UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Large interannual variation in spawning in San Diego marine protected areas captured by molecular identification of fish eggs

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/26w1509d

Authors Duke, EM Harada, AE Burton, RS

Publication Date

2018-10-04

DOI

10.3354/meps12738

Peer reviewed

1	Large interannual variability of spawning in San Diego's marine protected areas captured by
2	molecular identification of fish eggs
3	
4	Elena Maria Duke*, Alice E. Harada, Ronald S. Burton
5	Marine Biology Research Division
6 7	Scripps Institution of Oceanography
8	University of California, San Diego
9 10 11	La Jolla, CA 92093-0202
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27Abstract

28 Long-term monitoring of marine ecosystems is critical to assessing how global processes **29**such as natural environmental variation and climate change affect marine populations. 30Ichthyoplankton surveys provide one approach to such monitoring. We conducted weekly fish 31egg collections off the Scripps Institution of Oceanography Pier (La Jolla, CA, USA) for three **32** years (2014-2017) and added a second sampling site near the La Jolla kelp forest for one year 33(2017). Fish eggs were identified using DNA barcoding and data were compared to previous 34work from Pier surveys from 2012-2014. We documented large interannual variability in fish egg 35abundance associated with climatic fluctuations, including an El Niño event captured during our **36**sampling years. Overall egg abundance was reduced by > 50% during periods of anomalously **37**warm water in 2014-2016. Fish egg abundance rebounded in 2017 and was accompanied by a **38**phenological shift of peak spawning activity. We found interannual fish egg abundance may be 39linked with upwelling regimes and winter temperatures. Across the period of joint sampling, we 40 found no distinct differences in community composition between the Pier (soft bottom) and kelp 41 forest habitat we sampled (2 km distant). Long-term monitoring of fish spawning can contribute 42to our understanding of how natural environmental variation such as El Niño events affect fish **43**reproductive activity. This understanding may extend to trends in marine resource availability 44associated with climate and aid in evaluating the efficacy of existing management efforts.

45 46

47Keywords: fish spawning, DNA barcoding, ichthyoplankton, long-term monitoring48

49

50Introduction

51 Management of marine resources can be informed by both fisheries-dependent and 52fisheries-independent data. Fisheries independent data that includes species that are not directly 53targeted by fisheries can be useful to management efforts by providing a broader view of 54ecosystem status and may increase the ability to detect ecosystem changes that are not 55immediately affected by fisheries activity (Anderson et al. 2008). Ichthyoplankton surveys have 56long played a key role in providing fisheries-independent data for ecosystem monitoring and 57fisheries management. Fish egg and larval surveys can be a useful tool in assessing fish faunal 58diversity and the spatial and temporal distribution of spawning activity (Alstrom & Moser 1976, 59Ahern et al. 2018). By providing data on early life stages, egg and larval surveys are important 60complements to traditional diver surveys and trawls that are limited to adult and juvenile fish 61(Alstrom & Moser 1976, Harada et al. 2015). For example, ichthyoplankton surveys have been 62used to document the spawning grounds of many commercially important fish species such as 63the northern anchovy in the Gulf of California, and cod and plaice in the North Sea (Green-Ruiz 64& Hinojosa-Corona 1997, Fox et al. 2000).

However, because the eggs of many fish species are morphologically indistinguishable, it 66had been difficult until recently to accurately determine which species were spawning, with the 67exception of a few morphologically distinct species (Alstrom & Moser 1976). New molecular 68approaches based on DNA barcoding have made possible the accurate identification of fish eggs 69and larvae (e.g., Hyde et al. 2005, Gleason & Burton, 2012, Harada et al. 2015). DNA barcoding 70uses species-specific differences in DNA sequences to identify individual eggs by matching their 71sequences to a database of sequences obtained from identified adult specimens. If the available 72database is complete, PCR amplification and sequencing permits identification of each egg in a 73collection, including cryptic taxa that may go unobserved in other types of habitat monitoring.

5

This study documents spawning activity of fish populations in the marine protected areas 74 75adjacent to Scripps Institution of Oceanography (La Jolla, CA, USA), which include the San 76Diego-Scripps Coastal State Marine Conservation Area (SMCA) and Matlahuavl State Marine 77Reserve (SMR). The San Diego-Scripps Coastal Marine Conservation Area prohibits the take of 78marine resources except coastal pelagic species by hook and line and Matlahuayl SMR prohibits 79the take of all marine resources. Fishes present in the study area are well documented in the 80literature and physically in the Scripps Marine Vertebrates collection (Craig et al. 2004, Hastings 81et al. 2014); however, there is less information about species-specific spawning patterns and how 82they might change with annual environmental variation. Finding and identifying fish eggs in the 83plankton demonstrates recent local spawning activity since most fish eggs in the southern 84California Current Ecosystem hatch in 2-4 days (Zwiefel & Lasker 1976). We build upon the 85study of Harada et al. (2015) using DNA barcoding to identify fish eggs in the plankton off the 86Scripps Pier. Through continued monitoring we aim to document any changes in spawning 87 activity that might be associated with changes in oceanographic conditions, such as ocean 88temperature increases associated with "The Warm Blob" event in 2014 and the 2015-2016 El 89Niño event (Bond et al. 2015, Jacox et al. 2016). We document large interannual variation in 90spawning activity across sampling years 2012-2017. Beginning in 2017, we further expand our 91survey area to include sampling from the nearby kelp forest habitat adjacent to the Matlahuayl 92SMR. By sampling both kelp forest and sandy beach (SIO Pier) habitat with weekly collections 93 over a seven-month period, we can begin to assess if there are habitat-specific patterns of 94spawning across the species found in the La Jolla MPAs.

95Methods

96Sampling locations and techniques

97Sampling sites were located in or immediately outside two of La Jolla's marine protected areas, 98the San Diego Scripps Coastal SMCA and the Matlahuayl SMR bounded by coordinates in 99(Table S1). The San Diego Scripps Coastal SMCA is dominated by soft bottom sandy habitat 100while the Matlahuayl SMR contains soft bottom, rocky bottom and kelp forest habitat. Surface 101transport models of this area were constructed in Harada et al 2015 and demonstrated eggs had a 102high probability of being spawned within or almost completely within these MPA boundaries.

