

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Clinical Use of Loop Gain Measures to Determine Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Efficacy in Patients with Complex Sleep Apnea. A Pilot Study

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1tn836sm>

Journal

Annals of the American Thoracic Society, 12(9)

ISSN

2329-6933

Authors

Stanchina, Michael

Robinson, Kristen

Corrao, William

et al.

Publication Date

2015-09-01

DOI

10.1513/annalsats.201410-469bc

Peer reviewed

Clinical Use of Loop Gain Measures to Determine Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Efficacy in Patients with Complex Sleep Apnea

A Pilot Study

Michael Stanchina¹, Kristen Robinson¹, William Corrao¹, Walter Donat¹, Scott Sands^{2,3}, and Atul Malhotra⁴

¹Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Alpert Medical School at Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island; ²Division of Sleep Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; ³Central Clinical School, The Alfred Hospital and Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; and ⁴Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California

Abstract

Rationale: Measures of unstable ventilatory control (loop gain) can be obtained directly from the periodic breathing duty ratio on polysomnography in patients with Cheyne-Stokes respiration/central sleep apnea and can predict the efficacy of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy.

Objectives: In this pilot study, we aimed to determine if this measure could also be applied to patients with complex sleep apnea (predominant obstructive sleep apnea, with worsening or emergent central apneas on CPAP). We hypothesized that loop gain was higher in patients whose central events persisted 1 month later despite CPAP treatment versus those whose events resolved over time.

Methods: We calculated the duty ratio of the periodic central apneas remaining on the CPAP titration (or second half of the split night) while patients were on optimal CPAP with the airway open (obstructive apnea index < 1/h). Loop gain was calculated by the formula: $LG = 2\pi / [(2\pi DR) - \sin(2\pi DR)]$. Patients were followed on CPAP for 1 month. Post-treatment

apnea-hypopnea index and compliance data were recorded from smart cards.

Measurements and Main Results: Thirty-two patients with complex sleep apnea were identified, and 17 patients had full data sets. Eight patients continued to have a total of more than five events per hour ($11.8 \pm 0.5/h$) (nonresponders). The remaining nine patients had an apnea-hypopnea index less than 5/h ($2.2 \pm 0.4/h$) (responders). Loop gain was higher in the nonresponders versus responders (2.0 ± 0.1 vs. 1.7 ± 0.2 , $P = 0.026$). Loop gain and the residual apnea-hypopnea index 1 month after CPAP were associated ($r = 0.48$, $P = 0.02$). CPAP compliance was similar between groups.

Conclusions: In this pilot study, loop gain was higher for patients with complex sleep apnea in whom central apneas persisted after 1 month of CPAP therapy (nonresponders). Loop gain measurement may enable an *a priori* determination of those who need alternative modes of positive airway pressure.

Keywords: obstructive sleep apnea; central sleep apnea; mixed sleep apnea; Cheyne-Stokes respiration; continuous positive airway pressure ventilation

(Received in original form October 13, 2014; accepted in final form July 23, 2015)

Supported by American Heart Association Postdoctoral Fellowship 11POST7360012 (S.S.), National Health and Medical Research Council C.J. Martin Fellowship 1053201 (S.S.), R.G. Menzies award (S.S.), and the National Institutes of Health (A.M.).

An abstract regarding this work was presented at the International Conference of the ATS in Philadelphia, PA on May 21, 2013.

Author Contributions: M.S., K.R., W.C., W.D., S.S., and A.M. contributed substantially to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, and the writing of the manuscript. M.S. takes responsibility for the content of the manuscript, including the data and analysis.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Michael Stanchina, M.D., 1407 South County Trail, East Greenwich, RI 02818. E-mail: mstanchina@lifespan.org

Ann Am Thorac Soc Vol 12, No 9, pp 1351–1357, Sep 2015

Copyright © 2015 by the American Thoracic Society

DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201410-469BC

Internet address: www.atsjournals.org

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), a disorder characterized by collapse of the upper airway during sleep, is caused by a combination of loss of upper airway muscle

tone, collapsible pharyngeal anatomy, and increased loop gain of the ventilatory control system (more unstable) (1, 2). By contrast, central sleep apnea (CSA) is characterized

by a cessation of breathing that does not require upper airway collapse and is driven primarily by increased respiratory system loop gain (3, 4).

