

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Disturbed temporal dynamics of episodic retrieval activity with preserved spatial activity pattern in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: A simultaneous EEG-fMRI study

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1pk5c00w>

Authors

Shu, Hao
Gu, Lihua
Yang, Ping
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102572

Peer reviewed



Disturbed temporal dynamics of episodic retrieval activity with preserved spatial activity pattern in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: A simultaneous EEG-fMRI study

Hao Shu^{a,1}, Lihua Gu^{a,1}, Ping Yang^{d,1}, Molly V. Lucas^{f,8}, Lijuan Gao^a, Hongxing Zhang^c, Haisan Zhang^c, Zhan Xu^h, Wei Wu^{e,f,g,*}, Ling Li^{b,*}, Zhijun Zhang^{a,b,c,*}

^a Department of Neurology, Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital, School of Medicine, Neuropsychiatric Institute, The Key Laboratory of Developmental Genes and Human Disease, Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210009, China

^b Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, High-Field Magnetic Resonance Brain Imaging Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Center for Information in Medicine, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China

^c Department of Psychiatry, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xingxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan 453002, China

^d Institute for Brain Research and Rehabilitation, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China

^e School of Automation Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China

^f Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences and Wu Tsai Neuroscience Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

^g Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Healthcare System, and the Sierra Pacific Mental Illness, Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC), Palo Alto, CA 94394, USA

^h Imaging Physics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Amnesic mild cognitive impairment
Episodic memory
Familiarity
Recollection
Retrieval
Simultaneous EEG-fMRI

ABSTRACT

Episodic memory (EM) deficit is the core cognitive dysfunction of amnesic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI). However, the episodic retrieval pattern detected by functional MRI (fMRI) appears preserved in aMCI subjects. To address this discrepancy, simultaneous electroencephalography (EEG)-fMRI recording was employed to determine whether temporal dynamics of brain episodic retrieval activity were disturbed in patients with aMCI. Twenty-six aMCI and 29 healthy control (HC) subjects completed a word-list memory retrieval task during simultaneous EEG-fMRI. The retrieval success activation pattern was detected with fMRI analysis, and the familiarity- and recollection-related components of episodic retrieval activity were identified using event-related potential (ERP) analysis. The fMRI-constrained ERP analysis explored the temporal dynamics of brain activity in the retrieval success pattern, and the ERP-informed fMRI analysis detected fMRI correlates of the ERP components related to familiarity and recollection processes. The two groups exhibited similar retrieval success patterns in the bilateral posteromedial parietal cortex, the left inferior parietal lobule (IPL), and the left lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC). The fMRI-constrained ERP analysis showed that the aMCI group did not exhibit old/new effects in the IPL and LPFC that were observed in the HC group. In addition, the aMCI group showed disturbed fMRI correlate of ERP recollection component that was associated with inferior EM performance. Therefore, in this study, we identified disturbed temporal dynamics in episodic retrieval activity with a preserved spatial activity pattern in aMCI. Taken together, the simultaneous EEG-fMRI technique demonstrated the potential to identify individuals with a high risk of cognitive deterioration.

* Corresponding authors at: Department of Neurology, Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital, School of Medicine, Neuropsychiatric Institute, The Key Laboratory of Developmental Genes and Human Disease, Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210009, China (Z. Zhang). Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, High-Field Magnetic Resonance Brain Imaging Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Center for Information in Medicine, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China (L. Li). School of Automation Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China (W. Wu).

E-mail addresses: weiwunero@gmail.com (W. Wu), liling@uestc.edu.cn (L. Li), janemengzhang@vip.163.com (Z. Zhang).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102572>

Received 25 October 2020; Received in revised form 31 December 2020; Accepted 16 January 2021

Available online 26 January 2021

2213-1582/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Episodic memory (EM) refers to the ability to recall past personal experiences with their associated spatiotemporal contexts. Alzheimer's disease (AD) remains the most common type of dementia in the elderly population. Impairments in EM emerge as the earliest cognitive dysfunction of AD and correlate closely with disease progression (Dubois et al., 2014; McKhann et al., 2011). EM deficits are associated with abnormalities of established AD biomarkers, including β -amyloid or tau protein deposition in the medial temporal lobe (MTL) (Brier et al., 2016), reduced entorhinal volume (Rodríguez and Raz, 2004), and cortical hypometabolism (Nishi et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the neural mechanism underlying EM deficits remains unclear, which impedes early identification of individuals who are at high risk of cognitive deterioration.

Successful episodic retrieval acts as a prerequisite for recalling events, as an event recall is comprised of a familiarity process followed by a recollection process; both processes occur on the scale of hundreds of milliseconds (ms) (Rugg and Curran, 2007). The MTL—the hippocampus and its adjacent entorhinal, perirhinal, and parahippocampal cortices—has been recognized as a key brain region supporting episodic retrieval for decades (Dickerson and Eichenbaum, 2010). In addition, recent functional MRI (fMRI) studies have identified neocortical regions associated with successful retrieval by comparing differences in brain activity during the correct identification of familiar items versus unfamiliar items (Konishi et al., 2000). These regions include the medial parietal cortex, the inferior parietal lobule (IPL), and the lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC), and have been identified as the retrieval success pattern (Spaniol et al., 2009). Intriguingly, the retrieval success pattern is largely preserved in amnesic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) cohorts, rather than being disrupted along with the behavioral EM impairment (Nellessen et al., 2015). One interpretation of this discrepancy is that the preserved activity pattern reflects a compensatory process against pathogenesis in aMCI (Clement et al., 2010). Another possibility is that the limited temporal resolution of the fMRI technique is insufficient to reflect cognitive processes during episodic retrieval (Koen and Yonelinas, 2014; Nyhus, 2018). When compared to fMRI, the electroencephalography (EEG) technique serves as an ideal tool to detect the temporal dynamics of episodic retrieval. Particularly, an event-related potential (ERP) old/new effect, as identified by more positive-going ERPs when correctly identifying old stimuli relative to new stimuli, was applied to characterize psychophysiological processes during episodic retrieval. In general, an early mid-frontal ERP old/new effect, which peaks around 400 ms, is linked to the familiarity process, whereas a late parietal ERP old/new effect, which peaks around 600 ms, is related to the recollection process (Woodruff et al., 2006). Given the low spatial resolution of the EEG technique, identifying neural sources of the observed scalp potentials as described above remains a challenge. Therefore, by using the fMRI or the EEG techniques alone, only part of the neural underpinnings of the retrieval success will be revealed, as each tool has limitations when addressing the questions of whether and how changes in brain activity occur when episodic retrieval is impaired in aMCI (Vilberg and Rugg, 2008).

