reflexive in the Banach algebra $B(A)$, of all bounded linear operators on A, and the set *-$\mathrm{Hom}(A)$, of all *-homomorphisms on A, is algebraically 2-reflexive in the space $L(A)$, of all linear operators on A (cf. [27]).

In recent contributions, Burgos, Fernández-Polo and the third author of this note prove that the set *-$\mathrm{Hom}(M)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{Hom}_t(M)$), of all *-homomorphisms (respectively, triple homomorphisms) on a von Neumann

algebra (respectively, on a JBW*-triple) M, is an algebraically 2-reflexive sub-set of $\mathcal{M}(M)$ (cf. [10], [11], respectively), while Ayupov and the first author of this note establish that the set $\mathrm{Der}(M)$ of all derivations on M is algebraically 2-reflexive in $\mathcal{M}(M)$ (see [2]).

In this paper, we consider the set $\mathrm{Der}_t(A)$ of all triple derivations on a C*-algebra A. We recall that every C*-algebra A can be equipped with a ternary product of the form

$$\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}\left(ab^*c + cb^*a\right).$$

When A is equipped with this product it becomes a JB*-triple in the sense of [19]. A linear mapping $\delta : A \to A$ is said to be a *triple derivation* when it satisfies the (triple) Leibnitz rule:

$$\delta\{a, b, c\} = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\}.$$

It is known that every triple derivation is automatically continuous (cf. [3]). We refer to [3], [15] and [28] for the basic references on triple derivations. According to the standard notation, 2-local $\mathrm{Der}_t(A)$-mappings from A into A are called *2-local triple derivations*.

The goal of this note is to explore the algebraic 2-reflexivity of $\mathrm{Der}_t(A)$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Our main result proves that every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra M is a triple derivation (hence, linear and continuous) (see Theorem 2.14), equivalently, $\mathrm{Der}_t(M)$ is algebraically 2-reflexive in $\mathcal{M}(M)$.

2. 2-local triple derivations on von Neumann algebras

We start by recalling some generalities on triple derivations. Let A be a C*-algebra. For each $b \in A$, we shall denote by M_b the Jordan multiplication mapping by the element b, that is $M_b(x) = b \circ x = \frac{1}{2}(bx + xb)$. Following standard notation, given elements a, b in A, we denote by $L(a, b)$ the operator on A defined by $L(a, b)(x) = \{a, b, x\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*x + xb^*a)$. It is known that the mapping $\delta(a, b) : A \to A$, given by

$$\delta(a, b)(x) = L(a, b)(x) - L(b, a)(x),$$

is a triple derivation on A (cf. [3], [15]). A triple derivation which is a finite linear combination of derivations of the form $\delta(a, b)$ is called an *inner triple derivation*.

Let $\delta : A \to A$ be a triple derivation on a unital C*-algebra. By [15, Lemmas 1 and 2], $\delta(\mathbf{1})^* = -\delta(\mathbf{1})$, $M_{\delta(\mathbf{1})} = \delta(\frac{1}{2}\delta(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ is an inner triple derivation on A, and the difference $D = \delta - \delta(\frac{1}{2}\delta(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ is a Jordan *-derivation on A, more concretely,

$$D(x \circ y) = D(x) \circ y + x \circ D(y), \quad \text{and} \quad D(x^*) = D(x)^*,$$

for every $x, y \in A$. By [3, Corollary 2.2], δ (and hence D) is a continuous operator. A widely known result, due to B. E. Johnson, states that every bounded Jordan derivation from a C*-algebra A to a Banach A-bimodule is an associative derivation (cf. [16]). Therefore, D is an associative *-derivation in the usual sense. When $A = M$ is a von Neumann algebra, we can guarantee that D is an inner derivation, that is, there exists $\widetilde{a} \in A$ satisfying $D(x) = [\widetilde{a}, x] = \widetilde{a}x - x\widetilde{a}$, for every $x \in A$ (cf. [30, Theorem 4.1.6]). Further, from the condition $D(x^*) = D(x)^*$, for every $x \in A$, we deduce that $(\widetilde{a}^* + \widetilde{a})x = x(\widetilde{a}^* + \widetilde{a})$. Thus, taking $a = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{a} - \widetilde{a}^*)$, it follows that $[a, x] = [\widetilde{a}, x]$, for every $x \in M$. We have therefore shown that for every triple derivation δ on a von Neumann algebra M, there exist skew-Hermitian elements $a, b \in M$ satisfying

$$\delta(x) = [a, x] + b \circ x,$$

for every $x \in M$.