103 Weekly plankton samples were collected from the end of Scripps Pier (32°52'2"N, 104-117°15′26″W) from August 2014 to August 2017, continuing previous work started in August of 1052012 (Harada et al. 2015). Samples were collected by lowering a 505 micron-mesh one-meter 106diameter plankton net until the net reached the seafloor around midday each sampling day. This 107was repeated three more times for a total of four pulls, sampling approximately 16 cubic meters 108of water (based on average water depth of about 5 m). In addition, weekly plankton samples 109were collected from kelp forest habitat adjacent to the Matlahuayl reserve (32° 51'15" N, -117° 11016'52" W) from February 2017 to August 2017. This site is located approximately 2 km from the 111SIO Pier. Samples were collected by pulling a 333 micron mesh one half-meter diameter 112plankton net behind a small boat at 0.5 knots for 5 minutes. The net was weighted for a sampling 113depth of about 1 m, sampling approximately 60 cubic meters of water. Although we used 114different mesh sizes at different sampling sites, both mesh sizes (0.5 mm, and 0.3 mm) were 115smaller than the fish eggs we sample, which range from 0.7 mm- 1.2 mm (A. Harada, unpubl. 116data). Moreover, fish eggs that were found only in the kelp samples using the smaller mesh size 117 have much larger egg sizes than even our larger mesh size ranging from (0.6 mm-2.1 mm) 118(Budd 1940, Moser et al. 1983). The collected plankton samples from both sites were manually 119sorted using a dissecting microscope and fish eggs were individually counted and removed. The

5

120Northern Anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*) and Pacific sardine eggs (*Sardinops sagax*), both 121morphologically distinct, were counted and removed from the sample. The remaining eggs were 122stored in 95% ethanol at 4 °C at least 12 hours prior to further processing. If a collection 123contained over five hundred fish eggs, a subset of approximately four hundred eggs were 124selected for DNA barcoding for species identification. Samples were processed as soon as 125possible, never exceeding two weeks before processing

126Processing eggs, PCR, and sequencing

127 After storage in ethanol, individual fish eggs were rinsed with deionized water and placed 128in 15 µl of buffer (2/3 Qiagen AE buffer, 1/3 water). Eggs were then physically squished with a 129clean pipette tip to release the DNA. No further DNA extraction or purification was needed. 130Samples were stored at -20 °C prior to polymerase-chain reaction (PCR). To amplify DNA, 131universal fish cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) primers were used (Ward et al. 2005): COI 132VF1 forward primer (5'-TTCTCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGG-3') and COI VR1 reverse 133(5'-TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATCA-3'). These primers produced an amplicon of 710 134bp. PCR was performed using 25 µl reaction volume, with 12.5 µl of GoTaq Green Master Mix 135(Promega), 5 pmol of each primer, and 1 µl of DNA extract. Thermal cycling was initiated at 95 136°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, 137 followed by 72°C for 5 min. After PCR, samples were run on a 1.5% agarose gel and visualized 138with GelRed (Biotium) or SybrSafe (Invitrogen) to detect presence of amplified DNA. About 139sixty four percent of samples were successfully amplified using COI primers. Samples that 140failed to amplify with COI were amplified using the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal rRNA gene, 141using forward primer 16Sar (5' CGCCTGTTATCAAAAACAT-3') and reverse primer 16Sbr (5'-**142CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3**') for a 570 bp amplicon (Palumbi 1996). Of the samples

143 that failed to amplify with COI primers, about fifty three percent were successfully amplified 144using 16S primers. Overall, about fourteen percent of the fish eggs could not be amplified with 145either 16S or COI primer sets. Samples with either the COI or 16S product were purified using 146Sephadex G-50 Fine spin columns (GE Healthcare) and sequenced using Sanger sequencing 147(commercial sequencing service). Samples were sequenced in one direction using the forward 148primer of either the COI or 16S primer for their respective amplicons. Sequences were identified 149using BLAST searches of NCBI database, which contains COI and 16S rRNA barcodes from 150over 500 species of California marine fishes, most of which are vouchered in the Scripps 151Institution of Oceanography Marine Vertebrate Collection, allowing for nearly complete 152coverage of species in California marine waters (Hastings & Burton 2008). The top BLAST hit 153 with 95% sequence similarity or greater was used for species identification. In some cases, there 154were multiple species that had equal scores and were identified to only to the genus level. For 155example, there were two species (*Citharichthys sordidus* and *Citharichthys xanthostiqma*) 156 observed in our collections that have 99% sequence similarity and in many cases were unable to 157be distinguished based on our sequence data, therefore these species were grouped in our 158analysis.

159Data Analysis

160 The total number of eggs collected each day was recorded along with species 161identifications from DNA barcoding each egg for each collection for each site. Sea surface 162temperature was measured and recorded at 2 m depth approximately every 6 minutes from the 163Scripps Pier. Data were accessed through the Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing 164System and used for analysis of fish egg collections with respect to variation in ocean 165temperature (<u>http://www.sccoos.org</u>). To test the correlation between annual winter

7

12

166temperatures and annual spring-summer fish egg abundance, winter temperature was averaged 167for each year (December-February) and regressed against the average number of fish eggs per 168collection during spring and summer (March-August) for that year.

We estimated upwelling using daily upwelling indices calculated at 33°N, 119°W from 170all collection years (2012-2017) in cubic meters per second by Pacific Fisheries Environmental 171Laboratories (www.pfeg.noaa.gov). To test the correlation between annual spring upwelling and 172annual spring-summer fish egg abundance, the cumulative upwelling (sum of daily upwelling 173indices) over spring (March-May) was regressed against the average number of fish eggs per 174collection during spring and summer for that year. Additionally, cumulative monthly upwelling 175was regressed against the average fish eggs per collection for each month.

