# **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

**Recent Work**

**Title** Intermediate Mass Higgs Detection with SDC

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1r1187jf>

**Author** Hinchliffe, I.

**Publication Date** 1993-01-22

# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

# **Physics Division**

Presented at the Workshop on Electroweak Symmetry Breaking at Colliding Beams Facilities. Santa Cruz, CA, December 11-12, 1992, and to be published in the Proceedings

#### **Intermediate Mass Higgs Detection with SDS**

I. Hinchliffe

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

Y.

January 1993



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098

#### **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

This publication has been reproduced from the best available copy

#### **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

#### **Intermediate Mass Higgs Detection with SDC** \* t

Ian Hinchliffe

*Physics Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory 1 Cyclotmn Road Berkeley, Califomia 94720* 

#### **Abstract**

I review the work done by the SDC collaboration in simulation of Higgs detection at the SSC. I concentrate on the decays of the Higgs to two photons and to 4 charged leptons. In the former case, I discuss the signal to background ratio for events with and without an additional charged lepton.

•To appear in Proc. of the Santa Cruz Workshop on Electroweak Symmetry Breaking at Colliding Beams Facilities held at U .C. Santa Cruz, Dec. 11-12, 1992.

<sup>†</sup>This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.



# Santa Cruz, Dec 1992 Intermediate Mass Higgs at SSC with SDC

#### Ian Hinchliffe

Mass range

80 GeV  $< M_H \lesssim 160$  GeV

Two processes studied in detail

 $-H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$  *i.e. 4e* or  $4\mu$  or  $2e2\mu$ 

 $-H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  (with and without and additional lepton) Reminder of Rates and Branching ratios (fig) References: SDC-90-00151 (letter of Intent of SDC collaboration), SDC-90-00099 (IH, R.M Barnett and K. Einsweiler), SDC-90-000113, (M. Mangano), SDC-92-201 (Technical Design Report), I H and K.J. Einsweiler (in preparation),

-1-



FIG. 3-3. The cross section for the production of a Higgs boson in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 40 \text{ TeV}$ as a function of the Higgs boson mass for several different production mechanisms: gg fusion (solid),  $WW/ZZ$  fusion (dotted),  $t\bar{t} + H$  production (dot-dashed),  $W + H$  production (upper dashed), and  $Z + H$  production (lower dashed). When the cross section depends on the t-quark mass, several curves have been included for different values of  $M_{\text{top}}$ .

 $-2-$ 



**Branching ratios for Standard Model Higgs** 

Assumes té clised

-3-

### Relevant SDC resolutions

- tracking/Muons
- EM calorimeter

$$
\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{.14}{\sqrt{E_t}} \bigoplus 0.01 \text{ for } |\eta| < 1.4
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{.17}{\sqrt{E}} \bigoplus 0.01 \text{ for } 1.4 < |\eta| < 2.5
$$

 $(fig)$ 

Note  $\bigoplus$  implies addition in quadrature

Simulations use detail GEANT studies where appropriate, e.g. secondaries making hits in the tracker

 $-4-$ 



Parametrized resolution versus  $\eta$ :

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

Curves are for constant  $p_t$  of 100, 250, 1000 GeV.



Parametrized resolution versus  $\eta$ :

-6

# Isolation on leptons

Need a topological cut to get rid of backgrounds from leptons that arise from decays of bottom and charm. Select a candidate lepton from a sample of  $ZZ^*$  and  $t\bar{t}$ events.

Distribution of  $E_t$  in a cone  $\Delta R = 0.3$  around lepton. (fig)

Require that excess  $E_t < 5$  GeV. (table) 94% efficient for leptons from signal and  $t \rightarrow be \nu$ Less than 0.05% efficient for leptons of  $p_t > 20$  GeV from *b* decay.

-7-

\

Distribution of excess  $E_t$  in a cone of  $R = 0.3$  around the leptons for the  $H \to ZZ^*$  signal and the  $t\bar{t}$  background.







 $-6-$ 

Table 3-6

### Acceptance of Leptons

Detect 4 leptons

Issues are leptons with smallest  $p_t$  and largest  $\eta$ Figure shows faction of events with 2 (4) leptons having  $p_t \geq p_0$ .

2 (4) lepton figure is relevant for trigger (analysis) Curves for Higgs mass  $M=120$  (solid), 140 (dotted) and 160 (dashed), and  $\eta$  coverage to 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and  $\cdot$ 3.0 (biggest rapidity coverage has largest acceptance)

SDC requires 2 leptons above  $p_t = 20$  GeV (for trigger) and all 4 leptons above  $p_t = 10$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.5$  for analysis.

-10-



FIG. 3-15. Families of acceptance curves for  $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ , for  $M_{\text{Higgs}} = 120 \text{ GeV}$  (solid), 140 GeV (dotted), and 160 GeV (dashed). (a) The fraction of events with at least two leptons with  $p_t > p_0$  as a function of  $p_0$ . Both leptons have  $|\eta|$  < 1.5 (lower curve), 2.0 (lower middle curve), 2.5 (upper middle curve), or 3.0 (upper curve). (b) The fraction of events containing two leptons with  $p_t > 20$  GeV/c and  $|\eta| < 2.5$  plus two others with  $p_t > p_0$  and  $|\eta| < 1.5$  (lower curve), 2.0 (lower middle curve), 2.5 (upper middle curve), or  $3.0$  (upper curve).

 $-11-$ 

#### Backgrounds to 4£ modes

- Background from  $ZZ$  (or  $ZZ^*$ ) independent of . detector.
- Background from real leptons from quark decays  $Z + t\overline{t}$ ,  $Z + b\overline{b}$  and  $t\overline{t}$ ).
- · Background from fake leptons detector depen-, dent.

