

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Experimenting On Individuals Without Their Consent

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1kp253b7>

Author

Buyukmihci, NC

Publication Date

2023-02-10

Peer reviewed

Experimenting On Individuals Without Their Consent¹

Nedim C Buyukmihci, V.M.D.²

Summary

This paper discusses the harmful and fatal use of non-human animals in research. It argues and demonstrates that such use can be scientifically invalid if the results are applied to humans. Alternatives to such use are discussed in the context of being more defensible morally and scientifically. It calls for a change in attitude in order to institute available alternatives and develop others.

Keywords: alternative, animal use committee, animal welfare, compassion, ethics, human animal, informed consent, kindness, morality, non-human animal, research, scientific method, species differences

When people defend using non-consenting beings (in this case, non-human animals³) in research, they usually argue their position by listing all the 'benefits' which have been and are expected to be derived from such use. In a separate paper on moral concern, I argue why our own sense of morality should prohibit us from using non-consenting beings in research⁴.

Incomprehensible and tragic is the dichotomy of our sensitivity towards, and treatment of, animals of the same species⁵. Society has anti-cruelty laws to protect our companion animals from abuse. If you poisoned your dog or burned her or him, you could be prosecuted and punished. If, however, you did the same thing to a dog in the laboratory in the name of science, you would not be punished. What changed when you and the dog walked through the laboratory door? Whereas you may balk at considering humans and dogs as moral equals, you cannot rationally argue that there exist morally relevant differences between one dog and another. All the substantive ethical considerations which would apply in protecting a dog who happens to be a human companion would apply equally to one who happens not to be.

It often is stated that animals harmed and killed in research are 'protected' by review committees and laws. If the same type of 'protection' was applied to you, you would appreciate just how vacuous statements of this sort are, especially when considering the extreme invasiveness and death inflicted upon animals and approved by those committees^{6,7}. Would *you* consider it protection if someone was legally allowed to subject you to surgery unnecessary for your health or kill you as long as it was in the name of science? When a committee reviewing animal subjects determines that a particular project is 'reasonable,' the obvious question begged is, *Reasonable to whom?* Certainly, no animal, human or other, would knowingly submit to experiments, even if they

- 1 The intent of this review is to demonstrate that reliance on animal research is unnecessary, in addition to being immoral, to understand or treat diseases in people. Due to the substantial number of published studies that substantiate the various assertions I make, I have limited the citations to just a few in each case, and primarily to those that were published within a few years of revising this manuscript.
- 2 Emeritus Professor of Veterinary Medicine, University of California and Emeritus Diplomate, American College of Veterinary Ophthalmologists; contact: ncbuyukmihci@ucdavis.edu; Copyright © 2023 Nedim C Buyukmihci.
- 3 Purely for the sake of convenience, I may refer to animals other than humans as "animals", recognising that all are animals of one kind or another; there is no intention to imply that any, even a human, is morally superior or intrinsically more valuable than another.
- 4 [Buyukmihci 2022-12-01](#)
- 5 [Gronkiewicz et al 2017](#); [Jaffer et al 2012](#); [Jayaram et al 2014](#); [Pulaski et al 2014](#); [Spencer et al 2018](#); [Tonetto et al 2016](#)
- 6 [Barra et al 2022](#); [Berro et al 2022](#); [Brown et al 2022](#); [Gigliucci et al 2010](#); [Heuer et al 2023](#); [Heusser et al 2022](#); [O'Callaghan et al 2009](#); [Rather et al 2022](#); [Sajad et al 2022](#); [Silasi et al 2021](#); [Zhou et al 2022](#)
- 7 In extremely rare cases, a published study may be retracted by a journal after publication due to animal welfare issues ([Zhong et al 2021](#)). It is still the case, however, that the inhumane study had been approved by the relevant committees of the institutions involved and initially approved for publication by the reviewers and editors of the journal. In the particular case of [Zhong et al 2021](#), it was only when I lodged a formal complaint with the editors of the journal that any action was taken (Lesa L. Aylward, PhD & Martin van den Berg, PhD, Co-Editors-in-Chief, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, personal communication, 26 April 2021).

were non-painful, if they knew that death was the endpoint. Informed consent is a basic ethical tenet of research involving humans, however we do not allow this with other animals even when they indicate in their own way that they are unwilling subjects, such as cowering in a cage, biting, stress vocalising, struggling to be free of restraint and so forth. There have been instances when individuals have taken 'defensive' action against a noxious situation⁸ or have indicated their unwillingness through sign language⁹. Even if a person who is an advocate for animals is on a review committee, my personal experience on such committees has shown this to be a token gesture because the control of the vote is made up of people who in some way have a vested interest in having the projects done or who do not rigorously apply logical ethical principles in making decisions.

There is no legal 'protection' for animals used in research in the way you and I would like to be protected. Not only is it legal to do virtually anything in the name of science, bear in mind that essentially all animals used in research are killed at some point. In the US, the Animal Welfare Act, and its various amendments, is essentially the only law addressing this issue and it has exceptions to every rule. It primarily dictates from where animals can be obtained, how they are to be cared for during shipment and housing, what size cage can be used, and that they must receive food and water. *Even these minimal regulations are not applicable to the vast majority of animals used in research.* Invertebrate animals and so-called cold-blooded animals such as fish, reptiles and amphibians are excluded as are birds, mice, rats, horses, sheep, pigs, cattle and goats¹⁰.

The Animal Welfare Act explicitly states that it is not allowed to interfere with the design or performance of research; this was reaffirmed in the substantive amendments in 1985. It is, therefore, legal to subject animals to unalleviated pain and suffering if it is part of the experiment. It is discouraging that, even though the Animal Welfare Act fails to 'protect' these animals, my colleagues in the biomedical community have consistently and vigorously fought it and all amendments to it, including provisions for non-human primate well-being and exercise for dogs, spending millions of dollars in the process. The research community also lobbied extensively to maintain the exclusion of rodents and birds who are produced for research purposes from being regulated via the Animal Welfare Act, and were successful in this¹¹. Ironically, these same people profess to be concerned about the animals.

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Guide)*, also falls short of providing any meaningful protection for animals used in research¹². It states explicitly that it is not meant to interfere with the design or performance of research, and there are no restrictions on what can be done to animals. The use of analgesics, anaesthetics or tranquillisers is not necessary if the researcher deems that such use would interfere with the experiment. A brief search of the scientific literature provides numerous publications of studies which the authors stated were in compliance with the *Guide* and in which animals were subjected to survival surgery, pain and suffering, deprivation, severe restraint and more¹³. When animals are used in routine toxicity testing, no sedation or pain relief is provided in case this might impact on

8 [Silverman 1978](#)

9 I have been provided anecdotal information about a non-human primate housed at Yerkes Regional Primate Research Center. This individual had been taught to use human sign language. When he or she was placed in a study which involved a painful procedure, it is alleged that he or she signed to the investigator to "stop, it hurts".

10 So-called farm animals are excluded when the research being conducted is considered to be 'production' oriented. Although precise figures are not available, 'farm' animals appear to be used primarily in this type of research and are, therefore, exempt from the nominal provisions of the Animal Welfare Act.

11 [APA 2002](#)

12 [NIH Guide](#)

13 [Benton et al 2022](#); [Berro et al 2022](#); [Burk & Sheinberg 2022](#); [Gerak et al 2022](#); [Heuer et al 2023](#); [Kiguchi et al 2022](#); [McCormack et al 2022](#); [Rajalingham et al 2021](#); [Sajad et al 2022](#); [Simon et al 2021](#); [Swift et al 2018](#); [Zhao et al 2022](#); [Zhou et al 2022](#)

the results.

Groups which promote the harming and killing of animals in research routinely mislead the public about the issue of pain. For example, in an undated brochure entitled “Health Research to Benefit People & Animals”¹⁴, the California Biomedical Research Association stated: “Procedures *require* the use of anaesthesia and medication to suppress pain and suffering” even though this is untrue¹⁵. (emphasis added)

The following are a few examples of painful or other noxious procedures or conditions that are imposed upon animals in the name of science: induction of cancer, gastrointestinal ulcers, inflammation of the eye and radiation exposure; poisoning, including routine toxicity testing; extremes of heat or cold; forced swimming test, sometimes in freezing water; severance of the spinal cord; destruction of parts of the brain; burns or other fire-related injury; sleep deprivation; inescapable and severe electrical shock; fighting, sometimes to the death, to study aggression; survival surgery, sometimes multiple invasive procedures. The reader can do a search of the National Library of Medicine database, through PubMed (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>), to find literally thousands of contemporary examples of studies in which non-consenting beings – non-human animals – were subjected to manipulations such as these, in which pain and suffering were integral regardless whether there was any attempt to mitigate these pharmacologically. Pain relief is *not* mandatory and routinely is withheld because it would interfere with the results of the experiments or because it is claimed that we ‘cannot determine the animal is in pain’¹⁶. There is a growing body of evidence that demonstrates that our present perception on whether animals under certain conditions are in pain is limited because we have not been critical in our assessment¹⁷.

The critical point to bear in mind is that, even with strict adherence to the law and guidelines, animals do suffer in biomedical research. It is true that certain funding agencies and institutions do not allow the types of studies I have mentioned to be done gratuitously. Nevertheless, if the painful procedure is considered unavoidable and if it is believed that pain relief drugs would interfere with the results, the studies are approved and done.

Although not all research using animals is as drastic as some of the examples I have given, there are other issues which make it problematical morally. As previously mentioned, almost all animals used in research eventually are killed, even if it is not necessary for the goals of the project. It simply is expedient to do so.

The animals in question usually are housed under conditions which are inconsistent with their natural inclinations and abilities or with their genetic makeup. They are not free to pursue whatever interests they might have. I have heard the housing of these animals compared with ‘palaces’ which are far better than what homeless people have. This is nonsense: homeless people are not removed from the streets and forced into research which results in their death. Regardless of how clean the animals’ quarters are, they still are, in effect, prisons; you cannot clean up what amounts to a concentration camp and expect that that corrects the underlying problem. For most animals, the quarters are sterile, barren enclosures which do little more than meet the animals’ immediate needs of food, water and shelter. These basic needs may not be provided, however, in experiments in which food or water deprivation either is part of the experiment or is part of the

14 [Anon undated](#)

15 Even though this falsehood may have been corrected after an indeterminate period, those making the original statement had to know it was false and apparently were trying to lull the public into thinking that animals used in research do not suffer.

16 It was not long ago that some surgeons did not even believe that human infants could feel pain ([Rovner 1986](#)).

17 [Bradfield et al 1992](#)

‘training’ process for the animals¹⁸.

The animals’ social, behavioural and psychological needs rarely are met, even though we have ample data on this subject. With most non-human primates, for example, the social structure and the opportunity for normal interactions with not only conspecifics (others of their kind) in general, but their family members in particular, is crucial for their physical and psychological well-being. When housed in breeding or research facilities, however, they are under conditions that cannot in any meaningful way meet their physical and psychological needs¹⁹. The standard and vast majority of the housing comprise small, barren, steel cages with no meaningful enrichment or opportunities for socialisation compared with what the individuals need as a species. With little opportunity for mental stimulation and physical exercise, these animals almost always develop abnormal and self-destructive behaviours that may include pacing, rocking, swaying, so-called floating limb syndrome, bar biting and self-mutilation. The self-injurious behaviour is often serious enough to require veterinary intervention and can lead to the death of the individual²⁰. Most singly housed individuals develop one or, usually, more abnormal behaviours²¹. I discuss the issue of housing and other forms of restraint elsewhere²².

Being deprived of the normal period of maternal relationship has an influence on this²³. In breeding facilities, infant non-human primates who are to be used in or exported for research are removed from their mothers far sooner than is normal, sometimes immediately after birth. The normal relationship between mother and infant is often several years with respect to providing an opportunity for normal development. This means that these individuals are essentially mother-deprived and develop abnormally as a result. They may be raised in so-called nurseries and display more abnormal behaviour than mother-reared subjects and show differences in their chemical makeup²⁴. These individuals also display a reduced capacity for dealing with stressors and develop and mature more slowly²⁵. They also react differently to various medications²⁶. The mothers themselves show substantial abnormal behaviour just by giving birth in captivity (cages)²⁷. Although the cages for captive non-human primates may be within “legal limits” and are “approved” by breeders or researchers, they certainly are not conducive to the well-being of the individuals. This type of housing constitutes extreme privation for these highly intelligent, curious and social

18 [Mathes et al 2012](#)

19 One of my colleagues at the California National Primate Research Center tried to defend this type of housing by pointing out that the individuals could see and hear each other through the bars of the cages and thus could ‘socialise’ with one another. I pointed out that most human couples would find this a less than satisfactory living arrangement. The non-human primates in this case had no less demanding social needs than do human primates.

Although recent provisions of the Animal Welfare Act require that the social or psychological needs of non-human primates be considered, there is little agreement on what those needs may be. Furthermore, the decision on how these provisions are to be met is left to the conscience and willingness of the people using the animals, not someone without a vested interest in the situation. In all cases, the provisions fall substantially short of what these animals could have in a free-living situation. In most cases, the provisions result in only short-term relief or are so insubstantial as to be nonsensical. For example, simply placing toys in a cage, which is often done, has been shown to provide no meaningful diversion for these individuals ([Bayne et al 1993](#)).