Notably, simultaneous EEG-fMRI recording allows for both high-resolution temporal dynamics and spatial localization (Jorge et al., 2014). Relative to obtaining EEG and fMRI data collected separately, simultaneous EEG-fMRI recording unifies data acquisition and behavioral performance. Here, we applied concurrent EEG and fMRI recording during an episodic retrieval task in both aMCI patients and healthy controls. In addition to conventional ERP and fMRI analyses, fMRI-constrained ERPs analysis and single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis were conducted. Specifically, conventional fMRI analysis was used to identify the spatial retrieval success pattern. Within these regions, fMRI-constrained ERPs analysis was used to examine the temporal dynamics of the retrieval success pattern. Moreover, conventional ERP analysis was employed to identify familiarity- and recollection-related old/new

effects on an episodic retrieval task. In the ERP-informed fMRI analysis, we explored brain regions where the fMRI activities were modulated by these effects. Taken together, in this study, the simultaneous EEG-fMRI recording technique was applied to investigate neural mechanisms underlying episodic retrieval in cognitively normal elderly and aMCI cohorts. This technique will advance the current understanding of EM deficits in aMCI and facilitate identifying individuals who are at high risk of cognitive deterioration.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Subjects

A total of sixty-four subjects were recruited from community health screenings. All subjects were right-handed, aged between 50 and 80 years, and underwent a three-step inclusion process (detailed in the **Supplemental Material S1**). Nine subjects were excluded due to excessive motion artifacts (i.e., during the fMRI scan, head motion exceeded either 2 mm of the maximum displacement in any direction or 2° of angular motion) or incomplete data acquisition. The remaining 55 subjects, comprised of 26 aMCI and 29 healthy control (HC) subjects, were included in the final analysis. This study was approved by the Human Participants Ethics Committee of the Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital, Southeast University and Henan Mental Hospital, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, China. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Clinical evaluation

Global cognitive function was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale-2 (MDRS-2). Moreover, a neuropsychological battery was used, which covered EM, visuospatial function, information processing speed, and executive function. Details of the neuropsychological tests are provided in **Supplemental Material S1**.

2.3. Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria


The inclusion criteria for aMCI subjects were as follows: 1) subjective memory complaints; 2) objective memory impairment measured at 1.5 standard deviations (SD) below the age-adjusted norms in the Auditory Verbal Learning Test 20-minute delayed recall score; 3) minimal or absent impairments in global cognition or daily activities: MMSE score ≥ 24 , MDRS-2 score ≥ 120 , and activities of daily living score ≤ 25 , and 4) the absence of dementia. All HC subjects presented MMSE scores ≥ 26 .

In this study, the following exclusion criteria were applied: 1) any history of neurological or psychiatric diseases; 2) major medical illness or severe visual or hearing loss that interfered with cognitive evaluation; 3) current use of psychoactive medications such as neuroleptics, antidepressants, anxiolytics, or other medications; 4) contraindications to the MRI scan, and 5) gross brain structural abnormality as revealed by MRI.

2.4. Evaluation procedure and stimuli

The episodic retrieval paradigm included a study phase (40 trials, approximately 3.1 min), followed by a retrieval phase (80 trials, approximately 7.5 min). These two phases were separated by a distracter task in which the subjects were asked to silently repeat the numbers “one, two, three” for approximately two minutes (Fig. 1A). In the study phase, 40 different nouns, consisting of two Chinese characters were presented, one at a time. These involved nouns that were used with high frequency in speech as defined by the Frequency Dictionary of Modern Chinese. In the retrieval phase, the 40 studied, as well as the 40 unstudied nouns, were presented in a pseudo-randomized order. No

A Task design



B Example trails




Fig. 1. Experimental design of the verbal retrieval task. This task included four phases as listed in (A) in temporal order: a resting phase lasting two minutes, a study phase that included 40 nouns, a distracter task lasting two minutes, and a retrieval phase that included 80 nouns. (B) provides examples of the trials of the study phase (upper row) and retrieval phase (lower row), respectively.

significant difference was observed between the frequencies of the studied and unstudied words presented ($t_{78} = -0.075$, $p = 0.940$). The stimuli were displayed in a white color against a black background using the E-Prime 2.0 software (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). All stimuli were presented in the central vision via MR-compatible goggles (Resonance Technology, Inc., Northridge, CA, USA). Example trials are illustrated in Fig. 1B and in Supplemental Material S2.

2.5. Simultaneous EEG-fMRI data acquisition

In this study, fMRI data were acquired using a Siemens Verio 3.0 Tesla scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a standard 12-channel head coil. A gradient echo planar imaging sequence was used with the following parameters: 34 axial slices, repetition time (TR) = 2700 ms, echo time (TE) = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, field of view (FoV) = 220 × 220 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, and thickness = 3.4 mm. The acquired voxel dimension was 3.4 × 3.4 × 3.4 mm.

EEG data were acquired with an MR-compatible EEG amplifier (BrainAMP MR, Brain Products, Munich, Germany) at a sampling rate of 5,000 Hz, using 64 electrodes in the extended 10–20 montage, plus one extra electrocardiogram electrode. The 64 electrodes included two electrodes monitoring eye movement, thus the remaining 62 electrodes were used for further analysis. An experienced specialist (L.G.)

positioned the EEG caps on the subjects. To ensure valid standard positions, the electrode Cz was placed halfway between the nasion and theinion, and was right-left-centered. The reference was set at the mid-frontal position FCz, and the impedances were kept below 10 kΩ. The data were transmitted via fiber optics outside the scanner room. To facilitate the removal of MR-induced artifacts from the EEG data, the sampling clocks of the EEG and MRI systems were synchronized by the Sync box (Brain Products, Munich, Germany).

