# UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

# Title

Recurrent mutualism breakdown events in a legume rhizobia metapopulation

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/21k8j5n9

**Journal** Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 287(1919)

**ISSN** 0962-8452

## Authors

Gano-Cohen, Kelsey A Wendlandt, Camille E Moussawi, Khadija Al <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2020-01-29

## DOI

10.1098/rspb.2019.2549

Peer reviewed

# **PROCEEDINGS B**

#### royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

# Research



**Cite this article:** Gano-Cohen KA, Wendlandt CE, Al Moussawi K, Stokes PJ, Quides KW, Weisberg AJ, Chang JH, Sachs JL. 2020 Recurrent mutualism breakdown events in a legume rhizobia metapopulation. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **287**: 20192549. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2549

Received: 31 October 2019 Accepted: 3 January 2020

#### Subject Category:

Evolution

# Subject Areas:

evolution, ecology, microbiology

#### Keywords:

cheating, evolutionary instability, host control, interspecific conflict, mutualism breakdown

#### Author for correspondence:

Joel L. Sachs e-mail: joel.sachs@ucr.edu

<sup>†</sup>These authors shared first authorship.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.4808979.



# Recurrent mutualism breakdown events in a legume rhizobia metapopulation

Kelsey A. Gano-Cohen<sup>1,†</sup>, Camille E. Wendlandt<sup>2,†</sup>, Khadija Al Moussawi<sup>3</sup>, Peter J. Stokes<sup>2</sup>, Kenjiro W. Quides<sup>3</sup>, Alexandra J. Weisberg<sup>5</sup>, Jeff. H. Chang<sup>5</sup> and Joel L. Sachs<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, <sup>3</sup>Department of Evolution Ecology and Organismal Biology, and <sup>4</sup>Institute for Integrative Genome Biology, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA

🔟 JLS, 0000-0002-0221-9247

Bacterial mutualists generate major fitness benefits for eukaryotes, reshaping the host phenotype and its interactions with the environment. Yet, microbial mutualist populations are predicted to generate mutants that defect from providing costly services to hosts while maintaining the capacity to exploit host resources. Here, we examined the mutualist service of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in a metapopulation of root-nodulating Bradyrhizobium spp. that associate with the native legume Acmispon strigosus. We quantified mutualism traits of 85 Bradyrhizobium isolates gathered from a 700 km transect in California spanning 10 sampled A. strigosus populations. We clonally inoculated each Bradyrhizobium isolate onto A. strigosus hosts and quantified nodulation capacity and net effects of infection, including host growth and isotopic nitrogen concentration. Six Bradyrhizobium isolates from five populations were categorized as ineffective because they formed nodules but did not enhance host growth via nitrogen fixation. Six additional isolates from three populations failed to form root nodules. Phylogenetic reconstruction inferred two types of mutualism breakdown, including three to four independent losses of effectiveness and five losses of nodulation capacity on A. strigosus. The evolutionary and genomic drivers of these mutualism breakdown events remain poorly understood.

### 1. Introduction

Bacterial mutualists offer an array of fitness-enhancing services to plants, animals and other multicellular hosts [1], including antibiotic protection [2], accelerated host growth [3], enhanced immune defence [4], and improved outcomes from host interactions with predators, pathogens and competitors [5]. However, bacteria have a tremendous evolutionary advantage over hosts in terms of population size and generation time [6], and natural selection is predicted to favour the evolution of mutants that defect from providing services to hosts [7]. Consistent with evolutionary instability, bacterial populations display immense diversity in mutualist effects [8], often encompassing beneficial genotypes as well as genotypes that provide negligible benefit to the host [3,8–11]. However, convincing evidence for mutualism breakdown—the evolution of uncooperative mutants from mutualist ancestors [12]—has been scant [12–14], suggesting to some biologists that mutualism instability has little ecological relevance [15,16].

The legume–rhizobia symbiosis is an ideal system to investigate the evolution of symbiotic effectiveness (i.e. microbial capacity to enhance host fitness). Rhizobia encompass soil-dwelling proteobacteria [17] that instigate nodule formation on legume roots and fix nitrogen [18]. Rhizobia vary genotypically in symbiotic effectiveness [6], ranging from beneficial genotypes that enhance host growth through nitrogen fixation to ineffective rhizobia that nodulate the host but fix no nitrogen [10]. Legumes exhibit host control traits that can constrain infection and *in planta* proliferation of ineffective rhizobia [19–25], and are predicted to impose selection against rhizobia that exploit hosts [26–29]. Nonetheless, ineffective rhizobia have

been recovered from agricultural [30–38] and unmanaged soils [3,10,39–41], suggesting that nitrogen fixation might be recurrently lost in populations.

Here, we investigated symbiotic effectiveness in a metapopulation of Bradyrhizobium on the host plant Acmispon strigosus. Acmispon strigosus (formally Lotus strigosus) is an annual legume native to California [42] nodulated by Bradyrhizobium spp. [43-45]. Acmispon legumes regulate nodule growth dependent upon the net benefits gained from specific rhizobia strains [21,46,47] and 'sanction' rhizobia by arresting in planta proliferation of ineffective strains [22,23,48]. However, the degree to which these control mechanisms are independent remains unknown. Two ineffective Bradyrhizobium strains were isolated from geographically distant A. strigosus hosts, suggesting independent origins of non-fixing rhizobia [3,40]. The present study investigated 85 Bradyrhizobium isolates originating from 10 native A. strigosus populations across a 700 km transect in California, ranging from mesic coastal sites in northern California to desert sites in southeastern California [43-45]. To quantify Bradyrhizobium effectiveness, we performed clonal inoculations onto A. strigosus seedlings. We estimated the capacity of each clonal inoculum to induce nodule formation, to affect host growth and to fix nitrogen on A. strigosus. We used four loci distributed across the Bradyrhizobium genome to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships among our isolates, and also inferred relationships to Bradyrhizobium that associate with other host legumes. Our first goal was to examine the frequency and spatial distribution of ineffective Bradyrhizobium in natural populations of hosts, to assess how common ineffective rhizobia are and whether they are more prevalent in certain regions of the host range. Our second goal was to reconstruct the evolutionary history of ineffective Bradyrhizobium to resolve whether ineffective strains represent a single evolutionary origin or if they are unrelated and have evolved recurrently from independent ancestors.