Our first lemma is a direct consequence of the above arguments (see [15, Lemmas 1 and 2]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $T : A \to A$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a unital C*-algebra. Then*

(a) $T(\mathbf{1})^* = -T(\mathbf{1})$;
(b) $M_{T(\mathbf{1})} = \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ *is an inner triple derivation on A;*
(c) $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ *is a 2-local triple derivation on A with $\widehat{T}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$.*

In what follows, we denote by A_{sa} the Hermitian elements of the C*-algebra A.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $T : A \to A$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a unital C*-algebra satisfying $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. Then $T(x) = T(x)^*$ for all $x \in A_{sa}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in A_{sa}$. By assumptions,

$$T(x)^* = \big\{\mathbf{1}, T(x), \mathbf{1}\big\} = \big\{\mathbf{1}, \delta_{x,\mathbf{1}}(x), \mathbf{1}\big\} = \delta_{x,\mathbf{1}}\{\mathbf{1}, x, \mathbf{1}\} - 2\big\{\delta_{x,\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1}), x, \mathbf{1}\big\}$$
$$= \delta_{x,\mathbf{1}}\big(x^*\big) - 2\big\{T(\mathbf{1}), x, \mathbf{1}\big\} = \delta_{x,\mathbf{1}}(x) = T(x).$$

The proof is complete. □

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra satisfying $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. Then for every $x, y \in M_{sa}$ there exists a skew-Hermitian element $a_{x,y} \in M$ such that*

$$T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x], \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y].$$

Proof. For every $x, y \in M_{sa}$ we can find skew-Hermitian elements $a_{x,y}, b_{x,y} \in M$ such that

$$T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y] + b_{x,y} \circ y.$$

Taking into account that $T(x) = T(x)^*$ (see Lemma 2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x = T(x) = T(x)^* &= [a_{x,y}, x]^* + (b_{x,y} \circ x)^* \\ &= \left[x, a_{x,y}^*\right] + x \circ b_{x,y}^* = [x, -a_{x,y}] - x \circ b_{x,y} \\ &= [a_{x,y}, x] - b_{x,y} \circ x,\end{aligned}$$

that is, $b_{x,y} \circ x = 0$, and similarly $b_{x,y} \circ y = 0$. Therefore, $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x]$, $T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y]$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

We state now an observation which plays a useful role in our study.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let a and b be skew-Hermitian elements in a C^*-algebra A. Suppose $x \in A$ is self-adjoint with $[a, x] + 2b \circ x = 0$. Then $[a, x] = 0$ and $b \circ x = 0$.*

Proof. Since $0 = ax - xa + bx + xb$, by passing to the adjoint, we obtain $ax - xa - (bx + xb) = 0$. The conclusion is reached by adding and subtracting these two equalities. $\square$

Let M be a von Neumann algebra. If $x \in M_{sa}$, we denote by $s(x)$ the support projection of x, that is, the projection onto $(\ker(x))^\perp = \overline{\mathrm{ran}(x)}$. We say that x *has full support* if $s(x) = \mathbf{1}$ (equivalently, $\ker(x) = \{0\}$).

LEMMA 2.5. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra. Suppose $u \in M_+$ has full support, $c \in M$ is self-adjoint, and $\sigma(c^2 u) \cap (0, \infty) = \emptyset$. Then $c = 0$. Consequently, if u and c are as above, and $uc + cu = 0$ (or $c^2 u = -cuc \leq 0$), then $c = 0$.*

Proof. For the first statement of the lemma, suppose $\sigma(c^2 u) \cap (0, \infty) = \emptyset$. Note that

$$\sigma\left(c^2 u\right) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(c \cdot cu) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(cuc) \cup \{0\}.$$

However, cuc is positive, hence $\sigma(cuc) \subset [0, \|cuc\|]$, with $\max_{\lambda \in \sigma(cuc)} = \|cuc\|$. Thus, $cu^{1/2}u^{1/2}c = cuc = 0$, which means that $cu^{1/2} = u^{1/2}c = 0$ and hence $s(c) \leq 1 - s(u^{1/2}) = 1 - s(u) = 0$, which leads to $c = 0$.