2.6. Data processing and analysis

2.6.1. Behavioral data analysis

For behavioral data analysis, a total of 4 conditions were identified given each subject's response in the retrieval trials, including hit ("old" response to an old word), miss ("new" response to an old word), correct rejection (CR, "new" response to a new word), and false alarm (FA, "old" response to a new word). Subsequently, a discrimination index, d' -prime (d'), was calculated as the Z-score of the hit rate minus the Z-score of the false alarm rate. Independent two-sample t -tests were employed to compare the hit number, CR number, and the d' values between HC and aMCI groups. For the demographic and neuropsychological data, independent two-sample t -tests and Chi-square tests were used to compare quantitative and qualitative variables, respectively. The significance threshold was set at $p < 0.05$.

2.6.2. fMRI data preprocessing and analysis

In this study, fMRI data were preprocessed using the Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) software (<https://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni>). The preprocessing pipeline included despiking (3dDespike, AFNI), slice timing and motion correction (3dvolreg, AFNI), aligning functional data to structural data and spatial normalization to the Talairach space (3dAline, AFNI), and smoothness with a 6-mm Gaussian kernel (3dmerge, AFNI).

The BOLD functional response to the four conditions was estimated by the general linear model (GLM) (3dDeconvolve, AFNI). The design matrix contained three types of regressors. First, the hemodynamic response to the onset of each condition was modeled by the canonical hemodynamic response function with the time and dispersion derivatives. Second, six motion parameters, including three translational and three rotational movements, and signals from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and white matter (WM), were included as regressors of no interest. Third, baseline detrending was conducted at a polynomial degree of 4, given the 496 s of scanning time. The contrast maps of hit versus baseline, CR versus baseline, and hit versus CR were created at individual subject levels. Then, the effects of hit, CR, and hit relative to CR were identified by one-sample t -tests in HC and aMCI groups, respectively. The differences in these effects were estimated by two-sample t -tests between groups, controlling the effects of age, gender, and education years (3dttest++, AFNI). For group-level analysis, a voxel-wise threshold of $p < 0.005$ with 15 contiguous voxels was used. Thus, the contrast map of hit versus CR represented the retrieval success pattern in this study.

2.6.3. EEG data preprocessing and ERP analysis

EEG data were preprocessed using the BrainVision Analyzer software 2.0 (Brain Products GmbH, Munich, Germany), and the preprocessing pipeline included the following steps: 1) removal of MR-induced artifacts from the raw EEG signal with the *All Scanned Intervals for Average* approach (Allen et al., 1998); 2) down-sampling the EEG data to 250 Hz; 3) removal of cardioballistic artifacts by restricted infomax independent component analysis (ICA) based correction; 4) visually identifying and spherically interpolating noisy channels; 5) re-referencing to the average of all scalp electrodes; 6) bandpass filtering from 0.5 Hz (Hz) to 30 Hz; 7) ICA-based ocular correction; 8) inspecting raw data for the removal of waveforms with an amplitude greater than + 100 μV or less than -100 μV; 9) editing markers to reject trails with incorrect

responses, forming epochs from 100 ms of pre-stimulus to 1600 ms of post-stimulus for hit and CR trials, respectively; 10) correcting the baseline using the waveform before the stimulus onset, and 11) averaging waveforms across trials. The “stimulus” at steps 9 and 10 referred to the word shown on the screen but not the button-press response indicating an old or new word.

The components of interest ranged from 350 to 550 ms for the early old/new effect at electrode FCz and from 580 to 750 ms for the late old/new effect at electrodes P2 and P4, which was in accordance with the grand average ERP waveforms, and was consistent with a simultaneous EEG-fMRI study using the word-list retrieval task (Hoppstadter et al., 2015). To determine if the ERP component had a significant effect, repeated-measures analysis of covariance (RMANCOVA) were used with post hoc simple main effect analysis. Specifically, with regard to the ERP data at FCz, analysis was performed using the stimuli (hit versus CR) as the within-subject factors and the groups (NC versus aMCI) as the between-subject factors. With respect to the ERP data at P2 and P4, analysis was performed using the stimuli (hit versus CR) and electrodes (P2 versus P4) as the within-subject factors, and the groups (NC versus aMCI) as the between-subject factors. Age, gender, years of education were included as covariates. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. As presented in a previous study, no significant early old/new effects were observed among the frontal electrodes. The mean amplitude at electrode FCz was calculated to inform the fMRI analysis, which was consistent with the data presented in a previous study (Hoppstadter et al., 2015). Our data indicated significant late old/new effects at electrodes P2 and P4 among the parietal electrodes. Therefore, the mean amplitudes were used at the two electrodes to inform the fMRI analysis. The mean amplitudes of these components were extracted for the hit and CR conditions, which acted as amplitude modulators in the subsequent single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis. In addition, we calculated the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) to evaluate the ERP signal quality. The method and result are detailed in **Supplemental Material S3**.

2.6.4. fMRI-constrained ERP analysis

For each group, the fMRI-constrained ERP analysis was performed. In brief, source localization analysis was conducted to calculate the source waveforms across the whole brain using Brainstorm 3.0 software (<http://neuroimage.usc.edu/brainstorm>). The head model was computed using the OpenMEEG Boundary Element Method on the cortical surface of a standard 3D brain model, which was modeled as a three-dimensional grid of 15,002 elementary current dipoles based on the standard ICBM152 brain template (Yang et al., 2017a, 2017b). Subsequently, for each subject, the noise covariance matrix was calculated on the EEG baseline period (-100 ms to stimulus onset). The cortical current maps were computed from the averaged ERP time series using the weighted minimum norm estimate (wMNE) inverse solution for the hit and CR conditions, respectively. Furthermore, brain regions showing significant BOLD activation in the hit versus the CR contrast, which were informed by fMRI results, were used as the regional sources to obtain the source waveforms for each condition. The group-wise source waveforms for the hit and CR conditions (old/new effect) are presented in **Fig. 4**.