In patients with OSA and higher loop gain, the acute treatment of OSA with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) resolves the obstructive events (5). However, residual CSA emerges or worsens from baseline, a phenomenon that has been referred to as complex sleep apnea (6–8). Such patients pose a challenge clinically, because CPAP treatment resolves the central events over time in some patients, but in others the central events persist and can be associated with concurrent sleepiness or insomnia (9–11).

There is currently no means to predict which patients may need additional therapy for persistent central apneas beyond CPAP. Available evidence suggests that CPAP treatment resolves central events over time by improving ventilatory control system stability (lowers loop gain) over time. In patients with heart failure, CPAP reduces residual CSAs over time (12), indicating that loop gain is gradually reduced with ongoing treatment. In patients with OSA without heart failure, the ventilatory chemoreflex response to CO₂ (a key component of ventilatory stability) is normalized with approximately 4 weeks of CPAP treatment (13, 14).

Because a reduction in loop gain below the critical threshold value of 1 is required for stable breathing to occur, we propose that patients with a high loop gain at baseline (loop gain well above 1 on initial CPAP titration) will still have persistent ventilatory instability manifest as residual central events over time despite CPAP therapy. By contrast, those with low loop gain (loop gain closer to 1 at CPAP titration) will be more likely to have their breathing stabilized over time.

In the present study, we aimed to measure loop gain in a group of patients with complex sleep apnea to determine if we could detect patients who would later be found to be responsive to CPAP therapy. Loop gain was measured by assessing the ventilatory pattern of CSA (15). Specifically, we assessed the duty ratio (DR) of the CSA, defined as the ratio of the duration of the ventilatory phase (time from the end of one apnea to the start of the next) to the total cycle duration (time from the end of one apnea to the end of the next). We then calculated loop gain using the formula: $\text{loop gain} = 2\pi / [2\pi\text{DR} - \sin(2\pi\text{DR})]$. The method has been used in patients with heart failure and Cheyne-Stokes respiration on a baseline sleep study to predict those

who will respond to CPAP (15), an outcome that has been associated with excess morbidity and mortality (16–18). We tested the hypothesis that patients with persistent apneas after 1 month of CPAP would have a higher loop gain on their CPAP titration night than patients with resolved apneas.

Methods

We reviewed 3,247 patients' baseline polysomnograms or diagnostic portions of split studies to find individuals with predominant OSA (AHI > 15/h) as well as the presence of central apneas (0 < central apnea index [CAI] < 5/h) (Table 1). A total of 168 patients satisfied these criteria and also attended for a CPAP titration study (or had a split night). From this group, we found 32 patients exhibited

complex sleep apnea, defined as persistent and exacerbated central apneas on exposure to CPAP (CAI > 5/h), accompanying the resolution of obstructive events.

Measures of the DR (loop gain) were recorded from stable periods of non-REM sleep on optimal CPAP with no residual obstructive apneas. There had to be at least 10 duty cycles available for the calculation of loop gain, and thus at least 10 min of stable NREM was observed.

We recorded demographic and polysomnographic variables and determined the DR from a minimum of 10 periodic breathing cycles remaining on the CPAP titration (or second half of the split night) while on optimal CPAP with the airway presumably open (obstructive apnea index < 1/h). All patients were followed on CPAP for 1 month. Post-treatment AHI and

Table 1. Baseline demographics and sleep-disordered breathing severity for the responders and nonresponders during the baseline sleep study, continuous positive airway pressure titration night, and 1 month after continuous positive airway pressure therapy (group mean \pm SEM); central apnea index, obstructive apnea index, total apnea-hypopnea index (events/h) between responders (residual apnea-hypopnea index < 5/h) versus nonresponders (residual apnea-hypopnea index > 5/h) after therapy with positive airway pressure (mean \pm SEM)