To prove the second part, we have $c^2 u = -cuc \leq 0$, hence in particular, $\sigma(c^2 u) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. The proof is complete. $\square$

In [2, Lemma 2.2], Ayupov and the first author of this note prove that for every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local derivation on a von Neumann algebra $\Delta : M \to M$, and every self-adjoint element $z \in M$, there exists $a \in M$ satisfying

$$\Delta(x) = [a, x],$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$, where $\mathcal{W}^*(z) = \{z\}''$ denotes the Abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by the element z, and the unit element and $\{z\}''$ denotes the bicommutant of the set $\{z\}$. We prove next a ternary version of this result.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra. Let $z \in M$ be a self-adjoint element and let $\mathcal{W}^*(z) = \{z\}''$ be the Abelian von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by the element z and the unit element. Then there exist skew-Hermitian elements $a_z, b_z \in M$, depending on z, such that*

$$T(x) = [a_z, x] + b_z \circ x = a_z x - x a_z + \frac{1}{2}(b_z x + x b_z)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$. In particular, T is linear on $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$.

Proof. We can assume that $z \neq 0$. Note that the Abelian von Neumann subalgebras generated by $\mathbf{1}$ and z and by $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1} + \frac{z}{2\|z\|}$ coincide. So, replacing z with $\mathbf{1} + \frac{z}{2\|z\|}$ we can assume that z is an invertible positive element.

By definition, there exist skew-Hermitian elements $a_z, b_z \in M$ (depending on z) such that

$$T(z) = [a_z, z] + b_z \circ z.$$

Define a mapping $T_0 : M \to M$ given by $T_0(x) = T(x) - ([a_z, x] + b_z \circ x)$, $x \in M$. Clearly, T_0 is a 2-local triple derivation on M. We shall show that $T_0 = 0$ on $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$ be an arbitrary element. By assumptions, there exist skew-Hermitian elements $c_{z,x}, d_{z,x} \in M$ such that

$$T_0(z) = [c_{z,x}, z] + d_{z,x} \circ z, \quad \text{and} \quad T_0(x) = [c_{z,x}, x] + d_{z,x} \circ x.$$

Since $0 = T_0(z) = [c_{z,x}, z] + d_{z,x} \circ z$, we get $[c_{z,x}, z] + d_{z,x} \circ z = 0$.

Taking into account that z is a Hermitian element and Lemma 2.4 we get $c_{z,x} z = z c_{z,x}$ and $d_{z,x} z = -z d_{z,x}$.

Since z has full support, and $d_{z,x}^2 z = -d_{z,x} z d_{z,x}$, Lemma 2.5 implies that $d_{z,x} = 0$. Further

$$c_{z,x} \in \{z\}' = \{z\}''' = \mathcal{W}^*(z)',$$

that is, $c_{z,x}$ commutes with any element in $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$. Therefore $T_0(x) = [c_{z,x}, x] + d_{z,x} \circ x = 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$. The proof is complete. □

2.1. Complete additivity of 2-local derivations and 2-local triple derivations on von Neumann algebras. Let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ denote the lattice of projections in a von Neumann algebra M. Let X be a Banach space. A mapping $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \to X$ is said to be *finitely additive* when

$$(2.1) \qquad \mu\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(p_i),$$

for every family $p_1, \dots, p_n$ of mutually orthogonal projections in M.

A mapping $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \to X$ is said to be *bounded* when the set

$$\{\|\mu(p)\| : p \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$$

is bounded. The celebrated Bunce–Wright–Mackey–Gleason theorem ([7], [8]) states that if M has no summand of type I_2, then every bounded finitely

additive mapping $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \to X$ extends to a bounded linear operator from M to X.

According to the terminology employed in [32] and [13], a completely additive mapping $\mu : \mathcal{P}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$—that is, (2.1) holds for an arbitrary set I; see (2.2) below—is called a *charge*. The Dorofeev–Sherstnev theorem ([32, Theorem 29.5] or [13, Theorem 2]) states that any charge on a von Neumann algebra with no summands of type I_n $(n < \infty)$ is bounded.

We shall use the Dorofeev–Shertsnev theorem in Corollary 2.8 in order to be able to apply the Bunce-Wright-Mackey-Gleason theorem in Proposition 2.9. To this end, we need Proposition 2.7, which is implicitly applied in [2, proof of Lemma 2.3] for 2-local associative derivations. A proof is included here for completeness reasons.

First, we recall some facts about the strong* topology. For each normal positive functional φ in the predual of a von Neumann algebra M, the mapping

$$x \mapsto \|x\|_\varphi = \left(\varphi \left(\frac{xx^* + x^*x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (x \in M)$$

defines a prehilbertian seminorm on M. The *strong* topology* of M is the locally convex topology on M defined by all the seminorms $\| \cdot \|_\varphi$, where φ runs over the set of all positive functionals in M_* (cf. [30, Definition 1.8.7]). It is known that the strong* topology of M is compatible with the duality (M, M_*), that is a functional $\psi : M \to \mathbb{C}$ is strong* continuous if and only if it is weak* continuous (see [30, Corollary 1.8.10]). if and only if it is weak* continuous. We also recall that multiplication in every von Neumann algebra is jointly strong* continuous on bounded sets (see [30, Proposition 1.8.12]).