Non-metric multidimensional scaling was used to visualize community matrix data 177using Bray-Curtis Dissimilarity matrices using the *vegan* package in R. Counts for each species 178were normalized to the number of fish eggs collected for that sampling day in order to reduce the 179weight of highly abundant species. Non-metric multidimensional scaling was produced in R to 180visually compare differences between our two sampling sites. Collections from both sites that 181were made within twenty-four hours were paired and counts for each species were normalized to 182cubic meters of water sampled. We fit linear mixed effects models for species with the largest 183difference in percentage between sites to test if there were significant differences in abundance at 184each site or an interaction between site and spawning period (indicated by month). For each year, 185the date of the highest species richness per collection was recorded. We tested for phenological 186changes in spawning across years; in order to ensure accuracy, only species for which we found 18750 or more eggs were included in this analysis.

188Results

15

189Abundance of fish eggs

190 We observed fish spawning patterns in two different habitats over time and compared 191these data to spawning data previously obtained from the SIO Pier (Harada et al. 2015). We 192 found extensive interannual variability in fish spawning. During the years 2015 and 2016 we 193observed a 53% decline in the average number of fish eggs per collection during the summer 194(June through August) compared to data from the previous two years (Fig. 1). Although we did 195observe a seasonal increase in the number of fish eggs in the summer (compared to winter) 196across all years (consistent with Harada et al. 2015), there were fewer fish eggs per collection 197 from 2015 & 2016 than from 2013 & 2014. This pattern was not due to any particular species or 198dominant group of species. Species-specific abundance through time for the five most common 199species in our samples and one sport fish, the California Corbina (*Menticirrhus undulatus*) are 200shown in Figure 2. Although represented by relatively few eggs in our collections, the sharp 201peaks in spawning in *M.undulatus* showed very little annual variation while *Engraulis mordax* **202**largely disappeared for 2015-2016. *Citharichthys stiamaeus* while notably reduced in 2015 203showed the broadest spawning season among all species and remained a dominant component of 204the icthyoplankton throughout the sampling period.

Interestingly, during the summer of 2017 we observed a recovery of fish eggs numbers 206similar to numbers observed in 2013 and 2014. However, peak-spawning season during 2017 207appears to have shifted to later in the year, from May/June in 2013-2016 to July/August in 2017 208(Fig. 1). To assess how spawning seasonality compared across years, we recorded the number of 209species found per collection (Figure S1). The highest number of species recorded per sample 210occurred approximately one month later in 2017 compared with earlier years in which spawning 211was recorded (2013-2014; Table S1); this parallels the overall phenological change in peak egg

9

212abundance. For four species, Pacific Sardine (*Sardinops* sagax), Queenfish (*Seriphus politus*), 213Pacific Chub Mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*), and Jack Mackerel (*Trachurus symmetricus*), we 214found that seasonal spawning started approximately one month later in 2017 than in previous 215years.

216Community composition

217 Overall, in collections from the Scripps Pier from September of 2014 to August of 2017, 218we collected 6,939 fish eggs and of those 4,150 eggs were identified as 37 different fish species. 219During the collection period of the kelp forest from February 2017 to August 2017 we collected 22011,163 fish eggs and identified 5,546 as 35 species. There were seven species found in our 221 previous study (Harada et al. 2015) that we did not find in the current study: Ocean White Fish 222(Caulolatilus princeps), California Lizard Fish (Synodus lucioceps), California Opal Eve 223(Girella nigricans, Pacific Pompano (Peprilus simillimus), Mussel Blenny (Hypsoblennius 224 *jenkinsi*), Giant Sea Bass (*Stereolepis gigas*), Pacific Barracuda (*Sphyraena argentea*). We 225documented five species that had not previously been recorded to spawn in our study area by 226Harada et al. 2015: the Yellowtail Jack (Seriola lalandi), Flat-head Grey Mullet (Mugil 227*cephalus*), Blackbelly Eelpout (*Lycodes pacificus*), Basketweave Cusk-eel (*Ophidion scrippsae*), 228and California Scorpion Fish (*Scorpaena quttata*). However, all of these newly documented 229species contributed less than 0.2% of all fish eggs that were identified; these rare species in egg 230 collections contributed little to overall community composition. Multivariate analysis of 231 community composition shows there were no distinct changes in community composition over 232time, but distinct differences in seasonal spawning community between fall-winter and spring-233summer months (Fig. 3). Note that warm years do not cluster together; rather all years overlap in 234 multidimensional space.

19

235 From February 2017 to August 2017 we sampled the kelp forest habitat and were able to 236 compare community composition between kelp and pier habitats (Table 2). Nine species in the 237kelp forest plankton samples were not found at the Scripps Pier in that time period: Hornyhead 238Turbot (*Pleuronichthys verticalis*), Red-eve Round Herring (*Etrumeus acuminatus*), Opaleve (*G*. 239*nigricans*), Diamond Turbot (*Hypsosetta quttulata*), C-O Sole (*Pleuronichthys coenosus*), White 240Seabass (*Atractoscion nobilis*), Bigmouth Flounder (*Hippoglossina stomata*), Pacific Barracuda 241(S. argentea), and Giant Sea Bass (S. gigas). With the exception of two species (the Bigmouth 242Flounder, H. stomata, and Red-eve Round Herring, E. acuminatus), all other species had been 243 observed in previous collections from the Scripps Pier. Five species were found in Pier samples 244and absent from kelp forest plankton samples: Zebra-perch Sea Chub (*Hermosilla azurea*), 245Spotted Sand Bass (Paralabrax maculatofasciatus), Pacific Pompano (P. simillimus), Spotted 246Cusk-eel (Chilara taylori) and the California Lizardfish (S. lucioceps). Some of the 247presence/absences may reflect the limited sampling period for the kelp site. For example, our 248Pier data show that most of our Pacific Pompano eggs were collected between November to 249February, with a smaller number in the spring, and the November to February period was not 250 covered in our kelp collections. Despite the discrepancies in species presence or absence between 251sites, these differences accounted for 0.2% or fewer of the total eggs sampled and therefore did 252not appear to contribute substantially to overall differences in community composition. A global 253analysis of community composition between sites using non-metric multidimensional scaling did 254not find evidence for distinct differences of community composition between sites (Fig. 4). If 255communities differed between sites we would expect to see greater clustering of samples 256between sites; however, we see extensive overlap (Fig. 4). Moreover non-metric 257 multidimensional scaling plots between sites separated by month show extensive overlap