Baseline Demographics	Responders (n = 9)	Nonresponders (n = 8)	P Value
Epworth	9.6 \pm 0.9	9.8 \pm 0.8	0.47
Age, yr	60.9 \pm 2.4	63.1 \pm 2.7	0.32
BMI, kg/m ²	30.1 \pm 1.6	31.0 \pm 1.7	0.57
Opiates, n	1	1	Ns
Benzodiazepines, n	2	1	Ns
CVA, n	0	0	Ns
CHF, n	1	1	Ns
CPAP, cm H ₂ O	10.7 \pm 1.2	10.4 \pm 1.6	0.45
PSG baseline			
AHI	47.0 \pm 4.9	43.9 \pm 6.3	0.33
OAI	28.1 \pm 4.7	32.2 \pm 5.1	0.09
CAI	5.9 \pm 2.6	5.7 \pm 1.7	0.47
CPAP titration			
AHI	20.2 \pm 3.0*	22.0 \pm 2.5 [†]	0.37
OAI	5.2 \pm 1.6 [‡]	4.9 \pm 1.9 [§]	0.18
CAI	13.0 \pm 2.3	15.1 \pm 6.1	0.25
1-mo CPAP			
AHI	2.2 \pm 0.4 *	11.8 \pm 0.5 [†]	0.02
OAI	0.5 \pm 0.7 [‡]	0.8 \pm 1.5 [§]	0.23
CAI	1.5 \pm 1.5	10.2 \pm 5.9	0.02

Definition of abbreviations: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; BMI = body mass index; CAI = central apnea index; CHF = congestive heart failure; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure; CVA = stroke; OAI = obstructive apnea index; PSG = polysomnogram.

**P* < 0.001 AHI baseline vs titration and 1-mo CPAP.

[†]*P* < 0.001 AHI baseline vs titration vs 1-mo CPAP.

[‡]*P* < 0.001 OAI baseline vs titration and 1-mo CPAP.

[§]*P* < 0.001 AHI vs baseline vs 1-mo CPAP.

^{||}*P* < 0.001 CAI baseline vs titration and 1-mo CPAP.

compliance data were recorded from modem/smart cards.

Analysis of variance was used to compare data from the baseline polysomnograms, CPAP titration nights, and after 1 month of positive airway pressure (PAP) use. DRs, loop gain, and compliance data were compared between responders (residual AHI < 5/h) and nonresponders (residual AHI > 5/h) using *t* tests. Simple regression was used to determine the relationship between loop gain measures and residual AHI after 1 month of CPAP therapy, with normality of the data distribution evaluated using Shapiro-Wilk test.

This study was approved by the Rhode Island Hospital Institutional Review Board, project number 444551-2.

Results

Thirty-two patients with complex sleep apnea were identified from the cohort (23 men, 9 women; mean age = 61.2 yr; mean BMI = 32 kg/m²; mean Epworth, 9.8/24). Eighteen patients were treated with CPAP, and follow-up PAP device downloads were available from 17 patients (Table 1, Figure 1). There were few patients in this cohort with congestive heart failure (n = 2) or chronic opiate use (n = 2), and they were split evenly among the responders and nonresponders to CPAP (Table 1).

We repeated the analysis without the patients with heart failure and opiate use. There was no difference between

responders and nonresponders in terms of loop gain (mean ± SEM, 1.73 ± 0.1 vs. 2.12 ± 0.2; *P* = 0.03). There was a slight change in the regression analysis (*r* = 0.43, *P* = 0.024) but not substantially. CPAP was effective in reducing the number of obstructive events during the titration night and at 1 month, and although PAP reduced the number of central events at 1 month of therapy there were some individuals in whom the central apneas persisted at 1 month (Tables 1 and 2). The mean AHI of responders was 2.2 ± 0.4 events/h versus nonresponders of 11.8 ± 0.5 events/h (*P* = 0.03), with no difference in the mixed apnea index (mixed apnea index, 1.5 ± 1.2 for the responders vs. 1.4 ± 1.3 for the nonresponders).