2.6.5. Single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis

Single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis was performed separately for the early and late old/new effects. In brief, mean amplitudes of the single-trial EEG data within a 350 to 550 ms time window at electrode FCz were extracted as the early old/new effect (familiarity component), and mean amplitudes of the single-trial EEG data at the P2 and P4 electrodes within the 580 to 750 ms time window were extracted as the late old/new effect (recollection component). In order to identify the brain region whose activation dynamic covaries with the EEG familiarity or recollection components, we extracted the single-trials EEG amplitudes during the corresponding time range, split them into hit and CR conditions, and added them to fMRI GLM as amplitude modulators (AM)

of hit and CR regressors. Specifically, in the single-subject analysis, the BOLD time series was estimated by the GLM with following regressors: 1) the hemodynamic response to the onset of hit, miss, CR, and FA, as well as the single-trials EEG AM of hit and CR; 2) six motion parameters, including three translational and three rotational movements, and CSF and WM signals, and 3) baseline detrending regressor with a polynomial degree of 4. For each subject, the contrasts (hit AM versus baseline, CR AM versus baseline, and hit AM versus CR AM) were calculated. Then, in the group analysis, within-group patterns of these contrasts were identified. The between-group differences of the contrasts were demonstrated by the two-sample *t*-tests, controlling the effects of age, gender, and education years (3dtttest++, AFNI).

To explore behavioral significance of the altered fMRI correlates of ERP components between groups, we extracted the parameter estimates of the brain regions that were significantly different between the two groups. Then, we correlated the extracted parameter estimates with the memory scores in neuropsychological tests, as well as with the hit rate and the corrected recognition rate (i.e., hit rate minus false alarm rate) in the episodic retrieval task, controlling the effects of group, age, gender, and education years. All statistical procedures employed the SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was applied with the significance threshold considered at $p < 0.017$ ($p = 0.05/3$ memory performances).

3. Results

3.1. Subjects characteristics

As illustrated in **Table 1**, the two groups were matched for age and gender distribution, however, significant difference was observed in education years ($t_{53} = 2.98, p < 0.01$). As expected, the aMCI group exhibited significantly lower neuropsychological scores in the MMSE, MDRS-2, and EM tests. The two groups showed no significant difference in hit numbers, CR numbers, and d' index values in the task during the EEG-fMRI experimental recordings. Notably, the aMCI group showed a trend toward lower CR numbers when compared to the HC group. This trend should not bias the subsequent fMRI and ERP analyses since these analyses were performed on correct judgments (hits and CRs) during the

Table 1

Comparison of Demographic and Cognitive Data Between the HC and aMCI Groups.

| | HC (n = 29) | aMCI (n = 26) | p value |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Demographic information | | | |
| Age, mean (SD), years | 60.48 (6.22) | 62.35 (6.34) | 0.27 |
| Male, No. (%) | 11 (37.93) | 10 (38.46) | 0.96 |
| Education, mean (SD), years | 10.95 (1.78) | 9.58 (1.60) | 0.00* |
| Neuropsychological scores | | | |
| MMSE, mean (SD) | 28.90 (1.01) | 27.38 (1.53) | 0.00* |
| MDRS-2, mean (SD) | 139.79 (1.93) | 136.50 (3.33) | 0.00* |
| AVLT-20-min DR, mean (SD) | 6.72 (1.71) | 2.50 (1.03) | 0.00* |
| LMT-20-min DR, mean (SD) | 3.07 (1.49) | 1.38 (1.17) | 0.00* |
| CFT-20-min DR, mean (SD) | 18.78 (5.67) | 11.04 (5.57) | 0.00* |
| Composite memory z-score, mean (SD) | 0.60 (0.51) | -0.69 (0.44) | 0.00* |
| Performances in the verbal memory task | | | |
| Hits, mean (SD) | 26.83 (6.74) | 26.69 (7.21) | 0.94 |
| Correct rejection, mean (SD) | 29.17 (6.86) | 25.50 (8.43) | 0.08 |
| d' , mean (SD) | 1.17 (0.80) | 0.93 (0.84) | 0.29 |

The *p* values were obtained by independent two-sample *t*-tests for quantitative data, or by the chi-squared test for qualitative data. The d' was calculated using the Z-score of the hit rate minus the Z-score of the false alarm rate.

* indicates significant differences between groups.

Abbreviations: HC, healthy control; aMCI, amnesic mild cognitive impairment; d' , d-prime; SD, standard deviation; No., number; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MDRS-2, Mattis Dementia Rating Scale-2; AVLT-20-min DR, auditory verbal learning test-20-minute delayed recall; LMT-20-min DR, logical memory test-20-minute delayed recall; CFT-20-min DR, Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure test-20-minute delayed recall.

task.

3.2. Brain activity patterns during the task

The fMRI-only analysis showed significant activation for contrasts of hit versus baseline, CR versus baseline, and hit versus CR, in HC and aMCI groups. First, in the HC group within the hit versus baseline contrast, significant activation was observed in the bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), the bilateral posterior medial frontal cortex (pmFC), the bilateral inferior temporal gyrus, and the IPL (Fig. 2A). Moreover, in the aMCI group, significant activation was observed in the bilateral pmFC, the left IPL, the right premotor cortex (PMC), and the right insula (Fig. 2D). Second, in the CR versus baseline contrast, activation primarily occurred in the bilateral pmFC, the left IPL, and the left superior parietal lobule in both HC and aMCI groups (Fig. 2B and 2E). Third, in the hit versus the CR contrast, also named the retrieval success pattern, significant activation was observed in the bilateral precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex (Pcu/PCC), the left IPL, and the left PMC in both HC and aMCI groups. In addition, activation was observed in the left DLPFC and the left insula/inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) of subjects in the HC group and in the left LPFC and bilateral medial prefrontal cortex of subjects in the aMCI group (Fig. 2C and 2F). The between-group comparison demonstrated that the aMCI group showed significantly decreased activity in the left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) relative to the HC group (Fig. 5A).