Suppose $X = W$ is another von Neumann algebra, and let τ denote the norm, the weak* or the strong* topology of W. The mapping μ is said to be *τ-completely additive* (respectively, *countably or sequentially τ-additive*) when

$$(2.2) \qquad \mu \left(\sum_{i \in I} p_i \right) = \tau\text{-} \sum_{i \in I} \mu(p_i)$$

for every family (respectively, sequence) $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in M.

It is known that every family $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in a von Neumann algebra M is summable with respect to the weak* topology of M and $p = $ weak*-$\sum_{i \in I} p_i$ is a projection in M (cf. [30, Definition 1.13.4]). Further, for each normal positive functional ϕ in M_* and every finite set $F \subset I$, we have

$$\left\| p - \sum_{i \in F} p_i \right\|_\phi^2 = \phi \left(p - \sum_{i \in F} p_i \right),$$

which implies that the family $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ is summable with respect to the strong* topology of M with the same limit, that is, $p = $ strong*-$\sum_{i \in I} p_i$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor contin-uous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) *The restriction $T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is sequentially strong* additive, and consequently sequentially weak* additive;*

(b) *$T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is weak* completely additive, that is,*

$$(2.3) \qquad T\left(weak^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I} p_i \right) = weak^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I} T(p_i)$$

for every family $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in M.

Proof. (a) Let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in M. Let us consider the element $z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} p_n$. By Lemma 2.6, there exist skew-Hermitian elements $a_z, b_z \in M$ such that $T(x) = [a_z, x] + b_z \circ x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n, p_m \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and the multiplication in M is jointly strong* continuous, we obtain that

$$T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \right) = \left[a_z, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \right] + b_z \circ \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_z, p_n] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_z \circ p_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(p_n),$$

that is, $T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a countably or sequentially strong* additive mapping.

(b) Let φ be a positive normal functional in M_*, and let $\| \cdot \|_{\varphi}$ denote the prehilbertian seminorm given by $\|z\|_{\varphi}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(zz^* + z^*z)$ $(z \in M)$. Let $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an arbitrary family of mutually orthogonal projections in M. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$I_n = \left\{ i \in I : \left\| T(p_i) \right\|_{\varphi} \geq 1/n \right\}.$$

We claim, that I_n is a finite set for every natural n. Otherwise, passing to a subset if necessary, we can assume that there exists a natural k such that I_k is infinite and countable. In this case, the series $\sum_{i \in I_k} T(p_i)$ does not converge with respect to the semi-norm $\| \cdot \|_{\varphi}$. On the other hand, since I_k is a countable set, by (a), we have

$$T\left(\sum_{i \in I_k} p_i \right) = \text{strong}^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I_k} T(p_i),$$

which is impossible. This proves the claim.

We have shown that the set

$$I_0 = \left\{ i \in I : \left\| T(p_i) \right\|_{\varphi} \neq 0 \right\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$$

is a countable set, and $\|T(p_i)\|_{\varphi} = 0$, for every $i \in I \backslash I_0$.

Set $p = \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} p_i \in M$. We shall show that $\varphi(T(p)) = 0$. Let q denote the support projection of φ in M (see [30, 1.14.2]). Having in mind that $\|T(p_i)\|_\varphi^2 = 0$, for every $i \in I \setminus I_0$, we deduce that $T(p_i) \perp q$ for every $i \in I \setminus I_0$.

Replacing T with $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ we can assume that $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and $T(x) = T(x)^*$, for every $x \in M_{sa}$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.3, for every $i \in I \setminus I_0$ there exists a skew-Hermitian element $a_i = a_{p,p_i} \in M$ such that

$$T(p) = a_i p - p a_i \quad \text{and} \quad T(p_i) = a_i p_i - p_i a_i.$$

Since $T(p_i) \perp q$ we get $(a_i p_i - p_i a_i)q = q(a_i p_i - p_i a_i) = 0$, for all $i \in I \setminus I_0$. Thus, since $p a_i p_i q = p_i a_i q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(T(p)p_i)q &= (a_i p - p a_i)p_i q = a_i p_i q - p a_i p_i q \\
&= a_i p_i q - p_i a_i q = (a_i p_i - p_i a_i)q = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$q(p_i T(p)) = 0,$$