Figure 1. Flow chart of inclusion/exclusion of patients from the sleep study cohort. From the 3,247 patients' baseline or split studies, 168 patients with primarily obstructive and some central events on the diagnostic evaluation (sleep study) were identified. Of these 32 patients (pts) with complex sleep apnea defined as persistent or emergent central events occurring during continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) titration were identified. Of these, 18 patients were treated with CPAP and 17 patients had 4-week compliance downloads available for review. After 1 month of CPAP, nine patients had apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) < 5/h (responders) and eight patients had AHI > 5/h (nonresponders). ASV = adaptive servoventilation; BiPAP = bi-level positive airway pressure; CAI = central apnea index; CSA = central sleep apnea; OSA = obstructive sleep apnea; PAP = positive airway pressure; rx = treated with.

Table 2. Comparisons of duty ratio with total cycle duration, ventilation duration, apnea duration; loop gain measures and compliance data between responders (residual apnea–hypopnea index < 5/h) versus nonresponders (residual apnea–hypopnea index > 5/h) after therapy with continuous positive airway pressure

	Responders (n = 9)	Nonresponders (n = 8)	P Value
Duty ratio	0.58 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.03	0.038
Total cycle duration	39 ± 1.7	37.7 ± 1.9	0.12
Apnea duration	16 ± 1.8	19 ± 2.1	0.03
Ventilation duration	23 ± 1.7	18.7 ± 2.3	0.02
Loop gain	1.73 ± 0.16	2.02 ± 0.11	0.026
% Time > 4 h CPAP	77.4 ± 4.5	68.6 ± 11.5	0.47
CPAP h/night	5.7 ± 0.23	4.9 ± 0.60	0.21

Definition of abbreviation: CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure.
Data presented as mean ± SEM.

Much of the residual AHI in the nonresponders was due to residual central events (CAI of 10.7 ± 5.9 events/h). By contrast, the responders exhibited a CAI of just 1.6 ± 1.5 events/h (Table 1). In addition, the ratio of CAI to obstructive apnea index was higher in the nonresponders than in the responders at 1 month.

Figure 2 reveals raw data from one patient on CPAP of 13 cm H₂O during a CPAP titration showing emergent central events with the airway patent (i.e., no obstructive apneas seen). This

figure illustrates how the DR is calculated using the ventilatory pattern of CSA. Eight patients (nonresponders) exhibited a total AHI of greater than 5/h on CPAP therapy at 1 month, whereas the remaining nine patients (responders) had an AHI less than 5/h. Loop gain was higher on the CPAP titration in those who became nonresponders versus responders (Table 2). CPAP compliance was not statistically different between groups (Table 2), although we acknowledge a trend toward increased compliance in responders versus nonresponders

(+0.8 h/night, +9% of nights of CPAP use > 4 h).

Linear regression showed a relationship between loop gain and the residual AHI 1 month after CPAP ($r = 0.48$, $P = 0.04$; Figure 3). The relationship between DR and loop gain is shown in Figure 4, with the mean value for nonresponders versus responders.

Discussion

The major findings of this pilot study are: (1) loop gain (measured during the CPAP titration) is higher in patients with complex sleep apnea in whom central apneas persisted after 1 month of CPAP therapy (nonresponders) than in those who respond to CPAP; and (2) the number of residual apneas on therapy is predicted by this loop gain measure. Thus, patients with OSA who exhibit persistent CSA on CPAP have a more unstable ventilatory control system than those whose CSA resolves over time. We speculate that estimating loop gain from the duty cycle or other available methods may help determine *a priori* those whose sleep apnea requires alternative modes of PAP for an effective treatment.

The prevalence of complex sleep apnea is variable but is estimated to occur in




Figure 2. Raw polysomnographic data from a single patient with complex sleep apnea, during a continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) titration, showing persisting central apneas (but no obstructive apneas) while on optimal CPAP of 13 cm H₂O (left), with an inset (right) showing a magnification of the flow/effort channels from the same patient, exhibiting how duty ratio is measured (duty ratio is defined as the ventilation duration/cycle duration). Thirty-second epochs are denoted by the vertical blue lines.




