

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

The Geometry of Map-Like Representations under Dynamic Cognitive Control

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/28j425kf>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 44(44)

Authors

Zolfaghar, Maryam

Russin, Jacob

Park, Seongmin

et al.

Publication Date

2022

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

The Geometry of Map-Like Representations under Dynamic Cognitive Control

Maryam Zolfaghar

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, United States

Jacob Russin

UC Davis, Davis, California, United States

Seongmin Park

UC Davis, Davis, California, United States

Erie Boorman

UC Davis, Davis, California, United States

Randall O'Reilly

University of California Davis, Davis, California, United States

Abstract

Recent work has shown that the brain organizes abstract, non-spatial relationships between entities into map-like representations. However, an animal's objectives often depend on only a subset of the features of the environment. Under these circumstances, cognitive control – the capacity to flexibly select the features most relevant in the current context – becomes paramount. Here, we explore the relationship between cognitive control and the geometry of map-like representations by combining fMRI with neural network modeling. We find that brain areas including hippocampus and entorhinal cortex spontaneously organize pairwise relationships into 2D map-like representations, and that this 2D structure was controlled by compressing task-irrelevant dimensions in areas of prefrontal and parietal cortex. Our neural network model reproduced these findings and additionally predicted warping in the geometry along a context-invariant axis. This prediction was confirmed with fMRI, which showed that the degree of warping was correlated with individual differences in cognitive control.