for every $i \in I \setminus I_0$. Consequently,

$$(2.4) \qquad (T(p)p)q = T(p)\left(\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} p_i\right)q = 0 = q\left(\sum_{i \in I \setminus I_0} p_i\right)T(p) = q(pT(p)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
T(p) = \delta_{p,\mathbf{1}}(p) = \delta_{p,\mathbf{1}}\{p,p,p\} &= 2\{\delta_{p,\mathbf{1}}(p), p, p\} + \{p, \delta_{p,\mathbf{1}}(p), p\} \\
&= 2\{T(p), p, p\} + \{p, T(p), p\} = pT(p) + T(p)p + pT(p)^*p \\
&= pT(p) + T(p)p + pT(p)p,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(T(p)) &= \varphi(pT(p) + T(p)p + pT(p)p) \\
&= \varphi(qpT(p)q) + \varphi(qT(p)pq) + \varphi(qpT(p)pq) = \big(\text{by } (2.4)\big) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (a) we have

$$T\left(\sum_{i \in I_0} p_i\right) = \|.\|_{\varphi^-}\sum_{i \in I_0} T(p_i).$$

Two more applications of (a) give:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(T\left(\sum_{i \in I} p_i\right)\right) &= \varphi\left(T\left(p + \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i\right)\right) = \varphi\left(T(p) + T\left(\sum_{i \in I_0} p_i\right)\right) \\
&= \varphi(T(p)) + \varphi\left(T\left(\sum_{i \in I_0} p_i\right)\right) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \varphi(T(p_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, $0 \le |\varphi T(p_i)|^2 \le \|T(p_i)\|_\varphi^2 = 0$, for every $i \in I \setminus I_0$, and hence $\sum_{i \in I_0} \varphi(T(p_i)) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(T(p_i))$. The arbitrariness of φ shows that $T(\text{weak}^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I} p_i) = \text{weak}^*\text{-}\sum_{i \in I} T(p_i)$. $\square$

Let ϕ be a normal functional in the predual of a von Neumann algebra M. Our previous Proposition 2.7 assures that for every (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation $T : M \to M$ the mapping $\phi \circ T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)} : \mathcal{P}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a completely additive mapping or a charge on M. Under the additional hypothesis of M being a continuous von Neumann algebra or, more generally, a von Neumann algebra with no Type I_n $(1 < n < \infty)$ direct summands, the Dorofeev–Sherstnev theorem ([32, Theorem 29.5] or [13, Theorem 2]) imply that $\phi \circ T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a bounded charge, that is, the set $\{|\phi \circ T(p)| : p \in \mathcal{P}(M)\}$ is bounded. The uniform boundedness principle gives:

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra with no Type I_n direct summands $(1 < n < \infty)$ and let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation. Then the restriction $T|_{\mathcal{P}(M)}$ is a bounded weak* completely additive mapping.*

2.2. Additivity of 2-local triple derivations on Hermitian parts of von Neumann algebras.

Suppose now that M is a von Neumann algebra with no Type I_n direct summands $(1 < n < \infty)$, and $T : M \to M$ is a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation. By Corollary 2.8 combined with the Bunce-Wright-Mackey-Gleason theorem [7], [8], there exists a bounded linear operator $G : M \to M$ satisfying $G(p) = T(p)$, for every projection $p \in M$.

Let z be a self-adjoint element in M. By Lemma 2.6, there exist skew-Hermitian elements $a_z, b_z \in M$ such that $T(x) = [a_z, x] + b_z \circ x$, for every $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$. Since $G|_{\mathcal{W}^*(z)}, T|_{\mathcal{W}^*(z)} : \mathcal{W}^*(z) \to M$ are bounded linear operators, which coincide on the set of projections of $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$, and since every self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$ can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{W}^*(z)$, it follows that $T(x) = G(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{W}^*(z)$, and hence

$$T(a) = G(a), \quad \text{for every } a \in M_{sa},$$

in particular, T is additive on M_{sa}.

The above arguments prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra with no Type I_n-factor direct summands $(1 < n < \infty)$. Then the restriction $T|_{M_{sa}}$ is additive.*

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Then the restriction $T|_{M_{sa}}$ is additive.*

Next, we shall show that the conclusion of the above corollary is also true for a finite von Neumann algebra.

First, we show that every 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra "intertwines" central projections.

LEMMA 2.11. *If T is a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra M, and p is a central projection in M, then $T(Mp) \subset Mp$. In particular, $T(px) = pT(x)$ for every $x \in M$.*

Proof. If $x \in Mp$, then $x = pxp = \{x, p, p\}$. Since T coincides with a triple derivation $\delta_{x,p}$ on the set $\{x, p\}$,

$$T(x) = \delta_{x,p}(x) = \delta_{x,p}\{x, p, p\} = \{\delta_{x,p}(x), p, p\} + \{x, \delta_{x,p}(p), p\} + \{x, p, \delta_{x,p}(p)\}$$

lies in Mp.

