UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Utilization of whole genome sequencing for resolution of discrepant Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug susceptibility results: A case report.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2184r6zg

Authors

Realegeno, Susan Adeyiga, Oladunni Winston, Drew J <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.1016/j.idcr.2021.e01308

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

IDCases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/idcases

Utilization of whole genome sequencing for resolution of discrepant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug susceptibility results: A case report[★]



Susan Realegeno^{a,1}, Oladunni Adeyiga^b, Drew J. Winston^c, Omer E. Beaird^b, Omai B. Garner^a, Shangxin Yang^{a,*}

^a UCLA Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^b Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^c Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 August 2021 Received in revised form 5 October 2021 Accepted 7 October 2021 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Mycobacterium tuberculosis Whole genome sequencing Drug resistance prediction DST

ABSTRACT

A 44-year-old woman undergoing therapy for acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) developed disseminated tuberculosis. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (TB) was isolated from the blood and sputum. Initial drug susceptibility testing (DST) of the blood isolate revealed resistance to isoniazid and ethambutol but the sputum isolate showed no resistance. Due to drug resistance concerns, the patient was treated with multiple second and third-line drugs, and suffered from drug side effects. To further investigate the DST discrepancies, whole genome sequencing (WGS) was performed on both isolates. No known resistance mutations to first line or second line drugs were identified in either isolate, which was confirmed by additional susceptibility testing performed by a different reference laboratory and the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) laboratory. Treatment was reduced to a simpler and less toxic regimen due to these investigations. WGS is shown to be a valuable tool for resolving discordant phenotypic DST results of TB isolates and has the potential to provide accurate and timely results guiding appropriate therapy in the clinical setting.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. CC_BY_4.0

Introduction

Reactivation of latent tuberculosis (TB) accounts for most of the TB cases in the United States [1]. The proportion of TB patients with complexities has increased over the years and treatment can be complicated by comorbidities and immunosuppressive therapies. Therefore, timely diagnosis and drug susceptibility results are essential for initiating optimal treatment. Isolation of mycobacteria requires specialized media and lengthy incubation (approximately 2 weeks or longer). Several methods for phenotypic drug susceptibility testing (DST) are available for first line drugs (rifampin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol), however, all methods require additional incubation time (> 1 week). In some cases, susceptibility testing for secondary drugs is also needed, further extending the

E-mail address: shangxinyang@mednet.ucla.edu (S. Yang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2021.e01308 2214-2509/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. CC_BY_4.0 time to receiving results. In recent years, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) has been shown to reliably predict TB drug resistance and can be done in a timely and cost effective manner [2].

Here, we present a challenging case of disseminated TB in a cancer patient. The initial erroneous phenotypic DST results led to unnecessary treatment with toxic and less effective non-first line drugs. WGS was utilized to resolve the discrepancies and ultimately helped guide the optimal treatment.

Case

A 44-year-old female with a history of incompletely treated latent TB was diagnosed with acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). She was treated with arsenic trioxide plus all-trans retinoic acid. She also received dexamethasone for suspected pulmonary differentiation syndrome. Her initial treatment was complicated by neutropenic fever, hepatoxicity, and suspected acalculous cholecystitis. She later underwent a cholecystectomy tube replacement with subsequent tube removal. Approximately one month after her APL diagnosis, she was transferred to our facility for a higher level of care. Blood cultures obtained as part of evaluation for neutropenic fever were positive for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (TB); follow up respiratory



 $^{\,\,^{*}\,}$ Patient consent: The patient has given permission for this case report publication in the form of written consent.

 $^{^\}circ$ Correspondence to: UCLA Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, 11633 San Vicente Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90049, USA.

¹ Present address: Quest Diagnostics Infectious Disease, San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA.



Fig. 1. Clinical history and microbiological findings.

cultures were also positive for TB. A bone marrow biopsy showed multiple granulomas. Computed tomography (CT) imaging of the chest/abdomen/pelvis demonstrated diffuse lymphadenopathy and a pericardial effusion. Due to abnormal liver function tests, a liver biopsy was performed and showed multiple foci of confluent coagulative necrosis. In addition to receiving empiric antimicrobials for neutropenic fever, initial TB treatment was adjusted for her liver disease and included rifampin (RIF), pyrazinamide (PZA), moxifloxacin (MFX), and ethambutol (EMB) (Fig. 1). Given concern for liver toxicity, PZA and MFX were changed to levofloxacin, amikacin and linezolid (LZD). When her liver enzymes improved, isoniazid (INH) and PZA were added, and levofloxacin was changed back to MFX. When her neutropenia resolved, she was discharged home on RIF, INH, PZA, EMB, LZD, and MFX [3] despite persistent fevers which were attributed to widely disseminated TB with potential TB immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS).