## 2. Material and methods

#### (a) Bradyrhizobium isolates

Bradyrhizobium were previously isolated from the nodules of A. strigosus, its root surface and from surrounding bulk soil at 10 natural sites along a greater than 700 km transect, encompassing 1292 isolates [43-45]. For nodule and root surface isolates, whole plants were excavated, brought to the laboratory and roots were washed with tap water to remove all soil particles. Whole nodules were dissected, surface sterilized in bleach (5% sodium hypochlorite), rinsed in sterile water and individually cultured by crushing with a sterile glass rod and plating nodule contents onto plates of modified arabinose gluconate (MAG, 1.8% w/v agar) [43]. A single colony was archived from each nodule, which was assumed to contain a single genotype of Bradyrhizobium [24]. For root surface isolates, rinsed plant roots were dissected into approximately 1 cm sections, vortexed in sterile water and the wash solution was plated on a glucose-based rhizobia defined medium (GRDM) [49]). Bradyrhizobium were selected from the resultant colonies based upon growth on selective media and genotyping [43,44]. For bulk soil isolates, soils immediately adjacent to A. strigosus were collected from three southern California A. strigosus populations (electronic supplementary material, table S1) and prepared into slurries before being inoculated onto axenic A. strigosus seedlings. Soil cores were collected in August 2014, sieved to 2 mm, saturated with sterile water, filtered through eight layers of sterile cheesecloth and the supernatant was inoculated onto axenic A. strigosus seedlings originating from the same

sites as the soil cores (14 August 2014). Plants were raised six weeks in a growth room, fertilized weekly with nitrogen-free Jensen's solution [50], and de-potted. Nodules were cultured onto MAG plates and a single colony from each plate was archived. Soil isolates were only cultured from white or yellow nodules (i.e. those that are lacking apparent plant expression of leghaemo-globin associated with symbiotic nitrogen fixation [51]) to improve chances of isolating ineffective rhizobial genotypes.

# (b) *Bradyrhizobium* genotyping and phylogenetic reconstruction

Nodule and root surface isolates were previously sequenced for *glnII* and *recA* on the *Bradyrhizobium* chromosome (CHR), and *nodZ* and *nolL* on the symbiosis island (SI) [44,45]. The SI can be integrated on the CHR or exist as a plasmid [52] and can be transferred horizontally among CHR lineages [44,45]. Sequences from each genome region (CHR, SI) were aligned separately using CLUSTAL OMEGA [53] (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

Phylogenetic trees of the 85 isolates were reconstructed separately for the concatenated nucleotides of the CHR and SI loci with *Mesorhizobium loti* (MAFF303099) as an outgroup taxon. Sequences were aligned using default parameters. The GTR + I + G model of evolution was selected from the Akaike information criterion in JMODELTEST2 [54]. Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed with MRBAYES 3.1.2 [55] using  $5 \times 10^6$  generations, a heating temperature of 0.01, a 'burnin' of the first 10 000 trees and two parallel runs starting with random trees, each with four simultaneous chains. A plot of log-likelihood scores of sampling points (sample frequency = 500) against generation number was observed in each case to ensure that stationarity had been reached during the 'burnin' period. We sampled approximately  $10^5$  post-burnin trees for phylogenetic reconstruction.

To examine evolutionary relationships with *Bradyrhizobium* from other studies, a single gene from each locus was also used as a query sequence in BLASTN searches against the NCBI refseq\_genomic database masked to *Bradyrhizobium* with an *e*-value cut-off of  $10^{-5}$ . Nucleotide sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7.402 [56] and IQ-TREE v. 1.6.12 with the options '-m TEST -bb 1000 -alrt 1000' was used to select evolutionary models for each dataset and generate separate phylogenetic trees for each gene (100 tree searches, 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates, 1000 aLRT test replicates) [57].

#### (c) Selection of isolates for analysis

Eighty-five *Bradyrhizobium* isolates were chosen for this study following criteria of (i) sampling from the 10 *A. strigosus* field sites (mean = 8.5 isolates per site, range, 4–18; electronic supplementary material, table S1), (ii) including all 12 previously identified species-like clades of *Bradyrhizobium* isolated from *A. strigosus* [44], and (iii) including all isolation methods (62 nodule isolates, 8 root surface isolates, 15 bulk soil isolates).

#### (d) Inoculation experiments

*Bradyrhizobium* cultures were plated from clonal stocks and incubated until lawns formed (29°C, approx. 8 days), then washed from plates and resuspended in liquid MAG to estimate concentration via optical density [3]. Washed cells were centrifuged (4000*g*, 20 min) to remove media and resuspended in sterile water to a concentration of  $10^8$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. Inoculated plants received  $5 \times 10^8$  rhizobial cells in 5 ml of sterile water.

Acmispon strigosus is a permissive host that forms nodules with diverse *Bradyrhizobium* spp. [44,45]. Previous inoculation studies of *A. strigosus* and *Bradyrhizobium* found relatively consistent effects of rhizobial genotypes upon different host genotypes [46,58]. Thus, a single inbred *A. strigosus* host line from the Claremont

population was used for the experiment (AcS049.Cla.m01.g1.r02; [46]). Seeds were surface sterilized, nick scarified and germinated in sterile nitrogen-free Jensen's solution [50]. Seedlings were planted into sterilized Cone-tainers (Steuwe and Sons, Corvallis, OR) filled with sterilized quartzite sand, incubated in a growth chamber for two weeks, and moved to the greenhouse under approximately 50% shade for hardening (4 days, 1 × aily misting). One week after planting, seedlings were fertilized with 1 ml sterile nitrogen-free Jensen's solution, which was increased to 3 ml per plant at two weeks after planting. Beginning three weeks after planting (approx. 2 days before inoculation), plants were fertilized weekly with 4.5 ml Jensen's solution supplemented with a low concentration of <sup>15</sup>N-enriched potassium nitrate (KNO<sub>3</sub>; 0.05 g l<sup>-1</sup>; 5 atm%  $^{15}\mathrm{N}).$  The KNO3 treatment represents approximately 10% of the nitrogen concentration needed to maximize A. strigosus shoot growth in the absence of rhizobial infection [42].

Size-matched groups of axenic seedlings were randomly assigned to inoculation treatments and blocks. Rhizobial treatments were separated into four groups to be inoculated on separate days (electronic supplementary material, table S1), each with separate uninoculated control plants. All plants that were treated with the same *Bradyrhizobium* isolate were inoculated on the same day. Each inoculation treatment was replicated on 10 plants separated into individual blocks, except for treatments in the last inoculation group which had five replicate plants in one separate block, due to poor germination (85 inoculation + 4 control treatments (separate controls in each block) × 10 replicates per treatment, except for inoculation group 4 which had 5 replicates = 805 plants total). Plants were harvested approximately eight weeks after inoculation.