For the final statement, fix $x \in M$, and consider skew-Hermitian elements $a_{x,xp}, b_{x,xp} \in M$ satisfying

$$T(x) = [a_{x,xp}, x] + b_{x,xp} \circ x, \quad \text{and} \quad T(xp) = [a_{x,xp}, xp] + b_{x,xp} \circ (xp).$$

The assumption p being central implies that $pT(x) = T(px)$. $\qquad\square$

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a finite von Neumann algebra. Then the restriction $T|_{M_{sa}}$ is additive.*

Proof. Since M is finite there exists a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ on M. We shall consider the following two cases.

Case 1. Suppose τ is a finite trace. Replacing T with $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ we can assume that $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and $T(x) = T(x)^*$, for every $x \in M_{sa}$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.3, for every $x, y \in M_{sa}$ there exists a skew-Hermitian element $a_{x,y} \in M$ such that $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x]$ and $T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y]$. Then

$$T(x)y + xT(y) = [a_{x,y}, x]y + x[a_{x,y}, y] = [a_{x,y}, xy],$$

that is,

$$[a_{x,y}, xy] = T(x)y + xT(y).$$

Further

$$0 = \tau([a_{x,y}, xy]) = \tau(T(x)y + xT(y)),$$

that is, $\tau(T(x)y) = -\tau(xT(y))$, for every $x, y \in M_{sa}$. For arbitrary $u, v, w \in M_{sa}$, set $x = u + v$, and $y = w$. The above identity implies

$$\tau(T(u+v)w) = -\tau((u+v)T(w)) =$$
$$= -\tau(uT(w)) - \tau(vT(w)) = \tau(T(u)w) + \tau(T(v)w)$$
$$= \tau((T(u) + T(v))w),$$

and so

$$\tau((T(u+v) - T(u) - T(v))w) = 0$$

for all $u, v, w \in M_{sa}$. Take $w = T(u+v) - T(u) - T(v)$. Then $\tau(ww^*) = 0$. Since the trace τ is faithful it follows that $ww^* = 0$, and hence $w = 0$. Therefore, $T(u+v) = T(u) + T(v)$.

Case 2. Suppose now that τ is a semi-finite trace. As in *Case* 1, we may assume $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. Since M is finite there exists a family of mutually orthogonal central projections $\{z_i\}$ in M such that z_i has finite trace for all i and $\bigvee z_i = \mathbf{1}$ (cf. [30, §2.2 or Corollary 2.4.7]). By Lemma 2.11, for each i, T maps $z_i M$ into itself. From Case 1, $T|_{z_i M} : z_i M \to z_i M$ is additive. Furthermore,

$$z_i T(x+y) = T|_{z_i M}(z_i x + z_i y) = T|_{z_i M}(z_i x) + T|_{z_i M}(z_i y) = z_i T(x) + z_i T(y),$$

for every $x, y \in M$ and every i. Therefore,

$$T(x+y) = \left(\sum_i z_i\right) T(x+y) = \sum_i z_i T(x+y) = \sum_i \big(z_i T(x) + z_i T(y)\big)$$
$$= \left(\sum_i z_i\right) T(x) + \left(\sum_i z_i\right) T(y) = T(x) + T(y),$$

for every $x, y \in M$. The proof is complete. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. In this case, there exist orthogonal central projections $z_1, z_2 \in M$ with $z_1 + z_2 = \mathbf{1}$ such that:

- $z_1 M$ is a finite von Neumann algebra;
- $z_2 M$ is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra,

(cf. [30, §2.2]).

By Lemma 2.11, for each $k = 1, 2$, $z_k T$ maps $z_k M$ into itself. By Corollary 2.10 and Proposition 2.12 both $z_1 T$ and $z_2 T$ are additive on M_{sa}. So $T = z_1 T + z_2 T$ also is additive on M_{sa}.

We have thus proved the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. Then the restriction $T|_{M_{sa}}$ is additive.*

2.3. Main result. We can state now the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 2.14. *Let M be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra and let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation. Then T is a triple derivation (hence linear and continuous). Equivalently, the set $\mathrm{Der}_t(M)$, of all triple derivations on M, is algebraically 2-reflexive in the set $\mathcal{M}(M) = M^M$ of all mappings from M into M.*

We need the following two lemmata.