The blood isolate was sent to Reference Lab A for DST by agar proportion method and rapid molecular multi-drug resistant TB screen by line-probe assay. While the phenotypic DST results were pending, the line-probe assay showed no mutations in *rpoB*, *katG*, or inhA targeted regions, suggesting susceptibility to RIF and INH (Table 1). Therefore, moxifloxacin and linezolid were stopped. However, 10 days later, the phenotypic DST results returned and showed resistance to EMB and INH, but susceptibility to RIF and PZA. In the meantime, the patient was re-admitted to the hospital for persistent fevers and congestive heart failure, which improved with diuresis. Continued fevers were attributed to disseminated TB and TB IRIS. The persistent fevers, the need to resume APL treatment, and the drug resistance profile of the blood isolate prompted the treatment team to switch to a 5-drug regime: para-aminosalicylic acid (PAS), cycloserine, LZD, RIF and levofloxacin. The patient could not tolerate PAS which was then replaced by PZA and amikacin, leaving the regimen to include 6 drugs: PZA, RIF, cycloserine, LZD, levofloxacin, and amikacin [3].

The respiratory isolate (1 month post admission) was sent to Reference Lab B and was susceptible to all first-line drugs as determined by liquid broth (MGIT) method. To investigate the discrepancy observed in DST results between the blood and sputum isolates, WGS was performed (Table 1). WGS revealed both isolates were of the Euro-American (LAM) lineage 4 and closely related to pan-drug sensitive TB CTRI-2 strain [4]. Drug resistance prediction analysis using TB-profiler [5,6] and ResFinder [7] showed no known mutations to confer resistance to all first and second-line drugs (Table 1). Single nucleotide variant (SNV) analysis using CLC Genomics Workbench v12.0 (Qiagen, Germany) was performed using CTRI-2 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_017524.1) as the reference genome and showed only 5 SNVs between the blood and sputum isolate, confirming these two isolates are of the same lineage. Generally, the rate of change is 0.5 SNPs per genome per year and highly related isolates have within 5 SNPs differences [8]. None of the 5 SNVs were within any drug resistance related genetic regions. The results of the WGS investigation were communicated to the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) TB Control team, who requested both isolates sent to the CDPH laboratory for confirmatory phenotypic DST and pyrosequencing. The results confirmed both isolates were susceptible to all first and second-line drugs, and no mutations were identified in the targeted regions for RIF and INH. At this point, 4 months had passed from the initial positive blood culture of TB, and treatment regime was reduced to 3 drugs: RIF, PZA, and levofloxacin for another 5 months. Patient successfully completed the treatment and achieved resolution of fever without the use of anti-inflammatory medications.

Discussion

Phenotypic DST for TB is currently considered the standard for determining drug resistance, but it is a lengthy procedure requiring a single isolate to be incubated in the presence of drug for several weeks to evaluate bacterial growth compared to growth without drug [9,10]. Agar proportion or liquid culture are the two commonly used DST methods. Both require bacteria growing at a critical concentration, which is defined as the lowest concentration that inhibits 99% of "wild type" strains of TB in vitro that have not been exposed to the drug [11]. However, the critical concentration is hard to standardize and varies significantly depending on the method or media type used, leading to a lack of standardization among reference laboratories [12]. In addition, DST is prone to random lab errors that can lead to discrepant results [13]. In our case, the erroneous results from the first reference laboratory could be due to cross-contamination or isolate mix-up. Traditionally, questionable DST results require repeat testing, but the inherent lengthy turn-around-time usually causes further delay, and in this case, patient harm.

Table 1

TB drug susceptibility results.