During harvest, plants were de-potted, soil was washed from roots and plants were wrapped and stored at 4°C until dissection. Shoots were separated from roots to measure dry shoot biomass. Nodules were removed from the roots, counted and photographed. Roots, shoots and nodules were separated and oven-dried (60°C, greater than or equal to 4 days) prior to weighing. Because root dissection is time-intensive when plants are nodulated, only a subset of replicates had their roots and nodules dissected for analysis. For treatments with consistent presence of root nodules, four replicate plants per treatment were de-potted, washed and dissected. For the remaining plant replicates in each treatment, shoots were removed at the root–shoot junction and roots were not analysed. For treatments in which plants exhibited inconsistent nodulation or the absence of nodules, all replicates were dissected.

## (e) Leaf atm%<sup>15</sup>N assays

Subsequent to biomass measurement of shoots, leaflets from four replicate plants per inoculation and control treatment were removed from dried shoots and ground to a fine powder. Samples were analysed for atom per cent <sup>15</sup>N (atm%<sup>15</sup>N) at UC Santa Cruz Stable Isotope Laboratory. We compared leaf atm%<sup>15</sup>N between inoculated and control plants for each *Bradyrhizobium* isolate. Since we fertilized with <sup>15</sup>N-enriched KNO<sub>3</sub> (5%), plants infected with symbiotically effective strains are expected to exhibit significant reductions in <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N relative to uninfected plants, consistent with substantial assimilation of <sup>14</sup>N from the atmosphere via biological nitrogen fixation.

#### (f) Data analysis

*Bradyrhizobium* traits were analysed using general linear mixed models (GLMMs) in JMP Pro 13.0. Data were log-transformed as needed to improve normality. GLMMs were used to analyse variation among collection sites (fixed effect: collection site, random effect: isolate). Variation in symbiotic effectiveness among isolates and within each population was also analysed using ANOVAs. Symbiotic effectiveness was estimated as the host's growth response (HGR) to *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation relative to uninoculated controls (i.e. HGR = ((shoot mass of inoculated plant – shoot mass of control plant)/shoot mass of control plant) × 100 [3]).

Bradyrhizobium isolates were considered effective only if they (i) consistently formed nodules on inoculated hosts, (ii) significantly improved host growth, and (iii) fixed significant amounts of nitrogen for the hosts such that they could be differentiated from uninoculated controls in terms of atm%15N (i.e. independent samples t-test, inoculated treatments compared to uninoculated controls). We quantified in planta fitness proxies for nodulating Bradyrhizobium including the mean number of nodules formed and the mean individual biomass of nodules. Nodules are typically initiated by one or a few rhizobial cells [24,59], so nodule number and size can quantify the progeny of founding cells in clonal inoculations [60]. Nodule size also takes into account the proliferation of rhizobia that occurs within the nodule [3,60] and is often positively correlated with rhizobial population sizes in nodules of A. strigosus [3], Medicago truncatula [61,62], Glycine max [19], Lotus japonicus [47] and Lupinus arboreus [24].

#### (g) Phylogenetic trait analyses

Bradyrhizobium traits were tested for phylogenetic signal (a prerequisite for ancestral state reconstruction [63]) on the CHR and SI trees, and a tree that used all four loci. The same parameters were used as stated above, except a 'burnin' of 12000 trees was used in the four-locus tree. In cases where a single Bradyrhizobium genotype included multiple isolates, a representative isolate was randomly selected to include in analyses. This approach eliminates polytomies (a prerequisite to analyse phylogenetic signal). We estimated Blomberg's K, which is ideal for continuous variables (i.e. host growth response,  $atm\%^{15}N$ ) using the 'phylosignal' function in the 'picante' R package [64], where K compares the observed signal in a trait to the signal under a Brownian motion model [63]. K values close to 1 indicate a Brownian motion process and suggest some degree of phylogenetic signal, whereas K values close to 0 correspond to a random pattern of trait evolution. We tested if K was significantly greater than 0 (i.e. phylogenetic signal) with 999 randomizations and report the mean ± standard error of K and average p-values calculated across 200 randomly selected post-burnin trees to account for phylogenetic uncertainty.

Ancestral states of nodulation and nitrogen fixation on A. strigosus were inferred using a consensus reconstruction of the post-burnin Bayesian trees, and were inferred with maximum likelihood and parsimony. Losses of nodulation or nitrogen fixation on A. strigosus were inferred by estimating a range of minimum to maximum values. For the minimum value of loss events, we only included monophyletic clades with Bayesian posterior support values greater than 0.80 that contain taxa that exhibit lack of nodulation or nitrogen fixation, derived from ancestors with a positive proportional likelihood of nodulation or nitrogen fixation status (i.e. greater than 0.90). For the maximum value, we used relaxed criteria, including all genetically and spatially diverged taxa that exhibit lack nodulation or nitrogen fixation derived from ancestors with a high proportional likelihood of nodulation or nitrogen fixation status (i.e. greater than 0.90). Sequences queried from NCBI were used to examine whether Bradyrhizobium from other studies are intermixed on the phylogeny with isolates from A. strigosus. Using genetic distance, we tested whether ineffective isolates were more closely related to Bradyrhizobium isolated from other legume species, suggesting adaptation to other hosts. Bradyrhizobium SI loci typically cluster phylogenetically with host species, whereas CHR loci are less informative of host origin [65,66].

## 3. Results

# (a) Categorical analysis of *Bradyrhizobium* symbiotic effectiveness

Seventy-nine of the 85 *Bradyrhizobium* isolates nodulated all inoculated plants. Among the remaining isolates, five failed



**Figure 1.** Biogeography of *Bradyrhizobium* effectiveness. *Bradyrhizobium* were sampled from *A. strigosus* across a 700 km transect in California (left) and categorized as effective, ineffective or non-nodulating. The map (centre) indicates locations of the collection sites with black dots and a dashed line approximating the transect. The Venn diagram (right) indicates traits from the inoculation experiment. (Online version in colour.)

(nos 40, 44, 53, 61, 199) to nodulate any inoculated plant and no. 149 formed a single nodule on one plant replicate. Four of these isolates, nos 40, 44, 53 and 61, were cultured from the root surface. Isolate no. 199 was originally cultured from an *A. strigosus* nodule, suggesting that it co-infected the original host with a nodulating isolate [67].