3.3. ERP components in retrieval-related time windows

The ERP-only analysis was performed to identify ERP components during the retrieval-related time windows (Fig. 3). No significant main

effects of stimuli (hit versus CR) and group (HC versus aMCI) was observed on the mean amplitudes of the early mid-frontal component at FCz [stimuli: $F(1, 53) = 0.830, p = 0.367$; group: $F(1, 53) = 3.341, p = 0.074$]. In addition, after Bonferroni *post-hoc* analysis, no significant differences were observed between hits and CRs, in either HC or aMCI groups (HC: $p = 0.218$; aMCI: $p = 0.611$). In contrast, significant main effects of stimuli (hit versus CR) and groups (HC versus aMCI) were observed in mean amplitudes of the late parietal component [stimuli: $F(1, 53) = 4.149, p = 0.048$; group: $F(1, 53) = 4.411, p = 0.041$]. Bonferroni *post-hoc* analysis identified a significant difference between both hits and CRs (hits greater than CRs) at P2 and P4 in the HC group (P2: $p = 0.028$; P4: $p = 0.011$), whereas no significant differences were observed between the hits and CRs in the aMCI group (P2: $p = 0.573$; P4: $p = 0.112$).

3.4. Source waveforms for regions with retrieval success

Using fMRI-constrained ERP analysis the source waveforms for the hit and CR conditions was estimated in each active region of the retrieval success pattern (Fig. 4 and Table S1). Although the two groups exhibited similar retrieval success patterns, our data showed divergent and convergent temporal dynamics between HC and aMCI groups. First, in the left IPL, retrieval success effects were observed in both HC and aMCI groups, and an ERP old/new effect was observed in the time window from 452 to 876 ms in the HC group but not in the aMCI group. Second, in the LPFC, the old/new effect after 800 ms was evident in the HC group (left DLPFC and left insula/IFG). In the same post-800 ms time window, there was an old/new effect in MPFC in the aMCI group. Third, both HC and aMCI groups showed old/new effects with similar time windows in the Pcu and the PMC.




Fig. 2. fMRI-only analysis reveals brain activity patterns during the verbal retrieval task in the HC group (A-C) and the aMCI group (D-F). Brain activation patterns for hits relative to the baseline in the HC group (A) and the aMCI group (D). Brain activation patterns for correct rejections relative to the baseline in the HC group (B) and the aMCI group (E). The retrieval success effect as revealed by the contrast hits versus the correct rejections in the HC (C) and the aMCI (F) groups. A warm color indicates brain activity during the task ($p < 0.005$, cluster size > 15 voxels). Abbreviations: fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; HC, healthy control; aMCI, amnesic mild cognitive impairment; CR, correct rejection.




Fig. 3. ERP-only analysis reveals ERP waveforms and topographic maps in the hit and correct rejection conditions. The ERP waveforms at electrode FCz were obtained to explore brain activity within an early time window from 350 to 550 ms in the HC group (A) and the aMCI group (E). ERP waveforms at electrodes P2 and P4 were collected to explore brain activities within a late time window from 580 to 750 ms in HC group (B) and aMCI group (F). Topographic maps for the contrast of hits versus correct rejections are depicted for early (C and G) and late (D and H) time windows in the two groups. The time windows correspond to the blue rectangles in the waveforms. Abbreviations: HC, healthy control; aMCI, amnesic mild cognitive impairment; ERP, event-related potential; HC, healthy control; aMCI, amnesic mild cognitive impairment. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3.5. Between-group differences by ERP-informed fMRI analyses

In the hit versus CR contrast, the aMCI group showed significantly decreased BOLD activity in the left VLPFC when compared to the HC group, as demonstrated by the fMRI-only analysis (Fig. 5A and Table S2). Furthermore, the single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis demonstrated between-group differences in the fMRI correlates of ERP familiarity and recollection components. In the familiarity component, the aMCI group exhibited a decreased fMRI correlate in the Pcu relative to the HC group (Fig. 5B and Table S2). In the recollection component, the aMCI group showed a decreased fMRI correlate in the left hippocampus (Fig. 5C and Table S2) and an increased fMRI correlate in the bilateral LPFC (Fig. 5D, 5E, and Table S2). Moreover, greater fMRI correlate of ERP recollection component in the left LPFC (Fig. 5E) was associated with lower composite memory z -score in neuropsychological test ($R^2 = 0.14$, $p = 0.007$).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this was the first study in which a simultaneous EEG-fMRI technique was employed to explore the spatiotemporal characterization of brain episodic retrieval activity in control subjects compared to aMCI patients. In our study, the fMRI-only analysis validated the spatial pattern of retrieval success that has been reported in previous studies. Based on this spatial pattern, the fMRI-constrained ERP analysis identified distinct temporal dynamics underlying similar retrieval success patterns between the two groups. In addition, the aMCI group presented disturbed fMRI correlate of ERP recollection component that was associated with inferior EM performance. Taken together, our findings provide novel insights into changes in the neural circuitry of episodic retrieval in aMCI subjects with a high spatiotemporal resolution.

In our study, fMRI-only analysis identified the retrieval success patterns in the bilateral Pcu/PCC, the left IPL, and the left lateral frontal cortex in both aMCI and HC subjects. In addition, the aMCI group

presented a decreased brain activity in the left VLPFC relative to the HC group. These findings were in agreement with prevailing data regarding the neural substrates of episodic retrieval and the alteration thereof in aMCI subjects (Nellessen et al., 2015). This validation highlights the quality of our study samples, even though our sample size was relatively small. The identified retrieval success pattern provides a framework to understand the neural mechanism of episodic retrieval. For example, the IPL is assumed to support the recall of item details; the Pcu/PCC is linked to decision-related retrieval processes, and the prefrontal cortex is thought to be involved in the top-down control of memory retrieval, such as post-retrieval monitoring and evaluation (Spaniol et al., 2009). The decreased activation in the left lateral prefrontal area in the aMCI group indicate impaired cognitive control on episodic memory retrieval in aMCI individuals, which has been demonstrated previously (Wang et al., 2016). This result corresponds to the observation that aMCI subjects also showed inferior executive function in neuropsychological tests. Notably, the episodic retrieval pattern identified in this study was mainly located in the left-lateralized brain regions, and was likely attributed to verbal processing. Therefore, our fMRI-only analysis identified a retrieval success pattern that validates the current literature and provides a spatial basis for fMRI-constrained ERP analysis.

