

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Heirarchical Drift-Diffusion Model for Moral Dilemma: Understanding Reaction Times and Choices

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/20h5t4n6>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 40(0)

Authors

Kim, Richard
Pescetelli, Niccolo
Kleiman-Weiner, Max
et al.

Publication Date

2018

Hierarchical Drift-Diffusion Model for Moral Dilemma: Understanding Reaction Times and Choices

Richard Kim¹ (kimr@mit.edu) Niccolo Pescetelli¹ (niccolop@mit.edu) Max Kleiman-Weiner² (maxkw@mit.edu),
Edmond Awad¹ (awad@mit.edu), Sohan Dsouza¹ (awad@mit.edu),
Josh Tenenbaum² (jbt@mit.edu), Iyad Rahwan¹ (irahwan@mit.edu)

¹Media Lab / ²Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Abstract

Discrete choice models (e.g. logistic regression) are popular models in the economics literature that describe choices between two or more discrete alternatives. These models have been successfully used to model value-based decisions, e.g. decisions in moral dilemmas, although temporal components of a decision, such as reaction times and changes of mind are not included. In cognitive sciences, another class of decision models, namely sequential-sampling models, has gained popularity in modelling choice accuracy, reaction time and decision uncertainty (e.g. confidence judgments). Here, we model decisions in moral dilemmas using a variant of a hierarchical drift-diffusion model, factor drift diffusion, that combines the value-based approach with that of evidence accumulation mechanism by sequential-sampling. Specifically, we model the evidence accumulation process as resulting from a subjective weighting of abstract moral dimensions (factors). We train our model on a data set of 6500 moral decisions by 500 respondents on a popular web platform (MoralMachine.mit.edu) and separately infer different sources of uncertainty in moral decisions. We show that the model successfully predicts reaction times and choices in moral dilemmas, while also leading to unexpected results.