Seventy-three of the 85 isolates were effective on *A. strigosus* because they (i) consistently induced nodules, (ii) caused significant increases in host growth, and (iii) caused significant uptake of <sup>14</sup>N from the atmosphere via biological nitrogen fixation. Conversely, six isolates (nos 2, 155, 186, 187, 200, CW1) from five collection sites were categorized as ineffective on *A. strigosus* because they consistently formed nodules but did not cause enhanced host growth via nitrogen fixation (figure 1).

Among the six isolates that did not instigate nodule formation on *A. strigosus*, isolates nos 44 and 61 caused modest but significant increases in host growth but did not exhibit evidence for nitrogen fixation. The remaining isolates, nos 40, 53, 149 and 199, did not have any significant effects on hosts during inoculation (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

#### (b) Phylogenetic reconstruction

Phylogenetic reconstruction of the CHR loci recovered four species-level clades (i.e. monophyletic lineages encompassing one previously identified species, posterior support greater than or equal to 0.9, greater than or equal to 3 isolates; [44]) including *B. canariense* [68] and three unnamed species [44] (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S2 and table S3). The remaining isolates shared genetic similarity to a diversity of reference strains and unnamed species [44] (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Reconstruction of the SI loci recovered a tree with four clades (pp > 0.80, descending from nodes 2, 11, 12 and 14) encompassing all but three isolates, which were derived on unresolved branches (i.e. nos 157, 187, 195; electronic supplementary material, figures S4 and S5,

table S3). For the nodulating isolates—including the ineffective ones—we were always able to amplify and sequence at least one of the nodulation loci. We were unable to successfully amplify *nodZ* for isolate nos 170, 189, 190, 200 and *nolL* for no. 182. Conversely, we were unable to PCR amplify any SI loci on isolates that failed to nodulate *A. strigosus* (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Previous work in *Bradyrhizobium* found that no SI locus could be amplified in non-nodulating strains, suggesting the degradation or absence of the SI [44].

#### (c) Trait analysis of *Bradyrhizobium* isolates

Blomberg's *K* values for host growth response were significantly different than zero on the CHR (K = 0.031, p = 0.012) and SI trees (K = 0.070, p = 0.017), but not for the four-locus phylogeny (K = 0.044, p = 0.126; table 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S6). The same pattern was true for nitrogen fixation (CHR: K = 0.031, p = 0.007; SI: K = 0.205, p = 0.016; four-locus: K = 0.078, p = 0.070).

The six isolates that were categorized as non-nodulating on *A. strigosus* were distributed in three independently derived clades (i.e.  $pp \ge 0.80$ ) and two long unresolved branches on the CHR phylogeny (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S2, table S3). One *B. canariense* clade encompassed two closely related non-nodulating isolates (pp = 1.00; nos 40, 44). Both the maximum likelihood and parsimony reconstruction of ancestral states inferred five losses of nodulation on *A. strigosus*.

The six isolates that were categorized as ineffective on *A. strigosus* were independently derived in four well-supported clades ( $pp \ge 0.80$ ) and one long unresolved branch on the CHR phylogeny ( $pp \ge 0.50$ ; figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S2, table S3). One of the *B. canariense* clades encompassed two of the ineffective isolates that were closely related (i.e. pp > 0.80; no. 187, CW1, but were isolated greater than 350 km apart). Maximum likelihood and parsimony



**Figure 2.** Bayesian cladogram inferred with *glnll* and *recA*. Four previously identified species-like clades are indicated with the outermost curved bars [44]. Symbiotic phenotypes are indicated on the tips of the tree with concentric circles, the outer indicating nitrogen fixation (red squares, significant nitrogen fixation; grey, no significant nitrogen fixation; dotted square, no data) and the inner indicating nodulation. Numbers identify clades with Bayesian posterior probabilities  $\geq$ 0.80 (i.e. pp, Bayesian support value). Ancestral states for nitrogen fixation are estimated for all well-supported internal nodes using maximum likelihood. Proportional likelihood of the nitrogen fixation is reported via the colour of the node labels. In the parsimony analysis, all 20 well-supported ancestral nodes were inferred to be nitrogen fixing except for no. 17, which was ambiguous (electronic supplementary material, table S3). (Online version in colour.)

Table	1.	Phylogenetic	signal	estimated	with	Blomberg's	<i>K</i> . N	Mean $\pm$ s.e	. of <i>K</i>	and	average	p-values	are	calculated	across	200	trees	to a	account	for	phylogenetic
uncert	aint	y.																			

	genome <sup>a</sup>		chromosome		symbiosis island			
trait	K	<i>p</i> -value	К	<i>p</i> -value	K	<i>p</i> -value		
HGR <sup>b</sup>	0.04353235	0.126	0.03059187	0.012	0.06993263	0.017		
atm% <sup>15</sup> N	0.07843186	0.07	0.03094816	0.007	0.2053341	0.016		
nodule mass <sup>c</sup>	0.2301758	0.203	0.02789672	0.004	0.0730706	0.005		

<sup>a</sup>Genome refers to trees reconstructed with all four loci.

<sup>b</sup>HGR refers to host growth response.

<sup>c</sup>Mean individual nodule mass.



**Figure 3.** Symbiotic traits of *Bradyrhizobium* isolates. Host growth response (*a*), atm $%^{15}$ N (*b*), mean number of nodules formed (*c*) and mean individual nodule mass (*d*) are indicated. Colours indicate different field collection sites and asterisks in the host growth response panel identify ineffective isolates. Significant differences among collection sites are indicated with capital letters (electronic supplementary material, table S13). Error bars represent 1 s.e. (Online version in colour.)

reconstructions of ancestral states using the CHR tree inferred a minimum of three or four independent losses of nitrogen fixation capacity on *A. strigosus*, respectively. The six isolates that were ineffective on *A. strigosus* were independently derived in three clades ( $pp \ge 0.80$ ) and one long unresolved branch ( $pp \ge 0.50$ , no. 187) on the SI phylogeny (electronic supplementary material, figures S4 and S5). The maximum likelihood and parsimony reconstructions of ancestral states both inferred a minimum of three losses of nitrogen fixation on *A. strigosus* on the SI tree.

*Bradyrhizobium* isolated from *Lupinus* and other legume genera were intermixed with isolates from *A. strigosus* on the CHR trees (electronic supplementary material, figures S7 and S8, table S9). Conversely, the *A. strigosus Bradyrhizobium* isolates formed monophyletic clades on the SI trees that excluded isolates from other species—except for one nodule isolate from *Syrmatium glabrum* with a *nodZ* genotype shared with several of our isolates (including ineffective strains no. 155, CW1; electronic supplementary material, figures S10 and S11). Genetic distance matrices of CHR loci showed that ineffective strains were sometimes more closely related to isolates from other legume species than to beneficial strains from *A. strigosus*. However, this was never the case for the SI loci or when taking all four loci into account (electronic supplementary material, table S12).