Figure 3. Regression analysis showing the relationship between residual apnea-hypopnea index (AHI, events/h) and loop gain after continuous positive airway pressure therapy.

approximately 5 to 15% of patients being evaluated in university sleep lab settings (6, 10, 11). Known risk factors for persistent central events on CPAP included central events on the baseline sleep study, congestive heart failure/atrial fibrillation, and chronic opiate use (10, 11). Randomized trials have shown that those with persistent central events show better control on adaptive servoventilation PAP devices (9, 19, 20). The downside of this adaptive-PAP therapy is that these devices are quite costly compared with CPAP, with which many patients do well. However, like patients with Cheyne-Stokes respiration (18, 21), there is a group of patients with complex sleep apnea who do not respond to standard CPAP and remain symptomatic with their residual central events.

Our study identified a group of patients with more significant control system instability (higher loop gain) who do not experience resolution of all their respiratory events on CPAP. In addition, the number of residual respiratory events is predicted by how unstable ventilation is on the CPAP titration night (Figure 3). These patients may respond to other therapies like adaptive/auto servoventilation (ASV), O_2 , oral appliances, or combinations of therapy including acetazolamide, and further studies are necessary. Recent findings regarding concern for safety of ASV in patients with congestive heart failure may encourage alternative strategies to avoid ASV in complex sleep apnea unless necessary (22).

We did not set out to identify a threshold level of loop gain above which

CPAP is ineffective, but based on this data set a level of greater than or equal 2 is reasonable to consider. Further studies to validate this threshold are underway.

The fact that there was not a difference in compliance between the responders and nonresponders deserves mention. Some authors have suggested that a poor initial experience with PAP therapy due to residual disease may lead to long-term nonadherence with PAP in some patients (19, 20, 23). Such a finding would theoretically support the concept of early intervention (perhaps with a newer ASV device) in such patients to avoid long-term nonadherence. This concept is currently being studied (19), but our data do not support the use of ASV in an early intervention strategy for the purposes of improving adherence. Whether there are implications for sleep apnea symptoms and cardiovascular outcomes remains to be fully determined.

Several limitations of this pilot study deserve mention. Loop gain may change from night to night, for example, based on fluid shifts or changes in medications (24). Thus, our finding that loop gain explains some proportion but not all of the variance in residual apnea is not surprising.

Individual differences regarding the size of the reduction in loop gain over time may explain further variability in residual AHI. Possible mechanisms include the following:

1. Improved oxygenation may reverse the effects of apnea-induced intermittent hypoxia on chemoreflex sensitivity (25, 26).

2. Reversal of apnea-induced sympathoexcitation with CPAP may also contribute to reducing chemoreflex sensitivity (27).
3. Patients may adapt to CPAP over time and achieve a greater sleep depth during the night and may therefore be less susceptible to the effects that lighter sleep and arousal may have on ventilatory instability (28, 29).
4. Changes in plant gain or circulatory delay could also occur, for example, with reduced circulatory delay or reversal of edema-related reduction in lung volume and thus oxygen/ CO_2 stores. These effects may be more important in some patients than others.

Our goal was to conduct a clinical study rather than a physiology experiment. Thus, a number of physiological variables were not measured. For example, we assume pharyngeal airway patency on optimal CPAP but did not measure resistance or critical closing pressures. Differences in upper airway anatomy are unlikely, because responders and nonresponders had similar BMI and were on similar CPAP levels. Similarly, we did not measure Pa_{CO_2} , which might be important in understanding the mechanism of increased loop gain and persistent events in the nonresponders (30).

We followed a relatively small cohort of patients for only 1 month on CPAP, a time duration that may not be long enough for all the central events to resolve. However, we note that 1 month is considered sufficient to normalize the effects of sleep apnea on loop gain (13, 14). Hence, available evidence suggests that at 1 month it is reasonable to reconsider the use of alternative strategies with a greater scope for resolving ventilatory instability (e.g., ASV) (18). However, as noted, recent findings regarding concern for safety of ASV in patients with congestive heart failure may encourage alternative strategies to avoid ASV in complex sleep apnea until ongoing studies are completed (22, 31).

In conclusion, we demonstrate that patients whose CSA persists on CPAP at 1 month had a more unstable ventilatory control system (higher loop gain) than those whose CSA resolved with treatment over time. This finding is consistent with the notion that when loop gain is far above 1 at baseline, it is more challenging for treatments to reduce it below 1 to




Figure 4. Mathematical relationship between loop gain and duty ratio (DR) illustrating mean data for responders and nonresponders. Note the nonresponders are 40% further from stable breathing (loop gain < 1.0) than responders.

enable stable breathing. Measures of ventilatory instability from the ventilatory pattern (DR) in patients with complex sleep apnea may help to identify a group for which CPAP may be less effective.

Recognizing patients at higher risk of treatment failure with CPAP may be helpful in the future to identify those more likely to need alternative therapies, such as possibly adaptive servo devices or

pharmacological agents to stabilize breathing (32, 33). ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

References

- 1 Malhotra A, White DP. Obstructive sleep apnoea. *Lancet* 2002;360:237–245.
- 2 Wellman A, Eckert DJ, Jordan AS, Edwards BA, Passaglia CL, Jackson AC, Gautam S, Owens RL, Malhotra A, White DP. A method for measuring and modeling the physiological traits causing obstructive sleep apnea. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2011;110:1627–1637.
- 3 White DP. Central sleep apnea. *Med Clin North Am* 1985;69:1205–1219.
- 4 Younes M. Contributions of upper airway mechanics and control mechanisms to severity of obstructive apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;168:645–658.
- 5 Xie A, Bedekar A, Skatrud JB, Teodorescu M, Gong Y, Dempsey JA. The heterogeneity of obstructive sleep apnea (predominant obstructive vs pure obstructive apnea). *Sleep* 2011;34:745–750.
- 6 Morgenthaler TI, Kagramanov V, Hanak V, Decker PA. Complex sleep apnea syndrome: is it a unique clinical syndrome? *Sleep* 2006;29:1203–1209.
- 7 Younes M, Ostrowski M, Atkar R, Laprairie J, Siemens A, Hanly P. Mechanisms of breathing instability in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2007;103:1929–1941.
- 8 Eckert DJ, Jordan AS, Merchia P, Malhotra A. Central sleep apnea: pathophysiology and treatment. *Chest* 2007;131:595–607.
- 9 Morgenthaler TI, Gay PC, Gordon N, Brown LK. Adaptive servoventilation versus noninvasive positive pressure ventilation for central, mixed, and complex sleep apnea syndromes. *Sleep* 2007;30:468–475.
- 10 Javaheri S, Smith J, Chung E. The prevalence and natural history of complex sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2009;5:205–211.
- 11 Lehman S, Antic NA, Thompson C, Catcheside PG, Mercer J, McEvoy RD. Central sleep apnea on commencement of continuous positive airway pressure in patients with a primary diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2007;3:462–466.
- 12 Arzt M, Schulz M, Schroll S, Budweiser S, Bradley TD, Riegger GA, Pfeifer M. Time course of continuous positive airway pressure effects on central sleep apnoea in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Sleep Res* 2009;18:20–25.