258between months indicating there were similar spawning communities at both sites in a given 259month (Fig. S1). Species with the largest difference in percentage between our sampling sites 260were the Northern Anchovy (*E. mordax*), Speckled Sanddab (*C. stigmaeus*), Pacific Sardine (*S.* 261*sagax*) and California Salema (*Haemulon californiensis*; Table 2). However, linear mixed effects 262models fit for each of these species found non-significant differences between the numbers of 263eggs per cubic meter between sites (Fig. S2). Furthermore these models found no evidence for 264temporal habitat differences, as there were non-significant interactions between site and month 265for each of these species. Though we found no differences in community composition between 266sites generalized through time, it is noteworthy that on a given sampling day there could be large 267differences in percentage of species collected at each site. For example on July 5th 2017 *C*. 268*stigmaeus* comprised 9% and 63% of the collection at kelp and pier sites respectively, *Oxyjulis* 269*californica* comprised 36% and 6% of collections at kelp and pier respectively, with total eggs 270collected at each site, 442 and 74 eggs respectively.

271Environmental effects on spawning

Sea surface temperature data collected from the Scripps Pier show variability in 273temperatures across sampling years (Fig. 5). During winters 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 we 274observed warmer temperatures than previous years (Table 3). Additionally, these two years show 275the highest annual average temperatures. The data suggest that when winter temperatures were 276warmest the following spring and summer fish spawning was depressed. In order to examine the 277relationship between winter temperatures and spring and summer spawning we plotted average 278number of spring and summer (March-August) fish eggs collected from the Pier for each year 279against the average winter temperature (December-February). We found a significant negative 280correlation between winter temperatures and spring and summer fish egg abundance ($R^2 = 0.83$, p

281< 0.05; Fig. 6). In contrast, there was no relationship between annual average summer 282temperature (June-August) and the annual spring-summer fish egg abundance ($R^2 = 0.38$, p > 2830.05; Fig. S3). In general, higher winter temperatures corresponded with lower cumulative spring 284upwelling for that year, though we found only a marginally significant relationship between the 285two variables ($R^2 = 0.67$, p = 0.06).

There was a significant positive relationship between spring (March-May) upwelling 287measured by the sum of daily upwelling indices (cumulative upwelling index) and the average 288spring and summer fish egg abundance for each year ($R^2 = 0.75$, p < 0.05; Fig. 7). We also found 289a significant positive correlation between the cumulative upwelling index (CUI) for each month 290and the logarithmic mean fish egg abundance by month grouped across all species and all years 291($R^2 = 0.33$, p < 0.01; Fig. 8). This result is consistent with our previous study (Harada et al. 2922015), we observed abundant fish eggs when temperatures were highest, coinciding with 293seasonal spawning which peaks in the summer.

294**Discussion**

In this study we collected and identified fish eggs spawned in or near La Jolla's marine 296protected areas to examine temporal changes in abundance and community composition of 297spawning fishes as represented by their eggs collected from the plankton. Although ship-board 298sampling of icthyoplankton has a long history off the California coast (e.g, California 299Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations CalCOFI, see <u>http://calcofi.org/about-calcofi.html</u>), 300sustained shore-based monitoring has been limited. Our five years of weekly near-shore 301monitoring including taxonomic resolution to species via DNA barcoding provides new insights 302into the spawning activity of coastal marine fish communities.

303 The single most striking observation of this study was the massive decline in spawning 304during two anomalously warm years. We documented a decline of over 50% in the average 305number of fish eggs per collection in the summer months of 2015 and 2016 compared to 306 previous spawning data from 2013 and 2014. The depressed spawning activity observed in 2015-3072016 could be the result of changes in upwelling regimes and resulting changes in bottom up 308processes impacting ecosystem productivity. In 2014, an anomalously warm water region 309termed the "Warm Blob" formed in the Gulf of Alaska and subsequently extended down the 310eastern Pacific coastline, accounting for 1-5 °C higher than average SST that continued to persist 311until May of 2015 (Bond et al. 2015, Kintisch 2015, Zaba & Rudnick 2016). The following year 312experienced above-average SST characteristic of El Niño events in the California current (Jacox 313et al. 2016). We observed a 1-2 °C increase in annual average temperature during these years 314(2014-2016) compared to previous years (2013-2014) and the following year (2017; Table 3). 315These positive temperature anomalies increased vertical stratification and deepened the 316thermocline and nutricline, which can limit fluxes of cold nutrient-rich deep water to the surface 317and decrease phytoplankton biomass (Kahru & Mitchell 2000, Jacox et al. 2016, Zaba & 318Rudnick 2016). Previous time series data from the Southern California Bight found that there 319 was in fact an inverse relationship between Scripps Pier temperatures and the primary production 320in the region (Smith & Eppley 1982). Decreases in primary production would presumably have 321negative consequences in terms of food availability for higher trophic levels, including fishes. 322Decreased food availability or food quality can negatively impact growth rates, survivorship and 323 reproduction, and could potentially decrease spawning activity during the following spawning 324season (Ruttenberg et al. 2005). This time period was marked by mass strandings of tuna crabs 325and starvation of sea lion pups that could indicate the far-reaching effects of decreased primary

326productivity (Zaba & Rudnick 2016). Because sea lion pups largely feed on fish, starvation of 327pups could indicate decreased fish biomass (Mcclatchie et al. 2016). Though we cannot 328definitively confirm that these temperature anomalies resulted in changes in primary productivity 329that significantly affected spawning activity, it is likely that fish populations experienced effects 330similar to other organisms.

Decreased fish spawning could also be directly related to physiological effects of 332increased temperature during 2014 and 2015. Changes in temperature can alter reproductive 333endocrine homeostasis, gametogenesis, and rates of gonadal development (Genner et al. 2010, 334Pankhurst & Munday 2011). Inhibition of reproduction at higher temperatures has been shown in 335a range of species, though temperature thresholds will vary across these species ((Taranger & 336Hansen 1993, Pankhurst & Van Der Kraak 2000, Ruttenburg et al. (2005)). The species of fish in 337our study are temperate species that likely have a wide range of thermal tolerances and have 338varying geographic distributions (Hastings et al. 2014). Species-specific analysis of the five most 339common species in our collections showed that they did not respond to temperature increases in 340the same way (Fig. 2). It would be unlikely for increased temperature during warm years to 341affect all species uniformly; however there could be a range of responses including altered 342spawning season, depressed spawning, or reproductive failure (Munday et al. 2008).