Antibiotic	Performing Lab ^a	Technique	Target Regions	Blood	Sputum
Rifampin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	S
		Line Probe	rpoB	S	-
	UCLA	WGS	гроВ, гроС	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
		Pyrosequencing	rpoB (426–440), (441–452), 170	S	S
Isoniazid	Reference Lab	Culture-based		R	S
		Line Probe	katG, inhA	S	-
	UCLA	WGS	katG, katG promoter, inhA, inhA promoter, aphC, aphC promoter, kasA, fabG1	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
		Pyrosequencing	katG, inhA, ahpC, fabG1	S	S
Ethambutol	Reference Lab	Culture-based		R	S
	UCLA	WGS	embB, embA, embA promoter, embC, embR	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
Ethionamide	Reference Lab	Culture-based		R	-
	UCLA	WGS	ethA, ethR, inhA promoter, inhA	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
Pyrazinamide	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	S
	UCLA	WGS	pncA, pncA promoter, rpsA, panD	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
Streptomycin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	S
	UCLA	WGS	rrs, rpsL, gidB	S	S
PAS	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	thyA, folC, ribD, folC, thyX	S	S
Amikacin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	rrs	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
Capreomycin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	rrs, tlyA, idsA2	S	S
	Public Health Lab	Culture-based		S	S
Kanamycin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	rrs, eis promoter	S	S
Cycloserine	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
<i></i>	UCLA	WGS	ald, alr	S	S
Clofazimine	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	Rv0678	S	S
Linezolid	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	rplC, rrl	S	S
Levofloxacin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	gyrA, gyrB	S	S
Moxifloxacin	Reference Lab	Culture-based		S	-
	UCLA	WGS	gyra, gyrb	5	5
Datamanti	Public Health Lab	Culture-based	1. A. J. C. J.	5	5
Delamanid	UCLA	WGS	JDIA, aan, jga i	5	5
UIIOXaCIN	UCLA	WGS	gyгA, gyгb вчосто	5	3
Bedaquiline	UCLA	WGS	κνυσ/δ	3	3

^a Blood DST performed at Reference Lab A (agar proportion method); Sputum DST performed at Reference Lab B (MGIT based method); (-): Not Performed.

As demonstrated in this case report, the false resistance DST results prevented selection of optimal drug treatment and led to the unnecessary use of toxic second or third-line drugs. Previous studies have shown that WGS is able to reliably predict susceptibility to first and second-line drugs with high accuracy and negative predictive values above 98.5% [2,14,15]. WGS is the most comprehensive molecular method for detection of drug resistance determinant mutations with a relatively short turnaround time and can be a financially feasible option in laboratories performing sequencing [16,17]. Cost for performing WGS is estimated to be 7% lower compared to routine microbiologic testing methods and the overall cost can be further decreased with higher sample throughput [17]. Although cost can vary depending on instrument, volumes, and reagents, more costeffective options for lower-throughout laboratories have become available as sequencing technologies improve [18,19]. Additional cost savings for performing WGS can be considered in the reduced hands-on time, streamlining of laboratory testing, and increased turn-around-time of actionable results compared to routine testing methods. In one laboratory, WGS results were available on average 9 days earlier for first-line drugs and 32 days earlier for second-line drugs compared to phenotypic results [16], allowing optimized patient care to be initiated sooner.

Ultimately, we were able to use WGS to provide strong evidence of pan-drug susceptibility in the TB isolates from the patient and resolved the discrepancies. If performed as early as possible, WGS would have provided the correct susceptibility results early in the treatment course and thus avoided the erroneous DST results and unnecessary patient harm due to the toxicity of sub-optimal drugs. Our report demonstrated a proof of concept for the usefulness of performing WGS for TB drug susceptibility prediction in the clinical setting.

Ethical approval

Not applicable

Consent

Patient written consent has been obtained.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Shangxin Yang, Susan Realegeno: Study design. Susan Realegeno, Oladunni Adeyiga, Drew J. Winston, Omer E. Beaird:

Data collections. Susan Realegeno, Shangxin Yang: Data analysis. Susan Realegeno, Shangxin Yang: Writing. Oladunni Adeyiga, Drew J. Winston, Omer E. Beaird, Omai B. Garner: Review and edition.

Conflict of interest statement

All authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Orange County and California State Public Health Departments for their assistance and guidance in this case.