#### (d) Variation among populations in symbiosis traits

Host growth response to the *Bradyrhizobium* isolates varied significantly among the sampled populations ( $F_{9,66.12} = 3.0575$ , p = 0.0040; figure 3; electronic supplementary material, table S13) but nitrogen fixation did not ( $F_{9,69} = 1.0685$ , p = 0.3969). The number of nodules formed and the mean individual nodule mass of *Bradyrhizobium* isolates both varied significantly among the sampled populations (nodules formed:  $F_{9,77.18} =$ 

3.1087, p = 0.0031; mean individual nodule mass:  $F_{9,70.86} = 2.0310$ , p = 0.0481; figure 3). *Bradyrhizobium* from the bulk soil isolates (which were cultured only from white or yellow nodules of plants that were inoculated with these soils) slightly increased host growth response compared to the remainder of the isolates, inconsistent with white or yellow nodules being more likely to be ineffective ( $F_{1,92.85} = 4.4699$ , p = 0.0372).

Host growth response and nitrogen fixation (atm%<sup>15</sup>N) were positively correlated ( $\rho = 0.6876$ , p < 0.0001, n = 79), consistent with nitrogen fixation being the main benefit of nodulation. Host growth response and mean individual nodule mass were also positively correlated ( $\rho = 0.4953$ , p < 0.0001, n = 79), suggesting that the plants invest more resources into nodules as the benefit of symbiotic nitrogen fixation increases [21,47]. We did not find any correlation between host growth response and the number of nodules formed ( $\rho = 0.0816$ , p = 0.4745, n = 79).

### 4. Discussion

Our study uncovered multiple, independent evolutionary losses of beneficial mutualism in a metapopulation of rhizobia interacting with a widespread host. Previous studies of microbial mutualist services uncovered broad genotypic variation in the magnitude of benefits that symbionts provide to hosts [8,10,11,69–71] consistent with evolutionary lability in these traits. Moreover, phylogenetic analyses have occasionally found that microbial mutualist taxa are closely related to uncooperative strains or species, allowing inference of transitions between mutualism and parasitism [12–14]. We inferred multiple transitions leading either to the loss of *Bradyrhizobium* nitrogen fixation or nodulation on *A. strigosus* in separated populations (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S4). No other study that we are aware of has

7

recovered multiple independent mutualism breakdown events occurring within a host-symbiont metapopulation. The dataset suggests that these transitions are occurring frequently, rapidly and at multiple local sites.

There is intense debate over mutualism stability. Selection for selfish traits is predicted to overcome the benefits of mutualism [72] leading its breakdown [12]; however, this might often depend on costs of cooperation or competition for partners [73,74]. We uncovered uncooperative Bradyrhizobium distributed only at the tips of the evolutionary tree, consistent with recurrent origins but no long-term fitness advantage [75]. Given the recurrent evolution of *Bradyrhizobium* that fail to benefit the host, what is preventing the uncooperative rhizobia from displacing beneficial strains? A null hypothesis is mutation-selection balance, wherein non-fixing rhizobia are recurrently introduced into populations via deleterious mutation and are purged at a similar rate by low fitness, either in hosts (due to host defence [6]) or in the soil environment [76]. There are conflicting data about the relative fitness of ineffective rhizobia. Our analysis here uncovered a positive correlation between symbiotic effectiveness and mean nodule mass, suggesting that cooperative strains have higher fitness in planta. However, a previous study including some of the same strains did not find a correlation [40], and instead uncovered ineffective Bradyrhizobium that achieved higher genotype frequencies than beneficial strains within populations, suggesting that cheating was favoured in those settings [40]. Evidence for rhizobial cheating has also been uncovered using nodule mass and seed mass data in the Medicago-Ensifer symbiosis [60]. It remains an open question of how often ineffective rhizobia are superior in fitness to cooperative strains, and thus can be defined as cheaters [70,77].

Bacterial mutualists can transition in their capacity to provide fitness benefits to hosts through mutation, acquisition or deletion of loci that encode symbiosis functions [3,6,13,14,78,79]. The data here are consistent with previous work, suggesting that deletion of part or all of the SI is a main driver causing rhizobia to lose capacity to nodulate hosts [3,80,81]. The evidence is less clear for rhizobia that do not fix nitrogen for a host. Losses of effectiveness on a host legume could occur if rhizobia become adapted to a novel host, and in the process lose the capacity to fix nitrogen on the initial host (i.e.  $G \times G$  interactions) [10,61,80,82]. We found that some of our rhizobia were related to Bradyrhizobium isolated from other legume species, including Lupinus spp., Lablab purpureus, Syrmatium glabrum and others (electronic supplementary material, table S9), suggesting that some of these isolates might be adapted to other host species. However, for the symbiosis loci-which control host-symbiont specificity-the ineffective isolates were never more closely related to isolates from other species (electronic supplementary material, table S12). Thus, evidence is currently lacking that adaptation to a novel host drove the losses of mutualism with *A. strigosus*.

Ineffective rhizobia could also arise through acquisition of an SI in a genome lacking these loci [3]. A recent study of these Bradyrhizobium populations inferred recurrent evolutionary gain and loss of nodulation capacity and hypothesized that these transitions were driven by acquisition and deletion of the SI [44]. Mapping the ineffective genotypes uncovered in the current study onto a CHR tree from that larger dataset suggests that as many as three of our ineffective isolates (i.e. 2, 187, CW1) recently acquired an SI in ancestors that were non-nodulating [44]. Even with these ambiguous taxa, we still uncovered three mutualism breakdown events, independent origins of ineffective rhizobia from beneficial nodulating ancestors (i.e. 155, 186, 200). This complex evolutionary history suggests that origins of ineffective rhizobia might have multiple drivers including adaptation to other hosts, to free-living conditions in the soil, or might be due to negative epistasis caused by acquisition of novel SIs. Whole-genome datasets that deeply sample these populations are needed to better examine the mechanisms that drive these transitions and to resolve the frequency, directionality and genomic drivers of these events.