The ERP-only analysis identified a late parietal old/new effect, however, no significant frontal old/new effects were observed. This is not unexpected given the following factors: first, in several studies, it was demonstrated that elderly adults, when compared to young adults, exhibit diminished frontal old/new effect but preserved parietal old/new effect (Duarte et al., 2006; Wolk et al., 2009). These findings suggested aging as a major factor in reorganizing the ERP correlates of episodic retrieval. Moreover, emerging studies identified a parietal old/new effect in the right electrodes (as was the case in our study), thereby implying that elderly individuals utilized visual stimuli features, rather than conceptual features while performing episodic retrieval (Angel et al., 2010, 2009). Second, education level, in conjunction with age, may impact the ERP correlates of episodic retrieval. Elderly subjects with a low education level failed to exhibit a frontal old/new effect, but




Fig. 4. fMRI-constrained ERP analysis identified the source waveforms of the regional sources for retrieval success in the HC group (A) and the aMCI group (B). Although the retrieval success patterns were similar between groups (top row), the regional source waveforms related to the retrieval success pattern were different between groups. Red waveforms indicate hit conditions, while black waveforms indicate correct rejection conditions. Abbreviations: L, left; R, right; IPL, inferior parietal lobule; Pcu, precuneus; PMC, premotor cortex; DLPFC, dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex; LPFC, lateral prefrontal cortex; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; INS, insula; CRs, correct rejections. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 5. Between-group differences of the contrast hits versus correct rejections obtained by fMRI-only analysis (A) and by single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis for familiarity (B) and recollection (C, D, and E) components. (A) shows that in the fMRI-only analysis, the aMCI group showed decreased activity in the left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex when compared to the HC group (voxel-wise $p < 0.005$, cluster size > 15 , same below). With regard to the ERP-informed fMRI analysis, the aMCI group showed decreased activity in the Pcu that was related to the ERP familiarity component (B); they exhibited decreased activity in the hippocampus (C), and increased activity in the right (D) and left (E) LPFC related to the ERP recollection component. Moreover, greater fMRI correlate of ERP recollection component in the left lateral prefrontal cortex was associated with inferior episodic memory score in all subjects. Abbreviations: fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; HC, healthy control; aMCI, amnesic mild cognitive impairment; Pcu, precuneus; LPFC, lateral prefrontal cortex.

instead showed a parietal old/new effect (Angel et al., 2010). These findings further supported our results, given that the mean education years in our HC group (10.95 years) was far lower when compared to that in most published studies. Third, simultaneous EEG-fMRI acquisition may decrease the signal-to-noise ratio of the EEG data, thereby attenuating the frontal old/new effect. Another study using simultaneous EEG-fMRI acquisition also observed a parietal old/new effect but not a frontal old/new effect, as in our study (Hoppstadter et al., 2015). Thus, the results from our ERP-only analysis appeared consistent with existing studies and provided a temporal basis for the ERP-informed fMRI analysis.

The most notable finding of our study was the identification of temporal dynamics in regions active during retrieval success as identified by the fMRI-constrained ERP analysis. This analysis revealed the roles of these brain regions during episodic retrieval. In the left IPL, an old/new effect around 600 ms was observed in the HC group, thereby indicating that the IPL supported the recollection of contextual details during episodic retrieval. Specifically, the IPL acted as an “output buffer,” temporarily storing EM information transferred from the MTL, thereby influencing the retrieval decision (Kuhl and Chun, 2014; Vilberg and Rugg, 2008). When memory contents are rapidly recollected, the IPL served as a “circuit breaker” to mediate the automatic attentional capture by recollected memory through its function in bottom-up attention (Cabeza et al., 2008; Ciaramelli et al., 2010). Furthermore, in the left DLPFC/insula, the old/new effect after 800 ms was analogous to the ERP late frontal effect, and suggested that the role of these regions in episodic retrieval was related to cognitive control and post-retrieval monitoring or evaluation (Dobbins et al., 2002). Intriguingly, the aMCI group showed the old/new effects in neither of the two regions above but exhibited the old/new effect after 800 ms in the MPFC. It indicates that the ERP late frontal effect was relocated to the MPFC in the aMCI group. In contrast, both the HC and aMCI groups showed old/new effects in the Pcu and the PMC. In recent studies, it was demonstrated that the Pcu and PMC were functionally connected to accumulate mnemonic evidence for retrieval decisions (Burianova et al., 2012; Huijbers et al., 2010). The emergences of the old/new effects in both groups suggested that this function was preserved in the aMCI group. Taken together, although the retrieval success patterns were similar between the HC and aMCI groups, the IPL and LPFC showed differing temporal dynamics between the two groups, thereby indicating a diminished contribution of these regions to successful episodic retrieval in the aMCI group.

Single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis explores brain regions where fMRI activities correspond to the ERP indices of familiarity or recollection. With regard to familiarity, the Pcu was activated in control subjects but not in aMCI subjects. Current knowledge recognized the Pcu as a neural substrate, underlying the perceived familiarity of a stimulus (Gilmore et al., 2015). A greater Pcu activity indicated a stronger familiarity signal to capture the subject’s attention (Vannini et al., 2011). Thus, the absence of Pcu activity herein suggested impaired familiarity-related processing in aMCI subjects. With respect to recollection, no within-group activity was observed on the whole brain. However, between-group comparisons identified reduced left hippocampus activity in aMCI subjects. These findings connected a reduced hippocampal activity to the recollection deficit in aMCI subjects. The absence of significant hippocampal activity in HC or aMCI groups may be attributed to susceptibility-related signal loss in part of the hippocampus, thereby limiting the cluster extent that is necessary for a statistical significance. Taken together, single-trial EEG-informed fMRI analysis has the potential to locate changes in brain activity related to familiarity and recollection processes during episodic retrieval, and increases the current temporal resolution available to detect changes in brain EM activity relative to conventional fMRI techniques.