20 [Lutz et al 2007](#)

21 [Lutz et al 2003](#)

22 [Buyukmihci 2023-02-01](#)

23 [Lutz et al 2007](#); [Suomi 1997](#)

24 [Bastian et al 2003](#); [Bellanca & Crockett 2002](#); [Boyce et al 1995](#); [Champoux et al 2002](#); [Clarke 1993](#); [Higley et al 1992](#); [Suomi 1991](#); [Winslow et al 2003](#)

25 [Durham & Newell-Morris 2006](#); [Fahlke et al 2000](#)

26 [Clarke et al 1999](#)

27 [Brent et al 2002](#)

creatures²⁸.

As can be inferred by the biochemical, behavioural and structural differences between normal non-human primates and those reared or kept in laboratory cages, the privation endured by these individuals is not only detrimental to them, it also introduces tremendous confounding effects on data which come from them. The same is the case for other species. Even routine procedures such as catching and handling by humans, forced restraint, injections, or repeated blood sampling can cause great anxiety and stress for these animals²⁹. The animals do not make good research subjects as a result. In addition to the studies cited concerning non-human primates, there are numerous other scientific publications showing how factors such as environment and socialisation have a profound effect on the results of a particular experiment³⁰. These findings cast considerable doubt on the validity of much of the published scientific reports in the world literature. Can we assume those data are reliable or are there major flaws caused by the methods of housing and handling the animal subjects³¹?

Those who defend the harming and killing of animals in research state that the individuals are treated “humanely”. This flies in the face of common sense. To be humane is to have sympathy for another, to have mercy, to be tender and kind. If you provide pain relief after you have destroyed part of the spinal cord in a macaque or squirrel monkey as part of an experimental study³², how can this be considered humane? If it were not for you, there would have been no pain in the first place. If you think we are treating animals used in research humanely, I suggest you substitute the words “human child” in the place of “animal” for a particular experiment and see if you still consider such treatment “humane”, even if the study is intended to help other children.

When my colleagues admit that animals used in research suffer, they try to justify the suffering by pointing out that humans are suffering, too. They try to appeal to your emotions by showing you sick children and reducing the issue to a nonsensical “us or them” scenario. There is no proof that *not* using the animals would somehow lead to the death of the children. Perhaps more importantly, is it the fault of other animals that we, too, are subject to disease and death? Why do we believe that because we suffer, innocent others must pay a price? In that context, it appears that the harming and killing of animals in the name of science is merely an expression of unconscionable selfishness on our part, something which goes against all the best qualities of human nature.

Although my colleagues continually cite work which purportedly will “save or improve the lives of humans or other animals”, most of what is done to animals has no obvious or declared value in this regard, is poorly done³³, the results are heavily biased to conclude more relevance than warranted³⁴ or simply never is relevant to managing human patients³⁵. There are many thousands, perhaps millions, of examples of work in which there were profound negative consequences for the animal subjects (in addition to being killed), but which could not logically be defended by appealing to a greater societal good which might have come from the work.

Also at issue is the scientific appropriateness of using one species as a “model” for another³⁶. Research involving the *induction* of diseases in healthy subjects is not scientifically valid. There are

28 [Lutz et al 2014](#); [Pereira 1991](#)

29 [Novak et al 2013](#)

30 [Beynen 1992](#); [Chamove 1989](#); [Fouts 1976](#); [Gross & Siegel 1982](#); [Kraemer et al 1984](#); [McGrath et al 1984](#); [Reinhardt 1997](#); [Romero 1976](#); [Shichinohe et al 1990](#); [Solomon et al 1968](#); [Vesell et al 1976](#)

31 [Pereira 1991](#)

32 [Barra et al 2022](#); [Sengupta et al 2021](#)

33 [Pound et al 2004](#)

34 [Ledford 2013](#)

35 [Contopoulos-Ioannidis et al 2003](#)

36 [Akhtar et al 2009](#); [Seok et al 2013](#)

reasons why some people, for example, get certain diseases and others living under ostensibly the same conditions do not. When a disease is artificially induced, particularly by overwhelming the subject's natural defence mechanisms, this is not analogous to the situation where the disease is contracted spontaneously. When the disease is not even a natural one for the animal, this problem is compounded and confounded even further. The differences which allow for contraction of a particular disease by one person, but not by another, are not addressed, however these are the very aspects we should be investigating. The only scientifically credible manner of investigation would be through studying the two populations themselves. One such striking example is the finding of people naturally resistant to rabies in some communities in Peru³⁷.

Although there are fundamental similarities between, for example, various mammals, there also exist substantial differences. Even a cursory review of the scientific literature reveals that some of these differences would make extrapolation of findings in non-human to human mammals tenuous at best and very dangerous at worst³⁸. Negative findings are even ignored when dealing with human patients³⁹, demonstrating that medical personnel do not necessarily place great credence on animal studies.

Although a great deal of money has been, and is being, spent to find so-called cures or treatments for various ailments, relatively little is spent to prevent these ailments even when this is possible. Even the so-called war on cancer has been criticised for its lack of focus on prevention and the poor results⁴⁰. Most of the types of cancer which affect humans allegedly can be prevented⁴¹, often simply by changes in diet from one which is based upon animal products to one which is plant based⁴².

Lung cancer and other diseases due to tobacco products are still being studied by forcing animals to smoke or use nicotine⁴³. Paradoxically, we annually spend *billions* of dollars to promote the use of tobacco products, *over half of the money coming from our taxes*⁴⁴.

Using animals as "models" of substance abuse for drugs such as alcohol (ethanol), cocaine, heroin, tetrahydrocannabinol and many others continues⁴⁵ despite the fact that substance abuse is a human disease with no known counterpart in other animals. It is far more complex than simply taking drugs. The combination of factors at play, such as genetics, emotional and personal experiences and socioeconomic aspects, can never be simulated or resolved through research using other animals. The millions of dollars or other funds spent every year on this research would be better used to directly help the millions of people who suffer from substance abuse. We know the essentials of the effects of these drugs and can prevent them, if the patient is willing. Researchers themselves are questioning the validity of using animals for this research⁴⁶.

Unless we are willing to take advantage of all available opportunities to prevent cancer or other

37 [Gilbert et al 2012](#); [Willoughby 2012](#)

38 [Anon 1991](#); [Bechtol 1992](#); [Berger & Dimhofer 1995](#); [Bito 1990](#); [Bosshard 1985](#); [Bruner et al 1993](#); [Chapin et al 1993](#); [D'Mello 1993](#); [Dorman et al 1993](#); [Eastwood et al 2010](#); [Felsche et al 2022](#); [Garin et al 2022](#); [Headon et al 1985](#); [Herrera et al 2022](#); [Jacobs & Harwerth 1989](#); [Jenden 1991](#); [Neergaard 1993](#); [Oksenberg et al 1992](#); [Packwood & Gordon 1975](#); [Rea et al 1988](#); [Smith et al 1984](#); [Spearow et al 1999](#); [Tolbert et al 2022](#); [Van Heijst 1991](#); [Wen et al 2022](#); [Wiebers et al 1990](#); [Zbinden & Flury-Roversi 1981](#); [Zhan et al 1992](#)

39 [Newell & Malnick 2017](#)

40 [Bailar & Smith 1986](#); [Cairns 1985](#); [Cohen & Diamond 1986](#)

41 [Esselstyn 1991](#); [Weisburger 1991](#)

42 [Lee et al 1991](#); [Schatzkin et al 1989](#); [Willett 1989](#)

43 [Holtyn et al 2020](#); [Le Foll et al 2007](#); [Nader et al 2022](#)

44 [Cohen & Diamond 1986](#)

45 [Ding et al 2023](#); [Gerak & France 2022](#); [Pareek et al 2023](#); [Rahimi et al 2023](#)

46 [Magliaro & Ahluwalia 2022](#)

diseases in ourselves, we behave in a morally reprehensible manner if we continue to harm other animals in a search for a “cure”. What kind of a moral statement are we making as the US government cuts funds for mental health and drug addiction programs and then spends millions of dollars for drug addiction studies on animals? What are we saying to people who *presently* are afflicted with these conditions and cannot obtain treatment because of lack of financial support?

I do not think there is any question that subjecting other animals to the types of things we do in the name of science is fundamentally unethical and immoral. Biomedical research using animals is not *necessary* for human health and safety. Instead, it is a choice we make, just like our choice of food or clothing. Will the human species wither and die if we stop harming or killing other animals in the name of science? Although this is what some would have you believe, the idea is absurd. The human species has prospered and increased in numbers dramatically over the millennia despite the fact that biomedical research of any substance has been in effect for only little more than a century. Although the use of other animals has been associated with our understanding of certain phenomena, it does not follow that this use was necessary or must continue. There is no proof that the advances associated with the use of these animals could not have come about without them. We simply do not know.

It is appalling that my scientist colleagues, who normally have high standards and insist upon solid evidence before accepting something as fact, appear to lose all perspective when it comes to this issue by making sweeping and unsubstantiated statements with respect to the importance of animal research. They often state that virtually every medical discovery has depended upon the use of these animals or could not have been discovered without their use. This is pure speculation on their part. A conscientious scientist would ask if there had been a controlled study comparing advances with and without the use of animals. Such a study is virtually impossible retrospectively. There is no question that the use of animals did play a part, but so did studies on humans and studies in the physical sciences. No one really knows which aspect had the deciding role or could have been eliminated.

Perhaps the most ignorant and unscientific statement made by many is that discontinuing the use of animals in research would cause science to come to a halt and that we would never find cures for such things as AIDS, cancer or heart disease. How can anyone know that this would be true? Besides, this ignores or denies the numerous discoveries which were based purely on clinical observations of human and veterinary patients or human volunteers – and which continue today. Even people heavily involved in and unrelentingly supportive of experimental research using animals at my university have pointed out the critical importance of clinical observations:

“A great deal of our knowledge about disease in general and specifically animal diseases has resulted from studies on naturally occurring diseases in animals under clinical as contrasted to controlled conditions. Major contributions to our understanding of the epidemiology, etiology, processes or mechanism of disease and control and treatment of a wide variety of animal diseases has resulted from proper clinical observations. It is largely through quality clinical research that the veterinary profession acquires new and more effective ways to provide improved veterinary services to society.”⁴⁷

Moreover, Elias A. Zerhouni, M.D., Director of the National Institutes of Health (2002-2008), has been critical of the use of animals as “models” for people:

“We have moved away from studying human disease in humans,’ he lamented. ‘We all drank the Kool-Aid on that one, me included.’ With the ability to knock in or knock out any gene in a mouse—which ‘can’t sue us,’ Zerhouni quipped—researchers have over-relied on animal data. ‘The problem is that it hasn’t worked, and it’s time we stopped dancing around the problem... We

47 [Academic Senate 1981](#)

need to refocus and adapt new methodologies for use in humans to understand disease biology in humans."⁴⁸

To get some historical perspective on the nature of biomedical research, people should read the book *Who Goes First?*⁴⁹. This book was written by Lawrence Altman who was a physician on the faculty at New York University at the time of preparing this manuscript. He is not an animal rights activist, but is someone who has been very interested in the history behind various medical advances. What Dr Altman points out, with careful documentation, is that many advances in medicine have not depended upon the use of animals, but primarily have come about through experimentation on humans, often the scientists themselves. His book had been acclaimed by people such as Daniel C. Tosteson, who had been dean of the faculty of medicine at Harvard University and Dr Michael E. DeBakey, who was a famous heart surgeon and chancellor of Baylor College of Medicine. Dr Altman did not write this book to defend or criticise animal research and perhaps that is why it is an unbiased look at how medical discoveries we take for granted today really came to be.

Furthermore, most advances, in terms of increasing the longevity and quality of our lives, have not come about through the use of animals, or even from the discipline of medicine⁵⁰. The greatest benefits have come from adequate nourishment and proper sanitation. In addition, many of the great medical advances such as penicillin, the X-ray and numerous others came from work which did not initially involve animals.

Researchers state and appear to believe that experimental research allows variables to be precisely controlled, as opposed to the situation seen in studies on human subjects. This is illusory for many reasons, including substantial differences in how animals are housed, what food is provided, the background and genetic aspects of the animals, how the animals are treated and so forth. Furthermore, the notion that standardisation is always a good thing may be fallacious in nature:

"A popular notion about experiments is that it is beneficial to reduce subjects' biological and environmental variability to mitigate the influence of confounding factors on the response. The argument is that by keeping the levels of such factors fixed — a process called standardization — we increase precision by limiting the component of response variance that is not due to the experimental treatment. Unfortunately, although standardization increases power, it can also induce such unrealistically low variability that the results do not generalize to the population of interest and may thus be irreproducible — the so-called 'standardization fallacy'"⁵¹

Unfortunately, regardless of one's beliefs about the use of animals in research, the reality is that it will continue for the foreseeable future. Therefore, although abolition should be the goal of every compassionate and ethically minded person, the situation must be approached in as practical a manner as is possible. Although regulation of exploitation tends to perpetuate that exploitation, it is of little use to the individuals already suffering, and to those slated for use in the future, to take an all or none stance. There are numerous areas on which progress could be made in reducing animal use and suffering without creating the illusion that human progress will be adversely affected. There is no reason why we cannot, as a society, come to a consensus that the use of certain species such as non-human primates simply is not permissible, regardless of what we think might be the potential value of the research, because the ethical costs are too great. I realise that this can be justly criticised as a "speciesist" view. The complex behaviour and cognition of most non-human primate species, however, means that these animals likely suffer more profoundly than

48 [McManus 2013](#)

49 [Altman 1987](#)

50 [Holzman 1989](#); [McKinlay & McKinlay 1977](#)

51 [Voelkl et al 2021](#)

other species when used in invasive research⁵².