We uncovered recurrent mutualism breakdown events in a legume rhizobia metapopulation, including both the loss of nitrogen fixation and nodulation on a focal host. Parallel examples of mutualism breakdown might be expected to occur in other symbiont taxa with similar lifestyles. Vibrio fischeri is one such candidate. These marine bacteria provide the metabolically costly service of bioluminescence to diverse animal hosts, have an evolutionary advantage over hosts and also spend time in the environment between rounds of host infection [83]. However, in the well-studied bobtail squid system, host mechanisms appear to efficiently select against non-bioluminescent Vibrio [84]. Another candidate is the clade of dinoflagellate algae that provides nutrients to diverse marine hosts including corals [85], as they also share the same set of features. It would be fascinating to examine the strain and population-level variation in mutualism services in these and other taxa. More work is needed to examine the fine-scale strain-level population genomics of other microbial mutualists, to uncover shifts and losses of mutualist traits and the genomic and ecological mechanisms that drive these changes.

Data accessibility. Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.3tx95x6bt [86].

Authors' contributions. K.A.G.-C. designed the study, performed the experiment, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. C.E.W. designed the study, performed the experiment and contributed substantially to writing. A.J.W., J.H.C., P.J.S., K.A.M. and K.W.Q. helped perform the experiment and collect data. J.L.S. designed the study and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests. Funding. This study was funded by US National Science Foundation

(NSF, grant nos DEB 1150278 and 1738028).

## References

- 1. Douglas AE. 2010 *The symbiotic habit*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Currie CR, Scott JA, Summerbell RC, Malloch D. 2003 Correction: Corrigendum: Fungus-growing ants use antibiotic-producing bacteria to control garden parasites. *Nature* **423**, 461. (doi:10.1038/nature01563)
- Sachs J, Ehinger M, Simms E. 2010 Origins of cheating and loss of symbiosis in wild *Bradyrhizobium. J. Evol. Biol.* 23, 1075–1089. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.01980.x)
- Gerardo NM, Parker BJ. 2014 Mechanisms of symbiont-conferred protection against natural

enemies: an ecological and evolutionary framework. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* **4**, 8–14. (doi:10.1016/j.cois. 2014.08.002)

 Friesen ML, Porter SS, Stark SC, von Wettberg EJ, Sachs JL, Martinez-Romero E. 2011 Microbially mediated plant functional traits. *Annu. Rev. ecol.* *Evol. Syst.* **42**, 23–46. (doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145039)

- Sachs JL, Quides KW, Wendlandt CE. 2018 Legumes versus rhizobia: a model for ongoing conflict in symbiosis. *New Phytol.* 219, 1199–1206. (doi:10. 1111/nph.15222)
- Sachs JL, Mueller UG, Wilcox TP, Bull JJ. 2004 The evolution of cooperation. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **79**, 135–160. (doi:10.1086/383541)
- Heath KD, Stinchcombe JR. 2014 Explaining mutualism variation: a new evolutionary paradox? *Evolution* 68, 309–317. (doi:10.1111/evo.12292)
- Bromfield E, Thurman N, Whitwill S, Barran L. 1987 Plasmids and symbiotic effectiveness of representative phage types from two indigenous populations of *Rhizobium meliloti*. *Microbiology* 133, 3457–3466. (doi:10.1099/00221287-133-12-3457)
- Burdon JJ, Gibson AH, Searle SD, Woods MJ, Brockwell J. 1999 Variation in the effectiveness of symbiotic associations between native rhizobia and temperate Australian *Acacia*: within-species interactions. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **36**, 398–408. (doi:10. 1046/j.1365-2664.1999.00409.x)
- Hoeksema JD *et al.* 2010 A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 394–407. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01430.x)
- Sachs JL, Simms EL. 2006 Pathways to mutualism breakdown. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21, 585–592. (doi:10. 1016/j.tree.2006.06.018)
- Sachs JL, Skophammer RG, Bansal N, Stajich JE.
  2014 Evolutionary origins and diversification of proteobacterial mutualists. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20132146. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2146)
- Sachs JL, Skophammer RG, Regus JU. 2011 Evolutionary transitions in bacterial symbiosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 10 800–10 807. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1100304108)
- Frederickson ME. 2013 Rethinking mutualism stability: cheaters and the evolution of sanctions. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 88, 269–295. (doi:10.1086/673757)
- Friesen ML. 2012 Widespread fitness alignment in the legume–rhizobium symbiosis. *New Phytol.* **194**, 1096–1111. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012. 04099.x)
- Sawada H, Kuykendall LD, Young JM. 2003 Changing concepts in the systematics of bacterial nitrogen-fixing symbionts. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 49, 155–179. (doi:10.2323/jgam.49.155)
- Sprent JI, Sutherland J, De Faria S, Dilworth M, Corby H, Becking J, Materon LA, Drozd JW. 1987 Some aspects of the biology of nitrogen-fixing organisms and discussion. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* **317**, 111–129. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.1987.0051)
- Kiers ET, Rousseau RA, West SA, Denison RF. 2003 Host sanctions and the legume-rhizobium mutualism. *Nature* 425, 78–81. (doi:10.1038/nature01931)
- Oono R, Anderson CG, Denison RF. 2011 Failure to fix nitrogen by non-reproductive symbiotic rhizobia triggers host sanctions that reduce fitness of their reproductive clonemates. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 2698–2703. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.2193)