The above findings may contribute to address the discrepancy between the preserved retrieval success pattern and the EM deficit in aMCI. Basically, the memory retrieval task used in this study was a recognition

memory paradigm that contains multiple cognitive processes such as familiarity, recollection, and post-retrieval cognitive control, which are differentially affected by aMCI (Ally, 2012; Koen and Yonelinas, 2014). However, the retrieval success pattern alone, obtained by the conventional fMRI analysis, cannot identify individual cognitive processes, thus is insufficient to distinguish aMCI from HC. Herein, taking advantage of the high spatiotemporal resolution of the simultaneous EEG-fMRI technique, we demonstrated impaired processes of recollection and post-retrieval cognitive control underlying preserved retrieval success pattern in aMCI. Particularly, the left LPFC, which is believed to support post-retrieval cognitive control in literature (Scalici et al., 2017), exhibited increased fMRI correlate of ERP recollection component in aMCI subjects; the greater the fMRI correlate of recollection was, the inferior the EM performance. These findings, not only corroborate existing findings of impaired ERP late frontal effect in aMCI (Paitel et al., 2021), but also suggest that the cognitive processes supported by the brain regions associated with recognition memory were disturbed and may underlie the memory deficit in aMCI.

Our study was an exploratory study with several limitations. First, although our study sample was of high quality, the sample size was relatively small, and as such, limiting the statistical power and the signal-to-noise ratio needed to detect brain activity patterns. Future studies with an independent dataset are essential to validate the current findings. Second, the cross-sectional design of this study had limited scope to associate changes in fMRI or ERP with clinical outcomes. We are following-up with these subjects to determine whether the fMRI or ERP changes represented a “state” or “trait” of aMCI. Third, the time windows used in our ERP-informed fMRI analysis were adapted using a visual inspection of the grand average of the “hits” and “correct rejections” with prior knowledge. These time windows need to be further validated, as the ERP components related to episodic retrieval may be different in an elderly population. Fourth, the fMRI-constrained ERP analysis was conducted by first averaging the ERP time series from individual subjects to one group-level ERP time series, and then performing the source localization analysis on the group-level ERP time series. This analysis had a limitation to provide statistical results given that only one averaged ERP time series was employed to compute the source waveform. Thus, additional analysis is required to validate the results of the fMRI-constrained ERP analysis.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study showed an integrated EEG-fMRI technique to detect brain episodic retrieval activity changes in aMCI subjects with high spatiotemporal sensitivity. Although aMCI subjects and controls shared similar retrieval success activation patterns, the electrophysiological activities related to the recollection and post-retrieval monitoring processes were significantly diminished in aMCI subjects. In addition, using ERP-informed fMRI analysis, disturbed fMRI correlate of ERP recollection component in aMCI that was associated with inferior EM performance was observed. Therefore, our findings bridge the gap between the electrophysiological and hemodynamic activities related to episodic retrieval, and provide insight into disruptions in the neural circuitry underlying EM deficits in aMCI, thereby helping to identify individuals who are at high risk of cognitive deterioration at an early stage.

Funding information

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFC1306700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81420108012, 81830040, and 81901108), Science and Technology Program of Guangdong (2018B030334001), Program of Excellent Talents in Medical Science of Jiangsu Province (JCRCA2016006), and the Foundation of Jiangsu Commission of Health (Z2018023).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Hao Shu: Formal analysis, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization. **Lihua Gu:** Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing - original draft. **Ping Yang:** Methodology, Writing - original draft. **Molly V. Lucas:** Writing - review & editing. **Lijuan Gao:** Data curation, Investigation. **Hongxing Zhang:** Resources, Data curation. **Haisan Zhang:** Resources, Data curation. **Zhan Xu:** Formal analysis, Validation. **Wei Wu:** Validation, Writing - review & editing. **Ling Li:** Methodology, Formal analysis. **Zhijun Zhang:** Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Dr. Gavin P. Reynolds, Ph.D., for editorial assistance. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFC1306700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81420108012, 81830040, and 81901108), Science and Technology Program of Guangdong (2018B030334001), Program of Excellent Talents in Medical Science of Jiangsu Province (JCRCA2016006), and the Foundation of Jiangsu Commission of Health (Z2018023).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102572>.