LEMMA 2.15. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra with $T(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. Then there*

exists a skew-Hermitian element $a \in M$ such that $T(x) = [a, x]$, for all $x \in M_{sa}$.

Proof. Let $x \in M_{sa}$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a skew-Hermitian element $a_{x,x^2} \in M$ such that $T(x) = [a_{x,x^2}, x]$, $T(x^2) = [a_{x,x^2}, x^2]$.

Thus,

$$T(x^2) = [a_{x,x^2}, x^2] = [a_{x,x^2}, x]x + x[a_{x,x^2}, x] = T(x)x + xT(x),$$

that is,

$$(2.5) \qquad T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x),$$

for every $x \in M_{sa}$.

By Proposition 2.13 and Lemma 2.2, $T|_{M_{sa}} : M_{sa} \to M_{sa}$ is a real linear mapping. Now, we consider the linear extension $\widehat{T}$ of $T|_{M_{sa}}$ to M defined by

$$\widehat{T}(x_1 + ix_2) = T(x_1) + iT(x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in M_{sa}.$$

Taking into account the homogeneity of T, Proposition 2.13 and the identity (2.5) we obtain that $\widehat{T}$ is a Jordan derivation on M. By [5, Theorem 1] any Jordan derivation on a semi-prime algebra is a derivation. Since M is von Neumann algebra, $\widehat{T}$ is a derivation on M (see also [33] and [16]). Therefore, there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\widehat{T}(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in M$. In particular, $T(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in M_{sa}$. Since $T(M_{sa}) \subseteq M_{sa}$, we can assume that $a^* = -a$, which completes the proof. $\qquad \square$

LEMMA 2.16. *Let $T : M \to M$ be a (not necessarily linear nor continuous) 2-local triple derivation on a von Neumann algebra. If $T|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$, then $T \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \in M$ be an arbitrary element and let $x = x_1 + ix_2$, where $x_1, x_2 \in M_{sa}$. Since T is homogeneous, by passing to the element $(1 + \|x_2\|)^{-1}x$ if necessary, we can suppose that $\|x_2\| < 1$. In this case, the element $y = \mathbf{1} + x_2$ is positive and invertible. Take skew-Hermitian elements $a_{x,y}, b_{x,y} \in M$ such that

$$T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x, \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y] + b_{x,y} \circ y.$$

Since $T(y) = 0$, we get $[a_{x,y}, y] + b_{x,y} \circ y = 0$. By Lemma 2.4 we obtain that $[a_{x,y}, y] = 0$ and $ib_{x,y} \circ y = 0$. Taking into account that $ib_{x,y}$ is Hermitian, y is positive and invertible, Lemma 2.5 implies that $b_{x,y} = 0$.

We further note that $0 = [a_{x,y}, y] = [a_{x,y}, \mathbf{1} + x_2] = [a_{x,y}, x_2]$, that is, $[a_{x,y}, x_2] = 0$. Now, $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x] + b_{x,y} \circ x = [a_{x,y}, x_1 + ix_2] = [a_{x,y}, x_1]$, i.e. $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x_1]$. Therefore,

$$T(x)^* = [a_{x,y}, x_1]^* = [x_1, a_{x,y}^*] = [x_1, -a_{x,y}] = [a_{x,y}, x_1] = T(x).$$

So $T(x)^* = T(x)$. Now, replacing x by ix we obtain, from the homogeneity of T, that $T(x)^* = -T(x)$. Combining the last two identities, we obtain that $T(x) = 0$, which finishes the proof. $\qquad \square$

Proof of Theorem 2.14. Let us define $\widehat{T} = T - \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$. Then $\widehat{T}$ is a 2-local triple derivation on M with $\widehat{T}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and $\widehat{T}(x) = \widehat{T}(x)^*$, for every $x \in M_{sa}$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.15, there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\widehat{T}(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in M_{sa}$. Consider the 2-local triple derivation $\widehat{T} - [a, \cdot]$. Since $(\widehat{T} - [a, \cdot])|_{M_{sa}} \equiv 0$, Lemma 2.16 implies that $\widehat{T} = [a, \cdot]$, and hence $T = [a, \cdot] + \delta(\frac{1}{2}T(\mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$, witnessing the desired statement. $\square$

References

[1] S. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, *2-local derivations and automorphisms on* $B(H)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **395** (2012), no. 1, 15–18. MR 2943598

[2] S. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, 2-*local derivations on von Neumann algebras*, Positivity **19** (2015), 445–455. MR 3386119

[3] T. J. Barton and Y. Friedman, *Bounded derivations of JB*-triples*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **41** (1990), 255–268. MR 1067482