- Regus J, Gano K, Hollowell A, Sofish V, Sachs J. 2015 Lotus hosts delimit the mutualism–parasitism continuum of *Bradyrhizobium. J. Evol. Biol.* 28, 447–456. (doi:10.1111/jeb.12579)
- Regus JU, Quides KW, O'Neill MR, Suzuki R, Savory EA, Chang JH, Sachs JL. 2017 Cell autonomous sanctions in legumes target ineffective rhizobia in nodules with mixed infections. *Am. J. Bot.* **104**, 1299–1312. (doi:10.3732/ajb.1700165)
- Sachs JL, Russell JE, Lii YE, Black KC, Lopez G, Patil AS. 2010 Host control over infection and proliferation of a cheater symbiont. *J. Evol. Biol.* 23, 1919–1927. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101. 2010.02056.x)
- Simms EL, Taylor DL, Povich J, Shefferson RP, Sachs JL, Urbina M, Tausczik Y. 2006 An empirical test of partner choice mechanisms in a wild legume– rhizobium interaction. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 273, 77–81. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3292)
- Singleton PW, Stockinger KR. 1983 Compensation against ineffective nodulation in soybean. *Crop Sci.* 23, 69–72. (doi:10.2135/cropsci1983. 0011183X002300010019x)
- Akcay E, Simms EL. 2011 Negotiation, sanctions, and context dependency in the legume–rhizobium mutualism. *Am. Nat.* **178**, 1–14. (doi:10.1086/ 659997)
- Denison RF. 2000 Legume sanctions and the evolution of symbiotic cooperation by rhizobia. *Am. Nat.* 6, 567–576. (doi:10.1086/316994)
- West S, Kiers ET, Pen I, Denison R. 2002 Sanctions and mutualism stability: when should less beneficial mutualists be tolerated? *J. Evol. Biol.* 15, 830–837. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2002.00441.x)
- West SA, Kiers ET, Simms EL, Denison RF. 2002 Sanctions and mutualism stability: why do rhizobia fix nitrogen? *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 269, 685–694. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1878)
- Bromfield E, Tambong J, Cloutier S, Prévost D, Laguerre G, Van Berkum P, Thi TT, Assabgui R, Barran LR. 2010 Ensifer, Phyllobacterium and Rhizobium species occupy nodules of Medicago sativa (alfalfa) and Melilotus alba (sweet clover) grown at a Canadian site without a history of cultivation. Microbiology 156, 505–520. (doi:10. 1099/mic.0.034058-0)
- Chen L, Figueredo A, Villani H, Michajluk J, Hungria M. 2002 Diversity and symbiotic effectiveness of rhizobia isolated from field-grown soybean nodules in Paraguay. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 35, 448–457. (doi:10. 1007/s00374-002-0493-1)
- Collins M, Thies J, Abbott L. 2002 Diversity and symbiotic effectiveness of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* isolates from pasture soils in southwestern Australia. *Soil Res.* **40**, 1319–1329. (doi:10. 1071/SR01052)
- Denton M, Coventry D, Bellotti W, Howieson J. 2000 Distribution, abundance and symbiotic effectiveness of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* from alkaline pasture soils in South Australia. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 40, 25–35. (doi:10.1071/EA99035)
- 34. Fening J, Danso S. 2002 Variation in symbiotic effectiveness of cowpea bradyrhizobia indigenous to

Ghanaian soils. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **21**, 23–29. (doi:10. 1016/S0929-1393(02)00042-2)

- Gibson A, Curnow B, Bergersen F, Brockwell J, Rominson A. 1975 Studies of field populations of *Rhizobium*: effectiveness of strains of *Rhizobium trifolii* associated with *Trifolium subterraneum* L. pastures in south-eastern Australia. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 7, 95–102. (doi:10.1016/0038-0717(75)90005-X)
- Moawad H, Badr El-Din SMS, Abdel-Aziz RA. 1998 Improvement of biological nitrogen fixation in Egyptian winter legumes through better management of *Rhizobium. Plant Soil* **204**, 95–106. (doi:10.1023/A:1004335112402)
- Quigley PE, Cunningham PJ, Hannah M, Ward GN, Morgan T. 1997 Symbiotic effectiveness of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* collected from pastures in south-western Victoria. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 37, 623–630. (doi:10.1071/EA96089)
- Rangin C, Brunel B, Cleyet-Marel J-C, Perrineau M-M, Béna G. 2008 Effects of *Medicago truncatula* genetic diversity, rhizobial competition, and strain effectiveness on the diversity of a natural Sinorhizobium species community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**, 5653–5661. (doi:10.1128/ AEM.01107-08)
- Ehinger M, Mohr TJ, Starcevich JB, Sachs JL, Porter SS, Simms EL. 2014 Specialization-generalization trade-off in a *Bradyrhizobium* symbiosis with wild legume hosts. *BMC Ecol.* 14, 8. (doi:10.1186/1472-6785-14-8)
- Gano-Cohen KA, Wendlandt CE, Stokes PJ, Blanton MA, Quides KW, Zomorrodian A, Adinata ES, Sachs JL. 2019 Interspecific conflict and the evolution of ineffective rhizobia. *Ecol. Lett.* 22, 914–924. (doi:10. 1111/ele.13247)
- Gaur YD, Lowther WL. 1980 Distribution, symbiotic effectiveness, and fluorescent-antibody reaction of naturalized populations of rhizobium-trifolii in otago soils. *New Zeal. J. Agric. Res.* 23, 529–532. (doi:10.1080/00288233.1980.10417878)
- Regus JU, Wendlandt CE, Bantay RM, Gano-Cohen KA, Gleason NJ, Hollowell AC, O'Neill MR, Shahin KK, Sachs JL. 2017 Nitrogen deposition decreases the benefits of symbiosis in a native legume. *Plant Soil* 414, 159–170. (doi:10.1007/s11104-016-3114-8)
- Sachs JL, Kembel SW, Lau AH, Simms EL. 2009 In situ phylogenetic structure and diversity of wild *Bradyrhizobium* communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 4727–4735. (doi:10.1128/AEM. 00667-09)
- Hollowell AC *et al.* 2016 Epidemic spread of symbiotic and non-symbiotic *Bradyrhizobium* genotypes across California. *Microb. Ecol.* **71**, 700–710. (doi:10.1007/s00248-015-0685-5)
- Hollowell AC, Regus JU, Turissini D, Gano-Cohen KA, Bantay R, Bernardo A, Moore D, Pham J, Sachs JL (eds). 2016 Metapopulation dominance and genomic-island acquisition of *Bradyrhizobium* with superior catabolic capabilities. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283, 20160496. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.0496)
- 46. Wendlandt CE, Regus JU, Gano-Cohen KA, Hollowell AC, Quides KW, Lyu JY, Adinata ES, Sachs JL. 2019

9

Host investment into symbiosis varies among genotypes of the legume *Acmispon strigosus*, but host sanctions are uniform. *New Phytol.* **221**, 446–448. (doi:10.1111/nph.15378)