A third potential explanation for decreased fish egg abundance during 2015-2016 could 344be an offshore or northward shift in spawning location. Such a shift in spawning could result in a 345decline of eggs captured at our sampling site. This result would indicate modification of 346spawning behavior in response to environmental change, which is consistent with observed 347changes marine ectotherm distributions in response to temperature and dissolved oxygen 348concentrations resulting from climate change (Stramma et al. 2012, Deutsch et al. 2017).

349 During 2017, we observed peak spawning and highest species richness approximately 350one month later than in previous years. This pattern of species richness was driven by delayed 351spawning in a relatively small number of fish species (four); for most species, total spawning 352season remained unchanged, although the height of spawning was shifted to later in the year. 353Surprisingly, relatively few studies have investigated how environmental variability can 354influence phenology in marine organisms (Genner et al. 2010). Warmer temperatures are 355associated with delayed spawning in Flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) and earlier spawning in 356Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) and Pacific Herring (*Clupea harengus pallasi*) (Ware & Tanasichuk 3571989, Carscadden et al. 1997, Sims et al. 2004). In contrast, our data show that there was no 358 apparent change of seasonal spawning during warm years: however, peak spawning was shifted 359one month later during a cooler year (2017) that followed successive warm years. Although 360based on only a single El Niño event, our results suggest such climate fluctuations may alter 361phenology of fish spawning in following years. Indeed the phenology of fish larvae in the 362California Current Ecosystem exhibits interannual variation associated with El Niño Southern 363Oscillation (Asch 2015) and these climate fluctuations can impact pelagic fish populations such 364as the Northern Anchovy (Engralus mordax) and Pacific Sardine (Sardinops sagax) (Lindegren 365& Checkley 2013, Checkley et al. 2017). If changes in spawning phenology in response to

366climate fluctuations are asynchronous with larval food resources, there can be negative 367consequences for survivorship and recruitment (Cushing 1990). These results highlight the 368importance of understanding phenology of marine organisms in order to predict marine 369population dynamics and manage populations.

370 We found a significant positive relationship between cumulative upwelling index and 371average fish eggs by month. This is likely driven by seasonal upwelling in the California Current, 372occurring during the spring and summer that coincides with peak spawning activity (Robinette et 373al. 2007). We also found a significant positive relationship between annual spring upwelling and 374annual spring-summer fish egg abundance for each year, though additional sampling years are 375needed to adequately test this relationship. Similar to our study, Robinette et al. (2007) found that 376more persistent annual spring upwelling led to increased larval abundance in central California. 377We found a significant negative correlation between annual winter temperatures and annual 378spring and summer spawning for each year. In contrast, we found no relationship between annual 379summer temperatures and spring and summer spawning. Temperature can exert large 380physiological effects on fish reproduction such as alteration of endocrine homeostasis, 381vitellogenesis, and oocyte development (Pankhurst & Munday 2011). In some fish genera such 382as *Citharichthys*, a flatfish genus commonly found in our sampling area, vitellogenesis begins as 383early as February, in which case winter temperatures could impact physiological mechanisms of 384fish reproduction and lead to variability in fish egg abundance across years (Rachowski & 385Pikitch 1989). Alternatively, higher winter temperatures could be indicative of changes in other 386oceanographic variables that could have an indirect effect on spawning activity the following 387spawning season. For example, *Citharichthys* spawning is triggered by sudden decline in bottom 388water temperature associated with seasonal upwelling (Rachowski & Pikitch 1989). Therefore

32

389interannual variability in upwelling could alter fish reproductive activity (Robinette et al. 2007). 390If the patterns we observe here are maintained across years, winter temperatures could be used to 391predict spring and summer spawning for at least some species and have important applications in 392fisheries management. Again, additional years of data are needed to determine the strength and 393consistency of this relationship.

394 In this study we document large interannual variability in fish egg abundance that is 395associated with large climatic fluctuations, including an El Niño event captured during our 396sampling years. Decreased abundance of fish eggs during anomalously warmer years was likely 397due to changes in primary productivity, physiological effects of increased temperature on fish 398species, behavioral avoidance or a combination of these mechanisms. Furthermore, we 399documented a phenological delay of peak fish egg abundance of approximately one month in the 400most recent cooler year. Lastly, we found annual fish egg abundance was negatively correlated 401 with winter temperatures and positively correlated with annual spring upwelling. These results 402underscore the importance of understanding how natural environmental variation affects marine 403 fish populations, and these data will help us understand how temperature increases associated 404 with climate change may impact future populations and communities. Temperature-mediated 405effects will likely depend on a variety of factors including physiological tolerances, behavioral 406 response, dispersal capability, and capacity for adaption (Pankhurst & Munday 2011). By 407providing fisheries-independent data, ichthyoplankton surveys resolved to species by DNA 408barcoding can play an important role in fisheries management by providing information 409regarding the spatial and temporal distribution of spawning activity as well as whole ecosystem 410responses to environmental variability (Alstrom & Moser 1976, Smith & Eppely 1982, Moser et

411al 2001). These data offer insights in to the spawning activity of coastal inshore fish

412communities that complement other icthyoplankton surveys conducted further offshore.

413

414

415

416Acknowledgements

Thank you to members of the Burton Lab for their help and advice with this project.

418Thank you to the Richard Grand foundation for supporting sequencing costs. Thank you to the

419California Current Ecosystem Long Term Ecological Research Experience for Undergraduates

420program. California Department of Fish and Wildlife issued permit (#4564) was used for the

421 collection of plankton from the MPA's. Samples taken from the nearby kelp forest were outside

422of Matlahuayl SMR so no permit was required.