References

- Allen, P.J., Polizzi, G., Krakow, K., Fish, D.R., Lemieux, L., 1998. Identification of EEG events in the MR scanner: the problem of pulse artifact and a method for its subtraction. *NeuroImage* 8, 229–239.
- Ally, B.A., 2012. Using pictures and words to understand recognition memory deterioration in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a review. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.* 12, 687–694.
- Angel, L., Fay, S., Bouazzaoui, B., Baudouin, A., Isingrini, M., 2010. Protective role of educational level on episodic memory aging: an event-related potential study. *Brain Cogn.* 74, 312–323.
- Angel, L., Fay, S., Bouazzaoui, B., Granjon, L., Isingrini, M., 2009. Neural correlates of cued recall in young and older adults: an event-related potential study. *NeuroReport* 20, 75–79.
- Brier, M.R., Gordon, B., Friedrichsen, K., McCarthy, J., Stern, A., Christensen, J., Owen, C., Aldea, P., Su, Y., Hassenstab, J., Cairns, N.J., Holtzman, D.M., Fagan, A. M., Morris, J.C., Benzinger, T.L., Ances, B.M., 2016. Tau and Abeta imaging, CSF measures, and cognition in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 8, 338ra366.
- Burianova, H., Ciaramelli, E., Grady, C.L., Moscovitch, M., 2012. Top-down and bottom-up attention-to-memory: mapping functional connectivity in two distinct networks that underlie cued and uncued recognition memory. *NeuroImage* 63, 1343–1352.
- Cabeza, R., Ciaramelli, E., Olson, I.R., Moscovitch, M., 2008. The parietal cortex and episodic memory: an attentional account. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 613–625.
- Ciaramelli, E., Grady, C., Levine, B., Ween, J., Moscovitch, M., 2010. Top-down and bottom-up attention to memory are dissociated in posterior parietal cortex: neuroimaging and neuropsychological evidence. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 4943–4956.
- Clement, F., Belleville, S., Mellah, S., 2010. Functional neuroanatomy of the encoding and retrieval processes of verbal episodic memory in MCI. *Cortex* 46, 1005–1015.
- Dickerson, B.C., Eichenbaum, H., 2010. The episodic memory system: neurocircuitry and disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 86–104.
- Dobbins, I.G., Foley, H., Schacter, D.L., Wagner, A.D., 2002. Executive control during episodic retrieval: multiple prefrontal processes subservise source memory. *Neuron* 35, 989–996.
- Duarte, A., Ranganath, C., Trujillo, C., Knight, R.T., 2006. Intact recollection memory in high-performing older adults: ERP and behavioral evidence. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 18, 33–47.
- Dubois, B., Feldman, H.H., Jacova, C., Hampel, H., Molinuevo, J.L., Blennow, K., DeKosky, S.T., Gauthier, S., Selkoe, D., Bateman, R., Cappa, S., Crutch, S., Engelborghs, S., Frisoni, G.B., Fox, N.C., Galasko, D., Habert, M.O., Jicha, G.A., Nordberg, A., Pasquier, F., Rabinovici, G., Robert, P., Rowe, C., Salloway, S., Sarazin, M., Epelbaum, S., de Souza, L.C., Vellas, B., Visser, P.J., Schneider, L., Stern, Y., Scheltens, P., Cummings, J.L., 2014. Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. *Lancet Neurol.* 13, 614–629.
- Gilmore, A.W., Nelson, S.M., McDermott, K.B., 2015. A parietal memory network revealed by multiple MRI methods. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 19, 534–543.
- Hoppstadter, M., Baeuchl, C., Diener, C., Flor, H., Meyer, P., 2015. Simultaneous EEG-fMRI reveals brain networks underlying recognition memory ERP old/new effects. *NeuroImage* 116, 112–122.
- Huijbers, W., Pennartz, C.M., Daselaar, S.M., 2010. Dissociating the “retrieval success” regions of the brain: effects of retrieval delay. *Neuropsychologia* 48, 491–497.
- Jorge, J., van der Zwaag, W., Figueiredo, P., 2014. EEG-fMRI integration for the study of human brain function. *NeuroImage* 102 (Pt 1), 24–34.
- Koen, J.D., Yonelinas, A.P., 2014. The effects of healthy aging, amnesic mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease on recollection and familiarity: a meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 24, 332–354.
- Konishi, S., Wheeler, M.E., Donaldson, D.I., Buckner, R.L., 2000. Neural correlates of episodic retrieval success. *NeuroImage* 12, 276–286.
- Kuhl, B.A., Chun, M.M., 2014. Successful remembering elicits event-specific activity patterns in lateral parietal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 8051–8060.
- McKhann, G.M., Knopman, D.S., Chertkow, H., Hyman, B.T., Jack Jr., C.R., Kawas, C.H., Klunk, W.E., Koroshetz, W.J., Manly, J.J., Mayeux, R., Mohs, R.C., Morris, J.C., Rossor, M.N., Scheltens, P., Carrillo, M.C., Thies, B., Weintraub, S., Phelps, C.H., 2011. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 7, 263–269.
- Nellessen, N., Rottschy, C., Eickhoff, S.B., Kettler, S.T., Kuhn, H., Shah, N.J., Schulz, J. B., Reske, M., Reetz, K., 2015. Specific and disease stage-dependent episodic memory-related brain activation patterns in Alzheimer's disease: a coordinate-based meta-analysis. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 220, 1555–1571.
- Nishi, H., Sawamoto, N., Namiki, C., Yoshida, H., Dinh, H.D., Ishizu, K., Hashikawa, K., Fukuyama, H., 2010. Correlation between cognitive deficits and glucose hypometabolism in mild cognitive impairment. *J. Neuroimaging* 20, 29–36.
- Nyhus, E., 2018. Brain Networks Related to Beta Oscillatory Activity during Episodic Memory Retrieval. *J. Cognit. Neurosci.* 30, 174–187.
- Paitel, E.R., Samii, M.R., Nielson, K.A., 2021. A systematic review of cognitive event-related potentials in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Behav. Brain Res.* 396, 112904.
- Rodrigue, K.M., Raz, N., 2004. Shrinkage of the entorhinal cortex over five years predicts memory performance in healthy adults. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 956–963.
- Rugg, M.D., Curran, T., 2007. Event-related potentials and recognition memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 11, 251–257.
- Scalici, F., Caltagirone, C., Carlesimo, G.A., 2017. The contribution of different prefrontal cortex regions to recollection and familiarity: a review of fMRI data. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 83, 240–251.
- Spaniol, J., Davidson, P.S., Kim, A.S., Han, H., Moscovitch, M., Grady, C.L., 2009. Event-related fMRI studies of episodic encoding and retrieval: meta-analyses using activation likelihood estimation. *Neuropsychologia* 47, 1765–1779.
- Vannini, P., O'Brien, J., O'Keefe, K., Pihlajamaki, M., Laviolette, P., Sperling, R.A., 2011. What goes down must come up: role of the posteromedial cortices in encoding and retrieval. *Cereb. Cortex* 21, 22–34.
- Vilberg, K.L., Rugg, M.D., 2008. Memory retrieval and the parietal cortex: a review of evidence from a dual-process perspective. *Neuropsychologia* 46, 1787–1799.
- Wang, P., Li, J., Li, H.J., Huo, L., Li, R., 2016. Mild Cognitive Impairment Is Not “Mild” at All in Altered Activation of Episodic Memory Brain Networks: Evidence from ALE Meta-Analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 8, 260.
- Wolk, D.A., Sen, N.M., Chong, H., Riis, J.L., McGinnis, S.M., Holcomb, P.J., Daffner, K.R., 2009. ERP correlates of item recognition memory: effects of age and performance. *Brain Res.* 1250, 218–231.
- Woodruff, C.C., Hayama, H.R., Rugg, M.D., 2006. Electrophysiological dissociation of the neural correlates of recollection and familiarity. *Brain Res.* 1100, 125–135.
- Yang, P., Fan, C., Wang, M., Fogelson, N., Li, L., 2017a. The effects of changes in object location on object identity detection: A simultaneous EEG-fMRI study. *NeuroImage* 157, 351–363.
- Yang, P., Fan, C., Wang, M., Li, L., 2017b. A Comparative Study of Average, Linked Mastoid, and REST References for ERP Components Acquired during fMRI. *Front. Neurosci.* 11, 247.