Require that one pair of leptons reconstructs to  $M_Z \pm 5$ GeV.

Background from  $Z + b\bar{b}$ ,  $t\bar{t}$  *etc.* must be controlled by requiring isolated leptons. Probably OK at even  $10^{34}$ luminosity.

-12-

# Mass resolution

For mass of 140 GeV,  $4\mu$  resolution is 0.8 GeV.

Calorimeter resolution is 1.9 GeV· in 4e channel.

Tracking resolution of 4e is worse than  $4\mu$  due to material in. tracker.

 $GEANT$  simulation  $-$ 

(figure on Next page)

Use calorimeter alone to measure electron energies in this analysis

-13-

Resulting signal and background.



.•

*Physics and detector requirements* 





FIG. 3-18. The reconstructed Higgs mass for  $ZZ^*$  decaying to 4e, 4 $\mu$ , and 2e2 $\mu$  with  $M_{\text{Higgs}} =$ 120, 130, 140, 150, 160, and 170 GeV, including the expected backgrounds. The backgrounds curves are cumulative, and are (from lowest to highest):  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ^*$ , multiplied by 1.65 to account for  $gg \to ZZ^*$ ,  $Z + b\overline{b}$ ,  $Z + t\overline{t}$ , and  $t\overline{t}$ . The invariant mass has been calculated using calorimeter measurements for the electrons:

-15-

/

# **Comments**

- $\bullet$  Becomes less useful at  $M_H$  falls because
	- Branching ratio is smaller
	- $-$  <  $p_t$  > of leptons falls loss of efficiency
- · Signal/Background ratio improves dramatically at  $m_H > 2M_Z$  since there are then two Z mass constraints.

## Photon Pair Final state

- $\circ$  Background from  $q\overline{q}$  (or  $gg$ )  $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- Need good resolution  $\Delta E/E\leq 1\%$
- Need vertex to 5 mm
- o Background from  $jet-jet$ ,  $jet -\gamma$
- $\sim$  10<sup>4</sup> rejection per jet needed
- Difficult to simulate; may be OK (LEP/CDF data)

Plot shows signals at 80, 100,120,140,160 GeV. (plot) Angular resolution assumed to be 1 mr. Fit to smooth background and subtract Peak is  $5\sigma$  at 140 GeV (plot)

8

Additional lepton reduces background.

-17-



Signal for  $M = 140$  GeV has significance of  $5\sigma$ , ignoring systematic errors arising from· background subtraction. There are several hundred events in the peak.

# $H(\rightarrow \gamma \gamma) + W(\rightarrow \ell \nu)$ --

From  $q\rightarrow WH$  and  $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$  (fig)

Background less severe.

Lepton provides vertex so that angular resolution is straightforward

# Backgrounds to  $\gamma \gamma \ell$

Photons can be produced directly or radiated in decay of W,Z or t.

 $W + \gamma$ ,  $W \rightarrow e\nu\gamma$  $W+\gamma+\gamma$ <sup>.</sup>  $Z + \gamma$ ,  $Z \rightarrow e\nu\gamma$  $t+\overline{t}+\gamma+\gamma$ ,  $t\rightarrow e+X$  $t + \overline{t} + \gamma$ ,  $t\overline{t} \rightarrow e + \gamma + X$  $t + \overline{t}$ ,  $t\overline{t} \rightarrow e + \gamma + \gamma + X$  $b+\overline{b}+\gamma+\gamma$ ,  $b\rightarrow e+X$ Cuts needed to reduce the backgrounds.  $M_{\ell\gamma} > 40 GeV$  reduces  $W \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$ Leptons and photons isolated

 $-20-$ 

### Tracking inefficiency

e looks like  $\gamma$ . Main problem is  $Z \rightarrow e\overline{e}$  looking like  $e\gamma$ . Estimate 0.1% probability. Small rate and peak is at  $M_{Z}$ .

#### External Bremsstrahlung

CDF says that external and internal bremsstrahlung for *z* and *W* decays are roughly equal. Scale to the 13% radiation length of SOC, external is 5 times internal. Lepton remaining after bremsstrahlung must go undetected, *i.e.* have  $p_t < 1$  GeV or  $|\eta| > 2.5$ . Hence external brem. is 1.3 times internal brem. for Z decay (1.1 for W)

 $-21-$ 

## Jet/gamma fakes

Must consider all of the above backgrounds where a  $\gamma$ is replaced by a jet and the jet then fakes a  $\gamma$ .  $W \rightarrow jets$  will produce a peak around 80 GeV. Detector simulation+PYTHIA implies sigma of 6 GeV Assume a jet/gamma rejection factor of  $10^{-3}$  these background are negligible compared to real photons

 $-22-$ 



 $-23-$ 

# Signal

Require photons and lepton to satisfy  $p_t > 20$  GeV and  $|\eta|$  < 2.5

Leptons and photons isolated (<10 GeV in  $\Delta R = 0.3$ ), 93% (73%) efficient for  $W + H (t\bar{t} + H)$  events  $M_{\ell\gamma}$  > 40 GeV for both photons, 15% (25%) loss for  $W + H t\bar{t} + H$  events.

Signal/Background shown on figure

Note plot is for  $M_{top} = 150 GeV$ .

 $M_{top}$  between 100 and 200 gives same rate to  $\pm 15\%$ Background is 3 times bigger (smaller) for  $M_{top} = 100$ (200) GeV

 $-24-$ 



 $-25-$ 

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$ 

 $\sim$