Some research using animals should be summarily banned while we grapple with the larger issue of whether any use is permissible. Examples include: 1) those involving unalleviated pain; 2) those involving the use neuromuscular blocking agents; 3) psychological research; 4) brain function studies; 5) drug addiction studies; and 6) the induction of trauma. There is no justification to subject any animal to unalleviated pain. Neuromuscular blocking agents afford no anaesthesia, but render the animal incapable of moving. There always is the risk, even if an anaesthetic is used concurrently, that the animal may be conscious and in pain without our knowing it. Animals are inappropriate in the study of human behaviour because they are not humans, and because they are not even themselves when they are locked up in cages and forced to live a life not consistent with who they are as beings⁵³. The same is true for brain function, which can best be studied in people. There are numerous human drug addicts and victims of trauma who can be studied in order to learn how to manage afflicted humans.

We need to place greater emphasis on the study of humans and what I term nature's "experiments"⁵⁴. For example, suppose one wants to learn more about how myopia (nearsightedness) develops. This could be induced artificially in macaques by depriving them of vision after birth. Different species of macaques, however, have markedly different reactions to the same experimental procedure⁵⁵. Which, then, is the situation which mimics the human condition? *One cannot know from the animal studies*. A different approach could use people who have had natural afflictions which fit the design of the experiment, such as people born with cataracts or other opacities of their ocular media⁵⁶.

To determine the connections of the retina to various parts of the brain, one could study a person who has had an eye removed at some point in their life. Sophisticated electrophysiological and biochemical studies can be conducted throughout the person's lifetime. Later, after the person dies, the brain could be studied, comparing the anatomy and biochemistry with that of a person who had not lost an eye. The same principle can be applied to any situation⁵⁷.

The level of sophistication for human studies necessary to understand and treat various diseases is considerable⁵⁸. For example, Kiyosawa and coworkers⁵⁹, using human volunteers and positron emission tomography, demonstrated a regional reduction in cerebral glucose metabolism in patients with optic neuropathy. Similar technology was used to study cerebral glucose metabolism in patients with depression⁶⁰. Others⁶¹ have studied patients with refractory seizure disorders who were undergoing evaluation for therapeutic brain surgery. These patients had had subdural electrode grids implanted. Cortical mapping was done by electrical stimulation of the

52 [Padrell et al 2021](#)

53 [Bannister 1981](#); [Pereira 1991](#); [Petticrew & Smith 2012](#); [Sapolsky 1993](#)

54 There are many tragedies which befall humans. Where these are unavoidable, we should learn what we can from them ([Covey & Alexander 2012](#); [Janerich et al 1990](#); [Koren & Graham 1992](#)). For example, cocaine addiction and its impact on the unborn fetus has given clues to the sudden infant death syndrome ([Gingras et al 1990](#)). Children born to alcoholic mothers have been studied to learn the effects of this condition on them ([Day et al 1991](#)).

55 [Raviola & Wiesel 1985](#)

56 [Hoyt et al 1981](#); [Twomey et al 1990](#)

57 [Palca 1990](#); [von Noorden et al 1983](#); [Weiskrantz et al 1974](#)

58 [Breveglieri et al 2022](#); [Charvet et al 2022](#); [Dreyer & Rieger 2021](#); [Hsu et al 2022](#); [Larsson et al 2010](#); [Lawwill 1978](#); [Nunez et al 2022](#); [Pan et al 2023](#); [Ramirez et al 2022](#); [Reeders et al 2023](#); [Sugiyama et al 2022](#); [Toker et al 2022](#); [Warrington et al 2022](#); [Wesselink et al 2022](#); [Westerberg et al 2022](#); [Wong & Sharpe 1999](#); [Yurt et al 2022](#)

59 [Kiyosawa et al 1989](#)

60 [Baxter et al 1989](#)

61 [Uematsu et al 1992](#)

cerebral cortex in order to learn important neuroanatomical details of the human motor cortex, information virtually impossible to derive from other animals.

Others⁶² have used positron emission tomography or magnetic resonance imaging to learn about human brain structure and function, including measuring activity-related changes in regional cerebral blood flow to identify brain regions which are active in humans during reading or playing the piano. This combination of cognitive and neurobiological approaches has provided information about the functional anatomy of perception, attention, motor control, and language in the human being, again, something not likely to be possible with non-human subjects.

Hewitt and co-workers⁶³ at the Robert Wood Johnson Medical School are using cultured human skin to study the effects of burns. Kaufman and co-workers⁶⁴ at the Brain Imaging Center of McLean Hospital, using magnetic resonance imaging, demonstrated in human volunteers that even low-dose cocaine constricts brain blood vessels, sometimes severely, supporting concerns that it can cause either acute or gradual loss of brain cells.

Other techniques that can be used on humans include transcranial magnetic stimulation to study the functionality of the circuitry and connectivity of the brain⁶⁵, diffusion tensor imaging for evaluation of nerve dysfunction⁶⁶, magnetoencephalography to study intricate brain function⁶⁷ or single photon emission computed tomography to evaluate treatment effects⁶⁸. These are just a few of the numerous techniques that are available for getting useful information from and for humans.

These types of studies provide us with information about *human* structure, *human* function and *human* disease which will be invaluable in understanding and treating *human* disorders. [As pointed out earlier in this manuscript](#), even a previous director of the NIH acknowledged that we were relying too heavily on animal research and that we needed to focus on research using humans. These human studies also demonstrate that claims that animals are absolutely necessary are not true⁶⁹. These and other methods can be used in numerous other disciplines. They can even lead to substantial savings in health care costs to society⁷⁰. I mention these not just to point out specific examples of alternatives to animals⁷¹. More importantly, I am emphasising what could be done if there was a change in attitude, a change from one which views other animals as mere “tools” to one which considers them to be deserving of the same respect as humans. If we did this, then we could concentrate our efforts and resources on improving available alternatives and developing new ones⁷². Necessity would become the mother of invention. We could begin the journey out of the Dark Ages of violence and destruction perpetrated without consent and against the will of individuals in the name of science.

The issue of the use of animals in research is complex. The individual concerned about the injustice and lack of scientific credibility of the situation can easily become overwhelmed. You can,

62 Petersen et al [1988,1990](#); [Posner et al 1988](#); [Sallet et al 2013](#); [Sergent et al 1992](#)

63 [Ragland 1998](#)

64 [Kaufman et al 1998](#)

65 [Koch et al 2009](#)

66 [Sugiyama et al 2009](#)

67 [Vartiainen et al 2009](#)

68 [Akar et al 2009](#)

69 There even are alternatives to such problematical situations as assessing the neurotoxicity of batches of polio vaccine ([Chumakov et al 1992](#)).

70 [Goldberg 1988](#)

71 Some have argued that animals probably were used in the development of some of these methods. As I mentioned earlier, even where this is true, it is unknown whether their use was pivotal. Furthermore, what has happened in the past should be put in perspective. It seems unreasonable to discontinue using something if morally unacceptable behaviour no longer is an integral part of it.

72 [Benam et al 2016](#); [Blackwell 2013](#); [Ma et al 2015](#)

however, personally do several things to help reduce animal use and suffering. You can strive to buy products which were not tested on animals and which do not contain materials made from them; adopting a vegan lifestyle. This can be done with little to no inconvenience on your part. These ethical alternatives are numerous, easily available and growing in number. Even if you do not embrace the concept of animal rights or equal consideration for all animals, there is no legitimate reason why you should not choose a product which does what you want it to do, but which is not associated with animal suffering.

You can urge government entities to stop providing funds for research which has little to no relevance for human health or which is associated with considerable suffering. The major institutions providing funds for research in the US, for example, are the NIH and National Science Foundation. Both receive their funds through appropriations from Congress. If Congress would put some stipulations on what categories of research could not be done using public funds, this could go a long way in reducing frivolous animal use and suffering.

We have polluted the earth's land, air and water. We have destroyed – and continue to destroy – millions of hectares of habitat such as rainforests, which destruction will have serious negative effects on *us*, as well as others. We are responsible for the extermination of billions of animals on a yearly basis. We have caused the extinction of hundreds of species. We have placed the spectre of nuclear holocaust on the world. A human being dies every two seconds of every day of every week due to malnourishment related conditions, including outright starvation. The importance of biomedical research with respect to human health and the health of the planet, as it is being done today, pales in comparison.

When contemplating or discussing the issue of animals used in research, the most important point to consider is that these animals are not “things”, they are living beings who share with us the drive to live freely. They are not here *for* us. They are not our tasters, we are not their kings. Their value does not depend upon their utility to us. Humans are not the only ones deserving of freedom and the pursuit of their interests. Other animals have just as much right to share the experience we call life. Harming or killing these individuals in the name of science does not make it noble or right. We do to these individuals what we do because we are capable of doing so, operating under the morally reprehensible 'principle' of “might makes right”. Our own sense of morality demands that our treatment of them be fair and just.

Cited information⁷³:

1. Academic Senate 1981-08-01 "Criteria for appointment or promotion to tenure of faculty of the School of Veterinary Medicine heavily engaged in clinical teaching" University of California, Davis
2. Akar, Ahmet Ruchan; Durdu, Serkan; Arat, Mutlu; Kilickap, Mustafa; Kucuk, Nuriye Ozlem; Arslan, Onder; Kuzu, Isinsu and Ozyurda, Umit 2009-10-01 "Five-year follow-up after transepicaldial implantation of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells to ungraftable coronary territories for patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy" *European Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* 36(4):633-643 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcts.2009.04.045>
3. Akhtar, Aysha Z.; Pippin, John J. and Sandusky, Chad B. 2009-02-01 "Animal studies in spinal cord injury: A systematic review of methylprednisolone" *Alternatives to Laboratory Animals* 37(1):43-62 <https://doi.org/10.1177/026119290903700108>
4. Altman, Lawrence K. 1987-01-01 "Who Goes First? The Story of Self-experimentation in Medicine" New York, NY: Random House

⁷³ Most of the studies involving animals were funded by public money. You can refer to the actual publication to learn which public agency provided the funds. In this manuscript, I have cited only a few references to document various points because the literature on this subject is substantial.

5. American Psychological Association 2002-07-01 "Rats, mice and birds excluded from Animal Welfare Act" *Monitor on Psychology* 33(7):14 <https://www.apa.org/monitor/julaug02/rats>
6. Anonymous "Health research to benefit people & animals" California Biomedical Research Association
7. Anonymous 1991-09-17 "Heart medicine to be withdrawn: Irregular-heartbeat drug tied to high death rate, study found" *Sacramento Bee*
This was a news report about medication (encainide, Enkaid®), which had been found to be 'safe' in animal studies but killed humans.
8. Bailar, John C. and Smith, Elaine M. 1986-05-08 "Progress against Cancer?" *The New England Journal of Medicine* 314(19):1226-1232 <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm198605083141905>
9. Bannister, Don 1981-01-01 "Chapter 14: The fallacy of animal experimentation in psychology" In *Animals in Research: New Perspectives in Animal Experimentation*, Sperlinger, David (ed), 307-317 pp Chichester: John Wiley & Sons
10. Barra, Beatrice; Conti, Sara; Perich, Matthew G.; Zhuang, Katie; Schiavone, Giuseppe; Fallegger, Florian; Galan, Katia; James, Nicholas D.; Barraud, Quentin; Delacombaz, Maude; Kaeser, Mélanie; Rouiller, Eric M.; Milekovic, Tomislav; Lacour, Stephanie; Bloch, Jocelyne; Courtine, Grégoire and Capogrosso, Marco 2022-07-01 "Epidural electrical stimulation of the cervical dorsal roots restores voluntary upper limb control in paralyzed monkeys" *Nature Neuroscience* 25(7):924-934 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01106-5>
11. Bastian, Meredith L.; Sponberg, Anne C.; Sponberg, Anne C.; Suomi, Stephen J. and Higley, J. Dee 2003-01-01 "Long-term effects of infant rearing condition on the acquisition of dominance rank in juvenile and adult rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*)" *Developmental Psychobiology* 42(1):44-51 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dev.10091>
12. Baxter, Lewis R.; Schwartz, Jeffrey M.; Phelps, Michael E.; Mazziotta, John C.; Guze, Barry H.; Selin, Carl E.; Gerner, Robert H. and Sumida, Ron M. 1989-03-01 "Reduction of prefrontal cortex glucose metabolism common to three types of depression" *Archives of General Psychiatry* 46(3):243-250 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1989.01810030049007>
13. Bayne, Kathryn A.L.; Dexter, Sandy L.; Hurst, Joni K.; Strange, Gretchen M. and Hill, Elizabeth E. 1993-02-01 "Kong™ toys for laboratory primates: Are they really an enrichment or just fomites?" *Laboratory Animal Science* 43(1):78-85
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8459682>
14. Bechtol, Charles O. 1992-04-01 "Difficulties in duplicating the human upright, graviportal, plantigrade posture in sitting, standing, and walking by the use of animal models" *Journal of Investigative Surgery* 5(2):161-166 <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/08941939209012433>
"Many of the problems of loosening, breakage, and wear in human total joint replacements are not evident before 15 to 20 years. The relatively short life span of the available experimental animals will make such research difficult if not impossible."
15. Bellanca, Rita U. and Crockett, Carolyn M. 2002-10-01 "Factors predicting increased incidence of abnormal behavior in male pigtailed macaques" *American Journal of Primatology* 58(2):57-69
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.10052>
16. Benam, Kambez H.; Villenave, Remi; Lucchesi, Carolina; Varone, Antonio; Hubeau, Cedric; Lee, Hyun-Hee; Alves, Stephen E.; Salmon, Michael; Ferrante, Thomas C.; Weaver, James C.; Bahinski, Anthony; Hamilton, Geraldine A. and Ingber, Donald E. 2016-02-01 "Small airway-on-a-chip enables analysis of human lung inflammation and drug responses in vitro" *Nature Methods* 13(2):151-157 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3697>
17. Benton, Mary Lauren; Jimenez, Vanessa A.; Newman, Natali; Gonzales, Steven W.; Grant, Kathleen A.; Turner, Russell T.; Iwaniec, Urszula T. and Baker, Erich J. 2022-06-01 "Dose-response effects of alcohol on biochemical markers of bone turnover in non-human primates: Effects of species, sex and age of onset of drinking" *Bone Reports* 16:101159
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8683688/>
18. Berger, Peter and Dimhofer, Stephan 1995-09-07 "Kaposi's sarcoma in pregnant women" *Nature* 377(6544):21-22 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/377021b0>
"From an evolutionary point of view, hCG is a young hormone...Rodents possess neither a

- βCG gene nor a placental hormone similar to CG...In consequence, the mouse displays a gestational profile of hormone dependency entirely distinct from humans."*
19. Berro, Laís F.; Overton, John S. and Rowlett, James K. 2022-04-07 "Methamphetamine-Induced Sleep Impairments and Subsequent Slow-Wave and Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Rebound in Male Rhesus Monkeys" *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 16:866971
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9021839/>
 20. Beynen, A.C. 1992-07-01 "Communication between rats of experiment-induced stress and its impact on experimental results" *Animal Welfare* 1(3):153-159
<https://www.ingentaconnect.com/contentone/ufaw/aw/1992/00000001/00000003/art00002>
"Animals can communicate with one another through various types of signals. Evidence is presented that in certain experiments with rats, stress as induced by experimental treatment may give rise to the production of signals that affect non-treated animals housed nearby. Such communication between test and control animals may cause biased results and disturbed welfare of the latter. Communication of stress may be prevented by separate housing of control and test animals, but this could introduce another source of bias."
 21. Bitó, Laszlo Z. 1990-01-01 "Surgical miosis: Have we been misled by a bunch of rabbits?" *Ophthalmology* 97(1):1-2 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420\(90\)32643-X](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(90)32643-X)
"It should be clear by now, given the functional and morphologic differences between primate and rabbit eyes, that it can be extremely misleading to attempt to extrapolate findings based on the ocular irritative response of rabbits to other species, especially humans."
 22. Blackwell, Tom 2013-12-27 "'It's alive!' How tiny 'organs' on microchips could replace mice and rats in medical research labs" *National Post* <https://news.nationalpost.com/news/canada/its-alive-how-tiny-organs-on-microchips-could-replace-mice-and-rats-in-medical-research-labs>
Accessed 2019-10-28
 23. Bosshard, E. 1985-02-01 "Review on skin and mucous-membrane irritation tests and their application" *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 23(2):149-154 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915\(85\)90007-9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915(85)90007-9)
*"Moreover discrepancies in the response of the rabbit and human eye impede the extrapolation from animal data to man."
"Anatomical, physiological and biochemical differences between animals and humans prevent direct prediction of the expected irritancy in man from data on experimental animals."
"...the information that is necessary to prevent a possible hazard to man is the identification of an irritant or a corrosive substance. If this straightforward goal is kept in mind, in vitro techniques will soon provide reliable data for the estimation of the irritancy potential in man."*
 24. Boyce, W. Thomas; Champoux, Maribeth; Suomi, Stephen J. and Gunnar, Megan R. 1995-07-01 "Salivary cortisol in nursery-reared rhesus monkeys: Reactivity to peer interactions and altered circadian activity" *Developmental Psychobiology* 28(5):257-267
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dev.420280502>
 25. Bradfield, John F.; Schachtman, Todd R.; McLaughlin, Ron M. and Steffen, Earl K. 1992-12-01 "Behavioral and physiologic effects of inapparent wound infection in rats" *Laboratory Animal Science* 42(6):572-578 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1479809>
"Although no clinical signs were detected by postoperative observation, rats inoculated with bacteria were significantly less active in the open field and the duration of freezing behavior was shorter. ... These findings underscore the need for sterile techniques in rat surgery to avoid confounding experimental data."
 26. Brent, Linda; Koban, Tina and Ramirez, Stephanie 2002-12-01 "Abnormal, abusive, and stress-related behaviors in baboon mothers" *Biological Psychiatry* 52(11):1047-1056
[https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223\(02\)01540-8](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01540-8)
 27. Breveglieri et al 2022 Breveglieri, Rossella; Borgomaneri, Sara; Filippini, Matteo; Tessari, Alessia; Galletti, Claudio; Davare, Marco and Fattori, Patrizia 2022-10-15 "Complementary contribution of the medial and lateral human parietal cortex to grasping: a repetitive TMS study" *Cerebral Cortex* bhac404 <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac404>
 - 28.

29. Brown, Samantha O.; Effinger, Devin P.; Montoro, Rodrigo A.; Daddaoua, Nabil; Justinova, Zuzana; Moerke, Megan J.; Schindler, Charles W.; Jedema, Hank P. and Bradberry, Charles W. 2022-06-01 "Economic choice between remifentanyl and food in squirrel monkeys" *Neuropsychopharmacology* 47(7):1398-1404
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9117236/>
30. Bruner, R.H.; Kinkead, E.R.; O'Neill, T.P.; Flemming, C.D.; Mattie, D.R.; Russell, C.A. and Wall, H.G. 1993-01-01 "The toxicologic and oncogenic potential of JP-4 jet fuel vapors in rats and mice: 12-month intermittent inhalation exposures" *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 20(1):97-110 <https://doi.org/10.1006/faat.1993.1012>
"The study did not demonstrate target organ toxicity or carcinogenesis which could be extrapolated to other species."
31. Burk, Diana C. and Sheinberg, David L. 2022-09-18 "Neurons in inferior temporal cortex are sensitive to motion trajectory during degraded object recognition" *Cerebral Cortex Communications* 3(3):tgac034 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9499820/>
32. Buyukmihci, Nedim C 2022-12-01 "Serious Moral Concern Is Not Species-limited" <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6604b7qj>
33. Buyukmihci, Nedim C 2023-02-01 "Restraint of Non-human Primates in Laboratories" <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/60w5n71t> Accessed 2023-02-01
34. Cairns, John 1985-11-01 "The treatment of diseases and the war against cancer" *Scientific American* 253(5):51-59 <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1185-51>
"...more effort should be directed to certain proved forms of screening and much more effort to prevention."
35. Chamove, A.S. 1989-07-01 "Cage design reduces emotionality in mice" *Laboratory Animals* 23(3):215-219 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1258/002367789780810608>
"The mice preferred the most complex cages, and on almost all measures they were less emotional when reared in the more complex cages. Results suggest that a more natural housing environment would lead to healthier animals."
36. Champoux, M.; Bennett, A.; Shannon, C.; Higley, J.D.; Lesch, K.P. and Suomi, S.J. 2002-01-01 "Serotonin transporter gene polymorphism, differential early rearing, and behavior in rhesus monkey neonates" *Molecular Psychiatry* 7(10):1058-1063
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001157>
37. Chapin, Robert E.; Morrissey, Richard E.; Gulati, Dushyant K.; Hope, Esther; Barnes, Leta H.; Russell, Susan A. and Kennedy, Sarah R. 1993-07-01 "Are mouse strains differentially susceptible to the reproductive toxicity of ethylene glycol monomethyl ether? A study of three strains" *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 21(1):8-14
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1006/faat.1993.1065>
"Most rodent reproductive toxicology studies utilize strains of high fecundity. ... The data show that the most fecund strain (Swiss) was affected the least by exposure to EGME, while the least fecund strain (C3H) suffered the greatest declines in fertility. These differences might alter interspecies extrapolation factors, or the permissible exposure levels for humans."
38. CharvetCharvet et al 2022, Christine J.; Ofori, Kwadwo; Baucum, Christine; Sun, Jianli; Modrell, Melinda S.; Hekmatyar, Khan; Edlow, Brian L. and van der Kouwe, Andre J. 2022-05-04 "Tracing Modification to Cortical Circuits in Human and Nonhuman Primates from High-Resolution Tractography, Transcription, and Temporal Dimensions" *The Journal of Neuroscience* 42(18):3749-3767 <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1506-21.2022>
39. Chumakov, Konstantin M.; Norwood, Laurie P.; Parker, Monica L.; Dragunsky, Eugenia M.; Ran, Yuxin and Levenbook, Inessa S. 1992-02-01 "RNA sequence variants in live poliovirus vaccine and their relation to neurovirulence" *Journal of Virology* 66(2):966-970
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC240798/>
40. Clarke, A.S. 1993-12-01 "Social rearing effects on HPA axis activity over early development and in response to stress in rhesus monkeys" *Developmental Psychobiology* 26(8):433-446
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dev.420260802>
41. Clarke, A. Susan; Ebert, Michael H.; Schmidt, Dennis E.; McKinney, William T. and Kraemer,

- Gary W. 1999-07-15 "Biogenic amine activity in response to fluoxetine and desipramine in differentially reared rhesus monkeys" *Biological Psychiatry* 46(2):221-228
[https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223\(99\)00027-X](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(99)00027-X)
42. Cohen, Marie M. and Diamond, Jared M. 1986-10-09 "Medical research. Are we losing the war on cancer?" *Nature* 323(6088):488-489 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/323488a0>
"Given the obvious advantage of avoiding cancer, why is more support given to treatment than to prevention?...some reallocation of funds from the biochemical/cellular aspects of treatment towards prevention and broader aspects, seems called for."
"...the tobacco industry spends \$2 billion on advertising and the US government spends \$3.5 billion to subsidize the tobacco industry."
43. Contopoulos-Ioannidis, Despina G.; Ntzani, Evangelia E. and Ioannidis, John P.A. 2003-04-15 "Translation of highly promising basic science research into clinical applications" *The American Journal of Medicine* 114(6):477-484 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343\(03\)00013-5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(03)00013-5)
44. Cowey, Alan and Alexander, Iona 2012-05-01 "Are hemianopic monkeys and a human hemianope aware of visual events in the blind field?" *Experimental Brain Research* 219(1):47-57 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00221-012-3066-z>
A human patient with a brain lesion acquired accidentally and similar to those created artificially in the macaques was also used.
45. Day, N.L.; Robles, N.; Richardson, G.; Geva, D.; Taylor, P.; Scher, M.; Stoffer, D.; Cornelius, M. and Goldschmidt, L. 1991-02-01 "The effects of prenatal alcohol use on the growth of children at three years of age" *Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research* 15(1):67-71
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.1991.tb00519.x>
46. Ding, Huiping; Kiguchi, Norikazu; Mabry, Kelsey M.; Kishioka, Shiroh and Ko, Mei-Chuan 2023-02-01 "Functional consequences of short-term exposure to opioids versus cannabinoids in nonhuman primates" *Neuropharmacology* 223:109328
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2022.109328>
47. D'Mello, G.D. 1993-01-01 "Behavioural toxicity of anticholinesterases in humans and animals - A review" *Human & Experimental Toxicology* 12(1):3-7
<https://doi.org/10.1177/096032719301200101>
"Understanding of the behavioural mechanisms mediating antiChE-induced changes observed in animals is not sufficient to enable extrapolation to humans."
48. Dorman, David C.; Dye, Janice A.; Nasisse, Mark P.; Ekuta, Jethro; Bolon, Brad and Medinsky, Michele A. 1993-04-01 "Acute methanol toxicity in minipigs" *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 20(3):341-347 <https://doi.org/10.1006/faat.1993.1044>
"Based on results following a single dose, female minipigs do not appear to be overtly sensitive to methanol and thus may not be a suitable model for acute methanol-induced neuro-ocular toxicosis."
49. Durham, Debra L. and Newell-Morris, Laura L. 2006-01-01 "Is it nutrients or nurturing? Comparison of the growth and development of mother-reared and laboratory-reared macaque infants (*Macaca nemestrina*)" In *Nursery Rearing of Nonhuman Primates in the 21st Century*, Sackett, Gene P.; Ruppenthal, Gerald C. and Elias, Kate (eds), 249-268 pp New York: Springer
50. Eastwood, D.; Findlay, L.; Poole, S.; Bird, C.; Wadhwa, M.; Moore, M.; Burns, C.; Thorpe, R. and Stebbings, R. 2010-10-01 "Monoclonal antibody TGN1412 trial failure explained by species differences in CD28 expression on CD4+ effector memory T-cells" *British Journal of Pharmacology* 161(3):512-526 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2990151/>
"In 2006, a life-threatening 'cytokine storm', not predicted by pre-clinical safety testing, rapidly occurred in all six healthy volunteers during the phase I clinical trial of the CD28 superagonist monoclonal antibody (mAb) TGN1412. To date, no unequivocal explanation for the failure of TGN1412 to stimulate profound cytokine release in vitro or in vivo in species used for pre-clinical safety testing has been established. Here, we have identified a species difference almost certainly responsible for this disparate immunopharmacology. ...we identify a species difference in CD28 expression on the CD4+ effector memory T-cell subset as being most likely responsible for the failure of pre-clinical safety testing of TGN1412 in

- cynomolgus macaques.*"
51. Esselstyn, Caldwell B. 1991-12-01 "American Association of Endocrine Surgeons. Presidential address: Beyond surgery" *Surgery* 110(6):923-927
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1745979>
"Although coronary artery disease remains the leading killer in our society, it is still unknown and will never be heard of by four of the five billion people world wide. It is strictly an illness of Western civilization and those of other cultures who have adopted the affluent Western lifestyle. ... Americans consume 135 pounds of fat per year, one ton for every 15 years, and 4 tons of fats and oils have been consumed by age 60. ... When such a life-threatening disease can be promptly arrested, it is perplexing to note the continued emphasis of mechanical measures to treat the disease."
"...it is apparent that cholelithiasis is part of the price of achieving the Western way of life."
"Nations that consume greater amounts of dietary fat per person have the highest mortality rates from breast cancer...When persons migrate from a nation of low incidence of breast cancer to a nation of higher frequency, these immigrants will have the same high rate of breast cancer as their new nation by the second and third generation..."
"...carcinoma of the prostate gland, which closely correlates with the epidemiologic factors of breast cancer in terms of fat consumption..."
"...association of fat with an increased incidence of carcinoma of the colon. ... Women who consume red meat daily had a 2.5 times risk of colon cancer compared to those who ate red meat less than once a month. No associated increased risk was noted with vegetable fat. ... Possible mechanisms include the observation that diets high in fat increase the excretion of bile acids... which have been noted in persons with higher rates of colon cancer and polyps...Bile acids act as a tumor promoter... ..bile acid modification by intestinal flora is decreased in vegetarians and those who reduce their beef fat intake..."
"...osteoporosis, a disease of protein excess. ... The women of Bantu who are over 60 years of age do not have osteoporosis. They have a huge calcium drain, having an average of 10 children and nursing each child for 14 months. Their diet includes 440 mg of calcium per day, half of our recommended daily allowance... They are protected because they eat only 50 gm of protein daily. When they move to civilization their protein intake increases and they develop osteoporosis... The Eskimo consumes a diet that is high in protein (250 to 400 gm per day) and a diet high in calcium (2000 mg per day); yet, despite much physical activity, they have one of the highest rates of osteoporosis... Millions of Americans have osteoporosis, accounting for 190,000 hip fractures annually... Fifteen thousand women die each year as a result of hip fractures. Despite such data, osteoporosis is unknown in many countries around the world except in Western civilization, which consumes two to three times more protein than required."
52. Fahlke, Claudia; Lorenz, Joseph G.; Long, Jeffrey; Champoux, Maribeth; Suomi, Stephen J. and Higley, J. Dee 2000-05-01 "Rearing experiences and stress-induced plasma cortisol as early risk factors for excessive alcohol consumption in nonhuman primates" *Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research* 24(5):644-650 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2000.tb02035.x>
53. FelscheFelsche et al 2022, Elisa; Stevens, Patience; Völter, Christoph J.; Buchsbaum, Daphna and Seed, Amanda M. 2022-12-08 "Evidence for abstract representations in children but not capuchin monkeys" *Cognitive Psychology* 140:101530
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2022.101530>
54. Fouts, J.R. 1976-04-01 "Overview of the field: environmental factors affecting chemical or drug effects in animals" *Federation Proceedings* 35(5):1162-1165
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1261711>
55. Dreyer, Alexander M. and Rieger, Jochem W. 2021-12-02 "High-gamma mirror activity patterns in the human brain during reach-to-grasp movement observation, retention, and execution—An MEG study" *PLoS One* 16(12):e0260304 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8639081/>
56. GarinGarin et al 2022, Clément M.; Hori, Yuki; Everling, Stefan; Whitlow, Christopher T.; Calabro, Finnegan J.; Luna, Beatriz; Froesel, Mathilda; Gacoin, Maëva; Ben Hamed, Suliann;

- Dhenain, Marc and Constantinidis, Christos 2022-04-12 "An evolutionary gap in primate default mode network organization" *Cell Reports* 39(2):110669
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110669>
57. Gerak, Lisa R.; Maguire, David R.; Cami-Kobeci, Gerta; Olson, Keith M.; Traynor, John R.; Husbands, Stephen M.; France, Charles P.; Acevedo, Lisette; Belli, Barbara and Flynn, Peter 2022-09-01 "OREX-1038: a potential new treatment for pain with low abuse liability and limited adverse effects" *Behavioural Pharmacology* 33(6):377-394
<https://doi.org/10.1097/fbp.0000000000000684>
58. Gerak, Lisa R. and France, Charles P. 2022-12-27 "Attenuation of the positive-reinforcing effects of ultra-potent fentanyl analogs, along with those of fentanyl and heroin, during daily treatment with methocinnamox (MCAM) in rhesus monkeys" *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics JPET-AR-2022-001267* <https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.122.001267>
59. Gigliucci, Valentina; Buckley, Kathleen Niamh; Nunan, John; O'Shea, Karen and Harkin, Andrew 2010-02-01 "A role for serotonin in the antidepressant activity of NG-Nitro-L-arginine, in the rat forced swimming test" *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior* 94(4):524-533
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2009.11.007>
60. Gilbert, Amy T.; Petersen, Brett W.; Recuenco, Sergio; Niezgod, Michael; Gómez, Jorge; Laguna-Torres, V. Alberto and Rupprecht, Charles 2012-08-01 "Evidence of rabies virus exposure among humans in the Peruvian Amazon" *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 87(2):206-215 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3414554/>
61. Gingras, J.L.; O'Donnell, K.J. and Hume, R.F. 1990-12-01 "Maternal cocaine addiction and fetal behavioral state. I. A human model for the study of sudden infant death syndrome" *Medical Hypotheses* 33(4):227-230 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-9877\(90\)90131-W](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-9877(90)90131-W)
"We propose that the study of in utero cocaine exposed fetuses will provide a human model for examining the pathophysiology of SIDS."
"Infants born to cocaine abusing mothers may have an increased incidence of sudden, unexplained death... Preliminary data... suggest that pneumocardiograms are abnormal in a high percentage of cocaine exposed infants... Infants born to cocaine abusing women are described as restless and disorganized, suggesting a possible underlying defect in sleep maturation. These studies suggest that the key feature accounting for the possible increased incidence of SIDS in cocaine exposed infants is an abnormality in respiratory control, particularly in mechanisms regulating respiration during sleep."
62. Goldberg, Morton F. 1988-06-01 "Ophthalmic epidemiology and biostatistics" *Archives of Ophthalmology* 106(6):737-738 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archophth.1988.01060130807026>
"The cost of large clinical trials can exceed many millions of dollars, but the savings to society are substantial. The NEI estimates the Diabetic Retinopathy Study to have cost about \$10.6 million, but it will generate an annual national savings of about \$31 million because of preserved vision. The Macular Photocoagulation Study cost about \$1.56 million, but it is estimated to save nearly \$57.5 million annually."
63. Gronkiewicz, Kristina M.; Giuliano, Elizabeth A.; Sharma, Ajay and Mohan, Rajiv R. 2017-03-01 "Effects of topical hyaluronic acid on corneal wound healing in dogs: a pilot study" *Veterinary Ophthalmology* 20(2):123-130 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/vop.12379>
64. Gross, W.B. and Siegel, Paul B. 1982-11-01 "Socialization as a factor in resistance to infection, feed efficiency, and response to antigen in chickens" *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 43(11):2010-2012 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6758638>
Socializing of chickens to humans reduced by more than 60% the prevalence of death and pericarditis to a challenge exposure to Escherichia coli. The chickens also had improved feed efficiency and increased antibody response to foreign proteins.
65. Headon, M.P.; Sloper, J.J.; Hiorns, R.W. and Powell, T.P.S. 1985-02-01 "Sizes of neurons in the primate lateral geniculate nucleus during normal development" *Developmental Brain Research* 18(1-2):51-56 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-3806\(85\)90249-4](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-3806(85)90249-4)
"In the primate, unlike the cat, the period of maximum sensitivity to visual deprivation does not correspond to a period of rapid cell growth in the LGN."

66. Herrera et al 2022 Herrera, Carolina; Cottrell, Mackenzie L.; Prybylski, John; Kashuba, Angela D.M.; Veazey, Ronald S.; García-Pérez, Javier; Olejniczak, Natalia; McCoy, Clare F.; Ziprin, Paul; Richardson-Harman, Nicola; Alcamí, José; Malcolm, Karl R. and Shattock, Robin J. 2022-05-16 "The ex vivo pharmacology of HIV-1 antiretrovirals differs between macaques and humans" *iScience* 25(6):104409 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9157191/>
67. Heuer, Eric; Kazama, Andrew and Bachevalier, Jocelyne 2023-02-13 "Acoustic startle and prepulse inhibition deficits in adult monkeys with neonatal lesions of the hippocampus, amygdala and orbital frontal cortex" *Behavioural Brain Research* 438:114170 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2022.114170>
68. Heusser, Michelle R.; Burreilly, Clara and Gandhi, Neeraj J. 2022-11-01 "Decoding the time course of spatial information from spiking and local field potential activities in the superior colliculus" *eNeuro* 9(6):ENEURO.0347-22.2022 <https://doi.org/10.1523/eneuro.0347-22.2022>
69. Higley, J. Dee; Suomi, Stephen J. and Linnoila, Markku 1992-07-15 "A longitudinal assessment of CSF monoamine metabolite and plasma cortisol concentrations in young rhesus monkeys" *Biological Psychiatry* 32(2):127-145 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223\(92\)90016-S](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(92)90016-S)
70. Holtyn, August F.; Davis, Catherine M. and Weerts, Elise M. 2020-01-01 "Development of a novel alcohol and nicotine concurrent access (ANCA) self-administration procedure in baboons" *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 206:107665 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc6980761/>
71. Holzman, David 1989-04-10 "To find a way to age in health" *Insight* 8-15
Jacob A. Brody, dean of school of public health at University of Illinois, Chicago, has stated that it is a common misconception that medicine played an important role in life expectancy increase. "Half the gain in life expectancy [this century] had occurred by 1920, and medicine had nothing to do with it." Antibiotics were not widely available until the late 1940s. Jerome L. Avorn, a Harvard University Medical School professor cites "the availability of adequate nutrition, of clean water free of sewage, of sufficient housing to prevent crowding and exposure to the elements, and the institution of rudimentary sanitation practices" as being responsible for much of the good health we enjoy.
72. Hoyt, Creig S.; Stone, Robert D.; Fromer, Carl and Billson, Frank A. 1981-02-01 "Monocular axial myopia associated with neonatal eyelid closure in human infants" *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 91(2):197-200 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394\(81\)90173-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394(81)90173-2)
73. Hsu, Tzu-Yu; Wang, Hsin-Yi; Chen, Jui-Tai and Wang, Chin-An 2022-11-17 "Investigating the role of human frontal eye field in the pupil light reflex modulation by saccade planning and working memory" *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 16:1044893 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9712196/>
74. Jacobs, Gerald H. and Harwerth, Ronald S. 1989-01-01 "Color vision variations in Old and New World primates" *American Journal of Primatology* 18(1):35-44 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350180104>
"It has long been recognized that there are significant individual variations in color vision among humans. ... We conclude that, if they occur at all, individual variations in color vision among macaque monkeys must be rare."
75. Jaffer, Sajjida; Vorobyov, Vasily; Kind, Peter C. and Sengpiel, Frank 2012-04-01 "Experience-dependent regulation of functional maps and synaptic protein expression in the cat visual cortex" *The European Journal of Neuroscience* 35(8):1281-1294 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08044.x>
76. Janerich, D.T.; Thompson, W.D.; Varela, L.R.; Greenwald, P.; Chorost, S.; Tucci, C.; Zaman, M.B.; Melamed, M.R.; Kiely, M. and McKneally, M.F. 1990-09-06 "Lung cancer and exposure to tobacco smoke in the household" *The New England Journal of Medicine* 323(10):632-636 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199009063231003>
"...our findings regarding exposure during early life suggest that approximately 17 percent of lung cancers among nonsmokers can be attributed to high levels of exposure to cigarette smoke during childhood and adolescence."
77. Jayaram, Hari; Becker, Silke; Eastlake, Karen; Jones, Megan F.; Charteris, David G. and Limb,

- G. Astrid 2014-07-01 "Optimized feline vitrectomy technique for therapeutic stem cell delivery to the inner retina" *Veterinary Ophthalmology* 17(4):300-304
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4258072/>
78. Jenden, Donald J. 1991-03-01 "Difficulties in using animal data to predict pharmacological response in man" *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 15(1):105-107
[https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634\(05\)80100-9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(05)80100-9)
79. Kaufman, Marc J.; Levin, Jonathan M.; Ross, Marjorie H.; Lange, Nicholas; Rose, Stephanie L.; Kukes, Thellea J.; Mendelson, Jack H.; Lukas, Scott E.; Cohen, Bruce M. and Renshaw, Perry F. 1998-02-04 "Cocaine-induced cerebral vasoconstriction detected in humans with magnetic resonance angiography" *JAMA* 279(5):376-380 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.279.5.376>
Magnetic resonance imaging was used to study the brain blood vessels in 24 healthy men in their 20s and 30s who reported a history of occasional cocaine use. A single low dose of cocaine was given intravenously and brain function was observed 20 minutes later. It was found that even low-dose cocaine constricts brain blood vessels, sometimes severely, supporting concerns that it can cause either acute or gradual loss of brain cells.
80. Kiguchi, Norikazu; Ding, Huiping; Park, Sun H.; Mabry, Kelsey M.; Kishioka, Shiroh; Shiozawa, Yusuke; Romero-Sandoval, E. Alfonso; Peters, Christopher M. and Ko, Mei-Chuan 2022-04-01 "Functional roles of neuromedin B and gastrin-releasing peptide in regulating itch and pain in the spinal cord of non-human primates" *Biochemical Pharmacology* 198:114972
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2022.114972>
81. Kiyosawa, Motohiro; Bosley, Thomas M.; Kushner, Michael; Jamieson, Dara; Alavi, Abass; Savino, Peter J.; Sergott, Robert C. and Reivich, Martin 1989-08-01 "Positron emission tomography to study the effect of eye closure and optic nerve damage on human cerebral glucose metabolism" *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 108(2):147-152
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394\(89\)90009-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9394(89)90009-3)
82. Koch, Giacomo; Oliveri, Massimiliano and Caltagirone, Carlo 2009-07-12 "Neural networks engaged in milliseconds and seconds time processing: evidence from transcranial magnetic stimulation and patients with cortical or subcortical dysfunction" *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 364(1525):1907-1918
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2685818/>
83. Koren, Gideon and Graham, Karen 1992-06-01 "Cocaine in pregnancy: Analysis of fetal risk" *Veterinary and Human Toxicology* 34(3):263-264 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1609505>
84. Larsson, Jonas; Heeger, David J. and Landy, Michael S. 2010-12-01 "Orientation selectivity of motion-boundary responses in human visual cortex" *Journal of Neurophysiology* 104(6):2940-2950 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3007646/>
85. Lawwill, Theodore 1978-05-01 "Electrophysiologic aspects of amblyopia" *Ophthalmology* 85(5):451-464 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420\(78\)35650-5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(78)35650-5)
"Ingenious investigators have discovered a great deal about amblyopia in the intact human being by using psychophysical and electrophysiologic techniques. ... By supplying unique stimuli separated in time or space to the visual field of one or both eyes, it is possible to determine which neural interactions in visual processing occur at different levels of the visual system, from the retina to the cortex, and to discover which specific steps in processing are abnormal in amblyopia."
86. Le Foll, Bernard; Wertheim, Carrie and Goldberg, Steven R. 2007-02-21 "High reinforcing efficacy of nicotine in non-human primates" *PLoS One* 2(2):e230
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000230>
87. Ledford, Heidi 2013-07-16 "Animal studies produce many false positives" *Nature*
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature.2013.13385>
88. Lee, H.P.; Gourley, L.; Duffy, S.W.; Estève, J.; Lee, J. and Day, N.E. 1991-05-18 "Dietary effects on breast-cancer risk in Singapore" *Lancet* 337(8751):1197-1200
[https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(91\)92867-2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(91)92867-2)
"In premenopausal women, high intakes of animal proteins and red meat were associated with increased risk [of breast cancer]."

89. Lutz, Corrine; Well, Arnold and Novak, Melinda 2003-05-01 "Stereotypic and self-injurious behavior in rhesus macaques: A survey and retrospective analysis of environment and early experience" *American Journal of Primatology* 60(1):1-15 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.10075>
90. Lutz, Corrine K.; Davis, Ernie B.; Ruggiero, Angela M. and Suomi, Stephen J. 2007-05-01 "Early predictors of self-biting in socially-housed rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*)" *American Journal of Primatology* 69(5):584-590 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20370>
91. Lutz, Corrine K.; Williams, Priscilla C. and Sharp, R. Mark 2014-04-01 "Abnormal behavior and associated risk factors in captive baboons (*Papio hamadryas* spp.)" *American Journal of Primatology* 76(4):355-361 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4346173/>
92. Ma, Zhen; Wang, Jason; Loskill, Peter; Huebsch, Nathaniel; Koo, Sangmo; Svedlund, Felicia L.; Marks, Natalie C.; Hua, Ethan W.; Grigoropoulos, Costas P.; Conklin, Bruce R. and Healy, Kevin E. 2015-07-14 "Self-organizing human cardiac microchambers mediated by geometric confinement" *Nature Communications* 6:7413
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4503387/>
93. Magliaro, Chiara and Ahluwalia, Arti 2022-11-01 "Biomedical Research on Substances of Abuse: The Italian Case Study" *Alternatives to Laboratory Animals* 50(6):423-436
<https://doi.org/10.1177/02611929221132215>
94. Mathes, C.M.; Bueter, M.; Smith, K.R.; Lutz, T.A.; le Roux, C.W. and Spector, A.C. 2012-03-15 "Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in rats increases sucrose taste-related motivated behavior independent of pharmacological GLP-1-receptor modulation" *American Journal of Physiology. Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 302(6):R751-R767
<https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00214.2011>
95. McCormack, K.M.; Howell, B.R.; Higgins, M.; Bramlett, S.; Guzman, D.; Morin, E.L.; Villongco, C.; Liu, Y.; Meyer, J. and Sanchez, M.M. 2022-12-01 "The developmental consequences of early adverse care on infant macaques: A cross-fostering study" *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 146:105947 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2022.105947>
96. McGrath, C.J.; Gross, W.B. and Lee, J.C. 1984-07-01 "Effects of social stress on response to ketamine anesthesia in chickens" *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 45(7):1421-1424
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24049910>
"Long-term exposure to different social stress environments markedly altered ketamine-induced sleep time in chickens."
97. McKinlay, John B. and McKinlay, Sonja M. 1977-06-01 "The questionable contribution of medical measures to the decline of mortality in the United States in the twentieth century" *The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 55(3):405-428 https://www.jstor.org/stable/3349539?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents
"...by the time laboratory medicine came effectively into the picture the job had been carried far toward completion by the humanitarians and social reformers of the nineteenth century... When the tide is receding from the beach it is easy to have the illusion that one can empty the ocean by removing water with a pail." (This quote is by R. Dubos)
98. McManus, Rich 2013-06-21 "Ex-Director Zerhouni surveys value of NIH research" *N.I.H. Record* 65(13): <https://nihrecord.nih.gov/sites/recordNIH/files/pdf/2013/NIH-Record-2013-06-21.pdf>
99. Nader, Michael; Johnson, Bernard; Coller, Michael and Odom, Jillian 2022-05-01 "Effects of Co-Use of Nicotine on Cocaine-Food Choice in Socially Housed Female and Male *Cynomolgus* Monkeys" *FASEB Journal* 36(S1)
100. Neergaard, Lauran 1993-09-01 "Drug trial yields its deadly secret" *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette* <https://www.newspapers.com/newspage/89588474/> Accessed 2019-10-27
Although fialuridine passed toxicity tests in animals, it was killing people when used in them.
101. Newell, Claire and Malnick, Edward 2017-09-03 "Oxford University scientists gave babies trial TB vaccine 'that did not work on monkeys'" *The Telegraph* <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/09/03/oxford-university-scientists-gave-babies-trial-tb-vaccine-did/> Accessed 2020-03-13
102. NIH Guide <https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/guide-for-the-care-and-use-of-laboratory-animals.pdf>

103. Novak, Melinda A.; Hamel, Amanda F.; Kelly, Brian J.; Dettmer, Amanda M. and Meyer, Jerrold S. 2013-01-31 "Stress, the HPA axis, and nonhuman primate well-being: A review" *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 143(2-4):135-149
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc3580872/>
104. Nunez, Valerie; Gordon, James and Shapley, Robert 2022-05-25 "Signals from Single-Opponent cortical cells in the human cVEP" *The Journal of Neuroscience* 42(21):4380-4393
<https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0276-22.2022>
105. O'Callaghan, Rachel M.; Griffin, Éadaoin W. and Kelly, Áine M. 2009-10-01 "Long-term treadmill exposure protects against age-related neurodegenerative change in the rat hippocampus" *Hippocampus* 19(10):1019-1029 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20591>
106. Oksenberg, Donna; Marsters, Scot A.; O'Dowd, Brian F.; Jin, Hui; Havlik, Sona; Peroutka, Stephen J. and Ashkenazi, Avi 1992-11-12 "A single amino-acid difference confers major pharmacological variation between human and rodent 5-HT_{1B} receptors" *Nature* 360(6400):161-163 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/360161a0>
107. Packwood, James and Gordon, Barbara 1975-01-01 "Stereopsis in normal domestic cat, Siamese cat, and cat raised with alternating monocular occlusion" *Journal of Neurophysiology* 38(6):1485-1499 <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1975.38.6.1485>
*"The feline limits of fusion and qualitative stereopsis reported here are quite different from those reported from human psychophysical experiments."
The cat's visual acuity is "...about one-sixth that of..." the human.
The experiment also was repeated, in essence, on human subjects to determine if the difference between the results in the cats and those previously reported for humans was due to species differences. Those results argued that it was due to species differences.*
108. Padrell, Maria; Llorente, Miquel and Amici, Federica 2021-10-01 "Invasive Research on Non-Human Primates—Time to Turn the Page" *Animals* 11(10):2999
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8532895/>
109. Palca, Joseph 1990-05-18 "Insights from broken brains" *Science* 248(4957):812-814
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.2188359>
110. Pan, Li; Ping, An; Schriver, Kenneth E.; Roe, Anna Wang; Zhu, Junming and Xu, Kedi 2023-01-30 Pan et al 2023 "Infrared neural stimulation in human cerebral cortex" *Brain Stimulation*
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2023.01.1678>
111. Pareek, Tanya; Overton, John S.; Nguyen, Luat T.; Rahman, Md Toufiqur; Sharmin, Dishary; Cook, James M. and Platt, Donna M. 2023-02-01 "Modeling cue-exposure therapy for alcohol use disorder in rhesus monkeys: Effects of putative cognitive enhancers" *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 243:109735 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2022.109735>
112. Pereira, Michael E. 1991-01-01 "Primate preference for outdoors" *Humane Innovations and Alternatives* 5:313-315
*Dr. Pereira points out the following in the case of non-human primates: "Sifakas [a type of lemur] are... vulnerable to a 'wasting' syndrome, marked by progressive disinclination to eat, behavioral lethargy, and eventual death." This was prevented by outdoor housing in a more naturalistic environment. "In some way, outdoor living space critically enhances the performance of sifaka neurologic, endocrinologic, and/or gastrointestinal processes...
...systematic efforts have not been made as yet to reveal the biological dysfunctions caused in hardier primates such as macaques by standard laboratory conditions. Life-long solitary occupation of caging not much larger than subjects' bodies is one such condition that is disquieting."
"Because primates are born with an evolutionary legacy of profound and complex gregariousness, it is an inescapable, primary hypothesis for all research on captive primates that documented results substantially reflect idiomorphic responses to housing conditions. It is impossible for scientists working with individually-caged beings to interpret any experimental paradigm before identifying the underlying effects of such housing regimes on the systems that they study."
"Our studies document that indoor-outdoor housing for social groups produces demonstrably*

healthier, less expensive, and much less idiosyncratic subjects... Primates must have opportunities to move periodically into temporary visual seclusion. Primates need opportunities to make choices and exert some degree of control over the quality of their moment-to-moment experience..."

113. Petersen, S.E.; Fox, P.T.; Posner, M.I.; Mintun, M. and Raichle, M.E. 1988-02-18 "Positron emission tomographic studies of the cortical anatomy of single-word processing" *Nature* 331(6157):585-589 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/331585a0>
114. Petersen, Steven E.; Fox, Peter T.; Snyder, Abraham Z. and Raichle, Marcus E. 1990-08-31 "Activation of extrastriate and frontal cortical areas by visual words and word-like stimuli" *Science* 249(4972):1041-1044 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.2396097>
"Visual presentation of words activates extrastriate regions of the occipital lobes of the brain. When analyzed by positron emission tomography (PET), certain areas in the left, medial extrastriate visual cortex were activated by visually presented pseudowords that obey English spelling rules, as well as by actual words. ... These findings support distinctions made in cognitive psychology and computational modeling between high-level visual and semantic computations on single words and describe the anatomy that may underlie these distinctions."
115. Petticrew, Mark and Smith, George Davey 2012-03-21 "The monkey puzzle: A systematic review of studies of stress, social hierarchies, and heart disease in monkeys" *PLoS One* 7(3):e27939 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc3309950/>
"Overall, non-human primate studies present only limited evidence for an association between social status and CAD [coronary artery disease], Despite this, there is selective citation of individual non-human primate studies in reviews and commentaries relating to human disease aetiology. Such generalisation of data from monkey studies to human societies does not appear warranted."
"Primatologists themselves have warned repeatedly about over-generalising from primate data to human societies... Indeed the data may not even be generalisable between similar species of monkey, as comparative research and field studies suggest that there are striking differences in group composition, social spacing, dominance and aggression between species... The social and hierarchical behaviour of Macaca fascicularis, the species used in many of these studies, may not therefore even be representative of all of its own genus, which raises doubt about extrapolation to higher primates."
116. Posner, Michael I.; Petersen, Steven E.; Fox, Peter T. and Raichle, Marcus E. 1988-06-17 "Localization of cognitive operations in the human brain" *Science* 240(4859):1627-1631 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.3289116>
117. Pound, Pandora; Ebrahim, Shah; Sandercock, Peter; Bracken, Michael B. and Roberts, Ian 2004-02-28 "Where is the evidence that animal research benefits humans?" *British Medical Journal* 328(7438):514-517 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC351856/>
118. Pulaski, Cassan N.; Malone, John B.; Bourguinat, Catherine; Prichard, Roger; Geary, Timothy; Ward, Danielle; Klei, Thomas R.; Guidry, Tal; 'Bud' Smith, George; Delcambre, Brooke; Bova, Jonathan; Pepping, Jenny; Carmichael, James; Schenker, Rudolf and Pariaut, Romain 2014-11-07 "Establishment of macrocyclic lactone resistant *Dirofilaria immitis* isolates in experimentally infected laboratory dogs" *Parasites & Vectors* 7:494 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4228187/>
119. Ragland, Steve 1998-03-01 "Burn research without animals" *Good Medicine* 7(2):14-15
120. Rahimi, Omeed; Cao, Jianjing; Lam, Jenny; Childers, Steven R.; Rais, Rana; Porrino, Linda J.; Newman, Amy Hauck and Nader, Michael A. 2023-01-17 "THE EFFECTS OF THE DOPAMINE TRANSPORTER LIGANDS JJC8-088 AND JJC8-091 ON COCAINE VS. FOOD CHOICE IN RHESUS MONKEYS" *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics JPET-AR-2022-001363* <https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.122.001363>
121. Rajalingham, Rishi; Sorenson, Michael; Azadi, Reza; Bohn, Simon; DiCarlo, James J. and Afraz, Arash 2021-09-01 "Chronically implantable LED arrays for behavioral optogenetics in primates" *Nature Methods* 18(9):1112-1116 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01238-9>

122. RamirezRamirez et al 2022, Ana L.; Thompson, Lowell W.; Rosenberg, Ari and Baker, Curtis L. 2022-11-09 "Behavioral signatures of Y-like neuronal responses in human vision" Scientific Reports 12(1):19116 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23293-8>
123. Rather, Hilal A.; Mishra, Shalini; Su, Yixin; Kumar, Ashish; Singh, Sangeeta; Misra, Biswapriya B.; Lee, Jingyun; Furdai, Cristina M.; Hamilton, Lindsey R.; Gould, Robert W.; Nader, Susan H.; Nader, Michael A. and Deep, Gagan 2022-03-28 "Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteome Profiling of Extracellular Vesicles Derived from the Cerebrospinal Fluid of Adult Rhesus Monkeys Exposed to Cocaine throughout Gestation" Biomolecules 12(4):510 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9026784/>
124. Raviola, Elio and Wiesel, Torsten N. 1985-06-20 "An animal model of myopia" The New England Journal of Medicine 312(25):1609-1615 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198506203122505>
125. Rea, Robert F.; Martins, James B. and Mark, Allyn L. 1988-10-01 "Baroreflex impairment and sudden death after myocardial infarction" Circulation 78(4):1072-1074 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.78.4.1072>
*Referring to the study by Schwartz, et al. (Schwartz et al 1988): "Despite the strengths and the Herculean proportions of the animal study, several caveats are warranted."
"Thus, the conclusions based on the present experimental model may not be relevant to the majority of patients at risk for SCD."*
126. Reeders et al 2023Reeders, Puck C.; Núñez, M. Vanessa Rivera; Vertes, Robert P.; Mattfeld, Aaron T. and Allen, Timothy A. 2023-01-04 "Identifying the midline thalamus in humans in vivo" Brain Structure & Function <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02607-6>
127. Reinhardt, Viktor 1997-06-01 "Lighting conditions for laboratory monkeys: Are they adequate?" Animal Welfare Information Center Newsletter 8(2):3-6
128. Romero, Jorge A. 1976-04-01 "Influence of diurnal cycles on biochemical parameters of drug sensitivity: the pineal gland as a model" Federation Proceedings 35(5):1157-1161 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4341>
129. Rovner, Sandy 1986-08-13 "Surgery without anesthesia: Can preemies feel pain?" The Washington Post <https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/lifestyle/wellness/1986/08/13/surgery-without-anesthesia-can-preemies-feel-pain/54d32183-8eed-49a8-9066-9dc7cf0afa82/> Accessed 2019-10-29
*Neuromuscular blocking agents used; no anaesthetic. "I don't know if...(babies)...feel pain..."
"I would imagine that some people would feel, at an emotional level, if babies cry, they're hurting. I'm not sure I can accept that..."*
130. Sajad, Amirsaman; Errington, Steven P. and Schall, Jeffrey D. 2022-10-21 "Functional architecture of executive control and associated event-related potentials in macaques" Nature Communications 13(1):6270 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33942-1>
131. Sallet, Jérôme; Mars, Rogier B.; Noonan, MaryAnn P.; Neubert, Franz-Xaver; Jbabdi, Saad; O'Reilly, Jill X.; Filippini, Nicola; Thomas, Adam G. and Rushworth, Matthew F. 2013-07-24 "The organization of dorsal frontal cortex in humans and macaques" The Journal of Neuroscience 33(30):12255-12274 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5108-12.2013>
132. Sapolsky, Robert M. 1993-03-01 "Primate models, supermonkeys, and weaklings" Biological Psychiatry 33(5):311-312 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223\(93\)90319-9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3223(93)90319-9)
133. Schatzkin, Arthur; Greenwald, Peter; Byar, David P. and Clifford, Carolyn K. 1989-06-09 "The dietary fat-breast cancer hypothesis is alive" JAMA 261(22):3284-3287 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1989.03420220098035>
134. Schwartz, Peter J.; Vanoli, Emilio; Stramba-Badiale, Marco; De Ferrari, Gaetano M.; Billman, George E. and Foreman, Robert D. 1988-10-01 "Autonomic mechanisms and sudden death. New insights from analysis of baroreceptor reflexes in conscious dogs with and without a myocardial infarction" Circulation 78(4):969-979 <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.78.4.969>
135. Seok, Junhee; Warren, H. Shaw; Cuenca, Alex G.; Mindrinos, Michael N.; Baker, Henry V.; Xu, Weihong; Richards, Daniel R.; McDonald-Smith, Grace P.; Gao, Hong; Hennessy, Laura;

- Finnerty, Celeste C.; López, Cecilia M.; Honari, Shari; Moore, Ernest E.; Minei, Joseph P.; Cuschieri, Joseph; Bankey, Paul E.; Johnson, Jeffrey L.; Sperry, Jason; Nathens, Avery B.; Billiar, Timothy R.; West, Michael A.; Jeschke, Marc G.; Klein, Matthew B.; Gamelli, Richard L.; Gibran, Nicole S.; Brownstein, Bernard H.; Miller-Graziano, Carol; Calvano, Steve E.; Mason, Philip H.; Cobb, J. Perren; Rahme, Laurence G.; Lowry, Stephen F.; Maier, Ronald V.; Moldawer, Lyle L.; Herndon, David N.; Davis, Ronald W.; Xiao, Wenzhong; Tompkins, Ronald G. and the Inflammation and Host Response to Injury, Large Scale Collaborative Research Program 2013-02-26 "Genomic responses in mouse models poorly mimic human inflammatory diseases" *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110(9):3507-3512 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3587220/>
136. Sengupta, Anirban; Mishra, Arabinda; Wang, Feng; Li, Muwei; Yang, Pai-Feng; Chen, Li Min and Gore, John C. 2021-10-15 "Functional networks in non-human primate spinal cord and the effects of injury" *NeuroImage* 240:118391 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118391>
137. Sergent, Justine; Zuck, Eric; Terriah, Sean and MacDonald, Brennan 1992-07-03 "Distributed neural network underlying musical sight-reading and keyboard performance" *Science* 257(5066):106-109 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1621084>
138. Shichinohe, K.; Shimizu, M.; Asakawa, M. and Ishizaki, M. 1990-07-01 "Effect of rearing conditions on encephalomyocarditis (EMC) virus-induced diabetes in mice" *Jikken Dobutsu. Experimental Animals* 39(3):377-381 https://doi.org/10.1538/expanim1978.39.3_377
"The incidence of diabetic symptoms was higher in mice reared under sterile condition than under non-sterile condition. It appeared that a difference in animal rearing conditions would affect the sensitivity to EMC virus in mice."
139. Silasi, Robert; Keshari, Ravi S.; Regmi, Girija; Lupu, Cristina; Georgescu, Constantin; Simmons, Joe H.; Wallisch, Michael; Kohs, Tia C.L.; Shatzel, Joseph J.; Olson, Sven R.; Lorentz, Christina U.; Puy, Cristina; Tucker, Erik I.; Gailani, David; Strickland, Sidney; Gruber, Andrés; McCarty, Owen J.T. and Lupu, Florea 2021-07-15 "Factor XII plays a pathogenic role in organ failure and death in baboons challenged with *Staphylococcus aureus*" *Blood* 138(2):178-189 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8288658/>
140. Silverman, A.P. 1978-11-01 "Rodents' defence against cigarette smoke" *Animal Behaviour* 26(4):1279-1281 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472\(78\)90124-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472(78)90124-0)
Rats and hamsters were placed in chambers into which tobacco smoke was delivered. They would use their faeces to block the smoke from coming in.
141. Simon, Liz; Torres, Diego; Saravia, Ari; Levitt, Danielle E.; Vande Stouwe, Curtis; McGarrah, Heather; Coleman, Larry; Dufour, Jason P.; Amedee, Angela M. and Molina, Patricia E. 2021-11-01 "Chronic binge alcohol and ovariectomy-mediated impaired insulin responsiveness in SIV-infected female rhesus macaques" *American Journal of Physiology. Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 321(5):R699-R711 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00159.2021>
142. Smith, G.S.; Watkins, J.B.; Thompson, T.N.; Rozman, K. and Klaassen, C.D. 1984-02-01 "Oxidative and conjugative metabolism of xenobiotics by livers of cattle, sheep, swine and rats" *Journal of Animal Science* 58(2):386-395 <https://dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas1984.582386x>
*"Findings emphasize the risk of error in extrapolations among species and in extrapolations among substrates."
"...wide differences in metabolic or physiological capabilities often exist among species that are classified phylogenetically as closely related, and this is especially so in the biotransformation and disposition of xenobiotics. Extrapolations of biotransformation data among species are prone to grave errors."
"...hepatic enzymatic capabilities toward xenobiotics do not parallel phylogenetic classifications, thus strengthening the view that most of the comparative data available at present is more descriptive than predictive of relationships among species."*
143. Solomon, George F.; Levine, Seymour and Kraft, John Kersten 1968-11-23 "Early experience and immunity" *Nature* 220(5169):821-822 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/220821a0>
144. Spearow, Jimmy L.; Doemeny, Paul; Sera, Robyn; Leffler, Rachael and Barkley, Marylynn 1999-08-20 "Genetic variation in susceptibility to endocrine disruption by estrogen in mice" *Science*

285(5431):1259-1261 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.285.5431.1259>

“Large (more than 16-fold) differences in susceptibility to disruption of juvenile male reproductive development by 17-estradiol (E2) were detected between strains of mice. Effects of strain, E2 dose, and the interaction of strain and E2 dose on testes weight and spermatogenesis were all highly significant ($P < 0.0001$). Spermatid maturation was eliminated by low doses of E2 in strains such as C57BL/6J and C17/J1s. In contrast, mice of the widely used CD-1 line, which has been selected for large litter size, showed little or no inhibition of spermatid maturation even in response to 16 times as much E2. Product safety bioassays conducted with animals selected for fecundity may greatly underestimate disruption of male reproductive development by estradiol and environmental oestrogenic compounds.”

145. Spencer, Thomas C.; Fallon, James B.; Abbott, Carla J.; Allen, Penny J.; Brandli, Alice; Luu, Chi D.; Epp, Stephanie B. and Shivdasani, Mohit N. 2018-07-01 "Electrical Field Shaping Techniques in a Feline Model of Retinal Degeneration" 40th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC) 2018:1222-1225
<https://doi.org/10.1109/embc.2018.8512473>
146. Sugiyama, Ken; Kondo, Takeo; Oouchida, Yutaka; Suzukamo, Yoshimi; Higano, Shuichi; Endo, Minoru; Watanabe, Hiroshi; Shindo, Keiichiro and Izumi, Shin-Ichi 2009-11-01 "Clinical utility of diffusion tensor imaging for evaluating patients with diffuse axonal injury and cognitive disorders in the chronic stage" Journal of Neurotrauma 26(11):1879-1890
<https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2008.0839>
147. Sugiyama et al 2022 Sugiyama, Taisei; Nakae, Keita and Izawa, Jun 2022-11-02 "Transcranial magnetic stimulation on the dorsal premotor cortex facilitates human visuomotor adaptation" NeuroReport 33(16):723-727 <https://doi.org/10.1097/wnr.0000000000001838>
148. Suomi, S.J. 1991-01-01 "Early stress and adult emotional reactivity in rhesus monkeys" Ciba Foundation Symposium 156:171-183 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470514047.ch11>
149. Suomi, Stephen J. 1997-01-01 "Early determinants of behaviour: evidence from primate studies" British Medical Bulletin 53(1):170-184
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011598>
150. Swift, Kevin M.; Gross, Brooks A.; Frazer, Michelle A.; Bauer, David S.; Clark, Kyle J.D.; Vazey, Elena M.; Aston-Jones, Gary; Li, Yong; Pickering, Anthony E.; Sara, Susan J. and Poe, Gina R. 2018-11-19 "Abnormal locus coeruleus sleep activity alters sleep signatures of memory consolidation and impairs place cell stability and spatial memory" Current Biology : CB 28(22):3599-3609 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.054>
151. Toker Toker et al 2022, Daniel; Pappas, Ioannis; Lendner, Janna D.; Frohlich, Joel; Mateos, Diego M.; Muthukumaraswamy, Suresh; Carhart-Harris, Robin; Paff, Michelle; Vespa, Paul M.; Monti, Martin M.; Sommer, Friedrich T.; Knight, Robert T. and D'Esposito, Mark 2022-02-15 "Consciousness is supported by near-critical slow cortical electrodynamics" Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 119(7):e2024455119
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8851554/>
152. Tonetto, André; Lago, Pablo Walker; Borba, Márcia and Rosa, Vinícius 2016-03-01 "Effects of chondro-osseous regenerative compound associated with local treatments in the regeneration of bone defects around implants: an in vivo study" Clinical Oral Investigations 20(2):267-274
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-015-1509-1>
153. Tolbert, William D.; Gohain, Neelakshi; Kremer, Paul G.; Hederman, Andrew P.; Nguyen, Dung N.; Van, Verna; Sherburn, Rebekah; Lewis, George K.; Finzi, Andrés; Pollara, Justin; Ackerman, Margaret E.; Barb, Adam W. and Pazgier, Marzena 2022-09-05 "Decoding human-macaque interspecies differences in Fc-effector functions: The structural basis for CD16-dependent effector function in Rhesus macaques" Frontiers in Immunology 13:960411
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9484259/>
“Rhesus macaques, Macaca mulatta (Mm) share approximately 93% sequence identity with humans but display important differences in their adaptive immune system that complicates their use in validating therapeutics and vaccines that rely on Fc effector functions.”

154. Twomey, J.M.; Gilvarry, A.; Restori M.; Kirkness, C.M.; Moore, A.T. and Holden, A.L. 1990-01-01 "Ocular enlargement following infantile corneal opacification" *Eye* 4(3):497-503
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/eye.1990.65>
155. Uematsu, Sumio; Lesser, Ronald; Fisher, Robert S.; Gordon, Barry; Hara, Kunitada; Krauss, Gregory L.; Vining, Eileen P. and Webber, Robert W. 1992-07-01 "Motor and sensory cortex in humans: Topography studied with chronic subdural stimulation" *Neurosurgery* 31(1):59-71
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1641111>
156. Van Heijst, A.N.P. 1991-02-01 "The advancement of the science of clinical toxicology" *Veterinary and Human Toxicology* 33(1):43-44 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2017865>
"A good example is paraquat poisoning. In 1960, paraquat was introduced as a herbicidal agent with low toxicity, the LD50 in the rat being 120 mg/kg. However, by 1972 more than 400 patient deaths had been reported, and the lethal dose in humans was estimated to be as little as 4 mg/kg."
157. Vartiainen, Johanna; Aggujaro, Silvia; Lehtonen, Minna; Hultén, Annika; Laine, Matti and Salmelin, Riitta 2009-10-01 "Neural dynamics of reading morphologically complex words" *NeuroImage* 47(4):2064-2072 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.06.002>
158. Vesell, Elliot S.; Lang, C. Max; White, William J.; Passananti, G. Thomas; Hill, Richard N.; Clemens, Thomas L.; Liu, Dai Kee and Johnson, W. Dean 1976-04-01 "Environmental and genetic factors affecting the response of laboratory animals to drugs" *Federation Proceedings* 35(5):1125-1132 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1261707>
159. Voelkl, Bernhard; Würbel, Hanno; Krzywinski, Martin and Altman, Naomi 2021-01-01 "The standardization fallacy" *Nature Methods* 18(1):5-7 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-01036-9>
160. von Noorden, G.K.; Crawford, M.L.J. and Levacy, R.A. 1983-06-01 "The lateral geniculate nucleus in human anisometric amblyopia" *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 24(6):788-790 <http://iovs.arvojournals.org/article.aspx?articleid=2176853>
161. WarringtonWarrington et al 2022, Shaun; Thompson, Elinor; Bastiani, Matteo; Dubois, Jessica; Baxter, Luke; Slater, Rebecca; Jbabdi, Saad; Mars, Rogier B. and Sotiropoulos, Stamatios N. 2022-10-21 "Concurrent mapping of brain ontogeny and phylogeny within a common space: Standardized tractography and applications" *Science Advances* 8(42):eabq2022
<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abq2022>
162. Weisburger, John H. 1991-06-01 "Progress in cancer prevention" *The Journal of NIH Research* 3(6):12,14
163. Weiskrantz, L.; Warrington, Elizabeth K.; Sanders, M.D. and Marshall, J. 1974-12-01 "Visual capacity in the hemianopic field following a restricted occipital ablation" *Brain* 97(4):709-728
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/97.1.709>
Part of the brain of this 34 year old man was removed as treatment for severe headaches. "The excision extended approximately 6 cm anterior to the occipital pole and included the major portion of the calcarine cortex on the medial surface of the hemisphere. After operation, he had a homonymous hemianopia which split the macula with a crescent of preserved vision at the periphery of the upper quadrant..."
164. Wen, Haojie; Xu, Ting; Wang, Xiaoying; Yu, Xi and Bi, Yanchao 2022-09-01 "Brain intrinsic connection patterns underlying tool processing in human adults are present in neonates and not in macaques" *NeuroImage* 258:119339 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9520606/>
165. WesselinkWesselink et al 2022, Daan B.; Sanders, Zeena-Britt; Edmondson, Laura R.; Dempsey-Jones, Harriet; Kieliba, Paulina; Kikkert, Sanne; Themistocleous, Andreas C.; Emir, Uzay; Diedrichsen, Jörn; Saal, Hannes P. and Makin, Tamar R. 2022-04-22 "Malleability of the cortical hand map following a finger nerve block" *Science Advances* 8(16):eabk2393
<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abk2393>
166. WesterbergWesterberg et al 2022, Jacob A.; Schall, Michelle S.; Maier, Alexander; Woodman, Geoffrey F. and Schall, Jeffrey D. 2022-01-28 "Laminar microcircuitry of visual cortex producing attention-associated electric fields" *eLife* 11:e72139
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8846592/>
167. Wiebers, David O.; Adams, Harold P. and Whisnant, Jack P. 1990-01-01 "Animal models of

stroke: Are they relevant to human disease?" Stroke 21(1):1-3

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.21.1.1>

Although they do not decry the use of animals in general, and state that some use is helpful in some aspects of stroke, they do voice serious reservations about the value of animal "models" of stroke. With respect to experimental models, "...the relevance of most of these data to the human condition remains dubious."

As far as the results of all the experimental work done so far, "Among compounds subjected to clinical trials [in humans], none has proven efficacious, nor have any of these agents come into general clinical use."

They also state: "We would like to offer...some reasons why an over-reliance upon such models may impede rather than advance scientific progress in the treatment of this disease." They then provide evidence on why the experimental situation is simply not analogous to the real situation, and state "...that the discrepancies between animal research observations and those in humans will not disappear by developing ways of improving clinical research."

With respect to how we will learn information to help human patients with stroke, "Ultimately, however, the answers to many of our questions regarding the underlying pathophysiology and treatment of stroke do not lie with continued attempts to model the human situation perfectly in animals, but rather with the development of techniques to enable the study of more basic metabolism, pathophysiology, and anatomical imaging detail in living humans."

168. Willett, Walter 1989-03-30 "The search for the causes of breast and colon cancer" Nature 338(6214):389-394 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/338389a0>

Epidemiological studies of breast and colon cancers implicate diet as a causative factor but the evidence is stronger for colon cancer, the occurrence of which may be reduced by diets with less animal fat and more fruit and vegetables.

169. Willoughby, Rodney E. 2012-08-01 "Resistance to rabies" The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 87(2):205 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3414553/>

"Careful, respectful genetic study of these genetically unique populations may provide information on which pathways in human biochemistry and physiology promote resistance to human rabies."

170. Winslow, James T.; Noble, Pamela L.; Lyons, Casie K.; Sterk, Sheila M. and Insel, Thomas R. 2003-05-01 "Rearing effects on cerebrospinal fluid oxytocin concentration and social buffering in rhesus monkeys" Neuropsychopharmacology 28(5):910-918 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300128>

171. Wong, Agnes M.F. and Sharpe, James A. 1999-02-01 "Representation of the visual field in the human occipital cortex: A magnetic resonance imaging and perimetric correlation" Archives of Ophthalmology 117(2):208-217 <https://doi.org/10.1001/archoph.117.2.208>

172. YurtYurt et al 2022, Pinar; Calapai, Antonino; Mundry, Roger and Treue, Stefan 2022-12-20 "Assessing cognitive flexibility in humans and rhesus macaques with visual motion and neutral distractors" Frontiers in Psychology 13:1047292 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9807625/>

173. Zbinden, G. and Flury-Roversi, M. 1981-04-01 "Significance of the LD50-test for the toxicological evaluation of chemical substances" Archives of Toxicology 47(2):77-99 <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00332351>

Conclusion is that LD50 is generally of no use, based in large part due to species differences.

174. Zhan, Gui-Lin; Miranda, Olivia Cariño and Bito, Laszlo Z. 1992-02-01 "Steroid glaucoma: Corticosteroid-induced ocular hypertension in cats" Experimental Eye Research 54(2):211-218 [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4835\(05\)80210-6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4835(05)80210-6)

They point out difference between experimental steroid-induced glaucoma in the rabbit and spontaneously occurring form in the human.

175. Zhao, Ying; Shu, Yan; Zhao, Ning; Zhou, Zili; Jia, Xiong; Jian, Chenxing and Jin, Si 2022-02-01 "Insulin resistance induced by long-term sleep deprivation in rhesus macaques can be attenuated by Bifidobacterium" American Journal of Physiology. Endocrinology and Metabolism

- 322(2):E165-E172 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00329.2021>
176. Zhong, Yifei; Raulli, Robert E.; Abtout, Samir; Authier, Simon; Ascah, Alexis; Lambert, Daniel; Gutierrez, Gloria; Cantú, Norma L.; Gutierrez, Nadean; Cabell, Larry; McDonough, Joe; Cakouros, Kelly C.; Gurman, Pablo; Savransky, Vladimir and Barry, John 2021-07-01 "Proof of concept efficacy study of intranasal stabilized isoamyl nitrite (SIAN) in rhesus monkeys against acute cyanide poisoning" *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* : RTP 123:104927 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2021.104927>
177. Zhou, Yang; Mohan, Krithika and Freedman, David J. 2022-11-30 "Abstract Encoding of Categorical Decisions in Medial Superior Temporal and Lateral Intraparietal Cortices" *The Journal of Neuroscience* 42(48):9069-9081 <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0017-22.2022>