References

- Vaisman A, Barry P, Flood J. Assessing complexity among patients with tuberculosis in California, 1993-2016. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa264https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa264
- [2] Allix-Béguec C, Arandjelovic I, Bi L, Beckert P, Bonnet M, Bradley P, et al. Prediction of susceptibility to first-line tuberculosis drugs by DNA sequencing. New Engl J Med 2018;379:1403–15.
- [3] Barry PM, Cattamanchi A, Chen L, Chitnis AS, Daley CL, Flood JM, et al. A Survival Guide for Clinicians. Second ed. Curry International Tuberculosis Center and California Department of Public Health; 2008.
- [4] Ilina EN, Shitikov EA, Ikryannikova LN, Alekseev DG, Kamashev DE, Malakhova MV, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug resistant strains from Russia. PLoS One 2013;8:56577. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0056577
- [5] Coll F, McNerney R, Preston MD, Guerra-Assunção JA, Warry A, Hill-Cawthorne G, et al. Rapid determination of anti-tuberculosis drug resistance from wholegenome sequences. Genome Med 2015;7:51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-015-0164-0
- [6] Phelan JE, O'sullivan DM, Machado D, Ramos J, Oppong YEA, Campino S, et al. Integrating informatics tools and portable sequencing technology for rapid detection of resistance to anti-tuberculous drugs. Genome Med 2019;11:41. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13073-019-0650-x
- [7] Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67:2640–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261

- [8] Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G, Dedicoat MJ, et al. Wholegenome sequencing to delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13:137–46. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70277-3
- [9] Angra PK, Taylor TH, Iademarco MF, Metchock B, Astles JR, Ridderhof JC. Performance of tuberculosis drug susceptibility testing in U.S. laboratories from 1994 to 2008. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:1233–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM. 06479-11
- [10] Horne DJ, Pinto LM, Arentz M, Lin SY, Desmond E, Flores LL, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and reproducibility of WHO-endorsed phenotypic drug susceptibility testing methods for first-line and second-line antituberculosis drugs. J Clin Microbiol 2013;51:393–401. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02724-12
- [11] WHO. WHO | Technical Report on Critical Concentrations for TB Drug Susceptibility Testing of Medicines Used in the Treatment of Drug-Resistant TB. WHO; 2018.
- [12] Cirillo DM, Miotto P, Tortoli E. Evolution of phenotypic and molecular drug susceptibility testing. Adv Exp Med Biol 2017:221–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-319-64371-7_12
- [13] Schön T, Miotto P, Köser CU, Viveiros M, Böttger E, Cambau E. Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug-resistance testing: challenges, recent developments and perspectives. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23:154–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi. 2016.10.022
- [14] Walker TM, Kohl TA, Omar SV, Hedge J, Del Ojo Elias C, Bradley P, et al. Wholegenome sequencing for prediction of Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug susceptibility and resistance: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15:1193–202.
- [15] Papaventsis D, Casali N, Kontsevaya I, Drobniewski F, Cirillo DM, Nikolayevskyy V. Whole genome sequencing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis for detection of drug resistance: a systematic review. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23:61–8.
- [16] Shea J, Halse TA, Lapierre P, Shudt M, Kohlerschmidt D, Van Roey P, et al. Comprehensive whole-genome sequencing and reporting of drug resistance profiles on clinical cases of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in New York State. J Clin Microbiol 2017;55:1871–82. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00298-17
- [17] Pankhurst LJ, Del Ojo Elias C, Votintseva AA, Walker TM, Cole K, Davies J, et al. Rapid, comprehensive, and affordable mycobacterial diagnosis with wholegenome sequencing: a prospective study. Lancet Respir Med 2016;4:49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(15)00466-X
- [18] Colman RE, Mace A, Seifert M, Hetzel J, Mshaiel H, Suresh A, et al. Whole-genome and targeted sequencing of drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis on the iSeq100 and MiSeq: a performance, ease-of-use, and cost evaluation. PLoS Med 2019;16:e1002794https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002794
- [19] Tafess K, Ng TTL, Lao HY, Leung KSS, Tam KKG, Rajwani R, et al. Targeted-sequencing workflows for comprehensive drug resistance profiling of Mycobacterium tuberculosis cultures using two commercial sequencing platforms: comparison of analytical and diagnostic performance, turnaround time, and cost. Clin Chem 2020;66:809–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa092