UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Measurement of the 64Zn,47Ti(n,p) cross sections using a DD neutron generator for medical isotope studies

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1t52g5mm>

Authors

Voyles, AS Basunia, MS Batchelder, JC [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1t52g5mm#author)

Publication Date 2017-11-01

DOI 10.1016/j.nimb.2017.08.021

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nucl Instrum Meth B

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 00 (2017) 1[–12](#page-11-0)

Measurement of the ${}^{64}Zn, {}^{47}Ti(n,p)$ Cross Sections using a DD Neutron Generator for Medical Isotope Studies

A.S. Voyles^{a,*}, M.S. Basunia^b, J.C. Batchelder^a, J.D. Bauer^c, T.A. Becker^d, L.A. Bernstein^{a,b}, E.F. Matthews^a, P.R. Renne^{d,e}, D. Rutte^{d,e}, M.A. Unzueta^a, K.A. van Bibber^a

^aDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley CA, 94720 USA

^bLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA, 94720 USA

^cLawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore CA, 94551 USA

^dBerkeley Geochronology Center, Berkeley CA, 94709 USA

^eDepartment of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley CA, 94720 USA

Abstract

Cross sections for the $^{47}Ti(n,p)^{47}Sc$ and $^{64}Zn(n,p)^{64}Cu$ reactions have been measured for quasi-monoenergetic DD neutrons produced by the UC Berkeley High Flux Neutron Generator (HFNG). The HFNG is a compact neutron generator designed as a "flux-trap" that maximizes the probability that a neutron will interact with a sample loaded into a specific, central location. The study was motivated by interest in the production of 47 Sc and 64 Cu as emerging medical isotopes. The cross sections were measured in ratio to the 113 In(n,n')^{113m}In and 115 In(n,n')^{115m}In inelastic scattering reactions on co-irradiated indium samples. Post-irradiation counting using an HPGe and LEPS detectors allowed for cross section determination to within 5% uncertainty. The ⁶⁴Zn(n,p)⁶⁴Cu cross section for 2.76^{+0.01} MeV neutrons is reported as 49.3 ± 2.6 mb (relative to ¹¹³In) or 46.4 ± 1.7 mb (relative to ¹¹⁵In), and the ⁴⁷Ti(n,p)⁴⁷Sc cross section is reported as 26.26 \pm 0.82 mb. The measured cross sections are found to be in good agreement with existing measured values but with lower uncertainty (*<*5%), and also in agreement with theoretical values. This work highlights the utility of compact, flux-trap DD-based neutron sources for nuclear data measurements and potentially the production of radionuclides for medical applications.

Keywords: DD neutron generator, Medical Isotope Production, Scandium (Sc) and Copper (Cu) radioisotopes, Indium, Ratio activation, Theranostics

1. Introduction

There has been significant interest in the past several years in exploring the use of neutron-induced reactions to create radionuclides for a wide range of applications. This interest is due to the volumetric absorption of neutrons as compared to charged particle beams (ranges of $\frac{g}{cm^2}$ as compared to 10's of mg*/*cm²), together with the fact that isotope production facilities often produce large secondary neutron fields. Particular interest has been paid to (n,p) and (n,α) charge-exchange reactions since these reactions produce high-specific activity radionuclide samples without the use of chemical carriers in the separation process.

Two other potential neutron sources for (n,x) reactions exist in addition to the secondary neutron fields gener-

In contrast, the neutron spectrum from a DD reaction, which ranges from approximately 2-3 MeV, is ideally

ated at existing isotope production facilities: reactors and neutron generators that utilize the $D(T,n)\alpha$ ("DT") and $D(D,n)^{3}$ He ("DD") reactions. While reactors produce copious quantities of neutrons, their energy spectra are often not well-suited to the preparation of high-purity samples due to the co-production of unwanted activities via neutron capture, in addition to the significant start-up costs and proliferation concerns involved in their commissioning [\[1\]](#page-11-1). Similarly, while the higher energy 14-15 MeV neutrons produced at DT generators are capable of initiating (n,p) and (n,α) reactions, their higher energy opens the possibility of creating unwanted activities via (n,pxn) and (n,*α*xn) reactions that cannot easily be separated from the desired radionuclides. DT generators may also often be limited by the restricted use of tritium at many institutions.

[∗]Corresponding author *Email address:* andrew.voyles@berkeley.edu (A.S. Voyles)

suited to (n,p) radionuclide production. However, the lower achievable flux from these generators limits their production capabilities. An additional complication is the relative paucity of high-quality, consistent cross section data for neutrons in the 2-3 MeV DD energy range.

The purpose of the present work is to explore the potential to use high-flux neutron generators to produce highspecific activity samples of radionuclides at the mCi level for local use in the application community. The research group at UC Berkeley has developed a High Flux Neutron Generator (HFNG) that features an internal target where samples can be placed just several millimeters from the neutron producing surface in order to maximize the utilization of the neutron yield for the production of a desired radionu-clide [\[2,](#page-11-2) [3,](#page-11-3) [4\]](#page-11-4). The HFNG uses the $D(D,n)^{3}$ He reaction to produce neutrons with energies near 2.45 MeV together with a self-loading target design to maintain continuous operation without target replacement. In addition to the generator itself, efforts are underway to design neutron reflection capabilities to allow scattered neutrons multiple opportunities to interact with an internally mounted target. While these design efforts are underway, the HFNG can be used to better characterize production cross sections at the appropriate neutron energy.

The present work features a pair of cross section measurements for the production of two emerging non-standard medical radionuclides: the positron emitter ${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$ and the single - photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) tracer ⁴⁷Ti(n,p)⁴⁷Sc. ⁶⁴Cu ($t_{1/2} = 12.7$ h) undergoes *β*⁺ decay (61.5[%] branching ratio) to ⁶⁴Ni or *β*[−] decay (38.5% branching ratio) to 64 Zn [\[5\]](#page-11-5). The emitted short-range 190-keV β^- particle makes this an attractive therapeutic radionuclide, which also has the possibility for simultaneous positron emission tomography (PET) imaging for real-time dose monitoring and verification. This makes ⁶⁴Cu particularly desirable for emerging radiation therapy protocols [\[6,](#page-11-6) [7,](#page-11-7) [8,](#page-11-8) [9\]](#page-11-9). In addition, copper radiochemistry is well developed, and many existing ligands and carriers may be used for selective delivery of the radionuclide to different sites in patients. The second radionuclide studied, ⁴⁷Sc ($t_{1/2} = 3.35$ d), undergoes β^- decay to ⁴⁷Ti, emitting a high-intensity (63.8%) 159-keV gamma ray in the process [\[10\]](#page-11-10). This radionuclide is attractive as an emerging diagnostic isotope, due to the similarity of the emitted gamma ray to that of the well-established $99m$ Tc [\[11,](#page-11-11) [12,](#page-11-12) [13,](#page-11-13) [14\]](#page-11-14). Due to the short half-life $(t_{1/2} = 6.0 \text{ h})$ of and dwindling supplies of 99mTc, ⁴⁷Sc stands poised as a potential solution to this shortage, due to its longer half-life and multiple production pathways without the need for highly enriched uranium [\[15\]](#page-11-15). In addition, when paired with ⁴⁴Sc, ⁴⁷Sc forms a promising "theranostic" pair for use in simultaneous therapeutic and diagnostic applications [\[16,](#page-11-16) [17\]](#page-11-17).

Current methodology in radiochemistry has shown recovery of upwards of 95% of produced ^{64}Cu [\[18,](#page-11-18) [19\]](#page-11-19) and 47 Sc [\[20,](#page-11-20) [21,](#page-11-21) [22\]](#page-11-22) from solid target designs, without the need for additional carrier. By expanding the base of efficient reaction pathways, great advances are possible in making

production of medical radionuclides more efficient and affordable for those in need. It is this desire to improve the options available for modern medical imaging and cancer therapy which has motivated the campaign of nuclear data measurements for isotope production at the UC Berkeley HFNG.

2. Experiment

2.1. Neutron source

Neutron activation was carried out via irradiation in the High-Flux Neutron Generator (HFNG), a DD neutron generator at the University of California, Berkeley. This generator extracts deuterium ions from an RF-heated deuterium plasma (using ion sources similar to designs from the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory [\[4\]](#page-11-4)) through a nozzle, whose shape was designed to form a flat-profile beam, 5 mm in diameter. This deuterium beam is incident upon a water-cooled, self-loading titanium-coated copper target [\[2,](#page-11-2) [3\]](#page-11-3), where the titanium layer acts as a reaction surface for DD fusion, producing neutrons with a well-known energy distribution as a function of emission angle [\[23\]](#page-11-23). While the machine's design features two deuterium ion sources impinging from both sides of the target, only a single source was used in the present work. Irradiation targets are inserted in the center of the titanium layer deuteron target, approximately 8 mm from the DD reaction surface, prior to startup. [Figure 1](#page-2-0) displays a cutaway schematic of the HFNG. A 100 keV deuterium beam was extracted at 1.3 mA, creating a flux of approximately $1.3 \cdot 10^7$ neutrons/cm²s on the target.

2.2. Cross section determination by relative activation

The approach used in both measurements was to irradiate foils of zinc or titanium, which were co-loaded with indium foils in order to determine their (n,p) cross sections relative to the well-established 113 In(n,n')^{113m}In and 115 In(n,n')^{115m}In neutron dosimetry standards [\[24,](#page-11-24) [25\]](#page-11-25). [Ta](#page-4-0)[ble 1](#page-4-0) lists physical characteristics of each foil for the various irradiations. In each experiment, the co-loaded foils were

Figure 1. Cut-away schematic of the HFNG. The ion source is approximately 20 cm in diameter.

Figure 2. Schematic (not drawn to scale) of the sample holder used for the Berkeley HFNG,

irradiated for 3 hours at nominal operating conditions of 1.3 mA and 100 kV. After irradiation, the foils were removed and placed in front of an appropriate High-Purity Germanium (HPGe) gamma-ray detector and time-dependent decay gamma-ray spectra were collected.

One cm diameter, 1-mm thick natural abundance zinc and titanium targets were employed for the measurement. Each of these was co-loaded with a natural abundance Indium foil of 1 cm diameter and 0.5 mm thickness in a recess cut into a 2-mm thick polyethylene holder, as seen in [Figure 2,](#page-3-0) which was mounted in the HFNG target center. Prior to loading, each foil was washed with isopropanol and dried, to remove any trace oils or residue that could become activated during irradiation.

2.3. Determination of effective neutron energy

The $D(D,n)^3$ He reaction at 100 keV lab energy produces neutrons with energies ranging from 2.18 to 2.78 MeV, over an angular range of 0-180° in the lab frame-of-reference with respect to the incident deuteron beam. This distribution has been well documented [\[23\]](#page-11-23) and is shown in [Figure 3](#page-3-1) for 100 keV incident deuteron energy.

Since the samples are separated by only 8 mm from the DD reaction surface they subtend a fairly significant (-17°) angular range in a region of high (approximately $1.3 \cdot$ 10^7 neutrons/cm²s) neutron flux. This stands in contrast to other measurements which feature collimated beams and significantly lower total neutron flux.

The Monte Carlo N-Particle transport code MCNP6 [\[26\]](#page-11-26) was used to model the neutron energy spectrum incident upon target foils co-loaded into the HFNG (see [Figure 4\)](#page-3-2). The neutron spectral distribution is also broadened by the temperature of the target. This gives rise to a slight difference in the neutron energy at the target location [\[3\]](#page-11-3), which has been included in our stated energy window. This spectrum, peaked around 2.777 MeV, illustrates the forward-focused kinematics of the DD reaction subtended

Figure 3. Energy-angle distribution for neutrons emitted following DD fusion, for 100 keV incident deuterons [\[23\]](#page-11-23).

Figure 4. MCNP6-modeled neutron energy spectrum for the HFNG. The solid lines show the spectrum at the location of the indium and the activation foil. The dotted and dashed lines show the same with the neutron production target itself "voided" to remove scattering contributions.

by the co-loaded sample foils. As expected, the production target is the dominant source of scatter - approximately 0.78% of the neutrons incident on the foils can be attributed to scatter in the neutron production target.

While this shows that the sample foils experience a very narrow energy distribution of incident neutrons, an effective neutron energy window must be determined. The MCNP6 simulation shows an identical flux-weighted average neutron energy of 2.765 MeV for both the Indium and target foils to the 1 keV level. Due to geometry and the kinematics of DD neutron emission, *Emax*, the maximum energy of a neutron subtending the target foils in this geometry is 2.783 MeV [\[23\]](#page-11-23). For this maximum energy, the number of reactions induced in a foil (containing *N^T* target nuclei) is given by:

$$
R = N_T \int_0^{E_{max}} \sigma(E) \frac{d\phi}{dE} dE \tag{1}
$$

Foils Used	Metal Purity	Abundance (at. $\%$)	Foil Density (mg/cm^2)	Thickness (mm)	Diameter (mm)	Mass(g)
natZn	$>99.99\%$	64 Zn (49.17%)	698.9	1.03 ± 0.01 1.03 ± 0.01	9.93 ± 0.14 9.76 ± 0.17	0.538 ± 0.005 0.521 ± 0.005
$natTi$	99.999%	47 Ti (7.44%)	434.7	1.02 ± 0.01 1.16 ± 0.02 1.15 ± 0.02	9.89 ± 0.15 9.93 ± 0.04 9.94 ± 0.03	0.542 ± 0.005 0.337 ± 0.005 0.337 ± 0.005
nat In	$>99.999\%$	113In (4.29%), 115 In (95.71%)	317.6	$0.49 \pm 0.02^*$ $0.50 \pm 0.03*$ $0.49 \pm 0.03*$ $0.53 \pm 0.06^{\dagger}$ $0.50 \pm 0.02^{\dagger}$	$9.75 \pm 0.09*$ $9.98 \pm 0.15^*$ $9.96 \pm 0.10^*$ $10.01 \pm 0.11^{\dagger}$ $10.00 \pm 0.09^{\dagger}$	$0.248 \pm 0.005*$ $0.248 \pm 0.005*$ $0.241 \pm 0.005*$ $0.247 \pm 0.005^{\dagger}$ $0.248 \pm 0.005^{\dagger}$

Table 1. Foil characteristics for each of the three $(Zn/\text{In})^*$ experiments and the two $(Ti/\text{In})^{\dagger}$ experiments.

Figure 5. Fraction of total reactions induced in the Indium foil between the energies $[0, E']$. The solid red boundaries indicate the energy region that corresponds to 68.2% of the total activation.

From this definition, it is possible to calculate $F(E')$, the fraction of total reactions induced by neutrons up to some energy $E' < E_{max}$.

$$
F(E') = \frac{\int_0^{E'} \sigma(E) \frac{d\phi}{dE} dE}{\int_0^{E_{max}} \sigma(E) \frac{d\phi}{dE} dE}
$$
(2)

This quantity $F(E')$ is plotted in [Figure 5.](#page-4-1) The fraction of total reactions in the indium foil can be used to characterize the effective neutron energy bin. Our approach, in analogy to the Gaussian quantity σ , will be to use a horizontal "error bar" to represent the energy range responsible for 68.2% of the reactions taking place. Using this approach, we report the effective energy bin as being $E_n = 2.765_{-0.022}^{+0.014}$ MeV. This 37-keV full-energy spread verifies that, at such close distances to the DD reaction surface, loaded target foils receive a quasi-monoenergetic neutron flux.

Table 2. Gamma-ray properties for the decay lines measured in the present work.

Nuclide	Gamma-Ray Energy (keV)	Intensity $(\%)$	$t_{1/2}$
$64Cu$ [5]	511.0	35.2 ± 0.4	12.701 h
47 Sc [10]	159.381	68.3 ± 0.4	3.3492 d
113m [27]	391.698	64.94 ± 0.17	99.476 m
$115m$ In [29]	336.241	45.9 ± 0.1	4.486h
$116m$ In [30]	416.90	27.2 ± 0.4	54.29 m

2.4. Measurement of induced activities

After irradiation, the co-loaded targets were removed from the HFNG and transferred to a counting lab, where their induced activities could be measured via gamma ray spectroscopy. Two detectors were used in this measurement. An Ortec 80% High-Purity Germanium (HPGe) detector was used for the detection of the positron annihilation radiation from the 64 Cu decay [\[5\]](#page-11-5), the 391 keV gamma-ray from the 113mIn isomer [\[27\]](#page-11-27), and the 336 keV gamma-ray from the decay of the 115mIn isomer [\[28\]](#page-11-30). An Ortec planar Low-Energy Photon Spectrometer (LEPS) was used for the detection of the lower-energy 159 keV gamma-ray from ⁴⁷Sc [\[10\]](#page-11-10) as well as the two indium isomers mentioned above. Both detectors were calibrated for energy and efficiency, using ^{133}Ba , ^{137}Cs , and ^{152}Eu sources at various distances from the front face of each detector. These efficiencies, along with gamma ray intensities for each transition, were used to convert the integrated counts in each gamma ray photopeak into an activity for the activated isotopes and isomeric states.

The irradiated foils were counted in their polyethylene holder, 10 cm from the front face of the 80% HPGe and 1 cm from the front face of the LEPS, with the target foil (zinc or titanium) facing towards the front face of the detector when both target and monitor foils were counted simultaneously. All data collection was performed using the Ortec MAESTRO software. For each experiment the detector dead time was verified to be less than 5%. No summing corrections needed to be made since all of the gammas are either non-coincident or formed in a back-toback annihilation event.

For the ⁴⁷Sc production experiments, the foils were counted simultaneously using a planar LEPS detector. For the ⁶⁴Cu production experiments, the Indium foil was first counted separately using an 80% HPGe detector, to capture the short-lived Indium activities. This is due to the fact that the contaminant 1^{15} In(n, γ) reaction results in the production of 116mIn which has a 54 minute half-life and results in the production of 1097 keV (58.5% branching), 1293 keV (84.8% branching) and 2112 keV (15.09% branching) gamma-rays that in turn produce a significant number of 511 keV gammas from pair-production followed by anni-hilation [\[30\]](#page-11-29). The foils were counted together again after approximately 4 hours of separate collection, to allow for nearly all of the produced ¹¹⁶In to decay. Example spectra for each production pathway can be seen in [Figure 6a](#page-6-0) and [Figure 6b.](#page-6-1)

To verify that each peak corresponds to the assigned decay product, spectra were acquired in a sequence of 15 - 30 minute intervals. The resulting time series displayed in Figures [7a](#page-7-0) - [7d](#page-7-1) allow the fitting of exponential decay functions for each nuclide and comparison of the measured half-life with literature values. The fitted functions for each transition agree (at the 1σ confidence level) with accepted half-lives [\[10,](#page-11-10) [5,](#page-11-5) [27,](#page-11-27) [28,](#page-11-30) [30\]](#page-11-29), confirming the respective peak assignments.

The spectra for each sample were summed and the net peak areas were fitted using gf3, part of the RadWare analysis package from Oak Ridge National Laboratory [\[31,](#page-11-31) [32\]](#page-11-32). The background-subtracted integrated counts in each photopeak, as well as the counting duration for each experiment, are tabulated in [Table 3.](#page-7-2)

2.5. Experimental verification of incident neutron energy

As shown in [subsection 2.2](#page-2-1) above, the effective neutron energy depends on the angle range subtended by the sample with respect to the incident deuteron beam. In order to determine this angle it is necessary to measure the lateral location of the beam with respect to the sample location. This centroid position of the beam was measured using a 3 x 3 array of 0.5 cm diameter indium foils. The relative activity of these foils was then determined via postirradiation counting of the ^{115m}In isomer ($t_{1/2} = 4.486$ h) [\[28\]](#page-11-30). [Figure 8](#page-5-0) shows the measured activities for these 9 indium foils. Based on these values we are able to verify that the beam was indeed vertically centered on the middle of the zinc and titanium samples, with a slight asymmetry of the neutron flux in the horizontal direction, accounted for in MCNP6 modeling of the energy-differential neutron flux. This small asymmetry likely contributes to the effective energy bin being lower than the 2.78 MeV expected for 0° neutron emission angle in [Figure 3.](#page-3-1)

Figure 8. Relative fluxes as seen by a 3 x 3 array of indium foils. The central foil corresponds to the location in which target and monitor foils were mounted during the cross section measurements, verifying that the beam is centered on the middle of mounted foils.

2.6. Calculation of measured cross sections

For a thin target consisting of N_T target nuclei (with a reaction cross section $\sigma(\bar{E})$), subjected to a constant neutron flux $\phi(E)$, the rate of production (R) of the product nucleus will be:

$$
R = N_T \sigma \left(\bar{E}\right) \phi \left(\bar{E}\right) \tag{3}
$$

If the target is subjected to this flux for an irradiation time t_i and decays for a delay time t_d (after end-of-beam) before gamma ray spectrum acquisition occurs for a counting time t_c , then the number of product decays $(N_D;$ with decay constant λ) during the acquisition will be:

$$
N_D = \frac{R}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_i} \right) e^{-\lambda t_d} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_c} \right)
$$

=
$$
\frac{N_T \sigma \left(\bar{E} \right) \phi \left(\bar{E} \right)}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_i} \right) e^{-\lambda t_d} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_c} \right)
$$
 (4)

If this decay emits a gamma ray with absolute intensity I_γ (photons emitted per decay), and is detected with an absolute efficiency of ϵ_{γ} (photons detected / photons emitted), then the number of observed gamma rays during the acquisition will be:

$$
N_{\gamma} = N_D \epsilon_{\gamma} I_{\gamma}
$$
\n
$$
= \epsilon_{\gamma} I_{\gamma} \frac{N_T \sigma \left(\bar{E}\right) \phi \left(\bar{E}\right)}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_i}\right) e^{-\lambda t_d} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_c}\right)
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

Solving this equation for the cross section results in:

$$
\sigma\left(\bar{E}\right) = \frac{N_{\gamma}\lambda}{N_{T}\epsilon_{\gamma}I_{\gamma}\phi\left(\bar{E}\right)\left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_{i}}\right)e^{-\lambda t_{d}}\left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_{c}}\right)}\tag{6}
$$

Figure 6. Example gamma spectra collected to monitor radioisotope production. (a) Gamma spectrum for the 47 Ti(n,p) 47 Sc production pathway foils, counted using a LEPS detector and (b) gamma spectrum for the $64\text{Zn}(n,p)$ 64Cu production pathway foils, counted using an 80% HPGe detector.

Energy (keV)

[Equation 6](#page-5-1) can be used to determine the unknown (n,p) cross sections relative to the well-known 115 In(n,n')^{115m}In and 113 In(n,n')^{113m}In inelastic scattering cross sections since the Zn and Ti samples were co-irradiated with indium foils. This approach has a number of advantages since the result is independent of neutron flux and only depends on the relative detector efficiencies at each gamma-ray energy. [Equation 7](#page-6-2) shows the ratio of the cross sections determined using this approach, in which subscript *P* indicates a quantity for either 64 Cu or 47 Sc, and subscript *In* indicates a quantity for either the 113mIn or 115mIn isomer. A minor term was added to correct for the small self-attenuation of the gamma rays emitted by the activated foils:

$$
\frac{\sigma_P}{\sigma_{In}} = \frac{N_{\gamma,P}}{N_{\gamma,In}} \frac{N_{T,In}}{N_{T,P}} \frac{\lambda_P}{\lambda_{In}} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_{In}t_i}}{1 - e^{-\lambda_P t_i}}\right) \frac{e^{-\lambda_{In}t_d}}{e^{-\lambda_P t_d}} \times \tag{7}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_{In}t_c}}{1 - e^{-\lambda_P t_c}}\right) \frac{\epsilon_{In}}{\epsilon_P} \frac{I_{\gamma,In}}{I_{\gamma,P}} \frac{e^{-\mu_{In}x_{In}/2} \times e^{-\mu_{In}x_P}}{e^{-\mu_P x_P/2}}
$$

where:

- N_{γ} is the integrated counts under a photopeak,
- σ is the cross section for either the production of a product or isomer [mb],
- N_T is the initial number of target nuclei,
- λ is the decay constant $[s^{-1}]$,
- t_i is the irradiation time [s],
- \bullet t_d is the delay time (between the end-of-beam and the start of counting) [s],
- t_c is the counting time [s],
- • ϵ is the detector efficiency for a particular photopeak,
- I_{γ} is the decay gamma ray absolute intensity [%],
- μ is the photon attenuation coefficient for a particular decay gamma ray in a foil $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$,

Figure 7. Decay curves used to verify photopeak transition assignment. (a) Decay curve for the isomeric transition of 115m In, (b) decay curve for the isomeric transition of ^{113m}In, (c) decay curve for the β^- decay of ¹¹⁶In, and (d) decay curve for the β^+ decay of ⁶⁴Cu.

Table 3. Counting times and photopeak counts for each of the (Zn/In) and (Ti/In) experiments. The uncertainties in photopeak counts are a combination of the fit error and counting statistics.

Reference Foil	$\mathrm{nat}_{\mathrm{In}}$	$natIn$	$natIn$	natIn	natIn
Reference Foil Mass (g)	0.248	0.248	0.241	0.247	0.248
Target Foil	natZn	natZn	natZn	nat ^T i	$\mathrm{nat}T$
Target Foil Mass (g)	0.538	0.521	0.542	0.337	0.337
Irradiation Time, t_i (s)	10800	10800	12629	11837	14254
Delay Time, t_d (s)	1785	16185	2290	89408	2390
Counting Time, t_c (s)	91188	54008	54002	86424	93631
Photopeak Counts, 336 keV (^{115m}In)	113665 ± 1490	76321 ± 275	39895 ± 201	2122 ± 55	55102 ± 268
Photopeak Counts, 391 keV (^{113m}In)	3382 ± 171	890 ± 40	3505 ± 54		
Photopeak Counts, 511 keV (^{64}Cu)	16055 ± 643	12852 ± 118	27164 ± 159		
Photopeak Counts, 159 keV (47Sc)				3877 ± 83	5544 ± 257

• and *x* is the thickness of foil traversed by a particular decay gamma ray [cm]

In addition to the 115 In(n,n')^{115m}In reference cross section, the ¹¹⁵In(n, γ)^{116m}In ($t_{1/2} = 54.29$ min [\[30\]](#page-11-29)) activity can be used to determine the $64Zn(n,p)$ and $47Ti(n,p)$ cross section. The capture activity is potentially subject to contamination from lower energy, especially thermal, "room return" neutrons since the (n,γ) cross section at 25 meV is approximately 2,000 times greater than at 2.7 MeV [\[24,](#page-11-24) [25\]](#page-11-25).

With the exception of decay constants and time measurement, which have negligible uncertainty compared to other sources of uncertainties in this work, each of the parameters in this model carries an uncertainty. Based on the assumption that these uncertainties are uncorrelated, the total relative statistical uncertainty δ_{σ} is calculated by taking the quadrature sum of the relative uncertainties of each parameter δ_i :

$$
\delta_{\sigma} = \|\vec{\delta}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i^2} \tag{8}
$$

This total uncertainty is plotted as the cross section uncertainty in [Figure 9](#page-9-0) and [Figure 10.](#page-9-1)

2.7. Systematic uncertainties

The largest source of systematic uncertainty in the cross section determined via the "ratio approach" is the 2.586% uncertainty in the $\frac{115\ln(n,n')}{115m}\ln \text{cross section}$ and the 1.447% uncertainty in the $113\text{In}(n,n')$ ^{113m}In cross section [\[24,](#page-11-24) [25\]](#page-11-25). An additional uncertainty arises from the fact that the Zn/Ti samples are not located at exactly the same location as the indium monitor foils, and are therefore not subject to precisely the same neutron flux. However, the MCNP6 simulations shown in [Figure 4](#page-3-2) indicate that the difference in the flux that the two foils are subjected to is less than 1%, negligible compared to other sources of systematic uncertainty. Other monitor foils could be used instead of indium, with ⁵⁸Ni(n,p)⁵⁸Co (⁵⁸Co $t_{1/2} = 70.86$ d [\[33\]](#page-11-33)) being one possible candidate, but the 4.486 hour and 99.476 minute half-lives of the 115m In and 113m In isomers [\[28,](#page-11-30) [27\]](#page-11-27), respectively, make indium a better candidate for measuring the production of radionuclides with lifetimes much less than 71 days. The largest source of uncertainty in energy window arises from uncertainties in the actual dimension of the deuteron beam on the production target. We believe, based on "burn marks" on the neutron production target, that the beam was approximately circular, with a flat intensity profile and a 5 mm diameter. However, every 1 mm change in the beam radius would cause a 0.028 MeV shift in the centroid and a 0.053 MeV increase in the effective energy bin width, which places a natural limit on the reported effective neutron energy.

A much smaller systematic uncertainty arises from the fact that the two (n,p) cross sections and the reference $In(n,n')$ cross sections have slightly different thresholds. The total activity in the In produced by the low energy neutrons (below the "knee" near 2.25 MeV in [Figure 4\)](#page-3-2) is 2.17%. The corresponding values from TALYS for the ^{64}Cu and ⁴⁷Sc activity are 0.24% and 0.85%, respectively. If we assume an uncertainty of $\pm 25\%$ in the TALYS calculations in this energy region it would introduce an additional systematic uncertainty in the $_{-20}^{+10}$ keV effective energy bin of ± 1.6 keV for ⁶⁴Cu and ± 5.7 keV for ⁴⁷Sc. As these are smaller than the precision of the existing effective energy bin, they can be considered negligible.

3. Results

Using the ratio method described, the cross sections for the ${}^{47}Ti(n,p){}^{47}Sc$ and ${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$ reactions have been calculated for an incident neutron energy of $E_n = 2.76_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$ MeV. These values are recorded in [Table 4.](#page-8-0)

Figures [9](#page-9-0) and [10](#page-9-1) present the determined cross sections for the production of $^{47}Ti(n,p)^{47}Sc$ and $^{64}Zn(n,p)^{64}Cu$ relative to literature data retrieved from EXFOR [\[34,](#page-11-34) [35,](#page-12-0) [36,](#page-12-1) [37,](#page-12-2) [38,](#page-12-3) [39,](#page-12-4) [40,](#page-12-5) [41,](#page-12-6) [42,](#page-12-7) [43,](#page-12-8) [44,](#page-12-9) [45,](#page-12-10) [46,](#page-12-11) [47,](#page-12-12) [48\]](#page-12-13). The weighted average of the measurements give 49.3 ± 2.6 mb (relative to ¹¹³In) and 46.4 ± 1.7 mb (relative to ¹¹⁵In) for 64 Zn(n,p)⁶⁴Cu, and 26.26 \pm 0.82 mb for ⁴⁷Ti(n,p)⁴⁷Sc. The $^{64}Zn(n,p)^{64}Cu$ cross section measured in this work is consistent with other literature results, but with a smaller uncertainty $(<5\%)$. However, in the case of the ⁴⁷Ti(n,p)⁴⁷Sc cross section, our results are consistent with the results from the Smith (1975), Armitage (1967), and Ikeda (1990) groups [\[39,](#page-12-4) [45,](#page-12-10) [46\]](#page-12-11) and both the ENDF/B-VII.1 [\[49\]](#page-12-14) and TALYS [\[50\]](#page-12-15) values, but significantly below the results from the Hussain (1983), Gonzalez (1962), and Shimizu (2004) groups [\[41,](#page-12-6) [44,](#page-12-9) [48\]](#page-12-13).

As mentioned above, the cross section can be obtained relative to both the inelastic scattering cross sections on 113 In and 115 In, and the capture of fast, unmoderated neutrons on 115 In. The result for the production of 116 In via the 115 In(n, γ) reaction was shown to be consistent with activation predominantly from the capture of fast neutrons,

Table 4. Results of cross section measurement. Note that the last data point for the 47 Sc measurement (marked with $*$) was performed at a slightly different beam spot location, leading to a difference in effective neutron energy.

Reaction	$\sigma(E_n = 2.76^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$ MeV) (mb)
${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$ (relative to 113 In)	49.9 ± 3.2 49.2 ± 2.7 49.0 ± 2.5
${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$ (relative to 115 In)	45.9 ± 2.6 46.5 ± 1.7 46.8 ± 3.2
${}^{47}Ti(n,p){}^{47}Sc$ (relative to 115 In)	25.9 ± 1.2 $26.7\pm1.4^*$

Figure 9. Measured $\rm {^{47}Ti(n,p)}$ $\rm {^{47}Sc}$ cross section relative to indium activation.

Figure 10. Measured ${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$ cross section relative to indium activation.

rather than from "room return" thermal neutrons. The MCNP neutron spectrum in [Figure 4](#page-3-2) confirms this - thermal and epithermal neutrons make up only 0.0771% of the total neutron population. This will be discussed in greater detail in the conclusion section below.

4. Discussion

The proximity of the target to the neutron production surface opens the possibility of performing a measurement of the cross section over a limited energy range via mounting the samples slightly off-axis with respect to the beam. This could be accomplished using the 9-foil sample holder described in [subsection 2.5](#page-5-2) above. Mounting samples at each of these positions would subject the samples to neutrons with energies ranging from 2.765 MeV at the central location to 2.616 MeV at the four corners, with the other locations having intermediate energy values. These sorts of multi-sample measurements could be used to determine the "rising edge" of the cross sections, aiding in the development of optical models for the reactants.

These measurements also highlight the possibility of using fast neutrons from DD and/or DT generators to produce meaningful quantities of radioisotopes for a wide range of applications via charge exchange reactions, such as (n,p) and (n,α) . Many applications, including diagnostic and therapeutic medical use, require mCi activity levels. For the production of a radionuclide sample, the saturation activity (*Asaturation*) is achieved at secular equilibrium:

$$
R_{production} = R_{decay} = \lambda N_{product} \tag{9}
$$

While the saturation activity represents the maximum activity that can be made at a generator with a given total neutron output, there may be situations where either a smaller activity is needed, or a shorter irradiation is desired. In this case, it is useful to introduce a neutron utilization factor (η_x) . η_x is the constant of proportionality between R_n , the neutron source output (in neutrons/second), and the saturation activity:

$$
A_{saturation} = \eta_x R_n \tag{10}
$$

 η_x represents the likelihood that a neutron produced in the generator will create *x*, the isotope of interest. It includes the overlap between the production target and the locus where the neutrons are being created, and the fraction of nuclear reactions which generate the desired activity *x*:

$$
\eta_x = \frac{1}{R_n} \int_{production \; target} \phi(\mathbf{r}) \, \bar{\sigma}_x \; \rho_{target}(\mathbf{r}) \, d\mathbf{V},
$$

$$
d\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{r}^2 d\mathbf{r} \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi \tag{11}
$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_x$ is the average cross section producing the radionuclide of interest, $\rho_{target}(\mathbf{r})$ is the density of the target as a function of position, and $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ is the neutron flux (in $n/cm^2/s$) as a function of position. η_x allows us to cast the activity produced in a given irradiation time t_i as:

$$
A(t_i) = \eta_x R_n \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_i} \right) \tag{12}
$$

Maximizing η_x would be the goal of any engineering design to produce a desired activity using a neutron generator at a minimum of cost and radiological impact.

An optimal design for the neutron generator would also allow for the possibility of reflecting fast neutrons back onto the target to maximize their utilization for radionuclide production. This sort of "flux trap" has been used for the production of radionuclides in reactors, but has not to date been optimized for use with fast neutrons at DD and/or DT neutron sources. The HFNG, with its self-loading target and "flux trap" geometry, has many features that make it well-suited for such isotope production purpose. Switching to DT operation would dramatically increase the flux as well as the production cross section, since (n,p) tends to be significantly larger at 14 MeV. However, the higher neutron energy would also open the (n,pn) channels. In the case of ⁴⁷Sc, this would lead to the presence of ⁴⁶Sc ($t_{1/2} = 83.79$) d [\[51\]](#page-12-16)) in the sample, which might pose some concerns for medical applications. However, this is not an issue for ${}^{64}Cu$ since the (n,pn) channel leads to the production of stable 63 Cu.

Assuming a neutron flux of $1.3 \cdot 10^7$ neutrons/cm²s on the target, masses of 0.533 g of natural zinc and 0.337 g of natural titanium, and cross sections of 47.5 mb for $64Zn(n,p)$ ⁶⁴Cu and 26.26 mb for $47Ti(n,p)$ ⁴⁷Sc, theoretical saturation activities for current operation at the time of this work are estimated to be 1.5 kBq of 64 Cu and 0.11 kBq of ⁴⁷Sc. This falls short of the mCi (37 MBq) level required for commercial application by a factor of 3-4 orders of magnitude, but with the operation of the second deuterium ion source, increased current, and fast neutron reflection, this goal may well be within reach. By increasing the activation target thickness to 1 cm (a factor of 10), switching to DT operation (a factor of 80), increasing current and running the second ion source (a factor of 60), and relying upon the higher (n,p) cross section at DT energies (a factor of approximately 3), we believe saturation activities of approximately 6 mCi of 64 Cu and 0.5 mCi of 47 Sc can be achieved. The activities produced at the end of irradiation averaged 453.8 Bq of 64 Cu, and 31.6 Bq of 47 Sc. Assuming a conservative neutron source output of 10^8 neutrons / second, we can estimate that, in present operation, the HFNG has an average $\eta_{64Cu} \approx 3.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for ⁶⁴Cu and $\eta_{47Sc} \approx 1.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for ⁴⁷Sc. This falls approximately 4 orders of magnitude short of the $\eta_x \approx 0.37$ needed for mCi-scale production. A factor of 10 in η_x could easily be gained through use of targets 1-cm in thickness without worry of contaminating reaction channels opening up, but η_x gains beyond this will require modification of operation conditions.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

Using activation methods on thin foils, the $^{47}Ti(n,p)$ ^{47}Sc and ${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$ production cross sections were measured for $2.76^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$ MeV neutrons produced using the High Flux Neutron Generator (HFNG) at UC Berkeley. The cross sections were measured with less than 5% uncertainty relative to the well-known 115 In(n,n')^{115m}In and $113\text{In}(n,n)$ ^{113m}In fast neutron cross sections [\[24,](#page-11-24) [25\]](#page-11-25). The measured values of 26.26 ± 0.82 mb and 49.3 ± 2.6 mb (relative to ¹¹³In) or 46.4 ± 1.7 mb (relative to ¹¹⁵In), respectively, are consistent with earlier experimental data and theoretical models, but have smaller uncertainties than previous measurements.

In addition, the production of the ¹¹⁶In via the ¹¹⁵In(n, γ) reaction was close to the value one would expect given an effective incident neutron energy of 2.45 MeV. While this is not consistent with the average neutron energy at the target location $(2.76_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$ MeV), the fact that it was close indicates the paucity of thermal neutrons in this central location. This in turn highlights the usefulness of such compact DD-neutron sources for producing "clean" activities via the (n,p) channel. The use of DD neutron generators can be an efficient method for the measurement of lowenergy (n,p) reaction channels, as well as a relative method used to normalize measurements at higher neutron energies. In addition to improving the value of these measurements for nuclear reaction evaluation, our results highlight the potential use of compact neutron generators for the production of radionuclides locally for medical applications.

It is worth noting that at the time of publication, the HFNG is now operating at close to 10^9 n/sec, with a clear path towards 10^{10} . Future work will involve the continued measurement of the (n,p) production cross sections for various other emerging therapeutic and diagnostic radioisotopes, to expand the toolset of options available for modern medical imaging and cancer therapy. This will focus on radionuclides which permit more customized and precise dose deposition, as well as patient-specific treatments.

6. Acknowledgements

We would like to particularly point out the crucial role played by Cory Waltz in the design and commissioning of the HFNG. We wish to thank Marc Garland and Saed Mirzadeh for discussions regarding the use of neutron generators for isotope production. We acknowledge Glenn Jones of G&J Jones Enterprises of Dublin, CA for the construction of the High Flux Neutron Generator. Lastly, we would like to acknowledge the students in the Nuclear Reactions and Radiation (NE102) laboratory course at UC Berkeley who participated in these experiments, including Joe Corvino, Nizelle Fajardo, Scott Parker and Evan Still.

This work has been carried out at the University of California, Berkeley, and performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract # DE-AC52-07NA27344

and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract # DE-AC02-05CH11231. Funding has been provided from the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the US Nuclear Data Program, the Berkeley Geochronology Center, NSF ARRA Grant $#$ EAR-0960138, the University of California Laboratory Fees Research Grant # 12-LR-238745, and DFG Research Fellowship $\#$ RU 2065/1-1.

References

- [1] D. Updegraff, S. A. Hoedl, [Nuclear Medicine without Nuclear](https://www.aaas.org/report/nuclear-medicine-without-nuclear-reactors-or-uranium-enrichment) [Reactors or Uranium Enrichment,](https://www.aaas.org/report/nuclear-medicine-without-nuclear-reactors-or-uranium-enrichment) Tech. rep., Center for Science, Technology, and Security Policy, American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, DC (jun 2013).
- [2] C. Waltz, M. Ayllon, T. Becker, L. Bernstein, K.-N. Leung, L. Kirsch, P. Renne, K. V. Bibber, [Beam-induced Back-streaming](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096980431730101X) [Electron Suppression Analysis for an Accelerator Type Neutron](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096980431730101X) [Generator Designed for 40Ar/39Ar Geochronology,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096980431730101X) Applied Radiation and Isotopes 125 (2017) 124–128. [arXiv:1701.00300](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00300), [doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2017.04.017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2017.04.017).
- [3] C. Waltz, [Characterization of Deuteron-Deuteron Neutron](http://search.proquest.com/docview/1834600459) [Generators,](http://search.proquest.com/docview/1834600459) Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1834600459> (2016).
- [4] Q. Ji, A. Sy, J. W. Kwan, [Radio frequency-driven proton source](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3267832) [with a back-streaming electron dump,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3267832) Review of Scientific Instruments 81 (2) (2010) 02B312. [doi:10.1063/1.3267832](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3267832).
- [5] B. Singh, Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 64$. Nuclear Data Sheets 108 (2) (2007) 197–364. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2007.01.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2007.01.003).
- [6] M. R. Lewis, M. Wang, D. B. Axworthy, L. J. Theodore, R. W. Mallet, A. R. Fritzberg, M. J. Welch, C. J. Anderson, [In Vivo](http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/44/8/1284.abstract) [Evaluation of Pretargeted 64Cu for Tumor Imaging and Therapy,](http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/44/8/1284.abstract) Journal of Nuclear Medicine 44 (8) (2003) 1284–1292.
- [7] NSAC Isotopes Subcommittee, Meeting Isotope Needs and Capturing Opportunities for the Future: The 2015 Long Range Plan for the DOE-NP Isotope Program, Tech. rep. (jul 2015).
- [8] R. P. Bandari, Z. Jiang, T. S. Reynolds, N. E. Bernskoetter, A. F. Szczodroski, K. J. Bassuner, D. L. Kirkpatrick, T. L. Rold, G. L. Sieckman, T. J. Hoffman, J. P. Connors, C. J. Smith, Synthesis and biological evaluation of copper-64 radiolabeled [DUPA-6-Ahx-(NODAGA)-5-Ava-BBN(7-14)NH2], a novel bivalent targeting vector having affinity for two distinct biomarkers (GRPr/PSMA) of prostate cancer, Nuclear Medicine and Biology 41 (4) (2014) 355–363. [arXiv:NIHMS150003](http://arxiv.org/abs/NIHMS150003), [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2014.01.001) [10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2014.01.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2014.01.001).
- [9] E. Gourni, L. Del Pozzo, E. Kheirallah, C. Smerling, B. Waser, J.-C. Reubi, B. M. Paterson, P. S. Donnelly, P. T. Meyer, H. R. Maecke, [Copper-64 Labeled Macrobicyclic Sarcophagine Coupled](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/mp500671j) [to a GRP Receptor Antagonist Shows Great Promise for PET](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/mp500671j) [Imaging of Prostate Cancer,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/mp500671j) Molecular Pharmaceutics 12 (8) (2015) 2781–2790. [doi:10.1021/mp500671j](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/mp500671j).
- [10] T. W. Burrows, Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 47$, Nuclear Data Sheets 108 (5) (2007) 923–1056. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2007.04.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2007.04.002) [002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2007.04.002).
- [11] S. M. Qaim, R. Capote, F. Tarkanyi, Nuclear Data for the Production of Therapeutic Radionuclides, Tech. Rep. 473 (2011).
- [12] S. M. Qaim, [Nuclear data for production and medical appli](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969805116302190)[cation of radionuclides: Present status and future needs,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969805116302190) Nuclear Medicine and Biology 44 (2016) 31–49. [doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2016.08.016) [nucmedbio.2016.08.016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2016.08.016).
- [13] K. L. Kolsky, V. Joshi, L. F. Mausner, S. C. Srivastava, [Ra](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969804398000165)[diochemical purification of no-carrier-added scandium-47 for](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969804398000165) [radioimmunotherapy,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969804398000165) Applied Radiation and Isotopes 49 (12) (1998) 1541–1549. [doi:10.1016/S0969-8043\(98\)00016-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(98)00016-5).
- [14] L. F. Mausner, V. Joshi, K. L. Kolsky, Evaluation of chelating agents for radioimmunotherapy with scandium-47, Journal of Nuclear Medicine 36 (CONF-950603) (1995) 104.
- [15] E. Browne, J. K. Tuli, [Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 99,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0090375211000020) Nuclear Data Sheets 112 (2) (2011) 275–446. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2011.01.001) [01.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2011.01.001).
- [16] C. Müller, M. Bunka, S. Haller, U. Köster, V. Groehn, P. Bern-hardt, N. van der Meulen, A. Türler, R. Schibli, [Promising](http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/55/10/1658.abstract) [Prospects for 44Sc-/47Sc-Based Theragnostics: Application of](http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/55/10/1658.abstract) [47Sc for Radionuclide Tumor Therapy in Mice,](http://jnm.snmjournals.org/content/55/10/1658.abstract) Journal of Nuclear Medicine 55 (10) (2014) 1658–1664. [doi:10.2967/jnumed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.114.141614) [114.141614](http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.114.141614).
- [17] L. Deilami-nezhad, L. Moghaddam-Banaem, M. Sadeghi, M. Asgari, [Production and purification of Scandium-47: A potential](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969804316306649) [radioisotope for cancer theranostics,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0969804316306649) Applied Radiation and Isotopes 118 (2016) 124–130. [doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2016.09.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2016.09.004) [004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2016.09.004).
- [18] K. S. Bhatki, A. T. Rane, M. B. Kabadi, Preparation of carrierfree copper-64, 67 nuclides by liquid-liquid extraction, Journal of Radioanalytical Chemistry 2 (1-2) (1969) 73–77.
- [19] S. Mirzadeh, F. F. Knapp, Spontaneous electrochemical separation of carrier-free copper-64 and copper-67 from zinc targets, Radiochimica Acta 57 (4) (1992) 193–200.
- [20] H. F. Aly, M. A. El-Haggan, [Production of carrier-free scan](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01216875)[dium radioisotopes from a neutron-irradiated potassium tita](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01216875)[nium oxalate target,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01216875) Microchimica Acta 59 (1) (1971) 4–8. [doi:10.1007/BF01216875](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01216875).
- [21] T. H. Bokhari, A. Mushtaq, I. U. Khan, [Separation of no-carrier](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0370-6)[added radioactive scandium from neutron irradiated titanium,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0370-6) Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 283 (2) (2010) 389–393. [doi:10.1007/s10967-009-0370-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0370-6).
- [22] L. Pietrelli, L. Mausner, K. Kolsky, [Separation of carrier-free](http://www.akademiai.com/doi/abs/10.1007/BF02047448) [47Sc from titanium targets,](http://www.akademiai.com/doi/abs/10.1007/BF02047448) Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 157 (2) (1992) 335–345. [doi:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02047448) [BF02047448](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02047448).
- [23] H. Liskien, A. Paulsen, [Neutron production cross sections and](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092640X73800816) energies for the reactions $T(p,n)$ 3He, $D(d,n)$ 3He, and $T(d,n)$ 4He, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 11 (7) (1973) 569–619. [doi:10.1016/S0092-640X\(73\)80081-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-640X(73)80081-6).
- [24] R. Capote, K. I. Zolotarev, V. G. Pronyaev, A. Trkov, [Updating](http://www.astm.org/doiLink.cgi?JAI104119) [and Extending the IRDF-2002 Dosimetry Library,](http://www.astm.org/doiLink.cgi?JAI104119) Journal of ASTM International 9 (4) (2012) 1–9. [doi:10.1520/JAI104119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1520/JAI104119).
- [25] E. M. Zsolnay, R. Capote, H. J. Nolthenius, A. Trkov, Summary Description of the New International Reactor Dosimetry and Fusion File (IRDFF release 1.0), IAEA Technical Report INDC (NDS)-0616.
- [26] J. T. Goorley, M. R. James, T. E. Booth, F. B. Brown, J. S. Bull, L. J. Cox, J. W. J. Durkee, J. S. Elson, M. L. Fensin, R. A. I. Forster, J. S. Hendricks, H. G. I. Hughes, R. C. Johns, B. C. Kiedrowski, R. L. Martz, S. G. Mashnik, G. W. McKinney, D. B. Pelowitz, R. E. Prael, J. E. Sweezy, L. S. Waters, T. Wilcox, A. J. Zukaitis, Initial MCNP6 release Overview - MCNP6 version 1.0, Los Alamos Report LA-UR-13-22934.
- [27] J. Blachot, Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 113$, Nuclear Data Sheets 111 (6) (2010) 1471–1618. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2010.05.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2010.05.001).
- [28] J. Blachot, [Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 115,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0090375212000683) Nuclear Data Sheets 113 (10) (2012) 2391–2535. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2012.10.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2012.10.002).
- [29] H. H. Hansen, E. de Roost, W. van der Eijk, R. Vaninbroukx, [The decay of 115mIn,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01669057) Zeitschrift für Physik 269 (2) (1974) 155-161. [doi:10.1007/BF01669057](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01669057).
- [30] J. Blachot, Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 116$, Nuclear Data Sheets 111 (3) (2010) 717–895. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2010.03.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2010.03.002).
- [31] D. C. Radford, [Notes on the use of the program gf3,](http://radware.phy.ornl.gov/gf3/gf3.html) <http://radware.phy.ornl.gov/gf3/gf3.html> (2000).
- [32] D. C. Radford, [ESCL8R and LEVIT8R: Software for interactive](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0168900295001832) [graphical analysis of HPGe coincidence data sets,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0168900295001832) Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 361 (1-2) (1995) 297–305. [doi:10.1016/0168-9002\(95\)00183-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(95)00183-2).
- [33] C. D. Nesaraja, S. D. Geraedts, B. Singh, [Nuclear Data Sheets](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0090375210000359) for $A = 58$, Nuclear Data Sheets 111 (4) (2010) 897-1092. [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2010.03.003) [10.1016/j.nds.2010.03.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2010.03.003).
- [34] N. Otuka, E. Dupont, V. Semkova, B. Pritychenko, A. I. Blokhin, M. Aikawa, S. Babykina, M. Bossant, G. Chen, S. Dunaeva, R. A. Forrest, T. Fukahori, N. Furutachi, S. Ganesan, Z. Ge, O. O. Gritzay, M. Herman, S. Hlavač, K. Kato, B. Lalremruata, Y. O. Lee, A. Makinaga, K. Matsumoto, M. Mikhaylyukova, G. Pikulina, V. G. Pronyaev, A. Saxena, O. Schw-

erer, S. P. Simakov, N. Soppera, R. Suzuki, S. Takács, X. Tao, S. Taova, F. Tárkányi, V. V. Varlamov, J. Wang, S. C. Yang, V. Zerkin, Y. Zhuang, [Towards a More Complete and Accu](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090375214005171)[rate Experimental Nuclear Reaction Data Library \(EXFOR\):](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090375214005171) [International Collaboration Between Nuclear Reaction Data](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090375214005171) [Centres \(NRDC\),](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090375214005171) Nuclear Data Sheets 120 (2014) 272–276. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2014.07.065](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2014.07.065).

- [35] J. Rapaport, J. J. van Loef, [Excitation Function of the Reaction](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.114.565) [Zn-64\(n, p\)Cu-64 with Neutrons of Energies between 2 and 3.6](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.114.565) [Mev,](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.114.565) Phys. Rev. 114 (2) (1959) 565–569. [doi:10.1103/PhysRev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.114.565) [114.565](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.114.565).
- [36] K. Nakai, H. Gotoh, H. Amano, [Excitation Functions of Ni58\(n,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.17.1215) [p\)Co58 and Zn64\(n, p\)Cu64 Reactions in the Energy Region](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.17.1215) [from 1.8 to 4.8 Mev,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.17.1215) Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 17 (8) (1962) 1215–1223. [doi:10.1143/JPSJ.17.1215](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.17.1215).
- [37] A. Paulsen, H. Liskien, Cross-sections for some (n, p) reactions near threshold, in: Nuclear data for reactors. Proceedings of a conference on nuclear data-microscopic cross-sections and other data basic for reactors., Paris, 1967, pp. 217–224.
- [38] D. C. Santry, J. P. Butler, [Excitation Curves for the Reactions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/p72-336) [of Fast Neutrons with Zinc,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/p72-336) Canadian Journal of Physics 50 (20) (1972) 2536–2548. [doi:10.1139/p72-336](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/p72-336).
- [39] D. L. Smith, J. W. Meadows, [Cross-Section Measurement of \(n,](https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:7243815) [p\) Reactions for 27Al, 46,47,48Ti, 58Ni, 59Co, and 64Zn from](https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:7243815) [Near Threshold to 10 MeV,](https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:7243815) Nuclear Science and Engineering 58 (3) (1975) 314–320.
- [40] C. King, C. Ai, J. Chou, Cross-section measurement for the reaction Zn-64(n,p) Cu-64, Nuclear Science, Taiwan 16 (6) (1979) 71.
- [41] H. Hussain, S. Hunt, [Absolute neutron cross section measure](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0020708X83902521)[ments in the energy range between 2 and 5 MeV,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0020708X83902521) The International Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 34 (4) (1983) 731–738. [doi:10.1016/0020-708X\(83\)90252-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-708X(83)90252-1).
- [42] Y. Ikeda, C. Konno, M. Mizumoto, K. Hasegawa, S. Chiba, Y. Yamanouchi, M. Sugimoto, Activation cross section measurement at neutron energies of 9.5, 11.0, 12.0 and 13.2 MeV using H-1(B-11,n)C-12 neutron source at JAERI, in: S. M. Qaim (Ed.), Proceedings of an International Conference, held at the Forschungszentrum Jülich, Fed. Rep. of Germany, 13-17 May 1991, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Juelich, Germany, 1990, pp. 294–296.
- [43] T. Shimizu, H. Sakane, S. Furuichi, M. Shibata, K. Kawade, H. Takeuchi, [An improved pneumatic sample transport system](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900204006205) [for measurement of activation cross-sections with d-D neutrons](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900204006205) [in the energy range between 2.1 and 3.1 MeV,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900204006205) Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 527 (3) (2004) 543–553. [doi:10.1016/j.nima.2004.03.184](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2004.03.184).
- [44] L. González, A. Trier, J. J. van Loef, [Excitation Function of](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.126.271) [the Reaction Ti-47\(n, p\)Sc-47 at Neutron Energies between](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.126.271) [2.0 and 3.6 Mev,](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.126.271) Phys. Rev. 126 (1) (1962) 271–273. [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.126.271) [10.1103/PhysRev.126.271](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.126.271).
- [45] F. Armitage, $47Ti(n,p)$ Cross-section from 2.19 To 3.64 MeV, Priv.Comm: J. Symonds (1967).
- [46] Y. Ikeda, C. Konno, K. Kosako, K. Oishi, Activation Cross Section Measurement at a Neutron Energy Range from 2.1 to 3.0 Mev by D-D Neutron Source at FNS, Japanese Report to NEANDC 155 (1990) 11.
- [47] T. Senga, H. Sakane, M. Shibata, H. Yamamoto, K. Kawade, Y. Kasugai, Y. Ikeda, H. Takeuchi, T. Furuta, Measurement of neutron activation cross sections in the energy range between 2. and 7. MeV by using a Ti-deuteron Target and a deuteron gas target, in: Proceedings of the JAERI Conference 2000, Japan, 2000, p. 5.
- [48] T. Shimizu, H. Sakane, M. Shibata, K. Kawade, T. Nishitani, [Measurements of activation cross sections of \(n, p\) and \(n,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306454903003293) α [\) reactions with d-D neutrons in the energy range of 2.1-3.1](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306454903003293) [MeV,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306454903003293) Annals of Nuclear Energy 31 (9) (2004) 975–990. [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2003.12.005) [10.1016/j.anucene.2003.12.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2003.12.005).
- [49] M. B. Chadwick, M. Herman, P. Oblozinsky, M. E. Dunn, Y. Danon, A. C. Kahler, D. L. Smith, B. Pritychenko, G. Ar-

banas, R. Arcilla, R. Brewer, D. A. Brown, R. Capote, A. D. Carlson, Y. S. Cho, H. Derrien, K. Guber, G. M. Hale, S. Hoblit, S. Holloway, T. D. Johnson, T. Kawano, B. C. Kiedrowski, H. Kim, S. Kunieda, N. M. Larson, L. Leal, J. P. Lestone, R. C. Little, E. A. McCutchan, R. E. MacFarlane, M. MacInnes, C. M. Mattoon, R. D. McKnight, S. F. Mughabghab, G. P. A. Nobre, G. Palmiotti, A. Palumbo, M. T. Pigni, V. G. Pronyaev, R. O. Sayer, A. A. Sonzogni, N. C. Summers, P. Talou, I. J. Thompson, A. Trkov, R. L. Vogt, S. C. van der Marck, A. Wallner, M. C. White, D. Wiarda, P. G. Young, [ENDF/B-VII.1 nuclear data](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S009037521100113X) [for science and technology: Cross sections, covariances, fission](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S009037521100113X) [product yields and decay data,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S009037521100113X) Nuclear Data Sheets 112 (12) (2011) 2887–2996. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2011.11.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2011.11.002).

- [50] A. J. Koning, D. Rochman, [Modern Nuclear Data Evaluation](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0090375212000889) [with the TALYS Code System,](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0090375212000889) Nuclear Data Sheets 113 (12) (2012) 2927–2934. [doi:10.1016/j.nds.2012.11.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2012.11.002).
- [51] S.-C. Wu, Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 46$, Nuclear Data Sheets 91 (1) (2000) 1–116. [doi:10.1006/ndsh.2000.0014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/ndsh.2000.0014).