423
424
425
426
427
428 References

429Ahern A, Gómez-gutiérrez J, Aburto-oropeza O, Saldierna-martínez RJ, Johnson AF, Harada 430AE, Sánchez-Uvera AR, Erisman B, Arvizú DIC, Burton RS (2018) DNA sequencing of fish 431eggs and larvae reveals high species diversity and seasonal changes in spawning activity in the 432southeastern Gulf of California. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 592:159-179 433XAlstrom EH, Moser HG (1976) Eggs and larvae of fishes and their role in systematic 434investigations and in fisheries. *Revue des Travaux de l'Institut des Pêches Maritimes* 40: 379-398 435 436Anderson CNK, Hsieh CH, Sandin SA, Hewitt R, Hollowed A, Beddington J, May RM, 437Sugihara G (2008) Why fishing magnifies fluctuations in fish abundance. *Nature* 452: 835-839 438

439Asch RG (2015) Climage change and decadal shifts in phenology of larval fishes in the

440California Current ecosystem. PNAS 112: E4065-E4074 442Bond NA, Cronin MF, Freeland H, Mantua N (2015) Causes and impacts of the 2014 warm 443anomaly in the NE Pacific. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42:3414–3420 445Budd PL (1940) Development of the eggs and early larvae of six California fishes. California 446Division of Fish and Game Bull 56:50 448Carscadden J, Nakashima BS, Frank KT (1997) Effects of fish length and temperature on the 449timing of peak spawning in capelin (Mallotus villosus). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and 450Aquatic Sciences 54:781–787 452Checkley D, Asch R, Rykaczewski R (2017) Climate, Anchovy, and Sardine. Annual Review of 453Marine Science 9: 469-493 455Craig MT, Fodrie FJ, Hastings PA (2004) The nearshore fish assemblage of the Scripps Coastal 456Reserve, San Diego, California. Coastal Management 32: 341–351 458Cullen JJ (2015) Subsurface Chlorophyll Maximum Layers: Enduring Enigma or Mystery 459Solved? Annual Review of Marine Science 7: 207-239 461Cushing DH (1990) Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: An update 462of the match/mismatch hypothesis. Advances in Marine Biology 26: 249–293 464Deutsch C, Ferrel A, Seibel B, Pörtner H, Huey, RB (2015) Climate change tightens a metabolic 465constraint on marine habitats. Science 348: 1132-1135 467Fox CJ, O'Brien CM, Dickey-Collas M, Nash RDM (2000) Patterns in the spawning of cod 468(Gadus morhua L), sole (Solea solea L) and plaice (Pleuronectes platessa L) in the Irish Sea as 469determined by generalized additive modelling. Fisheries Oceanography 9: 33–49 471Genner MJ, Hallidav NC, Simpson SD, Southward AJ, Hawkins SJ, Sims DW (2010) 472Temperature-driven phenological changes within a marine larval fish assemblage. Journal of 473Plankton Research 32:699–708 475Gleason LU, Burton RS (2012) High-throughput molecular identification of fish eggs using 476multiplex suspension bead arrays. Molecular Ecology Resources 12:57–66 478Green-Ruiz YA, Hinojosa-Corona A (1997) Study of the spawning area of the Northern anchovy 479in the Gulf of California from 1990 to 1994, using satellite images of sea surface temperatures. 480 Journal of Plankton Research 19:957–968 482Harada AE, Lindgren EA, Hermsmeier MC, Rogowski PA, Terrill E, Burton RS (2015) 483Monitoring spawning activity in a Southern California marine protected area using molecular 484identification of fish eggs. PLoS ONE 10:1–21

441

444

447

451

454

457

460

463

466

470

474

477

481

486Hastings PA, Burton RS (2008) *Establishing a DNA Sequence Database for the Marine Fish* 487*Fauna of California*. UC San Diego California Seagrant College Program.

488

489Hastings PA, Craig MT, Hyde JR, Walker HJ (2014) Fishes of Marine Protected Areas Near La 490Jolla, California. *Southern California Academy of Sciences* 113:200–231 491

492Helke Z, Lahr A (2012) Stereolepis gigas. *Animal Diversity Web* Accessed May 15, 2018 at 493http://animaldiversityorg/accounts/Stereolepis_gigas/

494

495Hyde JR, Lynn E, Humphreys R, Musyl M, West AP, Vetter R (2005) Shipboard identification of 496fish eggs and larvae by multiplex PCR, and description of fertilized eggs of blue marlin, shortbill 497spearfish, and wahoo. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 286:269–277

498

499Jacox MG, Hazen EL, Zaba KD, Rudnick DL, Edwards CA, Moore AM, Bograd SJ (2016) 500Impacts of the 2015–2016 El Niño on the California Current System: Early assessment and 501comparison to past events. *Geophysical Research Letters* 43:7072–7080 502

503Kahru M, Mitchell BG (2000) Influence of the 1997-1998 El Niño on the surface chlorophyll in 504the California Current. *Oceanography* 27:2937–2940

505

506Kintisch E (2015) 'The Blob' invades Pacific, flummoxing climate experts. *Science* 348:17–18 507

508Lindegren M, Checkley DM (2013) Temperature dependence of Pacific sardine (Sardinops 509sagax) recruitment in the California Current Ecosystem revisited and revised. *Canadian Journal* 510of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 70:245–252

511

512Mcclatchie S, Field J, Thompson AR, Gerrodette T, Lowry M, Fiedler PC, Watson W, Nieto KM, 513Vetter RD (2016) Food limitation of sea lion pups and the decline of forage off central and

514 southern California. Royal Society Open Science 3:150628

515

516Moser HG, Abrose DA, Busby MS, Butler JL, Sandknop EM, Sumida BY, Stevens EG (1983) 517Description of Early Life Stages of White Seabass, *Atractiscion nobilis*, with notes on

518distribution. *CalCOFI Reports* 24:1-12

519

520Moser HG, Charter RL, Watson W, Abrose DA, Hill KT, Smith PE, Butler JL, Sandknop EM, 521Charter SR (2001) The Calcofi icthyoplankton time series: potential contributions to the

522management of rocky-shore fishes. CalCOFI Reports 42:112-128

523

524Munday PL, Jones GP, Pratchett MS, Williams AJ (2008) Climate change and the future for coral 525reef fishes. *Fish and Fisheries* 9:261–285

526

527Osman AGM, Akel ESH, Farrag MMS, Moustafa MA (2011) Reproductive Biology of Round 528Herring Etrumeus teres from the Egyptian Mediterranean Water at Alexandria. *ISRN Zoology*, 5292011:215950