[4] C. Batty and L. Molnar, *On topological reflexivity of the groups of *-automorphisms and surjective isometries of* $B(H)$, Arch. Math. (Basel) **67** (1996), 415–421. MR 1411996

[5] M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 1003–1006. MR 0929422

[6] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents*, Studia Math. **113** (1995), no. 2, 101–108. MR 1318418

[7] L. J. Bunce and J. D. M. Wright, *The Mackey–Gleason problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **26** (1992), 288–293. MR 1121569

[8] L. J. Bunce and J. D. M. Wright, *The Mackey–Gleason problem for vector measures on projections in von Neumann algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **49** (1994), 133–149. MR 1253018

[9] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo, J. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on* C^*-*algebras*, Comm. Algebra **42** (2014), 1276–1286. MR 3169629

[10] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo, J. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *A Kowalski–Słodkowski theorem for 2-local* *-*homomorphisms on von Neumann algebras*, preprint, 2014; available at http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1404.7597.

[11] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo, J. J. Garcés and A. M. Peralta, *2-local triple homomorphisms on von Neumann algebras and JBW*-triples*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **426** (2015), 43–63. MR 3306362

[12] M. Burgos, F. J. Fernández-Polo and A. M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on* C^*-*algebras and JB*-triples*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), 709–724. MR 3239608

[13] S. Dorofeev, *On the problem of boundedness of a signed measure on projections of a von Neumann algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **103** (1992), 209–216. MR 1144690

[14] F. J. Fernández-Polo, A. Molino Salas and A. M. Peralta, *Local triple derivations on real* C^*-*algebras and JB*-triples*, to appear in Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. DOI:10.1007/s40840-015-0203-4; available at http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1309.0367.

[15] T. Ho, J. Martinez-Moreno, A. M. Peralta and B. Russo, *Derivations on real and complex JB*-triples*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **65** (2002), no. 1, 85–102. MR 1875137

[16] B. E. Johnson, *Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **120** (1996), no. 3, 455–473. MR 1388200

[17] B. E. Johnson, *Local derivations on* C^*-*algebras are derivations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 313–325. MR 1783788

[18] R. V. Kadison, *Local derivations*, J. Algebra **130** (1990), 494–509. MR 1051316

[19] W. Kaup, *A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces*, Math. Z. **183** (1983), 503–529. MR 0710768

[20] S. O. Kim and J. S. Kim, *Local automorphisms and derivations on* $\mathbb{M}_n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 5, 1389–1392. MR 2053344

[21] S. O. Kim and J. S. Kim, *Local automorphisms and derivations on certain C*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 11, 3303–3307. MR 2161153

[22] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of* $B(X)$, Operator theory: Operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Durham, NH, 1988), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 51, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 187–194. MR 1077437

[23] J.-H. Liu and N.-C. Wong, *2-local automorphisms of operator algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **321** (2006), 741–750. MR 2241152

[24] M. Mackey, *Local derivations on Jordan triples*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 4, 811–824. MR 3081549

[25] L. Molnar, *2-local isometries of some operator algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **45** (2002), 349–352. MR 1912644

[26] L. Molnar, *Local automorphisms of operator algebras on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 1867–1874. MR 1955275

[27] A. M. Peralta, *A note on 2-local representations of C*-algebras*, Oper. Matrices **9** (2015), 343–358; available at http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/1312.6850. MR 3338568

[28] A. M. Peralta and B. Russo, *Automatic continuity of triple derivations on C*-algebras and JB*-triples*, J. Algebra **399** (2014), 960–977. MR 3144622

[29] F. Pop, *On local representation of von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 12, 3569–3576. MR 2084078

[30] S. Sakai, *C*-algebras and W*-algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1971. MR 0442701

[31] P. Šemrl, *Local automorphisms and derivations on* $B(H)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 2677–2680. MR 1415338

[32] A. N. Sherstnev, Methods of bilinear forms in noncommutative theory of measure and integral, Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2008, pp. 256; available at https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1198.46002.

[33] A. M. Sinclair, *Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 209–214. MR 0250069

KARIMBERGEN KUDAYBERGENOV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARAKALPAK STATE UNIVERSITY, CH. ABDIROV 1, NUKUS 230113, UZBEKISTAN

E-mail address: karim2006@mail.ru

TIMUR OIKHBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: oikhberg@illinois.edu

ANTONIO M. PERALTA, DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN

Current address: VISITING PROFESSOR AT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455-5, RIYADH-11451, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: aperalta@ugr.es

BERNARD RUSSO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC IRVINE, IRVINE, CA, USA

E-mail address: brusso@uci.edu