- 13 Loewen A, Ostrowski M, Laprairie J, Atkar R, Gnitecki J, Hanly P, Younes M. Determinants of ventilatory instability in obstructive sleep apnea: inherent or acquired? *Sleep* 2009;32:1355–1365.
- 14 Salloum A, Rowley JA, Mateika JH, Chowdhuri S, Omran Q, Badr MS. Increased propensity for central apnea in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: effect of nasal continuous positive airway pressure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181:189–193.
- 15 Sands SA, Edwards BA, Kee K, Turton A, Skuza EM, Roebuck T, O'Driscoll DM, Hamilton GS, Naughton MT, Berger PJ. Loop gain as a means to predict a positive airway pressure suppression of Cheyne-Stokes respiration in patients with heart failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184:1067–1075.
- 16 Bradley TD, Logan AG, Kimoff RJ, Sériès F, Morrison D, Ferguson K, Belenkie I, Pfeifer M, Fleetham J, Hanly P, et al.; CANPAP Investigators. Continuous positive airway pressure for central sleep apnea and heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:2025–2033.
- 17 Javaheri S, Caref EB, Chen E, Tong KB, Abraham WT. Sleep apnea testing and outcomes in a large cohort of Medicare beneficiaries with newly diagnosed heart failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:539–546.
- 18 Arzt M, Floras JS, Logan AG, Kimoff RJ, Series F, Morrison D, Ferguson K, Belenkie I, Pfeifer M, Fleetham J, et al.; CANPAP Investigators. Suppression of central sleep apnea by continuous positive airway pressure and transplant-free survival in heart failure: a post hoc analysis of the Canadian Continuous Positive Airway Pressure for Patients with Central Sleep Apnea and Heart Failure Trial (CANPAP). *Circulation* 2007;115:3173–3180.
- 19 Morgenthaler TI, Kuzniar TJ, Wolfe LF, Willes L, McLain WC III, Goldberg R. The complex sleep apnea resolution study: a prospective randomized controlled trial of continuous positive airway pressure versus adaptive servoventilation therapy. *Sleep* 2014;37:927–934.
- 20 Orr J, Javaheri S, Malhotra A. Comparative effectiveness research in complex sleep apnea. *Sleep* 2014;37:833–834.
- 21 Arzt M, Harth M, Luchner A, Muders F, Holmer SR, Blumberg FC, Riegger GA, Pfeifer M. Enhanced ventilatory response to exercise in patients with chronic heart failure and central sleep apnea. *Circulation* 2003;107:1998–2003.
- 22 Cowie MR, Woehrle H, Wegscheider K, Angermann C, d'Ortho MP, Erdmann E, Levy P, Simonds A, Somers VK, Zannad F, et al. Rationale and design of the SERVE-HF study: treatment of sleep-disordered breathing with predominant central sleep apnoea with adaptive servo-ventilation in patients with chronic heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013;15:937–943.
- 23 Aloia MS, Arnedt JT, Stanchina M, Millman RP. How early in treatment is PAP adherence established? Revisiting night-to-night variability. *Behav Sleep Med* 2007;5:229–240.
- 24 Yumino D, Redolfi S, Ruttanaumpawan P, Su MC, Smith S, Newton GE, Mak S, Bradley TD. Nocturnal rostral fluid shift: a unifying concept for the pathogenesis of obstructive and central sleep apnea in men with heart failure. *Circulation* 2010;121:1598–1605.
- 25 Malhotra A, Deacon N, Powell F, Katz ES. Adaptive responses using obstructive sleep apnea as the paradigm. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 2014;29:153–155.
- 26 Devinney MJ, Huxtable AG, Nichols NL, Mitchell GS. Hypoxia-induced phrenic long-term facilitation: emergent properties. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2013;1279:143–153.
- 27 Lusina SJ, Kennedy PM, Inglis JT, McKenzie DC, Ayas NT, Sheel AW. Long-term intermittent hypoxia increases sympathetic activity and chemosensitivity during acute hypoxia in humans. *J Physiol* 2006;575:961–970.
- 28 Ratnavadivel R, Stadler D, Windler S, Bradley J, Paul D, McEvoy RD, Catcheside PG. Upper airway function and arousability to ventilatory challenge in slow wave versus stage 2 sleep in obstructive sleep apnoea. *Thorax* 2010;65:107–112.
- 29 Dernaika T, Tawk M, Nazir S, Younis W, Kinasewitz GT. The significance and outcome of continuous positive airway pressure-related central sleep apnea during split-night sleep studies. *Chest* 2007;132:81–87.
- 30 Stanchina M, Malhotra A, Fogel RB, Ayas NT, Edwards JK, Schory K, White DP. Genioglossus muscle responsiveness to chemical and mechanical stimuli during non-rapid eye movement sleep. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;165:945–949.
- 31 Ayas NT, Patil SP, Stanchina M, Malhotra A. Treatment of central sleep apnea with adaptive servoventilation in chronic heart failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;192:132–133.
- 32 Edwards BA, Sands SA, Eckert DJ, White DP, Butler JP, Owens RL, Malhotra A, Wellman A. Acetazolamide improves loop gain but not the other physiological traits causing obstructive sleep apnoea. *J Physiol* 2012;590:1199–1211.
- 33 Chowdhuri S, Ghabsha A, Sinha P, Kadri M, Narula S, Badr MS. Treatment of central sleep apnea in U.S. veterans. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2012;8:555–563.