530

531Palumbi SR (1996) Nucleic acids II: the polymerare chain reaction. In: Molecular Systematics

532(eds Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mabel, BK):205-247

533

534Pankhurst NW, Van Der Kraak G (2000) Evidence that acute stress inhibits ovarian

535steroidogenesis in rainbow trout in vivo, through the action of cortisol. *General and*

536Comparative Endocrinology 117:225-237

537

538Pankhurst NW, Munday PL (2011) Effects of climate change on fish reproduction and early life 539history stages. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 62:1015–1026

540

541Rackowski JP, Pikitch EK (1989) Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements 542of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Pacific Southwest)—Pacific and speckled sanddabs *US Fish* 543*and Wildlife Service Biological Report* 82:11107

544

545Robinette DP, Howar J, Sydeman WJ, Nur N (2007) Spatial patterns of recruitment in a demersal 546fish as revealed by seabird diet. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 352:259–268 547

548Ruttenberg BI, Haupt AJ, Chiriboga AI, Warner RR (2005) Patterns, causes and consequences of 549regional variation in the ecology and life history of a reef fish. *Oecologia* 145:394–403 550

551Sims D, Wearmouth V, Genner M, Southward A, Hawkins S (2004) Low-temperature driven 552early spawning migration of a marine temperate fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73:333–341 553

554Smith PE, Eppley RW (1982) Primary prouduction and the anchovy population in the Southern 555California Bight: Comparison of the time series. *Limnology and Oceanography* 27:1-17 556

557Stramma L, Prince ED, Schmidtko S, Luo J, Hoolihan JP, Visbeck M, Wallace DWR, Brandt P, 558Körtzinger A (2011) Expansion of oxygen minimum zones may reduce available habitat for 559tropical pelagic fishes. *Nature Climate Change* 2:33-37

560

561Taranger G, Hansen T (1993) Ovulation and egg survival following exposure of Atlantic salmon, 562Salmo salar L, broodstock to different water temperatures. *Aquaculture and Fisheries* 563*Mangement* 24:151–156

564

565Wang JCS (1986) Fishes of the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary and adjacent waters, California: 566a guide to the early life histories IEP Technical Report 9. *California Dept of Water Resources* 1-567690

568

569Ward RD, Zemlak TS, Innes BH, Last PR, Hebert PDN (2005) DNA barcoding Australia's fish 570species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 360:1847–1857 571

572Ware DM, Tanasichuk RW (1989) Biological Basis of Maturation and Spawning Waves in 573Pacific (Clupea harengus pallasi). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 46:1176-5741784

575

576Zaba KD, Rudnick DL (2016) The 2014-2015 warming anomaly in the Southern California 577Current System observed by underwater gliders. *Geophysical Research Letters* 43:1241–1248

579Zwiefel JR, Lasker R (1976) Prehatch and posthatch growth of fishes—A general model. Fis
580Bull 74:609–621

- _ _ _ _

594Table 1. List of species collected from weekly samples from two sites: Kelp and Pier during Feb-595Aug 2017. The total number of collections at each site was 25 and 30 collections for Kelp and 596Pier sites, respectively. Both number of eggs collected from individual species and percent of 597total eggs collected is shown for each site, listed in order of percent difference between sites. NA 598represents species that were not found in one of the two sites. Table 1, continued.

Species	Eggs identified: Site~ Kelp	Eggs Identified: Site~Pier	% of total ~Kelp	% of total ~Pier	# of collections ~Kelp	# of collections ~Pier	% difference between sites
Paralabrax							
clathratus	35	4	0.63	0.20	10	4	0.43
Ophidion scrippsae	19	1	0.34	0.05	3	1	0.29
Xystreurys liolepis	1	6	0.02	0.30	2	2	0.29
Symphurus							
atricaudus	22	3	0.40	0.15	6	2	0.24
Anisotremus							
davidsonii	27	6	0.49	0.30	7	2	0.18
Paralabrax nebulifer	5	5	0.09	0.25	5	4	0.16

Semicossyphus							
pulcher	15	8	0.27	0.41	6	5	0.14
Cynoscion							
parvipinnis	11	2	0.20	0.10	3	1	0.10
Seriola lalandi	11	2	0.20	0.10	4	1	0.10
Mugil cephalus	1	1	0.02	0.05	2	1	0.03
Trachurus							
symmetricus	7	2	0.13	0.10	4	2	0.02
Cheilotrema							
saturnum	2	1	0.04	0.05	3	1	0.01
Hermosilla azurea	0	17	NA	0.86	0	3	NA
Paralabrax							
maculatofasciatus	0	4	NA	0.20	0	3	NA
Peprilus simillimus	0	3	NA	0.15	0	1	NA
Chilara taylori	0	2	NA	0.10	0	2	NA
Synodus lucioceps	0	2	NA	0.10	0	1	NA
Pleuronichthys							
verticalis	4	0	0.07	NA	3	0	NA
Etrumeus							
acuminatus	3	0	0.05	NA	3	0	NA
Girella nigricans	3	0	0.05	NA	2	0	NA
Hypsopsetta							
guttulata	2	0	0.04	NA	3	0	NA
Pleuronichthys							
coenosus	2	0	0.04	NA	3	0	NA
Atractoscion							
nobilis	1	0	0.02	NA	2	0	NA
Hippoglossina							
stomata	1	0	0.02	NA	2	0	NA
Sphyraena							
argentea	1	0	0.02	NA	2	0	NA
Stereolepis gigas	1	0	0.02	NA	2	0	NA

600Table 2. List of species collected from weekly samples from two sites: Kelp and Pier during Feb-601Aug 2017. The total number of collections at each site was 25 and 30 collections for Kelp and 602Pier sites, respectively. Both number of eggs collected from individual species and percent of 603total eggs collected is shown for each site, listed in order of percent difference between sites. NA 604represents species that were not found in one of the two sites. Note: *Haemulon californiensis* was 605previously *Xenistius californiensis* and *Halichoeres californica* was previously *Halichoeres* 606*semicinctus*.