- Quides KW, Stomackin GM, Lee HH, Chang JH, Sachs JL. 2017 Lotus japonicus alters in planta fitness of Mesorhizobium loti dependent on symbiotic nitrogen fixation. PLoS ONE 12, e0185568. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0185568)
- Regus JU, Gano KA, Hollowell AC, Sachs JL. 2014 Efficiency of partner choice and sanctions in Lotus is not altered by nitrogen fertilization. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20132587. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2587)
- Sullivan JT, Eardly BD, van Berkum P. 1996 Four unnamed species of nonsymbiotic rhizobia islated from the rhizosphere of *Lotus corniculatus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62, 2818–2925. (doi:10.1128/ aem.62.8.2818-2825.1996)
- 50. Somasegaran P, Hoben J. 1994 *Handbook for rhizobia*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.
- Lodwig E, Poole P. 2003 Metabolism of *Rhizobium* bacteroids. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 22, 37–78. (doi:10. 1080/713610850)
- Okubo T, Piromyou P, Tittabutr P, Teaumroong N, Minamisawa K. 2016 Origin and evolution of nitrogen fixation genes on symbiosis islands and plasmid in *Bradyrhizobium. Microb. Environ.* **31**, 260–267. (doi:10.1264/jsme2.ME15159)
- Sievers F *et al.* 2011 Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7, 539. (doi:10. 1038/msb.2011.75)
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012 jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nat. Methods* 9, 772. (doi:10. 1038/nmeth.2109)
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001 MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17, 754–755. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754)
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013 MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. (doi:10.1093/molbev/ mst010)
- Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2014 IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 268–274. (doi:10. 1093/molbev/msu300)
- Pahua VJ, Stokes PJN, Hollowell AC, Regus JU, Gano-Cohen KA, Wendlandt CE, Quides KW, Lyu JY, Sachs JL. 2018 Fitness variation among host species and the paradox of ineffective rhizobia. *J. Evol. Biol.* **31**, 599–610. (doi:10.1111/jeb.13249)
- Gage DJ. 2002 Analysis of infection thread development using Gfp- and DsRed-expressing *Sinorhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol.* 184, 7042–7046. (doi:10.1128/JB.184.24.7042-7046.2002)

- 60. Porter SS, Simms EL. 2014 Selection for cheating across disparate environments in the legume–rhizobium mutualism. *Ecol. Lett.* **17**, 1121–1129. (doi:10.1111/ele.12318)
- Heath KD, Tiffin P. 2007 Context dependence in the coevolution of plant and rhizobial mutualists. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 274, 1905–1912. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2007.0495)
- Heath KD, Tiffin P. 2009 Stabilizing mechanisms in a legume-rhizobium mutualism. *Evolution* 63, 652–662. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00582.x)
- Blomberg SP, Garland T, Ives AR. 2003 Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57, 717–745. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00285.x)
- Kembel SW, Cowan PD, Helmus MR, Cornwell WK, Morlon H, Ackerly DD, Blomberg SP, Webb CO. 2010 Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* 26, 1463–1464. (doi:10. 1093/bioinformatics/btq166)
- Parker MA. 2012 Legumes select symbiosis island sequence variants in *Bradyrhizobium*. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 1769–1778. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012. 05497.x)
- Parker MA. 2015 The spread of *Bradyrhizobium* lineages across host legume clades: from Abarema to Zygia. *Microb. Ecol.* 69, 630–640. (doi:10.1007/ s00248-014-0503-5)
- Gano-Cohen KA *et al.* 2016 Nonnodulating *Bradyrhizobium* spp. modulate the benefits of legume-rhizobium mutualism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 5259–5268. (doi:10.1128/AEM. 01116-16)
- Vinuesa P, Silva C, Werner D, Martinez-Romero E. 2005 Population genetics and phylogenetic inference in bacterial molecular systematics: the roles of migration and recombination in *Bradyrhizobium* species cohesion and delineation. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 34, 29–54. (doi:10.1016/j. ympev.2004.08.020)
- 69. Douglas AE. 2008 Conflict, cheats and the persistence of symbioses. *New Phytol.* **177**, 849–858. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007. 02326.x)
- Sachs J. 2015 The exploitation of mutualisms. In Mutualism (ed. JL Bronstein), pp. 93–106. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Sachs JL, Wilcox TP. 2006 A shift to parasitism in the jellyfish symbiont *Symbiodinium microadriaticum. Proc. R. Soc. B* 273, 425–429. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3346)
- Axelrod R, Hamilton WD. 1981 The evolution of cooperation. *Science* **211**, 1390–1396. (doi:10.1126/ science.7466396)
- Doebeli M, Knowlton N. 1998 The evolution of interspecific mutualisms. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 8676–8680. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 95.15.8676)

- Ferriere R, Gauduchon M, Bronstein JL. 2007 Evolution and persistence of obligate mutualists and exploiters: competition for partners and evolutionary immunization. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**, 115–126. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.01008.x)
- Goldberg EE, Kohn JR, Lande R, Robertson KA, Smith SA, Igic B. 2010 Species selection maintains self-incompatibility. *Science* 330, 493–495. (doi:10. 1126/science.1194513)
- Van Dyken JD, Linksvayer TA, Wade MJ. 2011 Kin selection–mutation balance: a model for the origin, maintenance, and consequences of social cheating. *Am. Nat.* **177**, 288–300. (doi:10.1086/658365)
- Jones El *et al.* 2015 Cheaters must prosper: reconciling theoretical and empirical perspectives on cheating in mutualism. *Ecol. Lett.* **18**, 1270–1284. (doi:10.1111/ele.12507)
- Price PA, Tanner HR, Dillon BA, Shabab M, Walker GC, Griffitts JS. 2015 Rhizobial peptidase HrrP cleaves host-encoded signaling peptides and mediates symbiotic compatibility. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 15 244–15 249. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1417797112)
- Sullivan JT, Patrick HN, Lowther WL, Scott DB, Ronson CW. 1995 Nodulating strains of *Rhizobium loti* arise through chromosomal symbiotic gene transfer in the environment. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 8985–8989. (doi:10.1073/pnas.92. 19.8985)
- Sachs JL, Russell JE, Hollowell AC. 2011 Evolutionary instability of symbiotic function in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *PLoS Biol*. **6**, e26370. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0026370)
- Porter SS, Faber-Hammond J, Montoya AP, Friesen ML, Sackos C. 2019 Dynamic genomic architecture of mutualistic cooperation in a wild population of *Mesorhizobium. ISME J.* **13**, 301–315. (doi:10.1038/ s41396-018-0266-y)
- Heath KD. 2010 Intergenomic epistasis and coevolutionary constraint in plants and rhizobia. *Evolution* 64, 1446–1458. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646. 2009.00913.x0)
- Nyholm SV, McFall-Ngai MJ. 2004 The winnowing: establishing the squid–*Vibrio* symbiosis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2, 632–642. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro957)
- McFall-Ngai M. 2014 Divining the essence of symbiosis: insights from the squid–*Vibrio* model. *PLoS Biol.* **12**, e1001783. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 1001783)
- Stat M, Morris E, Gates RD. 2008 Functional diversity in coral–dinoflagellate symbiosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 9256–9261. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.0801328105)
- Gano-Cohen KA, Wendlandt CE, Al Moussawi K, Stokes PJ, Quides KW, Weisberg AJ, Chang JH, Sachs JL. 2020 Data from: Recurrent mutualism breakdown events in a legume rhizobia metapopulation. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10. 5061/dryad.3tx95x6bt)