	Eggs identified:	Eggs Identified:	% of Total	% of Total	# of Collections	# of Collections	% Difference
Species	Site~ Kelp	Site~Pier	~Kelp	~Pier	~Kelp	~Pier	between sites
Engraulis							
mordax	1946	106	35.09	5.38	13	12	29.71
Citharichthys							
stigmaeus	582	619	10.49	31.39	23	28	20.90
Sardinops							
sagax	891	97	16.07	4.92	15	6	11.15
Haemulon							
californiensis	307	271	5.54	13.74	7	4	8.21
Citharichthys	47	116	0.85	5.88	16	8	5.03

xanthostigma							
/sordidus							
Roncador							
stearnsii	24	80	0.43	4.06	6	10	3.62
Seriphus							
politus	14	62	0.25	3.14	7	10	2.89
Oxyjulis							
californica	599	162	10.80	8.22	20	18	2.59
Menticirrhus							
undulatus	87	57	1.57	2.89	6	8	1.32
Halichoeres							
californica	652	254	11.76	12.88	12	11	1.12
Genyonemus							
lineatus	2	22	0.04	1.12	3	5	1.08
Scomber							
japonicus	107	19	1.93	0.96	9	7	0.97
Paralichthys							
californicus	98	17	1.77	0.86	15	11	0.90
Umbrina							
roncador	16	21	0.29	1.06	4	3	0.78

607 Table 3. Annual average and winter average (December-February) sea surface temperatures are 608 shown with standard error in degrees Celsius. Data were collected approximately every six 609 minutes at 2m depth from the Scripps pier.

Year	Annual average temperature ± SEM (°C)	Winter	Winter average temperature ± SEM (°C)
2012	17.50 ± 0.01	2012-2013	14.37 ± 0.01
2013	17.25 ± 0.01	2013-2014	15.47 ± 0.00
2014	19.57 ± 0.01	2014-2015	17.10 ± 0.01
2015	19.26 ± 0.01	2015-2016	16.06 ± 0.01
2016	18.25 ± 0.01	2016-2017	15.03 ± 0.00
2017	18.37 ± 0.01		













630ure 6.





66

Figure Legends

647Figure 1. Average number of fish eggs collected in each weekly collection in the San Diego-648Scripps Coastal Reserve from 2012-2017. Average depicted is a moving average of eggs 649collected over a three-week period overlapping by one week. The years 2012 and 2014 were 650taken with permission from Harada et al. (2015).

651

652Figure 2. Average number of fish eggs collected is shown for six species from 2012-2017. Five 653most common species are shown with one additional species *Menticirrhus undulatus*. Average 654depicted is a moving average of eggs collected over a three-week period overlapping by one 655week.

656Figure 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling plotted from number of fish eggs per month for 657each species normalized to number of eggs identified for each month from 2012-2017 based on 658Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Stress value of 0.10 indicates the plot gives an adequate representation 659of the data.

660

661Figure 4. Non-metric multidimensional scaling plotted from number of fish eggs for each species 662normalized to number of eggs identified per collection between two sampling sites from 663February 2017 to August 2017. Based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Stress value 0.166 indicates 664plot gives an adequate representation of data.

665

666Figure 5. Average sea surface temperature at the Scripps Pier 2012-2017. Average depicted is a 667moving average of temperatures recorded on egg collection days over a three-week period 668overlapping by one week.

669

670Figure 6. Spring and summer (March-August) average fish egg abundance plotted against 671previous average winter temperature (December -February) for each year. Fish eggs were 672collected from the Scripps Pier. There is a significant negative correlation between winter 673temperatures and spring-summer spawning ($R^2 = 0.83$, p < 0.05). Error bars represent SEM. 674

675Figure 7. Average spring and summer (March-August) fish egg abundance per collection plotted 676against spring cumulative upwelling index (sum of daily upwelling indices over spring). Fish 677eggs were collected from the Scripps Pier. Significant positive relationship between spring 678upwelling and spring-summer fish egg abundance ($R^2 = 0.75$, p < 0.05). Error bars represent 679SEM.

680

681Figure 8. Cumulative upwelling indices (sum of daily upwelling index) over one month period 682vs. log transformed averaged number of fish eggs collected during the same month from the 683Scripps Pier from August 2012 to October of 2017. (R^{2} = 0.33, p < 0.01).

684

685

686

Supplemental Materials

689Table S1.Two of La Jolla, California's Marine Protected Areas and their boundaries.

, , ,			
MPA	Boundaries of Reserve (Latitude, Longitude)		
San Diego- Scripps Coastal State Marine	32° 53.000' N, -117° 15.166' W; 32° 53.000' N,		
Conservation Area	-117° 16.400' W; 32° 51.964' N, -117° 16.400		
	_W; 32° 51.964' N, -117° 15.252' W		
Matlahuayl State Marine Reserve	32° 51.964' N, -117° 15.252' W; 32° 51.964' N,		
	-117° 16.400' W; 32° 51.067' N, -117° 16.400'		
	W		

692Table S2. For each collection year from 2013 to 2017 the date in which the highest species 693richness per collection is shown. Two dates are shown for 2015, because there were two 694collections with the highest species richness.

Year	Date	Day of Year	Number of species
2013	19-Jun	170	15
2014	19-Jun	170	18
2015	24-Jun, 23-Jul	175	10
2016	1-Jul	182	14
2017	20-Jul	201	19







701Figure S2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling plotted from number of fish eggs for each 702species normalized to number of eggs identified per collection between two sampling sites 703from February 2017 to August 2017 separated by month of collection. Based on Bray-704Curtis dissimilarity. Stress value 0.166 indicates plot gives an adequate representation of 705data.



709Figure S3. Number of fish eggs per cubic meter between two sites shown for four species. 710Species shown had the largest difference in percentage between two sites overall. Linear mixed 711effects models fit for each showed non-significant differences between sites, and non-significant 712interaction between site and spawning period (indicated by month of collection). 713



715Figure S4. Spring and summer (March-August) average fish egg abundance plotted against 716average summer temperature (June-August) for each year. Fish eggs were collected from the 717Scripps Pier. There is no relationship between summer temperatures and spring-summer 718spawning ($R^2 = 0.380$, p > 0.05).